Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

1997-09

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 1997-09-01 through 1997-09-30

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,080

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. CB Radio, Scanner Dealers (Easy to find)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: teamwin01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901205200.QAA22211@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187302

CITIZEN BAND RADIO EQUIPMENT
DEALERS!!

Citizen Band Radio Dealers are somtimes hard to locate, and you may not have or want to spend hours, even days, trying to locate the one CB Radio Shop to meet your needs. In this day and age there are many ways for someone to find whatever he/she needs or wants, like CB radio equipment, and you could make alot of expensive phone calls to places that are out of your area, and still not accomplish your goal in finding the CB Radio shop or shops to meet your needs......

I often thought," wouldn't it be great if someone put together a list of CB Radio Shops, with addresses, phone/fax numbers, so I could find the closest or best CB Shop to fit my hobby needs?"

WELL........ Someone has, and
that someone is me.

The list of CB Radio Shops Nationwide is finally available for your shopping and repair needs all over the nation. CB Radio Shops from east to west and north to south are available and ready to address your needs. Many carry hard to find equipment, and many carry wholesale parts and equipment. Whether it's and brand new radio or an old tube type amplifier, your chances of finding what you need are much greater and faster with this brochure.

Using this brochure to shop and compare will enable you to buy, sell, trade, and receive repair information & rates for CB Radio equipment, more effectively than most other sources.

This brochure is LOADED with names, addresses, phone/fax numbers of different CB shops, shacks, and even CB shops on wheels located all over the nation. There could be one in your area that you may not know about for one reason or another, most of the CB shops don't do very much or any advertising, due to the cost of advertising or lack of knowledge on effective advertising. And these CB shops are most likely to be the ones to offer you the best deal. That may be something to think about the next time your searching for new or used CB Radio equipment. The more we feed to the bigger dealers will put the little shops out of business and you guessed it, DRIVE THE PRICES OF CB RADIO EQUIPMENT HIGHER AND HIGHER.

Saving time and money is everyone's concern these days, and that's what this brochure can do for you, as it has for many other people. You won't want to miss out on the next great deal before we all are forced to pay the prices of the bigger companies, when it comes time to buy CB Radio equipment.

Here is how to receive your personal copy of this fast selling, popular brochure....

�Please send $2.00 and a self addressed, stamped envelope to:

�TEAMWIN 01

P.O. Box 0583
Loveland, CO. 80539-0583

Thank you and 73's from Colorado........

2. External Antenna Lightning Protection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tom.walker@general.com
Message-ID: <873129080@general.com>
Source record: 187303

```
-> Question for those who have external antennae for their scanners: what
-> sort of lightning protection do you use?
-> ----

There is really NO such thing as lightening Protection. But standard
HAM Radio protection Diodes will help safeguard you from most situations
of Close Electrical activity during a storm.
```

3. FREQS?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dav ." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B37A5.1C7E@flash.net>
Source record: 187304

HELP!

I need a good quality Freq counter. Do you have one that youre
not using? Lets make a deal. Send me some email and tell me
what you have and what price you would like for it. Ill pay
the shipping.

david084@flash.net

Thanks!

4. Re: Frecuencias en España

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B38E6.E8A@flash.net>
Source record: 187305

```
Winged Horse wrote:
> =

> Juancho <fotografo@redestb.es> wrote:
> =

> > Desear=EDa que alg=FAn Espa=F1ol y en particular de Madrid me mandase=
un mail
> > para intercambiar frecuencias , pues aunque parezca mentira no existe=
un
> > grupo de scaner todav=EDa en espa=F1ol
> >
> > fotografo@redestb.es
> =

> Votaste en la propuesta del grupo "es.rec.radio.scanners"? sali=F3
> negativa (no hubo los suficientes votos a favor) y no se podr=E1 propon=
er
> de nuevo hasta dentro de 5 meses (hace 1 que fue la votaci=F3n). Para
> estar al d=EDa sigue el grupo "es.news" por si repiten la propuesta y
> quieres votar...
> =

> Como no soy de Madrid... :-((
> =

> Saludos
> =

> WH
> --
> Winged Horse ----- Neverland's End Inc.
> pegasus@NO.SPAMS.nym.alias.net
> PGP Key Fingerprint: B2 44 EE DD 98 81 64 DF 46 F2 B1 1C 7F 13 87 10
> Please, remove the NO.SPAMS from email replies...

SPEAK ENGLISH and you wont have these problems
-- =

!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!
```

5. Re: HELP : Busted by Police UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B3C1B.7C34@flash.net>
Source record: 187306

Bernie Nagel wrote:
>
> hold unto your butts this could happen in the US in the future write
> your congressman now bernie

Bernie, it will happen in the USA. We are through with freedom here.
The people are too free, and with the internet, they are too smart
because they can trade information on anything, including what the
government is doing.
Therefore, grand limits must be placed on traditional Constitutional
freedoms within the USA.

6. Needed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck Britton <ae4xj@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <340B5E07.DB6@ibm.net>
Source record: 187307

I am looking for a manual or copy of such for a

Regency MX700 scanner.

If someone can help please contact me by email at
ae4xj@ibm.net

Thanks, Chuck

7. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe <jc123@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <340B7472.1488@ibm.net>
Source record: 187308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

```
Radioactive wrote:
>
> I just purchased another for 350.00 I will keep yours in mind if i need
> another. I have 6
>
> kevin wrote:
>
> > Barry Burke Jr. <*nospam*barry_b@snet.net> wrote in article
> > <3402BD4C.F247ECBE@snet.net>...
> > > sky444@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> > >
> > > > On Sun, 24 Aug 1997 11:08:11 -0700, Ron M <monfeli@mail.telis.org>
> > >
> > > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >For Sale,
> > > > >Pro-2006, near mint condition, has dimmer light which is in
> > perfect
> > > > >working condition and brighter than the on-off light, manual,
> > box,
> > > > >antenna. Has cell and speed mod done. Asking $350
> > > > >Ron
> > > >
> > > > He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He
> > Ha
> > > > Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee
> > heeeeeee
> > > > Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!
> > >
> > > Every time someone posts a 2004,5,6 for a price YOU consider high,
> > you
> > > post these assinine responses. You know what's really funny? They
> > get
> > > sold somehow! So I guess it's not so funny after all.
> > >
> > > Barry
> > >
> > I was gonna say the same thing. I recently sold one of my 2006's for
> > $300.
> > I put an ad on the bulliten board at one of our local grocery stores
> > and
> > sold it the next day. I should have asked for more. Har har har! joke
> > was
```

> > on me!
A somewhat unrealistic price for a dinosaur.A good radio yes, but not
for that price.

8. University of Wisconsin Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrewg@pitnet.net
Message-ID: <340B3359.19BC@pitnet.net>
Source record: 187309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for any frequencies and their uses for the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee.

Thanks in advance!

Andrew

9. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340B9076.6ADC@usa.net>
Source record: 187310

I can't speak for Eric, but I think MOST of what you say is mindless babble, so why don't you just check out of this thread. (Maybe it will die then)

MN Maniac wrote:
> Hey, I can't help it that THE OYE BOY and his bosom buddy Mackey like to
> talk to me so much.

10. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, JOHN MACKEY, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <873176671.975653@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 187311

I was at the colorado river this weekend riding my jetski, "WHAT A BLAST"
:-)

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote in article <340B8FE2.6960@usa.net>...

>KC7DIU wrote:
>> Are you dillholes still at it? Jeez, what a terrible waste of the Labor
>> Day weekend. Meanwhile, I'm going to go put another stuffed pork chop on
>> the grill and open ANOTHER ice cold beer.
>
>YUP- I have been enjoying the weekend also, which is why I have been
>slow to respond to some messages.

11. FS: ICOM R-100 Rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfhazard@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <5uf8kh$r3p$3@ddi2.digital.net>
Source record: 187501

box, manuals, bracket, etc included.

nice shape, for some reason the previous owner drilled two 1/8" holes in the
top cover-- no problems, just a little "ugly"...an 8.8 on my 10 scale

otherwise, perfect.

$500

doug

12. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340B1FDA.54B2@usa.net>
Source record: 187502

ScanSkip wrote:
> Your definition of an ISP is sheer fabrication.

Really??

Can you use an internet mail (POP3) program to pull your AOL mail?
Can you use an internet TCP/IP dialer to access AOL?
Can you use an internet news (NNTP) program to view news on AOL?

How do you support your claim that my defination of an ISP is
a fabrication?

It sounds like YOUR statement is a fabrication.

View the response from Drew, even he acknowledges that AOL is not an
ISP.

13. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340B23E8.586@usa.net>
Source record: 187503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tim Shoppa wrote:
> >No, no, no, no, you can read them for yourself & confirn authenticity
> >with Dejanews if you like. The messages were DEFINATELY from Bill
> >Cheek. You get to see his REAL personality.

> >If you didn't received the posts you asked for I wish you would
> >simply have said something. Gimme a day or two to round them
> >up again & I will post them.
>
> Well, it's been a day and a half so far, and you still haven't found
> 'em. How much more time do you need?

Subject: A Story of Petey Joe Boe
Date: Sat, 27 Jul 1996 06:20:15 GMT
From: bcheek@cts.com (Bill Cheek)
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Listen, my friends, and I shall tell you a short story of the schizo-freako-paranoidal-hermaphrodyte fondly known as Petey Joe Boe (PjB). I repeat this story from memory as it was told to me by Captain Larry of "The River Dragon" fishing boat.

Now this lad, Petey, lives in Portland, Oregon, not far from the banks of the mighty Columbia River. Being an out-of-work piano teacher and in desperate need of something to eat, weary of a diet of Depo-Provera and Prozac, the fine strapping Petey found himself down by the river applying for work in the fishing fleet.

Much to his relief, the fine Petey was immediately hired, but because of no experience in the fishing industry, he was required to start at the bottom of the ladder as an Apprentice Baiter at minimum wage.

Not content with swimming around on the bottom of the barrel, the lad Petey learned about anchovies, cut mackerel, chum, and hooks, so that within about six months, he was advanced with a double-pay hike to Journeyman Baiter. His mother was so proud!

Thusly inspired and proud of his handiwork, the magnificent Petey got down to serious work with the arts and sciences of baiting like there was no tomorrow. Surprise, surprise, surprise! Three months later, the boy Petey achieved his crowning glory as he was elevated to the highest rank of all, Master Baiter.

Bravissimo, Boe! Way to go! <applause> <applause> <applause>

.....
.....<pausing for more applause>.....
.....

In another installment, if interest warrants, I'll relate the anecdote
of how Master Baiter Boe got cured of a monstrous tapeworm.

Subject: Re: Bill Cheeks is an asshole
Date: Thu, 25 Jul 1996 20:37:41 -0800
From: tvine@teleport.com (PjB)
Organization: thornyvinemusic
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
References: <31f6e72c.20d1@ttech.com>
<tcpnntpd.16.7.25.10.14.8.2443217854.3143311@hcol.humberc.on.ca>

In article
<tcpnntpd.16.7.25.10.14.8.2443217854.3143311@hcol.humberc.on.ca>,
<hduff@hcol.humberc.on.ca> wrote:

> I'm game on this one...I just can't stand the ego and condescending
> "I'm the scanner King and you are all below me" BS.
> It's the attitude that rubs people the wrong way.
> If he could control himself and act like a decent person I would do the
> same. I'm not prepared to to be verbally abused and threatened by
> some bully who likes to have it run "his way or no way".
> Its different here on the internet as compared to FIDO where Bill has
> gained full control and flexes that muscle abusively.

Well said. Sure, Cheeks posts ads here, and abuses the Fido forum (I've
even quoted him here saying that the reason he only shows up
occasionally
here is that he cant control it) and etc., but I think his biggest
problem
is that he is so fundamentally un-likeable. Poor guy. I hope his wife
likes him, hard as that may be to imagine. And I'm sure his petunias
like
him!
>chortle> <giggle> <snort>

Subject: Re: Enough!!!!!!!!!
Date: Sat, 27 Jul 1996 18:37:49 GMT
From: crs1026@inforamp.net (Paul Cordingley)
Organization: iSTAR Internet Inc.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
References: <4tbnam$nod@juliana.sprynet.com>
<31fa4faa.84764246@192.188.72.19>

bcheek@cts.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>Wrong! The SCANRADIO conference is healthy, alive, and well, now that
>Mackey, Duff, and company are gone. Check it out sometime.

I do, regularly. In the last two weeks the volume of posts has
dwindled to a half dozen a day.....down from 50 or so a day when you

took over.
IMHO the participants are sending you a message.

>You want good scanner information? Well, I have the capacity to post
>gigabytes of it here. But why should I? I did nothing to Boe, Duff,
>Mackey, Pacan, Alexander, and Company to warrant their mission to get
>rid of me. But that's their aim. As long as YOU (the participants of
>this newsgroup) PERMIT their mission to move along, then I will be
>handicapped in terms of posting anything MUCH of anything useful.
>They denigrate everything I post as it is - spamming up the thread to
>where no one wants to read it.

Ah....you have reached the heart of the matter.....what can WE (the
participants of this newsgroup) PERMIT????

WE (the participants of this newsgroup) certainly don't want to PERMIT
the likes of Mr. Duff to slam people without impunity.....but what do
WE (the participants of this newsgroup) do when a participant
oversteps all bounds of taste or civility? Are you saying that WE (the
participants of this newsgroup) can take action? Do we have the
capability to PERMIT some things and FORBID others? Up until now,
you've told us that all we can do is tune such people out, use our
kill files or whatever to ignore their posts.

It's a two way street, Bill.....if WE can take action against their
behaviour when they deserve it, WE can take action against your
behaviour when you deserve it. Are you ready to accept our judgement
of your behaviour?

>Don't you see what is happening?

Yes, I do. It's called "Do as I say, not as I do".

Personally, I would prefer to forego those gigabytes of marvellous
posts you offer, if the price of admission is giving you the license
to act as crudely as you like when someone takes a shot at you.
It doesn't matter who started the dispute. There is a minimum level of
behaviour that should be expected from posters, even if others are
attacking them. You seldom meet that minimum.

Regards
Paul

14. Mod's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D.G.P" <dpost@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <340AB26D.A2BC5CB8@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 187504
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

What is a good URL address for a list of Mod's

Thank you,
Dick.

What is a good URL address for
a list of Mod's

Thank you,

Dick.

15. RS-2036 ... Freq-groups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: markf@campnet.nb.ca
Message-ID: <873146578.8350@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187505
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello All,

The BC9000XLT has from 25 MHz through 1300 MHz Continuous. No Freq-grouping, or in steps .. Continuous.

The RS-PRO-2036, Is not Continuous. My question is: Is there anyway to get the Pro-2036, to provide continuous coverage, instead of doing it in groups/steps?

Any help on this would be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance!

Mark
markf@campnet.nb.ca

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

16. Lafayette, La.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: JEFF SCHNEIDER <js@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <340B2DD3.1D7@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 187506

I am looking for other people that scan in my area. Just reply by e-mail.

17. FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dav ." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B3139.61A0@flash.net>
Source record: 187507

Have you noticed how the Feds and other agencies seem to be
increasingly upset with those who listen in with scanners???

--
!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!

18. Niagara Freq. Web Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dgl@vaxxine.com
Message-ID: <873147301.8991@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have set up a web site dedicated to scanner monitoring in the
Niagara
Region. Drop by at:

http://www.vaxxine.com/dgl/scan-net/

----------====== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

19. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dav ." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340AEAE0.2908@flash.net>
Source record: 187509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

Greg Dean wrote:

> Well, it looks like we may now have a court challenge to the Electronic
> Communications Privacy Act. I have not seen a better case. Is it unlawful
> for the government to forbid you from receiving transmissions that are
> broadcast? Now, breaking encryption is another story. Digital moduation
> may encode but is not a cryptogram. It is a means to sending a message.
> Hopefully their lawyers understand what they have and do not push for a
> plea bargain.

Greg, how long has it been since you have seen anyone fighting a court
case for the freedom of the people??? It used to be normal, but today,
I cannot recall when someone has filed suit to protect the rights of the
people.
The government does not care about our rights. It seeks to control us
more and more.

--
!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!

20. [Fwd: picture of a HQ-140X Hammarlung fs/tr]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ssb108v <vo2apl@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <340AF880.2F85@netrover.com>
Source record: 187510

```
Message-ID: <340AF841.3291@netrover.com>
Date: Mon, 01 Sep 1997 10:15:45 -0700
From: ssb108v <vo2apl@netrover.com>
Reply-To: vo2apl@netrover.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
Subject: picture of a HQ-140X Hammarlung fs/tr
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="------------2130535938F4"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------2130535938F4
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

9 on 10 works fine,only small scratches on top rest is as new
I will look my emails every 3 days so and please do not ask what
I want for trade,just wrote what you have
73'sor the price(I have no clue on the value but this radio is a
collector
item)

--------------2130535938F4
Content-Type: image/jpeg; name="Hammarlund.jpg"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="Hammarlund.jpg"

<encoded_portion_removed>
LZ9u/O/PSXiKSm/gxv8A/9k=
--------------2130535938F4--
```

21. Carteret NJ Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: GOINGBROKE <Xgoingbroke@usa.netX>
Message-ID: <01bcb6ff$45450c40$23d8edcc@goingbroke>
Source record: 187511

I am looking for freq's for Carteret NJ. The freq's that I have (police call, scanner master) are incomplete or wrong. I'm looking for Carteret Pd. Ch. 2 , all fire dept. freq's and GATX. any help would be appreciated.

Thanks.
Going Broke

goingbroke@usa.net

22. Re: For Sale: My Frequency Collection - US Federal & Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B3893.4627@flash.net>
Source record: 187512

ScanSkip wrote:
>
> >In article <Pine.BSI.3.95.970831080919.2381A-100000@granite.sover.net>, Jim
> >Lawrence <jiml@sover.net.no.spam> wrote:
>
> >>am determined to get this information into as many hands as possible
>
> >>$69.00 US along with your name and address to:
>
> No, what you are determined to do is con people out of their money. Your
> "nobility act" is ludicrous and patently obvious.

Yes, he should take on the attitude of our national,state and local
governments: Place a gun to your head and demand the money.
Forget this being nice stuff while trying to sell you something of
interest.

--
!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!

23. Re: HELP : Busted by Police UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B3B71.3F56@flash.net>
Source record: 187513

UK911 wrote:
>
> Hi
>
> This is the first time I've visited this group because I am in the UK but
> I was hoping that some of you may be able to help another hobbyist like
> myself. The Police recently attended my home address here in the UK and
> spotted two of my scanners, both were base base models (Yupiteru and
> Relaistic). I managed to reset them but they have now been seized by the
> Police so that they can be examined to see if they had any Police
> frequencies in them. Now that I reset them, can they detect what has been
> in the channels before and if for example in channel 26 I had 452.395 in it
> but replaced it with 169.3125 i.e. replacing the original frequency, can
> they tell what was in that channel before ?
>
> I'd appreciate any help, preferably by direct email to UK911@aol.com The
> law is pretty crap over here and I was prosecuted for listening to the
> Police when I was 17 yrs old, before I knew the laws etc. Maybe you have
> had similar experiences, any help would be appreciated !!!
>
> Many thanks
>
> Chunky
> ENGLAND

WOW! I have been to England for a month and I did not know you guys
are suffering from lack of FREEDOM! Here in the USA, we are destroying
our freedoms too. Our governments (federal,state, and local) are moving
into new territory. No longer do we have inalienable rights. WE will
soon have to ask our governments if we can mow our grass. Their
response will be:
"You may mow your grass if you use a "state" approved solar powered
lawnmower, and we must first come out and inspect your yard to see if
you have any endgangered species of bugs, or animals living on it. You
may have your property confiscated by us (the federal government) if we
find any spotted owls, horned toads, or anyone of many other species
living on your property."

GOODBYE FREEDOM! HELLO BIG BROTHER!
--
!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!

24. Re: Media Alert: Legislation Would Ban Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B3EBD.72D5@flash.net>
Source record: 187514

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> In <5u9hrehpp2@gte2.gte.net> Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net> writes:
> >
> >
> >>
> >> ####################
> >> Deborah Davis, Marketing Director
> >> Grove Enterprises, Inc.
> >> 7540 Hwy. 64 West
> >> Brasstown, NC 28902
> >> Phone: 704-837-9200/Fax: 704-837-2216
> >>
> >> Rachel Baughn, Managing Editor
> >> Larry Van Horn, Assistant Editor
> >> Monitoring Times magazine
>
> There is no such thing as too much freedom to listen and
> learn about "whatever"
>
> Unless the government is embarked on a course where the
> listening will cause them to be "discovered"...
>
> The major problem here is the PRESS.
>
> I have not seen one tv editorial or newspaper story
> condemning this fascist in public. Where is the representative
> of the People's Voice. The PRESS.
>
> Who owns the Press? Would appear the goverenment does.
>
> The Press is silent. I guess they have more important
> matters to report: Madonna's toilet ritual; M Jackson's hair
> restoration procedures; Hillary's choice of "clothes that fit";
> Chelsea's Need to Appear Thin or not at all on tv; stuff that makes
> one glad to be reading a newspaper or tv story given approval by
> ol' Clinton as "politically correct for the amerian people"....
>
> we are beginning to "BOW" to those we elected.
>
> King and Queen Hillary.
>
> I guess that is why the government run by the Clinton's
> relishes in the thought of letting all these illegals into

> the country and allowing them to vote: they are illiterate.
>
> Governments of the Hillary and Him clan love illiterates when
> it comes to "decision time". I would think by now that an educated
> electorate would have impeached HIM and HER by now.
>
> the only thing worse then Him and Her is Jesse Helms.
>
> I guess the introduced legislation is to prevent
> communists from overhearing what a democratic society
> used to be like. Too much freedom allows the government
> no fun.
>
> Where are they going to put all the criminals when this
> law gets passed?
>
> Is there not this Constitution against unreasonable
> searches and seizures in the Bill of Rights. Or will
> it be newly titled: Bill's Rights.
>
> What is this jerk who is introducing it afraid of?
>
> We'll never know. the Press has more important stories
> to write.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

Bill, it seems that you understand what is going on here in
the USA. We are having our freedoms removed a little bit at a time.
By the time the people wake up to this fact, it wont make any
difference.
In the near future in the USA, you will be ALLOWED to go to
work and pay 55% of your hard earned money to the Federal, State, and
local governments by the years end. Any other activities will require
government approval.

25. WARNING!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B43D3.5E4@flash.net>
Source record: 187515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateru.misc

Dear Scanner Users;

It is time to fight back the forces of evil that seek to remove your right to use what is now, a perfectly legal device known as a "scanner".

We now realize that many in our Federal, State, and local governments cannot be trusted to maintain your rights, liberties, and freedoms!

They are all very subject to the lobbyiests who bring large cash donations to their reelection accounts. Or, they are like Bill Clinton who believes that "We the People" are too free!

We must work together while we still have the chance to destroy the reelection efforts of those who seek to outlaw any of our current activities, including the use of scanners to monitor the spectrum that enters YOUR HOME!

Here is what we need to do:

1. Unify all data about these bills into one nice, neat message.

2. Post the Bill number of the legislation that is proposed.

3. Post the names of any and all sponsors to such legislation.

4. Post all Fax, E-mail addresses, and Phone Numbers of those who sponsor, or approve these new legislative efforts to limit our freedoms.

Though some of this information has already been posted, lets work together to come up with one message that is very accurate, and contains lots of info on the congressional members who are working to have these bills (it seems as though there are only two bills we must defeat) signed into law. TIMEING IS VERY IMPORTANT! We must know when the bills will be voted on.
The whole purpose here is to make it easy for scanner hobbyiests to write, call, fax, and complain to those who are working on these bills.

IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT YOU COMPLAIN TO THESE CONGRESSMEN, BUT IT IS ALSO VITAL THAT YOU CONTACT ((YOUR)) HOUSE MEMBER AND TWO SENATORS BECAUSE THAT IS WHERE YOUR VOTE REALLY COUNTS!!!

1. What are the Bill Numbers?
2. Who is sponsoring them?
3. What are their FAX, PHONE, AND E-MAIL addresses, along with their US Postal addresses?
4. WHEN WILL THE BILLS BE VOTED ON???

LETS GET TO WORK!

26. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901224201.SAA00770@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187516

In article <340AFEEE.6559@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>There is no problem with my behavior, YOU are the pne that
>people are always after.

Yep. Everyone's always picking on THE OYE BOY! And of course, he has no
idea why this always happens. To hear THE OYE BOY tell it, he's just a
victim of other people's meanness.

And if you believe this, I have some nice beachfront properties in Kansas
I'd like to sell you.

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
KF4DDM since 9/95 on 2m & 10m
KADF-6895 since 9/76 on 11m
Active scanner and Internet freak as well
(no license required)

27. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901224200.SAA07806@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187517

In article <340B23E8.586@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>Subject: A Story of Petey Joe Boe
>Date: Sat, 27 Jul 1996 06:20:15 GMT
>From: bcheek@cts.com (Bill Cheek)
>Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>
>Listen, my friends, and I shall tell you a short story of the schizo-
>freako-paranoidal-hermaphrodyte fondly known as Petey Joe Boe (PjB). I
>repeat this story from memory as it was told to me by Captain Larry of
>"The River Dragon" fishing boat.

Oh, PUHLEEEZE! Do we really have to bring all this crap up again? It's
been over a freakin' YEAR ago, for crying out loud!

LEAVE IT BURIED!!! TAKE THIS CRAP TO E-MAIL!!

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
KF4DDM since 9/95 on 2m & 10m
KADF-6895 since 9/76 on 11m
Active scanner and Internet freak as well
(no license required)

28. Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amonhen@Rohirrim.net
Message-ID: <340bd2c8.283522@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 187518
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

THIS LITTLE PIGGIE WENT TO MARKET
Policing the 21st Century with Asset Forfeiture
by Steve O'Keefe

Since 1984, a new gang has swept across the American landscape. They started in the big cities -- New York, Chicago and L.A. -- and have quickly moved into small towns throughout the U.S. Like most other gangs, they're involved in the manufacture and distribution of illegal narcotics. They've financed their growth through theft, blackmail and an organized campaign of terror, plowing profits back into what is now one of the largest criminal operations ever seen.

So why aren't the police doing anything to stop the spread of this brutal gang? Because this gang IS THE POLICE.

Welcome to the millennium. The United States is going through wrenching changes caused by enormous national deficit. The state requires more and more money, yet is able to provide fewer and fewer services. Law enforcement agencies, hard-pressed to prosecute the "war on drugs" have found a way around reliance on taxation and, consequently, a way around the electorate.

During the Reagan administration, our Drug Czars resurrected an old law enforcement trick called "asset forfeiture" and gave it new life through legislation. Basically a suspension of due process, the idea behind asset forfeiture is to deny criminals access to their ill-gotten gains during trial and to seize property purchased with tainted funds. But the power of asset forfeiture has created a beast. Now the group that profits most from the drug trade is law enforcement itself. What started as a tool in the war on drugs has transcended into a whole new way of policing -- a way that threatens the liberties of every resident, not only of the United States, but wherever the long arm of U.S. law reaches.

THE CRIME OF OWNERSHIP
Perhaps the most dramatic example of the perils of asset forfeiture is the case of Donald and Francis Scott. The Scotts are a new breed of American criminal. Their crime was owning a 200-acre rance in Malibu worth an estimated $5 million.

The Scott ranch abuts U.S. Parks Department property, and the feds had unsuccessfully attempted to purchase it several times. Aware of the value of the property and that a willing buyer was available, the L.A. County Sheriff's Department opened an investigation against the Scotts WITH THE EXPRESSED PURPOSE OF FILLING THE DEPARTMENT'S COFFERS

BY SEIZING THE RANCH AND SELLING IT TO THE U.S. PARKS DEPARTMENT.

Here's how the Sheriff hatched his plan. Aerial surveillance of the Scott property was ordered, during which 50 marijuana plants were supposedly spotted (no marijuana -- growing or otherwise -- was ever found on the Scott property). A paid informant then provided corroborating evidence of drug dealing at the Scott ranch. The informant, who had a long criminal background, took his considerable fee for telling the Sheriff what he wanted to hear, and promptly left town. The Sheriff used the "evidence" he had assembled to obtain a search warrant. In a pre-raid briefing, the Sheriff made certain to distribute the key piece of evidence against the Scotts: an appraisal of their property.

This style of policing has become so routine that you probably wouldn't be reading about this case except for one flaw. Donald Scott didn't roll over. He resisted what he thought was a robbery and was shot dead in his own home. In all the commotion, the police must have forgotten to plant the drugs, because none were ever found. When the L.A. County Prosecuter's Office looked into the case, what they found was that the testimony of the informant was probably fabricated, the Sheriff's Department had knowingly falsified eficence, and the motivation for the raid was a desire to boost the department's depleted budget.

MANUFACTURING PROBABLE CAUSE
If you think what happened to the Scotts is uncommon, you haven't been reading between the lines in enough newspapers lately. Asset forfeiture, once a tool used only against large-scale organized crime figures, is now an element in virtually every drug bust. The incentives built into the asset forfeiture mechanism have so skewed the efforts of law enforcement that most of the real criminals are now on the police department payroll.

"Asset forfeiture" means asset theft. If the police suspect that something you bought was paid for, in part, with proceeds from an illegal drug transaction, they can take it. They don't have to PROVE it, they just have to SUSPECT it. Then YOU have to prove that you didn't buy those items (your house, your car, your boat, your spouse's wedding ring) with drug money.

If NO PROOF is required to seize your assets, then what's required for "suspicion"? Usually, the court requires that the cops must find enough narcotics on your property to qualify as "distribution," not merely "possession." Of course, police have been found to ADD as much dope as necessary to obtain a charge of distribution, thus opening the asset forfeiture cookie jar. They simply take drugs confiscated from other busts and use them to pad their case. Sometimes, however, there just isn't enough dope to go around. No problem! The cops now manufacture it.

In Broward County, Florida, the sheriff decided that in order to continue its sting operations, it needed a greater supply of narcotics. So the sheriff's department started making crack in the police lab, which was then distributed by undercover agents. If this sounds like the cops have gone into the drug business, just wait until you see who's on their payroll.

Asset forfeiture money can only be used for prosecuting drug crimes. And paying informants qualifies. Many informants now make more money than the officers paying them. If you had a choice between robbing a bank for, say, $10,000 or whispering a name into a cop's ear, which would you choose? You can see why becoming a paid informant is the best game in town. Since you're usually pointing the finger at ordinary citizens, you don't have the same risks as informing on a Mafia kingpin. There seems to be little to lose and much to gain.

And where do the cops recruit informants who will tell them what they want to hear? From the ranks of real criminals, of course. If you get busted for armed robbery or rape or auto theft, and you have no assets, you're just a big fat burden to the system. You have to be prosecuted and jailed at considerable taxpayer expense. So the police will let you off the hook if you cooperate and tell them what they want to hear, which is that you saw Joe Asset dealing drugs out of his house. You collect your fee, they have their probably cause, and, within a few hours, their treasury is getting fatter instead of being siphoned off.

THE FINE ART OF FORFEITURE
So let's see if you're paying attention to the vanishing civil liberties here. The law says the cops can take all your property if they find drugs. The law says the cops can manufacture these drugs, and that they can pay informants -- even notorious criminals -- ESPECIALLY notorious criminals -- huge sums of money to say you might be a drug dealer. Then the cops can bust into your home with guns drawn and, if they "find" anything there, can haul you to jail and seize your bank accounts and all your property so you can't hire a lawyer. And if a relative tries to pay for your lawyer, the cops can seize your relative's bank accounts and property, too, if they SUSPECT that you gave your relative money from your drug dealings.

Yes, that's the beauty of asset forfeiture: it doesn't have to be limited to criminals! According to "Newsweek" magazine, in only half of the forfeitures through 1990 were the forfeiting parties CHARGED with a crime, much less CONVICTED. The thinnest of connections between the stolen -- oops, I mean FORFEITED -- property and the crime is enough. A New Jersey woman lost her Oldsmobile because her son drove it to Sears where he was arrested for shoplifting a pair of pants. An Iowa man had his $6,000 boat seized after he was captured with three illegally-caught fish.

The reason so much property is forfeited without any criminal charges is that asset forfeiture maneuvers around the so-called rights

people have under the Constitution. Most asset forfeiture cases are civil proceedings, not criminal. The party on trial is the property, not the person. Normal rights that apply to PEOPLE, such as prohibitions on illegal searches and seizures, the right to a speedy trial, the right to adequate counsel, due process, and -- most importantly -- the presumption of innocence, do not apply to PROPERTY.

If they seize your $3,000 car, are you going to pay an attorney $5,000 to get it back? Most people roll over. Remember, even if your property is found innocent, you can't sue for damages. And you have to come up with money in a hurry if you want to put up a fight: you must put down a deposit of 10% of the property value within 10 days of notification to contest a forfeiture. If you miss the 10-day deadline, kiss your assets goodbye. Of course, the cops probably seized all your cash and readily-marketable property, leaving you with no money for the 10% deposit.

If you make the 10% deposit, 10-day deadline, you still need to hire a lawyer. Remember, your property does not have the right to an attorney if it cannot afford one. What happend if your lawyer wants $10,000 up front? That's not an unusual amount for these cases. Once again, with all your assets seized, you've got a problem. And if you think your bank will lend you -- an accused drug dealer -- money against your house, which is now in the possession of the police, think again. So it's back to begging from those relatives and friends who haven't had all their assets seized.

Now that you've hired your lawyer, get ready for a long, expensive trial. After all, the cops and prosecuting attorney have MILLIONS in seized property with which to wage a legal battle. If they win, they get to divvy up YOUR loot.

Let's say you persevere and win and they finally return your money and property. Well, your money goes to pay back your friends for fronting the attorney's fees. And your property? Oh, that was destroyed in the search for drugs which were never found, then it was left unprotected in the elements for the several years it took to try the case. Sorry about that. Good luck trying to get your insurance company to pay for the damages caused by the police.

THE GRAVY TRAIN
To understand why asset forfeiture has become so popular, you have to look at it from the pig's eye view. Let's say you're running a sty (police department) and the citizens are complaining mightily about crime. But your budget has more holes in it than the Saddam Hussein target out on the shooting range. Here are the choices you have:

1. Tell the citizens to pay more taxes.
2. Tell the citizens to protect themselves.
3. Kiss the mayor's ass until he or she cuts someone else's budget in order to give you a little more money.
4. Find the most unpopular wealthy person in town, bust them for

drugs, and take all their assets.

If you chose option 4, you're well on your way to a promising career in law enforcement. In fact, you're well on your way to the mayor's job. Because not only do you dramatically increase your budget without increasing taxes, but you become a media darling as a crime fighter in the process.

The very best part about asset forfeiture law, from a pig's eye view, is you don't have to share the proceeds with anyone. By law, the money can only be spent on further law enforcement. The mayor can't take it to fix roads or build a community center; the government can't take it to improve schools. It can't even be used to fund drug treatment centers. Just about the only thing it can be used for is a surveillance helicopter for you and the department, or a fleet of sports cars with sirens, or "multi-purpose" vehicles for the officers to drive to work, or bonuses, or training seminars in Maui.

The asset forfeiture gravy train is so good that the pigs' own trade journal -- "The F.B.I. Law Enforcement Bulletin" -- is instructing police departments everywhere how to set upi their own Asset Forfeiture Units (AFUs). According to a 1990 survey conducted by the Jefferson Institute, "most local jurisdictions do not use [asset forfeiture] units to the fullest potential. In fact, few of the units successfully tap the full potential of forfeiture statutes." The FBI praises the Chicago Police Department's AFU as an example worth emulating.

Started as an administrative function, the Chicago AFU quickly grew and divided into FIVE GROUPS, including special groups for vehicles and real estate. A special "investigative unit" is there to back up the street cops who are often "unfamiliar with the intricate asset forfeiture statutes, and neglect to seize other valuable assets." I know I'll sleep better at night knowing these guys are making sure no stone goes untaken. While all seized assets must be used for law enforcement, how the pie is split is different in different states. In Illinois, 65% goes to the seizing agency, 10% to the state police and the balance -- 25% -- to the prosecuting attorney's office.

A handy article in the March, 1993, FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin explains what to do if you run into the annoying situation that the assets you want to seize aren't held in the name of the defendant. The determined Asset Forfeiture Officer won't let legal ownership stand between the department and those assets. The well-trained Asset Forfeiture Officer knows to ask critical questions, such as: Whose name is on the insurance policy? Who pays for repairs to the property? Who "controlled" the purchase? The diligent Forfeiture Officer conducts surveillance to see who usese the property.

When you have a large Asset Forfeiture Unit -- and, let's face it, every town SHOULD have one -- then you have the resources for a really

thorough investigation. Here are a few items the FBI thinks you should look at when checking out a suspect:

- Motor vehicle registration
- Real property search for deeds
- Search warrants should cover all documents
- Use informants and co-defendants
- Surveillance should include:
- Garbage pickup
- Mail cover
- Wiretap
- Telephone records analysis
- Bank deposit and safety deposit records for searches
- Tax returns

And a very thorough investigation includes not only the defendant, but also the defendant's "parents, relatives, wives, children, girlfriends, etc." The defendant might have shared some of the proceeds of criminal activity with these other persons, and so all of THEIR assets might be available for the taking.

ASSET FORFEITURE AS A BIG STICK
The Drug Enforcement Administration now has more personnel working on asset forfeiture than any other activity. The Volusia County (Florida) Sheriff's Department has managed to seize over $8 million JUST FROM MOTORISTS on I-95. In Washington State, legislation has been proposed to seize the cars and other assets of drunk drivers. In New York, they want to seize cars for parking tickets. But current legislation is already adequate in many places.

Asset Forfeiture Units are so lucrative that in some places they are larger than the rest of the police departments. And they're busy, too. Plice are going through criminal cases that were tried and disposed of years ago to see if they missed the opportunity to take some assets. If assets werent seized, they just take them now through civil forfeiture. You can't be tried twice for the same crime, but YOUR PROPERTY isn't protected from "double jeopardy." Soon, anyone who committed any crime when they were a teenager -- even shoplifting -- can have their property seized now that they are adults and have assets worth taking.

Police don't even need to seize assets to get results. Just the threat of forfeiture can have a chilling impact on police targets. In Seattle, the Drug Abatement Unit (yes, they've started softening the names of Asset Forfeiture Units) has been able to shut down "raves" before they get started by threatening building owners with civil forfeiture.

"Raves" are parties that are not announced in advance and often take place in warehouses and vacant buildings rather than in traditional nightclubs. For several years, the Seattle Police Department has waged a war against these parties. At first they

required a dizzying array of permits. When that didn't work, they threatened building owners with asset forfeitures.

In the dozens of raves shut down by Seattle pork, NO ONE HAS EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF POSSESSION OR DISTRIBUTION OF ILLEGAL SUBSTANCES. There was ONE arrest for LSD possession, which was dropped before going to trial. Yet the cops have frozen rave promoters out of the market with threats of asset forfeiture. The promoters themselves don't have any assets (they are mostly underfinanced kids), so the police threaten building owners. Following the new wave of law enforcement, they put their muscle where the money is.

RECENT SUPREME COURT DECISIONS
Opponents of asset forfeiture have hailed two Supreme Court decisions which supposedly restrict the use of this powerful tool. The media may be declaring victory in the battle against asset forfeiture, but the Justice Department is hardly accepting defeat. Rather, these cases mark the refinement and EXPANSION of the most powerful tool in the law enforcement arsenal.

In the first decision, the Supremes said that asset forfeiture was a form of punishment and prohibited if "excessive." The failed to define "excessive," leaving it for lower courts to hash out. In the second decision, announced in December, 1993, the Court said that the victims of forfeiture must be notified before their property is seized. I'm sure that will come as a great comfort to them.

Lawyers at the Justice Department have read the handwriting on the wall: a rising number of court cases and bills aimed at curbing forfeiture. Rather than risk losing their favorite weapon, the Justice Department has written its own legislation to "reform" asset forfeiture laws. They would curb the headline-grabbing excesses of law enforcement yahoos who try to seize someone's home for a parking ticket. At the same time, this legislation will EXPAND the application of asset forfeiture beyond drug crimes to all kinds of offenses, especially "white collar crime." I suppose that "white collar crime" means those offenses where the perpetrator has some assets worth taking.

FREE MARKET FORFEITURE?
Perhaps you find this information on asset forfeiture a little frightening, a little repubnant? But really, it's very Libertarian. I mean, why should we have to fund law enforcement through taxes? Why not make the criminals pay for the police, by seizing their ill-gotten gains? Used to its fullest potential, asset forfeiture could lead to totally "privatized" police departments, funded completely with money seized from "criminals." A great burden would be lifted from the taxpaying public.

So let's hear it for the private police departments of the 21st Century! Let's give a cheer for asset forfeiture!

If you think this is a load of crap, you're dead wrong. The filthy cops who murdered Donald Scott continue to work to this day, even though they were found to be negligent. In addition, Scott's home "mysteriously" burned down in the middle of the night and the property is now on the verge of being turned over to the US Park Department, which also had officers on the raid. The fucking PARK Department? In "USA Today" every Wednesday there is a list of hundreds and thousands of people who have had property similarly confiscated. According to the government's own reports, fully EIGHTY per cent of those people are never even charged with a crime, much less convicted. Yet their property is never returned. One of these days someone will get desperate enough to blow up a municipal building or kill some police officers who were on the raid. Oklahoma City should have been a great big warning to the repressive United States government. They will reap a bitter harvest if they continue on this path. I urge them to think hard about what they are doing, and I urge calm and for people to NOT use violence, which will only make things worse. Please, politicians, for the love of God and our country, turn things around! And you Citizens, please stop voting for those politicians who take away our freedoms!

29. Re: Anyone Know Bill Chee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <341d2c6b.9447250@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 187519

jerry.laffert@warpgate.com (Jerry Laffert) wrote:

> -=> Quoting John Mackey--ka0ssf to All <=-

> JM> You still have not given a reason why some people do not like Cheek.

> John, you are not "some people". You are ONE person,
> and that term is used very, very loosely here. You
> have waged a one-man campaign against Cheek and no
> one else has jumped on your bandwagon.

Not exactly correct. Check Deja News to see my own comments on Mr. Cheek. I won't go into them here, because that episode is closed, and I have no desire to start it up again. Suffice it to say that there are several valid reasons why any given person may not care for Mr. Cheeks's attitude. He has not displayed those attitudes here for quite some time, so there's no need to keep baiting him.

> Now drop it, you damn fool.

Why don't YOU drop it? If you don't want a tug-of-war, don't pick up your end of the rope.

> We're sick and tired of seeing your insane rambling.
> Do us a favor and make an appointment with a head
> doctor ASAP to remove that hair up your ass.

I think I can safely say that most of us can do without that sort of comment, also.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

30. ALL CONGRESS CROOKS WHO SIGN ONTO ANTI-SCANNING LEGISLATION MUST BE GIVEN THE BOOT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B4853.2B6F@flash.net>
Source record: 187520

Paul Gili wrote:
>
> fred@inti.net writes: > In article <19970824135201.JAA26208@ladder02.news.aol.com>,
> > hh357@aol.com (HH357) wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <19970823231701.TAA15017@ladder02.news.aol.com>,
> > > mnmaniac@aol.com (MN Maniac) writes:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >Doesn't matter what we do; the crooks in Congress are going to pass this
> > > > >legislation anyway. Reason: all the big-dollar industries lobbying them
> > > > >to do so have major $$$$
> .
> .
> .
> But the courts would probably draw
> > the line at the "right to listen" threshold. So if you built your own
> > receiving device or modified an existing one to listen to frequencies
> > where HR2369 intended to enjoin the manu- facture, sale, or importation
> > of commercially available devices capable of intercepting such
> > frequencies, the courts would probably deem that you were in your rights
> > to do so as long as any 3rd party rights covered under existing
> > legislation were respected.
>
> If this should happen, it could have a positive effect on the technical
> expertise of scanner hobbyists as they learn how to build their own
> equipment again. With so many forces contributing to the 'buy' rather
> than 'build' decision (surface mount, cheap offshore labor, embedded
> microcontrollers, etc.) we have become somewhat lethargic and un-
> imaginative. It is difficult to take a position that one wants to keep
> some priveleges on one hand but not be worthy of them on the other.
> I have confidence that in some way, true radio hobbyists will find legal
> ways to exercise their hobby, and this should be the undoing of the
> legislation: to show that it truly is a bunch of worthless hype that
> protects no-one from a non-existent supposed threat.

With that mindset, we had all better learn how to melt down old steel
items so we can make our own guns after the FEDs outlaw all
manufacturing, importation, or sales of existing eqpt.
--

31. FS O-scope

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Smitty <jschmidt@uslink.net>
Message-ID: <340B493F.46F0@uslink.net>
Source record: 187521

Like new 5 meg BK O-Scope with probe. $50
--
Smitty81750SECA72CB75071CL450 et al.
The noblest of all dogs is the hot dog:
it feeds the hand that bites it.
Robert W. Chambers

32. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340B4A94.640A@usa.net>
Source record: 187522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And your point is????????

Bill wrote:
>
> An old story.................

SNIP

33. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340B4B13.1D5F@usa.net>
Source record: 187523

MN Maniac wrote:

> Oh, PUHLEEEZE! Do we really have to bring all this crap up again? It's
> been over a freakin' YEAR ago, for crying out loud!
>
> LEAVE IT BURIED!!! TAKE THIS CRAP TO E-MAIL!!

tell that to Tim Shoppa!! he asked for it to be posted TWICE, see
his messages on this NG.

(he wanted to see messages where Bill Cheek was a problem)

34. TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B4F8C.645D@flash.net>
Source record: 187524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.boats.electronics

TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer

Thank You for all you have done to reduce taxes, and cut rules and regulations in Texas. However, your job is not done. We need much more of the same. Please do all you can to cut taxes more and defund the departments that do harm to our freedoms. The EPA must be defunded. Carol Browner seems to igonore the importance of freedom. I do not support the new EPA restrictions signed into law by Bill Clinton.

The primary reason I am writting you today is because of pending legislation that will destroy a hobby that many Texans participate in, and many elderly make use of to help them maintain a sense of security in their neighborhoods.

Scanners are used to monitor everything from police and fire departments to airliners flying coast to coast. Many elderly people who are shut-ins listen to the police and fire departments in their towns so that they can keep up with the crime in their neighborhoods and even on their streets.
Scanners do no harm. They are nothing more than a radio that tunes in a broad range of frequencies. Many families find a scanner to be more entertaining than watching the many channels of destructive garbage on TV.
HR 1964 and 2369 are bills that will do great damage to the scanner hobbiest and the industry at large.
It is for this reason that I cannot support this proposed legislation.
I ask you to carefully consider the impact that this pending legislation will have on many of your Texas constituents.
Do we want an America that is governed by lobbiests, or by the people?
PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT ANY NEW LEGISLATION, INCLUDING HR 1964 and HR 2369 THAT WILL BRING ABOUT FURTHER RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF SCANNERS.

35. Media Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <340ADEC4.592F@prodigy.net>
Source record: 187525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Broadcast Media Network Frequencies are now posted at
http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/home.html

36. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: shoppa@alph02.triumf.ca
Message-ID: <5uenit$dg5$1@nntp.ucs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 187526

In article <3408E5D6.639E@usa.net>,
John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>Tim Shoppa wrote:
>
>> Those "activities" probably included articles which someone forged
>> the headers on to make them look like they came from Bill. When
>> I challenged you several months ago on "rec.radio.scanner" to
>> produce these alleged articles, you said you would, but you never
>> produced. (Probably because the forgeries were blatantly obvious.)
>
>No, no, no, no, you can read them for yourself & confirn authenticity
>with Dejanews if you like. The messages were DEFINATELY from Bill
>Cheek. You get to see his REAL personality.

I searched for these messages you claim to exist on Dejanews and didn't
find them. All the postings by Bill Cheek that I found were very
technically accurate discussions related to (surprise!) scanning.

>If you didn't received the posts you asked for I wish you would
>simply have said something. Gimme a day or two to round them
>up again & I will post them.

Well, it's been a day and a half so far, and you still haven't found
'em. How much more time do you need?

Tim. (shoppa@triumf.ca)

37. GOVERNMENT:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340B513D.47C6@flash.net>
Source record: 187527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner

Do you need to contact your Congressman about the awful scanner bills

HR 1963 and HR 2369???

Here is where you need to go to contact them.

Contact them today!

http://consumer-info.org/linksfed.htm

38. Re: BC3000XLT Battery Problem???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam.jarrett.smith@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <340ad226.6302483@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 26 Aug 1997 16:54:49 GMT, mrlaytos@mindspring.com (Mark R. Laytos) wrote:

>I have a 3000XLT that I bought about 2 years ago. I have used it about
>50 times and am already having problems with it. I like my 200xl
>better because of what seems to be much better reception. I live about
>3 air miles from Newark Int'l Airport and can usually NOT hear the
>tower with the 3000 but the 200 gets it fine!?!
>
>Now the latest is when I put a full charge on the battery, 16 hours+,
>as soon as I turn the 3000 on the low battery warning kicks in in a
>matter of seconds. What funnier is that the charge lasts about 5-7
>hours which is normal. Is it a problem with the unit or battery or
>both? Any ways to fix this myself? It was given to me as a gift so I
>have no receipt and I don't even know where it was puchased.

It could be that the battery is bad. You will have to purchase another battery and try it out to see if this eliminates the problem.

5 hour spec is typical for the listening time, but it is too short IMO. I ended up picking up a couple of Nmh batteries and get 12 hours listening time from each battery.

Don't know why you can't hear the tower with the 3000. Could be de-sensing the radio. Try using the ATT feature and see if it will pick up the tower.

-
Jerry Smith...spam filter enabled
**Reply @ jarrett.smith@worldnet.att.net
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. (CARMA)
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

39. Re: Uniden Mfg. Dates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam.jarrett.smith@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <340adcb2.9003426@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Aug 1997 11:11:17 -0400, Steve Sell <sell@payload.com>
wrote:

>I have two scanners With the following Manufacturing dates stamped on the
>bottom. Can anyone decode these - or point me to a FAQ that will tell me how
>to decode them?
>
>Scanner #1: SC150B Mfg. Date: OEIG
>Scanner #2: BC230XLT Mfg. Date: OFIF

Pretty straight forward, Steve. Each of the letters correspond to
the month and year of manufacture.

the 'O' is disregarded. 'E' is the fith letter of the alphabet,
corresponding to the month of manufacture. 'I' is the ninth letter of
the alphabet and G is the seventh letter of the alphabet, both
correspoding to the year of manufacture. Scanner one is manufactured
5/97 & Scanner 2 was manufactured 6/96.

-
Jerry Smith...spam filter enabled
**Reply @ jarrett.smith@worldnet.att.net
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. (CARMA)
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

40. Realistic PRO-2021 manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gregg <helroy@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <340B2E07.F6D@pacbell.net>
Source record: 187530

Hello all;
I need an owner's manual for a Realistic PRO-2021 200 channel UHF-VHF scanner. Radio Shack tells me that they can't replace it. If anyone out there is willing to copy it for me, please email me.
Thanks
Gregg

41. Re: Here are CIA Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: gnb@phoenix.net
Message-ID: <gnb-0109971052440001@dial110.phoenix.net>
Source record: 187531

> The first thing this guy has to do is to be intelligent enough to spell
> properly.... because of this I don't think he can read worth a rat's
> gludimus maximus.
>
> Tom

Umm...Tom...not to be overly picky...but I think that's "gluteus maximus"

42. aor8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: --- <s10@willowtree.com>
Message-ID: <340B60E6.673D@willowtree.com>
Source record: 187532

I have a aor800 and a optolink how can I program this for this to pickup cell freqs????. Also I have an explorer is there a group for useing the explorer??? Thanks anything would be helpful

s10@willowtree.com

43. Re: 3 Charged in N.Y. In Pager Scheme

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dav ." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340AE826.7E14@flash.net>
Source record: 187533

Anonymous wrote:
> =

> 3 Charged in N.Y. In Pager Scheme
> News Service Allegedly Intercepted Messages
> =

> By Sharon Walsh
> Washington Post Staff Writer
> =

> Thursday, August 28, 1997; Page E01
> The Washington Post
> =

> NEW YORK, Aug. 27=97Three men have been charged with illegally intercep=
ting
> confidential pager messages to senior officials in the New York mayor's=

> office, the police and fire departments, the bomb squad and a district
> attorney's office, federal prosecutors announced today.
> =

> The messages were then sold to news organizations, according to a
> complaint filed in federal court by the U.S. Attorney's Office here. Th=
ey
> contained information that was considered too sensitive to broadcast on=

> police radio, including the locations of high-level state and federal
> officials, the whereabouts of crime witnesses, injuries to law
> enforcement personnel, and investigations and suspensions of police
> officers.
> =

> This is the first case to target those who unlawfully capture pager
> messages, said U.S. Attorney Mary Jo White, and adds to the growing
> concern that technology is outpacing individuals' ability to protect
> their privacy. The pager joins the computer and the cellular telephone =
as
> products that individuals -- and government agencies -- must worry abou=
t
> as disseminators of confidential information.
> =

> "Privacy is hard enough to come by in this information age," White said=
=2E
> "Law enforcement, the media and Corporate America should be aware . . .=

> if you are using a paging system, your communications may not be secure=
=2E
> . . . No governmental agency or business is immune from this illegal
> monitoring."
> =

> The three charged with conspiracy and intentionally intercepting privat=
e
> electronic communications owned or worked for Breaking News Network
> (BNN), a regional service based in Fort Lee, N.J., that provides
> newspapers, television stations and wire services from New York to
> Washington with information about fires, police events and other breaki=
ng
> news stories.
> =

> No recipients of the information have been charged, and they would be
> charged only if they knew the information was illegally intercepted,
> White said.
> =

> The men had not been arraigned as of late today. They could not be
> reached for comment. A man who answered the phone at BNN declined to
> identify himself and declined to comment.
> =

> Prosecutors would not name the service's clients. But spokesmen for the=

> Associated Press and the New York Post said their organizations are amo=
ng
> the thousands of BNN subscribers, as are some New York television
> stations. The New York Times said it does not subscribe. The Washington=

> Post is not a BNN subscriber. Some of the system's clients are news buf=
fs
> who follow police and fire events as a hobby, prosecutors said.
> =

> No police investigations have been compromised by the interceptions,
> according to Police Commissioner Howard Safir, who said that steps have=

> been taken to make sure that future police communications are secure.
> =

> "We tell consumers that if they have any information that could be
> valuable to others, don't use wireless at all," said Beth Givens, proje=
ct
> director of the Privacy Rights Clearinghouse, a nonprofit consumer grou=
p
> in San Diego, who said she was shocked that a police department would u=
se
> such unsecured lines of communication.
> =

> "What they're basically being charged with is wiretapping," said Marc
> Rotenberg, a professor at Georgetown University Law Center and director=

> of the nonprofit Electronic Privacy Information Center. "Wiretapping as=
a
> crime is not new. The new part is that it's pagers. That's significant.=
"
> =

> In May, the New York City Police Department received several anonymous
> letters alerting it to the illegal interceptions. Jeffrey R. Moss, one =
of
> those charged today, "is in possession of a pager interception device,"=

> according to an excerpt of one letter included in the complaint. "Such =
a
> device, when hooked up to a computer and a scanner is able to intercept=

> alphanumeric [both letters and numbers] page messages. This individuals=

> [sic] is a computer wizard and hacker and is in possession of the lates=
t
> type of interceptor," the letter said.
> =

> The letter also said that Moss was a dispatcher for BNN and he dispatch=
ed
> the information he obtained on its system. "He is intercepting the
> personal pages sent to Commissioner Safir, members of his command staff=
"
> and others, and bragging about it, the letter said.
> =

> Other than Safir, the complaint did not name any of the officials whose=

> messages were intercepted, and prosecutors would not say who they were.=

> The eavesdroppers targeted at least one district attorney, a city
> commissioner and a U.S. attorney, as well as someone in Mayor Rudolph W=
=2E
> Giuliani's office.
> =

> The ability to steal pager communications such as those used by the
> police requires special software that is supposed to be available only =
to
> police officials or by court order. The software is loaded into a
> computer, which is hooked up to a scanner. The computer can then retrie=
ve
> the message and the special code that identifies the sender. As a resul=
t,
> a so-called "cloned" pager can receive the messages.
> =

> All the equipment involved in the cloning can be purchased for $300 to
> $1,000, according to a U.S. Secret Service official.
> =

> U.S. Customs Service officials said they are trying to determine whethe=
r
> the software is made overseas or in this country. More arrests are
> expected, they said.
> =

> Named in a complaint that was unsealed today were Steven Gessman, 37, o=
f
> Cliffside Park, N.J., a BNN owner; Vinnie Martin, 41, of North Bergen,
> N.J., a BNN manager; and Moss, 25, of Manhattan and North Miami Beach,
> Fla., a former BNN dispatcher. If convicted, each could face up to five=

> years in prison and a $250,000 fine per charge.
> ----------=

Wire tap charges because they grab a signal out of the air????

Are we free anymore, or are we slaves to the government???

If it is that easy to grab signals for pagers, then they need to =

encrypt the data if they do not want anyone to know what is sent.

I just think we are moving towards a police state where your every

move is outlawed. Everything is illegal unless the government GIVES =

=

you permission! OBEY YOUR GOVERNMENT!
-- =

!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!

44. Re: Anyone Know Bill Chee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Burch <libertybell7@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <340B6255.94EEFABE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 187534

Jerry Laffert wrote:

> -=> Quoting John Mackey--ka0ssf to All <=-
>
> JM> You still have not given a reason why some people do not like Cheek.
>
> John, you are not "some people". You are ONE person,
> and that term is used very, very loosely here. You
> have waged a one-man campaign against Cheek and no
> one else has jumped on your bandwagon.
>
> Now drop it, you damn fool.
>
> We're sick and tired of seeing your insane rambling.
> Do us a favor and make an appointment with a head
> doctor ASAP to remove that hair up your ass.
>

John is exercising his right to free speech in its entirety and has every
right to do so. I happen to agree with him fully concerning Bill Cheek.
Keep talking it up John... Never shut up! 73, Mike k8MB

> +--------------------------+------------------------+
> | 9-1-1 Public Safety BBS | POLICENET 150:100/911 |
> |jerry.laffert@warpgate.com| SAFNET 144:8100/911 |
> | LEO's FIREFIGHTERS SAR | FIDONET 1:202/911 |
> | COMMS AMATEUR RADIO | SoCal (619) 669-0385 |
> +--------------------------+------------------------+
> ___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
> ---
> | WarpGate: Jerry Laffert 52:1000/211
> | Internet: jerry.laffert@warpgate.com
> | The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

45. Re: Radio Shack - Shaping up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net>
Message-ID: <5uerog$7q8$2@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 187535
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Joe Nicholson wrote:
>
> -=> Quoting Tgrdracer to All <=-
>
> > Went to Radio Shack in West Des Moines , Iowa to see if the '98
> > catalogs were in (they were) and asked how much they cost and the
> > salesman said they are $2.95 , but then asked my name,address,phone
> > to put in the computer to see if I was a previous/good ? customer.
> > Which I am, so the catalog was FREE!!!
>
> Did you also get a copy of their new TechAmerica catalog?
>
> Is the handwriting on the wall? Will Radio Shack stop
> stocking and selling components in the future?
>
> I'm trying to determine why else they would start this
> mail order business?
>

My guess is that they want to broaden their business. They want to move into the appliance business against Best Buys but lost their shirt with The Incredible Universe (Much too big). Now they will go with a smaller store, TECH America. If this takes off, look for Tandy to merge Radio Shack into TECH America. The Radio Shack name has too much baggage.

TECH America: 800-877-0072 or http://www.techam.com and the catalog is free (no minimum order).

46. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe <jc123@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <340B762D.5541@ibm.net>
Source record: 187536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

joe wrote:
>
> Radioactive wrote:
> >
> > I just purchased another for 350.00 I will keep yours in mind if i need
> > another. I have 6
> >
> > kevin wrote:
> >
> > > Barry Burke Jr. <*nospam*barry_b@snet.net> wrote in article
> > > <3402BD4C.F247ECBE@snet.net>...
> > > > sky444@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > On Sun, 24 Aug 1997 11:08:11 -0700, Ron M <monfeli@mail.telis.org>
> > >
> > > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >For Sale,
> > > > > >Pro-2006, near mint condition, has dimmer light which is in
> > perfect
> > > > > >working condition and brighter than the on-off light, manual,
> > box,
> > > > > >antenna. Has cell and speed mod done. Asking $350
> > > > > >Ron
> > > > >
> > > > > He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He
> > Ha
> > > > > Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee
> > heeeeeee
> > > > > Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Every time someone posts a 2004,5,6 for a price YOU consider high,
> > you
> > > > post these assinine responses. You know what's really funny? They
> > get
> > > > sold somehow! So I guess it's not so funny after all.
> > > >
> > > > Barry
> > > >
> > > I was gonna say the same thing. I recently sold one of my 2006's for
> > $300.
> > > I put an ad on the bulliten board at one of our local grocery stores
> > and

> > > sold it the next day. I should have asked for more. Har har har! joke
> > > was
> > > on me!
> A somewhat unrealistic price for a dinosaur.A good radio yes, but not
> for that price.
I guess I can sell my pro-2035 for $600.00 (fat chance)

47. WTB AOR AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrm1@netexpress.net
Message-ID: <mrm1-ya02408000R0109971156040001@news.netexpress.net>
Source record: 187537

Looking to purchase AOR AR8000 in mint/like new cond.Email details if you have what I am lookin for. Thanks.

48. FS: Pro 2020 --> $100 + UPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nichf <nichf@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <5uer6orih1@nw003.infi.net>
Source record: 187538

I have a nice Realistic Pro 2020 for sale. Excellent cosmetic condition (I would guess an easy 9 on 10 scale). Works great. No manual (but should be easy enough to find one). With telescoping antenna. I'll take $100 plus UPS shipping for the scanner.

Please e-mail if interested.

Don

49. SCANNER Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Short, B.K." <shortckt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <340B8332.2BF0@primenet.com>
Source record: 187539

```
SCANNER Mailing List

SCANNER is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to
the discussion of scanning and related topics.

* Broadcast Schedules
* Latest Scanner Reports
* Tech Talk
* Scanning Equipment
* Upcoming Events
* Buy, Sell
* Anything Else Scanner Related

To join the mailing list, send email as follows:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe scanner

To be removed from the mailing list, send email as
follows:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe scanner

To post to the mailing list:

TO: scanner@qth.net

This reflector is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: wa4pgm@moonstar.com

-----
```

50. Re: Police Codes Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Ann Hornor <gahornor@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <340B8347.7728@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187540

Thank you, Andrew and MJM. I think the author goofed but this was such a good book (The Bone Collector) that I'll forgive him.

I got my scanner originally to listen to the fire frequencies because we live in a high risk area for brush fires, but I've enjoyed listening to the tugboats working the Bay and to the police. This is a pretty small town, and every once in a while I hear a friend's name (mostly their kid's names).

51. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, JOHN MACKEY, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340B8FE2.6960@usa.net>
Source record: 187541

KC7DIU wrote:
> Are you dillholes still at it? Jeez, what a terrible waste of the Labor
> Day weekend. Meanwhile, I'm going to go put another stuffed pork chop on
> the grill and open ANOTHER ice cold beer.

YUP- I have been enjoying the weekend also, which is why I have been
slow to respond to some messages.

52. Re: PerCon, Grove or???? Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901172300.NAA06409@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187542

>Subject: PerCon, Grove or???? Database
>From: Jim Bo <jbollit@popd.ix.netcom.com>
>Date: Sun, 31 Aug 1997 23:14:40 -0700
>Message-id: <340A5D50.2A59@popd.ix.netcom.com>

>Of the subject databases, which one is the "most" accurate, has the
>easiest interface to use, and represents the "best" value for personal
>use (nto commercial)??

I have Percon's CD and I am less than satsified with it. It contains numerous errors, for one thing and a lot of freqs that I know of are omitted from the CD, for another.

I can't speak for the Grove database... but it seems expensive for what it provides.

I use ScannerMaster as my primary source. It's a pain to enter the info by hand, if you've got a computer-controlled set up, but it's the only way to be sure that your getting complete info. It also provides many PL tones, which is a big plus.

Try the Scanware database for starters: It's free and can be found at http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html.
Michael Alexander

53. Re: cell band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe <jc123@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <340B887F.5861@ibm.net>
Source record: 187543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mansonia wrote:
>
> I've read all this writing about the "cell band",
> where exactly is the "cell band" on the spectrum...
> in terms of MHz...
>
> much appreciated.
I believe its 823-849mhz for mobiles and 870-894mhz for cell sites.

54. Re: ***MOTOROLA SPIRIT PAGERS***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340B9195.5C@usa.net>
Source record: 187544

You can get them to 2 meters pretty easily.

A lot of people use paging on 2 meter repeaters.

They have to remain in the 140-174 MHz range, there is NO WAY
you could take them to 500 MHz. Motorola made a model of the
Spirit pager that was 406-512 MHz.

EugeneD wrote:
> I have found a pair of these radios (pagers). They are both set to
> 138.325 MHz which is a Air Force Base freq down in South Carolina. But
> here in PA, the freq isn't used. ANyway, can I somehow put the pager on a
> 2 meter repater? Can it go as high as 500 MHz? If anyone has any info or
> ideas, please e-mail me. Thanks in advance.

55. Re: GSM on AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <340aac68.7444502@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 187545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,nl.radio.amateur

Jan Ludekuize <Jan@janoke.demon.nl> wrote:

:In article <5tubfm$ede@grobbebol.xs4all.nl>, bengel@grobbebol.xs4all.nl
:writes
:>In nl.radio.amateur Jan Ludekuize <Jan@janoke.demon.nl> wrote:
:>> Probeer het nu eens in je moerstaal.
:>> We lullen hier gewoon Nederlands hoor!
:>
:>the reason he wrote in english is because of the headers in the posting :
:>
:>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,nl.radio.amateur
:>Followup-To: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,nl.radio.amateur
:>
:>e.g. your follow-up was in appropriate.
:
:Ik denk het niet. Dit is een Hollandse nieuwsgroep en ik denk dat we
:daar in onze eigen taal dienen te worden aangesproken.
:
:--
:Jan Ludekuize

Ik denk het niet. Dit is een Hollandse nieuwsgroep en ik denk dat we
daar in onze eigen taal dienen te worden aangesproken.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

56. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340AFEEE.6559@usa.net>
Source record: 187546

Eric, just a few days ago you posted a message that a friend had pointed out things to you about your behavior & you were going to make changes that you now felt were needed.

Now you are pointing the finger at others.

We have long memories, Eric.

There is no problem with my behavior, YOU are the pne that people are always after.

Eric Oyen wrote:
> Change MY behavior? Now thats a laigh. You should be the one to exercise
> that advice a lot more than others in here. C'mon Johnny, Your own
> postings are evidence of your excessively poor baehavior..

57. Border Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: scsando@wazoo.com
Message-ID: <340BA800.4B68@wazoo.com>
Source record: 187547

Howdy!

I live in Alamogordo New Mexico, and am interest in the local Border Patrol activities. I was wondering if anyone has any BP frequencies in New Mexico, West Texas and possibly Arizona. I have only been able to find a few on the web and would appreciate any help i can get!

Thanks
Shaun Sandoval
scsando@wazoo.com

58. Re: AR8000 & CAT232C (Interface questions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Grant <khgrant@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <340BB0F4.742A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187548

dick bell wrote:
>
> Kem,
> I have written a DOS program in C to interface my AR8000 to an HP200LX
> palmtop. I suggest that you first test your interface with a dumb terminal
> program such as Hyper-terminal (such as WIN95/accessories). Configure you
> terminal for 8-n-1, set the Baud rate to 2400 on the terminal and the
> AR8000. Enter LC(space) and the radio will return, when it gets a signal
> strong enough to break squelch, with something like: LC1E RF0155430000. The
> 1E is the signal strength in hex. The numbers following RF is the
> frequency.
>
> A complete lsit of the commands can be found on the Javaition site. Dave
> Woody (?) is my memory serves me right also had a list. Use your search
> engine and I am sure you'll find the info in a few minutes.
>
> Good Luck!
>
> dick
>
> RRB@dolby.com or RRB@ix.netcom.com

I have a 200LX and have been looking for a control program for the
AR8000. Tell me a little about your program. I might be interested.

Keith Grant

59. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cogent.net>
Message-ID: <340BB6D8.210B@cogent.net>
Source record: 187549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

joe wrote:
> I guess I can sell my pro-2035 for $600.00 (fat chance)

You can't compare a pro-2035 to a pro-2006. The 2006 is the best
scanner ever made.

Jim Wayda

60. Re: Is there a mobile mounting brkt for the 2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken_steinhoff@pbpost.com
Message-ID: <340b13fc.422793150@news.gate.net>
Source record: 187550

I bought several from Radio Shack when the 2006 first came out. They
were kinda klugy looking, but they worked great.

On Sun, 31 Aug 1997 23:53:41 GMT, _bills4_@flash.net (Bill) wrote:

>Has anyone ever made a mobil mounting bracket for the PRO-2006?
>Is/was it from RS or aftermarket?
>
>TIA,
>
>Bill

Ken Steinhoff
Telecommunications Manager
Palm Beach Newspapers, Inc.
ken_steinhoff@pbpost.com

61. Re: FS: AOR AR 1000 XLT scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: lynnsimons@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901005501.UAA21124@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187554

To all:

This scanner has been sold. Thanks to all those who called me about this.

73,

Lynn, KJ3V

62. re: AOR 1000 XLT scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: lynnsimons@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901005600.UAA14110@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187555

To all,

I have sold this scanner. Thanks to all those who called me about this.

73,

Lynn, KJ3V

63. unknown mod for my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob C." <bobbyc@cloud9.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb66f$d2f79fc0$8ecd64a8@default>
Source record: 187556

Can anyone help me determine what mod my scanner has, I got it at a tag sale really cheap. (PRO-2037)
After bringing it home, I plugged it in, and found it had no audio. Except the beeps when I enter freqs. or use any other function buttons on the unit.

I then opened it up and noticed the following:

On the back it has a 6 pin din (mini), and inside on the bottom it has a black box approx. 4x3x2 in size. The box is encased in a clear hard plastic goop (resin).
With wiring going to the inside.

When I enter a freq. I get the beeps from the scanner but no audio. I even put in the freq. for NOAA weather radio, and get no audio.

Can anyone tell me what this mod is and/or how to use it....

PLEASE HELP !

bobbyc@cloud9.net

64. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901013801.VAA24359@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187557

In article <3409E877.7241@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>How DAMM STUPID, Drew skipped over the meat of the message &
>could only find fault with spelling, punctuation, & crap like
>that.

Wrong. I also find fault with the fact that it is potentially libelous.
I am not now, nor have I ever received money from the state of Florida.
Not for unemployment compensation, nor for anything else.

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
KF4DDM since 9/95 on 2m & 10m
KADF-6895 since 9/76 on 11m
Active scanner and Internet freak as well
(no license required)

65. Re: For Sale: My Frequency Collection - US Federal & Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5ud7rr$2t9a$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 187558

In article <Pine.BSI.3.95.970831080919.2381A-100000@granite.sover.net>, Jim Lawrence <jiml@sover.net.no.spam> wrote:

>am determined to get this information into as many hands as possible
>before sharing it becomes illegal as it will when HR 2369 is enacted.

Actually, by President Reagan's Executive Order in 1984, those frequencies were classified already. Of course, they had already been published, so it didn't do much good. AFAIK, President Clinton has rescinded the Order by issuing a few de-classification orders of his own. Maybe somebody can confirm whether or not the IRAC database is still classified...

>first class mail 72 pages of single spaced information printed on 8.5 X 11

>$69.00 US along with your name and address to:

Wow! And people said Scanner Master NYC was expensive!

66. Re: Pro2026 Preset Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ECLIPSE <BLACKFOOT@BLACKFOOT.ORG>
Message-ID: <01bcb73e$c0fb95e0$3b5c13cf@CYRUS>
Source record: 187559

All scanners now adays do that. They cut off the cellular bans do to
it being illegal to even make a divice that the public can get a hold of
that will pick up
cellular. Hope that helps

AllanStern <allanstern@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970831185400.OAA18675@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> I just bought a new Pro2026 at the $79.97 closeout price.
> When using the POLICE Band Search mode, the
> unit scans 806.0125 - 820.9875 and then skips to
> 851.1125 - 865.9875. This omits the 866.xxxx and
> 867.xxxx freqs of my local trunk system. Yet the unit
> will do a normal search from 806.0125 all the way to
> the cell band cutoff at 868.9375.

67. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901013800.VAA17291@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187560

In article <3409E970.424B@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>yea, I travel frequently myself. I need an ISP that covers me in both
>Iowa & Oregon. I found one, no problem. Try Sprynet, MCI, Sprintnet,
>Prodigy, Concentric, etc.

You would take any service associated with PRODIGY over AOL?!?! Surely
you jest!

>Remember, AOL is NOT an ISP. (just like Compuserve & a few others)

Never said that it was. I said "online access" (see below)

>MN Maniac wrote:
>> Hey, John...did you ever consider that maybe...just maybe some of us lead
>> more exciting lives than you do? We tend to travel quite a bit and
>> therefore need online access that is "portable." AOL fits the bill rather
>> nicely.

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
KF4DDM since 9/95 on 2m & 10m
KADF-6895 since 9/76 on 11m
Active scanner and Internet freak as well
(no license required)

68. Re: Mobile use of hand-held laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rpd910@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901024401.WAA29609@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187561

>I was wondering which states have laws against the use of hand-held
>scanners in your car? I live in RI - any laws here against it? How
>about neighboring MA, CT?
>
>Thanks a lot!
>
>Joe Kralicky
>thinker@wsii.com

Joe,

If you are a convicted felon, then no scanne allowed. Other than that you
can have a scanner in RI while mobile.

RPD910

69. Re: ***Re: Don't use "***" header !!!!!***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: orion@xyzcapital.net
Message-ID: <340a35e1.22744186@news.capital.net>
Source record: 187562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 31 Aug 1997 14:02:46 -0400, Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>M@s@ wrote:
>>
>> Seems many people started to use *** ..... *** headers. Please
>> refrain. Now, I should change the style so that others can (or can't
>> I should say !!) see my articles and.....

>>>***Who died and made you internet boss?***

Geez, Look out! You'll get him missed off again.

--
Butch N2YMJ

To reply,
delete the xyz
from my address.

70. Re: pro 2026 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bcb687$ebc7a0a0$e54de0c7@holmes>
Source record: 187563

The ONLY way is to replace the CPU board with an earlier
one. Nobody REALLY listens there..... :-o
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

71. Re: Police Codes Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: themik111@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901031200.XAA01958@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187564

>The patrol officer radioed
>HQ stating that she had located a 10-29, meaning a dead body. Here in
>Northern California, 10-29 is the code to check warrants, etc. My
>question is, are the codes that much different in New York?

In NYPD, 10-29 stands for a crime in the past such as vandalsim, criminal mischief, threat to life, theft of services.

MJM

72. Re: Here are CIA Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901035500.XAA05383@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187565

Here's what you do. Push program, then limit, then type the lowest freq
your scanner can get out of the list on the back, then push enter. then
hit limit again type the highest freq it can get, and push enter. then
hit the button with the up or an arrow pointing up or somthing like that
and there you have it.
/\/\A/\/
http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm

73. Re: Lighting, Discone Ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901040901.AAA29221@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187566

Yeah those Radio Scrap salesmen are full of bull. I was considering purhasing a Discone antenna. Is it worth it?? And will placing it in the attic be any worse than if i had placed it on the roof? I figure this will be easyer that going through the trouble ofgrounding it. please send a copy of your repl to me by way of e-mail Muddskip@aol.com

Thanx
/\/\A/\/
http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm

74. FS: Pro-43 $150/o.b.o.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: uspoor@aloha.net
Message-ID: <873103982.8291@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Hello,

I have a Radio Shack Pro-43 scanner for sale. It comes with an AC adapter, 6 rechargeable AA Ni-Cad batteries and an extension speaker (with original box). There is also a manual for the scanner. I am asking $150, but am willing to negotiate.

Thanks,
uspoor@aloha.net

----------------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------------------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

75. Minneapolis Freq.'s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: benbrausen@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901050000.BAA03297@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187568

If you have any scanner freq.'s please send them to me at BenBrausen@AOL.com

Thanks.

76. Radio Shack Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: benbrausen@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901050400.BAA10723@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187569

I work at Radio Shack and the new scanners that are in the 1998 catalog will not be in stores for a little while. Sorry, if you have any other questions on them please send me a e-mail at BenBrausen@AOL.com

Thanks

77. Help with 800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stevan_Arychuk@bc.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <340a4beb.105651@news.bctel.ca>
Source record: 187570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello all,

I just got this old Uniden 800XLT, and it works great, but I
do have a couple questions.

#1 - There are 2 antenna jacks on the back, plus the one on top. Do
I need a special antenna to receive 800Mhz? I wasn't able to get
cellular until I make a crappy antenna out of wire. What antenna do I
need?

#2 I do receive cellular calls, but they are all in the 806.300 -
818.662 range, isn't this low for celullar? And aren't cell phones
30khz apart? The 800XLT steps in 12.5khz, so how does that affect it?

#3 I don't have a manual, and I can seem to permanentyl store in
frequencies, every time I unplugg it, they reset back to the original
ones when I got it. How can I fix this?

Thanks for yuor help in advance, this is a great scanner.

- Steve

78. BC Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabber@bctel.ca
Message-ID: <340a4f87.1029940@news.bctel.ca>
Source record: 187571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I forgot to add, anyone have anymore cool frequencies for British Columbia (Coquitlam)? I have most of the RCMP ones, but thats all I can seem to get except for a couple others.

Stevan

79. Scanning Sacramento & Placer Counties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Motroni <scaning@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb694$127e1880$a57ba2cd@mmotroni>
Source record: 187572
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

Need frequencies for public saftey scaning use.

visit: http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298/

Speacializes in Sacramento & Placer Area but includes more

80. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mark <masteve@wwisp.com>
Message-ID: <340A5781.DE0D634D@wwisp.com>
Source record: 187573

me first...
I own the rs-2006 scanner. I have had it 6 years. I have never been
tempted to sell it.
It would take over 300.00 to pry it from my cold dead fingers,,er,uh,
excuse me, that
was my gun,,,anyway, great scanner, great sensitivity, great features.
NO scanner has yet
come on the scent to take its place. I have occasion to be in the
company of the bc3000.
very good scaner, .like it a lot. like the base model, like the
sportcat.

they are all good scanners., but the GRE mfg rs 2006 is stlll the
standard of scanner performance....

Mark

Andy Moss wrote:

> ScanSkip wrote:
> >
> > >Subject: Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond
> > >From: Ron M <monfeli@mail.telis.org>
> > >Date: Tue, 26 Aug 1997 18:38:29 -0700
> > >Message-id: <34038515.7B42@mail.telis.o
> >
> > >I can't believe you compare the BC9000XLT to the Pro-2006, KING of
> > >Scanners because of the versatility, computer control and numerous
> > >modifications not to mention it blows any Uniden product to shame
> in
> > >Selectivity and Sensitivity, terms
> >
> > You're blowing smoke. You obviously don't know what you're talking
> about
> > so, you resort to this "opinion" rather than supporting your
> positions with
> > facts. Sometimes it is better to keep your mouth shut and let
> people think
> > you are a fool than to open you mouth and confirm it. >By the way
> some sap
> > as some of you may call him is excited to be
> > >recieving his new gem! SOLD.
> > >Ron Monfeli
> >
> > Well, he is a sap for buying a used scanner at an inflated price,

> mainly
> > because he wants to snoop on cell phone calls.
>
> Especially when the stock BC9000 does it right out of the box anyway.
> 8-)
>
> I must admit that the PRO2006 seems to be a good scanner too.
> However,
> most serious scanner types usually have another radio that can listen
> in
> on cellular anyway. Particularly those, like me, that can afford to
> buy
> the very best. Some folks really get a kick out of listening in on
> others' calls - but many, like me, simply couldn't be bothered.
> Besides, it takes time and effort to bastardize a 2006 to try and make
>
> it compete with the '9000. The end result will always fall shy of the
>
> '9000. The PRO2004,5,6 do have their place - that place is in the
> past
> where they belong! <ducking>
>
> --
> Andy Moss
> "Whose woods these are I think I know...." - Robert Frost

81. FS: regency actr106

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tim poustie <poustie@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb699$0532d440$3fd2adce@user>
Source record: 187574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

New in box,NOS, 10channel crystal scanner. Interested collectors only
please.Value unknown.Possible swap for cw key, or keyer?
Tim VE7TBP

82. FCC License Data Sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill@tiktok.cse.tek.com
Message-ID: <5udp6c$su6@tiktok.cse.tek.com>
Source record: 187575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

[last changed 29-Aug-97]

The latest version of this file can be accessed via my web page at:
http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc

FCC License Data Sources
Bill McFadden
billmc@agora.rdrop.com

Changes preceeded by "|". Please e-mail corrections and additions to me.

INTRODUCTION

This is a list of FCC license data sources available to scanner hobbyists. The FCC master contains a wealth of data about every non-government license in the United States (over three million records). Most of the sources listed contain a subset of this data, although a few contain all or nearly all of it. Government licenses are not administered by the FCC, and the data is not available to the public.

Also available are subscrition services from companies like ISI and CET. Since they charge by the minute, they are not really practical for the hobbyist and hence are not included in this list.

Prices are rounded to the nearest dollar and include shipping, if known.

C.E.T.

CET sells records of all stations inside a 30-mile radius for $80. (Major cities cost extra.) A 70-mile search costs $178. The data is provided on floppy disks and is available in ASCII columnar and comma-delimited formats.

CET does not provide data for licenses that do not contain specific geographic coordinates (i.e., mobile-only systems) or specific operating frequencies (e.g., amateur radio).

To do the search, CET requires the latitude and longitude of the center of the 30-mile radius. I calculated mine from a USGS topographic map I obtained at a local nautical supply store. Alternatively, you can obtain the coordinates for your town from the University of Michigan's geographic name server. Run "telnet 141.212.99.9 3000" and enter your zip code. For my area, the server returned the coordinates of the main post office.

CET can be reached at 800-445-0297.

| CSP TECHNOLOGIES
|
| CSP Technologies sells FCC data on CD-ROM. ScannerBase covers the entire
| US and comes with a database search program.
|
| ScannerBase costs $34. CSP Technologies can be reached at 888-857-4240
| (voice) or http://www.csp-tech.com/ (WWW).

FCC

The database is also available from the FCC for free. It covers most services but not all. Sections can be downloaded, processed with a supplied Visual BASIC program, and viewed with Microsoft Access. The entire database is approximately 3 GB in size. See:

http://www.fcc.gov/oet/info/database/raeddf.html

GROVE

Grove sells FCC data on CD-ROM and floppy. The CD-ROM covers the entire US and is available with or without mapping support. The mapping version brings up a map with the requested station plotted on it. The regular version is $104, and the mapping version is $174.

Floppies are available by state for $44 per state (add $10 for CA, FL, TX). The disk comes with a database search program. Grove Enterprises can be reached at 800-438-8155 (voice) or http://www.grove.net/ (WWW).

MICROFICHE

The Bearcat Radio Club sells the FCC master by state for $15. Bearcat Radio Club can be reached at P.O. Box 291918, Kettering OH, 45429.

PERCON

PerCon sells FCC data on CD-ROM. The Spectrum CD covers the entire US and comes with a simple database search program. Regional CDs cover subsets of the US but come with a more powerful database manager and provide more detail about each license. Also available is a Ham callsign and repeater database CD.

Spectrum costs $37, and the Ham and Repeater Database is $22. Regional CDs are $137 for the first CD, $102 each additional CD ($560 for the entire 6 CD set). Data is updated twice a year. PerCon can be reached at 716-386-6015 (voice), 716-386-6013 (fax), support@perconcorp.com (email), or http://www.perconcorp.com (WWW). The Spectrum database can be searched free of charge via Percon's WWW page.

POLICE CALL

This is probably the most cost-effective source of public service license information. The detail is limited, but the information that is there is often more useful than the FCC master. For example, an entry in Police Call that reads, "Portland Police, Net 3, North Precinct," reads, "Portland, City of" in the master. Police Call has been recently renamed

Beyond Police Call and now includes a limited selection of business listings.

Police call is available in 9 volumes covering different regions of the US. It can be purchased at Radio Shack stores for $13 per volume.

RADIOMAP SERVICE

Developed by long-time Usenet contributor Bob Parnass, this service provides a custom report of frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names for a specified area in any of the 50 states. Coverage areas of 4 to 256 square miles will be chosen by Bob depending on transmitter site density. Standard and deluxe reports are available. Both reports include a color plot with transmitter sites marked. The deluxe report adds a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area.

To create the report, Bob requires the latitude and longitude (or nearest street intersection) of the center location. Standard reports are $29.95, and deluxe reports are $34.95. Write to Bob Parnass at 2350 Douglas Rd, Oswego, IL, 60543, or call him at 630-554-3839 between 6-10 PM central time.

SCANWARE ASSOCIATES

ScanWare Associates has developed a PC-based database program called the FCC Frequency Retrieval System. FCC data for all states is available, covering all services and all frequencies. Current data is for first quarter, 1996.

Scanware has chosen to discontinue developing this software, but the good news is that the software and data are now FREE! It is being hosted by the West Virginia Educational Network. See:
http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html

APPENDIX

Here is table of data fields in the FCC master. Fields provided by various products are indicated by "#" or the field length, if known.

PERCON PERCON POLICE SCAN
DESCRIPTION SIZE CET GROVE SPECTRUM REGION CALL WARE
Frequency (or Lower Limit) 16 11 12 16 16 # 11
Upper Frequency Limit 16 16 11
Callsign 10 8 10 10 10 # 10
Service Code 2 2 2 2 2 # 2
Licensee DBA Name 40 32 30 40 # 40
Licensee State 2 2 2 2
Licensee Zip 9 5 9 9
Licensee Address 35 30 35
Licensee City 20 15 12 20 20
Licensee Name 200 40 100

Applicant Phone 10 10
Transmitter Latitude 6 6 6 6 6 6
Transmitter Longitude 7 7 7 7 7 7
Transmitter Elevation 5 5 5 5
Transmitter Height 5 5 5 5
Transmitter HAAT 5 5 5
Transmitter Effective Height 5 5 5
TX Antenna Structure Height 5 5 5
Transmitter Address 80 80
Transmitter City 20 10 20 20 # 20
Transmitter County 30 10 20 20 30 30
Transmitter State 2 2 2 2 2 # 2
Class of Station 4 4 4 4 # 4
Type of Message Service 1 1
Area of Operation 1 1
Radius of Operation 4 4 4
Antenna Type 10 10
Antenna Elevation Angle 6 6
Antenna Azimuth 6 6
Antenna Polarization 3 3 3
Antenna Gain 4 4
Antenna Path Length 6 6
Antenna Beam Width 8 8
ERP Out 8 4 8 8
ERP Units 2 1 2
ERP Indicator 1 1
Power Out 8 4 8 8
Power Out Units 2 1 2
Power Out Indicator 1 1
Emissions 15 15 15
License Issue Date 6 6
License Expiration Date 6 4 6
Operation Begin Time 4 4
Operation End Time 4 4
Number of Vehicle Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Portable Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Aircraft Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Marine Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Pager Mobiles 5 4 5 5 5
Originating Database ID 1 1
Authorization Type 1 1
CP Authorization Number 2 2
Area of Operation Descript. 100 100
Paint & Lighting Specs 33 33
Special Conditions 100 100
TX Latitude Direction 1 1
TX Longitude Direction 1 1
Type of Last Contact 1 1
Date of Last Contact 6 6
Number of Units 4 4

Reverse Azimuth 6
Path Connection Latitude 6
Path Connection Longitude 7

CP = Construction Permit, ERP = Effective Radiated Power,
HAAT = Height Above Average Terrain

--
Bill McFadden Tektronix, Inc. P.O. Box 500 MS 50-350 Beaverton, OR 97077
bill.mcfadden@tek.com http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc
CAUTION: Don't look into laser beam with remaining eye.
Any opinions expressed above are not necessarily those of Tektronix, Inc.

83. For Sale: BC8500XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4db1@ibm.net
Message-ID: <873129526.23597@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187576

Am looking to sell a Uniden/Bearcat BC8500XLT scanner. It was a demo unit and as such, is in like new condition. Have the original box, manual, etc. I am asking $240 OBO and that includes UPS shipping to the lower 48.
Thanks,

Daniel Baker/K4DB

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

84. Re: For Sale: My Frequency Collection - US Federal & Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901110401.HAA22689@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187577

>In article <Pine.BSI.3.95.970831080919.2381A-100000@granite.sover.net>, Jim
>Lawrence <jiml@sover.net.no.spam> wrote:

>>am determined to get this information into as many hands as possible

>>$69.00 US along with your name and address to:

No, what you are determined to do is con people out of their money. Your
"nobility act" is ludicrous and patently obvious.

85. My Frequency Collection - No Longer Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Lawrence <jiml@sover.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.95.970901092304.27527A-100000@granite.sover.net>
Source record: 187578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Due to a change in circumstances, the offer I posted yesterday is withdrawn effective immediately.

Thanks to all who e-mailed me and expressed an interest in this.

Jim

86. Re: For Sale: My Frequency Collection - US Federal & Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: post@dont.want.spam
Message-ID: <340bb660.63942627@news.mnsinc.com>
Source record: 187579

On Sun, 31 Aug 1997 08:44:54 -0400, Jim Lawrence
<jiml@sover.net.no.spam> wrote:

>Federal government and military aviation have long been passions of mine
>when it comes to monitoring as those of you who have read my writings in
>the hobbyist press know. Over the years I've been involved in the utility
>radio monitoring hobby, I've built quite a collection of information. I
>refuse to allow the information I've assembled to just die quietly and I
>am determined to get this information into as many hands as possible
>before sharing it becomes illegal as it will when HR 2369 is enacted.
>I've spent the past several weeks getting the information in a manageable
>form and I am now ready to make it available to you. It's not fancy,
>there's no pretty fonts or formatting, but if you've been looking for one
>last opportunity to acquire a general frequency guide, this might be it.
>
>WHAT YOU WILL RECEIVE: Nothing but frequencies and the user or users or
>purpose for its use. No filler. No long-winded rambling. Just
>frequencies sorted in ascending order and related information covering the
>federal government and military. Nearly 3,000 frequencies. Most of them
>gathered in the past four years from a wide variety of very public
>sources. Frequency range covered includes VHF-lo, VHF high and UHF up to
>420 Mhz. Because I was gathering information for my own use here in the
>northeastern US, much of the data has a northeastern flavor to it. The
>information does reflect my own eclectic tastes which tends to favor law
>enforcement and military air from around the US. You will receive via
>first class mail 72 pages of single spaced information printed on 8.5 X 11
>sheets of paper. No electronic version of this information will be
>available.
>
>HOW TO GET THIS INFORMATION: Send money order or cash in the amount of
>$69.00 US along with your name and address to:
>
>Jim Lawrence
>P.O. Box 314
>Montpelier, Vermont
>USPS postal code: 05601

Hi Jim. I am 15 and just saved a nice chunk of money for a scanner, I
live in VA on the East Coast and was just wondering.... Why are you
selling those codes rather than giving them out? You could easily post
a file to the newsgroup with them. Does everyone sell his or her
frequencies?

87. Re: Minneapolis Freq.'s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Walsh <emw-1@att.net.ANTISPAM!!>
Message-ID: <5uec9k$hao@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187580

Ben.

I have a web paage that lists police and fire frequencies for several departmetns throughout the Twin Citites. It is located at: http://home.att.net/~emw-1/mmp.html Please feel free to drop by.

*** Please remove .ANTISPAM!! from my e-mail address to reply ***

BenBrausen wrote:

> If you have any scanner freq.'s please send them to me at
> BenBrausen@AOL.com
>
> Thanks.

--
Ed Walsh
Home Page: http://home.att.net/~emw-1/
Minnesota Radio Monitoring Page: http://home.att.net/~emw-1/mmp.html

88. Re: Scanner Outdoor Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: beme@abcairmail.net
Message-ID: <798FF7DF7AEEA5E7.1D7815AF0CA9C571.06F2BF7C3F126CDE@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 187581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Vincent Charron <charv@videotron.ca> wrote:

>beme@airmail.net wrote:
>>
>> radioman@localnet.com (Raymond A. Miller) wrote:
>>
>> >I have a Radio Shack Discone (#20-013) that I have had for quite a
>> >while and want to install prior to the winter season to increase my
>> >scanning range. I have a couple questions that I need to resolve prior
>> >to the installation. Perhaps someone with expertise in this area will
>> >be able to assist.
>> >1. The best type of cable to run from the antenna to the inside of the
>> >house.
>> >2. I've heard somewhere that if there is one area that this type of
>> >antenna is less than adequate,it would be in the 46mhz area. Is this
>> >true and if so,is there a manner that one can retrofit the antenna to
>> >rectify that problem? (and if not, what would be a better antenna to
>> >go with for the best overall coverage in the range 30-900mhz?
>> >Thanks for any assistance..
>> >Regards,
>> >Ray Miller N2NJK radioman@localnet.com
>>
>> Ray
>>
>> Keep in mind, on all multi-band antenna's any time you increase
>> proformance on the low band or add a low band the upper band will
>> decrease in performance. and visa-versa.
>> The same is true for mono-band antenna's also.
>> keep an eye on the upper bands as you make any low band chainges
>> to the antenna.
>>
>> Robert-----KM5AM

>Robert:

>Would there be any way of calculating which part of the frequency
>spectrum would pay a penaly by adding the 46Mhz element to the RS
>Discone antenna?

>I was on the verge of modifying mine but i dont want to loose UHF
>frequency capabilities.

>'73

>Vince
>VE2IFR

Vince

I do not know the numbers off the top of my head, but the bands that fall with in the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th harmonic and so on of the 46 Mhz element will be effected.
The frequency curve of the antenna is 25 to 1300 Mhz the antenna has eight 11" upper and eight 33" lower elements that are all uniform in there planes this tells me that the antenna has a uniform frequincy curve the antenna has it's best responce at around 660 Mhz and then falls off slowly as you go to the ends of the curve.
The addition of an element to increase any one band along this curve will in efect move the ends of the curve in, moving the center frequncy responce In the direction of that band making the curve shorter, and deeper. This may be an exceptable trade off for some that listen to the middle third of the curve, But if you are listening to the lower and upper portion's of the curve you will see some chainge on the end's. You may also see more interferance from strong stations on the 46 Mhz band, on the band's that fall with in the harmonic's above. All I can say is to try it, if you do not like the curve that forms you can always remove it.

73

Robert--------KM5AM
to email remove the (abc)

89. Re: Need manual or code list for RS COMP-100 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: DNCW25A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <5uei98$16t6$1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 187582

I can furnish you with a copy.
Dave K4PBN Birmingham, AL.

90. Re: For Sale: My Frequency Collection - US Federal & Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Walsh <emw-1@att.net.ANTISPAM!!>
Message-ID: <5uejk3$qn8@mtinsc05.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187583

Jack. Jim just posted a follow-up message a few minutes ago stating
that the list was no longer available due to a change in curcumstances.
I have no doubt he caught a lot of flack for trying to do something that
a REAL radio enthusiist would offer for free! Hopefully Jim will wake
up and realize that it is best for all of us to be on the same side of
the street!

*** To reply directly to this message, please remove .ANTISPAM!! from
the e-mail address ***

Jack Burr wrote:

> Hi Jim. I am 15 and just saved a nice chunk of money for a scanner, I
> live in VA on the East Coast and was just wondering.... Why are you
> selling those codes rather than giving them out? You could easily post
>
> a file to the newsgroup with them. Does everyone sell his or her
> frequencies?

--
Ed Walsh
Home Page: http://home.att.net/~emw-1/
Minnesota Radio Monitoring Page: http://home.att.net/~emw-1/mmp.html

91. Re: unblocked pro39

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: phastings <peabody@onramp.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb6e2$882dd360$02c932ce@peabody.onramp.net>
Source record: 187584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I don't understand the logic, mackey. Don't buy from him because the price went down? Does this automatically mean the offer is bogus, the man is a crook, the deal is invalid? I've met kd5amz at several swap meets and hamcons. He has what he says. Maybe you were half joking, but considering your track record for trivial attacks and finger pointing, comments like this from you leave a sour taste in my mouth. And before you reply with something intellectually profound, like "you're an idiot," let me say that I'm not.

> > <j-mackey@com.mcn.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Don't buy from this posting. Check out kd5amz's 8/26/97 11:37 PM
> posting.
> > >In 38 min the price dropped $50, by morning maybe he'll pay someone to
> take
> > >it off his hands.
> > >Before I shut-up, let me say the Pro 39 is a great scanner and worth
> either
> > >price.
> > >

92. Re: BC9000 - Feedback Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5uemgl$3sv@mtinsc05.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187585
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ed Walsh <emw-1@att.net.ANTISPAM!> wrote:
>I am considering the purchase of a BC9000. I would greatly appreciate
>any feedback from those who are currently using this unit.
>
>Concerning the alpha display, can someone tell me how many characters
>the display will allow? Also, what type of antenna connector is on the
>back.
>
>Thanks very much!
>
>

I absolutely love my BC9000, and I would recommend getting the CTCSS
option. The display is large and easy to read from across the room.
It performs very well, you won't regret buying it.

The alpha display allows up to 16 characters. The antenna connector
on the rear panel is a standard BNC.

Happy scanning.

Jon

93. Re: Is there a mobile mounting brkt for the 2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5uem5m$3sv@mtinsc05.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bills4@flash.net (Bill) wrote:
>Has anyone ever made a mobil mounting bracket for the PRO-2006?
>Is/was it from RS or aftermarket?
>
>TIA,
>
>Bill

I remember seeing a very well made custom mobile bracket offered by Grove. You might contact them to see if there might be one laying around a back room somewhere.

Good luck,

Jon

94. Re: PerCon, Grove or???? Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billc@rust.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb6e2$cee1cd20$914445d1@mcsppro200>
Source record: 187587

Jim:

PerCon claims to be the original supplier of frequency CD-ROMs to the U.S. Government. They claim to obtain their information directly from the F.C.C. (that explains all the errors). Grove, I would assume, obtains their data from the same source, seeing that there really is only one source. So...go with the best price, and or features of the database program that accesses the data. I have a Winter-95 version from PerCon, and I've been very satisfied with it. Although next time around, I am considering buying the Grove edition, just to see what's different. Neither is that expensive for what you get.

Bill Crocker

Jim Bo <jbollit@popd.ix.netcom.com> wrote in article <340A5D50.2A59@popd.ix.netcom.com>...
> Of the subject databases, which one is the "most" accurate, has the
> easiest interface to use, and represents the "best" value for personal
> use (nto commercial)?? I am primarily looking for frequencies, license
> names, user names, xmit location, call sign, and county with the ability
> to perform got simple and "complex" searches.
>
> I am running Win95 on a 133 Mhz Pentium machine.
>
> Thanks in advance!
>
> Jim
>

95. Re: PRO-2006 LCD Backlight problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam.jarrett.smith@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <340ac983.4091704@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187588

On Mon, 25 Aug 1997 02:51:48 GMT, barryoXX@fastlink.com.au (Barry
O'Grady) wrote:

>Regarding the 2004, will always having the dimmer on extend the life of
>the back light?

That helps. It's best to clip the resistor out, though. This will
allow it to be off when you aren't using the back light.

Jerry

-
Jerry Smith...spam filter enabled
**Reply @ jarrett.smith@worldnet.att.net
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. (CARMA)
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

96. Re: Illinois State Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam.jarrett.smith@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <340acfdd.5717961@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187589

On 26 Aug 97 01:24:55 GMT, rchapman@starnetinc.com wrote:

>Looking for radio frequencies used by the Illinois State Police,
>specifically the expressway system in Chicago (I-90, I-94, I-57, I-290).
>Thanks for any assistance.
>RAC

I get so confused with these darned numbers, I can't tell which ones
are tollways and which aren't.

Dist. 15 covers the tollways.
F1...854.9875
F2...855.2375
F3...855.4875
F4...855.7375
F5 thru F8...855.9625

Dist. 3 may cover some of these areas as well. The downside is that
they are on a GE trunked radio system and is a pain to listen to. In
any case, here are the freqs...

North/Northwest
866.4625 / 866.8875 / 868.8875 / 868.3875 / 866.9625 / 868.4625 /
867.3875 / 868.8875 / 867.4625 / 868.9625

South
866.4125 / 866.4375 / 866.9375 / 867.4125 / 867.9125 / 867.9375 /
868.4125 / 868.4375 / 868.9125 / 868.9375

If I remember correctly, the North & South sections are now linked
together, so the seperation of freqs may have been unnecessary.

There may be little, if any, Lo band activity left for Dist. 3 & 4.

-
Jerry Smith...spam filter enabled
**Reply @ jarrett.smith@worldnet.att.net
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. (CARMA)
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

97. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ben W." <benwats@why.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb6ef$09acf4a0$d0733cd1@benwats.why.net>
Source record: 187590
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

> Not really. The goverment is a criminal organization. They are the muscle
> of the government. Criminals...

What kind of a statement is that? I sure hope you're not LIVING in the
United States because you would find alot more people who fit your beliefs
in Iran.

If you think that poorly of our government, then get the hell out.
--

http://users.why.net/benwats/
http://www.uta.edu/student_orgs/DELTASIG/
http://www.signsmfg.com/
http://www.stretchwrapper.com/

98. Re: 3 Charged in NY Pager Scheme

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dav ." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340AE95E.3B88@flash.net>
Source record: 187591
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.lawyer,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>
> >If their lawyer are smart, they will fight this instead of plea bargaining. This
> >may be the first case that we have had that can be used to determine if it is
> >wiretapping to listen to that material which is broadcast. Now, encrypted
> >material is different as it there were measures taken to insure privacy. That
> >would be more like wiretapping and law enforcement does need a court order to
> >break encryption.
>
> >Digital modulation is not encryption rather a different way to encode messages
> >onto the airwaves. Even multiplexing is not encryption. If it is a means
> >available to all, then it is not encryption.
>
> >Of course, this is my opinion. Even an attorney is only going to give an
> >opinion, based on his judgement, education and experience. On a judge can give
> >a ruling and then it must be upheld by higher courts. Then you start to have
> >law (just because the law is passed, does not mean that courts will honor it).
>
> I canot believe how many "radio" people here just don't get it.
>
> You all know, since the Communications act of 1934, that you cannot
> repeat what you hear, right? Especially making a profit at
> it.......ECPA has nothing to do with it.
>
> Thanks to boobs like this, we'll be lucky to hear ANYTHING on a
> scanner legally in the near future....
> dconti@carroll.com
> America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
> Ridgefield, New Jersey
> fax (201) 943-2379

Yes, but its not the boobs fault other than the fact that they were
reselling the data. What will be problem here is that government HATES
for the people to have the means to spy on it! Now, govenment loves to
spy on the people, but when THE PEOPLE begin to pay close attention to
what government is up to, well that is a different story!
These guys should be fined for selling the data, not for grabing it
out of the air around them! But this is a fine oppertunity for
government to shut down scanning.
IN THE NEAR FUTURE, YOU WILL BE A FELON IF YOU OWN A SCANNER!
--
!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!

99. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901110801.HAA15704@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187592

>Subject: Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: Sun, 31 Aug 1997 23:59:15 -0700
>Message-id: <340A67C3.4224@usa.net>

Your definition of an ISP is sheer fabrication.

100. Re: BC3000XLT Battery Problem???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <340aab1b.7112098@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 187593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mrlaytos@mindspring.com (Mark R. Laytos) wrote:

:I never seem to have any luck with ANY Uniden products, i.e. cordless
:phones, scanners etc.....
:
:I have a 3000XLT that I bought about 2 years ago. I have used it about
:50 times and am already having problems with it. I like my 200xl
:better because of what seems to be much better reception. I live about
:3 air miles from Newark Int'l Airport and can usually NOT hear the
:tower with the 3000 but the 200 gets it fine!?!
:
:Now the latest is when I put a full charge on the battery, 16 hours+,
:as soon as I turn the 3000 on the low battery warning kicks in in a
:matter of seconds. What funnier is that the charge lasts about 5-7
:hours which is normal. Is it a problem with the unit or battery or
:both? Any ways to fix this myself? It was given to me as a gift so I
:have no receipt and I don't even know where it was puchased.
:
:Any help would be greatly appriciated!

I have precisly the same problem with my one and a half year old
UBC3000XLT. At first it was very intermittant but then it became
full time like yours. I measured the battery voltage and it was
down to 4.8 volts after a charge. I assumed it was a faulty cell
so I took it to a Radio Shack dealer to have the faulty cell replaced.
They said that all the cells were the same and they gave it a cycle
and pronounced it OK.

I suggest you have the battery pack tested.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

101. Re: Frecuencias en España

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <340aaca0.7500864@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 187594

pegasus@NO.SPAMS.nym.alias.net (Winged Horse) wrote:

:Juancho <fotografo@redestb.es> wrote:
:
:> Desear&#65533;a que alg&#65533;n Espa&#65533;ol y en particular de Madrid me mandase un mail
:> para intercambiar frecuencias , pues aunque parezca mentira no existe un
:> grupo de scaner todav&#65533;a en espa&#65533;ol
:>
:> fotografo@redestb.es
:
:Votaste en la propuesta del grupo "es.rec.radio.scanners"? sali&#65533;
:negativa (no hubo los suficientes votos a favor) y no se podr&#65533; proponer
:de nuevo hasta dentro de 5 meses (hace 1 que fue la votaci&#65533;n). Para
:estar al d&#65533;a sigue el grupo "es.news" por si repiten la propuesta y
:quieres votar...
:
:Como no soy de Madrid... :-((
:
:Saludos
:
:WH

=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Esp
=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Esp
=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Esp
=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Esp
=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Esp
=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Espa=F1a?==?ISO-8859-1?Q?Esp
Desear&#65533;a que alg&#65533;n Espa&#65533;ol y en particular de Madrid me mandase un mail
para intercambiar frecuencias , pues aunque parezca mentira no existe un
grupo de scaner todav&#65533;a en espa&#65533;ol
Votaste en la propuesta del grupo "es.rec.radio.scanners"? sali&#65533;
negativa (no hubo los suficientes votos a favor) y no se podr&#65533; proponer
de nuevo hasta dentro de 5 meses (hace 1 que fue la votaci&#65533;n). Para
estar al d&#65533;a sigue el grupo "es.news" por si repiten la propuesta y
quieres votar...
Como no soy de Madrid... :-((

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

102. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340B0033.291C@usa.net>
Source record: 187595

NO eric, that is your style.

When you started the flame war in rec.radio.cb the ONLY way I know about it was because I did a search on dejanews for my name & found you running me down on that NG. You were unprovoked & started something simply because you like to do that.

Please Eric, be honest.

Eric Oyen wrote:
> I did? Funny, I was under the impression that you fired the first shot
> (wuth another assenine statement, as usual). Of course, thats always
> been your pattern. Start a flame war, back off a few month, that accuse
> others of the very thing you did.

103. PRO 90-Caution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: pntx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970901181600.OAA17574@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187596

Just picked up new RS catalog. The way I read it the Pro 90 does not include the extra battery, that comes with the Uniden version.

104. Re: Mobile use of hand-held laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5uebnn$21t0$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 187597

In article <340A21DE.31CC@wsii.com>, thinker@wsii.com wrote:
>Hi,
>
>I was wondering which states have laws against the use of hand-held
>scanners in your car? I live in RI - any laws here against it? How
>about neighboring MA, CT?

New York - the Court of Appeals ruled in 1974 that a scanner does not have to be operational or connected to the car in any way to be illegal. See the NF2G Scannist Pages for details - http://www.ggw.org/nf2g/laws_ny.shtml#vtl397

Also, the City of Rochester has an ordinance that appears to ban ANY portable scanner anywhere in the City if it receives police OR FIRE frequencies (and they all do, of course). Same web page, after the State law section.

73 de Dave, NF2G

105. Items to sell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <340B0E68.436B@wwd.net>
Source record: 187598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have the following items to sell

Icom cm-35 rapid charger, good condition, shipped for $65 to conus.

Radio Shack HTX-100 10 meter radio, covers 26 - 30 mhz, USb and CW, 35 watts with mic and manual, good condition, shipped for $125.

Galaxy DX55V like new in the box, 240 channels, AM-FM, freq counter (one segment missing in display), mic, box, manual and all hardware. SHipped for $150.

Cherokee AH-27 Handheld CB - 240 channels, like new in box, shipped for $90.

Uniden 2510, 25 watts, 26 - 30 mhz, mic, manual and mount bracket. WOrks great and looks fair too good. SHipped for $250.

All prices include shipping in the U.S. only. I will accept bank checks or money orders, for COD add $15 to each price.
--
73
Nick Marsh
WB4SQI

****
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, 227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
****

106. Re: AR8000 & CAT232C (Interface questions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dick bell <RRB@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <5uf6a5$cgg@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187599

Kem,
I have written a DOS program in C to interface my AR8000 to an HP200LX palmtop. I suggest that you first test your interface with a dumb terminal program such as Hyper-terminal (such as WIN95/accessories). Configure you terminal for 8-n-1, set the Baud rate to 2400 on the terminal and the AR8000. Enter LC(space) and the radio will return, when it gets a signal strong enough to break squelch, with something like: LC1E RF0155430000. The 1E is the signal strength in hex. The numbers following RF is the frequency.

A complete lsit of the commands can be found on the Javaition site. Dave Woody (?) is my memory serves me right also had a list. Use your search engine and I am sure you'll find the info in a few minutes.

Good Luck!

dick

RRB@dolby.com or RRB@ix.netcom.com

107. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340B227E.291D@usa.net>
Source record: 187600

Tim Shoppa wrote:

> I searched for these messages you claim to exist on Dejanews and didn't
> find them. All the postings by Bill Cheek that I found were very
> technically accurate discussions related to (surprise!) scanning.

Then you didn't use the subject "Bill Cheek's is an asshole", as that
thread lasted 2 months & 3 weeks. It started in late July of 1996.

> >If you didn't received the posts you asked for I wish you would
> >simply have said something. Gimme a day or two to round them
> >up again & I will post them.
>
> Well, it's been a day and a half so far, and you still haven't found
> 'em. How much more time do you need?

I've been VERY busy this labor day weekend. But here I rounded up a
couple
for you, & I will find more soon.

Subject: Re: What's the big deal?
Date: Tue, 23 Jul 96 00:27:43 GMT
From: dstark@frontiernet.net (D Stark)
Organization: NF2G Enterprises
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
References: <4s5hj7$nkq@liberator.concentric.net>
<tcpnntpd.16.7.12.19.47.56.2443217854.3061298@hcol.humberc.on.ca>
<31ee5e49.144184654@192.188.72.19> <Dut05L.

In article <31f337e7.42565357@192.188.72.19>, bcheek@cts.com (Bill
Cheek) wrote:

>But you are scared that if you give a party, no one will attend. So
>instead of DOING, you just run off at the mouth. Is that what your
>Daddy taught you to do? I'm going to ask him pretty soon.......

You, too, Bill. Knock it off. Those of us who still
have some respect for your technical talent are getting
quite impatient with this garbage.

Subject: Re: Bill Cheeks is an asshole
Date: Tue, 23 Jul 1996 06:54:41 GMT
From: bcheek@cts.com (Bill Cheek)
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
References: <31f1f341.40039741@192.188.72.19>
<tcpnntpd.16.7.21.19.12.30.2443217854.3118818@hcol.humberc.on.ca>

<hduff@hcol.humberc.on.ca> wrote:

>Funny how the ranks of Bill Cheek "offendees" are showing up.
>One only need conclude that YOU, Bill Cheek are the common thread to
>these flames.

Well, I did kick both your asses off the SCANRADIO forum several
months ago. But that has nothing to do with this newsgroup.

Still, you AND snotball Mackey are more of a common denominator than
me. You BOTH have sore asses.

Ha ha ha ha ha ha ha

-= Your Maker and Master =-

Subject: Re: Scanner-Computer Interface
Date: Sun, 21 Jul 1996 00:58:35 GMT
From: bcheek@cts.com (Bill Cheek)
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
References: <31f02bfb.68465144@192.188.72.19>
<michael-2007960620440001@news.terra.net>

michael@alexander.terranet.com (Michael Alexander) wrote:

>No one else posts their advertisements to this newsgroup.

What newsgroup? This one? ha ha ha ha ha ha. They don't? Really?
You gotta be shitting me? C'mon, pal, you gotta get up a lot earlier
than this to pull an easy one over on me.

But, THANK YOU for quoting my -= entire =- PRESS RELEASE! You are a
gentleman and a scholar of absolutely the highest repute. Anyone who
says bad things about you,just send 'em my way and I'll straighten
their asses out righteously smart-like. Tuit suite, too!

> Why do you feel that you are exluded from this simple
> courtesy and show of respect to the people who participate
> in this forum?

Oops. I take back the good I thought about you.

First of all, my fine feathered, carrot-nosed busybody scatologist,
others DO post various and sundry ads here. You type with forked
fingers, lad! Do you eat with those fingers, too? Gawd..... :-(

Secondly, it was an informational press release with less than a 0.1%
focus on advertising. Most of it was technical and directed to the
sorts of questions that are often asked about the CE-232 Scanner/
Computer Interface.

Thirdly, someone ASKED about computer interfaces and no one else
mentioned the CE-232. So I did. You don't mind, do you? <grin>

Soooooo, are YOU the Head Cheese around here to whom all respect and courtesy must be first paid before entering the sacred chamber?

Are YOU the HMFIC who gives and denies permission to fart and breathe?

Are you a "wheel"?

(Psssst, you know what little dogs do to wheels, don't you?)

I'm sure there is a grave misunderstanding on my part about WHO the hell you think you are versus WHAT I think you are, so let me defer to your forthcoming dissertation on Your Excellency's position in the great scheme of things around here.

In closing, I really SHOULD more respectful and gracious of your magnificent personage. I should be thankful that you saw fit to QUOTE my ENTIRE PRESS RELEASE, thereby giving it even greater exposure and underscoring your failure to practice what you preach.

Maybe you're not so bad after all.

-= Your humble servant =-

108. Web Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dsimp@tbsa.com.au
Message-ID: <340b7c72.5597954@news.tbsa.com.au>
Source record: 187312

Visit the Amateur Radio Web Page of VK3NDS, this site caters for all of your Amateur Radio and Monitoring needs.

http://www.tbsa.com.au/~dsimp/

109. Re: GSM on AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Ludekuize <Jan@janoke.demon.nl>
Message-ID: <6SG6vAAQT0C0EweP@janoke.demon.nl>
Source record: 187313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,nl.radio.amateur

In article <340aac68.7444502@news.fastlink.com.au>, Barry O'Grady
<barryoXX@fastlink.com.au> writes
>Ik denk het niet. Dit is een Hollandse nieuwsgroep en ik denk dat we
>daar in onze eigen taal dienen te worden aangesproken.
>
>Barry

UH??

110. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, JOHN MACKEY, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc7diu@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902002100.UAA15794@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187314

Are you dillholes still at it? Jeez, what a terrible waste of the Labor Day weekend. Meanwhile, I'm going to go put another stuffed pork chop on the grill and open ANOTHER ice cold beer.
Rec.radio.scanner members, over to you!

111. Opinions on the Opto Scout?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jkirkland@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <340b603c.84821743@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 187315
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am thinking about buying a Scout and would like to know if anyone has any comments about the use of the Scout. Are there any simular products that I consider? Anyone used the counters that look like pagers? Which is better?

Any info or opinions are welcome.

Thanks,
J. Kirkland

112. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim233@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902021601.WAA25749@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187316

Ya, Ya, Ya...If you want to get technical -- A Hearing Aid is a electronic device and it you wear one and over hear conversation not itended for your reception you could be charged for eavesdropping...

The bottom line is the government OKAYS this equipment to be sold to the public, then in turn will arrest you if you monitor the frequencies they just OKAY'd..

Buy a scanner, ham radio and etc., etc, etc. but don't monitor what is on it. YA OKAY!

113. Re: FS: PRO 64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EFuxA3.BM9@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Greg Renner <grenne19@mail.idt.net> wrote:

>PRO 64 Scanner for sale, this is one of the new scanners from Radio
>Shack and can be programmed by computer.

>$200 includes all original stuff plus nicad pack and adapter/charger
>Price includes shipping in the U.S. 48

>Greg Renner

It's that good...eh Greg?
dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

114. LOOKING FOR EMT/FIREFIGHTING NEWSGROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jng2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902023900.WAA20693@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187318

Hi. I am looking for an EMT/Firefighting newsgroup. If anybody know of one (or simmiar) could you please send me the name. Thanks.

115. Re: Phoenix Radio Idiot's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kut7bh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902030801.XAA23115@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187319

```
>Subject: Phoenix Radio Idiot's
>From: FRG9600@aol.com
>Date: Thu, 28 Aug 1997 11:01:46 -0600
>Message-id: <872783583.24198@dejanews.com>
>
>http://members.aol.com/FRNKBURNS/ARIZONA.html

What, no javascript bomb at this site? Franky, you should be ashamed of
yourself!
```

116. cell band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mansonia <mansonia@gte.net>
Message-ID: <5ug0fdcdo1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 187320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've read all this writing about the "cell band",
where exactly is the "cell band" on the spectrum...
in terms of MHz...

much appreciated.

117. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902051801.BAA02851@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187321

>Subject: Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: Mon, 01 Sep 1997 13:04:43

You've cobbled a definition of what you think an ISP is and have decided that AOL doesn't fit that definition. It is utterly immaterial whether you can use a newsreader (NNTP) or e-mail program (POP3). The fact is that you can access news servers, send e-mail, surf the WWW and everything else you say this (AOL) ISP cannot do. How it's done is irrelevant.

What Drew thinks isn't worth thinking about. He is in fact, worthless.

118. Re: The Feds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BA198.7EB@flash.net>
Source record: 187322

-Cliff Pearson wrote:
>
> Dallas had an armed bank robbery this week. Leopoldo Ramon and his
> sisters Janet and Perla robbed a Bank One by hopping over the teller's
> desk and firing shots from an AK-47. When they fled they fired shots at
> police ground units, police choppers, news media choppers (including
> ours) and anyone else that got too close to where they barricaded
> themselves.
>
> Hours after the event Dallas Police tactical (SWAT) officers and the
> FBI arrested 20 year old Janet Ramon and 18 year old Perla Ramon.
> Their brother Leopoldo Ramon remains at large.
>
> Police recovered the AK-47, some of the stolen cash, the shot up
> get-a-way car and a bloddy shirt believed to have been Leopoldo's.
>
> Police have spoken with the Ramons' mother who provided them with a
> photo of Leopoldo and told them the kids had never been in trouble
> before. This is true according to police.
>
> When police and FBI were searching the area, they missed an opportunity
> to nab Leopoldo Ramon. The suspect was caught face to face in a man's
> attic, but he got away. Since then, he has been spotted two more times
> but each time managed to get away.
>
> 19 year old Leopoldo Ramon remains at large.
>
> While I monitored the whole affair, I learned some things about the
> Feds.
>
> 418.9750 MHz was used in the clear for FBI command post operations.
> The FBI used designators of 902, 904, 908, 909, 910,
> 912, 914 and 918.
>
> 167.4375 MHz was almost all scrambled, but I did hear a handful of
> comms in the clear. I also heard Dallas SWAT unit #1187
> come up on this frequency. Until that moment, I did not
> know that Dallas SWAT officers can access FBI freqs or
> vice versa.
>
> 165.7875 MHz never unscrambled.
>
> 460.4750 MHz Dallas Police Channel 11 (tactical and investigations)
>

> Just thought I'd pass along the info.
>
> Cliff Pearson
> KTVT Channel 11 News (CBS)
> Dallas, Texas

Thanks Cliff! I love KTVT! I was brought up in Ft Worth were KTVT was the most fun station to watch. I dont know if you continue along those lines now, but thanks for all the info on the FBI. Im sure they monitor this Newsgroup, and I am sure they do not like what you have said here. Watch for Janet Reno driving an M1 Abrams. She will trench your nice St. Augustine grass in the near future!

119. REQ: Freqs for Blacksburg/Virginia Tech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex Bischoff <abischof@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <340BA4B6.5704FB78@vt.edu>
Source record: 187323

I'd like to request the frequecies for the Blacksburg/Virginia
Tech/Roanoke area. And, yes, I've searched the 'net already; unfortunately,
I can't find anything.

Thanks,
Alex
- -
mailto:abischof@vt.edu http://www.vt.edu:10021/A/abischof/

| export-a-crypto-system-sig RSA-3-lines-PERL |
| #!/bin/perl -sp0777i<X+d*lMLa^*lN%0]dsXx++lMlN/dsM0<j]dsj |
| $/=unpack('H*',$_);$_=`echo 16dio\U$k"SK$/SM$n\EsN0p[lN*1 |
| lK[d2%Sa2/d0$^Ixp"|dc`;s/\W//g;$_=pack('H*',/((..)*)$/) |

Help Crack RC5 Now! ----> http://rc5.distributed.net/

120. REQ: Freqs for Blacksburg/Virginia Tech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex Bischoff <abischof@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <340BA47B.BFBBBDF2@vt.edu>
Source record: 187324

I'd like to request the frequecies for the Blacksburg/Virginia
Tech/Roanoke area. And, yes, I've searched the 'net already; unfortunately,
I can't find anything.

Thanks,
Alex
- -
mailto:abischof@vt.edu http://www.vt.edu:10021/A/abischof/

| export-a-crypto-system-sig RSA-3-lines-PERL |
| #!/bin/perl -sp0777i<X+d*lMLa^*lN%0]dsXx++lMlN/dsM0<j]dsj |
| $/=unpack('H*',$_);$_=`echo 16dio\U$k"SK$/SM$n\EsN0p[lN*1 |
| lK[d2%Sa2/d0$^Ixp"|dc`;s/\W//g;$_=pack('H*',/((..)*)$/) |

Help Crack RC5 Now! ----> http://rc5.distributed.net/

121. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BA62C.787@flash.net>
Source record: 187325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

E. Faubion wrote:
>
> dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>
> >I can't wait to hear their defense on this one.......
>
> Next time you see a mailman walking across your property on his rounds
> ask him if he will allow you to read your neighbors' mail.
>
> ef

What a dippy answer. You must work for the gov.

122. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BA8C8.7B8A@flash.net>
Source record: 187326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>
> >Legally?
> >Only the government can read people's mail.
> >Or pass laws that exempt themselves from the law.
>
> Exactly...
>
> The sad part of this is that I doubt the folks at BNN will get the
> same slap on the wrist for allegedly violating the ECPA that the
> Martins got for divulging what they heard.
>
> It is certainly a nation frought with hypocisy, not what our founding
> fathers envisioned at all...
> dconti@carroll.com
> America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
> Ridgefield, New Jersey
> fax (201) 943-2379

Yes indeed. When AL Gore and other Environmental Wackos
outlaw/greatly restrict the use of cars, do you think they will be
walking?
In the USSR, the people lined up for food and were given meat once a
month, but did the leaders suffer for lack of food?
In the USA, the FBI wants to wiretap 1% of all phones for no other
reason than "national security". Their computers will listen in for key
words for a few days, then move on to another number. In time, each and
every phone line will have been tapped, yet the FEDs are phreaked over
the use of scanners and they seem to be doing all they can to outlaw
them.
I greatly support decent laws which do not trample our Constitution,
but it is a shame and an awful thing to see officials doing all they can
to further restrict our liberties and freedoms.
Good and honorable men and women who work in law enforcement do not
support legislation/laws that harm freedom.

123. Re: FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BAC56.927@flash.net>
Source record: 187327

Roll-o wrote:

> >!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!
> the only way to secure the border is to eleminate the entire u s a.
> Without hispanic labor we would starve...and miss out on great
> Mexican.(.not spanish) Food.
--
!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!

Hardly! We will tragically alter the future of the USA if we continue to allow illegal aliens into the USA. The culture of Texas is fast changing to that of a third world latino nation. California has already been moving in that direction for sometime now in its southern areas. The USA has saved many people from death by starvation, and disease many many times. But, now it is time to place the future of America and the desires of the people of the USA at the forefront of our legislative efforts. Simply, the families and people of the USA must come first!!

DOWN WITH ILLEGAL ALIENS AND THE DEMOCRATS WHO WANT THEM HERE!

124. Re: Ridiculous Position

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BA5D3.CD9@flash.net>
Source record: 187328

Its time to bring the law back in line with previous rulings.

The Spectrum is yours to monitor. You can be fined for reveling what you hear, but noone will stop you from listening.

It is the responsibility of the person sending data/voice over the spectrum to encode it if he does not want others to know what the transmission contains.

Why is the government so afraid of the people?

125. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BA6CF.724A@flash.net>
Source record: 187329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> efaubion@oklahoma.net (E. Faubion) wrote:
>
> >dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>
> >>I can't wait to hear their defense on this one.......
>
> >Next time you see a mailman walking across your property on his rounds
> >ask him if he will allow you to read your neighbors' mail.
>
> There's nothing at all similar to the mail in this case.
> As someone else has written, it is much more akin to
> hearing someone else's conversation coming from a
> neighbor's yard or someone walking down the street
> in front of your house.
>
> My Kenwood TS-690 covers the 46-49MHz cordless phone
> frequencies quite well, yet there is a law that
> sez if I tune my radio to a cordless frequency and
> listen Ihen I am commiting a crme. What a joke.
> The stupidity of it all is that millions of people
> are lead to believe their cordless, cellular
> and now pageing transmissions are secure when
> they clearly aren't and, unless encrypted, will
> never be so.
>
> Bill Sohl K2UNK
> ARRL Local Gov't Liaison, Mt. Olive Township, NJ
> Visit the No-Code WEB site: http://www.nocode.org

Bill, doesnt it seem to you that what is happening here is that
lobbiests are presenting their case before the Feds for banning the
reception of certain frequencies, and the Feds are using the lobbiests
as an excuse to gain what they have wanted for a very long time??

126. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BA960.3565@flash.net>
Source record: 187330

EKIM233 wrote:
>
> Ya, Ya, Ya...If you want to get technical -- A Hearing Aid is a electronic
> device and it you wear one and over hear conversation not itended for your
> reception you could be charged for eavesdropping...
>
> The bottom line is the government OKAYS this equipment to be sold to the
> public, then in turn will arrest you if you monitor the frequencies they
> just OKAY'd..
>
> Buy a scanner, ham radio and etc., etc, etc. but don't monitor what is on
> it. YA OKAY!

"Here Tabacco companies. Here are your government subsidies. But,
lets ban Joe Camel, and we are going to do everything possible to fine
you for selling tabacco products because they are not good for people."

127. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, JOHN MACKEY, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BAB44.3070@flash.net>
Source record: 187331

Jack wrote:
>
> I was at the colorado river this weekend riding my jetski, "WHAT A BLAST!

Shhhh! If the wrong people hear that Jetskis are fun, they will be
outlawed! I want to buy one soon too!

128. TrunkTracker IDs for Seattle/King County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tug@pobox.com_no.spam
Message-ID: <5ug9r2vjq1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 187332

TrunkTracker ID numbers for the huge Seattle/King County system are available in a booklet. Information at http://www.eskimo.com/~brucem/ttidking.htm.

129. Re: Newsgroup Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BAEF8.99E@flash.net>
Source record: 187333

```
Craig Davidson wrote:
>
> Tim Cohones wrote:
> > barryoXX@fastlink.com.au wrote:
> > >Porchnoy <Porchnoy@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> > >:Barry O'Grady wrote:
> > >:> Scott Nelson <scottnel@iw.net> wrote:
> > >:>
> > >:> :I seem to be having some trouble with my newsreader (Netscape).
> > >:> :
> > >:> Why not get a real newsreader such as Free Agent?
> > >:>
> > >: Great answer, moron.
> > >
> > >Since you obviously don't have a clue you should stay out of this
> > >thread. Netscape does not make a newsreader. They make web browsers.
> > >It is due to Netscapes lack of knowledge about news readers that we
> > >have people unkowingly spewing html code into the newsgroups.
>
> What do you mean, "unknowingly" ???
>
> Netscape reads that html code and lets you see the fancy graphics and
> pictures
> that go with the text in the post. Its great. You don't know what your
> missing.
> You should ge rid of that Free Agent. It sounds old and out dated.

Tell'em Craig! You are exactly right!
```

130. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BB055.2E25@flash.net>
Source record: 187334
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Hotblack Desiato wrote:
>
> Not really. The goverment is a criminal organization. They are the muscle
> of the government. Criminals...
>
> How 'bout them good ole cops and the fire hoses and German shepards? I bet
> those were fine, upstanding individuals, too.
>
> --
> Jason - GORTICIAN/DMMA
> Mail: gortician@juno.comFUCKSPAM, Files: gortician@worldnet.att.netFUCKSPAM
> Death Metal Music Association:
> http://www.geocities.com/SunsetStrip/Palms/2296/
>
> David D Wigand <dwigand@runet.edu> wrote in article
> <5u9s11$muh@newslink.runet.edu>...
> > >list of abuses snipped<
> >
> > ANY group, regardless of it's purpose, cannot help but end up with some
> > people involved who may be criminals. I know a LOT of police officers,
> > and

No, those are some dangerous jerks that need to be put into solitary
confinment for a very long time.

131. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BB013.7B7B@flash.net>
Source record: 187335
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

David D Wigand wrote:
>
> >list of abuses snipped<
>
> ANY group, regardless of it's purpose, cannot help but end up with some
> people involved who may be criminals. I know a LOT of police officers,
> and the VAST majority of them are fine, upstanding individuals. Sure
> there are some who are criminals, but to call all of them criminals does
> a great disservice to the vast majority who are good human beings.
>
> Just my .02,
> Dave

Yes, most police are good people. But, some are very bad indeed.
What we really need to focus on are how evil some of our Congressmen
have become. Then we have the various agencies like the EPA that seek
to control us through whatever means they can come up with.
Most of the new laws that remove freedom originate within the
increasingly evil Democratic party. Ed Markey who sponsors HR 1964 is
a democrat. Guess what the president (is) that passed the awful Brady
Bill, and the Assualt Weapons ban???? His name is Komrad Klinton and
he is a Democrat.

132. Re: Mobile use of hand-held laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cogent.net>
Message-ID: <340BC08D.7578@cogent.net>
Source record: 187336

D Stark wrote:
> Also, the City of Rochester has an ordinance that appears to ban ANY
> portable scanner anywhere in the City if it receives police OR FIRE
> frequencies (and they all do, of course). Same web page, after the
> State law section.
>
> 73 de Dave, NF2G

Then the members of the press in Rochester must be constantly
violating the law. It appears that the press is the worst abuser
of scanner laws and the reason that scanner laws exist.

Jim Wayda

133. R10 - Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Hoehn <mike@clubnight.de>
Message-ID: <340BDA9C.5F7D@clubnight.de>
Source record: 187337

Hi!

I'm looking for ICOM R10 PC Software!

Thanks Mike

134. paging software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Case <stevecase@aol.com>
Message-ID: <340B9B64.4FF3@toast>
Source record: 187338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate

I am looking for software that is capable of decoding pagers and paging frequencies. I want to be able to program my scanner on my paging frequency and connect it through my sound board and see if it can somehow have my pages come up on the computer screen. Is this possible..

toast@snet.net

135. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902135901.JAA25828@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187339

In article <19970902051801.BAA02851@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) blabbers:

>What Drew thinks isn't worth thinking about. He is in fact, worthless.

The feelings mutual, I assure you.

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
KF4DDM since 9/95 on 2m & 10m
KADF-6895 since 9/76 on 11m
Active scanner and Internet freak as well
(no license required)

136. Re: paging software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902135500.JAA18458@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187340

>Subject: paging software
>From: Steve Case <stevecase@aol.com>
>Date: Tue, 02 Sep 1997 00:51:48 -0400
>Message-id: <340B9B64.4FF3@toast>

>I am looking for software that is capable of decoding pagers and paging
>frequencies.

Yeah, you can do it. One way is to tap the discriminator and plug the
scanner out put into your sound card.

You can also go through the comm port, but you would need to build an
interface to do it. You can get details and PC software from www.lrz.de/~-/
. There are other programs available but this one works well and it's free.
I believe it is works only with Win95 and a Pentium-class machine.

There is a Mac program called Multimode, if you need Mac software.

Good reception really helps boost the hit rate (no suprise there).

137. SALT LAKE CITY FREQS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Jensen <JasDJensen@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <340C1847.5FC8@sisna.com>
Source record: 187341

Hi, all.. anyone have an updated list of frequencies in Salt Lake City Utah?

or a web page would be fine.

Jason

138. "Eric Oyen" wrote...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Info. desk" <pltgst@mcomail.net>
Message-ID: <01ca2bd8$2f88b860$237dedcc@6>
Source record: 187342

Newsgroups: alt.sex.escorts.ads
[Fewer Headers]

Hello,
I am a blind male, 31 years, Caucasian looking for either a
relationship or some fun (your choice). I am looking for a female of
any race to enjoy some personal time with.
I can be reached at n7zzt@psn.net
Thanks..
Eric.

139. Re: paging software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOjlundgreSPAM@deltanet.com
Message-ID: <5uh68cgee1@news01.deltanet.com>
Source record: 187343
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate

In alt.radio.pirate Steve Case <stevecase@aol.com> wrote:
: I am looking for software that is capable of decoding pagers and paging
: frequencies. I want to be able to program my scanner on my paging
: frequency and connect it through my sound board and see if it can
: somehow have my pages come up on the computer screen. Is this
: possible..

: toast@snet.net

I believe it was the July issue of Electronics Now that had an article on
decoding pocsag pager audio. It uses a small circuit with commonly
available parts to condition the signal. It plugs into the serial port.
The software is crippleware, good for something like 15 minutes, then it
quits. Basically you need a scanner and a PC. BTW, they warn you that
it's illegal.

--
Please DO NOT send email to the deltanet address, which has all email
trashed when it arrives. Send email to the following address.
--
#===#
John Lundgren - Elec Tech - Info Tech Svcs.	lundgrej@mail.
Rancho Santiago Community College District	rancho.cc.ca.us
17th St at Bristol \ Santa Ana, CA 92706	http://www.rancho
My opinions are my own, and not my employer's.	.cc.ca.us
! Unsolicited Email is THEFT of Service and Harassment! STOP! !
#======P=G=P==k=e=y==a=v=a=i=l=a=b=l=e==u=p=o=n==r=e=q=u=e=s=t======#

140. Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Info. desk" <pltgst@mcomail.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb7ae$aee58080$237dedcc@6>
Source record: 187344

Hey Oyeboy.....what's this crap about wearing
rubbers.....and I quote:
"The one problem I have is: I am allergic to latex"?
(now you did say that.....see prev. post)
All that "inexperience" but you DO know that you're
allergic to Rubber! Huh!...what caused this.....
the used condom over your radio mike.....or your mommie fisting you with
rubber gloves????

141. re: help with BC 100xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: peters.michael@usa.net
Message-ID: <873210188.22135@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187345

The series pass xistor on the micro board Q201 (I think) is open.
This puppy is the "switch" for auto off when the battery gets too
low. It supplies 7vdc to a 5vdc reg and a 16 volt dc to dc converter.
The 5 volt reg powers the PLL and receiver circuits. The raw 7vdc is
used for the light and the audio amp. The part is a SMT version of a
2N3906 PNP $0.12 and with VERY CAREFULL SOLDERING a TO-92 part will
fit, I know because it fit in mine!
Uniden will also fix the thing flat rate for $49.50!

Michael
peters.michael@usa.net

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

142. "Eric Oyen" wrote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Info. desk" <pltgst@mcomail.net>
Message-ID: <01ca2bd9$2764de60$237dedcc@6>
Source record: 187346

Newsgroups: alt.sex.swingers

I am a 31 yo Blind white male in the central Phoenix area. I am looking
=for a male\female age 25-35 to share experiences with. I am disease free
and =drug free. The one problem I have is: I am allergic to latex (rash,
=etc.). Seeking for similar interests like hiking, camping, fine dining,
=movies, sci-fi conventions, and the like.=20 I am somewhat shy and still
very unskilled at any kind of sexual =routine. Because my blindness
prevents me from getting out and =socializing on a regular basis, I am
seeking here. I hope to hear from a =nice female soon.
I can be e-mailed by relying via e-mail.
Thanks.
Eric.

143. Power cord for Bearcat III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbutler@nospam.awod.com
Message-ID: <34068144.5159874@news-2.awod.com>
Source record: 187347

Anyone out there have an old Electra Bearcat III Scanner? I have one
without a power cord & would like to locate a copy of the schematic,
or at least a wiring diagram for the power cord
I'll pay copying costs, postage, etc.

thanks,

Butch Butler
Mt. Pleasant, SC
H.P."Butch" Butler KF4SAG
Mt. Pleasant, SC

Please remove "nospam" from my email address to reply
I refuse to accept any unauthorized or unsolicited E-mail
communications.

The sending of an unsolicited E-mail communication shall
be proof that the sender has agreed, by action, to send $500.00
US/ certified funds to me, prior to any unsolicited or unauthorized
transmission.

"By US Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer
meets the definition of a telephone fax machine.
By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is unlawful to send any unsolicited
advertisement to such equipment.
By Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section is
punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or $500,
whichever is greater, for each violation."

144. Scanner Mail Listserv Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa4pgm@moonstar.com
Message-ID: <NEWTNews.873238931.23432.wa4pgm@wa4pgm.moonstar.com>
Source record: 187348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
SCANNER Mailing List

SCANNER is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to
the discussion of scanning and related topics.

* Broadcast Schedules
* Latest Scanner Reports
* Tech Talk
* Scanning Equipment
* Upcoming Events
* Buy, Sell
* Anything Else Scanner Related

To join the mailing list, send email as follows:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe scanner

To be removed from the mailing list, send email as
follows:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe scanner

To post to the mailing list:

TO: scanner@qth.net

This reflector is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: wa4pgm@moonstar.com

-----
```

145. SWL Mail Listserv Online and Growiing Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa4pgm@moonstar.com
Message-ID: <NEWTNews.873239031.23759.wa4pgm@wa4pgm.moonstar.com>
Source record: 187349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
SWL Mailing List

SWL is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to
the discussion of shortwave listening.

* Broadcast Schedules
* Latest Heard Reports
* Pirate Stations
* Utility (Fax, RTTY) Stations
* SWL Equipment
* Broadcasters
* Buy, Sell
* Anything Else SWL Related

To join the mailing list, send email as follows:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe swl

To be removed from the mailing list, send email as
follows:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe swl

To post to the mailing list:

TO: swl@qth.net

This reflector is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: wa4pgm@moonstar.com

-----
```

146. Re: TrunkTracker IDs for Seattle/King County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <340C36B0.394F@accesscom.com>
Source record: 187350

Telecommunications Users Group wrote:
>
> TrunkTracker ID numbers for the huge Seattle/King County system are available in a booklet.
> Information at http://www.eskimo.com/~brucem/ttidking.htm.

Much of this info is available at:

http://www.trunktracker.com/states/wa.html

So why pay for it!

147. What is a good scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: CB <cbax@erols.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb7b9$1cb6b520$f841accf@christopher>
Source record: 187351

I am in the market for a good scanner and was wondering if anybody here can offer some advise. I have used Radio Shack scanners for a while with no complaints, but would like to purchase a good scanner that is pretty versatile, like perhaps computer interfacing maybe.

Any suggestions?

Thanks in advance!

148. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gumbyy@tamu.edu
Message-ID: <340c3aa3.1708138@news.tamu.edu>
Source record: 187352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

E. Faubion wrote:
> dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

> >I can't wait to hear their defense on this one.....

> Next time you see a mailman walking across your property on his rounds
> ask him if he will allow you to read your neighbors' mail.

The issue is more of whether you would be allowed to look at the back of a postcard that was delivered in your mailbox, as the pager info is spewed in a wide area with no restrictions or encriptions that prevent anyone else from understanding what is recieved, and as such there is no expectation of privacy.

Perhaps you should read up on the expectation of privacy and when and where it applies.

Marc
For email, remove second "y" from Gumbyy@tamu.edu

149. WORLDNET POLICY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B.S.policy" <"bullshit "@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <340C43DA.584A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187353

At&t world net policy,
I had them for 3 days,I had truoble reading the news groups would not load them all I spent 10 hours on the phone before I said forget it. At&t NEVER FIXED MY PROBLEM.I ended my service with them on july the 12. The rep told my that she was sorry and there would be no charge that was 7-12-97. AT&T billed my credit card on august 25 for $46.81 So people beware of AT&T worldnet. AT&T is to big there customer service is spread all over the U.S. and you never get the same tech to help you. After billing me when they should have not I called them to find out what was up, The rep told me it was there mistake and they would credit it to my card, THEN SHE SAID IT WOULD TAKE 45 TO 60 DAYS TO DO SO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
I hope that all my scanner friends take note, Customer service like this is not good business at all. Maybe this post might help stop someone else from making a BAD mistake and going with AT&T WORLDNET.
happy scanning,

150. Re: Realistic PRO-2021 manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: rpd910@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902172101.NAA07001@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187354

> Gregg <helroy@pacbell.net> wrote

>I need an owner's manual for a Realistic PRO-2021 200 channel UHF-VHF
>scanner.

Although not a full manual, you can get operation instructions and parts
details from:

www.radioshack.com/support

goto communications area, select your scanner.

151. Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bruce A. Langos" <bruce.langos@daytonoh.ncr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb7dc$c4455ee0$2cf77fc0@langob>
Source record: 187355

Knoch this crap off. I'm sick of the eric junk.

Info. desk <pltgst@mcomail.net> wrote in article
<01ca2bd8$2f88b860$237dedcc@6>...
> Newsgroups: alt.sex.escorts.ads
> [Fewer Headers]
>
> Hello,
> I am a blind male, 31 years, Caucasian looking for either a
> relationship or some fun (your choice). I am looking for a female of
> any race to enjoy some personal time with.
> I can be reached at n7zzt@psn.net
> Thanks..
> Eric.
>

152. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340C5555.459@usa.net>
Source record: 187356

ScanSkip wrote:
> You've cobbled a definition of what you think an ISP is and have decided
> that AOL doesn't fit that definition. It is utterly immaterial whether you
> can use a newsreader (NNTP) or e-mail program (POP3). The fact is that you
> can access news servers, send e-mail, surf the WWW and everything else you
> say this (AOL) ISP cannot do. How it's done is irrelevant.
>
> What Drew thinks isn't worth thinking about. He is in fact, worthless.

I never said that you can not access news services, send e-mail, etc. I
simply said that you can not do it using standard internet software
because
they are not an ISP & don't follow standard internet protocols (such as
for news, e-mail, etc)

OK. sho what you are saying that despite the fact that 2 people say you
are
wrong & that your service does not follow standard internet protocols
you
still think they are an ISP.

You are in IDIOT & don't know what you are talking about.

Following standard internet protocols are exactly how you define
what is or is not an ISP.

153. Re: pro2035 cell unblock code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfl@gci-net.com
Message-ID: <340c4cfd.198470016@news.gci-net.com>
Source record: 187357

On 30 Aug 1997 23:31:11 GMT, johnnyreb1@aol.com (JohnnyReb1) wrote:

>275 681 149 364 630 538 49
How do you program this in?

154. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340C56B0.68F@usa.net>
Source record: 187358

MN Maniac wrote:
> And you have just proven my statement true by answering me and keeping
> this going once again.

Sounds like you enjoy it.

155. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340C576E.36D6@usa.net>
Source record: 187359

Stay on topic damm it!!!

Jack wrote:
> I was at the colorado river this weekend riding my jetski, "WHAT A BLAST"

156. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@127.1.1.1" <ma5ter@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <340C6A79.4E50@127.1.1.1>
Source record: 187360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
Dav . wrote:
>
> Greg Dean wrote:
>
> > Well, it looks like we may now have a court challenge to the Electronic
> > Communications Privacy Act. I have not seen a better case. Is it unlawful
> > for the government to forbid you from receiving transmissions that are
> > broadcast? Now, breaking encryption is another story. Digital moduation
> > may encode but is not a cryptogram. It is a means to sending a message.
> > Hopefully their lawyers understand what they have and do not push for a
> > plea bargain.
>
> Greg, how long has it been since you have seen anyone fighting a court
> case for the freedom of the people??? It used to be normal, but today,
> I cannot recall when someone has filed suit to protect the rights of the
> people.
> The government does not care about our rights. It seeks to control us
> more and more.
>
> --
> !!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!

the ACLU does that all the time, as does the EFF
```

157. ACARS & APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: patricio@deltanet.com
Message-ID: <5uhq5l$7na$1@news01.deltanet.com>
Source record: 187361

Anyone know how to decode ACARS and display the aircraft with APRS?

158. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902191501.PAA06790@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187362

>Subject: Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: Tue, 02 Sep 1997 11:05:09 -0700
>Message-id: <340C5555.459@usa.net>

>You are in IDIOT & don't know what you are talking about.

You've resorted to a personal attack because you are unable to attack the validity of my idea. You speak more eloquently about your own intellectual failings than I ever could.

159. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340C67D1.4D74@usa.net>
Source record: 187363

ScanSkip wrote:

> >From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
> >You are in IDIOT & don't know what you are talking about.

> You've resorted to a personal attack because you are unable to attack the
> validity of my idea. You speak more eloquently about your own intellectual
> failings than I ever could.

No, I simply got at the root of YOUR problem.

If you would have read my post & others, you would see that I DID
attack teh validity of your ideas. But you can't understand that
because you are an IDIOT.

160. HELP!! NEED INFO.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "E.Thompson" <max@zianet.com>
Message-ID: <340C6B92.6DD4@zianet.com>
Source record: 187364

CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHAT I NEED TO PURCHASE THAT ALLOWS MONITORING IN THE 0-500 HZ RANGE AS WELL AS IN THE 1900-2600 MHZ RANGE WHICH IS FAIRLY INEXPENSIVE. THANKS.

161. Re: HELP!! NEED INFO.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902204000.QAA20213@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187365

>Subject: HELP!! NEED INFO.
>From: "E.Thompson" <max@zianet.com>
>Date: Tue, 02 Sep 1997 13:40:02 -0600
>Message-id: <340C6B92.6DD4@zianet.com>

>CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHAT I NEED TO PURCHASE THAT ALLOWS MONITORING IN THE
>0-500 HZ RANGE AS WELL AS IN THE 1900-2600 MHZ RANGE WHICH IS FAIRLY
>INEXPENSIVE. THANKS.

Yes, you should buy either the Icom 8500 0 or the AOR 5000.

162. FRS radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdems@sprintmail.com
Message-ID: <5uhs29$4cc@news.acns.nwu.edu>
Source record: 187366

Anyone have info or suggestions on the best FRS radios? Can current
GMRS or amateur UHF HT's be modified to RX/TX on thr FRS freqs?

Ed
--
Ed Michelson
Northwestern University, Evanston, IL. USA
emichelson@nwu.edu

163. Re: pro2035 cell unblock code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald R. Hoenstine" <RickHoenstine@msn.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb7e5$3b5ee5c0$LocalHost@donaldho>
Source record: 187367

What key is the memory key?

ScanSkip <scanskip@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970902192201.PAA14405@ladder02.news.aol.com>...
>
> >On 30 Aug 1997 23:31:11 GMT, johnnyreb1@aol.com (JohnnyReb1) wrote:
> >
> >>275 681 149 364 630 538 49
> >How do you program this in?
> >
> First, hold down the "9," "2" and "memory" keys on your scanner, while

164. Announcing Another 10 *NEW* Mailing Lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Short, B.K." <shortckt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <340C82C3.5EF1@primenet.com>
Source record: 187368

```
Announcing Another 10 *NEW* Mailing Lists
(additional info at www.qth.net)

1. Azden
2. BoatAnchors
3. Collins
4. Clegg
5. Hallicrafters
6. Hammarlund
7. Heathkit
8. Johnson
9. National
10. Motorola

--

>>>> info azden
Azden is a mailing list reflector devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured by Azden.

* Collectors
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Buy, Sell
* Repairs, Parts, Manuals
* Anything Else Azden Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe azden

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe azden

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: azden@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

-----
>>>> info boatanchors
```

BoatAnchors is a mailing list reflector devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured originally for use
by military forces around the world.

* Collectors
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Buy, Sell
* Repairs, Parts, Manuals
* Anything Else BoatAnchors Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe boatanchors

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe boatanchors

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: boatanchors@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

>>>> info collins
Collins is a mailing list reflector devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured by Collins.

* Collectors
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Buy, Sell
* Repairs, Parts, Manuals
* Anything Else Collins Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe collins

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe collins

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: collins@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

>>>> info clegg
Clegg is a mailing list reflector devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured by Clegg.

* Collectors
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Buy, Sell
* Repairs, Parts, Manuals
* Anything Else Clegg Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe clegg

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe clegg

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: clegg@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

>>>> info hallicrafters
Hallicrafters is a mailing list reflector devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured by Hallicrafters.

* Collectors
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Buy, Sell
* Repairs, Parts, Manuals
* Anything Else Hallicrafters Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe hallicrafters

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe hallicrafters

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: hallicrafters@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

>>>> info hammarlund
Hammarlund is a mailing list reflector devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured by Hammarlund.

* Collectors
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Buy, Sell
* Repairs, Parts, Manuals
* Anything Else Hammarlund Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe hammarlund

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe hammarlund

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: hammarlund@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

>>>> info heathkit
HeathKit is a mailing list reflector devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured by HeathKit.

* Collectors
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Buy, Sell
* Repairs, Parts, Manuals
* Anything Else HeathKit Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe heathkit

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe heathkit

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: heathkit@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

>>>> info johnson
Johnson is a mailing list reflector devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured by Johnson.

* Collectors
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Buy, Sell
* Repairs, Parts, Manuals
* Anything Else Johnson Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe johnson

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe johnson

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: johnson@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

>>>> info national
National is a mailing list reflector devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured by National.

* Collectors
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Buy, Sell
* Repairs, Parts, Manuals
* Anything Else National Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe national

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe national

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: national@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

>>>> info motorola
Motorola is a mailing list reflector devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured by Motorola.

* Collectors
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Buy, Sell
* Repairs, Parts, Manuals
* Anything Else Motorola Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe motorola

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe motorola

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: motorola@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

-----

165. Re: M.Jackson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mbv@ti.com
Message-ID: <5uhvrh$f35$1@tilde.csc.ti.com>
Source record: 187369
Cross-posted to:
nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <34085DF5.B8DC204@ping.be>, ost@ping.be says...
>
>Wanted ;
>
>Frequenties for M.Jackson tour.
>____
Yep, rec.radio.amateur.space does seem to be
the right newsgroup to try for this kind of info.

Ken K5MBV

166. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: plains.parson@NOSPAMworldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <340b5244.23809152@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187370
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

On 30 Aug 1997 17:05:26 GMT, Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net> posted:

>This postings highlights what I have been seeing in our culture. We are
>so over reacting to the seventies excessive freedom. Our very fabric of
>society is dirty, just looking at what is happening in government (it
>reflects the people). Within law enforcement, we are seeing what is
>called in the military "unlawful orders". Because of group think, you
>are told to perform functions that go against the body of law that makes
>up our civilized world. If this does not stop, we will return to a
>society where some are "more noble" than the rest.
>

What is meant by "return"? Humanity never left .... =0)

>
>75300.2731@compuserve.com wrote:
>>
>> I saw an interesting .signature file a couple days ago:
>>
>> "Sodomize a police officer today. When you and/or
>> some friends happen to catch one alone, lure him
>> to some remote place. Attack him, restrain him,
>> keep him held down while you jerk off his pants
>> and underwear. Then, cram the biggest thing you
>> can find up his ass. Preferably it should be a
>> broom stick, but use your fist or your own penis
>> if you are not afraid of catching whatever desease
>> he might have. Let him beg and plead for his life,
>> but just do it."
>>
>> An extreme response to the ugly incident in New York recently?
>> Perhaps some might think so, but I for one am becoming more
>> and more convinced that the REAL criminals in America today
>> are police officers who engage in all sorts of felonious
>> behavior (but they get to call it 'misconduct' when they
>> engage in it) and then proceed to blame the victims.
>>
>> It seems to me police officers believe themselves to be way above
>> the law, and that generally they have the influence and pull
>> with prosecutors (themselves usually a slimy, nasty breed of
>> human being) to get off totally no matter what they do.
>>
>> Consider a few cases in the Chicago area:

>>
>> Rolando Cruz and two other men convicted of the rape and murder
>> of an eleven year old girl based on absolute LIES by police
>> investigators and prosecutors. Finally, about a year ago, after
>> almost a dozen years on death row, Cruz is freed when a police
>> officer finally admits that he and a couple other officers
>> totally fabricated part of Cruz' 'confession' involving a 'dream'
>> that Cruz had had (Cruz steadfastly denies any such statements)
>> and that the prosecutors were aware of the lies when they went
>> before the jury.
>>
>> In another case, a police officer shoots a homeless person to
>> death because he is annoyed that the person asked him for money.
>> The officer knew he would not have to worry about it; after all,
>> the state's attorney here at the time (Jack O'Malley) always was
>> very friendly with police officers. As it turned out, the comm-
>> unity raised such a god-awful stink when O'Malley released his
>> 'findings' (that it was all a big misunderstanding, dontcha know,
>> and that the poor police officer didn't mean to do it) that
>> O'Malley had to reverse himself and put the officer on trial.
>>
>> The New York police officers may have a unique tool at their
>> disposal to get the confessions they want (a toilet plunger
>> handle shoved up your ass will generally produce the desired
>> results) but the Chicago Police have many great ways of getting
>> confessions also.
>>
>> Consider one police district in Chicago at 51st and Wentworth
>> Avenues where poor, innocent Jon Burge was the Commander. His
>> men -- actually sadists, as I suspect most police officers are --
>> loved to use 'shock treatments' to get the desired results.
>> The way it worked -- before a very high federal court told them
>> to cut it out -- was the prisoner would be brought in to an
>> interrogation room and stripped naked. Then he would be chained
>> to a steam radiator with barely enough room to maneuver around
>> without gettting scalded. The police officers (you know, the
>> guys whose mottos is to serve and protect) would then clamp
>> one wire from an electromagnetic crank on the guy's ear lobe
>> and the other wire would be clamped usually on his balls. Then
>> as one officer used a harmonica to play 'Oh Susanna' or 'She'll
>> Be Coming Around the Mountain' the other officer would turn
>> the crank with an irregular rythym; slowly at first and then
>> more vigorously in time with the music. The prisoner would hop
>> up and down and squirm around as this was done.
>>
>> After a few minutes, the music and the cranking would stop and
>> the officers would ask the prisoner if he 'had anything he wanted
>> to talk about ...'. If not, the music and cranking would continue
>> a few minutes more. The officers and their commander Jon Burge
>> referred to it as 'having fun tonight', and I am sure it was fun

>> for them. When this was finally brought to light, Jon Burge
>> *narrowly* missed a very long prison sentence; the judge was too
>> indebted in other ways to the police to convict him.
>>
>> A first hand experience of my own dating to 1985: I experienced
>> a break-in/burglary at my home. Four guys were involved. Two got
>> away before the police arrived but the other two were nearby. It
>> *could not be legally proven they had any involvement*, none the
>> less they were arrested. It goes to court. In a little conference
>> room where the prosecutor, police and witnesses gather, I admitted
>> I had seen those two (who were arrested) do nothing.
>>
>> The police officer looks at me and says, "Well I can tell you that
>> if you go into the courtroom and tell the judge what you just said
>> to me, he is going to cut them loose ... on the other hand, you
>> can always lie about it and say you saw them with one of your
>> possessions ... " I said no thanks, honesty to me was a little
>> more important that the loss of my possessions, to which the
>> prosecutor responded, 'fuck it then, let the two niggers off,
>> but you better not repeat what we said here, it would all be denied.'
>>
>> And after all, as the lady said on the television the other day,
>> what reason would the police have to lie about it? ... <snicker>
>>
>> .... Every reason in the world, the most important one being
>> to continue feeding an always greedy wanting more corrections
>> industry.
>>
>> Do police officers sell drugs? You betcha ... two officers in
>> Chicago a few years ago were raiding crack houses, arresting the
>> occupants and then stealing all the crack to sell on their own.
>> What the hell, they figured, would a judge believe us or would
>> he believe some crackhead. A few of the crackheads talked to
>> people at the _Chicago_Tribune_ and the newspaper took them to
>> see a judge who listened to their story and said he believed them.
>> Several police officers went to prison.
>>
>> Do police officers sexually molest children? Of course ... an
>> officer in Chicago's 24th District (Rogers Park) was removed from
>> the police force for just that type of 'misconduct' (tee hee,
>> don't you love it when it is a felony for you or me, and
>> misconduct for them?) .... that was in 1988.
>>
>> Over two dozen judges in Cook County (Chicago) Illinois removed from
>> the bench over a three year period due to judicial corruption ...
>>
>> In New York City in the late 1970's ... was it the 114th Precinct
>> (Bed-Stuy I know, 114 not positive) that had to be totally cleaned
>> out -- every single officer replaced?
>>

>> A few good rules to observe in your dealings with police officers:
>>
>> 1) Have as few such dealings as possible. Generally, keep your
>> distance from police officers. They are not your freind, they are
>> not there to protect and serve you. They are there to find whatever
>> reasons they can to arrest you, even if they have to lie about it,
>> torture you to get a confession, or whatever.
>>
>> 2) Assume from the beginning they are going to twist and distort
>> whatever you tell them. You could say 'the sky is blue and the
>> grass is green'; the officer would later claim you said it the
>> other way around.
>>
>> 3) For the above reasons and others, NEVER call 911 (or other
>> police phone number) for any reason if there is any way to avoid
>> it and resolve the matter yourself. The only reason for ever
>> calling a police officer to 'assist' you is, I suppose, if the
>> criminal is holding a gun to your head or it is some other life/
>> death dire emergency. Believe me when I tell you that if you
>> call the police to report a crime, before they get done they will
>> have tried their damndedest to make you a criminal instead.
>> Summary: keep the police out of the loop. I was robbed again the
>> other day and against my better judgment I called the police. I
>> now regret having even bothered to tell them about it, since they
>> made no effort to look for the offender anyway.
>>
>> 4) If you are black or gay or otherwise 'do not belong' in the
>> community where you happen to be, assume a police officer or two
>> or three is going to hassle you pretty ragged about it. Most police
>> officers are extremly homophobic and racist.
>>
>> I would like to see more police officers in prison .. where they
>> belong. I'd like to see them housed with violent criminals who
>> were notified of the previous occupation of their new cellmate.
>> While in prison I would like to see more of them get raped and
>> tortured. After all it was good enough for you wasn't it?
>>
>> The stories could go on and on and on ... of police officers and
>> prosecutors who are far more evil than any of the people they
>> have ever arrested.
>>
>> I'm seriously considering starting a mailing list devoted to the
>> topic of POLICE MISCONDUCT. Police officers who belong in prison,
>> if not in a cemetery.
>>
>> And I am also serious when I say I hope the uprising going on in
>> New York now as a result of the 'misconduct' by police officers
>> increases ... I'd like to see it turn into a riot, and maybe
>> a couple of police precinct houses burned to the ground ... maybe
>> a few police officers killed in the process. It wouldn't bother

>> me at all. Consider Los Angeles a few years ago where police
>> brutality caused a massive riot.
>>
>> Of course the only thing really wrong with riots and a few police
>> officers getting killed is that if it gets out of hand they just
>> call in their fellow pigs from the National Guard with orders to
>> shoot all the civilians under some bumfuck excuse or another. So
>> where the police are concerned, you can't really win anyway; that
>> is why I say keep your distance, NEVER trust an officer to help you
>> or tell you the truth, and never allow a police officer to get any
>> personal details about you without an explicit court order for
>> same.
>>
>> In the Chicago papers today, a lesbian police officer tells how
>> her job is to get gay people to trust the police .... <grin> ...
>> can you believe it?
>>
>> I'll summarize responses I get which address the things I have
>> mentioned here.
>>
>> Ron
>>
>>

Rather biased opinionated narration, wouldnt' one say? What is chronicled above is not good at all, IMNSHO, but I wouldnt' condemn all the apples in the barrel because of the few rotten ones at the bottom.
Would that it were not a regular occurrence, but "guano happens," and where humanity persists, so will the "guano."
Personally, I have had similar experience, being "different" at the time. That said, however, I can also claim some of my good friends being from the law enforcement, fire and paramedical professions, and as such, and as friends, I would entrust them with my life.

167. Wanted : Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: skyelf1@digisys.net
Message-ID: <340d8395.3803821@news.digisys.net>
Source record: 187371

I am looking to but either and Aor 8000 hh , Yupiteru 9000 hh ,
ICOM R-10 hh . It must include full coverage no blocks and be in good
working shape, include all manuels,docs, box "not a must but would
like"..And you must be in the US..

If you have please Send email to skyelf1@digisys.net with what you
have and how much your asking for it..

Thanks

168. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gumbyy@tamu.edu
Message-ID: <340c863d.21064866@news.tamu.edu>
Source record: 187372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

efaubion@oklahoma.net (E. Faubion) said:
>Gumby@tamu.edu (Marc) wrote:

>>> Next time you see a mailman walking across your property on his rounds
>>> ask him if he will allow you to read your neighbors' mail.

>>The issue is more of whether you would be allowed to look at the back of a
>>postcard that was delivered in your mailbox, as the pager info is spewed in
>>a wide area with no restrictions or encriptions that prevent anyone else
>>from understanding what is recieved, and as such there is no expectation of
>>privacy.

>Until it happens to be your page, then the issue changes doesn't it?

Nope.

>And don't tell me you're one of those people who enjoys having your
>private mail read by others.

I realize that a page, a portable phone conversation, and a postcard can
all be read/heard without anyone violating my rights, and as such I don't
say anything there that I wouldn't say in a television interview. I do,
however, expect privacy when talking on my encripted 900MHz portable or
sending something in a security envelope, and as such would be offended if
my rights were violated in that way.

So, to directly address you comment, I wouldn't mind if anyone read any
postcard I sent, and have no expectation that the ones that make it to me
aren't read (although I might be annoyed if they are read because a number
of people I correspond with don't grasp the expectation of privacy and say
things on postcards that they migh not if they were fully aware of the
expectation of privacy).

Marc

>>For email, remove second "y" from Gumbyy@tamu.edu
>
>Can I add this to my list of Aggie jokes?
>
>ef

For email, remove second "y" from Gumbyy@tamu.edu

169. Rx CELL with ICOM IC-W32A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: CRAIG <csom>
Message-ID: <01bcb741$02a5aa60$8dca2581@compuserve>
Source record: 187373

I just purchased the Icom IC-W32A handheld to replace my FT-50R.
Unfortunately, I could not find a mod to make the IC-W32a cover the CELL
band (the FT-50R DOES cover this freq range!). However, I learned that the
mod is NOT necessary.

The 1st IF for UHF in the IC-W32A is 46.05 MHz. All you have to do is
double that frequency (= 92.1 MHz) and then add that freq to the desired
receiving frequency. So, to cover the CELL band with the programmable
scanning limits:

870 MHz + 92.1 MHz = 962.1 MHz
890 MHz + 92.1 MHz = 982.1 MHz
** set the scanning step to 30 KHz.

The radio receives this freq range very WELL with no attenuation what so
ever (as far as I can see and hear). As a matter of fact, I was listening
to a known local utility on 855.1125 MHz. The very same station appeared
at 947.2125 MHz and the signal strength was EXACTLY the same as that on the
original frequency. I suspect that the manufacturer overlooked this flaw
on purpose, he he.

Good Luck and enjoy
Mr. Craig Sommers
--
remove <STOP> from my address to send EMAIL

170. Re: Michigan Scanner Law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340CB73F.7688@flash.net>
Source record: 187374

CRAIG
>
> You CAN have a scanner in your car in Michigan is you apply for a permit
> OR you have a ham license. Or, you are a police officer. Case closed! I
> know a non-ham who applied for a permit and wrote "hobby" as the reason and
> GOT IT!
> I know several EMT's who applied for permits and GOT THEM!
>
> --CRAIG
>
> John Masters Jr. <JWMJR@webtv.net> wrote in article
> <5uha5orgd1@newsd-121.bryant.webtv.net>...
> > I talked to a Plymouth Twp.police officer and he just recently arrested
> > 2 people for having a scanner in their vehicle.He told me,"You can have
> > a scanner in your vehicle,you cannot have an antenna hooked up and no
> > batteries inside.The judges look for if the scanner is readily
> > available."So,the big deal is over NOW we all know what the law
> > states.If anyone cares to continue this silliness just visit your
> > nearest police dept. or go to the State Police Dept.they can settle any
> > worries any of us handheld scanners people have.....John
> >

BUT, you should not have to apply for a permit for every single
thing! It is just more government control of your life!!
--
*** DO NOT READ THIS WEBPAGE !!! ***

http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html

171. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340CB892.4FC6@flash.net>
Source record: 187375
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jan Allbright wrote:

> > *** Can you imagine voting for Al Gore? ***
>
> In a heart beat ace...

Jan, if you can bring yourself to vote for Socialist Al Gore, then
there is nothing for us to talk about. I do not understand how you can
support socialism.
--
*** DO NOT READ THIS WEBPAGE !!! ***

http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html

172. FS: RS PRO-2004 Scanner w/Cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cstu@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <873255251.6836@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187376

I have a Radio Shack PRO-2004 scanner in excellent
condition. Has cellular, 400-channel, and fast scanning
mods done. Backlight works great. Has original box, manual,
and accessories. Asking $250 shipped. Any questions, let
me know. Thanks, Chad

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

173. Discriminator Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oren Haydel <Haydel@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <340CC1D2.AC243597@ibm.net>
Source record: 187377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.rec.scanner,phl.scanner

Thanks Singh,

I forgot to put, I had pin 10..just don't know which one on the
chip is pin10. Can U help? Also where can I get a Hammcomm
>????? Thank s

174. newby with freq counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tom.walker@general.com
Message-ID: <873211179@general.com>
Source record: 187378

-> Does anyone have usage tips on a webpage somewhere, similar to
-> the FAQs you can find for beggining scannists? I looked in
-> the major search engines and only found tech info from
-> retailers.

-> I'm looking for info like the possibility of using a
-> more-concealable rubber duck antenna, and techniques for
-> getting close enough to a miked-up transmitter to get a
-> reading...

I thinkj you will fine "Frequency Coun ters Highly Overates as a scanning tool. It is just chance or accident one is in the Right place at the right time to catch anything usefull. Rembember also it is typicaly a very short range device. By Design by the way. More sensitivity would lead to confusion from the multipule signals in the air in most LOCATIONS and make it useless.

175. newby with freq counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jasonc@nstar.net
Message-ID: <5uftrjsqf1@alcor.nstar.net>
Source record: 187379

Hey there. I've been scanning for a while (started with an old crystal-tuned Bearcat about 15 years ago) but I'm completely new to the freq counter thing.

Does anyone have usage tips on a webpage somewhere, similar to the FAQs you can find for beggining scannists? I looked in the major search engines and only found tech info from retailers.

I'm looking for info like the possibility of using a more-concealable rubber duck antenna, and techniques for getting close enough to a miked-up transmitter to get a reading...

Thanks,
http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/frq.txt

--

jason carr
mouse@mousetrap.net
http://www.mousetrap.net

176. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@127.5.5.5" <ma5ter@127.5.5.5>
Message-ID: <340CC452.3A1@127.5.5.5>
Source record: 187380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

David... wrote:
>
> Why dont some people understand what you are saying? They just dont
> get it! If you transmit a signal in the clear, but you expect to have
> perfect privacy, you have to be a nut! This is not real life!
> Encode your signal, and then maybe you will have privacy.
> Cellular phones are the biggest joke of them all considering how far
> the signal from the tower can travel. Many scanner owners could hear a
> single conversation! I found those conversations to be boring in most
> instances...just not worth your time! But, unless your signal is coded,
> you should expect that someone is listening in! If you do not, you are
> a dummy!
> --
> *** DO NOT READ THIS WEBPAGE !!! ***
>
> http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html

isn't this the reason PGP/BLOWFISH/ETC are free? if a person wants
private communications, it is available, the only question is
implementation. if one wants ones mail to their lawyer or loved ones to
be private, do they select a postcard to send it on?

177. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, JOHN MACKEY, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902024600.WAA28413@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187381

In article <19970902002100.UAA15794@ladder02.news.aol.com>, kc7diu@aol.com (KC7DIU) writes:

>Are you dillholes still at it? Jeez, what a terrible waste of the Labor
>Day weekend. Meanwhile, I'm going to go put another stuffed pork chop on
>the grill and open ANOTHER ice cold beer.
>Rec.radio.scanner members, over to you!

Hey, I can't help it that THE OYE BOY and his bosom buddy Mackey like to talk to me so much.

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
KF4DDM since 9/95 on 2m & 10m
KADF-6895 since 9/76 on 11m
Active scanner and Internet freak as well
(no license required)

178. Re: FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: rollo@trib.com
Message-ID: <5ug01e$m6o@news.trib.com>
Source record: 187382

"Dav ." <david084@flash.net> wrote:

>Have you noticed how the Feds and other agencies seem to be
> increasingly upset with those who listen in with scanners???

>--
>!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!
the only way to secure the border is to eleminate the entire u s a.
Without hispanic labor we would starve...and miss out on great
Mexican.(.not spanish) Food.
my source of schematic diagrams: http://w3.trib.com/~rollo/bomcat.htm

179. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: larryj@kelly.teleport.com
Message-ID: <5uiu61$3bs$1@kelly.teleport.com>
Source record: 187383

In article <340B9C6D.475E@psn.net>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@psn.net> wrote:
>John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>>
>> NO eric, that is your style.
>Whatever man. your own words can be used against you. You accuse me of a
>style you've been using for more than 5 years, thats real cute. whatcha
>going to do next, claim that I am satan too and have to be
>destroyed?????
>
>>
>> When you started the flame war in rec.radio.cb the ONLY way I know about
>> it was because I did a search on dejanews for my name & found you
>> running me down on that NG. You were unprovoked & started something
>> simply because you like to do that.
>As someone else as said, any clown can look at dejanews and see what is
>really there.
>
>>
>> Please Eric, be honest.
>I am at all times, it is you that must learn the meaning of the word.
>Whatever I have PERSONALLY said on here, that can be verified as being
>from MY ACCOUNT, I take responsibility for. You on the other hand, have
>used misdirection, claim to be a victim when others know better, and
>even have forged others. The you accuse everyone else of exactly what
>you have done. That is proven BY FACT to be your style. What else can I
>say?

John - what did you ever do to get such an avid high-class group like Erick,
Drew/Spew, and Bill Cheeks as fans of yours? A continual whiner, an
unemployed AM disk-jockey wanna-be, and a "Scanner Know-it all".
A really fine bunch of folks, with lots of great info for all of us - every
day, right here.

Good grief!

180. Re: PRO-60 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: grohe@galaxy.nsc.com
Message-ID: <340b9ca5.11707673@139.187.81.1>
Source record: 187384

On Fri, 29 Aug 1997 16:43:17 -0400,
in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
Ron Whitmore <ronwhit@dreamscape.com>
from Dreamscape thoughtfully posted:

> I am thinking about purchasing a pro-60 which has bee marked down , any
> pro's or con's opinions etc. any reviews on the internet anywhere?
> Thanks

Below average sensitivity, a slight battery hog, small speaker
difficult to hear in high-noise environments (car).

HOWEVER...

A pretty good scanner overall. Very good coverage; nice, sturdy
compact case; fairly image-free (sorry, no cell images).

I would not recommend the PRO-60 as a "main", or "primary"
scanner, but it is a good "tag-along" or secondary scanner. Don't
expect to do any "DX'ing" with it.

I would recommend that you purchase a set of Nickel-Metal Hydride
batteries, a better antenna (or cut one for your favorite
frequencies), and a good external speaker.

IMHO..Go for it.

Cheers,
Paul Grohe

Paul Grohe National Semiconductor Corp.
Sr. Electronics Technician 2900 Semiconductor Drive
Standard Products Apps Group Mail Stop C2693
grohe@galaxy.nsc.com Santa Clara, CA. 95052-8090 USA
(408) 721-7389 Tel (408) 721-2513 Fax
---

181. Find Mir, space shuttle, etc...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Dilick <mdilick@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <340C9644.3DC2@crosslink.net>
Source record: 187385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To all who have asked how to find when mir is over head. Try this nasa web site's JAVA applet.

http://liftoff.msfc.nasa.gov/RealTime/jtrack/

Mark

182. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BB166.7D58@flash.net>
Source record: 187386
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Ben W. wrote:
>
> > Not really. The goverment is a criminal organization. They are the muscle
> > of the government. Criminals...
>
> What kind of a statement is that? I sure hope you're not LIVING in the
> United States because you would find alot more people who fit your beliefs
> in Iran.
>
> If you think that poorly of our government, then get the hell out.

What? Have you paid attention to the illegalities of the Clinton
admin??? Are you aware of the millions the Democratic party has taken
from the Communist Government of China???
We the people have the absolute right to control our government, and
when it gets out of control, we have the right to change it, or abolish
it and start over. Read the Declaration of Independence.

183. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340BB5E1.1A5A@flash.net>
Source record: 187387
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Galen, I read your post and I understand the frustration level you
feel.
Like you, I hate to see our great country on the road to tranny.
It takes just a few jerks in our government to do great damage to
the freedoms of every individual in America.

Ever since Clinton arrived in D.C., it seems the people have become
the focus of all government agencies. The FBI wants to spy on us. Waco,
and Ruby Ridge were awful examples of our government at work and these
went down while Clinton has been in office.
What are we going to do to turn our nation back in the direction of
Constitutional limits on the power of government?????
Will marching in the streets become common soon?

Galen Parth wrote:
>
> THIS LITTLE PIGGIE WENT TO MARKET
> Policing the 21st Century with Asset Forfeiture
> by Steve O'Keefe
>
> Since 1984, a new gang has swept across the American landscape.
> They started in the big cities -- New York, Chicago and L.A. -- and
> have quickly moved into small towns throughout the U.S. Like most
> other gangs, they're involved in the manufacture and distribution of
> illegal narcotics. They've financed their growth through theft,
> blackmail and an organized campaign of terror, plowing profits back
> into what is now one of the largest criminal operations ever seen.
>
> So why aren't the police doing anything to stop the spread of this
> brutal gang? Because this gang IS THE POLICE.
>
> Welcome to the millennium. The United States is going through
> wrenching changes caused by enormous national deficit. The state
> requires more and more money, yet is able to provide fewer and fewer
> services. Law enforcement agencies, hard-pressed to prosecute the
> "war on drugs" have found a way around reliance on taxation and,
> consequently, a way around the electorate.
>
> During the Reagan administration, our Drug Czars resurrected an
> old law enforcement trick called "asset forfeiture" and gave it new
> life through legislation. Basically a suspension of due process, the
> idea behind asset forfeiture is to deny criminals access to their
> ill-gotten gains during trial and to seize property purchased with
> tainted funds. But the power of asset forfeiture has created a beast.

> Now the group that profits most from the drug trade is law enforcement
> itself. What started as a tool in the war on drugs has transcended
> into a whole new way of policing -- a way that threatens the liberties
> of every resident, not only of the United States, but wherever the
> long arm of U.S. law reaches.
>
> THE CRIME OF OWNERSHIP
> Perhaps the most dramatic example of the perils of asset
> forfeiture is the case of Donald and Francis Scott. The Scotts are a
> new breed of American criminal. Their crime was owning a 200-acre
> rance in Malibu worth an estimated $5 million.
>
> The Scott ranch abuts U.S. Parks Department property, and the feds
> had unsuccessfully attempted to purchase it several times. Aware of
> the value of the property and that a willing buyer was available, the
> L.A. County Sheriff's Department opened an investigation against the
> Scotts WITH THE EXPRESSED PURPOSE OF FILLING THE DEPARTMENT'S COFFERS
> BY SEIZING THE RANCH AND SELLING IT TO THE U.S. PARKS DEPARTMENT.
>
> Here's how the Sheriff hatched his plan. Aerial surveillance of
> the Scott property was ordered, during which 50 marijuana plants were
> supposedly spotted (no marijuana -- growing or otherwise -- was ever
> found on the Scott property). A paid informant then provided
> corroborating evidence of drug dealing at the Scott ranch. The
> informant, who had a long criminal background, took his considerable
> fee for telling the Sheriff what he wanted to hear, and promptly left
> town. The Sheriff used the "evidence" he had assembled to obtain a
> search warrant. In a pre-raid briefing, the Sheriff made certain to
> distribute the key piece of evidence against the Scotts: an appraisal
> of their property.
>
> This style of policing has become so routine that you probably
> wouldn't be reading about this case except for one flaw. Donald Scott
> didn't roll over. He resisted what he thought was a robbery and was
> shot dead in his own home. In all the commotion, the police must have
> forgotten to plant the drugs, because none were ever found. When the
> L.A. County Prosecuter's Office looked into the case, what they found
> was that the testimony of the informant was probably fabricated, the
> Sheriff's Department had knowingly falsified eficence, and the
> motivation for the raid was a desire to boost the department's
> depleted budget.
>
> MANUFACTURING PROBABLE CAUSE
> If you think what happened to the Scotts is uncommon, you haven't
> been reading between the lines in enough newspapers lately. Asset
> forfeiture, once a tool used only against large-scale organized crime
> figures, is now an element in virtually every drug bust. The
> incentives built into the asset forfeiture mechanism have so skewed
> the efforts of law enforcement that most of the real criminals are now
> on the police department payroll.

>
> "Asset forfeiture" means asset theft. If the police suspect that
> something you bought was paid for, in part, with proceeds from an
> illegal drug transaction, they can take it. They don't have to PROVE
> it, they just have to SUSPECT it. Then YOU have to prove that you
> didn't buy those items (your house, your car, your boat, your spouse's
> wedding ring) with drug money.
>
> If NO PROOF is required to seize your assets, then what's required
> for "suspicion"? Usually, the court requires that the cops must find
> enough narcotics on your property to qualify as "distribution," not
> merely "possession." Of course, police have been found to ADD as much
> dope as necessary to obtain a charge of distribution, thus opening the
> asset forfeiture cookie jar. They simply take drugs confiscated from
> other busts and use them to pad their case. Sometimes, however, there
> just isn't enough dope to go around. No problem! The cops now
> manufacture it.
>
> In Broward County, Florida, the sheriff decided that in order to
> continue its sting operations, it needed a greater supply of
> narcotics. So the sheriff's department started making crack in the
> police lab, which was then distributed by undercover agents. If this
> sounds like the cops have gone into the drug business, just wait until
> you see who's on their payroll.
>
> Asset forfeiture money can only be used for prosecuting drug
> crimes. And paying informants qualifies. Many informants now make
> more money than the officers paying them. If you had a choice between
> robbing a bank for, say, $10,000 or whispering a name into a cop's
> ear, which would you choose? You can see why becoming a paid
> informant is the best game in town. Since you're usually pointing the
> finger at ordinary citizens, you don't have the same risks as
> informing on a Mafia kingpin. There seems to be little to lose and
> much to gain.
>
> And where do the cops recruit informants who will tell them what
> they want to hear? From the ranks of real criminals, of course. If
> you get busted for armed robbery or rape or auto theft, and you have
> no assets, you're just a big fat burden to the system. You have to be
> prosecuted and jailed at considerable taxpayer expense. So the police
> will let you off the hook if you cooperate and tell them what they
> want to hear, which is that you saw Joe Asset dealing drugs out of his
> house. You collect your fee, they have their probably cause, and,
> within a few hours, their treasury is getting fatter instead of being
> siphoned off.
>
> THE FINE ART OF FORFEITURE
> So let's see if you're paying attention to the vanishing civil
> liberties here. The law says the cops can take all your property if
> they find drugs. The law says the cops can manufacture these drugs,

> and that they can pay informants -- even notorious criminals --
> ESPECIALLY notorious criminals -- huge sums of money to say you might
> be a drug dealer. Then the cops can bust into your home with guns
> drawn and, if they "find" anything there, can haul you to jail and
> seize your bank accounts and all your property so you can't hire a
> lawyer. And if a relative tries to pay for your lawyer, the cops can
> seize your relative's bank accounts and property, too, if they SUSPECT
> that you gave your relative money from your drug dealings.
>
> Yes, that's the beauty of asset forfeiture: it doesn't have to be
> limited to criminals! According to "Newsweek" magazine, in only half
> of the forfeitures through 1990 were the forfeiting parties CHARGED
> with a crime, much less CONVICTED. The thinnest of connections
> between the stolen -- oops, I mean FORFEITED -- property and the crime
> is enough. A New Jersey woman lost her Oldsmobile because her son
> drove it to Sears where he was arrested for shoplifting a pair of
> pants. An Iowa man had his $6,000 boat seized after he was captured
> with three illegally-caught fish.
>
> The reason so much property is forfeited without any criminal
> charges is that asset forfeiture maneuvers around the so-called rights
> people have under the Constitution. Most asset forfeiture cases are
> civil proceedings, not criminal. The party on trial is the property,
> not the person. Normal rights that apply to PEOPLE, such as
> prohibitions on illegal searches and seizures, the right to a speedy
> trial, the right to adequate counsel, due process, and -- most
> importantly -- the presumption of innocence, do not apply to PROPERTY.
>
> If they seize your $3,000 car, are you going to pay an attorney
> $5,000 to get it back? Most people roll over. Remember, even if your
> property is found innocent, you can't sue for damages. And you have
> to come up with money in a hurry if you want to put up a fight: you
> must put down a deposit of 10% of the property value within 10 days of
> notification to contest a forfeiture. If you miss the 10-day
> deadline, kiss your assets goodbye. Of course, the cops probably
> seized all your cash and readily-marketable property, leaving you with
> no money for the 10% deposit.
>
> If you make the 10% deposit, 10-day deadline, you still need to
> hire a lawyer. Remember, your property does not have the right to an
> attorney if it cannot afford one. What happend if your lawyer wants
> $10,000 up front? That's not an unusual amount for these cases. Once
> again, with all your assets seized, you've got a problem. And if you
> think your bank will lend you -- an accused drug dealer -- money
> against your house, which is now in the possession of the police,
> think again. So it's back to begging from those relatives and friends
> who haven't had all their assets seized.
>
> Now that you've hired your lawyer, get ready for a long, expensive
> trial. After all, the cops and prosecuting attorney have MILLIONS in

> seized property with which to wage a legal battle. If they win, they
> get to divvy up YOUR loot.
>
> Let's say you persevere and win and they finally return your money
> and property. Well, your money goes to pay back your friends for
> fronting the attorney's fees. And your property? Oh, that was
> destroyed in the search for drugs which were never found, then it was
> left unprotected in the elements for the several years it took to try
> the case. Sorry about that. Good luck trying to get your insurance
> company to pay for the damages caused by the police.
>
> THE GRAVY TRAIN
> To understand why asset forfeiture has become so popular, you have
> to look at it from the pig's eye view. Let's say you're running a sty
> (police department) and the citizens are complaining mightily about
> crime. But your budget has more holes in it than the Saddam Hussein
> target out on the shooting range. Here are the choices you have:
>
> 1. Tell the citizens to pay more taxes.
> 2. Tell the citizens to protect themselves.
> 3. Kiss the mayor's ass until he or she cuts someone else's
> budget in order to give you a little more money.
> 4. Find the most unpopular wealthy person in town, bust them for
> drugs, and take all their assets.
>
> If you chose option 4, you're well on your way to a promising
> career in law enforcement. In fact, you're well on your way to the
> mayor's job. Because not only do you dramatically increase your
> budget without increasing taxes, but you become a media darling as a
> crime fighter in the process.
>
> The very best part about asset forfeiture law, from a pig's eye
> view, is you don't have to share the proceeds with anyone. By law,
> the money can only be spent on further law enforcement. The mayor
> can't take it to fix roads or build a community center; the government
> can't take it to improve schools. It can't even be used to fund drug
> treatment centers. Just about the only thing it can be used for is a
> surveillance helicopter for you and the department, or a fleet of
> sports cars with sirens, or "multi-purpose" vehicles for the officers
> to drive to work, or bonuses, or training seminars in Maui.
>
> The asset forfeiture gravy train is so good that the pigs' own
> trade journal -- "The F.B.I. Law Enforcement Bulletin" -- is
> instructing police departments everywhere how to set upi their own
> Asset Forfeiture Units (AFUs). According to a 1990 survey conducted
> by the Jefferson Institute, "most local jurisdictions do not use
> [asset forfeiture] units to the fullest potential. In fact, few of
> the units successfully tap the full potential of forfeiture statutes."
> The FBI praises the Chicago Police Department's AFU as an example
> worth emulating.

>
> Started as an administrative function, the Chicago AFU quickly
> grew and divided into FIVE GROUPS, including special groups for
> vehicles and real estate. A special "investigative unit" is there to
> back up the street cops who are often "unfamiliar with the intricate
> asset forfeiture statutes, and neglect to seize other valuable
> assets." I know I'll sleep better at night knowing these guys are
> making sure no stone goes untaken. While all seized assets must be
> used for law enforcement, how the pie is split is different in
> different states. In Illinois, 65% goes to the seizing agency, 10% to
> the state police and the balance -- 25% -- to the prosecuting
> attorney's office.
>
> A handy article in the March, 1993, FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin
> explains what to do if you run into the annoying situation that the
> assets you want to seize aren't held in the name of the defendant.
> The determined Asset Forfeiture Officer won't let legal ownership
> stand between the department and those assets. The well-trained Asset
> Forfeiture Officer knows to ask critical questions, such as: Whose
> name is on the insurance policy? Who pays for repairs to the
> property? Who "controlled" the purchase? The diligent Forfeiture
> Officer conducts surveillance to see who usese the property.
>
> When you have a large Asset Forfeiture Unit -- and, let's face it,
> every town SHOULD have one -- then you have the resources for a really
> thorough investigation. Here are a few items the FBI thinks you
> should look at when checking out a suspect:
>
> - Motor vehicle registration
> - Real property search for deeds
> - Search warrants should cover all documents
> - Use informants and co-defendants
> - Surveillance should include:
> - Garbage pickup
> - Mail cover
> - Wiretap
> - Telephone records analysis
> - Bank deposit and safety deposit records for searches
> - Tax returns
>
> And a very thorough investigation includes not only the defendant,
> but also the defendant's "parents, relatives, wives, children,
> girlfriends, etc." The defendant might have shared some of the
> proceeds of criminal activity with these other persons, and so all of
> THEIR assets might be available for the taking.
>
> ASSET FORFEITURE AS A BIG STICK
> The Drug Enforcement Administration now has more personnel working
> on asset forfeiture than any other activity. The Volusia County
> (Florida) Sheriff's Department has managed to seize over $8 million

> JUST FROM MOTORISTS on I-95. In Washington State, legislation has
> been proposed to seize the cars and other assets of drunk drivers. In
> New York, they want to seize cars for parking tickets. But current
> legislation is already adequate in many places.
>
> Asset Forfeiture Units are so lucrative that in some places they
> are larger than the rest of the police departments. And they're busy,
> too. Plice are going through criminal cases that were tried and
> disposed of years ago to see if they missed the opportunity to take
> some assets. If assets werent seized, they just take them now through
> civil forfeiture. You can't be tried twice for the same crime, but
> YOUR PROPERTY isn't protected from "double jeopardy." Soon, anyone
> who committed any crime when they were a teenager -- even shoplifting
> -- can have their property seized now that they are adults and have
> assets worth taking.
>
> Police don't even need to seize assets to get results. Just the
> threat of forfeiture can have a chilling impact on police targets. In
> Seattle, the Drug Abatement Unit (yes, they've started softening the
> names of Asset Forfeiture Units) has been able to shut down "raves"
> before they get started by threatening building owners with civil
> forfeiture.
>
> "Raves" are parties that are not announced in advance and often
> take place in warehouses and vacant buildings rather than in
> traditional nightclubs. For several years, the Seattle Police
> Department has waged a war against these parties. At first they
> required a dizzying array of permits. When that didn't work, they
> threatened building owners with asset forfeitures.
>
> In the dozens of raves shut down by Seattle pork, NO ONE HAS EVER
> BEEN CONVICTED OF POSSESSION OR DISTRIBUTION OF ILLEGAL SUBSTANCES.
> There was ONE arrest for LSD possession, which was dropped before
> going to trial. Yet the cops have frozen rave promoters out of the
> market with threats of asset forfeiture. The promoters themselves
> don't have any assets (they are mostly underfinanced kids), so the
> police threaten building owners. Following the new wave of law
> enforcement, they put their muscle where the money is.
>
> RECENT SUPREME COURT DECISIONS
> Opponents of asset forfeiture have hailed two Supreme Court
> decisions which supposedly restrict the use of this powerful tool.
> The media may be declaring victory in the battle against asset
> forfeiture, but the Justice Department is hardly accepting defeat.
> Rather, these cases mark the refinement and EXPANSION of the most
> powerful tool in the law enforcement arsenal.
>
> In the first decision, the Supremes said that asset forfeiture was
> a form of punishment and prohibited if "excessive." The failed to
> define "excessive," leaving it for lower courts to hash out. In the

> second decision, announced in December, 1993, the Court said that the
> victims of forfeiture must be notified before their property is
> seized. I'm sure that will come as a great comfort to them.
>
> Lawyers at the Justice Department have read the handwriting on the
> wall: a rising number of court cases and bills aimed at curbing
> forfeiture. Rather than risk losing their favorite weapon, the
> Justice Department has written its own legislation to "reform" asset
> forfeiture laws. They would curb the headline-grabbing excesses of
> law enforcement yahoos who try to seize someone's home for a parking
> ticket. At the same time, this legislation will EXPAND the
> application of asset forfeiture beyond drug crimes to all kinds of
> offenses, especially "white collar crime." I suppose that "white
> collar crime" means those offenses where the perpetrator has some
> assets worth taking.
>
> FREE MARKET FORFEITURE?
> Perhaps you find this information on asset forfeiture a little
> frightening, a little repubnant? But really, it's very Libertarian.
> I mean, why should we have to fund law enforcement through taxes? Why
> not make the criminals pay for the police, by seizing their ill-gotten
> gains? Used to its fullest potential, asset forfeiture could lead to
> totally "privatized" police departments, funded completely with money
> seized from "criminals." A great burden would be lifted from the
> taxpaying public.
>
> So let's hear it for the private police departments of the 21st
> Century! Let's give a cheer for asset forfeiture!
> --
> If you think this is a load of crap, you're dead wrong. The filthy
> cops who murdered Donald Scott continue to work to this day, even
> though they were found to be negligent. In addition, Scott's home
> "mysteriously" burned down in the middle of the night and the property
> is now on the verge of being turned over to the US Park Department,
> which also had officers on the raid. The fucking PARK Department? In
> "USA Today" every Wednesday there is a list of hundreds and thousands
> of people who have had property similarly confiscated. According to
> the government's own reports, fully EIGHTY per cent of those people
> are never even charged with a crime, much less convicted. Yet their
> property is never returned. One of these days someone will get
> desperate enough to blow up a municipal building or kill some police
> officers who were on the raid. Oklahoma City should have been a great
> big warning to the repressive United States government. They will
> reap a bitter harvest if they continue on this path. I urge them to
> think hard about what they are doing, and I urge calm and for people
> to NOT use violence, which will only make things worse. Please,
> politicians, for the love of God and our country, turn things around!
> And you Citizens, please stop voting for those politicians who take
> away our freedoms!

--
*** Can you imagine voting for Al Gore? ***

184. "Eric Oyen"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Info. desk" <pltgst@mcomail.net>
Message-ID: <01ca2b9a$29ec7240$307dedcc@6>
Source record: 187388

King Eric The Great
Behold brothers and sisters, I bring you the profound story
of how King Eric the Great became so. It is an exciting
tale filled with wonder, awe, and heroic deeds. Come closer,as I relate
this grand history.
In the land of Scannerdom, there was a terrible injustice in the county of
Power Supply. The evil mercenary force from Capacitor held the people of
Smoke prisoner. Repeater, the ruler in exile of Power Supply, offered a
rare and unused Radio Shack battery card to anyone who could free the
people
of Smoke from their Capacitor prison. A young and fearless warrior stepped
forward to take up the gauntlet. Repeater told him of the dangers but the
young man was undaunted and resolutely set off to free them.
When he arrived at the gate, Eric was challenged by the fearsome guardians
Positive and Negative. So terrible were these mighty sentinels that even
the renowned guardian Cerebus trembled when faced with Positive and
Negative. Armed with his trusty screwdriver he didst battle with them. Now,
the
screwdriver is no ordinary weapon in the hands of a
professional. But our hero, battle tested and proven in the labs and
urinals of Technical School, was no simple professional. He had become a
Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade.
The guards fired spinning hex nuts and sliced with the dreaded razor sharp
quartertwenty threads. Again and again, using every feint, lunge, and parry
the guardians had learned in centuries of defending the cabinet, but to no
avail. In the end, Eric maneuvered himself and with one swift strike, he
ended it. He had touched both guardians in the only way to kill
them. He bridged the gap between them in a move only a Master Bladesman
could execute, the Short.
The Capacitor force exploded from the cataclysm releasing the Smoke from
their electrolytic bondage. Afterward, the Smoke rewarded Eric with their
highest honor, the Diddler of the Spark.
Eric, hoisted by his own petard, began the journey home. In the land of
Recdotradiodotscanner, he was attacked by the Legions of Ennui. These were
no ordinary warriors, but elite shock troops. Armed with the latest in
Apathy, Disdain, and Contempt, they lay seige to our hero.
For months, a battle of attrition was waged. One after another, mighty
warriors all, they fell. Even I, your humble scribe was a soldier in the
Legion of Ennui, but I too, fell to the great Eric. No one could understand
how a single man could withstand
such an onslaught as we heaped upon him. That is, until we learned the
source of his great strength. He was the most favored disciple of the
malevolent gods Fraud and Liar. They had taught him the secret fighting
languages of Turpitude, nnuendo, and the most deadly of them all,

Obscenity.
Repeatedly, he repelled the attacks with adroit displays of oratorical onanism.
There was a point in the war when we thought we were going to prevail. We had Eric surrounded, he was in everybody's kill file, and his ISPs were terminating his accounts as fast as he could login. He had been beaten back to where he stood alone
armed only with a 300 baud modem and a Commodore 64.
Alas, we were all victim to his great strategy and now he sprang his most devastating weapon. Surrounding him with our keyboards poised for the final death blow, he hoisted his hands above him.
Like Moses bestowing the Ten Commandments to the chosen people, in his hands, his mail-order diploma proclaiming him Master of Communication Technology smote us down.
Cowered by the supreme Divinity before us, a hush fell upon the urrounding army. The only sound was a muffled cough from one of the younger soldiers. We lay prostrate on the ground before him fearful of his wrath. Who were we to show the temerity to
challenge his divine turgescence. We waited. Slowly, he walked through the defeated masses and went home.
Upon returning to Scannerdom, word of his great deeds had receded him. He was ordained Supreme Grand Master Fool of the Universe and the people clamored for his ordination as. In response, the Church of Scanner Mods proclaimed him
King and the great sage and visionary Pope Cheek presided over the coronation. As he placed the Discone Crown upon his head, he spoke the sacred papal blessing, "This boy reeks."
So there you have it, brothers and sisters, behold the saga of his fetidness, King Eric the Great, Ruler of Torpor, Supreme Grand Master Fool of the Universe, Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade, holder of the Diddler of the Spark, Divine Master of Communication Technology, High Priest of the sect of
Fraud and Liar, and Orational Master of Turpitude, Innuendo, and Obscenity.
All hail King Eric the Great.

185. Re: HELP : Busted by Police UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net>
Message-ID: <5ugebh$5rp$2@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 187389

DAV... wrote:
>
> UK911 wrote:
> >
> > Hi
> >
> > This is the first time I've visited this group because I am in the UK but
> > I was hoping that some of you may be able to help another hobbyist like
> > myself. The Police recently attended my home address here in the UK and
> > spotted two of my scanners, both were base base models (Yupiteru and
> > Relaistic). I managed to reset them but they have now been seized by the
> > Police so that they can be examined to see if they had any Police
> > frequencies in them. Now that I reset them, can they detect what has been
> > in the channels before and if for example in channel 26 I had 452.395 in it
> > but replaced it with 169.3125 i.e. replacing the original frequency, can
> > they tell what was in that channel before ?
> >
> > I'd appreciate any help, preferably by direct email to UK911@aol.com The
> > law is pretty crap over here and I was prosecuted for listening to the
> > Police when I was 17 yrs old, before I knew the laws etc. Maybe you have
> > had similar experiences, any help would be appreciated !!!
> >
> > Many thanks
> >
> > Chunky
> > ENGLAND
>
> WOW! I have been to England for a month and I did not know you guys
> are suffering from lack of FREEDOM! Here in the USA, we are destroying
> our freedoms too. Our governments (federal,state, and local) are moving
> into new territory. No longer do we have inalienable rights. WE will
> soon have to ask our governments if we can mow our grass. Their
> response will be:
> "You may mow your grass if you use a "state" approved solar powered
> lawnmower, and we must first come out and inspect your yard to see if
> you have any endgangered species of bugs, or animals living on it. You
> may have your property confiscated by us (the federal government) if we
> find any spotted owls, horned toads, or anyone of many other species
> living on your property."
>

England is worst off than us or the rest of Europe. They have banned
guns, knives, scanner listening and, after Di's death, probably the press
too. Plus, their football fans are the bad boys of Europe.

186. Re: Bounty Hunter Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrio9202@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902081301.EAA16839@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187390

I can ask a friend he is a Bounty Hunter here in Los Angeles, OK

187. Re: The Feds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cliff Pearson <CliffP@ktvt.com>
Message-ID: <340BFC47.B8E@ktvt.com>
Source record: 187391

DAV... wrote:
david084@flash.net wrote:

> Thanks Cliff! I love KTVT! I was brought up in Ft Worth were KTVT
> was the most fun station to watch.

Well thanks, you must be that one viewer that keeps showing up
in the ratings! :)

No, seriously, our station has an excellent photography staff and
that's what makes the difference.

Our photographers continue to win Emmy's and Katie's and one of
our guys just won the Edward R. Murrow Award (TV News equivalent of a
Pulitzer). This makes him one of two such winners in the entire
Metroplex.

Another of our guys has six Emmy nominations and two actual Emmy's and
he's only 24 years old. We also won last year's Emmy for best newscast
and an anchor won the Emmy for best news anchor. And we were voted
best newscast in Texas by the Associated Press last year.

I'm proud to be a part of the team I'm on and I make no secret
of the pride I feel for my staff.

> I dont know if you continue along those lines now,

Still at 11 News.

> but thanks for all the info on the FBI. Im sure they
> monitor this Newsgroup, and I am sure they do not like what you have
> said here.

I'm a firm believer in the public's right to know and in the
freedom of information.

> Watch for Janet Reno driving an M1 Abrams. She will trench
> your nice St. Augustine grass in the near future!

I have friends at the Dallas FBI and they know I listen to them.

Thanks for your e-mail!

Cliff Pearson
Assignment Editor
KTVT Channel 11 News (CBS)
Dallas, Texas

188. Re: Here are CIA Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccusers@indstate.edu
Message-ID: <EFw2Ks.5nz@onyx.indstate.edu>
Source record: 187392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <34090706.2902@pacbell.net>, bedrock1@pacbell.net wrote:
>WHY HAVE A SCANNER IF YOU CANT FIGUR HW TU USE IT. SELL IT NOW. BEFORE
>ITS TO LATE.

189. Re: BC9000 - Feedback Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccusers@indstate.edu
Message-ID: <EFw2DH.5MF@onyx.indstate.edu>
Source record: 187393
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You'll probably get more feedback if you post what you want to use it for,
cellular, police, weather....

In article <5ud5cv$an8@mtinsc05.worldnet.att.net>, emw-1@att.net.ANTISPAM!
wrote:
>I am considering the purchase of a BC9000. I would greatly appreciate
>any feedback from those who are currently using this unit.
>
>Concerning the alpha display, can someone tell me how many characters
>the display will allow? Also, what type of antenna connector is on the
>back.
>
>Thanks very much!
>
> *** To reply, please remove .ANTISPAM! from the end of my e-mail
>address. Thanks! ***
>

190. HELP ME PLEASE !!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: uk911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902101701.GAA11993@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187394

Hi

I have posted a similar message but had no direct answers yet although I have had a lot of support. My scanners have been seized by the Police, I live in the UK and it's a bit dodgy over here.

Q : If I reset the scanners, will they (the authorities) be able to find out what had been stored in them ?

Q : If I programmed a new frequency over another frequency, i.e. changed the channels frequency, can they tell what has been in that channel previously ?

Your help would be very appreciated.

Chunky
ENGLAND

191. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902123801.IAA22493@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187395

In article <340B9076.6ADC@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>I can't speak for Eric, but I think MOST of what you say is mindless
>babble, so why don't you just check out of this thread. (Maybe
>it will die then)

Why do you insist on keeping it going then?

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
KF4DDM since 9/95 on 2m & 10m
KADF-6895 since 9/76 on 11m
Active scanner and Internet freak as well
(no license required)

192. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902120201.IAA21239@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187396

>Subject: Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond
>From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cogent.net>
>Date: Mon, 01 Sep 1997 23:48:56 -0700
>Message-id: <340BB6D8.210B@cogent.net>

>You can't compare a pro-2035 to a pro-2006. The 2006 is the best
>scanner ever made.
>
>Jim Wayda

Why's that?

193. Re: Frecuencias en España

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: pegasus@NO.SPAMS.nym.alias.net
Message-ID: <19970902233022996 22@[195.76.218.89]>
Source record: 187397

DAV... <david084@flash.net> wrote:

> SPEAK ENGLISH and you wont have these problems

I have no problem WRITING in English, as you can see. perhaps, Juancho <fotografo@redestb.es> doesn't has realized that this group is english written, or it's possible that he doesn't speak (and/or write) english very well... His original message was written in spanish, so I answered him in spanish too.

For the rest of the group: The original message was asking about frequencies for Spain. My answer referred him to the almost created newsgroup es.rec.radio.scanners, a "spanish version" of this newsgrup.

WH
--
Winged Horse ----- Neverland's End Inc.
pegasus@NO.SPAMS.nym.alias.net
PGP Key Fingerprint: B2 44 EE DD 98 81 64 DF 46 F2 B1 1C 7F 13 87 10
Please, remove the NO.SPAMS from email replies...

194. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, JOHN MACKEY, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902135900.JAA25830@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187398

In article <340BAB44.3070@flash.net>, "DAV..." <david084@flash.net> writes:

>Jack wrote:
>>
>> I was at the colorado river this weekend riding my jetski, "WHAT A BLAST!
>
> Shhhh! If the wrong people hear that Jetskis are fun, they will be
>outlawed! I want to buy one soon too!

Or they'll begin requiring Morse code knowledge as a condition of
operation. That would surely kill off the hobby in no time.

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
KF4DDM since 9/95 on 2m & 10m
KADF-6895 since 9/76 on 11m
Active scanner and Internet freak as well
(no license required)

195. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, JOHN MACKEY, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902135900.JAA18661@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187399

In article <340B9D6F.7EA2@psn.net>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@psn.net> writes:

>MN Maniac wrote:
>>
>> In article <19970902002100.UAA15794@ladder02.news.aol.com>, kc7diu@aol.com
>> (KC7DIU) writes:
>>
>> >Are you dillholes still at it? Jeez, what a terrible waste of the Labor
>> >Day weekend. Meanwhile, I'm going to go put another stuffed pork chop on
>> >the grill and open ANOTHER ice cold beer.
>> >Rec.radio.scanner members, over to you!
>>
>> Hey, I can't help it that THE OYE BOY and his bosom buddy Mackey like to
>> talk to me so much.

>Interesting that you (as a ham, cb'er, etc) should state this drew.....
>You are now showing your true colors. Congratulations, you have now
>opened your mouth and proved to the world just how big a fool you are.

And you have just proven my statement true by answering me and keeping
this going once again.

Still waiting to hear from your lawyer, OYE BOY!

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
KF4DDM since 9/95 on 2m & 10m
KADF-6895 since 9/76 on 11m
Active scanner and Internet freak as well
(no license required)

196. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5uhapk$oro@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Jim Wayda <jwayda@cogent.net> wrote:
>
>You can't compare a pro-2035 to a pro-2006. The 2006 is the best
>scanner ever made.
>
>Jim Wayda

Jeepers Ma, I better get rid of my IC-7100 and buy a 2006 then,
right?? Fat chance!

Jon

197. Re: Don't use "***" header !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: holford@cnwl.igs.net.nospam
Message-ID: <5uhccr$1v7$2@news.igs.net>
Source record: 187451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3408c25b.5133506@news.mcgill.ca>, masa@writemeNOSPAM.com&#65533; says...
>
>Seems many people started to use *** ..... *** headers. Please
>refrain. Now, I should change the style so that others can (or can't
>I should say !!) see my articles and.....
>
>
>
>_____
>
>M@S@
>Student @ McGill University Science
>Montreal, Quebec, Canada
>E-mail : Masa@WriteMe.NOSPAMcom
>URL : http://www.geocities.com/~masataka (General)
> http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/main.htm (Radio)
>_____
>
>Remove "NOSPAM" to reply.

```
Please change your style so that we can***NOT*** see your articles.

rec.radio.amateur,
rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.amateur.space,
rec.radio.scanner,
alt.radio.scanner

You must be slowing down, you only posted to five groups.
--
Dave Holford.
```

198. Michigan Scanner Law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWMJR@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5uha5orgd1@newsd-121.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 187452

I talked to a Plymouth Twp.police officer and he just recently arrested 2 people for having a scanner in their vehicle.He told me,"You can have a scanner in your vehicle,you cannot have an antenna hooked up and no batteries inside.The judges look for if the scanner is readily available."So,the big deal is over NOW we all know what the law states.If anyone cares to continue this silliness just visit your nearest police dept. or go to the State Police Dept.they can settle any worries any of us handheld scanners people have.....John

199. Need RS Pro 51 Audio Output Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cgraham1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902161101.MAA02993@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187453

Can any one tell me a mod to boost the audio output of this scanner. I am trying to use it at the race track. any help would be greatly appreciated. Please reply email.

Thanks
Chuck

200. Re: What is a good scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902163901.MAA27291@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187454

>Subject: What is a good scanner?
>From: "CB" <cbax@erols.com>
>Date: 2 Sep 1997 15:56:49 GMT
>Message-id: <01bcb7b9$1cb6b520$f841accf@christopher>

>I am in the market for a good scanner and was wondering if anybody here can
>offer some advise.

Yeah a good scanner is either the last one you sold or the next one you're
going to buy. The one you have at the moment is pretty good but if it only
was a little faster, had a few extra channels and could be easily modified
it would be better.

201. Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: larryj@kelly.teleport.com
Message-ID: <5uhgj7dlo1@kelly.teleport.com>
Source record: 187455

In article <01ca2bd8$2f88b860$237dedcc@6>,
Info. desk <pltgst@mcomail.net> wrote:
>Newsgroups: alt.sex.escorts.ads
>[Fewer Headers]
>
>Hello,
>I am a blind male, 31 years, Caucasian looking for either a
>relationship or some fun (your choice). I am looking for a female of
>any race to enjoy some personal time with.
>I can be reached at n7zzt@psn.net
>Thanks..
>Eric.

So how can Eric, a blind guy, be "Looking" for anything?

Guess we shouldn't be surprised......

202. Re: Michigan Scanner Law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: CRAIG <csom>
Message-ID: <01bcb7c1$749dc8c0$5eca2581@compuserve>
Source record: 187456

You CAN have a scanner in your car in Michigan is you apply for a permit
OR you have a ham license. Or, you are a police officer. Case closed! I
know a non-ham who applied for a permit and wrote "hobby" as the reason and
GOT IT!
I know several EMT's who applied for permits and GOT THEM!

--CRAIG

John Masters Jr. <JWMJR@webtv.net> wrote in article
<5uha5orgd1@newsd-121.bryant.webtv.net>...
> I talked to a Plymouth Twp.police officer and he just recently arrested
> 2 people for having a scanner in their vehicle.He told me,"You can have
> a scanner in your vehicle,you cannot have an antenna hooked up and no
> batteries inside.The judges look for if the scanner is readily
> available."So,the big deal is over NOW we all know what the law
> states.If anyone cares to continue this silliness just visit your
> nearest police dept. or go to the State Police Dept.they can settle any
> worries any of us handheld scanners people have.....John
>

203. Re: HELP ME PLEASE !!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <5uhghk$6v9@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 187457

UK911 (uk911@aol.com) writes:
> Hi
>
> I have posted a similar message but had no direct answers yet although I
> have had a lot of support. My scanners have been seized by the Police, I
> live in the UK and it's a bit dodgy over here.
>
> Q : If I reset the scanners, will they (the authorities) be able to find
> out what had been stored in them ?

No, if you hit reset the banks are erased. There (AFAIK) is no memory of
what was programmed.

> Q : If I programmed a new frequency over another frequency, i.e. changed
> the channels frequency, can they tell what has been in that channel previously ?

Same answer, the memory is not like magnetic tape when it is gone it is gone!

> Your help would be very appreciated.
>
Hmmmm! I can't help but wonder, if your scanners are siezed by the Police
how would you have access to reset them???

204. want to use handheld in car, antenna opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Douglas White <dwhite@nist.gov>
Message-ID: <340C511E.3389C60E@nist.gov>
Source record: 187458

I've got a bottom-of-the-line RShack scanner that I use for railroad (160-162) freqs. I can power it off the cig lighter, but the short rubber antenna can't seem to handle signals in a car at speed.

Can anyone recommend a good antenna to use with a car for the 160 range? Something magnetic or with a bracket would be nice, as I never know which car I'll be in. Can I tap into the existing car antenna?

--

Knowledge is of two kinds: we know a subject ourselves, or we know where we can find information upon it. Samuel Johnson, 1709-1784

Douglas White Voice: 301-975-4761 FAX: 301-216-1369
NIST, 225/B217 Office: 225/B231 Email:
dwhite@nist.gov
Gaithersburg, MD 20899 Web: http://rigel.nist.gov/~dwhite/

205. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340C563A.BD1@usa.net>
Source record: 187459

Eric - the world already knew that.

Eric Oyen wrote:
> You are now showing your true colors. Congratulations, you have now
> opened your mouth and proved to the world just how big a fool you are.

206. BC895XLT Shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G. Chatten" <gchatten@advdig.com>
Message-ID: <340C5905.35B7@advdig.com>
Source record: 187460

We have stock & are shipping BC-895XLT Trunk-Trackers TODAY !

Pleae visit

http://www.advdig.com

207. Re: pro2035 cell unblock code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902192201.PAA14405@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187461

>On 30 Aug 1997 23:31:11 GMT, johnnyreb1@aol.com (JohnnyReb1) wrote:
>
>>275 681 149 364 630 538 49
>How do you program this in?
>
First, hold down the "9," "2" and "memory" keys on your scanner, while
turning the scanner off and then back on. Then, press the "program,"
followed by "manual" and then "enter" keys. Then enter those digits in the
exact order as you see them. That will do the trick.

208. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: efaubion@oklahoma.net
Message-ID: <340c665d.156327905@news.okc.oklahoma.net>
Source record: 187462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

Gumby@tamu.edu (Marc) wrote:

>> Next time you see a mailman walking across your property on his rounds
>> ask him if he will allow you to read your neighbors' mail.

>The issue is more of whether you would be allowed to look at the back of a
>postcard that was delivered in your mailbox, as the pager info is spewed in
>a wide area with no restrictions or encriptions that prevent anyone else
>from understanding what is recieved, and as such there is no expectation of
>privacy.

Until it happens to be your page, then the issue changes doesn't it?
And don't tell me you're one of those people who enjoys having your
private mail read by others.

>For email, remove second "y" from Gumbyy@tamu.edu

Can I add this to my list of Aggie jokes?

ef

209. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Allbright <wharfrat@pcisys.net>
Message-ID: <340C4CDA.2883@pcisys.net>
Source record: 187463
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

David... wrote:
>
> Galen, I read your post and I understand the frustration level you
> feel.
> Like you, I hate to see our great country on the road to tranny.
> It takes just a few jerks in our government to do great damage to
> the freedoms of every individual in America.
>
> Ever since Clinton arrived in D.C., it seems the people have become

Woooo ... back the truck up .... didn't you read Galen's missive?
This thing really started rolling with Rey-Gun..
Got it's first real support from Nixxon (as in Exxon).

And the LEA's have been riding the train ever since..

I don't see anyone stopping this....
To do that you would have to admit that the "War on Drugs" is morally
bankrupt.
This allows the LEA to bankrole there increased operations without
increasing taxes.

Why do you suppose the police and jailer's unions are always for a law
that increases jail time?
Becasue it is the _moral_ thing to do?

Now we are setting up a cottage industry where retired people lease the
equipment necessary to monitor those on house arrest. The courts then
pay these people a fee to monitor the house incarcerated.

We are turning our houses into jails and our citizens into jailers.
All in the name of _Law ands Order_ (another Nixxon issue).

<<snip>>>

> --
> *** Can you imagine voting for Al Gore? ***

In a heart beat ace...

--
-+-
Jan Allbright - "14-91" - Calhan Volunteer Fire Department
wharfrat@pcisys.net - KC0BUY -
real people need to remove NoSpam from my email address
-+-

210. Need Opinions On The BC 9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <5uhtst$4fp@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 187464

I'm looking for some opinions on the Bear Cat 9000XTL scanner made by Uniden. I'm wondering how it's worked out for you, what price I should get it at, and anthing else you think is important. Thanks a lot for reading!

X
Trust No One

211. FS: Yupiteru MTV-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Rosa <rlrosa1@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <340C937F.596D@ibm.net>
Source record: 187465

Greetings:

I have a Yupiteru MTV-7100 scanner for sale. Covers 530khz to 1650mhz with no gaps. Radio has 1000 memories and 10 search banks. Includes charger, antenna, original box and leather case. Asking $450. Email me at rlrosa1@ibm.net for more information if you are interested.

ENJOY

Richard Rosa

212. FS: pro 39 and 62

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: msmith3074@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970902215101.RAA25506@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187466

Pro 39 scanner with nicads and charger......200.00

Pro 62 like new no box or book.....125.00

plus shipping.

MSmith3074@aol.com

213. PC - Scanner Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Douglas Coull <dougcoull@zetnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <19970902234428727 24@zetnet.co.uk>
Source record: 187467

Hi All,

I'm keen to hook up my PC to a scanner.

If anyone has experiences - good or bad - I'd welcome your thoughts.

Recommended kit and software?

TIA.

--
Regards,

Dougie.

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Douglas Coull, Langbank, Scotland
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> dougcoull@zetnet.co.uk

214. Re: pro2035 cell unblock code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: GOINGBROKE <Xgoingbroke@usa.netX>
Message-ID: <01bcb7d0$f8a86f80$60d8edcc@goingbroke>
Source record: 187468

> >>275 681 149 364 630 538 49
> >How do you program this in?
> >
> First, hold down the "9," "2" and "memory" keys on your scanner, while
> turning the scanner off and then back on. Then, press the "program,"
> followed by "manual" and then "enter" keys. Then enter those digits in
the
> exact order as you see them. That will do the trick.

Is this option available on all 2035's, or do I need another piece of
equipment hooked up to it? What "memory" key?

Thanks
Going Broke
goingbroke@usa.net

215. Re: Scanner Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: gonzo@alt.anonymous.messages
Message-ID: <340c93f6.197900932@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 187469

On Mon, 11 Aug 1997 08:21:04 GMT, ae920@freenet.buffalo.edu (Ronald P. Dooley) wrote:

>I i have a pro 33 and a pro 30 can not find any mods for then ned them.
>thanks .
>--
I don't know of any for the Pro-30, but for the Pro-33:

Remove power and antenna
Remove screws from case
Locate LOGIC board
Locate and cut Diode D11 (should be labelled)
Reassemble.

--Gonzo

216. ***M@s@ is back, change your filters***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <340CAC75.17C8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 187470
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Going to college in Montreal, Masa ole boy?

217. newby with freq counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tom.walker@general.com
Message-ID: <873211353@general.com>
Source record: 187471

-> Does anyone have usage tips on a webpage somewhere, similar to
-> the FAQs you can find for beggining scannists? I looked in
-> the major search engines and only found tech info from
-> retailers.

-> I'm looking for info like the possibility of using a
-> more-concealable rubber duck antenna, and techniques for
-> getting close enough to a miked-up transmitter to get a
-> reading...

I think you will find "Frequency" Counters Highly Overated as a
scanning tool. It is just chance or accident one is in the Right place
at the right time to catch anything usefull. Rembember also it is
typicaly a very short range device. By Design by the way. More
sensitivity would lead to confusion from the multipule signals in the
air in most LOCATIONS and make it useless.

218. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340CB684.4FFB@flash.net>
Source record: 187472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

Why dont some people understand what you are saying? They just dont
get it! If you transmit a signal in the clear, but you expect to have
perfect privacy, you have to be a nut! This is not real life!
Encode your signal, and then maybe you will have privacy.
Cellular phones are the biggest joke of them all considering how far
the signal from the tower can travel. Many scanner owners could hear a
single conversation! I found those conversations to be boring in most
instances...just not worth your time! But, unless your signal is coded,
you should expect that someone is listening in! If you do not, you are
a dummy!
--
*** DO NOT READ THIS WEBPAGE !!! ***

http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html

219. Re: paging software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340CB7C2.3614@flash.net>
Source record: 187473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate

NOjlundgreSPAM@deltanet.com wrote:
>
> In alt.radio.pirate Steve Case <stevecase@aol.com> wrote:
> : I am looking for software that is capable of decoding pagers and paging
> : frequencies. I want to be able to program my scanner on my paging
> : frequency and connect it through my sound board and see if it can
> : somehow have my pages come up on the computer screen. Is this
> : possible..
>
> : toast@snet.net
>
> I believe it was the July issue of Electronics Now that had an article on
> decoding pocsag pager audio. It uses a small circuit with commonly
> available parts to condition the signal. It plugs into the serial port.
> The software is crippleware, good for something like 15 minutes, then it
> quits. Basically you need a scanner and a PC. BTW, they warn you that
> it's illegal.

But, havent you heard??? Everything is illegal!
--
*** DO NOT READ THIS WEBPAGE !!! ***

http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html

220. Discriminator Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oren Haydel <Haydel@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <340CBB29.CB7D2CA9@ibm.net>
Source record: 187474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.rec.scanner,phl.scanner

HI

I have a PRO-2026 with discriminator chip 3359..can any one tell me what pin is the output pin?? I am trying to hook up my scanner to the PC to run the trunker program. Also I need to purchase the equipment that connects the scanner to the PC. After I get the right pin output, I have the internal hook-up done to the scanner. can any one advise me where to look for the interface unit. I heard Opteolectronics has a Optiolinx, will that work, and what, if any extra hardware/software will I need to get my Trunker Program Working? And one last question, what port will the interface use on my PC to send the info? 1 million thanks to anyone who can answer my questions.. OH

221. Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340CC2F2.6D56@usa.net>
Source record: 187475

This CRAP started by KC7DIU@AOL.COM has taken it's toll.

Obviously, he intended to start trouble as indicated by
his lies & attitude.

Please, I urge those of you who are egging him on to stop.
Please show some respect for this NG & not egg him on.

Just back off of him.

Thank you, we want to keep this NG a nice place.

222. Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Schanks <bill1@skypoint.com>
Message-ID: <340CBF59.F3AAB45@skypoint.com>
Source record: 187476

How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?

223. Re: Discriminator Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "P. Singh" <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <340C8338.3AD3@screwed.net>
Source record: 187477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.rec.scanner,phl.scanner

sir,

Pin 10 of 3359 is the discriminator output.

The interface unit generally uses a 741 opamp and a couple of diodes
and resistors to connect to the PC serial port.

You can use the hammcomm interface in most cases.

Oren Haydel wrote:
>
> HI
>
> I have a PRO-2026 with discriminator chip 3359..can any one tell me what
> pin is the output pin?? I am trying to hook up my scanner to the PC to
> run the trunker program. Also I need to purchase the equipment that
> connects the scanner to the PC. After I get the right pin output, I
> have the internal hook-up done to the scanner. can any one advise me
> where to look for the interface unit. I heard Opteolectronics has a
> Optiolinx, will that work, and what, if any extra hardware/software will
> I need to get my Trunker Program Working? And one last question, what
> port will the interface use on my PC to send the info? 1 million
> thanks to anyone who can answer my questions.. OH

--

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
----

224. Re: BC Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Duncan <tduncan@axionet.com>
Message-ID: <340C5460.7B00@axionet.com>
Source record: 187478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Stevan Arychuk wrote:
>
> I forgot to add, anyone have anymore cool frequencies for British
> Columbia (Coquitlam)? I have most of the RCMP ones, but thats all I
> can seem to get except for a couple others.
>
> Stevan

I have all the Coquitlam frequencies and will compile and send them to
you in next couple of days.

Regards

225. Re: TrunkTracker IDs for Seattle/King County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970903155200.LAA16305@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187401

I have to put my 2 cents worth in here. I bought this booklet a few weeks back, and I too was dubious about it. When I first opened it, the first listing I saw was for the Seattle Police Dept. Criminal Intelligence Section. Needless to say I feel I got my money's worth. The TGs come straight from the agencies, so except for patches between groups they are accurate. And no, I don't have anything to do with the guy marketing the book, except being a VERY staisfied customer. Ps- SPD Crim. Int. Section-4304

226. Antenna suggestions needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: m101454@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <873305086.14384@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187402

Hello. I am looking to buy a base antenna for my scanner, and would like suggestions. I monitor the 46 Mhz area and 150 to 170 Mhz most often, but at times listen to anything from 30 on up to the 900's. Is there any one antenna that you have experience with that is not too expensive, yet has good performance throughout the entire range? Is it better/worse to use multiple antennas?
Any information you have is greatly appreciated. Please e-mail.

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

227. HR2369 background info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Garrels <ggarrels@mail.polarnet.com>
Message-ID: <340C949C.5968@mail.polarnet.com>
Source record: 187403

Hello all

Here is a URL that will give all the testimony before the House Commerce Committee back in February of this year. While it may not pertain exactly to H.R.2369, it will give you a sense of who the players are, and their thinking on the issue. Most of the files are PDF, requiring the Adobe Acrobat Reader to view.
A quick look at Commerce this morning showed no scheduled hearings on HR 2369 for this week. But remember...the little monsters are back in session again.
Please don't get sucked into the "This only affects those other people" thing. Keep in mind that what they take away from the hams this week they will take away from the scanner enthusiasts next week, or maybe the SWL's next month etc. etc. etc.

http://www.house.gov/commerce/telecom/hearings/020597/witness.htm

228. Re: arrested for stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Garrels <ggarrels@mail.polarnet.com>
Message-ID: <340C9E91.4929@mail.polarnet.com>
Source record: 187404

David Batty wrote:
>
> In article <33F8CCF8.5818@polarnet.com>, Greg Garrels
> <ggarrels@polarnet.com> writes
> >wopshel wrote:
> >>
> >> example:if youre listening to your neighbors
> >> while they are on the phone
> > >
> > >[SNIP]
> > >...then you need to get a life!!!!!
> > >
> Listening to your neighbours while they are on the phone is a great way
> to study psychology, you can examine life in your area from a detached
> point of view. You can see the real side of people that they would hide
> if they knew that others were listening. Listening to phonecalls while
> on the computer is good entertainment as well.
>
> --
> David Batty

Well, two points here...
(1) You are waving a red flag at regulators
(2) Do you also peep at keyholes and open your neighbors mail?
Perhaps you go through their trash as well.

229. Re: Border Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tork <tork@cts.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb88a$fde6f2e0$5ea0d8cc@davetork>
Source record: 187405

Try searching from 163-165 they usually operate in that area. Good luck.

David

scsando@wazoo.com wrote in article <340BA800.4B68@wazoo.com>...
> I live in Alamogordo New Mexico, and am interest in the local Border
> Patrol activities. I was wondering if anyone has any BP frequencies in
> New Mexico, West Texas and possibly Arizona. I have only been able to
> find a few on the web and would appreciate any help i can get!

230. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@127.5.5.5" <ma5ter@127.5.5.5>
Message-ID: <340DAA26.E31@127.5.5.5>
Source record: 187406
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.poicy,alt.law-enforcement,alt.firefighter,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

Greg Dean wrote:
>
> Lord Nymon wrote:
> >
> > Bill Schanks wrote:
> > >
> > > How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
> >
> > Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
> > guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
> > Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!
>
> Actually, you do not need to have a license to have a scanner in your home.
> But to use in a mobile mode, you either need to have permission from every
> agency that you listen to or be an licensed amateur radio operator (ie, the
> no code technicians license). Hams are exempt in 50 states of the union.
>
> Tsch&#65533;s.....N9NWO/DA4NWO

Where are you required to have a "mobile scanner" license? I believe you, but never heard of this before. My first guess would be PA, but this is totally new to me.

Please reply in group.

231. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net>
Message-ID: <5uk9af$4nf$2@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 187407
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.poicy,alt.law-enforcement,alt.firefighter,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

Lord Nymon wrote:
>
> Bill Schanks wrote:
> >
> > How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
>
> Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
> guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
> Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!

Actually, you do not need to have a license to have a scanner in your home.
But to use in a mobile mode, you either need to have permission from every
agency that you listen to or be an licensed amateur radio operator (ie, the
no code technicians license). Hams are exempt in 50 states of the union.

Tsch&#65533;s.....N9NWO/DA4NWO

232. FS: RS Pro-43 $150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbern@teleport.com
Message-ID: <340d9c3e.3855186@news.teleport.com>
Source record: 187408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a good condition Pro-43 for sale. Receives
the full 800MHz band. Cosmetically in fair condition; worn
out spots from holding the radio, but screen is in very good
condition with very little scratch. Very good working condition.

Price includes shipping if prepaid.

Frank (fbern@teleport.com)

233. ## More XPLORER questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EFy0GM.32G@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello net friends,

Although it's been highly praised, I've never been too impressed with the Optoelectronics XPLORER, mainly because of it's "uncontrolled" behavior (i.e. it does what IT wants to do, not what THE USER necessarily wants, regarding on what frequencies it locks on...). I still like it's fast capture speed and built in DCS/CTCSS decoder, so maybe the following questions might help raise my respect for this interesting device...:

1. What are the minimal frequency "steps" it can lock to (e.g. a regular scanner can search in 5 or 12.5KHz steps, what is the equivalent for the explorer)? Is it possible to miss signals not aligned to these "steps"?

2. In case of multiple strong signals, will pressing the SKIP button lock onto the next signal (IMO, this is not possible because Optoelectronics specifically state that it will only lock on to the STRONGEST signal). But then will LOCKING OUT this strongest signal (with one of the 1000 memories provided for this purpose) enable reception of the next strongest signal? Can this process be repeated for the next stronger signals? Is it easy to UN-LOCK OUT a specific frequency (e.g. a stronger frequency we just locked out to lock to the next strongest frequency)?

3. Is it possible to download captured frequencies, DCS, CTCSS, hit counts, DTMF decodes etc. to a PC?

4. How many DTMF decoded digits will it store, and can they be downloaded to PC (I want to monitor long-term usage of my DTMF controlled autopatch against hackers...:-).

5. Is it practical to limit response to say 140-150MHz using an external filter, or is this too sharp to be practically acheived (bandwidth of about 7% of frequency)?

6. I've heard complaints about input stage damage whith too strong signals (i.e. standing too close to a powerful transmitter might burn the input stage). Is this / was this true, and have Optoelectronics solved the problem by now?

Thank's in advance for any help offered...

Mote: when Replying, please email me directly in addition to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam

counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno

234. FS : RELM HS 200 hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EFy2pE.3LK@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187410
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

RELM HS 200 hand held scanner. MINT Condition, in box, with manual, hardly used. Includes soft case. $200.00 shipped to 48, COD $5.00 extra.

No Trades. E-Mail if interested.
dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

235. FS: Icom Super Comm Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Hervert <thervert@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb89b$c916fd60$673d93cf@499140626worldnet>
Source record: 187411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

One Icom IC-R7000, six years old, full-coverage, with box, manual, and
power cord. Excellent condition, functionally and cosmetically.
$850.00 shipped/offers considered.

One Icom IC-R7100, three years old, full-coverage, with box, manual, and
power cord.
Excellent condition, functionally and cosmetically.
$925.00 shipped/offers considered.

Anyone interested can email to:
thervert@worldnet.xxxatt.net
(remove xxx when replying)

Tom

236. Airband Radio from Sporty's Pilot Shop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <340DB363.3DE6B01E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187412
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was thinking about purchasing one of the airband (VHF and UHF)
scanners from Sporty's pilot shop and was wondering if anyone has one
and can offer any opinions ? I believe they are made for Sporty's by a
division of JAL.

Thanks,
Monty

237. Re: LoJack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Gavilanes <gavilan1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <340D9F30.C0F5C01B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 187413

Kegan30317 wrote:

> Has anyone ever had any luck hitting on a LoJack transmitter while
> mobile?

Yes, the transmitter operates on either 173.025 or 172.025 (I don't remember, but I believe it's the first. You'll copy a short digital burst every few seconds (@5sec?), but the signal is low power, so you have to be within a few blocks of the source. I had a vehicle parked a couple of blocks away from me from about 8PM one night and monitored the police tracking it. They failed, so I called a friend at Lo-Jack in West L.A. the following morning and they sent out a van (the signal stayed up all night). I gave them the general location and they located the vehicle in about 15 minutes.

Nice system, but the boys in blue need more training.

Doug Gavilanes
Southern California

238. FS : Uniden 9000 XLT base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EFy2tF.5nt@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uniden 9000 XLT base scanner for sale. MINT condition, in box with
manual, all accessories, Includes Optional PL tone decoder board.

$300.00 shipped to 48, COD $5.00 extra

No Trades. E-mail if interested.
dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

239. All-Mode Receiver/Scanner 60-905 mhz no gaps computer control.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: miker <mreiney@SPAMNOThevanet.com>
Message-ID: <340DC21A.1FBF@SPAMNOThevanet.com>
Source record: 187415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,pdx.forsale

For Sale

YAESU FRG-9600 UHF/VHF RECEIVER/SCANNER
ALL-MODE AM/FM/USB/LSB MODES
WIDE/NARROW FILTER SELECTIONS
100 MEMORIES
SCANS
60-905 MHZ, NO GAPS
NOT CELL BLOCKED
FULLY COMPUTER PROGRAMMABLE
WITH RS-232 INTERFACE CABLE AND WINDOWS CONTROL PROGRAM
12VDC OPERATION
INCLUDES COPIES OF OPERATOR AND SERVICE MANUALS.
VIDEO OUT WITH OPTIONAL DETECTOR MODULE, NOT INCLUDED.
VERY GOOD CONDITION
There is lots of info on this machine at
http://members.aol.com/FRG9600/Yaesu.html

There=92s nothing like this radio at anywhere near this price. Next step=

up
is Icom 7000/7100, but one will cost you 2-3X the $.

$299 Plus Shipping. from 97223

-- =

Please remove NOSPAM from return address before replying.

240. I need a program ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Antonio Abarca Alvarez <aabarca@ujaen.es>
Message-ID: <340D7AAD.D4A@ujaen.es>
Source record: 187416

Hello everybody!

I am looking for a program that it permit to connect a source of tones
DTMF to the entry of the SoundBlaster and that give me the corresponding
numerical value.

Knows someone where I can find something on said topic?

ZAlu2 and thanks

aabarca@ujaen.es

241. Re: New Radio Shack catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tech <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.95.970903165332.9382B-100000@home.dp.net>
Source record: 187417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio-shack

On Tue, 2 Sep 1997, Jim wrote:

> I got my TechAmerica catalog on Friday.
>
> They are NOT gonna put Digi-Key out of business, that's for sure!
>

I've been told by Radio Shack folks that even though they sell the catalog, you can NOT use your Radio Shack credit card to place an order. Radio Shack also said, they can order anything from it FOR YOU. AND that's where they get most of their parts from as well. I too, got one. The selection isn't too impressive, at least parts wise, which is why I took it in the first place. I was looking for parts. The sales clerk was getting miffed at me looking at it, for free. SO I bought the damned thing.

No, I doubt they'd put many out of business. Not enough selection to start with. Prices are a bit debateable depending on how you look at it.

Just my 2 cents.......

Tech

242. Antenna for SportCat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: web9@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5ukj05ilo1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 187418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for Rubber Duck Antenna for a Uniden SportCat 150, preferably the one that it comes with. I am willing to pay upto $16 including shipping, any leads, greatly appreciated. Uniden is out of stock untill late Sept.
Thanks

243. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340DDF0C.E95@usa.net>
Source record: 187419

Eric Oyen wrote:
>
> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > -= Your humble servant =-
> Humble? I think not. You're to arrogant for that (being as I never laid
> claim to be humble).

Eric you incredible FOOL, I didn't write any of that. I was qouting
Bill. Christ, either pay attention & stay with the program or shut up.

> I do not see why you should be digging up this past so much. Its like
> you are obsessed or something.

Ask Tim Shoppa, he asked to see all those old messages. Infact,
he asked TWICE.

Again, pay attention.

> I'll leave it to the psychiatrists to decide.

You are a fool. See above.

244. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340DE043.24BC@usa.net>
Source record: 187420

glenn wrote:

> Good advise.

Thanks for you support.

> Did you ever stop to think for a moment that your 40 posts
> a day on the thread might possibly help keep it going?

NO, those were trying to get the trouble makers to stop & place some
controls.

> Thanks John for helping to keep this NG a nice place.

You're very welcome!!!!

245. Re: Airband Radio from Sporty's Pilot Shop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: prografx@teleport.com
Message-ID: <5uko54$bk8$1@nadine.teleport.com>
Source record: 187421
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>I was thinking about purchasing one of the airband (VHF and UHF)
>scanners from Sporty's pilot shop and was wondering if anyone has one
>and can offer any opinions ? I believe they are made for Sporty's by a
>division of JAL.

>Thanks,
>Monty

Go to DejaNews and search in this newsgroup for "Airband" and "JAL"
and "Shorty's" and you'll find several messages that were here a month
or two ago on this very subject.

Steve

246. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340DE098.3BB2@usa.net>
Source record: 187422

What was so funny?

Jack Anderson wrote:
> glenn wrote:
> > WaaaHAHAHA! You crack me up!!! Air, I need air!!! Oh crap, call 911 I
> > think I blew a lung!! HAHAHAHA!
>
> Thanks for the side ache dude, Had to show the whole famly this one even
> the 4 year old got a good laugh. 911 HAHAHA ooohhh Im dying.....:-)

247. BC895-XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: post@in.group
Message-ID: <340eba51.75359076@news.mnsinc.com>
Source record: 187423

I will send anyone $5 if you can find a cell mod for this scanner. You would think manufactures would sell more products if they overlooked some way to mod it.

248. Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970903225900.SAA12955@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187424

Just finished reading the copies of THE OYE BOY'S "excursions" into other newsgroups. If I laugh any harder, I'm going to seriously hurt myself! The truth comes out about THE OYE BOY'S strange proclivities!

Now, watch Eric talk tough...threatening to pursue legal action and crying to the system administrator of the one who published this enlightening information.

Still waiting to hear from your "lawyer", OYE BOY!

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
KF4DDM since 9/95 on 2m & 10m
KADF-6895 since 9/76 on 11m
Active scanner and Internet freak as well
(no license required)

249. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: post@in.group
Message-ID: <340db5bd.74187008@news.mnsinc.com>
Source record: 187425

On Wed, 03 Sep 1997 03:44:18 GMT, gordonj@usa.net (Gordon J.) wrote:

>On Tue, 02 Sep 1997 20:37:29 -0500, Bill Schanks <bill1@skypoint.com>
>wrote:
>
>>How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
>>
>
>Scanner LICENSE!?!? Are there really states in America--the land of
>the FREE--which require a license to LISTEN?!?! And they ask me why I
>drink...

HAHA, found this comment funney, you would think the same would be
true for speeking :)

250. Re: Frecuencias en Espa&#65533;a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: rollo@trib.com
Message-ID: <5ukn6c$u6d@news.trib.com>
Source record: 187426

kiminy@couriernet.infi.net wrote:

>On Mon, 01 Sep 1997 16:51:34 -0500, "DAV..." <david084@flash.net>
>wrote:

>>Winged Horse wrote:
>>>
>>> Juancho **<**fotografo@redestb.es**>** wrote:
>>>
>>> > Desear&#65533;a que alg&#65533;n Espa&#65533;ol y en particular de Madrid me mandase un mail
>>> > para intercambiar frecuencias , pues aunque parezca mentira no existe un
>>> > grupo de scaner todav&#65533;a en espa&#65533;ol

>> SPEAK ENGLISH and you wont have these problems
>>--
>>!!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!

> If you took the time to skim over the text, even if you don 't
>read Spanish, you'd see the word 'Madrid.' For the intelectually
>challenged who spout slogans, Madrid is in Spain, which is a European
>country, across the Atlantic ocean. Mais, quelles expectations
>aurions-nous des debiles?

>Pete.
Years ago I also was surprised that there were people who were
different than me. Then I found out I was the one who was different.
my source of schematic diagrams: http://w3.trib.com/~rollo/bomcat.htm

251. Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Figueroa <sifig@li.net>
Message-ID: <340DF391.1F17@li.net>
Source record: 187427
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm about to but the Yupiteru MVT7100 from a Canadain dealership. What are the chances of it being confiscated by US Customs or any other goverment agency on it's way to the US (I live in New York). Am I just being paranoid or is it a valid concern b/c of this scanners cellular capability. Any help by post or e-mail will be greatly appreciated. Thank You

252. WTB: Bearcat 5 Owner's Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David A. Lovell" <electra@trader.com>
Message-ID: <340DF280.10CE@trader.com>
Source record: 187428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dear Friends:

Am looking for a Bearcat 5 Owner's Manual to fill a hole
in our document base. If you have one for sale, please contact:
electra@trader.com
(317) 894-3229
Address:
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229-2951

Thanks!

Dave Lovell - Tinkerer - Electra Corporation

253. How does web/email to pager gateway work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: alvarez@iac.co.jp
Message-ID: <873343588.19610@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187429
Cross-posted to: tnn.comm.pager,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,comp.dcom.modems

Konichiwa,

I am really curious as to internet users can send
messages from web forms or email to pagers.

For example of what i mean, please see >>
http://www.bazaars.com/home/paging2.html

Could you kindly share your experience
with me? Basically how does internet messaging
interface with a paging terminal? What equipment
is needed to connect my UNIX web server to a paging
terminal?

Do pager companies accept dispaches directly from TCP/IP
or do all the incomming messages need to be dialed in
thru a normal phone call? How do most pager companies
recieve data from a web based form or email?

Please advise. Thank you.

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

254. FS: RS Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Dorsey <dorsey@lila.com>
Message-ID: <340E4DA0.614F@lila.com>
Source record: 187430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,ba.market.forsale

I have a Radio Shack Pro-2006 for sale. It's in excellent condition
and includes the operating manual. The unit has had continous
coverage throughout the 800MHz region restored.

Price is $300. If you're local to the SF Bay Area, you're welcome
to come by and check it out.

--
Bill Dorsey
dorsey@lila.com

255. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J.G.P" <intermesh@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb8f5$a1e26b80$767d2fd1@digital>
Source record: 187431
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Yeah, and Symington is/was a Republican !

DAV... <david084@flash.net> wrote in article <340BB013.7B7B@flash.net>...
> David D Wigand wrote:
> increasingly evil Democratic party. Ed Markey who sponsors HR 1964 is
> a democrat. Guess what the president (is) that passed the awful Brady
> Bill, and the Assualt Weapons ban???? His name is Komrad Klinton and
> he is a Democrat.
>

256. Pro 43 Datecode Help!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <5uicaj$23i@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187432

Ok whats the deal on the date code on the pro 43 is it 2a4 the latest one you can have without doing the mod to it.. need to know if anyone has a later model that has the 800mhz mod done... like 3a4 or 4a4 etc... thanks Orbitone

257. Re: Need Opinions On The BC 9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: aellery@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970903010201.VAA10729@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187433

If you haven't read his review on the BC 9000XLT already, check out Rich Wells web page at: http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIO.HTM

It's the most complete assemblage of scanner reviews you'll find anywhere (and good ones, to boot!.)

Allen, KU4AZ

258. RS PRO 51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kbranco@NOSPAM.ma.ultranet.com
Message-ID: <340ebf16.18552980@news.ma.ultranet.com>
Source record: 187434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This wonderful scanner is in need of a little TLC. It needs to be repaired, but it works perfectly with regular alkaline batteries. Scanner just won't hold a charge. Radio Shack currently has this scanner on sale for $199. I will let it go for $50 or best offer.

Yes you will get the manual and all original extras. :)

-Kenny
/* To reply via e-mail, please remove NOSPAM from my address */

259. Power cord for Bearcat III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbutler@nospam.awod.com
Message-ID: <340d5222.6962732@news-2.awod.com>
Source record: 187435

Anyone out there have an old Electra Bearcat III Scanner? I have one
without a power cord & would like to locate a copy of the schematic,
or at least a wiring diagram for the power cord
I'll pay copying costs, postage, etc.

thanks,

Butch Butler
Mt. Pleasant, SC
H.P."Butch" Butler KF4SAG
Mt. Pleasant, SC

Please remove "nospam" from my email address to reply
I refuse to accept any unauthorized or unsolicited E-mail
communications.

The sending of an unsolicited E-mail communication shall
be proof that the sender has agreed, by action, to send $500.00
US/ certified funds to me, prior to any unsolicited or unauthorized
transmission.

"By US Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer
meets the definition of a telephone fax machine.
By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is unlawful to send any unsolicited
advertisement to such equipment.
By Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section is
punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or $500,
whichever is greater, for each violation."

260. Icom R-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: berlin@solaris1.mysolution.com
Message-ID: <340cb2d9.47528441@news.mysolution.com>
Source record: 187479

Fosale Icom R-10 From Canada.

Full Coverage 1000 memory channels.
Hook up for computer with software.

ONly about 3 months old all docs and box, never took out of the house.

$475.00 You pay shipping.

Email for tx number.

261. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc800xlt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970903014000.VAA06844@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187480

>Subject: Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: Tue, 02 Sep 1997 11:14:06 -0700
>Message-id: <340C576E.36D6@usa.net>
>
>Stay on topic damm it!!!
>
>Jack wrote:
>> I was at the colorado river this weekend riding my jetski, "WHAT A BLAST

Flame on, Mackey, flame on. Can anyone tell me if a Rad Shack PRO-2040 scanner can be modified to receive the cellular frequencies (of course, I don't INTENTIONALLY monitor those frequencies, so I'm not violating the ECPA, right)?

262. LoJack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970903013901.VAA13925@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187481

Has anyone ever had any luck hitting on a LoJack transmitter while mobile?

263. FS: ICOM R-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hamoperator <n5qvf@swconnect.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb815$ac00f860$7f36e5ce@n5qvf>
Source record: 187482

For sale 2 month old (in like new condition) Icom R-10 allmode 1000 memories handheld receiver with features like "bandscope" etc. Complete with original box,manuals charger and nicads. Paid $500.00 will sell for $350.00 shipped and insured.....73 de Dario .....915-696-3436(days) 691-9808 evenings.

264. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: gordonj@usa.net
Message-ID: <340cdca4.8870826@news.abs.net>
Source record: 187483

On Tue, 02 Sep 1997 20:37:29 -0500, Bill Schanks <bill1@skypoint.com>
wrote:

>How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
>

Scanner LICENSE!?!? Are there really states in America--the land of
the FREE--which require a license to LISTEN?!?! And they ask me why I
drink...

/_/_/_

265. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roger Dale Peck <ramjet55@texhoma.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb81f$9db038c0$477ccccf@hp-customer>
Source record: 187484
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

hey David, that's cool,.......while your at it, why dont you demand your responsibilities and what they are along with your rights.........

David... <david084@flash.net> wrote in article <340BB166.7D58@flash.net>...
>
> Ben W. wrote:
> >
> > > Not really. The goverment is a criminal organization. They are the muscle
> > > of the government. Criminals...
> >
> What? Have you paid attention to the illegalities of the Clinton
> admin??? Are you aware of the millions the Democratic party has taken
> from the Communist Government of China???
> We the people have the absolute right to control our government, and
> when it gets out of control, we have the right to change it, or abolish
> it and start over. Read the Declaration of Independence.
>

266. Re: FRS radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Griffin <jpgriff@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5uih63$c5p@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187485

Ed:
Some of the FRS freq's ARE GMRS freq's that are designated "interstitial" or "splinter" channels intended for low power use. Seven new freq's (467.xxxx) were created for the FRS. Current GMRS radios are capable of operating on half of the designated FRS channels and yes, most ham HT's can probably be modified to transmit out-of-band (albeit illegally).
--
John Griffin
JPGRIFF@NOSPAMworldnet.att.net
please remove "NOSPAM" to reply

Ed M <mdems@sprintmail.com> wrote in article
<5uhs29$4cc@news.acns.nwu.edu>...
> Anyone have info or suggestions on the best FRS radios? Can current
> GMRS or amateur UHF HT's be modified to RX/TX on thr FRS freqs?
>
> Ed
> --
> Ed Michelson
> Northwestern University, Evanston, IL. USA
> emichelson@nwu.edu
>
>

267. Re: pro2035 cell unblock code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970903045601.AAA29390@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187486

>Subject: Re: pro2035 cell unblock code
>From: wiggie
>Date: 2 Sep 1997 15:38:59 -0700
>Message-id: <5ui4i3$7ks@drn.zippo.com>

>Some of the ppl on the newsgroups are like the cellular band
>frequencies...you
>just shouldn't listen to them.

Yeah, you're right. I said he should hold down the memory key. I should have said that he should hold down the clear key!

268. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <340D0E58.6F88@cts.com>
Source record: 187487

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Stay on topic damm it!!!
>
> Jack wrote:
> > I was at the colorado river this weekend riding my jetski, "WHAT A BLAST"
ROFL :-)

269. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>
Source record: 187488

Bill Schanks wrote:
>
> How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?

Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!

270. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <340D1F8D.7F3F8A07@juno.com>
Source record: 187489

John,

Good advise. Did you ever stop to think for a moment that your 40 posts a day on the thread might possibly help keep it going? You don't consider your calling people idiots and replying to every message in the thread as part of the problem do you? I mean who do you think you are fooling John? It is so funny that you come on here pleading for people not to egg someone on. My God the tears are blurring my vision I am laughing so hard. I certainly am not going to take side on this but you must really think this newsgroup has lost its mind to buy this! ROFLMAO!!! Oh my side is killing be. HAHAHAHA! You are a riot! HAHAHAHA! The last sentence is a killer John. Oh this is so funny! HAHAHAHAH! Thanks John for helping to keep this NG a nice place. WaaaHAHAHA! You crack me up!!! Air, I need air!!! Oh crap, call 911 I think I blew a lung!! HAHAHAHA!

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> This CRAP started by KC7DIU@AOL.COM has taken it's toll.
>
> Obviously, he intended to start trouble as indicated by
> his lies & attitude.
>
> Please, I urge those of you who are egging him on to stop.
> Please show some respect for this NG & not egg him on.
>
> Just back off of him.
>
> Thank you, we want to keep this NG a nice place.

271. Re: ERIC OYEN, DREW DURRIGAN, ETC.--READ THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340D2273.5742@usa.net>
Source record: 187490

Larry R. Johnson wrote:
> John - what did you ever do to get such an avid high-class group like Erick,
> Drew/Spew, and Bill Cheeks as fans of yours? A continual whiner, an
> unemployed AM disk-jockey wanna-be, and a "Scanner Know-it all".
> A really fine bunch of folks, with lots of great info for all of us - every
> day, right here.
> Good grief!

I think they are all unemployed living on welfare & have nothing
exciting
going on in their life! Those 3 seem to be real cases.

272. FS: BC-800xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: i4086@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970903121701.IAA13035@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187491

In excellent condition $200 (includes postage in the US), have both
antennas, regular and 800mhz.

29-54mhz
118-174mhz
406-512mhz
806-912mhz
full 800mhz coverage no gaps from 806 mhz to 912.
rx cell band with no modifications.

If interested please email:

i4086@aol.com
Derick,
73

273. Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: FRG9600@aol.com
Message-ID: <873289574.29807@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187492

In article <340D033D.32A8@psn.net>,
n7zzt@psn.net wrote:
>
> Info. desk wrote:
> >
> > Newsgroups: alt.sex.escorts.ads
> > [Fewer Headers]
> >
> > Hello,
> > I am a blind male, 31 years, Caucasian looking for either a
> > relationship or some fun (your choice). I am looking for a female of
> > any race to enjoy some personal time with.
> > I can be reached at n7zzt@psn.net
> > Thanks..
> > Eric.
> I did some checking on this. It seems that my ident was used by someone
> at crl.com (claiming to be me) posting these adds. Interesting what one
> finds when a header search is done. Also, the date was in Dec 1996...
> Also interesting since I have been on psn.nt since november of 1996. So,
> this "posting" has no relevance. Your so-called attempt to use my words
> against me has failed and a copy is being sent to prodigy.com for
> further investigation. I hope you have a real good story to tell the
> admin at prodigy (this should prove to be interesting).
Try n7zzt@goodnet.com and see what you get.

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

274. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: rollo@trib.com
Message-ID: <5ujo90$su6@news.trib.com>
Source record: 187493
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

"David..." <david084@flash.net> wrote:

>Ben W. wrote:
>>
>> > Not really. The goverment is a criminal organization. They are the muscle
>> > of the government. Criminals...
>>
>> What kind of a statement is that? I sure hope you're not LIVING in the
>> United States because you would find alot more people who fit your beliefs
>> in Iran.
>>
>> If you think that poorly of our government, then get the hell out.

Try to leave. I dare you. You do not have the money, and most
countries won't have a mid north american.
>
> What? Have you paid attention to the illegalities of the Clinton
>admin??? Are you aware of the millions the Democratic party has taken
>from the Communist Government of China???
> We the people have the absolute right to control our government, and
>when it gets out of control, we have the right to change it, or abolish
>it and start over. Read the Declaration of Independence.

my source of schematic diagrams: http://w3.trib.com/~rollo/bomcat.htm

275. frequencies for perth western australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Browning <krakkers@ois.net.au>
Message-ID: <01bcb8ab$03e21d80$027622cb@default>
Source record: 187494

can anyone give me the frequencies and corresponding channel numbers for
the police in perth?

276. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JerkyBoy <jerky@boy.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb868$3531d8a0$LocalHost@christopher>
Source record: 187495
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

> Yes, most police are good people. But, some are very bad indeed.
> What we really need to focus on are how evil some of our Congressmen
> have become. Then we have the various agencies like the EPA that seek
> to control us through whatever means they can come up with.
> Most of the new laws that remove freedom originate within the
> increasingly evil Democratic party. Ed Markey who sponsors HR 1964 is
> a democrat. Guess what the president (is) that passed the awful Brady
> Bill, and the Assualt Weapons ban???? His name is Komrad Klinton and
> he is a Democrat.
>

Hello Comrade!!! Don't you know? The Master Plan is for the New World Order (NWO) to socialize the planet, the USA first, since we will be the hardest, and once they knock us off first, the world will follow suit. (Check out this site for some info regarding NWO- http://www.police-against-nwo.com/index.htm)
We will all then be taking orders from hypocrites like Ted Kennedy, who will preach to us
the ways of the righteous (like he is one to talk).

Also, what ever happened to "make the world American" instead of this so-called NWO crap?
Inside everyone in the world is an American wanting to get out. Look at our great nation and see why this is so, look at our grocery stores, our "*" Marts, our plumbing, our lives... it is everything the worlds people want or desire. So why mess with NWO? The Dems are pushing the most (secretly) for it. We should stop this NWO crap and go for the MWA (Make the World American) philosophy and everything that it means, the Freedom, the Constitution, and the Bill of Rights... "We the People of the World...."

First, they will go after your guns, after which you will be helpless to stop them from attacking your other liberties, such as freedom of the press, speech, religion, etc. Nazi Germany started in such fashion, some say that the American Revolutionary War was also started after the Brits decided to disarm the populace (or at least in part).

Oh, boy! The Assault Weapon Ban! Why does anyone have a need to own such a gun? A gun designed for just one purpose? Everybody thats who. Why should just the military have such weapons? A government *for* the people *by* the people, and *OF* the people should have no problems with the populace having such weapons, that it, if it is truly *for* the people. Sure, there is some wackos out there, but why should the rest of us law abiding citizens have to pay the price? These same wacks will get guns regardless of what laws are our there restricting the citizens.

Okay Okay, I can see keeping some weapons (like tact) nukes from getting into
peoples hands (because we can't guard them night and day or because the terrorists would kill us for them if we had some in our houses ;-)! Just kidding!) Also, I am glad that full auto is outlawed, my ammo bills are already WAY to high as it is....

Besides, what state was that that outlawed certain guns and almost over night the robberies and burglaries more than doubled? Because the criminals *knew* that the people they pillaged had no defense, they could rob at will. The states that pass CCW laws notice a decrease in criminal activity almost overnight.

But wait! These decreases are because of the Crime Bill!! Isn't that right? Give that to Komrade Klinton, he will take credit for it, he takes credit for everything that he didn't do, especially the economy, which is really the "trickle down economy" legislation that George Bush passed, it just took awhile to get rolling. But Bill was the first to pounce on that one when it was out.

Certain groups are now using this Di thing as a was to "regulate" the press- that is what the former USSR did once- why dont we just call the new press in the world "Pravda"?

Oh, well, there is always the election 2000, pray that either Fred Thompsen or George Bush Jr runs for office to beat Gore. Freedom must be given a chance, and the Dems will take it all away from us if they could and spread it around, or so they say.

"papers please".....

277. Re: Arrest of pager interceptors in NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JerkyBoy <jerky@boy.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb869$0af163c0$LocalHost@christopher>
Source record: 187496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.lawyer,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh

> Yes indeed. When AL Gore and other Environmental Wackos
> outlaw/greatly restrict the use of cars, do you think they will be
> walking?

Of course not! They will use the excuse of "security" or any other reason that "allows" full use of a car. I often get into full debates with environmental (I used to be one of them, until I realized the hypocrisy of most of the earlier eco-freaks- driving beat up black smoke pumping cars that place more pollution into the air than *20* modern cars) bozos that say that we should ban cars, but we do not see them walking that much, do we? Or how about riding horses? But wait! that is being cruel to the horses! We cant do that! We have to walk then, but do not step on the grass, the poor little grass blades will cry out in pain....

> In the USSR, the people lined up for food and were given meat once a
> month, but did the leaders suffer for lack of food?

They sure do look pretty plump to me, thats for sure. That is the way in ALL socialistic countries, and unless we stand up for our RIGHTS as American Citizens now, we may all be under a tyrannical regime in the future. All the politicians in the world look pretty plump for that matter.

> In the USA, the FBI wants to wiretap 1% of all phones for no other
> reason than "national security". Their computers will listen in for key
> words for a few days, then move on to another number. In time, each and
> every phone line will have been tapped, yet the FEDs are phreaked over
> the use of scanners and they seem to be doing all they can to outlaw
> them.

ARRRRHHHHHHHh!!!! And it could be done very easy too with computers, and quickly! And with the latest in voice recog software, they could easily do thousands every day. How about encryption software? They are quick to say it is good for everybody to use, but when it was said that the code was so good that even they couldn't break it, they freaked out. Then its not so good, because this could prevent them from catching spies. They sure do want the cake and eat it too. They do not want ANYBODY to have what they have or to let somebody have something better than them, thats for sure.

> I greatly support decent laws which do not trample our Constitution,
> but it is a shame and an awful thing to see officials doing all they can
> to further restrict our liberties and freedoms.

Our great Constitution is the finest in human achievement and it is our duty to protect it from all enemies, domestic and foreign. That goes both ways guys!

> Good and honorable men and women who work in law enforcement do not
> support legislation/laws that harm freedom.
>

Most police I know are great people. They are dedicated public servants protecting truth and liberty. It is our obligation to support them in any way we can, as long as they are enforcing the constitution and everything that it stands for, for the people, by the people and of the people. They are just like the rest of us, they want the American dream as much as everybody.

The time is now to stand up to all who want to restrict our liberties and freedom. To simply stand by and allow this to happen we would be as guilty of those who make it happen. The people of Nazi Germany for example, they saw it coming, granted, its hard to stand up to tanks (but then again, Tienemen (sp?) Square- one man stopped them for liberty, at least for the time) they could have done something if they united anyway.

The time is now to stand up for our rights, liberty and happiness by being active, and start now or continue to vote for people who also support freedom, get out
and vote for the right people, or run yourself! If no one is qualified, choose to go for the office, and make the changes that we need or fight to prevent the wings of Liberty from being plucked.

Oh, well, there is always the election 2000, pray that either Fred Thompsen or George Bush Jr runs for office to beat Gore! Freedom must be given a chance, and the Dems will take it all away from us if they could and spread it around, or so they say.

"papers please".....

278. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: fp351k@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970903130501.JAA07670@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187497

Hello all. I am a supervisor for Metro Fire Radio system. We are currently looking for new members to support our fire notification radio network. Our membership consists of fire/ems/law enforcement/ and other disaster related personell. We all have a common interest in emergency services and like to know what's happening at all times. We currently operate on 4 repeaters in the business band 450-460's. Our primary notifications consist of working fires in the Bergen/Passaic/N.Hudson/Rockland/Essex/Union areas as well as multiple alarms in greater NYC. We also alert members of serious motor vehicle accidents and helicopter medevac jobs for photo opportunities. We have a few news media agencies as members who rely on our info for their publications. The system is currently owned by the American Red Cross of Hackensack,NJ. The cost is $30 a year and this money goes to the maintenance of the repeaters which is costly to operate. You need to own your own UHF radio whether it be a portable or mobile. Anyone who's interested in radios and the emergency services or you're a news photographer/reporter, this is a radio sytem that will greatly benefit your hobby/profession. Interested parties can either e-mail me at "fp351k@aol.com or fp351k@juno.com". Also, you can contact the American Red Cross at (201)487-7470 and
ask for Disaster Services and speak to either Mark or Jeff. Thank you and we look forward to hearing some new voices over the air.
Anthony
Metro 751/FPNJ351

279. F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raymond Hansmeier <rhansme@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <340D6617.84D89FEF@pacifier.com>
Source record: 187498

For Saale: 3 450 MHZ 5 element Yagi antenna's. $35.00 ea.
Plus $5.00 for shipping....ray

280. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@value.net>
Message-ID: <340D7550.415A703@value.net>
Source record: 187499
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

> Yes, most police are good people. But, some are very bad indeed.
> What we really need to focus on are how evil some of our Congressmen
> have become. Then we have the various agencies like the EPA that seek
> to control us through whatever means they can come up with.
> Most of the new laws that remove freedom originate within the
> increasingly evil Democratic party. Ed Markey who sponsors HR 1964 is
> a democrat. Guess what the president (is) that passed the awful Brady
> Bill, and the Assualt Weapons ban???? His name is Komrad Klinton and
> he is a Democrat.

This kind of nonsensical rhetoric will sway nobody. IF you have an intelligent argument, don't clutter it up with "Komrad" and the like ....

281. ham swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny <ko6oy@fishnet.net>
Message-ID: <340D801F.71A2@fishnet.net>
Source record: 187500

Ham Swap Page, Buy, Sell, Trade and Wants
Over 250 hits per day
All "Personal Ham" Ads Posted FREE !!

Click Here ----> http://www.fishnet.net/~ko6oy/swapage.htm

73 Danny KO6OY

282. Re: How does web/email to pager gateway work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@datasync.com
Message-ID: <5ulunq$enq@osh1.datasync.com>
Source record: 187252
Cross-posted to: tnn.comm.pager,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,comp.dcom.modems

alvarez@iac.co.jp hath said:
: Konichiwa,
:
: I am really curious as to internet users can send
: messages from web forms or email to pagers.
:
: For example of what i mean, please see >>
: http://www.bazaars.com/home/paging2.html
:
: Could you kindly share your experience
: with me? Basically how does internet messaging
: interface with a paging terminal? What equipment
: is needed to connect my UNIX web server to a paging
: terminal?
:
: Do pager companies accept dispaches directly from TCP/IP
: or do all the incomming messages need to be dialed in
: thru a normal phone call? How do most pager companies
: recieve data from a web based form or email?
:
: Please advise. Thank you.

Konichiwa,

You basicly have it. The paging company has access to
the internet, and they have special equipment which takes
an email message and is directed to a automatic alpha
terminal (or computer) and it sends the message to the
owner of the pager. My paging company A+ Network has this
feature free of charge, if you have an email address then
you can send someone an alpha message, what their system
looks for is the = symbol infront of the entire pager number
@ a domain. example, to send say Frank a page I would email
=6018502234@aplsnet.com aplsnet stands for a plus network
and ofcourse .com When the mail server at aplsnet.com gets
the mail, their mail server bounces it into the paging terminal
and is then broadcast to the owner of pager number 601-850-2234

Arigato.

SotMESC International, http://www.sotmesc.org
Listserv: csp-list@sotmesc.org type subscribe
Spur of the Moment Elite Social Club / GCMS

283. Re: pro2035 cell unblock code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970904145100.KAA23419@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187253

>Subject: Re: pro2035 cell unblock code
>From: joe <jc123@ibm.net>
>Date: Wed, 03 Sep 1997 19:22:40 -0500
>Message-id: <340DFF50.E11@ibm.net>

>A cell unblock code you say? for the 2035? thats news to me.
>Sounds like a pipe dream.
>
>

It's not a pipe dream but you must have an Opto interface installed in
the 2035/42 and software such as Probe that allows you to unlock the OS
535 interface. The interface has to be one of the older models--at least a
few months old since the new ones cannot be unlocked--or one that was
bought from an outside-the-US reseller.
Michael Alexander

284. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5umn96$9qq@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187254

In <340E7706.24C3@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>Try spending an hour telling him about all of Bill Cheek's technical
>abilities. That will BORE him to sleep.
>
>On second thought, you shouldn't lie to children, they hold it against
>you.
>
> Idit of Mackey

You are the sound of one hand clapping.

Develop it. We will all sleep better.

if all you have to claim your second of fame is
defiling the benevolence of the Cheek guy you
really should take time out from your toilet
training session and flush yourself along with
the rest of your allusions.

Idiot of Mackey is a legend in his own mind.

Clap

bill conduit

285. Re: Oshkosk Frequency's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dhstadt@ingr.com
Message-ID: <873404655.3693@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187255

In article <340E7459.7EB4CB49@skypoint.com>,
Bill Schanks <bill1@skypoint.com> wrote:
>
> I need the frequency's for the Oshkosh Air show, approach, departure,
> etc...

You are about a month late Bill the convention ended August 5. Check
Deja as there were several posts about six weeks ago with Oshkosh freqs.

Dave S.

----------------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------------------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

286. FM transmiter for Auto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <340EDAC4.5E6AFC02@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187256
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm sorry if this has been discussed before but I just caught the end of a post where Tom Skillman had mentioned an FM transmitter that you can plug in your cigarette lighter and scanner and then transmit on an open FM frequency to your car radio.. I have a CD player in my auto so the option of using the cassette adapter is gone, but what he was talking about sounds right up my alley.

Does anyone know where you can purchase this item and who manufactures it. Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks

Monty

287. Re: FM transmiter for Auto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <340EF0F0.483D33F6@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

monty55 wrote:

I'm sorry if this has been discussed before but I just caught the end of

a post where Tom Skillman had mentioned an FM transmitter that you can plug in your cigarette lighter and scanner and then transmit on an open FM frequency to your car radio.. I have a CD player in my auto so the option of using the cassette adapter is gone, but what he was talking about sounds right up my alley.

Does anyone know where you can purchase this item and who manufactures it. Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks

Monty

REVGBB1 wrote:

> WALLMART ANY RETAIL ELECROICS STORE

>

Walmart or RS has nothing like this...Need name. manufacturer, model number, etc...
Anybody else want to take a stab at it. Any help would be apprectiated..

Thanks,
Monty

288. Re: New Radio Shack catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kirby@skypoint.com
Message-ID: <5umqhn$n41$2@shadow.skypoint.net>
Source record: 187258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio-shack

In article <34046F89.988@flash.net>, hynde@flash.net says...
>
>Lord Nymon wrote:
>>
>> Mike Lazaroff wrote:
>> >
>> > There are no plans for an HF rig.
>>
>> I should HOPE not! If Radio Shack sold an HF rig, it would most likely
>> cost near $10,000 if you keep to their usual overpricing formula.
>
>Well, they were selling a 50 watt 2m mobile for around $260 at Dayton,
>the 2m and 70cm handhelds were in the $289 range when they first came
>out. The 70cm rig is now $159 as a closeout. Doesn't seem to out of line
>to me. Is someone selling a less expensive 2m mobile?
>
>Tim, ka8ddz

Radio City sells the Icom IC-2000H for $255.95!!

Kirby ka0zts

289. THE NEW ICOM PCR-1000- WiNRADiO MAY BE TROUBLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Authorized User <rukensteinassociates@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb95a$26fad820$612f74cf@mperry>
Source record: 187259
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_01BCB938.9FE93820

DOES ANYONE HAVE INFO ON THE NEW ICOM PCR-1000? HAVE ALREADY CHECKED OUT
THE ICOM WEB SITE

------=_NextPart_000_01BCB938.9FE93820

DOES ANYONE HAVE INFO ON THE NEW ICOM =
PCR-1000? HAVE ALREADY CHECKED OUT THE ICOM WEB SITE

------=_NextPart_000_01BCB938.9FE93820--
```

290. Bearcat BC-101 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdmandus@frogger.lm.com
Message-ID: <5umtc3$c9v@frogger.lm.com>
Source record: 187260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

All,

If anyone wants to know how to program a Bearcat BC-101 scanner, I have the instructions on my hompage. My homepage is:

http://www.lm.com/~cdmandus

I've seen numerous questions on this from time to time so I figure I would help the people who have these scanners but no instructions. Enjoy!

Chuck, an avid scanner buff.

DE KA3WRW

--
"Guns are cool." - Butt-Head
"I get it, spelling America with a "K," are we?!" - The Tick
"It's Disney's Dominatrix World!" - Crow T. Robot, MST3K

291. Re: Scanner Card For Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Authorized User <rukensteinassociates@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb95e$fd7fb240$612f74cf@mperry>
Source record: 187261
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_01BCB93D.766E1240

NNEWSFLASH- iCOM is releasing a PORTABLE card (PCR-1000) that plugs into your computers serial port (it can be used with a desktop or a portable!) Knowing Icom- the specs will probably run circles around WiNRADiO. Does anyone have more infor on the PCR 1000 other than the info on Icoms website? >
>
------=_NextPart_000_01BCB93D.766E1240

NNEWSFLASH- iCOM is =
releasing a PORTABLE card (PCR-1000) that plugs into your computers =
serial port (it can be used with a desktop or a portable!) Knowing Icom- =
the specs will probably run circles around WiNRADiO. Does anyone =
have more infor on the PCR 1000 other than the info on Icoms website? =
>
>

------=_NextPart_000_01BCB93D.766E1240--

292. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam Maynard <smaynard@home.com>
Message-ID: <340EF3C8.B36EACAA@home.com>
Source record: 187262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
--------------47FAC6E7BBC70167262422E6
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

joe wrote:

> Radioactive wrote:
> >
> > I just purchased another for 350.00 I will keep yours in mind if i
> need
> > another. I have 6
> >
> > kevin wrote:
> >
> > > Barry Burke Jr. <*nospam*barry_b@snet.net> wrote in article
> > > <3402BD4C.F247ECBE@snet.net>...
> > > > sky444@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > On Sun, 24 Aug 1997 11:08:11 -0700, Ron M
> <monfeli@mail.telis.org>
> > > >
> > > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >For Sale,
> > > > > >Pro-2006, near mint condition, has dimmer light which is in
> > > perfect
> > > > > >working condition and brighter than the on-off light, manual,
>
> > > box,
> > > > > >antenna. Has cell and speed mod done. Asking $350
> > > > > >Ron
> > > > >
> > > > > He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He
> He
> > > Ha
> > > > > Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee
> > > heeeeeee
> > > > > Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Every time someone posts a 2004,5,6 for a price YOU consider
> high,
```

> > > you
> > > > post these assinine responses. You know what's really funny?
> They
> > > get
> > > > sold somehow! So I guess it's not so funny after all.
> > > >
> > > > Barry
> > > >
> > > I was gonna say the same thing. I recently sold one of my 2006's
> for
> > > $300.
> > > I put an ad on the bulliten board at one of our local grocery
> stores
> > > and
> > > sold it the next day. I should have asked for more. Har har har!
> joke
> > > was
> > > on me!
> A somewhat unrealistic price for a dinosaur.A good radio yes, but not
> for that price.

I find it very rude for people to make wisecracks or fun of people's asking price for what ever they're selling. If you're not interested in buying from the seller, be considerate and keep your wisecracks to yourself. This would be the same as you looking in the classifieds and calling up a seller and laughing at his asking price. If the price is too high, he may not get any buyers. If he does find someone to pay for an outrageous price, more power to the seller.

Unless someone ask about the selling price, keep your crappy comments to yourself. No wonder, people think everyone in this hobby is a bunch of geeks!

Sorry for the bandwidth, but I've seen this too many times, it wastes bandwidth, and it just PISSES ME OFF!

--------------47FAC6E7BBC70167262422E6
Content-Type: text/x-vcard; charset=us-ascii; name="vcard.vcf"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Description: Card for Sam Maynard
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="vcard.vcf"

begin: vcard
fn: Sam Maynard
n: Maynard;Sam
org: http://members.home.net/smaynard 1978 XS1100
email;internet: smaynard@home.com
x-mozilla-cpt: ;0
x-mozilla-html: TRUE
end: vcard

--------------47FAC6E7BBC70167262422E6--

293. Re: PerCon, Grove or???? Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Authorized User <rukensteinassociates@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb95e$52707f60$612f74cf@mperry>
Source record: 187263
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_01BCB93C.CB5EDF60

Jim Bo wrote in article
<340A5D50.2A59@popd.ix.netcom.com>...
> Of the subject databases, which one is the "most" accurate, has the
> easiest interface to use, and represents the "best" value for personal
> use (nto commercial)?? I am primarily looking for frequencies, license
> names, user names, xmit location, call sign, and county with the ability

I have both and both have some drawbacks. One thing , I believe, to keep
in mind is that Percon can only search one state at a time. This can be a
real pain if you live next to state lines. Like where I live the NYC
tristate area. If anyone knows how to search multiple states at one time
with Percon, I'll love to hear from you. Overall, its a pretty good
program.

------=_NextPart_000_01BCB93C.CB5EDF60

Jim Bo <jbollit@popd.ix.netcom.com> wrote in article <340A5D50.2A59@popd.ix.netcom.com>...
> Of the subject databases, which one is =
the "most" accurate, has the
> easiest interface to use, =
and represents the "best" value for personal
> use (nto =
commercial)?? I am primarily looking for frequencies, =
license
> names, user names, xmit location, call sign, and county =
with the ability

I have both and both have some drawbacks. =
One thing , I believe, to keep in mind is that Percon can only =
search one state at a time. This can be a real pain if you live =
next to state lines. Like where I live the NYC tristate area. =
If anyone knows how to search multiple states at one time with =
Percon, I'll love to hear from you. Overall, its a pretty good =
program.

------=_NextPart_000_01BCB93C.CB5EDF60--

294. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5umtl0$as6@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187264

```
In <5umn96$9qq@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) writes:
>
>In <340E7706.24C3@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>writes:
>>
>>Try spending an hour telling him about all of Bill Cheek's technical
>>abilities. That will BORE him to sleep.
>>
>>On second thought, you shouldn't lie to children, they hold it
against
>>you.
>>
>> Idiot of Mackey
>
> You are the sound of one hand clapping.
>
> Develop it. We will all sleep better.
>
>
> if all you have to claim your second of fame is
> defiling the benevolence of the Cheek guy you
> really should take time out from your toilet
> training session and flush yourself along with
> the rest of your allusions.
>
> Idiot of Mackey is a legend in his own mind.
>
> Clap
>
> bill conduit
```

295. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <340EFFAD.7136@scarlan.com>
Source record: 187265

```
Jerry Laffert wrote:
>
> -=> Quoting John Mackey--ka0ssf to All <=-
>
> JM> Obviously, he intended to start trouble as indicated by
> JM> his lies & attitude.
>
> And you're an altar boy, pure as the new fallen snow.
>
> You fuckin' poor excuse for a human being.
>
> +--------------------------+------------------------+
> | 9-1-1 Public Safety BBS | POLICENET 150:100/911 |
> |jerry.laffert@warpgate.com| SAFNET 144:8100/911 |
> | LEO's FIREFIGHTERS SAR | FIDONET 1:202/911 |
> | COMMS AMATEUR RADIO | SoCal (619) 669-0385 |
> +--------------------------+------------------------+
> ___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
> ---
> | WarpGate: Jerry Laffert 52:1000/211
> | Internet: jerry.laffert@warpgate.com
> | The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.
```

I read this post labeled ATTENTION EVERYONE, but saw only what is quoted above, and it doesn't seem to say anything unless it is in code.

296. Modified Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Kosloski <scanist@acmenet.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb967$bee24080$35b698ce@default>
Source record: 187266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,phl.scanner

```
I have to sell 1 of my 3 Pro-43's
All have Cellular, Audio, and Short Wave modifications done.
1 is perfect,mint.......$ 275.00
1 is electronically perfect,all functions work properly,new keypad
but the case is worn ( case RS new will cost approx.$50.00 )
$200.00
If intrested reply to:
Eddie Kosloski
scanist@acmenet.net
```

297. Re: LoJack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <340F00CA.48EA@scarlan.com>
Source record: 187267

Doug Gavilanes wrote:
>
> Kegan30317 wrote:
>
> > Has anyone ever had any luck hitting on a LoJack transmitter while
> > mobile?
>
> Yes, the transmitter operates on either 173.025 or 172.025 (I don't
> remember, but I believe it's the first. You'll copy a short digital
> burst every few seconds (@5sec?), but the signal is low power, so you
> have to be within a few blocks of the source. I had a vehicle parked a
> couple of blocks away from me from about 8PM one night and monitored the
> police tracking it. They failed, so I called a friend at Lo-Jack in
> West L.A. the following morning and they sent out a van (the signal
> stayed up all night). I gave them the general location and they located
> the vehicle in about 15 minutes.
>
> Nice system, but the boys in blue need more training.
>

That is for sure! I was monitoring my local police department, when the dispatcher said they had a call to search for a stolen car possibly in the area with their lojack squad car, and he asked if anyone knew how to use it, and everyone replied back that they did not. I would NOT recomend anyone get lojack installed in their car as no cop will ever know how to find it.

298. Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerry.laffert@warpgate.com
Message-ID: <2b4_9709041300@warpgate.com>
Source record: 187268

From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>

JM> Try spending an hour telling him about all of Bill Cheek's technical
JM> abilities. That will BORE him to sleep.

He could BORE his kid to sleep in just five minutes describing your
non-existent technical abilties.

```
+--------------------------+------------------------+
| 9-1-1 Public Safety BBS | POLICENET 150:100/911 |
|jerry.laffert@warpgate.com| SAFNET 144:8100/911 |
| LEO's FIREFIGHTERS SAR | FIDONET 1:202/911 |
| COMMS AMATEUR RADIO | SoCal (619) 669-0385 |
+--------------------------+------------------------+
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
---
| WarpGate: Jerry Laffert 52:1000/211
| Internet: jerry.laffert@warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.
```

299. Pro-2040 questions from a new user

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: webmaster@cat-inc.com
Message-ID: <340f2941.14527633@news.ioa.com>
Source record: 187269

Actually, I've owned a 2040 for about 2 years now, but I really haven't done much with it. I'm looking for others who own one who might have any suggestions on some extra hardware (i.e. antennas, power amplifiers, etc.) as well as any tips that aren't found in the manual. Links to related sites would be appreciated.

I'm a former RS employee (for 1 painful year), so I'm familiar with scanners, but learning to sell one doesn't mean you really know how to get the most out of one. I'm sure there are others who know what I mean.

Happy to have found this group...
Steven

300. 13.8v DC Question / Mobile Scanner Antenna Y- splitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: daw143@fast.net
Message-ID: <340F3FB2.6A89@fast.net>
Source record: 187270

Mobile Scanner Power:
I am going to install a PRO-2032 base 200 scanner in my vehicle and need some advice: The voltage requirments for this unit read 13.8V DC.What would be the best method to power this in my vehicle.
I do not think that they have any 13.8V DC power cigarette adapters.
Should I run it directly to the battery and negative ground?

Mobile scanner Y-Splitter Question:
Also, I was looking into maybe using a Y-Splitter madee by Motorola that enables you to utilize the car's radio antenna and slpits the signal for your scanner. Has anyone ever used these splitters and are they as efective as a basic all-band mag. mount antenna?

Any suggestions would be greatly appreatiated
--
Daniel A. Washco
daw143@fast.net

301. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: prografx@teleport.com
Message-ID: <5unhfa$d03$1@nadine.teleport.com>
Source record: 187271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Jon Van Allen <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Jim Wayda <jwayda@cogent.net> wrote:
>>
>>You can't compare a pro-2035 to a pro-2006. The 2006 is the best
>>scanner ever made.
>>
>>Jim Wayda

>Jeepers Ma, I better get rid of my IC-7100 and buy a 2006 then,
>right?? Fat chance!

>Jon

Units like the IC-R7000 and IC-R7100 may be better RADIOS than the RS Pro-2006, but they are NOT necessarily better SCANNERS.

I own both for different reasons. I didn't buy the Icom for its sterling performance as a scanner, nor did I sell my 2006!

Steve

302. Re: 13.8v DC Question / Mobile Scanner Antenna Y- splitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tmalesic@concentric.net
Message-ID: <340f48bc.1615028@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 187272

On Thu, 04 Sep 1997 19:09:38 -0400, daw143@fast.net wrote:

>Mobile Scanner Power:
> I am going to install a PRO-2032 base 200 scanner in my vehicle and
>need some advice: The voltage requirments for this unit read 13.8V
>DC.What would be the best method to power this in my vehicle.
>I do not think that they have any 13.8V DC power cigarette adapters.
>Should I run it directly to the battery and negative ground?

No need to use any up/down voltage converters. Your car's 12V system
will be just fine. Any fused 12V cigarette lighter adaptor will work
ok. A fused line, direct to the battery and chassis ground is
preferable though.
>
>Mobile scanner Y-Splitter Question:
> Also, I was looking into maybe using a Y-Splitter madee by Motorola
>that enables you to utilize the car's radio antenna and slpits the
>signal for your scanner. Has anyone ever used these splitters and are
>they as efective as a basic all-band mag. mount antenna?

An all band mag mount antenna will, of course, provide better results
since it is designed specifically for the frequencies covered by your
scanner. However, for local, general scanning, your car antenna
should suffice. Just make sure the splitter you use will pass the
highest frequency you wish to scan.
>
>
>Any suggestions would be greatly appreatiated
>--
>Daniel A. Washco
>daw143@fast.net

303. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340F5D4A.25BB@usa.net>
Source record: 187273

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> > if all you have to claim your second of fame is
> > defiling the benevolence of the Cheek guy you

Senseless garbage deleted

hey Bill, are you going to start making your racist comments again?

304. Durham vs. Multicomm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Figueroa <sifig@li.net>
Message-ID: <340F648D.49DF@li.net>
Source record: 187274
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A Thank You to all that replied to me regarding "Confiscated Scanners"
All the help was greatly appreciated.

I was wondering what where people's experiences with Durham Radio
http://www.durhamradio.ca/

And with Multicomm2000 http://www.multicomm2000.com/

At Durham Radio's there selling the Yupiteru MVT7100 (the model I'm
intrested in) for aprox. $545 U.S. Funds.

And at MultiComm 2000 there selling the Yupiteru MVT7100EX for $305 U.S.
Funds.

I've heard that the only diffrences between the 7100 and the 7100EX is
that the 7100EX has no gaps and comes with the English manual. I mailed
the people at Durham and they sais there's has absoulutely no gaps and
comes with the English manual. Is this what is causing the price change.
Can anyone explain further?

In addition I was wondering what the reputations of these two companies
are. What experiences have people had with either or both of these
companies delivery wise. Do the deliveries always get through (no
confiscation by U.S. Customs) All help (by e-mail preferably) will be
GREATLY appreciated. Thank You in advance.

305. FS RS DX394 150.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <340F6846.A97C67BF@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Have a 6 month old RS DX 394 for $150.00. Have box, manual, antenna. If you live in the SF Bay area, I will deliver. If not, buyer pays shipping. Excellent condition.

Thanks,

Monty

306. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340F5EDB.4114@usa.net>
Source record: 187276

Jerry Laffert wrote:
> He could BORE his kid to sleep in just five minutes describing your
> non-existent technical abilties.

it sounds like you have "little man" syndrome.

307. Re: FM transmiter for Auto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <340F87A3.C8418CBF@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187277
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

AllanStern wrote:

> Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >Does anyone know where you can purchase this item and who
> manufactures
> >it. Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Tom: It was in the Damark catalog as Item B-40040-492096
> for $29.99 (800/729-9000).
> I was going to get one until I heard from two guys
> who bought them and told me that the unit suffers from
> frequency drift. You have to keep adjusting the tuner on
> your radio.
> Al Stern Satellite Beach FL

Thanks Al and all who responded to this post....

I picked one up from RS today. 29.99 It has four different present freq to broadcast to, runs on 2 AAA batteries (freeing up cigarette lighter for scanner), and is switchable forMono/Stereo . Have been using it for about 3 hours now and it works great. Doesn't drift at all due to the preset freqs.You might want to check it out. If it doesn't work RS will always take it back....

Monty

308. Scanner Frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <340F88FB.45A27CA3@mail.com>
Source record: 187278

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
--------------50BAC320F1B4D085C38D1F17
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I am in need of frequencies for the Corpus Christi area. Thanks

--------------50BAC320F1B4D085C38D1F17
Content-Type: text/x-vcard; charset=us-ascii; name="vcard.vcf"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Description: Card for Matt Walker
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="vcard.vcf"

begin: vcard
fn: Matt Walker
n: Walker;Matt
org: Walker's Productions
adr: 2126 Whatley Dr.;;;Deer Park;TX;77536-5724;United States of America
email;internet: mattw@mail.com
title: Owner / Chief Engineer
tel;work: (281) 476-0007
tel;fax: (281) 476-0007
tel;home: (281) 476-0007
x-mozilla-cpt: ;0
x-mozilla-html: TRUE
end: vcard

--------------50BAC320F1B4D085C38D1F17--
```

309. FS: RS Pro2035 /w full 800Mhz coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <5umvjf$q5c$1@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 187279

I have a Pro2035 1000 channel scanner with the european display board which opens up the full 800Mhz band, for sale. It is in excellent condition. I do NOT have the original box or manual, but I have the replacement manual from Radio Shack.

$375 plus shipping.

Joe

(-----------------------------------)
Joe Gregg KNA
gregg@plains.nodak.edu
(-----------------------------------)

310. FS: AOR 1000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <5umvs6$q5c$2@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 187280

I have an AOR 1000XLT hand held 1000 channel scanner for sale. It has the full 800Mhz band coverage along with 30Khz spacing. It covers 500khz to 1300Mhz, AM, FM and FM WIDE. It is a full featured scanner in very good shape. I have the box, and accessories. I also have the book on it, not the original manual. This book is excellent and covers anything you'd want to know or do with this scanner and is far better than the original manual.

$275 plus shipping.

Joe

(----------)
Joe Gregg KNA
gregg@plains.nodak.edu
(----------)

311. FS RS DX 394

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <340F9768.E3FF4145@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Have a 6 month old RS DX 394 for $150.00. Have box, manual, antenna. If you live in the SF Bay area, I will deliver. If not, buyer pays shipping. Excellent condition.

Thanks,

Monty

312. Regency R4030 info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <slybri@foxvalley.net>
Message-ID: <01bcb8ee$af75ee40$9fbae3cf@default>
Source record: 187282

I'm looking for any owners of the Regency R4030 or any one that has info
on this radio. I've had mine for many years and I need to put some new life

into it. I would like any mods that are possible for it. I've looked at
different
books that are out, but a haven't seen any mods for my model.
Hope anyone can help me out, thanks

Brian

313. Re: Airband Radio from Sporty's Pilot Shop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: chiefjim@unix.nospam.asb.com
Message-ID: <EFynLE.n3y@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 03 Sep 1997 10:25:52 GMT, prografx@teleport.com (Steve
Kennedy) made the following comments:

>Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>
>>I was thinking about purchasing one of the airband (VHF and UHF)
>>scanners from Sporty's pilot shop and was wondering if anyone has one
>>and can offer any opinions ? I believe they are made for Sporty's by a
>>division of JAL.
>
>>Thanks,
>>Monty
>
>Go to DejaNews and search in this newsgroup for "Airband" and "JAL"
>and "Shorty's" and you'll find several messages that were here a month
>or two ago on this very subject.
>
>Steve
>
I was one of those who considered the scanner from Sporty's. At the
time Radio Shack had the Pro-60 on sale for little more cash wise but
plenty more in features. Haven't regreted the Pro-60 at all.

Of course now it is another $10 cheaper! Oh well.

****

Anti-spam measures in action.
For e-mail response delete "nospam"

****

314. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Domonkos <domonkos@erols.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb8fe$df2e78e0$1b98accf@domonkos>
Source record: 187437
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wow, support for Al Gore and his philosophy of the govt's owing rights to everyone's comms one we go to an all-digital mode. Too bad not all of you folks work in the comm field to see what the Whitehouse is REALLY pushing for.

I just quit a job working for a govt contractor specializing in surveillance/counter surveillance electronics. The media portrayed 'Militia Paranoia' pales in comparison to our govt's. What/who are they afraid of, an informed public.

Since I quit I can finally sleep at night again....

Andy

David... <david084@flash.net> wrote in article <340CB892.4FC6@flash.net>...
> Jan Allbright wrote:
>
> > > *** Can you imagine voting for Al Gore? ***
> >
> > In a heart beat ace...
>
> Jan, if you can bring yourself to vote for Socialist Al Gore, then
> there is nothing for us to talk about. I do not understand how you can
> support socialism.
> --
> *** DO NOT READ THIS WEBPAGE !!! ***
>
> http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
>

315. Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: frnkburns@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970904043800.AAA00371@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187438

C'mon Eric fess up there Pal

316. www.potg.com = Free comedy radio; unique and award-winning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobciz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970904045100.AAA01733@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187439

http://www.potg.com/
See: Why everyone on the internet is talking about this progressive new website; why up until now, this site has grown simply by word-of-mouth.
Hear: The show that is breaking boundaries on the radio, making it an entertaining medium once more, and why certain sites have already heralded it as "the show that will redefine radio and will usher in a new style of comedy for the 21st century."
Taste: The difference between Planet of the Grapes' potent style of humor and the type of humor that makes you turn off your television or radio in disgust. The show's hosts guarantee that whether you like it or not, it is impossible to deny that it has a unique, fresh style.

The Hosts: Deaze Beans, Yahdderman, and U.B. Jackson
The Show : Planet of the Grapes
The Site: http://www.potg.com/
The Reason: Join the bandwagon that is headed into the future of comedy!

317. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970904050400.BAA26235@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187440

>John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:

[a bunch of meglomanical and delusional nonsense about fostering order and decorum]

I finally get it: You're really a normal person; it's your evil twin Jacko Wacko who posts those 30 messages a day.

318. Xplorer input protection question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMae826@leo.nmc.edu
Message-ID: <340e0a59.0@zeus.moa.net>
Source record: 187441

I remember reading about owners of the Opto Xplorer talking about how a strong transmitter nearby blew the front end of the Xplorer. Opto gave them grief about warranty repairs.

Then someone else said all it would take was putting diode's on the antenna input to protect the front end.

Can someone who has done this, or has a theory on how to do this, please e me detailed instructions. Including what type of diode to use?

Any help would be appreciated. As a matter of fact, email me AND post the instructions for all if you have some good ideas.

Thanks

Tom

Remove NOSPAM to email me.

319. Re: ARRESTS FOR MONITORING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340E5ADE.6038@flash.net>
Source record: 187442

EKIM233 wrote:
>
> Isn't funny that everytime government officials get caught with there
> pants down by someone with a scanner (which the government- FCC approved
> for sale) the pressure/arrests are always on the general public and not the
> corrupt officials. Maybe the government and etc. should be a little more
> honest and stop back stabbing everyone and then they won't have to worry..
>
> Whatever!! The general public will never win!!!

Youre making too much sense! Tanks will soon appear in your front
yard!
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

320. Re: Discriminator Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <340E5D8E.1224@flash.net>
Source record: 187443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.rec.scanner,phl.scanner

Oren Haydel wrote:
>
> Thanks Singh,
>
> I forgot to put, I had pin 10..just don't know which one on the
> chip is pin10. Can U help? Also where can I get a Hammcomm
> >????? Thank s

All chips known as DIPS have two rows of pins. Pin one is marked
by a dent in the plastic, or some mark on the top of the chip.
IN the case of a 20 pin chip, there will be 10 pins on each side.
If you know which on is pin one, the way you find ten is to follow 1
down and start counting. If you were looking for pin 12, you would need
to know that the pins are configured in a U shape with respect to their
numbers. If 10 was the last pin on the left side, 11 would be the pin
just across from 10 on the right side. 12 would be one up from 11 on
the right and so on. So you see how the pins are numbered in a U.

Pin 1 I I 20
2 I I 19
3 I I 18
4 I I 17
5 I I 16
6 I I 15
7 I I 14
8 I I 13
9 I I 12
19 I I 11

--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

321. Oshkosk Frequency's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Schanks <bill1@skypoint.com>
Message-ID: <340E7459.7EB4CB49@skypoint.com>
Source record: 187444

I need the frequency's for the Oshkosh Air show, approach, departure, etc...

322. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340E7706.24C3@usa.net>
Source record: 187445

Try spending an hour telling him about all of Bill Cheek's technical abilities. That will BORE him to sleep.

On second thought, you shouldn't lie to children, they hold it against you.

Jack Anderson wrote:
> AAAHAHAHAHAHA....OH please stop It HAHAHA Im dying HAHAHA cant get the 4
> year old to go to sleep HAHAHA...

323. Re: How does web/email to pager gateway work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: abboud <ahbood@beepme.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb90f$76b124a0$233d61ce@Ahbood.pageme.beepme.com>
Source record: 187446
Cross-posted to: tnn.comm.pager,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,comp.dcom.modems

There is programs out there that do that. Eudora pro has the ability to do
it.
or if you have your own email box just have it forward to your respective
paging company. This is if you have alpha numeric paging. All bazaar is
doing is adding a link to the paging companies.

alvarez@iac.co.jp wrote in article <873343588.19610@dejanews.com>...
> Konichiwa,
>
> I am really curious as to internet users can send
> messages from web forms or email to pagers.
>
> For example of what i mean, please see >>
> http://www.bazaars.com/home/paging2.html
>
> Could you kindly share your experience
> with me? Basically how does internet messaging
> interface with a paging terminal? What equipment
> is needed to connect my UNIX web server to a paging
> terminal?
>
> Do pager companies accept dispaches directly from TCP/IP
> or do all the incomming messages need to be dialed in
> thru a normal phone call? How do most pager companies
> recieve data from a web based form or email?
>
> Please advise. Thank you.
>
> ----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet
>

324. Re: Scanner License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Crozier <ka9qjg@tsrcom.com>
Message-ID: <340E5B82.59EE@tsrcom.com>
Source record: 187447

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------232449103ADE
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

JerkyBoy wrote:
>
> Do you need such a license? Is this a requirement? Why don't the guys at RS
> tell you that when you buy one there?
>
> Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote in article
> <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>...
> > Bill Schanks wrote:
> > >
> > > How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
> >
> > Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
> > guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
> > Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!
> >
Well get a copy of the SCANNER LAW .for your STATE in IND. I Have been
arrested twice for having a Scanner in my Car, After thousands of
dollars in lawyer fees, case was Dismissed becauese I am a Lic.Ham Radio
Operator, But Maby you mean that your state has a exemption such as IND,
which states a WRITTEN LETTER FROM THE CHIEF OF POLICE (LICENSE)But you
wont get one here ? A COPY OF THE SCANNER LAW FOR IND ,HAPPY MONTORING
TO ALL FROM DON KA9QJG

--------------232449103ADE
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1; name="INDLAW.txt"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="INDLAW.txt"

The Indiana Scanner Law

--=
--

Indiana Code 35-44-3-12

Enacted 1977

Amended 1994

IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:

(1) possesses a police radio;

(2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency purposes; or

(3) possesses or uses a police radio:

(A) while committing a crime;

(B) to further the commission of a crime; or

(C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement agency;

commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B misdemeanor.

(b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:

(1) a governmental entity;

(2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;

(3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose vehicles are used in emergency service;

(4) a public service or utility company whose vehicles are used in emergency service;

(5) a person who has written permission from the chief executive officer of a law enforcement agency to possess a police radio;

(6) a person who holds an amateur radio license issued by the Federal Communications Commission if the person is not transmitting over a frequency assigned for police emergency purposes;

(7) a person who uses a police radio only in the person's dwelling or place of business;

(8) a person:

(A) who is regularly engaged in news gathering activities;

(B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to receive legal advertisements under IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed commercial or public radio or television station; and

(C) whose name is furnished by his employer to the chief executive officer of a law enforcement agency in the county in which the employer's principal office is located;

(9) a person engaged in the business of manufacturing or selling

police radios; or

(10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio during the normal

course of the person's lawful business.

(c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a radio that is capable=

of sending or receiving signals transmitted on frequencies assigned

by the Federal Communications Commission for police emergency

purposes and that:

(1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a vehicle; or

(2) can be operated while it is being carried by an individual.

The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a dwelling.

As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.
----------=
--

Back to the Main Menu

Additions or Corrections
Last Updated: Saturday, June 01, 1996
=00
--------------232449103ADE--

325. Re: Would the following....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340E7467.7487@usa.net>
Source record: 187448

Why don't you just go pound sand & stop trying to stir it up
again, little man.

Mel Robinson wrote:
>
> Would the following CHILDREN please report to the office.
>
> Jerry Laffert, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, and those who go by KC7DIU, Mnmanic,
> BC800XLT, Quietone01, ScanSkip, Baycitvidgrp, or anyone else who has replied
> to the (Eric Oyen,Drew Durrigan,John Mackey,etc) thread.

326. Re: Would the following....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340E7522.545E@usa.net>
Source record: 187449

I rarely agree with Drew, but that was VERY well put.

MN Maniac wrote:
> Since you choose to keep the flamefest going by creating yet ANOTHER
> thread on the matter, perhaps your name should be at the top of the list.

327. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <340E77F2.1A67@usa.net>
Source record: 187450

Jerry Laffert wrote:

> And you're an altar boy, pure as the new fallen snow.

thank you!!!

> You fuckin' poor excuse for a human being.

Are you looking in a mirror??

Does the sysop of your system know you are using it to
swear & anger others??

328. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970905141800.KAA25859@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187152

>Subject: Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls
>From: dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti)
>Date: Fri, 5 Sep 1997 12:03:45 GMT
>Message-id: <EG18sv.EzJ@nonexistent.com>

>Actually.....No.
>
>How did you happen to hear these calls, Cliiff? Were they made to
>YOU? You heard only one side? HHhmmmm
>
>What does this have to do with the scanning hobby?
>dconti@carroll.com

I would rather know what DCONTI has to do with the scanning hobby since he cannot see the obvious connection.

329. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5up3a5$cf0@sjx-ixn3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <340FF48D.784F@flash.net> Robert Heft <Robert.P.Heft@lmco.com>
writes:
>

>> Cliff Pearson
>> Assignment Editor
>> KTVT Channel 11 News
>> Dallas, Texas
>
>Love 'Em!
>
Gosh Cliff...what are we missing in our lives that this
adds sustenance to our daily trip to the toilet?

you must be a humorous kind of guy and enjoy
TAll joking...but wow...humorous assignment
deskies...u must be dreaming.

AD's cannot be creative. It's against their education.

I'm trying to think of the last time I laughed with a
body titled: Deskie. Hard to do.

News is serious. Deskies afraid to make light of serious
situations. Dead-pan is better said.

The humorous ones don's last long. There is very little
laughter with Deskies.

bill conduit
pro video stringer

california

330. Re: ALL -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Garrow <garpf@acmenet.net>
Message-ID: <340FFE82.3F3B@acmenet.net>
Source record: 187154
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Cliff Anchor wrote:
>
> Policemen and Policewomen are human-beings like anyone else. They come in
> all shapes and sizes, from every culture, minority and majority, and they
> bring with them their life's experiences into the workplace. Like doctors,
> dentists, politicians and stock market brokers, the majority are good
> people and seek to maintain good reputations for honesty and integrity and
> professionalism. And, just as in other professions, there are a handful
> whose conduct causes the majority of good cops to bear the brunt of the
> blame and fingerpointing.
>
> I am one of those outraged by what is alleged to have happened in the
> bathroom at NYPD, and for the above reasons. The people who allegedly
> perpetrated the outrage should never have worn a police uniform in the
> first place. They certainly did no favors for the good people in Law
> Enforcement, who have learned that when one serves the public, one leaves
> one's prejudices at home.
>
> I think it is ludicrous to state that *ALL* Law Enforcement personnel, or
> any other group are this or that. If the faces of those holding office
> reflect the faces in a community, there will be trust and social justice.
> Rather than attack the nearest thing at hand (in this case, Law
> Enforcement) I think we need to think of ourselves as an all-inclusive
> Family, as Americans and to work together to FEEL we ALL belong to that
> Family. The quickest way to destroy what we have is to delude ourselves
> that our problems within our country are unable to be resolved properly and
> peacefully. Those who corrupt our institutions of Government need to be
> booted out. Those who embrace the noble ideals of America that ALL
> Americans are equal and who work to strengthen those ideals, will
> themselves be strengthened and revered. For a Nation is only as strong as
> the weakest (poorest) link in the chain. The solution is NOT extremism and
> MORE extremism. It is having a love for one's country which is so deep that
> one looks upon a fellow being as one's kin. It is NOT wearing a flag on
> one's jacket or mumbling the National Anthem. It is what one feels in one's
> heart that counts.
>
> Police, by their very duties, are keepers of the peace. Such demands trust
> from all sides. The tragedy of the man in New York is bad enough, but there
> is a much bigger victim, and that is Public Trust. I think these postings
> in this NG echo public (and police) frustration with this issue. Let those
> with anger in their hearts toward police NOT demoralize or denigrate the
> good cops. Focus on the bad ones and the situations which allowed such a

> tragedy to occur. The first clean-up target should be Prejudice.
> Sincerely,
> Cliff Anchor

You are absolutely right, of course. The actions of some, should
not be held against all of the group.

Now you know what peaceful, productive occasional drug users,
feel like.

Now you know what a black man feels.

Now you know what it is like to be discriminated against by association.

Now you see what it's like to be wrongfully accused.

The next time someone is sodomized with a plunger, will the profilers
target cops?

We live surrounded with discretion and discrimination by the law.

It's a different story for cops.

This country is being abused every day by your drug war.

You start a war and expect leniency?

You don't all want to be lumped together, but you all are participating
in a war against your own people.

You think you can take away people's rights and freedom for the least
little infraction.

Police have been trusted with the law and have severely abused it.
Seeing how far the law can be perverted.
Calling every action justified by good intentions.

Police protect the politicians from the people.
The police look out for themselves.
They won't even lower themselves to live where they work. I don't wan't
to live where they work either.
They destroy lives and wonder why people are pissed.

It's not safe for a black man to be on the streets after dark.
He could walk across town and be searched a couple of times.

When you stop acting like an army, trying to destroy our communities,
maybe people will have a little sympathy for you.

331. Re: New RCA Scanner to hit market

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: gwheelerX@gate.net
Message-ID: <34100887.78887183@news.gate.net>
Source record: 187155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

>I was able to see the new RCA hand held scanner soon to hit the scene
>yesterday at a local radio shop, and I must say that I was quite
>impressed.
>
>Many years ago I had an RCA crystal controlled base, with something
>called "Varactor Tuning" (What that was I never new), and it was a
>FINE scanner.

A varactor is an electronic component. The name is derived from
"variable capacitor". Many radios use variable capacitors for tuning,
but the varactor is different in that it is electronic instead of
mechanical. By putting it into the tuning circuit, and varying its
voltage, you can get one form of electronic tuning. However, I think
it has been superceded by the digital synthesis tuners used in most
TVs and scanners.

Gerry Wheeler gwheelerX@gate.net (remove the X)
SpectraFAX Corp. Phone: 941-643-8739
Naples, FL Fax: 941-643-5070

332. Re: time wasters looking for freqs'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: gwheelerX@gate.net
Message-ID: <341109c5.79205962@news.gate.net>
Source record: 187156

"Bandit" <bandit@tinet.ie> wrote:

>so far i have asked people to trade freqs',
>i have received 5 replies but no freqs'......so.....i sent off 5 lists and
>waited,
>and waited and waited.....why do some people not bother to reply?
>i sent off my lists in good faith, obviously i wasted my time.
>so next up i suppose ill have to post a list of the people who are time
>wasters...
>to save others from the same crap i endured.

I don't know about this particular situation, but this gives me an
opportunity to put in my 2 cents worth...

Personally, I haven't been much interested in collecting frequencies
from others, because most of them are only good in specific areas.
I've watched the requests for frequencies with some amusement, because
I wonder why more scannists don't just use the search feature of their
scanners to find the frequencies themselves. If folks would take some
time to search the common bands, and make note of what they hear, I'm
sure they'd find almost all of the utilities, services, ham repeaters,
and whatever in their areas.

Just one person's opinion.

Gerry Wheeler gwheelerX@gate.net (remove the X)
SpectraFAX Corp. Phone: 941-643-8739
Naples, FL Fax: 941-643-5070

333. 235XLT @ $250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970905132401.JAA29988@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187157

All,

Grove's selling the Uniden 235XLT TrunkTracker for $249.95, plus $8
shipping for second day air. This is the best price I've seen so far.
Apparently they took some distributors overstock or something and they're
trying to move 'em.
1-800-438-8155 for Grove.

John

334. Siezed scanners latest !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: uk911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970905150801.LAA05361@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187158

From Chunky in the UK :

Hi all

To any of you that are interested, for example those who have offered me advice etc, I can confirm that my two scanners have been inspected and returned to the Police station. On speaking to the property officer this morning I was informed that the inspections had been carried out and that they were waiting for the report to be sent to the Police station within the next few days.

I received a letter from the Chief Superintendent this morning prompting me to call because he stated that they would be available to me on 4th Sept 1997 if they were not required for evidencial purposes. He also stated in a letter to my solicitor that they were inspected to see if the had " mainly " Police and Telephone frequencies in them.

I will be publishing all the paperwork etc. concerning this recent matter on my home pages just incase anyone would like to see what has been going on.

Until next week at the earliest then.....

Chunky

335. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Lynch <lynchwb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <341023A6.E1673848@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187159
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

JJ wrote:
>
> "Cliff Anchor" <NOSPAManchor@wclynx.com> wrote:
>
> >Policemen and Policewomen are human-beings like anyone else. They come in
> >all shapes and sizes, from every culture, minority and majority, and they
> >bring with them their life's experiences into the workplace. Like doctors,
> >dentists, politicians and stock market brokers, the majority are good
> >people and seek to maintain good reputations for honesty and integrity and
> >professionalism. And, just as in other professions, there are a handful
> >whose conduct causes the majority of good cops to bear the brunt of the
> >blame and fingerpointing.
>
> Gee, barring renumeration for services rendered, sounds just like
> amateur radio operators. Wouldn't you say?
>
> ==
> 73 de Jim, WK1V
> Ex: KA5MWD, N1CLS, KB1LW, HL9ZF
> ==
> Code ith good! - Mike Tython

Only problem is, society doesn't furnish amateur radio operators with guns, badges, & uniforms; otherwise, yes.

Bill <g>

336. common low-power HT frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: spoof@garbage.netrox.net
Message-ID: <873476957.26624@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm wondering what the frequencies are of the (very) common low-power HT's (I'd guess 1 watt VHF, 2 Watt UHF) that a lot of people are using for small business purposes. It seems like all of the services I'd like to listen to are not listed in any Fcc-database-type of lookup (Police call, Scanner master, or websites) because they use these (relatively) cheap business-band radios.

I found a really good website, ant they have a file in itenerant and interstital frequencies (http://www.laker.net/mikef/itineran.htm)- I'm wondering which of these would be most likely to have activity. For example, the radios I see for sale are usually listed as "Red dot" or "yellow dot" etc... I figure that there are about 6 or 10 freqs that are most often used.

Looking thru a catolog I see a few radios for business use on: 151.625,154.570,154.600 theres another that uses 468.5625,462.5875,462.6125,462.6375,462.6625,462.6875,462.7125, and 462.550-462.725 (On 12.5khz?)

(Also take a look at mtspirit.txt, on the same site)
Thanks
please email:

spoof@
roo
unixnet
org

Thanks!

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

337. F.S. Pro-46 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scanner F.S." <rusty@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <34103074.373E@direct.ca>
Source record: 187161

```
Selling a Pro-46 hand held scanner,100 channels,29-956 Mhz.
I believe it can be cell modified.
In excellent condition
$175 US OBO
Please e-mail me if interested
Thanks
```

338. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: spam_me_not@shore.net
Message-ID: <34104bb9.14852789@news.shore.net>
Source record: 187162
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

On Fri, 05 Sep 1997 11:22:14 -0400, Bill Lynch
<lynchwb@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Only problem is, society doesn't furnish amateur radio operators with
>guns, badges, & uniforms; otherwise, yes.

>Bill <g>

Can't argue with that! :) <seg>

==========
Jim, WK1V
Ex: KA5MWD, N1CLS, KB1LW - HL9ZF
==========
Code ith good. - Mike Tython

339. Re: seattle frequency directory?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tug@pobox.com_no.spam
Message-ID: <5upf0bddl1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 187163

In message <19970904143700.KAA28867@ladder02.news.aol.com> - drnick714@aol.com (DRNICK714)4 Sep 1997 14:37:03 GMT writes:

>Try using http://www.wolfnet.com for a very good listing of Seattle area
>frequencies and information

There's also a guide available for the Motorola Trunked IDs:
http://www.eskimo.com/~brucem/ttidking.htm

340. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amonhen@Rohirrim.net
Message-ID: <340f16ec.79311@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 187164
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I just quit a job working for a govt contractor specializing in
>surveillance/counter surveillance electronics. The media portrayed 'Militia
>Paranoia' pales in comparison to our govt's. What/who are they afraid of,
>an informed public.

I know DOZENS of people who have scanners. They monitor police, fire, ambulance, government and the local dog catcher. None of them are likely to commit "anti-government" violence. They are HOBBYISTS, as am I. Most of them seem to be horribly alarmed at this scanner ban development, seeing it as yet another intrusion by the government into our private lives. Why do they want to ban our scanners? Why do they really want to get rid of CB? We know they hate talk radio. The only people they really like are those (some in this newsgroup) who are good little robots, believing everything some government pinhead says. Protect your radios, folks. They may not disappear right away, but this legislation could lead us down the road to the same sort of break-in-your-door-and-kill-you mentality seen in Nazi Germany and Communist China, where it was/is illegal to listen to shortwave broadcasts outside the country.

341. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amonhen@Rohirrim.net
Message-ID: <3412154f.14005875@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 187165
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

> What kind of a statement is that? I sure hope you're not LIVING in the
> United States because you would find alot more people who fit your beliefs
> in Iran.

In Iran, if you disagree with the government, they kill you. In the United States, we have the God-given right to speak out in opposition if we see criminal acts, acts that violate the Constitution and civil liberties. I sure hope you're not opposed to THAT!

342. PRO-39 Mod to receive more VHF-lo freqs ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Lawrence <jiml@sover.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.95.970905143449.20744A-100000@granite.sover.net>
Source record: 187166

Are there any mods that can be done to a Radio Shack PRO-39 to expand the VHF-lo capabilities of this radio? I don't want to lose the VHF-lo freqs it gets now; I'd just like to get stuff above 54 Mhz. We have numerous A-10s that love to use fox mike above 54 Mhz around here.

I am not interested in cell mods, thanks.

Jim
Remove .no.spam efforts to e-mail

343. Re: Power cord for Bearcat III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <5uphf7$dj@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 187167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <340d5222.6962732@news-2.awod.com>,
Butch Butler KF4SAG <bbutler@nospam.awod.com> wrote:

>Anyone out there have an old Electra Bearcat III Scanner? I have one
>without a power cord & would like to locate a copy of the schematic,
>or at least a wiring diagram for the power cord
>I'll pay copying costs, postage, etc.

The BC-III chassis uses a 4-pin Cinch Jones plug (male).

The BC-III AC power cord uses a 4-pin Cinch Jones jack (female)
with this wiring:

pin 1 not connected
pin 3 not connected
pin 2 connected one side of the 117 VAC line
pin 4 connected the other side of the 117 VAC line

The BC-III DC power cord uses a 4-pin Cinch Jones jack (female)
with this wiring:

pin 1 connected to pin 3
pin 2 connected to + 12 VDC
pin 4 shown not connected

The BC-III's chassis is connected to the ground
side of your 12 VDC supply.

Electra BC-III schematic and other servicing date is available
in Sams Scanner-Monitor Servicing Data, volume SD-2.
Call Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall
at (800)428-7267.
--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

344. 895 features

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cleve Massey <cmassey@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <EC99AEC3098EF7F0.F5156B1A978316E5.AE7D2D39E6C7A494@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 187168

>Paul...try the web page at Grove for a link to a good trunking
>newsgroup...
>
>Have you any experience with the old 890 and the 9000??? If it is that
>much better scanner than the 890 then it is a good buy since it is the
>same $$$ as the 9000 and has trunking to boot...
>
>thanks...
>
>cleve
>
Cleve,

I had an 890 I replaced with the 895. The features it has over the 890
are as follows:

TrunkTracking
300 Channels instead of 200
Built-In CTCSS Option (Extra on 890)
Signal Strength Meter
Computer Interface
Data Skip Feature (Kind of a mute point on a TrunkTracker)

My newsreader screwed up and I can't post this back to the newsgroup. If
you could post this back to the thread so other could see it I would
appreciate it!

Thanks,

Paul Lipps
plipps@onramp.net

345. WNT: AR-8000, MVT-7100, MVT-9000, IC-R10 or similar scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: frohro@wwc.edu
Message-ID: <frohro-0509971153470001@hrf.wwc.edu>
Source record: 187169

Hi,

I'm looking for a wide range handheld scanner with SSB reception for use in locating power line interference. If you have one you would consider selling please send me a note. It doesn't have to be cell unblocked.

Thanks,

Rob (KL7NA/W7)

346. Free BC235 TrunkTracker Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <5upi9s$aup@camel1.mindspring.com>
Source record: 187170

Communications Electronics offers a free copy of the BC235 TrunkTracker scanner manual via fax-back. Use the telephone handset connected to a fax and call (313) 213-1000.

The manual is in two parts. Part I is 20 pages, and Part II is 19 pages. Part I is standard scanner stuff, so you probably want Part II, which covers trunking.

When the phone answers, you can listen to the announcement, or just immediately press 7 on your keypad to select Part II of the manual. Then you press your fax machine's <Start> button. You should then start receiving the fax.

I had any number of problems receiving and printing the manual, though I am using a fax-modem hooked up to my PC, rather than a regular fax, which should work better in this situation (example: I couldn't hang up my headset when the fax started; neither did the headset get cutoff, like in a modem transmission. Just I had to leave my handset off-hook, and this might degrade the quality of the line -- several of the pages were grabled in spots, two of them didn't come out at all, and the fax cut off before all the pages had been sent). Printing later was another nightmare. which I won't bother to get into. Hopefully, you have access to a standard fax machine, rather than a fax modem.

If you do want Part I, press 6. For a catalog, press 5.

The number above is their TrunkTracker fax hotline. They have another fax-back system for other stuff. (313) 213-1000.

I am not affiliated in any way with this company.

<< Art WA9BOJ >>

<< Art >>

My phonecard & Visa Cash collecting homepage is
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/artbecker
Homepage Updated:
7/9/97 Visa Cash Pricelist
7/9/97 Visa Cash Swap/Want List
7/31/97 Worldwide Visa Cash checklist for downloading
8/11/97 Mail Bid Sale #2 Posted

347. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: frisbie@flying-disk.spamblock.com
Message-ID: <1997Sep5.112938.1175@flying-disk.spamblock.com>
Source record: 187171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <EG18sv.EzJ@nonexistent.com>,
dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

> How did you happen to hear these calls, Cliiff? Were they made to
> YOU? You heard only one side? HHhmmmm

Here are a few clues from Cliff's posting:

>> I thought
>> those of you who listen to scanners might like to hear some of the
>> kinds of phone conversations I hear one side of in the newsroom.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>> Cliff Pearson
>> Assignment Editor
^^^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^^

>> KTVT Channel 11 News
^^^^ ^^^^^^^ ^^ ^^^^

Isn't it amazing what you can learn by actually reading someone's
posting?

--
-- "From:" line deliberatly munged to prevent harvesting by spambots.

-- Alan E. Frisbie Frisbie "@" Flying-Disk.Com
-- Flying Disk Systems, Inc. (Remove quotes before replying)

348. Re: common low-power HT frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <not@home.here>
Message-ID: <341048AD.4EC7@home.here>
Source record: 187172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

151.625 Red Dot
151.955 Purple Dot
154.6 Green Dot
462.575 White Dot
462.625 Black Dot
462.675 Orange Dot
464.5 Brown Dot
464.55 Yellow Dot
467.7625 J Dot
467.8125 K Dot
467.85 Silver Star
467.875 Gold Star
467.9 Red Star
467.952 Blue Star

spoof@garbage.netrox.net wrote:
>
> I'm wondering what the frequencies are of the (very) common low-power
> HT's (I'd guess 1 watt VHF, 2 Watt UHF) that a lot of people are using
> for small business purposes. It seems like all of the services I'd like
> to listen to are not listed in any Fcc-database-type of lookup (Police
> call, Scanner master, or websites) because they use these (relatively)
> cheap business-band radios.
>
> I found a really good website, ant they have a file in itenerant and
> interstital frequencies (http://www.laker.net/mikef/itineran.htm)- I'm
> wondering which of these would be most likely to have activity. For
> example, the radios I see for sale are usually listed as "Red dot" or
> "yellow dot" etc... I figure that there are about 6 or 10 freqs that are
> most often used.
>
> Looking thru a catolog I see a few radios for business use on:
> 151.625,154.570,154.600 theres another that uses
> 468.5625,462.5875,462.6125,462.6375,462.6625,462.6875,462.7125, and
> 462.550-462.725 (On 12.5khz?)
>
> (Also take a look at mtspirit.txt, on the same site)
> Thanks
> please email:
>
> spoof@
> roo
> unixnet

> org
>
> Thanks!
>
> ----------------------==== Posted via Deja News ====-----------------------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

349. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@value.net>
Message-ID: <3410388D.C1265676@value.net>
Source record: 187173
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Alan Furman wrote:

> In article <01bcb8ff$4a65fb60$1b98accf@domonkos>,
> Andy Domonkos <domonkos@erols.com> wrote:
>
> >You think this is a democracy?!? Think again.
> >
> >What do you call a govt whose local law enforcement agencies deny upwards
> >of 80% of petitions for peaceful demonstrations?
>
> If its top officials are elected, I call it a democratic government.
>
> >Wake up people...
>
> To what? Did they hold elections or didn't they?
>
> --
> ====alanf@aimnet.com * LPC * LPUSA * ISIL * IOS * KoX * Netscab Squealer====
> LEGALIZE FREEDOM >>>> http://www.lp.org * UBI LIBERTAS IBI PATRIA
> "I see that the White House is like a subway--you have to put in coins to
> open the gates." Johnny Chung, quoted in Washington Post, 7/27/97, p. A16

Well said .. some people think it's a government conspiracy when their
shoelace comes untied!

350. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@usa.net" <ma5ter@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34106185.1988@usa.net>
Source record: 187174
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Galen Parth wrote:
>
> > What kind of a statement is that? I sure hope you're not LIVING in the
> > United States because you would find alot more people who fit your beliefs
> > in Iran.
>
> In Iran, if you disagree with the government, they kill you. In the
> United States, we have the God-given right to speak out in opposition
> if we see criminal acts, acts that violate the Constitution and civil
> liberties. I sure hope you're not opposed to THAT!

And if you disagree too much, the cops will kill you or have the IRS
audit you to death or take all of your property.

351. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG1zp1.M9E@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

frisbie@flying-disk.spamblock.com (Alan Frisbie) wrote:

>In article <EG18sv.EzJ@nonexistent.com>,
>dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

>> How did you happen to hear these calls, Cliiff? Were they made to
>> YOU? You heard only one side? HHhmmmm

>Here are a few clues from Cliff's posting:

>>> I thought
>>> those of you who listen to scanners might like to hear some of the
>>> kinds of phone conversations I hear one side of in the newsroom.
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>>> Cliff Pearson
>>> Assignment Editor
> ^^^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^^

>>> KTVT Channel 11 News
> ^^^^ ^^^^^^^ ^^ ^^^^

Aside from the demonstration of your reading and underlining
abilities, my question still remains un-answered.

He can sit in the newsroonm and hear one side of all phone calls?

Assignment Editor - Not impressed......tells me nothing relative to my
question.

KTVT Channel 11 news.....equally unimpressed.

Again I submit...what does this have to do with scanning?

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

352. Re: BC-200 Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: triad6@juno.com
Message-ID: <341019f1.525357@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 187176

Just about any 12v wall-wart adapter will work fine. I've used a 500
milliamp and a 1 amp wall-wart to charge mine, even charged it from
the car battery.

Carl Humphrey <sma@mail.futura.net> wrote:

>I have a BC-200 with a MetroWest Pro Pack 1200 battery. My charger has
>been lost. Can anyone tell me if there is a Radio Shack AC Adapter that
>will work on this battery......
>
>Carl Humphrey

353. My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <341052F6.77D7@kktv.com>
Source record: 187177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

I just got a couple of letters from my congressman concerning this bill. He mentioned that he favors them that the restrictions of privacy that applies to law enforcement should apply to civiilians as well including scanners. His name is Joel Heffley (R-Colorado). Some people still don't get it. Why does the burden of privacy must fall on the scanner user instead of implementing encryption. The burden must be on the sender.

Brad

354. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG1zsD.MpJ@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com> wrote:

>I just got a couple of letters from my congressman concerning this
>bill. He mentioned that he favors them that the restrictions of
>privacy that applies to law enforcement should apply to civiilians
>as well including scanners. His name is Joel Heffley (R-Colorado).
>Some people still don't get it. Why does the burden of privacy must fall
>on the scanner user instead of implementing encryption. The burden
>must be on the sender.

> Brad

As long as many of the postings here include folks fawning at what
they "overheard" from phone calls, we should not at all be surprised
at the attitude of folks like the one above.
dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

355. pro-60 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt <mattd@shentel.net>
Message-ID: <3410673D.66E2@shentel.net>
Source record: 187179

Are there any pro 60 mods out there?
thanks

356. Scanstar/PCAnywhere/DSVD modems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G. Forrest" <gforrest@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3410047D.6AE7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 187180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner;,rec.radio.scanner

Has anyone attempted to remotely-control their scanner using the Scanstar Windows software and PCAnywhere? I have this part working well, but wonder if such a setup can be used with a DSVD (Digital Simultaneous Voice and Data) modem, using the modems voice input line to "backhaul" the received audio on the same dialup telephone line?

G. Forrest

357. M400 Decoder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted <alienweed@pipeline.com>
Message-ID: <34107C65.2DECD78C@pipeline.com>
Source record: 187181
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was just looking through my EEB catalog and saw something called the M400 Decoder, their description of it didn't help me very much and I was wondering if anyone out there knows anything about it.

Also, does anyone know where to find any mods or "keypad tricks" for the bearcat BC9000XLT?

TED

358. F.S. BC200XLT Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul.a.papavero@boeing.com
Message-ID: <3410636A.377D@boeing.com>
Source record: 187182

For Sale:

Bearcat 200XLT scanner, unblocked, with battery, antenna and charger.

I'd like $175.00 for it BUT make me an offer!

email John at n6ax@juno.com

359. Antena+Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: post@in.group
Message-ID: <341081d2.10845903@news.mnsinc.com>
Source record: 187183

I just got a BC895-XLT scanner, the trunk tracker one. Our house has this nice big TV antena on top from ages ago, how well will it work for scanner, and which frequencies will it pick up? I had it hooked to a portable scanner and it got a TON more than my mobile scanner antena in the 40-50 mhz range. Also, does anyone have a nice list of GOVT. and AIR and other frequencies for the VA area? (hour from DC, can I hear stuff there?) Thanks for anything.

360. FS: BC9000XLT $250.00 Free Delivery if in SF Bay area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <34107CD0.A9CEAD62@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS:
BC 9000XLT in box, with all accessories, used 3 months $250.00

In excellent condition. If you live in the SF Bay area I will deliver
for free. Otherwise you pay shipping.

Thanks,

Monty

SSG Thomas A. Montgomery
B Co, 319th Signal Battalion (EAC)
Bldg 180, Parks RFTA
Dublin, CA 94568

510-829-0444

361. FS Radio Shack pro 43 $160.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3411843b.822386@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187185

selling a pro 43 radio shack scanner for 160.00 this is a newer model
date is 3a4 in very good cond comes with manual box rubber ant has
200mhz also top of the line scanner back in 1994.... there best....
email me and leave me your niumber if interested..

362. FS PRO 37 SCANNER ($175 SHIPPED)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: wil-son@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5upvbvpnk1@newsd-101.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 187186

MODIFIED FOR CELLULAR.
wil-son@webtv.net

363. BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: SD <dout-sco@flash.net>
Message-ID: <01bcba4e$5dc15ce0$c66d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
Source record: 187187

Does anyone have or know of the cell mod for the new 235's?

364. Re: 235XLT @ $250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bruce A. Langos" <bruce.langos@daytonoh.ncr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcba52$2e6b4d80$32f77fc0@langob>
Source record: 187188

$229.99 at Sante Fe Distributing still beats all posted prices.

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970905132401.JAA29988@ladder02.news.aol.com>...
> All,
>
> Grove's selling the Uniden 235XLT TrunkTracker for $249.95, plus $8
> shipping for second day air. This is the best price I've seen so far.
> Apparently they took some distributors overstock or something and they're
> trying to move 'em.
> 1-800-438-8155 for Grove.
>
> John
>

365. Re: 235XLT @ $250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970905211501.RAA21267@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187189

>Subject: Re: 235XLT @ $250.00
>From: "Bruce A. Langos" <bruce.langos@daytonoh.ncr.com>
>Date: Fri, 05 Sep 1997 16:22:00 -0400
>Message-id: <01bcba52$2e6b4d80$32f77fc0@langob>
>
>$229.99 at Sante Fe Distributing still beats all posted prices.

Beat schmeat. They don't have them so they can sell them for whatever
price they want!

I have 300 TrunckTrackers for sale at $100 apiece. Send me your money and
when I get some in stock, I'll send you one.

366. Re: Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5uq130$1kou$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 187190

In article <34130809.5663537@news.erols.com>, frussle@erols.com wrote:
>On Fri, 05 Sep 1997 02:43:48 GMT, nf2g@ggw.org (D Stark) wrote:

>>There is, however, a law against "manufacture, importation, or sale"
>>of such scanners. Ordering from Canada for delivery in the USA is
>>indeed "importation". If Customs notices what's in the package, they
>>will confiscate it.
>
>Rant all you want. Re-read the original message. We're talking about
>a tourist who wants to bring his scanner to the States.

No we're not. Here is the relevant portion (the first line, actually)
of the original message:

> > I'm about to but the Yupiteru MVT7100 from a Canadain dealership.

(I interpret "but" as a misspelling of "buy".)

That's not a tourist bringing his own radio back across the border.
It is a customer wishing to purchase and import a single unit. That
is illegal, whether you choose to believe so or not. I don't like it,
either, but that makes no difference to the facts.

The one thing Canadians and Americans seem to have in common is an
unwillingness to accept facts they don't like.

367. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark E. Levy" <LEVY.M@ACM.ORG>
Message-ID: <5upsri$a8i@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Daniel M. Conti wrote:

> He can sit in the newsroonm and hear one side of all phone calls?

What's so hard to understand? Maybe he works for the newspaper or
station, and he hears the people answering the phones.

> Again I submit...what does this have to do with scanning?

Nothing. But since when has that stopped people from posting?

--

Mark Levy, Computer Consultant
OpenVMS, MS-Windows, MAC/OS, Networks
System Management Associates, Inc.
LEVY.M@ACM.ORG
N9RXF

368. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG2057.118@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187192

scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls
>>From: dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti)
>>Date: Fri, 5 Sep 1997 12:03:45 GMT
>>Message-id: <EG18sv.EzJ@nonexistent.com>

>>Actually.....No.
>>
>>How did you happen to hear these calls, Cliiff? Were they made to
>>YOU? You heard only one side? HHhmmmm
>>
>>What does this have to do with the scanning hobby?
>>dconti@carroll.com

>I would rather know what DCONTI has to do with the scanning hobby since he
>cannot see the obvious connection.

Obvious connection? Where?

I challenge you to point out one part of this post that has to do with
scanners or scanning, or radio monitoring....

Scanners, scanning, radio frequency montioring...THATS what I do with
the scanning hobby.
dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

369. HR2369 - Countering the bill and Mr. Wheeler of CTIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <34109C08.EDA@kktv.com>
Source record: 187193

I was on this page today - http://www.bearcat1.com/alert.htm which talks about the threat ot our hobby of scanning.

It is well known that Mr. Tom Wheeler of the Cell Telephone Industry Association has a vendetta against those who enjoy the scanning hobby. His reasoning that scanners should be banned is they are not useful to Society. Well, he is very wrong. The web address that I mentioned will provide ammo to counter Mr. Wheeler's attitude.

What would happen if everyone in this newsgroup made printouts of what's posted on the above URL and docs that are linked from there and mail them to your Representatives and Senators and show how useful scanners are to Society. Doesn't the "Pursuit of Happiness" apply anymore or is it something that gets in the way of corporations and bureaucrats, therefore, be abolished. A hobby should not have to be declared useful to society before it is allowed. A hobby should be allowed unless it is harmful and scanning is not harmful as long as it is not abused. The abuse is already covered by current laws.

THIS IS OUR CHANCE TO TURN AWAY THIS BILL. IF IT IS PASSED, IT WILL BE A LONG TIME BEFORE IT CAN BE REPEALED. EASIER TO STOP IT NOW !

Brad

370. RS PRO 2037...Mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hunter <dthunter@twlakes.Net>
Message-ID: <34108C03.B8044AF4@twlakes.Net>
Source record: 187194

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
--------------D55B5AB9964C01D6C0040355
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Does anyone know of any mods. for the Pro 2037? Thanks
David Hunter (KC4VPI)

--------------D55B5AB9964C01D6C0040355
Content-Type: text/x-vcard; charset=us-ascii; name="vcard.vcf"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Description: Card for David Hunter
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="vcard.vcf"

begin: vcard
fn: David Hunter
n: Hunter;David
email;internet: dthunter@twlakes.Net
note: Cookeville, Tennessee U.S.A.
x-mozilla-cpt: ;0
x-mozilla-html: FALSE
end: vcard

--------------D55B5AB9964C01D6C0040355--
```

371. Re: THE NEW ICOM PCR-1000- WiNRADiO MAY BE TROUBLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: martin.hayes@usa.net
Message-ID: <34109ae6.3154558@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 187195

On 4 Sep 1997 17:48:56 GMT, "Authorized User"
<rukensteinassociates@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>------=_NextPart_000_01BCB938.9FE93820
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>DOES ANYONE HAVE INFO ON THE NEW ICOM PCR-1000? HAVE ALREADY CHECKED OUT
>THE ICOM WEB SITE

Had a chance to see the PCR1000 and had a good play with it,: not bad,
but compared to Winradio, disappointing. The hardware certainly has
some extra gimmicks - they're great on paper but not terribly useful
in practice. The software is ghastly - looks like it was quickly put
together in Basic. The scanning speed measured to nearly 20 ch/sec
(compared to Winradio 50 ch/sec) - this has been ICOM's weekness for
some time. It is more like a shortwave radio but not a real scanner.
I have been told the price will be around $650 - too much.
I bought my Winradio for $499 at Hamfest.

P.S. Incidentally - it may be a case of mistaken identity but I think
I have seen you around talking about ICOM products a lot - do you
happen to work for ICOM?

372. Re: PRO-60 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: freyr@nash.net
Message-ID: <freyr-ya02408000R0509971853460001@news.nc5.infi.net>
Source record: 187196

(Bryan Costin) wrote:

> The speaker is kinda small, though I've mostly gotten used to it. In the
> car, I've had good results using a CD-to-cassette adapter plugged into the
> headphone jack of the scanner. This pipes the audio through the car's speaker
> system, which is more than adequate. :-)
>

That's so cool, I've been using a small 12v Harmony amp to listen to my Pro 60.

another fake E-mail so the bad spammers can't find me.

373. Re: Comments on WinRadio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: martin.hayes@usa.net
Message-ID: <34109837.2466993@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 187197

On 4 Sep 1997 17:50:45 GMT, "Authorized User"
<rukensteinassociates@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>------=_NextPart_000_01BCB938.E0F6A960
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>I would suggest holding off a bit util Icom releases the PCR 1000 check out
>their web site-very interesting...
>
>
>
>Clifton Turner <cturner@popalex1.linknet.net> wrote in article
><33ff60e5.616386@news.linknet.net>...
>> I am interested in buying a WinRadio. If you have one could you share
>> with me any comments or observations you might have about it. (Good or
>> Bad).
>>
>> Thanks
>> Clifton Turner KF5OJ
>> cturner@popalex1.linknet.net
>>

Had a chance to see the PCR1000 and had a good play with it,: not bad,
but compared to Winradio, disappointing. The hardware certainly has
some extra gimmicks - they're great on paper but not terribly useful
in practice. The software is ghastly - looks like it was quickly put
together in Basic. The scanning speed measured to nearly 20 ch/sec
(compared to Winradio 50 ch/sec) - this has been ICOM's weekness for
some time. It is more like a shortwave radio but not a real scanner.
I have been told the price will be around $650 - too much.
I bought my Winradio for $499 at Hamfest.

Cheers,
Martin

374. Which Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Highcove Family <Highcove-family@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5uqas8$46v@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187198

Which antenna is best for picking up in the 40-50KHz range?

375. PRO-39 Mod to receive mor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: fire.chief@warpgate.com
Message-ID: <3fe_9709051900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 187199

From: Jim Lawrence <jiml@sover.net.no.spam>

> Are there any mods that can be done to a Radio Shack PRO-39 to expand
> the VHF-lo capabilities of this radio? I don't want to lose the VHF-lo
> freqs it gets now; I'd just like to get stuff above 54 Mhz.

54MHz is the start of channel 2 (54 - 60). You want
to listen to the audio from TV on your scanner?

```
+--------------------------+------------------------+
| 9-1-1 Public Safety BBS | POLICENET 150:100/911 |
| fire.chief@warpgate.com | SAFNET 144:8100/911 |
| LEO's FIREFIGHTERS SAR | FIDONET 1:202/911 |
| COMMS AMATEUR RADIO | SoCal (619) 669-0385 |
+--------------------------+------------------------+
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
---
| WarpGate: Fire Chief 52:1000/211
| Internet: fire.chief@warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.
```

376. Re: Javiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3410CCEF.1445D69D@mail.com>
Source record: 187200

Jerry Gardner wrote:

> Anybody have experience dealing with the UK firm Javiation?
> They sell FULL coverage scanners from Yupiteru, AOR, etc. I am
> wondering
> how many of these scanners are held by U.S. Customs. Thanks.

If you order something, please let me know the outcome. I am thinking about the AOR8000, but am skeptical about the customs issue. With my luck, it would be seized, and I would be out approx. $600.00us. Anyone else have any comments, success/seizure stories to tell?

Matt

377. Re: Which Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRH <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3410D3B1.E594BF45@juno.com>
Source record: 187201

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> Probably a telescoping whip, like Radio Shack, or Grove sells.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> Highcove Family <Highcove-family@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
> <5uqas8$46v@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
> > Which antenna is best for picking up in the 40-50KHz range?

Hey Bill, what do you figure the size of the tubing used for the bottom section is on a 40 kilohertz telescoping whip. I figure 6 inch maybe? :-) I my self would be looking at a longwire.

OK, so he probably meant Mhz rather than Khz but he never mentioned base, mobile or handheld. For at home usage one should consider the cheap and easily built dipole if you are looking at 40-50 MHZ.

Glenn

378. Re: Anyone Know Bill Chee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3410CD17.FBD@usa.net>
Source record: 187202

Tom Jones wrote:

> But, Mr. Mackey, how was it you were able to keep that thread alive for 3
> months?

The thread had MANY contributers!!!!!!

> Why are you now pumping up a fresh campaign?

I'm not.

379. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <3410AD0B.3999@wwd.net>
Source record: 187203

SD wrote:
>
> Does anyone have or know of the cell mod for the new 235's?

Surely you are kidding, right?
--
73
Nick Marsh
WB4SQI

****
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
****

380. TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Medine <bumper09@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <5uqmbk$3pl@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187204

Come visit our on-line catalog..... TAC-COM COMMUNICATIONS @

http://www2.netcom.com/~bumper09/small.html

Visit our TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS page @

http://www2.netcom.com/~bumper09/tactical.html

Visit our EARPIECE RECEIVER page @

http://www2.netcom.com/~bumper09/earpiece.html

Visit our LINKS page @

http://www2.netcom.com/~bumper09/links.html

THANK YOU!

381. AR8000 mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "E.Thompson" <max@zianet.com>
Message-ID: <3410DCC5.72C1@zianet.com>
Source record: 187205

Does anyone have any idea who performs the DX daughter board modification for the AR8000? Electronic Equipment Bank no longer does them. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

382. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Lynch <lynchwb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3410BA8E.5B27EE92@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187206
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

JJ wrote:
>
> On Fri, 05 Sep 1997 11:22:14 -0400, Bill Lynch
> <lynchwb@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Only problem is, society doesn't furnish amateur radio operators with
> >guns, badges, & uniforms; otherwise, yes.
>
> >Bill <g>
>
> Can't argue with that! :) <seg>
>
> ==
> Jim, WK1V
> Ex: KA5MWD, N1CLS, KB1LW - HL9ZF
> ==
> Code ith good. - Mike Tython

Jim,

Thanks - always glad to hook up with someone else whose brain cells are still operating.

Bill

383. Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: fire.chief@warpgate.com
Message-ID: <44e_9709052300@warpgate.com>
Source record: 187207

From: dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti)

DMC> What does this have to do with the scanning hobby?

You don't thing it has anything to do with the hobby?

Then why did you quote the entire thing?

Are you attempting to display to the entire world via
the NG your complete absense of any common sense?

Jackasses have a higher IQ than you possess.

```
+--------------------------+------------------------+
| 9-1-1 Public Safety BBS | POLICENET 150:100/911 |
| fire.chief@warpgate.com | SAFNET 144:8100/911 |
| LEO's FIREFIGHTERS SAR | FIDONET 1:202/911 |
| COMMS AMATEUR RADIO | SoCal (619) 669-0385 |
+--------------------------+------------------------+
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
---
| WarpGate: Fire Chief 52:1000/211
| Internet: fire.chief@warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.
```

384. Kansas Column 09-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <andyb@qni.com>
Message-ID: <3410BF94.131D@qni.com>
Source record: 187208

09-97 Page 1 of 3

This issue:

Wichita TT codes
Kansas State Fair
Nemaha County/Sabetha PD
New Mall in Olathe
Some long distance monitoring (Mars???)
Another opinion of KHP centralized dispatch
Shawnee County Trunked info
Some mushy stuff

We have here the first comprehensive list of Wichita trunk codes for the TrunkTracker seen in the Kansas Column. One of the regular contributors, Don, was in the area and did some snooping. His efforts were obviously quite successful. Included are the blanks so we can try to determine who, if anyone, is assigned to any of the them and then report back so it can get in the column. You will discover a list of agencies at the bottom that are not represented in the confirmed list. No doubt some of them belong in some of the blanks. That will give us a head start in pairing them up. Hats off to Don for the good information!

(Sorry about the goofed up tabs...they are perfect when I send them, but they arrive looking like this. I dunno what to do.)

16 WPD West 1904 3792
48 WPD East 1936 3824
80 WPD North 1968 Joint Taskforce 3856
112 2000 3888
144 Spider 1 2032 Riverside ER 3920
176 Spider 2 2064 St Francis ER 3952
208 2096 St Joseph ER 3984
240 SO Patrol 2128 VA Hospital 4016
272 SO Detectives 2160 Wesley ER 4048
304 PD Investigations 2192 4080
336 2224 4112
368 Jail 1 2256 4144
400 2288 4176 SO Common
432 EMS Dispatch 2320 4208 PD West/South Comn
464 2352 4240 EMS Common
496 2384 4272 Law Common?
528 2416 4304
560 Fire Tac 7 2448 4336
592 Fire? 2480 4368
624 2512 4400

656 Fire Dispatch 1 2544 4432
688 2576 4464
720 Fire? 2608 4496
752 2640 4528
784 2672 4560
816 Fire Dispatch 2 2704 Common 1? 4592
848 Fire Tac 1 2736 Common 2? 4624
880 Fire Tac 2 2768 Common 3? 4656
912 EMS Admin 2800 WPD South 4688
944 Air? 2832 Command 2? 4720
976 Airport Police 2864 Command 3? 4752
1008 Airport Safety 2896 4784
1040 2928 4816
1072 2960 4848 PD North/East Comn
1104 2992 4880 Data (MDT's?)
1136 3024 4912
1168 3056 4944 Unk Fire?
1200 3088 4976
1232 Derby PD 3120 Taskforce/Det. 5008
1264 3152 5040
1296 3184 Joint Taskforce 5072
1328 Unk Law? 3216 5104
1360 KHP Dispatch 3248 5136
1392 KHP 2 3280 5168
1424 3312 5200
1456 3344 5232
1488 3376 Unk Law? 5264
1520 3408 5296 Animal Control
1552 SO 2A 3440 5328
1584 3472 5360
1616 3504 5392 KHP 3
1648 3636 ??????????
1680 WSU Police 3568 WFD Station Alarms
1712 3600
1744 3632
1776 3664
1808 3696
1840 3728
1872 3760

Wichita area agencies not yet found on the TrunkTracker:

WPD Admin Courthouse Sec. Co Commission SO Admin 911
Eastborough PD Goddard PD Maize PD Andale PD Co Eng 1
Bentley FD Cheney PD Cheney FD Clearwater PD Co Eng 2
Clearwater FD Clearwater EMS Colwich FD Colwich PD
C E Adm Health Admin Jail 2 Airport Maint.
WFD Admin SCFD Admin EMS Tac 1 EMS Tac 2
Disaster Mgt 1 D M 2 D M Adm Gard Plain PD
Kechi PD All Dispatch Park City PD Viola FD
Rose Hill PD Rose Hill FD Derby EMS Derby FD Dis Atty

Dist Atty Adm All Hospitals Outer FD Disp Val Cen PD V C FD
Mulvane PD Mulvane FD Mulvane EMS Water Dispatch
H20 Adm Airport Admin Plus 10 pre-planned Dynamic Regroups Fire Tac
3-6.

Don sent a few tidbits for those of you planning on hitting the State Fair in Hutchinson this weekend. He says that 158.790 is usually active, but mostly in the simplex mode. A new carnival company (Farrow Shows) is there this year, and he doesn't know what their frequencies are. He recommends listening to the standard 454.500, 454.550 and 151.625 freqs used by many carnivals. As always, Don comes through with something for the column.

A couple of weeks ago, the tropospheric ducting (A.K.A. "skip") was such that a friend of mine was monitoring many cities/counties from some remarkable distances from here in Johnson County. As I drove home about 11:30 PM (2330 hours if you are left handed) on some of those days I snagged a lot of UHF stuff from Omaha on local frequencies. Anyway...I was talking about my friend, Jim, and what he found. One evening he heard Nemaha County and the city of Sabetha on 158.820. He said it sounded as if Nemaha County was dispatching for Sabetha, and asked if I knew anything about it. I didn't...so I went home and called them. Those of you that live near or travel through the northeast corner of the state now know that Sabetha PD dispatches their own units, but Nemaha County does all their computer work on 158.820. The city does not have computer access to KDOR and NCIC. Mystery solved.

A new shopping center called the Great Mall of the Great Plains, opened in Olathe the last week in August. Big place, too! The weeks before it opened (and before I knew they were on a trunked system) I sat in the parking lot and searched the UHF band. Soon, 466.5875 simplex came to life. The traffic was about various stores in the mall, but it wasn't security. The lady I heard on it mentioned the fact that she would let security know about such-and-such. It may have been a construction company or cleaning outfit. Your guess is as good as mine.
The wife and I chose to visit the mall on the second day it was open to the public, and I now firmly believe that women have a special gene that men lack...the "shopping mall gene." Even though she had never been in the facility before, she instinctively knew where all the stores and restrooms were. It was weird.
She went to shop, and I went to ferret out their trunked radio system. Searching the outputs of trunked systems is futile...too many folks on them...so I searched all the inputs for the 800 trunked frequencies hoping to hear only the nearby portable radios. There were so many security folks with radios wandering around that day that you couldn't swing a dead cat around your head without hitting one. Hunting should have been good. Unfortunately the TT was overcome with intermod. Lots of SMRs and cell phone interference. I did get one definite hit on 816.0875. The conversation was about some of the stores in the mall, but when I entered all the repeater outputs that would go with that

input, I heard nothing...not even a data channel. Hmmmm.
The following week we went again, for the same reasons as the first trip. This time I took my frequency counter, and had the same luck as the week before. Zilch. All I could catch was the 450 MHz pager from the roof of the hospital next door to the mall. The only thing for sure is that it is a Motorola system, confirmed by the radios on their belts. If anyone can shed some light on this, please let me know.
By the way, they have a great Black & Decker outlet store there! It was full of men grunting like Tim Allen on Home Improvement.

Jim called me last night with something for the column. He was listening to a short-wave program about radios when the guy offered the following frequencies. (You will need to tighten up the squelch on your scanner and use a directional antenna for these.) The frequency 414.700 is used by the Pathfinder rover on the surface of Mars to communicate with the lander. The lander talks to the rover on 459.700. Punch those in and let us know if you hear anything. The skip would have to really be rolling to get a signal from one ga-zillion miles away!

Dale sent another very interesting letter to the editor of the Wichita Eagle paper. It speaks for itself, so read on.

Title of article:
Why move KHP dispatch centers?

"Regarding The Eagle's May 2 article about moving the Kansas Highway Patrol dispatch centers to Salina, consider this hypothetical scenario: The River Festival is well under way with thousands of people attending simultaneous events. Trouble and emergencies break out at more than one location. Our 911 Dispatch Center has been moved 80 miles away to, say, Abilene, or Pratt. Our 95-square-mile city with our 300,000 population is still here along with our officers, but how will dispatchers 80 miles away know where the given trouble area is in order to dispatch the appropriate help.
Fortunately, that's hypothetical, but is tantamount on an even larger scale of moving the KHP centers at Garden City, Norton, Wichita, Chanute, Topeka and Olathe to Salina. One has but to look at a Kansas map and see that these centers are strategically located, i.e., in and/or near populated areas and heavily traveled highways or inter-states.
In Wichita, the dispatch center forms a cohesive partnership with the police and sheriffs departments. Many car chases have overlapped from city to interstate and vice versa. And who can forget when the Highway Patrol was needed (and quickly responded) to help quell the riot at Hennan Hill Park? How much valuable time and possible lives would have been lost had all the info had to go to a dispatch center 80 miles away and handled by dispatchers not familiar with the area in which they serve?
Even if combining the centers wasn't a stupid idea, it is cost-prohibitive. I've learned of an estimate of $10,000 a month just to lease required lines. This, plus additional repeater towers,

consoles, training new employees, etc. Just four years ago, a new state-of-the-art dispatch center was built at Olathe to handle the K.C. metro area and surrounding counties.
Either the governor and superintendent of the KHP have been misled or, being they're both from Salina, they're playing politics, wanting to do something for Salina at the expense of the rest of the people of Kansas. Or perhaps it was proposed to fill the vacant space available at Marymount College where the state has invested $8 million. Maybe they have been sold a bill of goods by radio and tower suppliers.
It may not be too late to stop this ill-advised, dumb and dumber act if people will call or write the governor to save your communication centers. Please, help your troopers so they can continue to help you when needed.

Joe Walker
Wichita"

Last, but not least, James offers the following on the Topeka area trunked system. He hasn't latched on to a TrunkTracker yet, so he hasn't found any of the TT codes, but he has many of the agencies/groups on the system. It is imperative to know who is on the system before one can determine who is using which code, so this information is VERY useful. Here is a majority of his message to me.

"All of the $5 million + worth of equipment is purchased for the new 2 site 15 channel simulcast system. The only problem is that no one bothered to get a license for it yet. Apparently there is some possible conflicts with the frequencies requested as one of the Kansas City systems use some of the same freqs. The radio guys told me that the new repeaters will be out of warrantee before they even see any power.

(Typical government SNAFU Andy)

As of now the sheriff, KHP, DOT, KBI and Topeka PD as well as Capitol Area Police, and YCAT are still using the states 5 channel system. TPD switched over just a few days ago and boy are the scanner listeners that don't have 800 scanners upset. There have been several articles in the paper explaining the switch and even listing the freqs. All agencies have eliminated the private line and phone patch capabilities and the busy signals are not that bad. All of the non-state agencies online now have brand new portable and car radios. (I have seen KBI agents with the radios but I haven't heard them using the system much.)
The Sheriff radios are programmed with the following talk groups.

Sheriff Main, Warrants, Car-Car ,Det., Process, Vice/Narcotics, Animal Control and Bulletin, KHP main, KHP car-car, and KHP common, SN Co. Jail, TPD East, TPD West and TPD car-car.
(Zone 1 Chs1-15)

Auburn FD 1*, Dover FD 1*, Mission FD 1*, Rossville FD 1*, Silver Lake FD 1*, Soldier FD 1*, Topeka Tecumseh FD 1*, CASP, KBI primary*, YCAT security*, US Marshall*, SN Parks/Recreation*, KDOT, Wildlife & Parks*,

Topeka FD 1*, and KS DOC*,
(Zone2 Chs 1-16)

KHP Eskridge, Lawrence, Waverly, Wamego, Horton, Mutual Aid 1*, Mutual Aid 1 direct, Mutual Aid 2*, Mutual Aid 2 direct
(Conventional Repeaters)

Van Repeater*, Mutual Aid 3 direct, Mutual Aid 4 direct, Event 1,2, and 3. Trunked
(Zone 3 ch 1-15)

* denotes not operational yet.

The frequencies for the mutual aid channels are 1- 866.0125, 2-866.5125, 3- 867.0125, and 4- 868.0125. The Van repeater is 855.7375. The FD talk groups work on the trunked system, however the fire depts. don't have radios yet. Same is true for the jail, and parks & rec. (The new CECC dispatch can hear them though.) I can't verify traffic on the KBI, Marshall, YCAT, Wildlife & Parks and DOC talk groups. I know that most of them have the radios, I just haven't heard them on the sheriff talk groups. Scanning the system I do hear YCAT.

Speaking of KBI radios,(their) radios can be used to access any Motorola 800 system in KS. It can be programmed with up to 99 (I think) different systems with access to 16 different channels (talk groups) on each system.

Also the new Shawnee Co. Consolidated Emergency Communications (CECC) dispatch area is operational in the law enforcement center. They have (what were) brand new consoles, now they just need to learn all the tricks to them. Sheriff dispatch is still separate for reasons unk, however I suspect that when the SO moves to the LEC at the end of the year the dispatch in the basement of the courthouse will be dismantled. (The equipment at the SO dispatch is held together with bubble gum and scotch tape.)"

James, thanks for the Topeka stuff. If I can get an antenna pointed that direction, I'll do some more investigating and try to add to what you have.

Before I close, I want to thank all of you who contribute to the column. Each month I think that I'll run out of stuff, but you always come through. As I look at the large quantity of information for each month, I wonder why more states aren't represented in similar columns. If we, in "lowly, rural, hicks-ville" Kansas can come up with several pages of good poop each month, just think what the larger and more populated states could be doing. The column is a success because of you folks. Keep up the good work and keep the Kansas Column the best on the internet. (In my opinion'at least!)

See you in October
Andy

385. f.s. realistic pro-42

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jack <cmb@mc.net>
Message-ID: <3410B926.DF14C110@mc.net>
Source record: 187209

i have a 10 channel direct entry programmble scanner for sale. it covers 30-54, 138-174, 380-512 model pro-42 would like to get $50.00 shipped,or best offer.thanks 73 jack n9iif

386. AutoProgrammer File Tutorial for CE-232 Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34106c00.137619516@news-server>
Source record: 187210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

This article copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek <All rights reserved>

UNDERSTANDING AND MAKING AUTOPROGRAMMER FILES
FOR THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE

One of the singular greatest advantages and features of the CE-232 Interface is its ability to AutoProgram a scanner's memory banks with FREQUENCIES by CHANNEL NUMBER and other custom settings of MODE, DELAY, and LOCKOUT. Beauty lies in the simplicity by how this is done; that is, from a plain ASCII text file. No proprietary or complex formats here, Bunky; just plain ASCII text. The CE-232 uses a variant of plain ASCII text called a comma-delimited format, but which we call an AutoProgrammer or *.APF file.

If you don't know about ASCII text, there isn't much I can do in this limited space and forum to help. But I will clarify to the extent that any files created with Windows NOTEPAD.EXE or MS-DOS's EDIT.EXE, or (heaven forbid) EDLIN.EXE will, most assuredly, be plain ASCII text files. Most text editors and even word processors are capable of creating plain ASCII text files, but you have to be cautious with word processors because they usually embellish text for printing. The printer control codes, fonts, and other embellishments take this type of text out of the plain ASCII category.

1. The cardinal rule for CE-232 AutoProgrammer files is that they must be in plain ASCII text format (like *.TXT, except that we use the *.APF extension.)

You really need to know a little something about data, databases, data organization, and logic, too. Nothing real weird or horrific, mind you; just some basics. After all, your AutoProgrammer (*.APF) files really are databases if you want to get technical about it.

The simplest of all databases is a table. You know, a block of information, organized into meaningful rows and columns. Now here is the only real technical part to understand and remember: rows are really called records, and columns are really called fields. Here is an example of a table that will mean something to you:

TABLE 1: A FREQUENCY TABLE
A B C D E F G
1 7 21 168.0110 nfm D L Audio Intelligence (Body Bugs);Yellow
2 7 22 168.0620 nfm D L Audio Intelligence (Body Bugs);Green
3 7 23 168.0860 nfm D L Audio Intelligence (Body Bugs);Blue
4 7 24 171.6500 nfm D L Audio Intelligence (Body Bugs);Red

5 7 25 171.9500 nfm D L Audio Intelligence (Body Bugs);Silver

Obviously, this is a frequency table. Rows 1-5 are just Records 1-5. Columns A-G are just Fields A-G. With exceptions of Fields A, B, E, and F, you can tell at a glance what all this information means. Now consider that Field A is an identifying group number for this table of frequencies. Field B is the program channel number. Field C, obviously, is the frequency. Field D is the mode setting for that frequency. Field E is an indicator of Delay setting (D if set; blank if not). Field F is an indicator of LockOut setting (L if locked out; blank if not). Finally, Field G is a description. What more do you need to know about a frequency table?

Well, there are a couple of minor things, like limits and restrictions. You'd have a problem making any sense out of the table if the columns or fields weren't the same width, which necessarily restricts the amount of information that a field can hold. Physical width is usually the criteria for fields in tables printed on paper. Number of characters per field is the criterion for computer tables. There are restrictions and limits for all tables of information, and *.APF files are no different.

2. A *.APF file must consist of one or more lines, each to contain not more than 255-characters, maximum. Each line, properly called a record, must end with a carriage return <CR> and a line feed <LF>. (This is normal for text files.)

3. *.APF files are organized just like tables on paper, except that instead of spaces or lines between columns or fields, commas are used to separate one field from the next. Six fields of information are required by a *.APF file with definitions, limits, and restrictions shown as follows:

Field A: (Alpha/numeric; 0-12 chars, max); an optional "filter" field. Used to identify a record or group of records. For example, you might have several different frequency files and want to tell at a glance which record belongs to which group. GOV-DEA might be a chosen identifier for "Government-Drug Enforcement Administration" frequencies.

Field B: (Numeric; 0-3 chars, max); an optional "channel number" field; denotes the channel number that should be programmed with this record

Field C: (Numeric; 9 chars, max); the required "frequency" field, best organized as four digits, decimal, and four more digits, such as: 0121.5000 although any format accepted by your scanner is fine. This could include any of the following: 121.5 121.50 etc. It wouldn't hurt to get in the habit of entering all your frequencies in the "4-dot-4" format, which helps the numbers line up for best visual effect. Leading zeroes are acceptable in Fields B and C, like: 025 and 0171.6500. Leading zeroes help align data and make it look better.

Field D: (Alphameric; 0-3 chars, max); optional field for Mode setting. Only four entries are legit: <blank> which tells the scanner to use the default mode for that frequency, or <nfm>, <am>, or <wfm>. Some scanners, like the PRO-43, won't recognize the <wfm> setting, so it may or may not matter what you put in this field.

Note: What matters is that any entry in this field must be lower case, due to a bug in the CE-232 program. Upper case is ignored. Mode is the only case-sensitive field.

Field E: (Alphameric; 0-1 char, max); optional field for Delay setting. Two entries are legit: <blank> which turns off the Delay, or <D> which turns it on.

Field F: (Alphameric; 0-1 char, max); optional field for LockOut setting. Two entries are legit: <blank> which turns off the L/O, or <L> which locks out the channel.

Fields G and up: (Alphameric/numeric; no special limits other than no line or record can exceed a total of 255 characters, including the total of Fields A-F.) Fields G and up are purely optional and can be in any format or logic that suits your fancy.

4. A CE-232 AutoProgrammer file requires six commas, at a minimum, but ignores any more than six. Commas are used to separate one field from the next. Commas are separators; not starts and ends. The six fields are required in the following form:

aaaaaaaaaaaa,bbb,cccc.cccc,ddd,e,f,g-z.....doesn't matter

Six commas and a legit entry in Field C are required for APF files, but the other five fields are optional. Table 2 shows a minimum legit APF file created from Table 1:

TABLE 2: MINIMUM LEGIT APF FILE

```
,,168.0110,,,,
,,168.0620,,,,
,,168.0860,,,,
,,171.6500,,,,
,,171.9500,,,,
```

Table 3 is all the data in Table 1 converted into an APF file:

TABLE 3: APF FILE FROM TABLE 1

```
7,21,168.0110,nfm,D,L,Audio Intelligence (Body Bugs);Yellow
7,22,168.0620,nfm,D,L,Audio Intelligence (Body Bugs);Green
7,23,168.0860,nfm,D,L,Audio Intelligence (Body Bugs);Blue
7,24,171.6500,nfm,D,L,Audio Intelligence (Body Bugs);Red
7,25,171.9500,nfm,D,L,Audio Intelligence (Body Bugs);Silver
```

Summary: The CE-232 AutoPrograms a scanner with a plain, comma-delimited ASCII file, with six required commas, ignoring any more than six. Any single line or record is limited to 255 characters,

with additional limits on the length of each field as discussed above.

CREATING APF FILES
==========

THE HARD WAY: The simplest (hardest) way to create an APF file is to type it using a text editor like NOTEPAD, EDIT, QEDIT, etc. You can use a word processor if you unfailingly remember to SAVE AS a plain ASCII textfile when you're done editing. Do not insert End Of File (EOF) codes, nor any other codes, for that matter. Never use weird symbols and characters in your APF files, (just regular keyboard characters, please!) Follow the rules and limits as discussed. When done, save or rename the file as <filename.APF>.

EASIER WAYS: Of course, typing your APF files is a lot of work. Fortunately, there are better ways to create APF files, even if not quite as simple. If your frequency records are in a formal database or spreadsheet, it's a cinch to export some or all the data to a textfile format as required by the CE-232's AutoProgrammer. Therefore, it could be "smart" to structure any formal databases to start with the first six fields as required by the CE-232. This will simplify the export of data to APF format. The export functions of most database managers and spreadsheets are self-guiding and explanatory. I prefer MS-Access, Excel, and Works.

The premier supplier of FCC frequency databases is:

PerCon Corp
4906 Maple Springs / Ellery Rd.
Bemus Point, NY 14712
716-386-6015; Fax: 716-386-6013;
Email: sales@perconcorp.com
Web: http://www.perconcorp.com

PerCon's software just happens to have an export feature that makes APF files for the CE-232 "on the fly" as you need them. Press a few buttons, and presto! You'll have most any desired number and size of APF files!

Another great source of frequency data is via the Internet and a huge, but hodge-podge assortment of public messages, BBS and FTP files that contain frequency data. At first glance, you might think this junk needs retyped to be of any use as an APF. Wrong! Enter Reid Drummond and his magnificent program called APFTools; a very low-cost shareware utility that can "read" a nasty, disorganized text file containing frequency information, and output a perfectly organized APF file, ready to go into a scanner via the CE-232! APFTools is easy to use and is affordable by the tightest budget. APFTools is available from my FTP sites in the \pub\montimes directory, or from the author by e-mail at: Reid.Drummond@jpl.nasa.gov

Computer Aided Technologies (of ScanCat fame) offers MAGIC, a frequency extraction program similar to APFTools, that prepares

orderly APF files from loathsome, disorganized text files. For details, get MAGIC.ZIP, a demo, from our FTP site or contact

Computer Aided Technologies
PO Box 18285
Shreveport, LA 71138
E-mail: scancat@scancat.com
Web: http://www.scancat.com
phones: 888-722-6228; 318-687-4444 fax (318) 686-0449

Take your pick; both APF extractors are good, and both make quick work of extracting frequency information from text files and converting that data into ready-to-use APF files. Beats the heck out of typing!

Concluding, here is a real world example of an APF file that follows the rules, but varies in detail and style.

TABLE 4: A REAL APF FILE

```
1 MilAir,0182,0395.900,am,D,L,Blue Angels - Air to Air Channel
2 ,183,362.6,am,D,,Air Show Control
3 Emrg,184,0243.00,am,D,L,Emergency/MayDay/Distress/ELT
4 ,185,0,,,L,
5 MilAir,,121.9000,am,,,Blue Angels - Ground Support
6 ,002, 123.4,wfm,D,L,Blue Angels - Airshow Common
7 File3,03,141.560,,D,,Blue Angels - Maintenance Charlie
8 Bank1,4,142.000,,,L,Blue Angels - Maintenance Alfa
9 ,,143.600,,D,,Blue Angels - Maintenance Channel 10
10 blangel.apf,,143.000,nfm,D,L,Blue Angels - Maintenance Bravo
11 Block2,169,0241.400,am,D,,Blue Angels - Air to Air Channel
```

Table 4 is a real world APF file except for the line numbers given for clarity. Line 1 uses most everything that can be used, including a descriptive "filter" field. Line 10 uses a filename for the filter. Line 6 shows leading zeroes and a leading space. Line 4 is about as simple as it gets. Each line shows something unique, but it's all legit APF technique! You don't especially want to mix techniques, like leading zeroes or spaces in one case and not in another, but that's a matter of personal preference - not technical. The CE-232 has other features, like LookUp that suggests the worthwhile effort make the data line up for visual impact. Leading zeroes or spaces in the first six fields contribute to good looks without a negative effect.

This short document should give you all the tools you need to make AutoProgrammer files for your CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface. If you have any questions or difficulties, tech support is always available on my Web and FTP sites as well as by e-mail. See my signature box below for details.

This article copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek <All rights reserved>

```
+--------------------------------------------------------------+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
```

| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+--+

387. Final word on PRO-66?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dswan@acs5.acs.ucalgary.ca
Message-ID: <5unis3$7qg@ds2.acs.ucalgary.ca>
Source record: 187283

Up here in Canada, I've found a PRO 66, new, for $200, which suits my budget well, however, I am unclear on several points:

It is unclear to me whether or not I can receive cells on this scanner. I've seen people posting stating both cases. Is this because

a) Different Versions of the same are being sold in Canada and the US.

b) Despite the faq stating that the PRO 66 receives 806-956, the cell freqs may nonetheless be blocked out?

c) The scanner needs a mod?

It is even more confusing, as another FAQ stated that Cell freqs are legal in Canada, however, the local Radshack manger told me that they are, in fact, illegal. Is the faq outdated, or the manager misinformed?

Any other words on this scanner?

A Scanning Wanna-be,
Dan.

388. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.net
Message-ID: <19970904.172725.818@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 187284
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <340E5F8B.50F3@flash.net>, on Thu, 04 Sep 1997 02:13:15 -0500,
"David..." <david084@flash.net> writes:
>

....absolutely nothing of relevance to either of the *.radio.scanner
newsgroups. He is so self-absorbed in his mental masturbation that he does
not have the competence, courtesy, or maturity to post his rantings and
ravings only in the relevant areas. If he were not so selfish and childish,
maybe we could feel sorry for him. Too bad he does not have any friends or
a real life.

389. lost notebook. need Ohio highway patrol freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: shaunpm@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970905005901.UAA21901@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187285

My wife did her little fall cleanup last week and now i cant find my freq book. I would appreciate it if someone had the freq book for highway patrols(i had that one too, its gone too) and would send them to me or post them. Thanks in advance...
Shaun Mollohan
shaunpm@aol.com
Varmint Hunters Association Member (#31390)

"The death of democracy is not likely to be assassination from ambush. It will be a slow extinction from apathy, indifference, and undernourishment."
-- Robert M. Hutchins

390. Re: 13.8v DC Question / Mobile Scanner Antenna Y- splitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: destarr@tir.com
Message-ID: <34144f85.2445226@news.tir.com>
Source record: 187286

On Thu, 04 Sep 1997 19:09:38 -0400, daw143@fast.net wrote:

:: Mobile Scanner Power:
:: I am going to install a PRO-2032 base 200 scanner in my vehicle and
:: need some advice: The voltage requirments for this unit read 13.8V
:: DC.What would be the best method to power this in my vehicle.
:: I do not think that they have any 13.8V DC power cigarette adapters.
:: Should I run it directly to the battery and negative ground?
::
Check your car battery with a meter; it's closer to 13.8 than 12
volts. 'd think a lighter adaptor would work fine. I have a Delco
deep cycle marine battery I run my Pro-2006 on when the power goes
out. I've ran the scanner with the voltage as low as 11.7 volts with
no problems

:: Mobile scanner Y-Splitter Question:
:: Also, I was looking into maybe using a Y-Splitter madee by Motorola
:: that enables you to utilize the car's radio antenna and slpits the
:: signal for your scanner. Has anyone ever used these splitters and are
:: they as efective as a basic all-band mag. mount antenna?

Can't help you with this one.

::
::
:: Any suggestions would be greatly appreatiated

++
Dave Starr

Professional Shop Rat - 32 years in an Auto Plant

391. time wasters looking for freqs'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bandit <bandit@tinet.ie>
Message-ID: <01bcb99a$6fc64c20$5fe2869f@default>
Source record: 187287

so far i have asked people to trade freqs',
i have received 5 replies but no freqs'......so.....i sent off 5 lists and
waited,
and waited and waited.....why do some people not bother to reply?
i sent off my lists in good faith, obviously i wasted my time.
so next up i suppose ill have to post a list of the people who are time
wasters...
to save others from the same crap i endured.
---

392. F.S. Bearcat 890XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: msgsports@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970905020100.WAA22351@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187288

Hi

Bearcat 890XLT in mint condition with papers and box.
Also with CTCSS board installed.

$225.00

Thanks

393. Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc800xlt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970905015201.VAA21271@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187289

```
>Subject: Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote
>From: frnkburns@aol.com (FRNKBURNS)
>Date: 4 Sep 1997 04:38:57 GMT
>Message-id: <19970904043800.AAA00371@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>
>C'mon Eric fess up there Pal

He already did, Franky--he admitted he was allergic to laytex!
```

394. Re: Michigan Scanner Laws and Amatuers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: purplema59@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970905020600.WAA22929@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187290

Maybe you should get an amatuer radio license and not worry about the local cops hassling you.I did,and now I just show them my FCC technician class license and leave my Pro 2006,my Ar2800 and my HT 2m rigs turned up as loud as they go.Another question that you didn't ask your cop friend was if the two he arrested were in the act of commiting a felony,because that is also a federal crime.Anyway, I think it is a pretty silly law in this state but federal law supercedes state law every time.So get the proper license and write to your congressman and senator about H.R. 1954 &H.R. 2369 and tell them that you should have the right to listen to what you want,where you want and when you want to.Remember,as long as you are legally allowed(by the FCC)to operate an amatuer station you can have a scanner in your car in Michigan,unless H.R. 2369 passes,then monitoring or even having a scanner will be illegal.

73's
KB8ZPC

395. Re: Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5uns71$1a48$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 187291

In article <01bcb99b$53e95000$764445d1@mcsppro200>, "Bill Crocker" <billc@rust.net> wrote:
>Chris:
>
>It is perfectly legal for an individual, (not a dealer), to import a
>scanner with full coverage, including the Cellular band, into the U.S.

Would you please cite the exact wording in the law that exempts anyone
from it? There is absolutely no language in the existing regulations
that supports your position.

396. Visit the Wisconsin Scanning home Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: som9k7e5@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970905040500.AAA10172@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187292

Looking for frequencies for Wisconsin? visit my home page and lemme know of frequencies that i am missing. Also if you have any confirmed frequencies for Wisconsin, check out the page and if you don't see it on page, drop me a line with what you have. Also i will take unit numbering for an agency. Here is the address:
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/lab/7646/

Thanks alot,

Tim

397. Re: lost notebook. need Ohio highway patrol freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark D. Fergus" <GOAWAYSPAMfergus.8@postbox.acs.ohio-state.edu>
Message-ID: <340F78AD.36AF@postbox.acs.ohio-state.edu>
Source record: 187293

Shaun PM wrote:
>
> My wife did her little fall cleanup last week and now i cant find my freq
> book. I would appreciate it if someone had the freq book for highway
> patrols(i had that one too, its gone too) and would send them to me or post
> them. Thanks in advance...
> Shaun Mollohan
> shaunpm@aol.com
> Varmint Hunters Association Member (#31390)

Try these for starters:

44.94, 44.98, 45.02, 45.10, 45.22, 45.26, 45.88
Also, LEERN is 154.935, county-county is 155.37, and nation-wide police
is 155.475.

Hope this helps.

Mark N8VJF
-- ANTI-SPAM in effect. Use e-mail below to e-mail me. --
****
Mark D. Fergus e-mail: fergus.8@osu.edu
WWW: http://socrates.math.ohio-state.edu/~mfergus/mdfhome.htm
Electrical Engineering Student The Ohio State University

398. Re: How does web/email to pager gateway work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: pagerguy <pgrguy@aol.com>
Message-ID: <01bcb9c5$4c4f69e0$f280410c@default>
Source record: 187294
Cross-posted to: tnn.comm.pager,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,comp.dcom.modems

http://www.refreq.com/braddye/gateway.gif

ADVANTAGETM INTERNET SERVER

THE INTERNET OFF-RAMP TO RADIO PAGING

Ready as a standalone system or for inclusion in any RTS ADVANTAGETM product, the INTERNET SERVER brings the convenience and cost-effectiveness of INTERNET access to the Radio Paging Industry.

ALPHANUMERIC PAGING VIA THE WORLD WIDE WEB -- Allows millions to use "Point & Click" techniques to call ALPHA pagers directly from their Internet Browsers. The ADVANTAGETM INTERNET SERVER responds to caller requests by dispensing blank Page Initiation forms that are displayed on callers' computer screens. Callers type messages in designated blanks and, with a simple "click" (of the mouse), send completed forms to the ADVANTAGETM where the messages are encoded for transmission to intended pagers. Generic page Initiation forms are included in all ADVANTAGETM INTERNET SERVERS. RTS will work with you to create a Page Initiation form unique to your organization as well as custom forms optimized to the needs of individual subscribers.

E-MAIL TO PAGING -- Uses your E-mail application's "carbon copy" and auto-forwarding capabilities to alert subscriber' pagers when messages enter their E-mail systems. Per-subscriber service grades define the information transmitted to pagers with the most elementary service grade providing a simple "canned" indication of the receipt of E-mail. Enhanced service grades allow transmission of various E-mail fields including the "From", "To", "Subject". "Reply To", "CC", "Date", "Message ID" and "In-Reply-To" fields. The most enhanced service grade transmits part, or all of the E-mail message text.

YOUR CORPORATE MESSAGE ON THE WEB -- The ADVANTAGETM INTERNET SERVER can deliver descriptions of your products and services to all users of the Internet. RTS will assist you in creating text, audio and graphic presentations that optimize the corporate image you wish to present.

FAQs (Frequently Asked Questions.)

1. How do I address an E-mail message so it can be sent to my pager?

There are several ways that this can be done. One way would be to use an E-mail address in the AdvantageTM as your main E-mail address. All messages

sent to this address would go directly to your pager. For example, the address: "1330186@roam.pagemart.net" will send a message to my alphanumeric display pager. [This is my PIN number followed by "@roam.pagemart.net."] There would be some major disadvantages in using this address as my primary E-mail address. It is great for occasional use by friends and coworkers who understand how it is to be used Depending on the capacity of the infrastructure of the paging carrier I subscribe to and the capacity of the pager I use, the number of characters that can be sent to my pager is limited. Some systems and/or pagers set this limit at 80 characters. Others permit 200, 500 or even 1,000 characters of text. Since many E-mail messages are quite long, using this method is not a very good approach. First of all, I would not be able to read the complete text of most of my messages. Secondly, the message is currently not saved in the RTS system, so any part that I cannot read on my pager would be lost and could not be retrieved.

I could tell everyone that wants to communicate with me to put my main E-mail address in the "TO" field and my pager's E-mail address in the "CC" field, but that is clearly not practical. It is hard enough for everyone to keep a record of one E-mail address per person, forget about asking them all to use two address to communicate with me.

A much better approach is to send a copy of all my E-mail messages to the AdvantageTM server from my normal E-mail server, using my regular E-mail address. For example my regular E-mail address is: "bfdye@pagemart.com" When anyone sends a message to "bfdye@pagemart.com," the E-mail software which handles my account on a local E-mail server, can be set up to automatically forward a complete copy of the message to "1330186@roam.pagemart.net" which then sends what it can to my pager. It is invisible to everyone sending me messages, and only has to be setup once. The AdvantageTM can be custom-configured to send the number of characters that my individual pager and my carrier's infrastructure can support. So now I get immediate notification on my pager of a pending E-mail by receiving some selected lines from the header and text of the message and the complete message stays in my E-mail account.

In the future the AdvantageTM itself will be able to receive an E-mail message for message notification then also save it in a mailbox for retrieval.

2. How do I connect the AdvantageTM Internet Gateway to the Internet?

The Internet is BIG and there are many different ways to connect to it. Bear in mind, that there are many different kinds of equipment being used and many different protocols in getting access. The protocol on the Internet is TCP/IP (you knew that already) but there are many ways to physically connect to a local ISP (Internet Service Provider). Connection can be via T-1, E-1, ISDN, Frame Relay, dial-up SLIP, or dial-up PPP, to name a few. (Please refer to my drawing which details connecting the AdvantageTM to the Internet.) The AdvantageTM communicates with a thin-coax EtherNet port. A special router, familiar to Internet people, is needed to convert the EtherNet connection to an RS-232 or similar type connection. In

digital based systems, another device known as a CSU/DSU is needed to connect the router to whatever type of communications line is being used to access the ISP. CSU/DSU stands for Channel Service Unit and Digital Service Unit. They can be separate units, but are commonly supplied now in one unit. Different models exist for the different types of lines. For example a CSU/DSU for an ISDN connection would be different from one to be used with a T-1 circuit. There are Routers which contain the CSU/DSU as an integral part. For dial-up SLIP or PPP, the router might be directly connected to a dial-out modem rather than a CSU/DSU.

The Paging Gateway wiring diagram.

A SHORT GLOSSARY OF TERMS:

CSU -- Channel Service Unit. A digital interface device that connects end-user equipment to the local digital telephone loop.

DSU -- Data Service Unit. A device used in digital transmission for connecting DTE, such as a router, to DCE or to a service.

Frame Relay -- A protocol used across the interface between user devices (for example, hosts and routers) and network equipment (for example, switching nodes). Frame Relay is more efficient than X.25, the protocol for which it is generally considered a replacement.

FLEXTM -- The FLEXTM protocol runs at three different speeds allowing service providers a choice in matching the potential capacity of a FLEX paging system to their individual requirements. A fast protocol signaling speed allows lower latency for potential messaging as well as increased subscribers per channel. The FLEXTM protocol operates at speeds of 1600, 3200 or 6400 bps. In a system operating at 6400 bps, the FLEXTM protocol gives the service provider a capacity increase of greater than 10 times that of a POCSAG 512 bps system. When compared to POCSAG 2400 bps, the same 6400 bps FLEXTM protocol-based system provides a capacity increase of greater than two times. Utilizing FLEXTM, service providers can handle more than 600,000 numeric pagers per channel, significantly more than POCSAG. And while POCSAG has a limit of two million addresses, the FLEXTM protocol supports over five billion. Aside from the higher speeds, on a FLEX system a pager can operate at any of the assigned channel speeds, eliminating the need to stock separate pagers for each signaling speed used in the system. Effectively, "one pager does it all" with the FLEXTM protocol pagers.

ISP -- Internet Service Provider

Router -- An OSI network layer device that can decide which of several paths network traffic will follow based on some optimality metric. Routers forward packets from one network to another, based on network layer information. Occasionally called a gateway (although this definition of gateway is becoming increasingly outdated). An access list a list kept by routers to control access to or from the router for a number of services (for example, to restrict packets with a certain IP address from leaving a particular interface on the router).

POCSAG -- The POCSAG (Post Office Code Standardization Advisory Group) paging protocol is the most widely used of all the current paging protocols. It can handle up to two million addresses per carrier and supports tone only, numeric and alphanumeric pagers. POCSAG operates at 512, 1200 and 2400 bits per second (bps). It consists of a sequence of coded binary data that is transmitted over-the-air to radio pagers. The POCSAG code is a synchronous paging format that allows pages to be transmitted in a single-batch structure. The code format consists of a preamble and one or more batches of codewords. Each batch comprises a 32-bit frame synchronization code and eight 64-bit address frames of two 32-bit addresses or idle codewords each. The frame synchronization code marks the start of the batch of codewords.

PPP -- Point-to-Point Protocol. A successor to SLIP, this protocol provides router-to router and host-to-network connections over synchronous and asynchronous circuits. See also SLIP.

SLIP -- Serial Line Internet Protocol. A standard for point-to-point serial connections using TCP/IP. Predecessor of PPP. See also PPP.

RCC -- Radio Common Carrier, another name for a Paging Company

T1 -- Bell system terminology referring to a digital carrier facility used for transmission of data through the telephone hierarchy. The rate of transmission is 1.544 M bps. Many other countries use the European version known as E1 at 2.048 M bps.

TAP -- The Alpha Paging Entry (using MODEMs) Protocol: It is also known as the "IXO" protocol because the IXO Company used to make a hand-held keyboard device for entering alpha messages. Motorola calls it "PET" for Personal Entry Terminal. After it was adopted by the Telocator Paging Association (now called PCIA - Personal Communications Industry Association) it became known as, "TAP" for Telocator Alphanumeric Protocol. The standard protocol is ASCII with X-ON, X-OFF either direction using a 10 bit code (1 start, 7 data, 1 parity, 1 stop) with even parity. Each checksum is computed by performing the simple arithmetic sum of the 7-bit values of all characters preceding it in that block. (This means that STX and ETB/ETX are included in the sum.) The checksum is then the least significant 12 bits of this resulting sum. The checksum is transmitted as 3 printable ASCII characters having values from Hex 30 to Hex 3F (the characters 0123456789:;<=>?). The most significant 4 bits of the sum are encoded in the 4 LSBs of the first character and the least significant 4 bits of the sum are encoded as the 4 LSBs of the third character.

TCP/IP -- Transmission Control Protocol / Internet Protocol. The common name for the suite of protocols developed by the U.S. DOD in the 1970s to support the construction of worldwide internetworks. TCP and IP are the two best known protocols in the suite. TCP corresponds to the transport layer of the OSI reference model and provides reliable transmission of data. IP corresponds to the network layer of the OSI reference model and provides connectionless datagram service.

TNPP -- TNPP is a data communication protocol that is used for communications between paging terminals or other types of equipment required to implement a paging system network. The protocol is ASCII character oriented which is transmitted via a standard RS-232 port. The protocol supports variable length packets and grouping of different page and data blocks within the same data packet. The protocol uses three types of error recovery methods, two for full duplex applications and one for broadcast/simplex application.

A common header is used in all of the data formats to indicate the source and destination of each data packet. The header also contains a serial number that is used for identifying packet repeats and an inertia value that represents the number of nodes the packet is allowed to pass through. The header length is variable and is delimited from the remainder of the packet with the start-of-text flag (STX). The first 12 bytes of the header are defined in the specification. After the common header, an optional header extension can be used to extend the source and destination address fields. If a device does not use this feature, the header extension data between the first 12 bytes of the header and the STX flag should be ignored. After the STX flag the data block is sent.

Multiple data blocks can be transmitted within the packet by terminating each block with an end of text block flag (ETB) and terminating the last data block with an end of text flag (ETX) followed by the block check character bytes (CRC-16). Each data block can optionally have a block modifier added. This modifier is called ETE request and is used for multiple packet messages and end-to-end control. Standard RS-232 asynchronous data communication is used. The character format used is 8-bit, no parity, one stop bit. The data rate can vary from 300 to 9600 baud and will be determined by the application and the available data distribution network.

Return to Brad Dye's Paging Information Resource.

Last updated on June 22, 1997

---

alvarez@iac.co.jp wrote in article <873343588.19610@dejanews.com>...
> Konichiwa,
>
> I am really curious as to internet users can send
> messages from web forms or email to pagers.
>
> For example of what i mean, please see >>
> http://www.bazaars.com/home/paging2.html
>
> Could you kindly share your experience
> with me? Basically how does internet messaging
> interface with a paging terminal? What equipment
> is needed to connect my UNIX web server to a paging

> terminal?
>
> Do pager companies accept dispaches directly from TCP/IP
> or do all the incomming messages need to be dialed in
> thru a normal phone call? How do most pager companies
> recieve data from a web based form or email?
>
> Please advise. Thank you.
>
> ----------------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------------------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet
>

399. Re: New Radio Shack catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Ellers <kd4awq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5uo2qr$c4n@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio-shack

T.L. Bryant wrote:

"R.S. would more than likely take another rig and put the "Realistic" name on it."

It would be RadioShack. Realistic apparently is no more; all the items formerly sold under that brand have either the Optimus or RadioShack brands, depending on the product line.

400. Re: PRO-60 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcostin@ix.netcom.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <5untli$kg1@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187296

In article <340b9ca5.11707673@139.187.81.1>, grohe@galaxy.nsc.com wrote:
>On Fri, 29 Aug 1997 16:43:17 -0400,
>in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
>Ron Whitmore <ronwhit@dreamscape.com>
>from Dreamscape thoughtfully posted:
>
>> I am thinking about purchasing a pro-60 which has bee marked down , any
>> pro's or con's opinions etc. any reviews on the internet anywhere?
>> Thanks
>
>Below average sensitivity, a slight battery hog, small speaker
>difficult to hear in high-noise environments (car).

The speaker is kinda small, though I've mostly gotten used to it. In the
car, I've had good results using a CD-to-cassette adapter plugged into the
headphone jack of the scanner. This pipes the audio through the car's speaker
system, which is more than adequate. :-)

>HOWEVER...
>
>A pretty good scanner overall. Very good coverage; nice, sturdy
>compact case; fairly image-free (sorry, no cell images).

I've heard cell images now and then, but only when very close to a tower. I've
never had cell images interfere with normal listening.

>I would not recommend the PRO-60 as a "main", or "primary"
>scanner, but it is a good "tag-along" or secondary scanner. Don't
>expect to do any "DX'ing" with it.

It's been my only scanner for about a year now, and I'm pretty happy with it.
But I was away from the hobby for a long time, so my expectations may be lower
than some. You may want to check out Rich Wells excellent radio monitoring
page; I recall that he has a review of the Pro-60, and of some other RS
scanners that may be in your price range:

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIO.HTM

-Bryan

(Remove the NOSPAM from my email address to reply)
---

401. Re: BC895XLT Shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <5uo9go$bha@camel2.mindspring.com>
Source record: 187297

Thanks for the message, Mike.

I'm glad you got good service.

All I can say is that it is now 36 hours later and nobody from AdvDig
ever had the courtesy or professionalism to return my call.

I'm sure not going to force them to take my money.

Thanks again,

<< Art >>

<< Art >>

My phonecard & Visa Cash collecting homepage is
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/artbecker
Homepage Updated:
7/9/97 Visa Cash Pricelist
7/9/97 Visa Cash Swap/Want List
7/31/97 Worldwide Visa Cash checklist for downloading
8/11/97 Mail Bid Sale #2 Posted

402. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nhull@mindspring.nojunkmail.com
Message-ID: <nhull-0509970742090001@user-2k7i5co.dialup.mindspring.com>
Source record: 187298
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

In article <01bcb9d4$66b87060$e6ba2ccf@pentium>, "Cliff Anchor"
<NOSPAManchor@wclynx.com> wrote:

> Policemen and Policewomen are human-beings like anyone else. They come in
> all shapes and sizes, from every culture, minority and majority, and they
> bring with them their life's experiences into the workplace. Like doctors,
> dentists, politicians and stock market brokers, the majority are good
> people and seek to maintain good reputations for honesty and integrity and
> professionalism. And, just as in other professions, there are a handful
> whose conduct causes the majority of good cops to bear the brunt of the
> blame and fingerpointing.
>
....
>
> Police, by their very duties, are keepers of the peace. Such demands trust
> from all sides. The tragedy of the man in New York is bad enough, but there
> is a much bigger victim, and that is Public Trust. I think these postings
> in this NG echo public (and police) frustration with this issue. Let those
> with anger in their hearts toward police NOT demoralize or denigrate the
> good cops. Focus on the bad ones and the situations which allowed such a
> tragedy to occur. The first clean-up target should be Prejudice.

There are 2 types of police; the bad police and the good police who cover
for the bad police.

--
Free men own guns - slaves don't <http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/5357/>
glockist@aol.com nhull@mindspring.com

403. Re: Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: skypilot@starlink.com
Message-ID: <MPG.e79aab7990d9bdf98968b@news.starlink.com>
Source record: 187299
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <01bcb99b$53e95000$764445d1@mcsppro200>, billc@rust.net says...
> Chris:
>
> It is perfectly legal for an individual, (not a dealer), to import a
> scanner with full coverage, including the Cellular band, into the U.S.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> Chris Figueroa <sifig@li.net> wrote in article <340DF391.1F17@li.net>...
> > I'm about to but the Yupiteru MVT7100 from a Canadain dealership. What
> > are the chances of it being confiscated by US Customs or any other
> > goverment agency on it's way to the US (I live in New York). Am I just
> > being paranoid or is it a valid concern b/c of this scanners cellular
> > capability. Any help by post or e-mail will be greatly appreciated.
> > Thank You
> >
>
Wrong.
So far, Customs has not made it one of their priorities to address this, but the law is quite clear; importation of such scanners is illegal, and no provision is made to differentiate between private individuals and businesses.
After the fiasco with the cell conversation interception in Florida involving the Newt, the FCC has been told to crack down on the provisions of the ban; where the law makes no mention of modifying scanners to receive cell frequencies as illegal, the FCC now considers this to be "manufacturing" scanners, and thus illegal. The ban on importation would require no such leap in interpretation, merely a harsher application.

Bill

404. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: spam_me_not_@shore.net
Message-ID: <5uor6f$800@fridge-nf0.shore.net>
Source record: 187300
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

"Cliff Anchor" <NOSPAManchor@wclynx.com> wrote:

>Policemen and Policewomen are human-beings like anyone else. They come in
>all shapes and sizes, from every culture, minority and majority, and they
>bring with them their life's experiences into the workplace. Like doctors,
>dentists, politicians and stock market brokers, the majority are good
>people and seek to maintain good reputations for honesty and integrity and
>professionalism. And, just as in other professions, there are a handful
>whose conduct causes the majority of good cops to bear the brunt of the
>blame and fingerpointing.

Gee, barring renumeration for services rendered, sounds just like
amateur radio operators. Wouldn't you say?

==========
73 de Jim, WK1V
Ex: KA5MWD, N1CLS, KB1LW, HL9ZF
==========
Code ith good! - Mike Tython

405. Re: Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <34130809.5663537@news.erols.com>
Source record: 187301

On Fri, 05 Sep 1997 02:43:48 GMT, nf2g@ggw.org (D Stark) wrote:

>In article <3410bfc6.6145539@news.erols.com>, frussle@erols.com wrote:
>
>>Chances are very slim that anyone on the US border will look twice at
>>your scanner. Even if they find that the cell-phone frequencies are
>>unblocked, there is no law against possession of such a scanner.
>>There is a law against receiving signals on those bands, however. (not
>>one of the better examples of our country's legislative process)
>
>There is, however, a law against "manufacture, importation, or sale"
>of such scanners. Ordering from Canada for delivery in the USA is
>indeed "importation". If Customs notices what's in the package, they
>will confiscate it.

Rant all you want. Re-read the original message. We're talking about
a tourist who wants to bring his scanner to the States.

1) You aren't likely to find a border policeman who even understands
the issues. They're concerned about food products, Cuban cigars,
liquor, drugs, and that sort of thing --not whether your scanner
covers this or that band.

2) We're talking about personal property here. If someone takes a
trunk-load of new scanners (still in their boxes) across the border,
then yes, there is a chance the Customs agent may notice. The end
result is likely to be lots of paperwork, and a deposit, or duties.
Again, I seriously doubt these folks would be aware enough of the
issues to question what bands these scanners cover.

Of course, with your paranoia, who knows? Maybe his scanner will be
neutralized by aliens in a UFO, and beamed by secret neutron rays from
UN black helicopters before ever reaching the border! :-D

Have fun! (We'll be monitoring you to make sure you do ;-)

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

406. Re: 13.8v DC Question / Mobile Scanner Antenna Y- splitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <34116F70.252D@screwed.net>
Source record: 187052

Sir,

Don,t do it unless you are a cop!

This is the list of reasons is:-

1. The 13.8 volts on the cigarette lighter socket
can have 200 Volt or larger surges. There is no surge
protection in that damn scanner(pro 2032).

2. The PRO-2032 is a very good scanner and almost all of
them can be surgically altered with some trouble to
cell-unblock!

3. You will thank yourself later if you use a 12 volt/4AH
gel cell used in burglar alarms that you can buy from
(RAT SHARK) for $25.00 or so. This will give you the
capability to use your scanner independent of the vehicle
battery and engine (whether it is running or not).

very happing scanning but dont get caught with it !

David Starr wrote:
>
> On Thu, 04 Sep 1997 19:09:38 -0400, daw143@fast.net wrote:
>
> :: Mobile Scanner Power:
> :: I am going to install a PRO-2032 base 200 scanner in my vehicle and
> :: need some advice: The voltage requirments for this unit read 13.8V
> :: DC.What would be the best method to power this in my vehicle.
> :: I do not think that they have any 13.8V DC power cigarette adapters.
> :: Should I run it directly to the battery and negative ground?
> ::
> Check your car battery with a meter; it's closer to 13.8 than 12
> volts. 'd think a lighter adaptor would work fine. I have a Delco
> deep cycle marine battery I run my Pro-2006 on when the power goes
> out. I've ran the scanner with the voltage as low as 11.7 volts with
> no problems
>
> :: Mobile scanner Y-Splitter Question:
> :: Also, I was looking into maybe using a Y-Splitter madee by Motorola
> :: that enables you to utilize the car's radio antenna and slpits the
> :: signal for your scanner. Has anyone ever used these splitters and are
> :: they as efective as a basic all-band mag. mount antenna?
>
> Can't help you with this one.

>
> ::
> ::
> :: Any suggestions would be greatly appreatiated
>
> ++
> Dave Starr
>
> Professional Shop Rat - 32 years in an Auto Plant

--

For you automated email spammers out there, here's
the email addresses of the current board of the
Federal Communications Commission:
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
And let's help you send some spam to the USPS, too:
customer@email.usps.gov

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
---

407. Discone Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Greenli <tgreenli@nospam.vr-net.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbafe$3ff4d240$48273ace@home-133>
Source record: 187053
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have heard lots of good things about the diamond d130.
Does anyone know if the new radio shack discone is any
good? It looks the same like it may be made by diamond.
Any info?

Thanks in advance.
Tom Greenli
Oregon

408. FOR SALE: PRO-2038

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Kaufman <jkaufman@cybernex.net>
Message-ID: <3411B8CC.60A9@cybernex.net>
Source record: 187054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

FOR SALE
Brand new Radio Shack PRO-2038, 800 MHz
Excellent condition, still in original box, with all manuals.
Preprogrammed. Receives some cell images and portables. Great scanner,
I just don't have enough time to sit and listen to it.

Asking $175 including shipping. (price somewhat negotiable)
Emails only please.

409. Re: Martin Lynch and Son (read this)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Figueroa <sifig@li.net>
Message-ID: <3411BCFB.37CD@li.net>
Source record: 187055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Chris Figueroa wrote:
>
> Has anyone bought scanners from Marin Lynch and Son
> http://www.martin-lynch.co.uk
> from the United States. Did it come through? What is their reputation.
> Thank You for all your replies.
Correct link... http://www.martin-lynch.co.uk

410. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <341170BB.7F5B@screwed.net>
Source record: 187056
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

AMERIKA, Beavis and BUTTHEAD yes! love it or get the hell out!

Gary Coffman wrote:
>
> On 1 Sep 97 15:48:20 GMT, "Ben W." <benwats@why.net> wrote:
>
> >If you think that poorly of our government, then get the hell out.
>
> Ah, yes, Amerika, love it or leave it. Where have we seen that before?
>
> Gary
> Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You Make It | Email:
> Destructive Testing Systems | We Break It | ke4zv@bellsouth.net
> 534 Shannon Way | Guaranteed | or
> Lawrenceville, GA 30244 | | ke4zv@radio.org

--

For you automated email spammers out there, here's
the email addresses of the current board of the
Federal Communications Commission:
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
And let's help you send some spam to the USPS, too:
customer@email.usps.gov

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
---

411. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: netzel@erinet.com
Message-ID: <5usfb4$htt@nntp1.erinet.com>
Source record: 187057

In article <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
>Bill Schanks wrote:
>>
>> How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
>
>Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
>guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
>Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!

..EXCEPT KENTUCKY!!!!!!

412. Tech: AOR Ar-900 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John D. Griffin" <jgriffin@telepath.com>
Message-ID: <3411A945.682A@telepath.com>
Source record: 187058

I recently got one of these scanners given to me, the only hitch was the previous owner plugged the unit in backwards and left it plugged in until it melted and toasted a couple of components and the back case! Does anyone have or know where I could obtain a schematic for this unit? Or does anyone have the unnit and could tell me what the value on a couple of components were?
The damage is where the power cord plugs in, down from the power jack on the board there is a (was a)resistor vertically on the baord. It is the only resistor there in the corner, and directly behind it there is a transistor. Both of these were french fried! Thanks for any help!!!

John

413. Re: To all in the group -- Please read !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <34117891.103D@screwed.net>
Source record: 187059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.railroad,rec.ren-faire.jousting

Let use see you spammed use from "nntp.farm.idt.net"

Your mail ID is "3411742C.7A92"

Your name might be george.

Should we add you to the list of SPAMMERs that I will post to the
newsgroup with every post at the tail of my post!!!!

Let us try to send one hundred emails to postmaster@idt.net
or root@idt.net or adminstrator@idt.net complaining about
your spam. Should we make you EAT your own spam or stick
it up your butt!!! you SOB!!!

KILL all SPAMMERS before they kill the net!!!

TO everyone, SPAM root@idt.net !!!

curious@george wrote:
>
> |||||||||||||||||| A FREE $1.00 BILL FOR YOU! ||||||||||||||||||
>
> Subject: GET LEGAL CASH IN MAIL W/ ONLY $5.00
>
> YOU HAVE SEEN THIS POSTING BEFORE - AND LIKE ME, YOU PROBALBY LAUGHED
> AND SAID "WHAT A JOKE" - I'M NOT LAUGHING ANYMORE! IT WORKS.
> BELIEVE IT OR NOT, IT ACTUALLY WORKS.

--

For you automated email spammers out there, here's
the email addresses of the current board of the
Federal Communications Commission:
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
And let's help you send some spam to the USPS, too:
customer@email.usps.gov

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
---

414. Re: Martin Lynch and Son

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: uk911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906214601.RAA13156@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187060

>Has anyone bought scanners from Marin Lynch and Son
>http://www.martin-lynch.co.uk/form1.htm
>from the United States. Did it come through? What is their reputation.
>Thank You for all your replies.

Hi

I am a UK resident and can confirm that Martin Lynch is a large radio company over here and probably one of the best. They advertise in a very popular magazine over here called Short Wave Magazine. Anyone wanting me to scan one of their recent ads in and then email it to you, just ask. I have no connection with them and have never bought from them, I prefer Nevada as they seem cheaper.

Chunky (UK)

415. Re: Which Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: phastings <peabody@onramp.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbb08$7c2a2300$0ec932ce@peabody.onramp.net>
Source record: 187061

actually, a tv antenna turned on its side makes a great yagi-type of directional antenna for this use. Also, a discone with a modified, tuned whip on the top. But in all cases, the higher you can get it up there, the better reception (more blocks away?) you'll recieve.

Bill Crocker <billc@rust.net> wrote in article
<01bcba5d$0fa61a00$784445d1@mcsppro200>...
> Probably a telescoping whip, like Radio Shack, or Grove sells.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> Highcove Family <Highcove-family@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
> <5uqas8$46v@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
> > Which antenna is best for picking up in the 40-50KHz range?
> >
>

416. Re: AOR 3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: btgr1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906211201.RAA10047@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187062

>My AOR 3000A, a very expensive scanner, makes a rather
>disturbing sound each time someone unkeys the microphone

> Is there a fix? Can anything be done to stop this problem?

The the output signal of the squelch circuit (MC3357, CPU SQ) is delayed inside the CPU/LCD unit. Therefore the muting signal (CPU MUTE) comes only 100 ms after the end of the transmission. This is the reason for 100 ms of noise.
The best fix should be a modification of the software but also a hardware modification should be successful. For this you should invert the signal SQ and use it instead of MUTE. However, I have not yet tried this fix.

Good luck,
Andy

417. Re: Tech: AOR Ar-900 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <34119270.39C3@screwed.net>
Source record: 187063

Sir, the resistor might be IN4001 diode and the transistor might
be actually a 3 terminal voltage regulator like 7805.

HOW do you know that no more components have been french fried ?

Giving us some information like the small numbers on the resistor
or transistor will help us a lot.

John D. Griffin wrote:
>
> I recently got one of these scanners given to me, the only hitch was the
> previous owner plugged the unit in backwards and left it plugged in
> until it melted and toasted a couple of components and the back case!
> Does anyone have or know where I could obtain a schematic for this unit?
> Or does anyone have the unnit and could tell me what the value on a
> couple of components were?
> The damage is where the power cord plugs in, down from the power jack on
> the board there is a (was a)resistor vertically on the baord. It is the
> only resistor there in the corner, and directly behind it there is a
> transistor. Both of these were french fried! Thanks for any help!!!
>
> John

--

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
----

418. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG3y2L.9Bx@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> YOu have to be in the business to GET IT.

Thnakfully, I am not...are you? It would explain alot.

> also, it's a change of pace. Work with it.

A change of pace from WHAT?

> Allow a new idea to flip your bic

> Evidently you DID NOT get it.

> why tell everyone that you did not? some family
> traints inherited best left in family closet.

You should heed your own advice as every time you post here yours
spill forth like a torrent.

> bill conduit
> etc.

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

419. Re: Florida Law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906200200.QAA26462@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187065

atocco8586@aol.com (ATocco8586) writes:

>I think it is only illegal in to have a scanner in a vehicle in Florida if
>the scanner is "mounted" in the vehicle. I think to be "mounted" is to have
>an external antenna or to derive a power supply from the vehicle.
>
A local policeman who runs the MELBCOPS Echo has told me
precisely what you state. The FL law uses the word "installed,"
and that is how they are interpreting it. I haven't heard of anyone
who has had their unit confiscated or has been prosecuted in FL
for having a scanner in their car, installed or not.
Al Stern Satellite Beach FL

420. Re: AOR Trunk Tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: GHGGtrunkerv3x@mailexcite.comGHGG
Message-ID: <5usd8fcod1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 187066

atocco8586@aol.com (ATocco8586) wrote:

>>
>>Go download TRUNKER 3.1 from
>>http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/trnk31.html and follow the

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/trnkv3x.htm

Is the **NEW** & PERMANENT home of TRUNKER V3.x

>Let me get this straight, you need (2) aor 8000's to do the trunk track
>deal w/ this software?? Can this softeware talk to the os456??? If one
>must use the ar8000's, what is the cheapest way out on the interfaces, and
>how are they set up??

You need:

1) ANY radio which has a discriminator output for sending the 800MHz
Control Channel Data to TRUNKER.

2) Only one AR8000 is needed, the data channel radio can be any 800MHz
capable scanner.

3) Support for other interfaces may be available in the future. Any
persons wishing to contribute code/source to communicate with CI/V,
OS456/452 etc. interfaces should contact the TRUNKER Team.

TRUNKER V3.x Home Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/trnkv3x.htm
TRUNKER V3.x Email: trunkerv3x@mailexcite.com

My Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

421. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG3yH9.AH8@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187067

fire.chief@warpgate.com (Fire Chief) wrote:

>From: dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti)
>

> DMC> What does this have to do with the scanning hobby?

Question still left un answered by ANY of the geniuses who frequent
these groups.

> You don't thing it has anything to do with the hobby?

NO

> Then why did you quote the entire thing?

I did not.

> Are you attempting to display to the entire world via
> the NG your complete absense of any common sense?

No, attempting to play the "Devil's Advocate" to make a point that
apparently went way over the heads of many.

> Jackasses have a higher IQ than you possess.

I took alot of criticism from many for what I attempted to do here.
Not once did I malign the intelligence of anyone, nor call anyone a
name. Your doing so here speaks for itself.

This also proves loud and clear that in the newsgroups, at least the
scanning newsgroups, intelligent debate, albeit sometimes heated, has
no place here. When someone takes a position against the mainstream,
eventually these silly personal attacks ensue. What a shame.

Mr Fire Chief, I thank you.

> +--------------------------+------------------------+
> | 9-1-1 Public Safety BBS | POLICENET 150:100/911 |
> | fire.chief@warpgate.com | SAFNET 144:8100/911 |
> | LEO's FIREFIGHTERS SAR | FIDONET 1:202/911 |
> | COMMS AMATEUR RADIO | SoCal (619) 669-0385 |
> +--------------------------+------------------------+
>___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
>---
>| WarpGate: Fire Chief 52:1000/211
>| Internet: fire.chief@warpgate.com
>| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

422. FS: unblocked AOR AR8000 $500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Parry <brian_parry@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbb15$c6a15360$83411ecc@#bjp>
Source record: 187068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Perfect shape with original manuals and boxes and batteries. Only a month old. Unblocked US version - not an import. Selling because I made a mistake and want to get a dual bander. Only serious buyers need reply. Thanks.

$500 + S&H
Brian Parry
brian_parry@hotmail.com

423. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906203601.QAA29664@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187069

>Subject: Re: Funny Newsroom Phone
>From: scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip)
>Date: 6 Sep 1997 17:55:41 GMT
>Message-id: <19970906175500.NAA21474@ladder02.news.aol.com>

>Yeah, and it's a good thing you don't teach spelling. And oh yeah, don't
>crack that funny bone.

I realized I spelled that big word wrong, however I didn't see you correct me.

The CB Guy

424. Re: AOR 3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tmalesic@concentric.net
Message-ID: <3413df05.92842638@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 187070

On 6 Sep 1997 21:12:40 GMT, btgr1@aol.com (Btgr1) wrote:

>>My AOR 3000A, a very expensive scanner, makes a rather
>>disturbing sound each time someone unkeys the microphone
>
>> Is there a fix? Can anything be done to stop this problem?
>
>The the output signal of the squelch circuit (MC3357, CPU SQ) is delayed
>inside the CPU/LCD unit. Therefore the muting signal (CPU MUTE) comes only
>100 ms after the end of the transmission. This is the reason for 100 ms of
>noise.
>The best fix should be a modification of the software but also a hardware
>modification should be successful. For this you should invert the signal SQ
>and use it instead of MUTE. However, I have not yet tried this fix.
>
>Good luck,
>Andy
>
There may be a more simple explanation. The AOR3000 has a delay time
setting which varies the time that scanning resumes after the signal
disappears. Try this:

1. Press 2ND F, then press and hold the SEARCH SET for a second or
more. The DELAY indicator will flash on the LCD.

2. Press ENTER repeatedly to select a delay time of 0 (zero) seconds.

I think that delay can be set on individual channels as well, but
don't quote me on that. Check your manual.

Regards,
Tony

425. New messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevin <iowaboy@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbb19$cef0df00$a77ad7cf@default>
Source record: 187071

Is anyone else having a problem in this group? I've been in many others
that work fine,but here all the posts come up marked as read. Other than
that ...no troubles. But it is kind of a pain. Please let me know. Thanks!
--

Kevin

Only believe
half of what you see and none of what you hear..... Lou Reed

426. Anti-Scanner Laws: Resistance is Futile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bugsweeper@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906215101.RAA13661@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187072

If there's one thing I've observed regarding the recent trend of outlawing the monitoring of segments of the RF spectrum, it's that attempting to fight off every piece of legislation, wherever it may appear (city, state, federal), it quite futile. The anti-scanner portions of bills are often buried so deep in legal mumbo-jumbo that no one notices what is happening until it is too late. In other cases, industry lobbies (via campaign gifts) to have portions of the spectrum outlawed from being monitored. Others see outlawing bands as an easier/lazier/cheaper alternative to designing encryption into their products or communication standards. "Don't worry Mable, no one will listen in on your cell calls because that's illegal." Or some police or gov't agency who gets caught being naughty or stupid on the airwaves cries out "there ought to be a law" and lobbies to outlaw another band. The scanner battles are spread over so many fronts that it is futile to try and beat them all back. Fighting via the ballot box is useless because us scanner owners are too small of a political constituancy to matter. We don't collectively have enough votes to influence anyone. Was any election to a political office decided by the ballots cast exclusively by scanner enthusiasts over a scanner related issue? Any election? Anywhere in the US? Anywhere on the planet?

Resistance is futile.

What is needed is to stage an offensive- to propose and introduce legislation at the federal level that reaffirms the rights of scanner owners and preempts other state and local laws. Such legislation needs to be worded in such a way that is very general. "The use of a scanner shall not be prohibited" is no good.
Nor is any lingo that talks about spectrum space, bands, specific services, RF, importation regulations, auxillary devices, "easily modofied", encryption,
"mobile use", "exemptions for", manufacturing constraints, or any other jargon terms. The general public will simply not care either way if something they do not understand passes or fails. Nor will a politician look good or bad in the public eye for supporting or opposing it. And the media is not interested reporting on issues that don't gather ratings. Simply worded legislation that
speaks to everyone on an easy to understand/fundamental right level is what's needed. It should be worded so that it looks just awful for politicians to oppose
it. An example:

"The right of the people to listen to a radio shall not be infringed."

What politician would want to publically speak out against a statement like that? Everyone- every citizen- technically minded or not, can call to mind former or current governments that oppressed their people by outlawing

all but state approved radios. Everyone remembers the huge Soviet jamming radio towers used to keep their citizens in the dark. People will not want to hear counter arguments starting with "Yes, but..." "My God man!", they'll say, "You're opposed to that?!" and walk away from these politicians shaking their heads in disgust. Such a simply stated issue would also be a magnet for media attention, and would further gain support for the issue. Given enough public awareness, a constitutional amendment may even be possible.

Maybe I'm just dreaming, but that's the way I see it. Thoughts?

--
BugSweeper@aol.com

427. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cliff Pearson <CliffP@ktvt.com>
Message-ID: <3411F34C.43AE@ktvt.com>
Source record: 187073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mark E. Levy wrote:
>
> Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>
> > He can sit in the newsroonm and hear one side of all phone calls?
>
> What's so hard to understand? Maybe he works for the newspaper or
> station, and he hears the people answering the phones.

Duh. I walk through the newsroom and I can hear people talking as
I walk. Or, in some cases, the guy will signal me that they got a
"live one" on the phone and I stop and listen.

> > Again I submit...what does this have to do with scanning?

> Nothing. But since when has that stopped people from posting?

Nothing, but who cares? I ask if anyone wanted to hear more didn't I?
Gimme a break. I said at the beginning that I thought since people
liked the "funny dispatch" thread that maybe they might like to hear
of some of the things I hear. And I know that people working in
law enforcement can relate. If people don't want the thread, fine,
I asked.

> Mark Levy, Computer Consultant
> OpenVMS, MS-Windows, MAC/OS, Networks
> System Management Associates, Inc.
> LEVY.M@ACM.ORG
> N9RXF

Cliff Pearson
Assignment Editor
(um, that means I'm the editor in charge of assignments)
KTVT Channel 11 News
Dallas, Texas

428. Re: Regency R4030 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dr7zyq@rand.nidlink.com
Message-ID: <NEWTNews.873592052.8208.dr7zyq@LOCALNAME>
Source record: 187074

As I understand it, the Regency 4030 is a BC200XL in Regency clothing. Anything that will work for the BC200XL will work with the Regency. However, I do not recommend the cell phone mod, because (1) the radio receives as well on the image freqs as it does with the mod, and (2) it will still scan at the wrong rate (12.5kHz) and thereby miss most cell freqs. If you want a cell mod, I suggest getting one of the older, convertible Rat Shack rigs. Once converted, most all of them scan at the proper (30kHz) rate. (Let the Rat Shack flamers chew on that.)

David

How can restraining the advance of technology and the technical competence of our citizens be in the best interest of our country?

429. Stanford University

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3411FB4D.74B1@prodigy.net>
Source record: 187075
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I attended the football game at Stanford Stadium today. The following
frequencies were very active:
464.675
464.7375
483.3375
483.5625

430. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG3yqK.BFJ@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187076

>> DMC> What does this have to do with the scanning hobby?

Still no answer from Mr. Scan Skip....

>Conti hasn't got anything else to offer so he's decided to make an issue
>of this. Regardless of the fact that many people have posted threads here
>about humorous stuff they have overheard in one place or another, he's
>decided to be the Mr. Net Cop and tell everyone what they can or cannot
>say. Nevermind that he has nothing to say about scanning!

I think I am one of the few people that actually includes my real,
full name, location, callsign, etc. in my posts....Interesting.

It is so easy to hide behind anonymity.

I didn't make an issue here, just tried to make a point, and stir up
some discussion, debate, etc....which is apparently not possible here.

Again...those who go against the grain are "Net Cops".

I'll offer you a chaleneg as well, Mr. Skip...show me one example of
where I told anyone what they could or could not say.

So, any scanning words of wisdom from YOU , Mr. Skip?
dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

431. Re: Any recommended Aero books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <341204D5.20D0@ibm.net>
Source record: 187077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Greenli wrote:
> I just finished reading "Monitoring Private & Commercial Aviation" in
> Monitoring Times July 97 edition. I am interested in getting more
> information on this subject. In the reference section the author mentions
> a few books. Has anyone used the following? Please comment on content,
> accuracy, value or if it's a "MUST HAVE".
>
> Aeronautical Frequency Directory by Robert Coburn (Available at Rat Shack)

Excellent reference. I consider it a must have.

> Air Scan by Tom Kneitel

Good reference but I find I rarely use it with
Coburn's book in my library.

> Air Waves by Laura Quarantiello
> The Worldwide Aeronautical Communications Frequency Directory by Bob Evans

Have not used or looked through either of these.

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

432. voice print decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: s10 <s10@willowtree.com>
Message-ID: <341208FE.363B@willowtree.com>
Source record: 187078

I was just listening to the scanner and when they were going to give out info they said would you like the info over voice print. Help I am now trying to find something to decode this any information or leads would be great.

email address
s10@willowtree.com

433. Re: WNT: AR-8000, MVT-7100, MVT-9000, IC-R10 or similar scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: friedhelm.mehnert@gmx.net
Message-ID: <EG3qqs.KJy@whitespace.de>
Source record: 187079

Rob Frohne (frohro@wwc.edu) wrote:
: Hi,
:
: I'm looking for a wide range handheld scanner with SSB reception for use
: in locating power line interference. If you have one you would consider
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
: selling please send me a note. It doesn't have to be cell unblocked.
:
Thought I knew it all ...
Never heard that one ...
What the @@## is it?
Why would you need a ssb-receiver for it?
Just currious.

Regards,
Friedhelm

--
Microsoft is NOT the answer. Microsoft is the Question.
The answer is: "NO!"

Friedhelm Mehnert, Berliner Allee 42, 22850 Norderstedt, Germany
phone + fax: +49-40-5236562 email: friedhelm.mehnert@gmx.net
---

434. Re: Uniden SC150 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Benzick <snicker@cyberstation.net>
Message-ID: <34120520.9FAD5C21@cyberstation.net>
Source record: 187080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If you learn anything please let me know! I just recently purchased a 150.

Greg W. wrote:

> I have searched the Web looking for info on correcting this scanner for
> normal operation but can not find anything, ie full 800MHz. Looking
> inside the radio adjacent to the processor there are a couple of surface
> mount 100K resistors that have alternate positions. These may be pull-up
> resistors but I am not sure. Anybody know anything about this. Can not
> find anything with most search engines including Deja News. Being
> surface mount this whould make the radio not readily modifiable and
> nearly impossible for most people, that is if having them in the
> altenate positions proves to restore the radio to correct and proper
> operation. This needs to be verified.
>
> Thanks Greg W. gwareber@ix.netcom.com

--
****
Aaron Benzick <><
snicker@cyberstation.net
abenzick@utdallas.edu
Page me at: http://wwp.mirabilis.com/2027796
****

435. SatTrack-Software.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: friedhelm.mehnert@gmx.net
Message-ID: <EG3qzy.KL0@whitespace.de>
Source record: 187081

Does somebody know where I can find a software called
SatTrack for Linux?

There is a commercial version out that is about $1200.

There used to be an older Version that was
shareware, but it has disappeart from the net.

Does somebody out here have a copy, or know where I
still might find it?

Regards,
Friedhelm

--
Microsoft is NOT the answer. Microsoft is the Question.
The answer is: "NO!"

Friedhelm Mehnert, Berliner Allee 42, 22850 Norderstedt, Germany
phone + fax: +49-40-5236562 email: friedhelm.mehnert@gmx.net
---

436. Re: New RCA Scanner to hit market

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: alan@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <alan-0609972310020001@ppp-mia2-84.netrox.net>
Source record: 187082
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <EG1959.FA7@nonexistent.com>, dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

> I was able to see the new RCA hand held scanner soon to hit the scene
> yesterday at a local radio shop, and I must say that I was quite
> impressed.
>
> The scanner is made by GRE, the same folks who make many of the Radio
> Shack scanners.
>
> I am told that the design is a hybrid of the best of the good old
> Pro-43 and Pro-60 features, less cellular of course, with super fast
> scan speed, and other newer features, all at a VERY reasonable price
> of around 200 bucks!!!
>
> Jeff Libermann of THE HAM STATION will be carrying these scanners,
> 1-800-729-4373, and is as excited about them as I.
>
> Hopefully, I will have a demo in my hands in near future, and be able
> to expand more upon this scanner being offered by RCA.
>
> Many years ago I had an RCA crystal controlled base, with something
> called "Varactor Tuning" (What that was I never new), and it was a
> FINE scanner. Do not know if RCA made that one, or it was made for
> them by another company.
>
> With all the hyperbole floating around about this hobby, it's
> interesting to see a company like RCA offer a scanner at this point in
> time......HHHhhhmmm
> dconti@carroll.com
> America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
> Ridgefield, New Jersey
> fax (201) 943-2379

Veractor tuning is a method whereby the radio receiver constantly & automatically peaks its sensitivity to whatever frequency is currently being monitored.

437. Power Toys - Micro Counter??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <5ut5q3$n2@suriname.earthlink.net>
Source record: 187083

Anyone use this device yet? Does it work?

Karl Dahlquist
karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com
http://vistagroupusa.com

438. HELP!! AOR AR1000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: spitnspot@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3412163A.8FD91225@earthlink.net>
Source record: 187084

I recently purchased a used AR1000xlt and have been getting nowhere trying to clear the channels. The previous owner programmed over 800 channels that I can tell, and most of them are not useful in my area. Whenever I have the thing on scan it goes through all of the programmed channels (a lot of them are redundant) and I don't know how to limit the scan, either. Does anyone know how I could wipe them all out? I would like to start from fresh???

Please, please, please I'm begging any and all of you!

Thanks!

439. Re: 235XLT @ $250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bruce A. Langos" <bruce.langos@daytonoh.ncr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbb21$c58872e0$0cf77fc0@langob>
Source record: 187085

Bull. I am not a dealer or wholesaler. I just called on the phone and
ordered one no questions asked.

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970906021100.WAA26723@ladder02.news.aol.com>...
> All,
>
> Whether Sante Fe Distributing has any 235XLTs in stock or not is really
> immaterial since they won't sell to anyone but established accounts, or
> wholesale to dealers. That leaves 99 percent of us out in the cold as far
> as their $229.00 price goes.
>
> John
>

440. Freq Man. I'll find any freq for FREE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freq man <freq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <341232B6.4484@hotmail.com>
Source record: 187086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur

I'll find any freq in the us for FREE!! Just e-mail me at
freq@hotmail.com and tell me what freq or what area you want me to find.

Frq. Man
freq@hotmail.com

441. SOLD- Bearcat 3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Peterson <k6dmj1@pop.flash.net>
Message-ID: <34124AF9.6877@pop.flash.net>
Source record: 187087

```
The Bearcat 3000 scanner previously offered for sale has been sold.
Thank you for your interest and calls. SOLD SOLD SOLD
(TKS-WECKER)...
```

442. Coping with digital repeater systems ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmason@iu.net
Message-ID: <5uqkj9$8c8$3@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 187088

My county is currently switching most government radio operations
from simplex 150Mhz to an Erikkson digital repeater-based system.
You key the mike - the system reads some group-identifier codes
and then opens a channel to all other radios in that group. The
exact frequency is undetermined ... could be any of dozens of
frequencies in the 800Mhz band. The system picks whichever one
is free at the moment.

How does one cope with such a system ? Even if one knows all the
possible frequencies used, it becomes impossible to follow a
conversation. If the police are on one frequency and the channel
drops even for an instant, the dog-catcher may be on that freq
a moment later. Furthermore, xmit and receive frequencies are
not the same.

It would seem that the "old fashioned" scanners are rapidly
becoming obsolete. Only ones capable of dealing with the
digital ID codes in new systems, following conversations
and capable of reading both sides of the conversation are
going to be of any use whatsoever. While most of the traffic
will not be encrypted, some will ... and if the citizens
are to fulfill their duty as "watchdogs" of the public trust,
then we must be capable of recieving all but the very most
critical and private transmissions.

What's new on the scanner front ? 50,000 channel memories
are USELESS if the unit cannot cope with these new repeaters.
Who will make (is making ?) scanners for the 21st century ?

-j

443. BC Trunk Tracker any good??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stop SPAM !!!!! <timk29@mc.net>
Message-ID: <34119154.1FB6@mc.net>
Source record: 187089

Hi!! I was wondering if the BC Trunk Tracker was a decent scanner. I'm interested in full coverage (even if have to mod.), programmable steps, yadda..yadda..yadda. Model BC235XLT. What is a good price for this unit new?? All input is appreciated. Thanks, Timm ... timk29@mc.net

444. MOD for Pro-25 RS Scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom <tomgetty@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <5us3k3$g1g$1@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 187090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I Anyone know if you can MOD a RS Pro-25 scanner?? Information would be appreciated.

Tom
tomgetty@mindspring.com

445. AND/OR Logic for CE-232 Script Function

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34117ac7.63644616@news-server>
Source record: 187091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

This article is copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek
<All rights reserved>

Revised: 9/6/97

INTRODUCTION
============

The CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface has a number of powerful features, one of which is the Script function, whereby you can automate the scanning process and supervise it with hands-off computerized decision-making and control. Possibilities of the CE-232 Script feature are endless. This article adds power and more possibilities to the CE-232 and its Script function.

INCORPORATE "AND" AND "OR" LOGIC INTO YOUR CE-232 AND HB-232 SCRIPTS!
==

It occurs to me that there is no AND / OR logic built into the CE-232 Script feature. Or is there? The following is one method of incorporating intelligent decision-making with AND and OR logical operators. Follow with me as I set an example of each:

AND LOGIC
=========

- Desired, but NOT possible Script statement:

```
IF FREQ = 158.970 AND CHAN = 43 THEN
:
:
(do something; doesn't matter what for this example)
:
:
ENDIF
```

====

+ Ok, it would be nice to do the above, but the below does the same thing!

```
IF FREQ = 158.970 THEN
IF CHAN = 43 THEN
:
:
(do something; doesn't matter what for this example)
:
:
```

```
ENDIF
ENDIF
```

Voila! Very useful AND logic! Now then.....

OR LOGIC
========

- Desired, but not possible Script statement:

```
IF FREQ = 158.970 OR CHAN = 43 THEN
:
(do something; doesn't matter what for this example)
:
ENDIF
```

====

+ Ok, it would be nice to do the above, but the below does the same thing:

```
IF FREQ = 158.970 THEN
GOTO labelname
ENDIF
IF CHAN = 43 THEN
labelname :
:
(do something; doesn't matter what for this example)
:
ENDIF
```

And there you have it: AND and OR logic for your CE-232 scripts.

==========

(c) 1997 by Bill Cheek <All rights reserved>

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

446. CE-232 Script Example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3411c60c.82916706@news-server>
Source record: 187092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

This article is Copyright (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek, and all rights are
reserved.

EXAMPLE FUNCTIONAL SCRIPT
Finds And AutoLogs All "Birdies" In The Scanner

Here is a CE-232 Script that is dissected and explained at each step. Its purpose is to identify all "birdies" in your scanner and log them to a file. A few more steps makes a CE-232 AutoReject file that will prevent your scanner (PRO-2004, PRO-2005, or PRO-2006 only) from locking up or stopping on "birdies".

This next section is 117-chars wide and may not appear "right" until you copy it to a word processor or text editor that can keep from wrapping wide text. The left half is a comment section, line by line, for the actual script commands in the right half.

COMMENTS | THE ACTUAL SCRIPT CODE
==========|==========
Forward slash (/), space and filename | / BIRDFIND.SCR
Clears keyboard buffer - always recommended | keyclr
Displays text line | msg1- This script can be used to find and make a log file of all the
" " " | msg2- "birdies" that exist in your scanner. The process takes about
" " " | msg3- 60-90 minutes, depending on computer speed and scanner speed.
" " " | msg4- Short out the antenna terminals so no signals can be picked up
" " " | msg5- and set the ATT switch to -10 dB. Set SEARCH LIMITS of 25 MHz &
" " " | msg6- 1300 MHz with a SEARCH ready to start upwards from 25 MHz. Put
" " " | msg7- in the MANUAL mode before starting this script. Set the SQUELCH
" " " | msg8- so that no sounds come in and get READY!
" " " | msg9- ***** Press G to start this script ******
NOTE 1:-- | .
Idles script until the "G" key is pressed | idle until key = g
Clears keyboard buffer - always recommended | keyclr
Scanner: MANUAL command | Man
Scanner: PROGRAM command | prgm
Scanner: LIMIT command | limit
Enters 9 into the display | 9
Scanner: LIMIT command selects Bank 9 | limit
Types 1299.950 as the LOW limit | freq = 1299.950
Scanner: Presses ENTER key | enter
Scanner: LIMIT command | limit
Types 1300.00 as the HIGH limit | freq = 1300.00
Scanner: Presses ENTER key | enter

Scanner: SEARCH UP command | search = up
Scanner: MANUAL command stops SEARCH mode | Man
Scanner: PROGRAM command | Prgm
Scanner: LIMIT command | limit
Enters 9 into the display | 9
Scanner: LIMIT command selects Bank 9 | limit
Types 25.0 as the LOW limit | freq = 25.0
Scanner: Presses ENTER key | enter
Scanner: LIMIT command | limit
Types 1300.00 as the HIGH limit | freq = 1300.00
Scanner: Presses ENTER key | enter
Scanner: SEARCH DOWN command | search = down
Scanner: MANUAL command stops SEARCH mode | Man
Clears keyboard buffer - always recommended | keyclr
NOTE 1:--&#65533; |
Displays text line | msg1-
" " " | msg2- +--------------------------------------+
" " " | msg3- | BIRDIE-FINDER SCRIPT RUNNING NOW ! |
" " " | msg4- +--------------------------------------+
" " " | msg5-
" " " | msg6- Stop script by pressing CTRL+A at any time
" " " | msg7-
" " " | msg8- ....or, stop it after SEARCH has cycled past 1300 MHz....
" " " | msg9-
Script command: starts a DO Loop | do
Scanner: SEARCH UP command | search = up
Pauses script until SQUELCH breaks, then | idle until sqbreak = yes
Script command: turns AutoLogger On | autolog on
AutoLogs scanner display; idles for a moment | idle until count = 8
Script command: turns AutoLogger Off | autolog off
Script Loops back to the DO line just above | loop
Script runs indefinitely until User |
intervenes and stops it |
==========

NOTE 1: The script lines between the above NOTE 1:--&#65533; and NOTE 1:--&#65533;
annotations serve to prepare the scanner for a thorough coverage of 25-1300
MHz by cancelling anything you may have already had programmed in Limit
Search Bank 9. To log ALL birdies, it is essential that the Birdie Search
begin at one end of the spectrum and run continuously to the other end.
Therefore, this routine ensures it by first narrowing the Search range and
then expanding it. Otherwise, there is no assurance that SEARCH would
begin at 25.000 MHz. When completed, you will have two or three AutoLog
files that cover the Search period. Each AutoLog file is one-hour.
Everything logged will be a "birdie", and so the next step is to edit the
Log files, first by merging them into a single file....then edit out
everything except the actual frequencies.

Let the below excerpt from an AutoLog file suffice for an example:

```
, 26, 47.5000,nfm,D, ,Man , ,930902,221057,000000
, 67, 47.5000,nfm,D, ,Man , ,930902,221114,000000
, 3, 49.8300,nfm,D,L,Man , ,930902,221048,000000
, 21, 95.7000,wfm,D, ,Man , ,930902,221055,000000
, 15, 97.3000,wfm,D,L,Man , ,930902,221053,000000
, 69, 121.5000, am,D, ,Man , ,930902,221115,000000
, 72, 122.9250, am,D, ,Man , ,930902,221116,000000
, 73, 123.1000, am,D, ,Man , ,930902,221117,000000
, 74, 148.1500,nfm,D, ,Man , ,930902,221117,000000
, 9, 150.5700,nfm,D, ,Man , ,930902,221050,000000
```

The idea is to prepare an AutoReject file based on the scanner's birdies for starters. See pages 65 and 70 in the CE-232 Manual and the <F1> on-line help for information on the REJECT feature and REJECT files. In a word, a REJECT file consists of only frequency information, one frequency to a line, four decimal places with no stray spaces and no leading zeroes or leading spaces.

You would then use your text editor to edit out everything except the column of frequencies, an example using the above AutoLog file for which is shown below:

```
47.5000
47.5000
49.8300
95.7000
97.3000
121.5000
122.9250
123.1000
148.1500
150.5700
```

The above, then, constitutes a valid REJECT (*.REJ) file. As you can see, a script makes quick work of identifying and logging all your "birdies". In closing, you will want to identify only "birdies" and not actual signals, so be sure to short out the antenna connector on the back of the scanner with a piece of wire inserted into the center contact and then wrapped around the shell of the BNC connector. You might then also wrap a piece of aluminum foil over the BNC connector for further reduction of signal entry. Last, but not least, set the ATT switch to the -10 dB position and adjust the SQUELCH so that the static is just silenced and then a little bit more. Turn SOUND SQUELCH off, too. Run the BIRDFIND.SCR script when ready and allow 1½-2 hours for the complete search.

OTHER EXAMPLE SCRIPTS

In addition to the BIRDFIND.SCR, there are over 50 other Scripts, some just for demonstration, but plenty more that do useful work. You may never have to write your own, if you sort through what's already there in your \CE-232\SCR directory. Some of the better and more powerful scripts included are those written by Mr. Ian Webb and Mr. Scott Sikes. Check out WEBBMNUA.SCR, WEBBMNUB.SCR, and SYKES.SCR for some prize-winning

examples. There are plenty of others, too, so get to work! Your primary tool in the learning phase will be a good text viewer (LIST, XTREE GOLD, Norton Utilities, etc) and a good text editor, (QEDIT, MS-DOS EDIT.COM, NotePad, WordPad, etc).

Copyright (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek <All rights reserved.>

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

447. Re:Police are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: **Sheep_City@hotmail.com**
Message-ID: <3413c6a3.1909457@nntp.netcom.com>
Source record: 187211

http://www.geocities.com/nashville/6369/index.html

448. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG2A3L.3BM@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Mark E. Levy" <LEVY.M@ACM.ORG> wrote:

>Daniel M. Conti wrote:

>> He can sit in the newsroonm and hear one side of all phone calls?

>What's so hard to understand? Maybe he works for the newspaper or
>station, and he hears the people answering the phones.

Oh he does? Is that what an "Assignment Editor" does? Interesting...

>> Again I submit...what does this have to do with scanning?

>Nothing. But since when has that stopped people from posting?

It doesn't...and that my point.
>--

>Mark Levy, Computer Consultant
>OpenVMS, MS-Windows, MAC/OS, Networks
>System Management Associates, Inc.
>LEVY.M@ACM.ORG
>N9RXF

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

449. Re: Which Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billc@rust.net>
Message-ID: <01bcba5d$0fa61a00$784445d1@mcsppro200>
Source record: 187213

Probably a telescoping whip, like Radio Shack, or Grove sells.

Bill Crocker

Highcove Family <Highcove-family@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
<5uqas8$46v@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
> Which antenna is best for picking up in the 40-50KHz range?
>

450. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906003501.UAA09922@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187214

In article <19970905141800.KAA25859@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) writes:

>I would rather know what DCONTI has to do with the scanning hobby since he
>cannot see the obvious connection.
>
>

ME too....it would appear reading the original post, in which the
connection to this newsgroup was established was too difficult.

The CB Guy

451. Re: 235XLT @ $250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906021100.WAA26723@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187215

All,

Whether Sante Fe Distributing has any 235XLTs in stock or not is really immaterial since they won't sell to anyone but established accounts, or wholesale to dealers. That leaves 99 percent of us out in the cold as far as their $229.00 price goes.

John

452. Regency R4030 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <slybri@foxvalley.net>
Message-ID: <01bcba7d$0cc75680$a6bae3cf@default>
Source record: 187216

I'm looking for any owners of the Regency R4030 or any one that has info
on this radio. I've had mine for many years and I need to put some new life

into it. I would like any mods that are possible for it. I've looked at
different
books that are out, but a haven't seen any mods for my model.
Hope anyone can help me out, thanks

Brian

453. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: P Schaefr <pschaefr99@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3410EC30.41CB@erols.com>
Source record: 187217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

Brad Cuppy wrote:
>
> I just got a couple of letters from my congressman concerning this
> bill. He mentioned that he favors them that the restrictions of
> privacy that applies to law enforcement should apply to civiilians
> as well including scanners. His name is Joel Heffley (R-Colorado).
> Some people still don't get it. Why does the burden of privacy must fall
> on the scanner user instead of implementing encryption. The burden
> must be on the sender.
>
> Brad
How did you get your congresmans email address?
--
Please delete "99" from my address to respond.
Ban Spam!!!

454. Re: Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tmalesic@concentric.net
Message-ID: <3411eac6.30306036@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 187218

On Fri, 05 Sep 1997 13:44:00 GMT, frussle@erols.com (Jake Brodsky)
wrote:

>1) You aren't likely to find a border policeman who even understands
>the issues. They're concerned about food products, Cuban cigars,
>liquor, drugs, and that sort of thing --not whether your scanner
>covers this or that band.
>
I doubt that a U.S. Customs inspector physically inspecting imports
either at the border or through the mails cares about issues - that's
for the upper level bureaucrats to mull over.

In the trenches, I assume they would simply check the model number of
a scanner against a list of "banned" scanners in their 50-lb. manual
of guidelines.

Tony

455. Re: Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamoran@mail.goodnet.com
Message-ID: <5uqqs0dag2@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 187219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

WE HAVE THE BEST GOVERNMENT MONEY CAN BUY we also HAVE THE BEST JUSTICE MONEY CAN BUY.

If you can wind and dine people who make laws, pay them a million dollars and get instant access...then you can give them an ear ful...

that's exactly how many of our stupid laws get passed.

as for cellular frequencies. I monitor them all the time. Search between 869-896 and 820-850mhz. I've been in airports, on busses, at sporting events, and inrestaurants when I saw people use their cellular phones. If I ws bored, I put the scanner in the search mode and usually found their conversation. I usually don't tell them I heard the conversation. However, I do let my friends know what they say on cordless phones, cellular phones, or radio phone patches IS NOT PRIVATE. I heard an interesting phone patch from a local police department. The chief had called his wife, and also had called one of the Seargents.

Bill Funk (skypilot@starlink.com) wrote:
:]In article <01bcb99b$53e95000$764445d1@mcsppro200>, billc@rust.net
:]says...
:]> Chris:
:]>
:]> It is perfectly legal for an individual, (not a dealer), to import a
:]> scanner with full coverage, including the Cellular band, into the U.S.
:]>
:]> Bill Crocker
:]>
:]> Chris Figueroa <sifig@li.net> wrote in article <340DF391.1F17@li.net>...
:]> > I'm about to but the Yupiteru MVT7100 from a Canadain dealership. What
:]> > are the chances of it being confiscated by US Customs or any other
:]> > goverment agency on it's way to the US (I live in New York). Am I just
:]> > being paranoid or is it a valid concern b/c of this scanners cellular
:]> > capability. Any help by post or e-mail will be greatly appreciated.
:]> > Thank You
:]> >
:]>
:]Wrong.
:]So far, Customs has not made it one of their priorities to address this,
:]but the law is quite clear; importation of such scanners is illegal, and
:]no provision is made to differentiate between private individuals and
:]businesses.
:]After the fiasco with the cell conversation interception in Florida
:]involving the Newt, the FCC has been told to crack down on the provisions

:]of the ban; where the law makes no mention of modifying scanners to
:]receive cell frequencies as illegal, the FCC now considers this to be
:]"manufacturing" scanners, and thus illegal. The ban on importation would
:]require no such leap in interpretation, merely a harsher application.
:]
:]Bill

--

JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
vi..my favorite text editor (:
>Don't send junk/spam mail, I get that stuff in duplicate. When I see<
>two or more messages from the same, They go straight to bit bucket! <
if responding to a usenet post send e-mail also so I will check the group

---

456. Re: Fast Food Update Aug 1997

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: atocco8586@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906064001.CAA12831@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187220

It would be a godsend to put these into an .swg file so that folks could just download the file, and shoot the freq's right into the scanner, no typing in of hundreds of frequencies.

457. Re: AOR Trunk Tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: atocco8586@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906065001.CAA20233@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187221

>
>Go download TRUNKER 3.1 from
>http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/trnk31.html and follow the
>instructions. It requires two radios. One to monitor the data channel and
>one to tune to the voice channels.
>
>Check it out

Let me get this straight, you need (2) aor 8000's to do the trunk track deal w/ this software?? Can this softeware talk to the os456??? If one must use the ar8000's, what is the cheapest way out on the interfaces, and how are they set up??

Thanks,
Tony

458. Re: Florida Law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: atocco8586@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906063401.CAA19373@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187222

>w
>From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
>Date: Sat, 09 Aug 1997 10:18:49 -0400
>Message-id: <33EC7C49.AED@hotmail.com>
>
>J Sumner wrote:
>>
>> It is considered an obstruction of justice, and if caught with a scanner
>> inside your vehicle, the scanner will be confiscated, and the vehicle will
>> be impounded. Obstruction of justice in the state of Florida is a felony I
>> believe.
>> Happy Scanning!
>
>Unless, of course, you are a licensed ham, a member of the press, or
>certified by any Florida County Sheriff as a neighborhood watch
>participant.
>
>Very Happy Scanning!
>
>Steve S.
>
>
>>
>> Michael Zonis <michaelzee@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
>> <33D64960.6753@worldnet.att.net>...
>> | Does anyone know what the law states about listening to a scanner while
>> | in your car in the state of Florida?
>> | Thanks,
>> |
>> | Michaelzee
>> |
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>BGCOLOR="#FFFFFF">

I think it is only illegal in to have a scanner in a vehicle in Florida if the scanner is "mounted" in the vehicle. I think to be "mounted" is to have an external antenna or to derive a power supply from the vehicle.

The very happiest scanning,

tony

459. WANTED: Plectron/ Home Alertmon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: buffy1335@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906074101.DAA22506@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187223

Hello,

I am looking for a plectron or motorola home alert monitor......I am not a collector, I am looking for one to use at home as I have always felt they are wonderful and reliable units. Perhaps there is someone out there who has an old neglected Plectron stuffed in a remote corner of their basement or attic that they would be willing to part with......It would find a good home w/me. I am looking for one on either 46 low band or VHF 155 band, (to monitor my FD and Ambulance corp.) but any type would be welcomed. Please e-mail me if you have one or know of where to find one. my e-mail is: buffy1335@aol.com

460. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: alanf@aimnet.com
Message-ID: <5ur38u$j6r@shell1.aimnet.com>
Source record: 187224
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <5umnqd$ni1@sjx-ixn3.ix.netcom.com>,
BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> Remember: YOU CAN ALWAYS vote for your guy or gal.

You have to get them on the ballot first. The ballot access laws are designed to prevent anyone but Republicrats from getting on the ballot. They are under the total control of Republicrat state legislators.

As for write-in votes, Hawaii and Oklahoma no longer allow them. (The U.S. Supreme Court upheld both statutes, in 1992 and 1994, respectively.) This may make civics teachers look like liars when they tell the kiddies how we have open elections, but, hey, it's their *job*.

> remember: Hitler was embraced by the populace who
> brought him to power. They are as guilty
> as he.

...but protected from any sanction by the sacred institution of the secret ballot. If you have qualms about fucking your neighbor over in person, then just vote to have agents of the State, paid and armed out of tax revenue, do it for you. You never have to look your victim in the eye.

> Jesse Helms is embraced by the idiots who
> fall for his BS and bring him to power. They
> are as guilty as he.

Blending into the "electorate" is even easier than blending into a lynch mob.

> How this anachronism out of the McCarthy
> days still gets re-elected will tell you
> about the weaknesses of the voting system.
>
> Jesse Helms is a bully and was Hitler and
> McCarthy whom Jesse embraced with loving
> idolatry.

Democracy is two Nazis and a Jew voting on how to make lampshades.

> Now this idiot who is at work bringing to life
> his vision of what reality is to be in the USA
> should be...why no outcry against what he is
> doing?

The USA already has the world's highest incarceration rate (thanks to the War On Other Peoples' Drugs), taxes so high that the taxes that led to the American Revolution would seem like a blessing, a compulsory Ponzi scam (Socialist Insecurity) about to disintegrate, mountains of regulations, collapsing civil liberties (e.g. forfeiture), an irredeemable paper fiat currency (exactly like the celebrated Deutsche Reichmark of 1923), and on and on.

The essence of the U.S. Constitution is S T R I C T L Y L I M I T E D G O V E R N M E N T. As an institution that operates by violence, its sole legitimate purpose is to protect individuals from fraud and coercion by thugs, con artists, tortfeasors, and attacking armies. But the American public has fallen for the sales pitch of the "Progressives:" You have the right to loot and/or push your neighbor around as much as you like, as long as a majority of voters go along. Everyone's freedom and livelihood thus become subject to the grace of the masses, to be violated at will by demagogues of the Two Party System.

In 1942, the Supreme Court, crawling with Roosevelt lackies, ruled that growing grain and feeding it to animals ALL WITHIN THE SAME FARM is interstate commerce in that grain and therefore subject to unlimited regulation by the FedGov (Wickard vs. Filburn).

If the public and intellectual elite are willing to eat this kind of Orwellian shit, then you may well be out of luck when it comes to scanners.

> Hitler did not get into power illegally.

That is correct. Reference: _Hitler's Justice: The Courts of the Third Reich_ by Ingo Moller (Harvard University Press)

> Jesse Helms did not get into power illegally.
>
> Joe McCarthy the same.
>
> I guess bullies win too in a democratically
> elected body of similar thinking bullies.

It's MUCH worse than that.

People who would never think of kicking in their neighbors' doors and aiming loaded guns at them for growing hemp plants in their closets, or hiring mafia goons to do it, will vote for narconazi demagogues who will pass laws which instruct police chiefs to order their chains of commands to do it anyway. Being concrete-bound mentalities, they can evade the fact that by marking their ballots they are, in fact, literally, hiring goons to initiate violence against their neighbors. The State provides ready-made rationalizations: Slogans ("send our young people the message" etc), flags, badges. The officeholders and police agents have an excuse for their physical bullying ("the will of the voters").

The moral premise of democracy is that wrong becomes right if the
majority approve.

And so we have people who would never think of bullying their
neighbors--people whom you would never think of as bullies--maybe even
people like YOU--do precisely that via the State.

> Where is the PRESS in regards the new anti-scanner
> legislation?
>
> I guess they need to make a profit on a subject
> before they investigate. Advertising revenue is the
> bellwhether of our democracy today.

The press is too busy whining about everybody else's profits and
calling for more taxes, welfare, and regulations.

If you want forthright, uncompromising advocates of individual liberty,
try the Libertarian Party. http://www.lp.org

--
====alanf@aimnet.com * LPC * LPUSA * ISIL * IOS * KoX * Netscab Squealer====
LEGALIZE FREEDOM >>>> http://www.lp.org * UBI LIBERTAS IBI PATRIA
"I see that the White House is like a subway--you have to put in coins to
open the gates." Johnny Chung, quoted in Washington Post, 7/27/97, p. A16

461. Re: PRO-39 Mod to receive mor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Lawrence <jiml@sover.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.95.970906065631.14021C-100000@granite.sover.net>
Source record: 187225

On 5 Sep 1997, Fire Chief wrote:

>
> 54MHz is the start of channel 2 (54 - 60). You want
> to listen to the audio from TV on your scanner?
>

No, it's the local A-10s and other military assets I'm after. One group of A-10 jockeys up here routinely work 64.10 for their air-to-air comms; another group has been heard working 75.25. Both narrow FM mode, fox mike as it's frequently called. The US Army pops up in this range too. And all this in spite of the fact that we have a local station working TV channel 3 with its audio on...what?....65.75 if memory serves me right.

Anyway, back to the original question...is there a mod that'll open up the 54-88 MHz range on this unit without trashing the 30-54 range? Or is it strictly a case of either one or the other with this radio?

Thanks!

Jim
Remove .no.spam effort to e-mail

462. Image Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlarrim851@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906122800.IAA21304@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187226

I have a new 2039 and I am quite happy with it. My question is if it is possible for the 2039 to pickup as many cell freq. as I could possibly want (I have 40 ch. programmed in between 853-868Mhz.) If you subtracted the 20Mhz from each one I am sure you would have a cell freq. These come in like I was standing next to whoever (not that I listen Mind you!). On some of them I get both sides that clear, (once again not that I do this intentionally). Is it possible to get that many clear, consistant channels as images. or is it possible the radio was serviced before I got it, It was the show room model.
Any insight into this question
would be great!
Thanks, Joe

463. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5urk81$lji@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <EG1zp1.M9E@nonexistent.com> dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti)
writes:
>
>
>
>Again I submit...what does this have to do with scanning?
>
>dconti@carroll.com
>America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
>Ridgefield, New Jersey
>fax (201) 943-2379
>
YOu have to be in the business to GET IT.

also, it's a change of pace. Work with it.

Allow a new idea to flip your bic

Evidently you DID NOT get it.

why tell everyone that you did not? some family
traints inherited best left in family closet.

bill conduit
etc.

464. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906130400.JAA22921@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187228

>Subject: BC235 Mod.
>From: "SD" <dout-sco@flash.net>
>Date: 5 Sep 1997 22:48:25 GMT
>Message-id: <01bcba4e$5dc15ce0$c66d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
>
>Does anyone have or know of the cell mod for the new 235's?

Yes, it's really simple. Open up the scanner and look in the upper right hand corner of the circuit board. You'll see two small diodes. Remove both of those and voila, youre all set.

465. Re: Javiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906130901.JAA00110@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187229

>Subject: Re: Javiation
>From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
>Date: Fri, 05 Sep 1997 22:24:31 -0500
>Message-id: <3410CCEF.1445D69D@mail.com>

>> Anybody have experience dealing with the UK firm Javiation?

>Anyone
>else have any comments, success/seizure stories to tell?

Javiation is a reputable company and they are a pleasure to work with. However, in recent months, they have not kept up with the rivals who are selling scanners for a lot less. Javiation sells the AOR8000 for $600-plus, while Nevada, Multicomm2000 and Bandercom (among others are selling it for around $410). Same is true for the Yupi MVT9/7100 scanners.

Your chances of having a scanner seized or having to pay customs is lessened if you have it shipped by airmail instead of UPS or FedEx.

466. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906131101.JAA23325@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187230

>>Subject: Re: BC235 Mod.
>From: scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip)
>Date: 6 Sep 1997 13:04:31 GMT
>Message-id: <19970906130400.JAA22921@ladder01.news.aol.com>
>
>>Subject: BC235 Mod.
>>From: "SD" <dout-sco@flash.net>
>>Date: 5 Sep 1997 22:48:25 GMT
>>Message-id: <01bcba4e$5dc15ce0$c66d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
>>
>>Does anyone have or know of the cell mod for the new 235's?
>
>Yes, it's really simple. Open up the scanner and look in the upper right
>hand corner of the circuit board. You'll see two small diodes. Remove both
>of those and voila, youre all set.

I believe you are mistaken.
Or perhaps you intend for this fellow to ruin his radio?

The CB Guy

467. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906131200.JAA00237@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187231

>Subject: Funny Newsroom Phone
>From: fire.chief@warpgate.com (Fire Chief)
>Date: 05 Sep 97 20:38:48
>Message-id: <44e_9709052300@warpgate.com>

>From: dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti)
>
>
> DMC> What does this have to do with the scanning hobby?

Conti hasn't got anything else to offer so he's decided to make an issue of this. Regardless of the fact that many people have posted threads here about humorous stuff they have overheard in one place or another, he's decided to be the Mr. Net Cop and tell everyone what they can or cannot say. Nevermind that he has nothing to say about scanning!

468. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906132100.JAA23865@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187232

In article <19970906131200.JAA00237@ladder02.news.aol.com>,
scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) writes:

>Conti hasn't got anything else to offer so he's decided to make an issue
>of this. Regardless of the fact that many people have posted threads here
>about humorous stuff they have overheard in one place or another, he's
>decided to be the Mr. Net Cop and tell everyone what they can or cannot
>say. Nevermind that he has nothing to say about scanning!
>
>

At least he's not telling people erronious information that will cause
them to ruin their scanners.

The CB Guy

469. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark E. Levy" <LEVY.M@ACM.ORG>
Message-ID: <5urnga$rqf@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Daniel M. Conti wrote:

> >What's so hard to understand? Maybe he works for the newspaper or
> >station, and he hears the people answering the phones.
>
> Oh he does? Is that what an "Assignment Editor" does? Interesting...

I don't know what an "Assignment Editor" does, but as someone who used to work in a large office, it's pretty easy to hear co-workers on the phone without any effort.

--

Mark Levy, Computer Consultant
OpenVMS, MS-Windows, MAC/OS, Networks
System Management Associates, Inc.
LEVY.M@ACM.ORG

470. Radio Related Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Simon Collings <simon.collings@cableinet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <01bcbacc$4617f080$119075c2@sapphire>
Source record: 187234
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Come visit http://wkweb4.cableinet.co.uk/simon.collings for information,
commercial software and much more for the radio enthusiast.

--
Simon Collings, G4SGI
Radio Communications Consultant
http://wkweb4.cableinet.co.uk/simon.collings
```

471. Control software for AOR AR5000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: VANCRAYENEST Benjamin <bvancra@hexanet.fr>
Message-ID: <01bcbaba$00c93140$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 187235

I'm looking for a computer control software for my new AOR AR5000. Any help would be appreciated!

Veuillez noter ma nouvelle adresse/Please note my new address:
bvancra@hexanet.fr

472. Re: AOR Trunk Tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dataranger@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906162400.MAA13247@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187236

>Let me get this straight, you need (2) aor 8000's to do the trunk track
>deal w/ this software?? Can this softeware talk to the os456??? If one
>must use the ar8000's, what is the cheapest way out on the interfaces, and

actuall, I use a pro-25 as the data scanner, and the aor8000
to be controlled for the voice traffic. anything with a discriminator
tap (or I hear you can un-equalize some scanners with an
equalizer..>) will do for the data side, and beta tests are
starting soon for this software to drive other scanners.

the current list to be tested includes:
AR3000, AR3000A, AR2700, Kenwood (4.8 and 9.6).

the only thing keeping this capability from being expanded is
lack of knowledge of how to drive a particular interface. you can
volunteer by sending mail to the trunker address at that page...

nrf
DataRanger
<dataranger@aol.com>

473. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <3411834C.B73@tir.com>
Source record: 187237

ScanSkip wrote:
>
> >Subject: BC235 Mod.
> >From: "SD" <dout-sco@flash.net>
> >Date: 5 Sep 1997 22:48:25 GMT
> >Message-id: <01bcba4e$5dc15ce0$c66d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
> >
> >Does anyone have or know of the cell mod for the new 235's?
>
> Yes, it's really simple. Open up the scanner and look in the upper right
> hand corner of the circuit board. You'll see two small diodes. Remove both
> of those and voila, youre all set.

And what's that supposed to do?? Probably render the thing useless
huh??

474. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Domonkos <domonkos@erols.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbafc$904e3260$da97accf@domonkos>
Source record: 187238
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat, it's the only way to get rid of the strangle-hold on our Constitution. The longer the 2 parties stay in power, the more they will pick away at our rights. It's becoming barely recognizable as it is now anyway..

Like I said, scanner rights will be the least of our worries. Be prepared to be ridiculed. Patriot is now a 4-letter word thanks to the media.

Andy

> The press is too busy whining about everybody else's profits and
> calling for more taxes, welfare, and regulations.
>
> If you want forthright, uncompromising advocates of individual liberty,
> try the Libertarian Party. http://www.lp.org
>

475. Re: F.S. Pro-46 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <34114F52.3D75@screwed.net>
Source record: 187239

If you have the 5a4 datecode pro46, you migh be able to get
869-896 band back after some brain surgery on your scanner.

Your scanner will continue to be brain damaged though as it will
not cover 823-851 band!

These people have lobotomized your scanners , and, maybe
you might be next. They migh lobotomize you, sterilize you
etc etc , so that you are just left as a DRONE or like
a worker bee in a bee colony, who exists for their sole
benefit.

Man, this country is going to be worse than russia very-very soon!

Scanner F.S. wrote:
>
> Selling a Pro-46 hand held scanner,100 channels,29-956 Mhz.
> I believe it can be cell modified.
> In excellent condition
> $175 US OBO
> Please e-mail me if interested
> Thanks

--

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
---

476. split frenquency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Parisee <intel@bbsi.net>
Message-ID: <341192a4.0@tuvok.bbsi.net>
Source record: 187240

Hello

I have PRO-49, and i don't go up to 512mhz, for listening cellular, i need a frenquency splitter, if you have schma of them, please, send me it

thanks

dave
mrbean@myself.com

477. BRAIN SURGERY FOR SCANNERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <34115714.4F97@screwed.net>
Source record: 187241

hello folks,

How about some new brains that can be transplanted into your
brain-dead and brain-damaged scanners!?!

Just get some ATMEL AT89C52 chips and connect tham to your scanner
where the scanners old brain was connected.

Then connect an 16*2 character LCD in place of your old LCD.

Use the old keyboard.

Also, connect an RS232 port to the UART on your new brain.

Then, the hard part. Write the software to control this new brain.
You should be able to all the things that you usually do with
a regular $1000 or $500 scanner.

Plus, if you were to make an interface to the discriminator output,
you would be able to implement all those new digital modes like
POCSAG, Trunk Tracking, Cell tracking(HOLY COW!), DTMF , etc etc
all in your scanner.

THE IDEA IS THAT THE BRAIN OF YOUR SCANNER MIGHT BE DAMAGED , BUT,
THE BODY OF YOUR SCANNER IS STILL WILLING !

BASICALLY A VERY NICE PROJECT FOR SOME OF YOU.

I guess that a simpler PIC-II or just the parallel port from your
computer might be able to give you a super-duper scanner
that is currently hiding inside your scanner .

Well it might just KICK all those HOLY COW types making all those
silly laws about scanner use! They should be made to realize that
if anyone puts their shit (dirty laundry) on the air-waves, then it is
public property!!!

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
---

478. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906175500.NAA21474@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187242

>Subject: Re: Funny Newsroom Phone
>From: thecbguy@aol.com (The CB Guy)
>Date: 6 Sep 1997 13:21:26 GMT
>Message-id: <19970906132100.JAA23865@ladder01.news.aol.com>

>At least he's not telling people erronious information that will cause
>them to ruin their scanners.
>
>

Yeah, and it's a good thing you don't teach spelling. And oh yeah, don't
crack that funny bone.

479. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906175700.NAA21679@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187243

>Subject: Re: BC235 Mod.
>From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
>Date: Sat, 06 Sep 1997 12:22:36 -0400
>Message-id: <3411834C.B73@tir.com>

> And what's that supposed to do?? Probably render the thing useless
>huh??
>
>

Yeah, that's the idea. It gives the scanner the same ability that you have
to think.

480. ESN programmer forsale (Cheap Must sell!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Molson.edu@juno.com
Message-ID: <873569270.26310@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187244
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular,alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner

I have the following forsale...

Parallel port ESN reprogrammer for PC/MAC
fits most phones NEC,Nokia,
Audiovox... ect

Software included

Originally Paid $1,200

Will sacrifice $225.00 no offer refused...

Email Molson.edu@juno.com if interested

Not to be used for illegal purposes...

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

481. Re: What is a good scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard <richardb@alphalink.com.au>
Message-ID: <34114DB9.38D@alphalink.com.au>
Source record: 187245

CB wrote:
>
> I am in the market for a good scanner and was wondering if anybody here can
> offer some advise. I have used Radio Shack scanners for a while with no
> complaints, but would like to purchase a good scanner that is pretty
> versatile, like perhaps computer interfacing maybe.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
> Thanks in advance!
Do yourself a favour and get yourself an AOR AR8000.

Its got to the point of being an industry standard.

All you need and then much more!

482. Re: Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <34115C99.1111@screwed.net>
Source record: 187246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sir JOHN MORAN,

The FBI is after you!

Maybe all those HOLY-COW types might
also come running with a toilet plunger for your ass!

Didnt you see the date-line on NBC that covered the
way the stae of Lousiana implements anti-drug laws
to target 50 year old innocent GRANDMA coming back
from visiting their grandchildren in the state of
florida . NBC said that the JUDGE who is going to decide
you case gets 20% of whatever the police seizes. He gets nothing
otherwise. So, which way do you think that that JUDGE will decide
SIR?

The bastards took her used Lincoln towncar away!!!
There were no trace of drugs or other things in that car.
Then she had to fight those jerks to get her car back.
That cost her $8000! The cost of her used lincoln towncar!

Now, that women is an all-american white GRANDMA.

If the law enforcement can screw her, they might just love to
use that toilet plunger on you, (and ask you to provide the JELLY
or MOTOR OIL)!

NOW, I am just another damn fucking (legal) immigrant in
your country. I guess that in another few years, all of
us might have those toilet plungers forced up our asses anyway!!!

GOOLUCK SIR JOHN MORAN, in your own country with your own people!!!

(DO YOU HAVE the MOTOR OIL or JELLY READY ?)

John Moran wrote:
>
> WE HAVE THE BEST GOVERNMENT MONEY CAN BUY we also HAVE THE BEST JUSTICE
> MONEY CAN BUY.
>
> If you can wind and dine people who make laws, pay them a million dollars
> and get instant access...then you can give them an ear ful...
>
> that's exactly how many of our stupid laws get passed.
>
> as for cellular frequencies. I monitor them all the time. Search between

> 869-896 and 820-850mhz. I've been in airports, on busses, at sporting
> events, and inrestaurants when I saw people use their cellular phones. If
> I ws bored, I put the scanner in the search mode and usually found their
> conversation. I usually don't tell them I heard the conversation. However,
> I do let my friends know what they say on cordless phones, cellular
> phones, or radio phone patches IS NOT PRIVATE. I heard an interesting
> phone patch from a local police department. The chief had called his wife,
> and also had called one of the Seargents.
>
> Bill Funk (skypilot@starlink.com) wrote:
> :]In article <01bcb99b$53e95000$764445d1@mcsppro200>, billc@rust.net
> :]says...
> :]> Chris:
> :]>
> :]> It is perfectly legal for an individual, (not a dealer), to import a
> :]> scanner with full coverage, including the Cellular band, into the U.S.
> :]>
> :]> Bill Crocker
> :]>
> :]> Chris Figueroa <sifig@li.net> wrote in article <340DF391.1F17@li.net>...
> :]> > I'm about to but the Yupiteru MVT7100 from a Canadain dealership. What
> :]> > are the chances of it being confiscated by US Customs or any other
> :]> > goverment agency on it's way to the US (I live in New York). Am I just
> :]> > being paranoid or is it a valid concern b/c of this scanners cellular
> :]> > capability. Any help by post or e-mail will be greatly appreciated.
> :]> > Thank You
> :]> >
> :]>
> :]Wrong.
> :]So far, Customs has not made it one of their priorities to address this,
> :]but the law is quite clear; importation of such scanners is illegal, and
> :]no provision is made to differentiate between private individuals and
> :]businesses.
> :]After the fiasco with the cell conversation interception in Florida
> :]involving the Newt, the FCC has been told to crack down on the provisions
> :]of the ban; where the law makes no mention of modifying scanners to
> :]receive cell frequencies as illegal, the FCC now considers this to be
> :]"manufacturing" scanners, and thus illegal. The ban on importation would
> :]require no such leap in interpretation, merely a harsher application.
> :]
> :]Bill
>
> --
>
> ---
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
> vi..my favorite text editor (:
> >Don't send junk/spam mail, I get that stuff in duplicate. When I see<
> >two or more messages from the same, They go straight to bit bucket! <
> if responding to a usenet post send e-mail also so I will check the group

> ---

--

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
----

483. Re: Florida Law (in newyork)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <3411642F.3803@screwed.net>
Source record: 187247

In new york city, if you are caught with a scanner
(and if you are a colored person), then they stick
the scanner up your ass like they did with that
toilet plunger!

very very very very very happy scanning!!!!!!!

ATocco8586 wrote:
>
> >w
> >From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
> >Date: Sat, 09 Aug 1997 10:18:49 -0400
> >Message-id: <33EC7C49.AED@hotmail.com>
> >
> >J Sumner wrote:
> >>
> >> It is considered an obstruction of justice, and if caught with a scanner
> >> inside your vehicle, the scanner will be confiscated, and the vehicle will
> >> be impounded. Obstruction of justice in the state of Florida is a felony I
> >> believe.
> >> Happy Scanning!
> >
> >Unless, of course, you are a licensed ham, a member of the press, or
> >certified by any Florida County Sheriff as a neighborhood watch
> >participant.
> >
> >Very Happy Scanning!
> >
> >Steve S.
> >
> >
> >>
> >> Michael Zonis <michaelzee@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
> >> <33D64960.6753@worldnet.att.net>...
> >> | Does anyone know what the law states about listening to a scanner while
> >> | in your car in the state of Florida?
> >> | Thanks,
> >> |
> >> | Michaelzee
> >> |
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >BGCOLOR="#FFFFFF">
>
> I think it is only illegal in to have a scanner in a vehicle in Florida if
> the scanner is "mounted" in the vehicle. I think to be "mounted" is to have
> an external antenna or to derive a power supply from the vehicle.
>
> The very happiest scanning,
>
> tony

--

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
---

484. Martin Lynch and Son

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Figueroa <sifig@li.net>
Message-ID: <34119E9E.178@li.net>
Source record: 187248
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Has anyone bought scanners from Marin Lynch and Son
http://www.martin-lynch.co.uk/form1.htm
from the United States. Did it come through? What is their reputation.
Thank You for all your replies.

485. Re: PerCon, Grove or???? Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: devans4465@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970906183000.OAA24700@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187249

I have had the Percon Database and liked it but, a new one out is Called ScannerBase and is out by CSP Tecnologies. You can search multiple states at the same time. For example I select the states going through and then seach all the states at the same time for the type of Radio Service that I am going to be scanning. They do have a web site but I cannot think of it right now. I will post it when I do find it.

486. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barney <kl7hnyX@Xqsl.net>
Message-ID: <341192E9.3D2E@Xqsl.net>
Source record: 187250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Cliff Pearson wrote:
>
> Recently we've been hearing about funny scanner chatter. I thought
> those of you who listen to scanners might like to hear some of the
> kinds of phone conversations I hear one side of in the newsroom.
[snip]
> Anyone want to hear more?
>
> Cliff Pearson

Cliff -- keem 'em comin'. After 19 years as a paramedic/firefighter, then 9 years as a 911/fire/police/EMS dispatcher, it'll be great to hear that other people get weidros calling them, too :) I mean, how many folks out there have ever been sent to an "ill woman, unknown problem" only to find out upon arrival that her chief complaint was that, after having a BM, some of them were floaters and some were sinkers, and wanted you to see them? Sheesh... It *was* fun coming up with a way to tell Dispatch what the result of the call was on the radio...
--Barney--

487. Any recommended Aero books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Greenli <tgreenli@nospam.vr-net.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbafd$3e8929c0$48273ace@home-133>
Source record: 187251
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just finished reading "Monitoring Private & Commercial Aviation" in Monitoring Times July 97 edition. I am interested in getting more information on this subject. In the reference section the author mentions a few books. Has anyone used the following? Please comment on content, accuracy, value or if it's a "MUST HAVE".

Aeronautical Frequency Directory by Robert Coburn (Available at Rat Shack)
Air Scan by Tom Kneitel
Air Waves by Laura Quarantiello
The Worldwide Aeronautical Communications Frequency Directory by Bob Evans

Or others...

Thanks in advance.
Tom Greenli
Oregon

488. Re: SCANing with PC for IDIOTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907200000.QAA02499@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187002

>Subject: SCANing with PC for IDIOTS
>From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
>Date: Sun, 07 Sep 1997 07:38:18 -0400
>Message-id: <34129114.145B@screwed.net>

[stuff deleted about substituting your brain for a scanner or something like that]

Now here's a novel idea: Go back to school, get an education, learn to write and then come back here and tell us what you really mean.

489. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws: Resistance is Futile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cogent.net>
Message-ID: <34130811.598C@cogent.net>
Source record: 187003

> If you had a cell phone and your business partner, on the other end,
> had some important and private information he needed to get to you
> quickly for a quick reply, wouldn't you appreciate knowing that that
> channel was private? (I know that isn't very good English but, at the
> moment, I can't seem to word it any better)
>
> Larry ve3fxq

If you want some degree of privacy, then use cellular phones that
provide digital transmission. Laws against monitoring cellular DO NOT
stop people from monitoring. I use an analog Startac phone and wlll
not discuss anything confidential. and most of all, will not
give out any credit card numbers.

Jim

490. Re: 235XLT @ $250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907200601.QAA02956@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187004

>Subject: Re: 235XLT @ $250.00
>From: jtward01@aol.com (JTWard01)
>Date: 7 Sep 1997 16:39:00 GMT
>Message-id: <19970907163901.MAA17476@ladder01.news.aol.com>

>If my information is incorrect, I apologize, however, several people have
>told me that Sante Fe sells only to established accounts or to dealers.
>Perhaps they have an overstock of 235XLTs as well and are willing to sell
>to anyone now.

I saw the same info posted here but when I called them to see about
getting a BC235, they did not say anything about having to be a dealer.
They did not have the scanner in stock. The person I spoke to was unable to
say when they would have them in stock.

Grove is selling them for $260. Not the best price, but at least they have
them.
Michael Alexander

491. IL/IN HAMFESTS sept-nov

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe <jc123@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3412FC2D.52E1@ibm.net>
Source record: 187005

Picked these from flyers. Sellers bring some base scanners please! The hamfest I came from today didn't have a single base scanner The handhelds they had were mostly doodoo also.

RADIO EXPO 97
Sept 27th & 28th 8AM -4PM: Lake County fairgrounds (rts. 45&120) Grayslake,IL
for more info call 708-457-0966 (chicago fm club)
Radios computers electronics + ladies program- arts & crafts.

OCT5,1997 (Chicago Amatuer Radio)8AM - 3PM Club in Oakbrook terrace,IL entrance at park view dr. north from cermak rd (22nd st.). One block west of rt. 83 rain or shine. for more info call George (773) 545-3622 or Cora (773) 486-6823.

Nov 15 & 16 (Sat & Sun), Fort Wayne, IN
- Fort Wayne Ham- fest. Indiana ARRL State Convention. Allen County War Memorial Coliseum Expo Center. Sponsored
by the Allen County AR Technical Society. Admission $5. Parking $2.
www.pipeline.com/~dagagnon/. Info: (219)484-1314.

HAM AUCTION NOV 16,1997 12NOON TILL ??? Held at the devry institute of technology 3300n.campbell ave. chicago,il 60618 for more info call Dean 708-331-7764 am/pm or George 773-545-3622 after 3pm

492. Re: ILLEGAL RECEPTION and BIG BROTHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim233@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907193500.PAA00670@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187006

AMEN-That is the problem bitch, bitch, bitch but continue to live in your little shells and debate the issues never confronting them..

493. BC895 Computer Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907201101.QAA10119@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187007

Anyone have a chance to look over this scanner's computer control capabilities? I'm curious about the "Scanner Master" software that is said to be soon available for the scanner. Who is the software developer--same folks who publish Scanner Master guides?. What does the software actually do? Control the scanner; manage freqs; etc. etc. ? Can you load the scanner with freqs from a database?
Michael Alexander

494. Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Nelson <scottnel@iw.net>
Message-ID: <34130BE9.7D92@iw.net>
Source record: 187008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Just got website updated and invite all to stop by and check it out.

Scott
--
http://scream.iw.net/~scottnel

495. Re: BC895XLT initial report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospamwbowery@bright.net
Message-ID: <5uv2h8$bn0@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 187009

In article <19970907015201.VAA05594@ladder02.news.aol.com>, scannerunl@aol.com (ScannerUnl) wrote:
>We received our first shipment of BC895XLT's yesterday, Friday,
>and I wanted to post my initial impressions of it.
>
>It is extremely sensitive, a noticeable improvement from the original BC890XLT
>SNIP

The newest Uniden Trunk Tracker sounds great, but does anyone know why they decided to exclude the military air band from this radio? Early reports were that the 895 would cover all of the bands that the 890 did, and would add trunk tracking, a serial port and CTCSS as additional features. The 895 sounds like a great radio for the $, but military air would have been nice.

Warren Bowery

Remove the nospam to reply

496. Re: HELP!! AOR AR1000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dalton <dalton@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbbcb$f2a3af60$0a74a3ce@luxor>
Source record: 187010

There is a series of commands like:

SCAN+BANK+PROG+first bank+LIMIT+last bank+ENT
Then pressing scan will scan all the banks in that range.

This will solve your immediate problem; send me private email with your
mailing address (US MAIL) and I will mail you a small cheat sheet I found
on the web.
dalton@teleport.com

spitnspot@earthlink.net wrote in article
<3412163A.8FD91225@earthlink.net>...
> I recently purchased a used AR1000xlt and have been getting nowhere
> trying to clear the channels. The previous owner programmed over 800
> channels that I can tell, and most of them are not useful in my area.
> Whenever I have the thing on scan it goes through all of the programmed
> channels (a lot of them are redundant) and I don't know how to limit the
> scan, either. Does anyone know how I could wipe them all out? I would
> like to start from fresh???
>
> Please, please, please I'm begging any and all of you!
>
> Thanks!
>
>
>

497. Re: RS Patrolman Pro 88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <5uv1rv$8m7@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 187011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <341268E5.593E@inreach.com>,
Ed & Sandy <campbell@inreach.com> wrote:

> I recently was given a RS Realistic Patrolman Pro 88 dual band.
> It works great, but I can't seem to find any dates on it or
> in it. Does anyone know when these were made?

My Radio Shack PRO-88 is stamped "3A3" on the rear, signifying
March 1973. The PRO-88 and PRO-9 are covered by an innovative
priority patent and are among the only models with a priority channel
selector switch on the front panel.

From my article "Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s,"
in the Scanner Equipment column of Monitoring Times magazine,
June 1997:

June 1972, Priority-Frequency System for
a Signal-Seeking Receiver

"...Kazuyoshi Imazeki, of Tokyo, devised two
scanner innovations and applied for two patents
simultaneously. First, he invented a priority scan
circuit for crystal controlled scanners. His design
uses an astable switching circuit (an oscillator) to
periodically override the scanning circuit to tune
the receiver to a priority channel. The priority
channel in Imazeki's scanner was selected by a
rotary switch.

Imazeki's work resulted in patent 3801914, assigned
to GRE (General Research of Electronics) in 1974.
GRE made several scanners for Radio Shack and the
Patrolman PRO-88 model illustrates the priority
features in this patent. The PRO-88 is one of the
few models with a priority channel selector switch
on the front panel..."

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

498. Re: Realistic PRO-2021 manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@localnet.com
Message-ID: <5uudllish1@e3000.supernews.com>
Source record: 187012

Hi,
On this Pro-2021 manual:
What I did was call the national parts line 1-800 the shack and took
the option for parts. They claim the manual is in stock and did charge
my card (I got the charge slip & invoicce in the mail yesterday). They
state the manual should arrive early this week. I'll let you know if
it does (and if it is the correct one to perhaps save someone else
some time)
Regards,
Ray Miller N2NJK radioman@localnet.com
Gregg <helroy@pacbell.net> wrote:

>Hello all;
>I need an owner's manual for a Realistic PRO-2021 200 channel UHF-VHF
>scanner. Radio Shack tells me that they can't replace it. If anyone out
>there is willing to copy it for me, please email me.
>Thanks
>Gregg

499. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws: Resistance is Futile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph <joesugar@erols.com>
Message-ID: <341314A1.1FCA@erols.com>
Source record: 187013

larry wrote:
>
> I understand your frustration but don't you think that those who are
> using radios, except cb and amateur and am fm and tv broadcasting,
> deserve some degree of privacy?
>

Yes I do. The question is, who is responsible for providing that privacy? For example, if you contract with me to deliver messages, isn't it my responsibility to make sure these messages remain private? If you presume these messages will remain private and their contents become known, is it my fault (the delivery service), or the fault of the person I allowed to have access to your message?

Consider the postal service. I can choose to send messages in the clear (postcard) or encryped (envelope). If the contents of messages sent in the clear becomes known, I have no one but myself to blame. If the contents of messages I encrypt become known (assuming someone didn't explicitly break the encryption), it is the postal services fault. If the postal service allows me to believe that messages I send are protected and then uses a technology that allows anybody to read them, it is again the fault of the postal service. In no case is it the fault of the person who was allowed to have access to the message.

If you ask me, the someone is missing a great money making opportunity. How may people would pay extra just to be sure their communications are private?

Joseph

500. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws: Resistance is Futile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5uv480$pt8$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 187014

In article <34130811.598C@cogent.net>, jwayda@cogent.net wrote:
>> If you had a cell phone and your business partner, on the other end,
>> had some important and private information he needed to get to you
>> quickly for a quick reply, wouldn't you appreciate knowing that that
>> channel was private? (I know that isn't very good English but, at the
>> moment, I can't seem to word it any better)
>>
>> Larry ve3fxq
>
>If you want some degree of privacy, then use cellular phones that
>provide digital transmission. Laws against monitoring cellular DO NOT
>stop people from monitoring. I use an analog Startac phone and wlll
>not discuss anything confidential. and most of all, will not
>give out any credit card numbers.

Please, let's not confuse DIGITAL with ENCRYPTED. There should be no laws prohibiting DIGITAL communication or reception, either. What do you think packet and other DIGITAL ham modes are, or the way your computer talks to the Internet?

Persons wishing privacy in their radio communications should ENCRYPT their transmissions, whether their signal is modulated by analog or digital techniques.

73 de Dave, NF2G

501. FAQ : New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <34131813.3A4D@ibm.net>
Source record: 187015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
*Picking A Scanner/Receiver
*Scanner Glossary
Scanner Models FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargable battery tips
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Newly added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM

Happy reading!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

502. Re: Help - what scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <34131A19.591E@ibm.net>
Source record: 187016

Bill wrote:
> What is the best deal on a new scanner under $400?

Bill,
Your question is kind of like asking "What is
the best car under $20,000?". Ask 20 people and
you'll probably get 20 different responses.
I suggest trying out my latest web page titled
Picking A Scanner/Receiver. Point your web browser
to http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/PICKRECV.HTM.
There you'll find a series of topics which
help you to decide what type of scanner you want
as well as its frequency coverage, performance
and features.
Good luck and let me know if I can answer any
specific questions!

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

503. questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: javi43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907215600.RAA18298@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187017

1. You should definitely go with RG8. Its the best because it has no loss!!!!
2. Who ever told you that is bullshitting you!!!

504. Satellite Dish & a PRO-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: greere@mail5.evangel.edu
Message-ID: <873680280.364@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187018

Greetings all, I did some searching in the history posts of this newsgroup and couldn't really find an exact answer, so here goes:

We have a 7 or 8 foot dish in our yard left from the last tennants. We have no intentions of using it with the TV. What I am wondering was is if I could get any decent or improved reception on my RS PRO-51 with this thing? I only plan on scanning the PRO-51's normal range, not satellite freqs. If this could work, hopefully all I would need is a coax-BNC converter.

Issues I can think of:
Scanner will not receive the dish's freq range?
Scanner will only receive signals from where the dish is pointed? (maybe point it straight up?)
Scanner will fry from signal-strength overload.

I don't have $200 lying around to replace a fried scanner, so please word your replies so that a novice like myself will understand.

I truely appreciate any help or opinions offered.

- Erle
greere@mail5.evangel.edu

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

505. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws and crypto laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@pop.erols.com>
Message-ID: <341324B0.598F@pop.erols.com>
Source record: 187019

Mike Cornwall wrote:
>
> In article <3412bbfb.2467529@news.sprynet.com>, lar01@sprynet.com (larry) wrote:

> >quickly for a quick reply, wouldn't you appreciate knowing that that
> >channel was private? (I know that isn't very good English but, at the
> >moment, I can't seem to word it any better)
> >
> >Larry
> >
> That's what ENCRYPTION is for!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

But here in the US, the US Congress with support from the executive
branch
is considering outlawing all crypto unless the US gov. has the keys.
Besides the obvious attempt to delete the Bill of Rights from cyberspace
(hopefully the Supreme Court realizes this), it will make crypto less
desirable to many - so they see privacy as resting in laws protecting
their communications otherwise.

(Until now, I have been a monetary (though small) contributor to the
Democratic Party. That has stopped. I have hoped Gore would speak out
in opposition to this admistration as I think he has a better
understanding
of such issues. It would be a real chance to put himself forward as
a free thinker with believe in principle that founded this country
That was apparently wishful thinking. I will vote for any candidate who
supports the spirit of the US Consitution. But this is really off
subject
except to point out that the privacy issue is complicated and one
needs to pay attention to the crypto issue.)

(posted to rec.radio.scanner)
--
David L. Wilson dlwilson@erols.com (Do not forget my middle initial.)
dwilson@paprika.mwc.edu, dwilson@nvl.army.mil, dwilson@eoir.com

506. FS Pro 2026 OR 2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Stover <seeker@az.com>
Message-ID: <34132E86.1B66@az.com>
Source record: 187020

I have a 3 yr old 2026 w/ simple cell mod which I've been using for all three years mobile. It's in great working condition, just dusty from the road. I have a box and manual for it and would sell it for $100 including shipping.....

OR

I have a NEW (taken in trade), and I mean brand new, pro 2046. I took it out of the box to test it, but otherwise, there weren't even any freqs in it! This unit comes with a mobile bracket and 12vdc cord, and is on sale at RS right now for 169.95. I'll sell mine for $150, and I'll include an AC adapter and back of set antenna AND UPS/G shipping. No tax either, so you'll save 19.95 (price difference) + 9.95 (antenna) + 9.95 (AC adapter) and whatever your tax would be, say 6% or $14, for a total of approximately $55 or so. Let me know here or call me at:

360 588 1842 eve & wkend
360 671 4906 MF 10-5 PST

PS I'll sell one, keep the other!

Thanks
John

507. New RS Dicone assembly question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <3412EEAF.36F1@videotron.ca>
Source record: 187021

To any that have put together the new RS Discone (20-043):

On the top of the apex assembly where the 50 mhz whip screws on, there is a nut screwed on tight against the round plate holding the small radials. It is unclear in the instructions if that nut must be removed before screwing on the whip.

Some of the illustrations of the antenna are misleading because some show the nut, and some without it?

Any help would be appreciated.

Vince C.

508. Re: Discone Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelley@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <341329de.3383308@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 14:17:28 GMT, mead@dg-rtp.dg.com (Glenn Mead)
wrote:

>Tom,
>
>I don't know anything about the new RS discone, but I can tell you that I am
>VERY happy with the diamond. I use it as a multi purpose antenna. It is
>primarily used for scanning, but has also been used on 2m, 70cm, 6m and 11m.
>It is very well built, mine is at the top of a 90' Carolina Tower (that's a
>pine tree for you northerners :), and it made it through Hurricane Fran.
>
>Glenn - ke4zea
>
>ps - One of the differences between the diamond and the last RS disconne I
>saw is that the RS does not have the top verticle, which adds to the low band
>performance.
>
>
The New Discone from RS DOES have a top vertical element. It is also
more ruggedly built.

It's probably the same antenna as the diamond, although not having
owned a diamond I couldn't tell you for sure.

I have replaced both of my old RS discones with two new ones and I am
very happy with the performance.

gk

It is

509. Florida freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <sleepyd@cybertron.com>
Message-ID: <5uvb7j$975$1@ns2.cybertron.com>
Source record: 187023

Does anyone have a list of freqs for Fort Walton Beach, Mary Esther
And South West Santa Rosa county

Please

Thankyou

Scott
sleepyd@cybertron.con

510. FS: Pro-33 $45

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@mail.telis.org>
Message-ID: <341334D9.292E@mail.telis.org>
Source record: 187024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

```
For Sale,
Great starter scanner or 2nd one for vhf-uhf communication. 20ch handheld
in excellent condition. $45.00
Ron M
```

511. FS: Bearcat IV Electra W/Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@mail.telis.org>
Message-ID: <34133724.6224@mail.telis.org>
Source record: 187025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
For Sale,
Excellent condition Bearcat IV 8 ch crystal scanner with several
crystals, manual. Serious inquires only.
Ron M
```

512. Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <545_9709071400@warpgate.com>
Source record: 187026

From: devans4465@aol.com (DEvans4465)

De> Oh, and by the way:
De> dconti@carroll.com

De> I am so impressed with your wisdom, if I were to comment
De> you are one of these Amateur Radio operators

You forgot "ubiquitous", "omipotent", and perhaps
a few other adjectives, to describe "Mr. Wondeful."

9-1-1 Public Safety BBS	POLICENET SAFENET
LEO'S FIREFIGHTERS SAR	FIDONET
SCANNERS AMATEUR RADIO	e-mail newsgroups
Home of THE San Diego County BBS List(619) 669-0385

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

513. FS: FCC Database on CD Rom Grove v4.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@mail.telis.org>
Message-ID: <34134066.5B2E@mail.telis.org>
Source record: 187027
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
For Sale
Last years FCC Database, Grove v4.1 cd rom with instructions, never been
used. $25
Ron M
```

514. Off Topic: Re: Radio Shack Con Job?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: greere@mail5.evangel.edu
Message-ID: <873677821.30889@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187028

A very old post stated:
>I haven't seen anyone yet at RS know there ass from a hole
>in the ground!!!!!!!!

I am sure that there are a lot of RS employees that know their stuff, but I still recall a fateful evening back in 1991. I was at the RS in Lynnhaven Mall, Virginia Beach about to make my first PC-compatible purchase (previous Apple //c owner). Anyway, I thought I could rely on some RS technical expertise. This female sales rep suggested the 486SX/25. I was using their in-house financing and had enough for that down-payment, but wondered if I should save a little more and get the DX2/66, which I believe was the best they had there at the time. Her words still ring in my ear, "YOU WILL NEVER NEED ANYTHING MORE POWERFUL THAN THIS". Was she trying to get my money quicker or was she just stupid. Since then, I worked that poor thing to death, even with a 50Mhz processor upgrade later. I did everything from Turbo Pascal, to Visual Basic, to Ray-Tracing. I had to let that darn thing sit for 7 full days (yep a week) to render a 640x480 anti-aliased frame. Sorry to bore everyone, but I just had to get that off my chest. It makes me laugh now. My dad uses the 50Mhz for email. I make my own decisions on products that I am familiar with and ask close friends about the rest. I wonder how bored I would get calling them up with complicated questions, just for a laugh? After all, I've got questions; they've got answers.

- Erle
greere@mail5.evangel.edu

----------====== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

515. BC9000 info wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <34134E9B.5041@wwd.net>
Source record: 187029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking to buy the June 1996 issue of MT that has BC9000 mods
listed. ALso any other BC9000 mods that are floating around. (don't want
or need cellular freqs).

ALso looking for a used ctcss card .
--
73
Nick Marsh
WB4SQI

****
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
****

516. FS:ICOM Ic-736

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: wfennessey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <873687790.6444@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an Icom Ic-736 forsale. 100 watts on HF and 6M.
Autotunes both bands and has built in pwr supply and keyer.
Included is mic/ manual and original box. 10 months old and
in ABSOLUTELY NEW CONDITION !! NEW $1710
ASKING FOR $1400
73'S KD1MQ

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

517. Cheap Uniden Bearcat manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: spoof@garbage.netrox.net
Message-ID: <873688592.7102@dejanews.com>
Source record: 187031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi! Someone from this newsgroup turned me on to a supplier of photocopies of old scanner manuals. I've since lost this address. :< I'm looking for a copy (or original) of a manual for an old Bearcat 250 desktop scanner. If you have one, or know of a company that sells them cheap,please email me at the following address: (Dont respond to the email on this post, its a dummy account to help reduce the amount of spam I get)

spoof@
roo
unixnet
org

Thanks very much!

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

518. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <341362DE.35E@scarlan.com>
Source record: 187032

Fred wrote:
>
> In article <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
> >Bill Schanks wrote:
> >>
> >> How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
> >
> >Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
> >guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
> >Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!
>
> ..EXCEPT KENTUCKY!!!!!!

ALL 50 states!!!! Federal Law overides ANY state law!!!!!

519. BC-890XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Geoff Smith <bogart@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <5uvqkt$dt9$1@uuneo.neosoft.com>
Source record: 187033

I have a quick qustion about the BC-890XLT can I also listen to the voice satalites
in the military aircraft band? I am thinking about buying one when the next paycheck rolls around.

Please reply to edlover@rocketmail.com

thanks in advance
Mike Smith
Houston TX

520. FS: Icom R100 Receiver (reduced)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfhazard@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <5uvdfs$4jm$1@ddi2.digital.net>
Source record: 187034

w/ box, manuals, everything.

2 small holes in top cover, does not affect function.

$450 + shipping
reply to the following address:

smith145@hotmail.com

thx
doug

521. FS SWAP AOR 3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: prism@enterprise.net
Message-ID: <34133b7d.87722436@news.enterprise.net>
Source record: 187035

AOR 3000A as new Offers By e-mail please
OR INTESETING SWAPS
LAPTOPS DITITAL CAMERAS ETC ETC
N Devon
England
prism@enterprise.net
Richard

522. UBC 3000 with full 800Mhz for sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: andym@nmex.com
Message-ID: <01bcbbe9$bb4a15e0$0c0ca2ce@andym>
Source record: 187036

Brand new in box with case, nicads, charger, antenna,and manual.
this scanner is from europe and can receive full 800 Mhz right from the
factory.
want 300$ for this great scanner.
andy
andym@nmex.com

523. WTD: Yupiteru MVT7200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Figueroa <sifig@li.net>
Message-ID: <34134BA7.5BDE@li.net>
Source record: 187037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

I'm looking for preferably a new one still in the box. With full cell coverage. Preferably by someone here in the US. Used scanners and UK people are still welcome.

524. Re: FM transmiter for Auto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tw <twine@intrex.net>
Message-ID: <341351CC.8515911D@intrex.net>
Source record: 187038
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Montgomery wrote:

> AllanStern wrote:
>
> > Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> > >
> > > >Does anyone know where you can purchase this item and who
> > manufactures
> > > >it. Any help would be appreciated.
> > >
> > > Tom: It was in the Damark catalog as Item B-40040-492096
> > > for $29.99 (800/729-9000).
> > > I was going to get one until I heard from two guys
> > > who bought them and told me that the unit suffers from
> > > frequency drift. You have to keep adjusting the tuner on
> > > your radio.
> > > Al Stern Satellite Beach FL
>
> Thanks Al and all who responded to this post....
>
> I picked one up from RS today. 29.99 It has four different present
> freq to broadcast to, runs on 2 AAA batteries (freeing up cigarette
> lighter for scanner), and is switchable forMono/Stereo . Have been
> using it for about 3 hours now and it works great. Doesn't drift at
> all
> due to the preset freqs.You might want to check it out. If it doesn't
> work RS will always take it back....
>
> Monty

I just had to respond to this! I bought one of two brands that Best Buy sells and immediately took it back. It was so difficult to lock in the frequency it wasn't worth the trouble. I bought another model at circuit city with the same problems.

If RS has preset freqs it just might be the best bet!

Tom

525. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwhall@hctc.net
Message-ID: <5uvdp0bab1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Source record: 187039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

What pray tell is wrong with his attitude? As an contractor hired to work at Brooks AFB in Texas to work with privacy act information, I find his attitude that the sender/transmitter should be using encryption is the proper one. There is no excuse for not encrypting cell radio (they are radios, not telephones) calls, as the required circuitry would add about $5 to the set. Since most companies essentially give their cell sets away if you sign a service agreement (and you can't use cellular without some sort of service agreement) there is no real financial impact on the customer.

Charles W. Hall
Senior Programmer/Analyst
Brooks AFB
cwhall@hctc.net (home email)
210-536-3927 work

In article <EG1zsD.MpJ@nonexistent.com>, dconti@carroll.com says...
>
>Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com> wrote:
>
>>I just got a couple of letters from my congressman concerning this
>>bill. He mentioned that he favors them that the restrictions of
>>privacy that applies to law enforcement should apply to civiilians
>>as well including scanners. His name is Joel Heffley (R-Colorado).
>>Some people still don't get it. Why does the burden of privacy must fall
>>on the scanner user instead of implementing encryption. The burden
>>must be on the sender.
>
>> Brad
>
>
>As long as many of the postings here include folks fawning at what
>they "overheard" from phone calls, we should not at all be surprised
>at the attitude of folks like the one above.
>dconti@carroll.com
>America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
>Ridgefield, New Jersey
>fax (201) 943-2379
>

526. Re: BC895XLT initial report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffl@dynasty.net
Message-ID: <5uvch8$f7i@snews3.zippo.com>
Source record: 187040

In article <5uv2h8$bn0@cletus.bright.net>, nospamwbowery@bright.net (Warren Bowery) wrote:
>In article <19970907015201.VAA05594@ladder02.news.aol.com>, scannerunl@aol.com
> (ScannerUnl) wrote:
>>We received our first shipment of BC895XLT's yesterday, Friday,
>>and I wanted to post my initial impressions of it.
>>
>>It is extremely sensitive, a noticeable improvement from the original BC890XLT
>>SNIP
>
>
>The newest Uniden Trunk Tracker sounds great, but does anyone know why they
>decided to exclude the military air band from this radio? Early reports were
>that the 895 would cover all of the bands that the 890 did, and would add
>trunk tracking, a serial port and CTCSS as additional features. The 895
>sounds like a great radio for the $, but military air would have been nice.
>
>Warren Bowery
>
>Remove the nospam to reply
It does indeed have mil air band at 225-400.

Who said it didn't I can say for sure IT DOES.

they may have forgot some manual but 225-400 is in it

527. FS: Pro 2026 & BC 2500xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: lwalker@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <34136FEB.60E90F30@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

My wife says I have to many scanners.

FOR SALE:

1. Pro 2026 Radio Shack 100 Channel Mobile Scanner. Very
good condition, works perfect. 29-54 MHz, 108-174 MHz, 406-512 MHz
& 806-956 MHz (no gaps). Antenna, mobile mounting bracket &
original manual.

2. BC 2500XLT Uniden Bearcat 400 Channel Hand Held Scanner. Excellent
condition. Works perfect. Newer Battery pack. Original
case & antenna & a battery charger & owners manual. Date
code OGID. Very fast (scans at 100 channels per second). Alot
of scanner for $175.

Prefer money orders. E-Mail if interested.

528. Re: Tech: AOR Ar-900 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John D. Griffin" <jgriffin@telepath.com>
Message-ID: <34137DBF.49BC@telepath.com>
Source record: 187042

I would but thats what my problem is they are the burned beyond
recognition! The resistor was definately a resistor, I can see the color
stripes but they are all black now so I can't read it.

Thanks

nospam wrote:
>
> Sir, the resistor might be IN4001 diode and the transistor might
> be actually a 3 terminal voltage regulator like 7805.
>
> HOW do you know that no more components have been french fried ?
>
> Giving us some information like the small numbers on the resistor
> or transistor will help us a lot.
>
> John D. Griffin wrote:
> >
> > I recently got one of these scanners given to me, the only hitch was the
> > previous owner plugged the unit in backwards and left it plugged in
> > until it melted and toasted a couple of components and the back case!
> > Does anyone have or know where I could obtain a schematic for this unit?
> > Or does anyone have the unnit and could tell me what the value on a
> > couple of components were?
> > The damage is where the power cord plugs in, down from the power jack on
> > the board there is a (was a)resistor vertically on the baord. It is the
> > only resistor there in the corner, and directly behind it there is a
> > transistor. Both of these were french fried! Thanks for any help!!!
> >
> > John
>

529. Re: FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Benny <hortab@rms.dade.k12.fl.us>
Message-ID: <01bcbbbc$1e780880$332604d1@symbolics_v>
Source record: 187043

YEA!! what we need is to build gas chambers and start killing spanish people, make them wear a yellow patch to identify them as non-anglos. also killing anyone who has european ancestory since they are also immigrants. anyone who can be traced to the mayflower should be also killed since we don't need boat people in this country either.

DAV... <david084@flash.net> wrote in article <340BAC56.927@flash.net>...
> Roll-o wrote:

>
> Hardly! We will tragically alter the future of the USA if we continue
> to allow illegal aliens into the USA. The culture of Texas is fast
> changing to that of a third world latino nation. California has already
> been moving in that direction for sometime now in its southern areas.
> The USA has saved many people from death by starvation, and disease
> many many times. But, now it is time to place the future of America and
> the desires of the people of the USA at the forefront of our legislative
> efforts. Simply, the families and people of the USA must come first!!
>
> DOWN WITH ILLEGAL ALIENS AND THE DEMOCRATS WHO WANT THEM HERE!
>

530. FS: R7100, DDI, M-400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tscheer@ioc.net
Message-ID: <5ussbm$5he$1@news1.intelenet.net>
Source record: 187044

IC R7100 unblocked, perfect conditon with original packaging and manuals. Modified to add discriminator output. I have everything this came with originally (except the warranty card): 12V DC harness, extra fuses, various jacks.

DDI, digital data interpreter used with a PC to track cell phone information (but not the ID numbers), including software and manual.

Universal M-400 decoder, vers 1.02 for pager decode, etc. I can't find the manual for this at the moment but you can order one for $10.

All the cables, power bricks, etc. are included.

I live in South Orange County, California. I'd like to sell it in person, but I'll ship cash COD if you are willing to do that.

email is tscheer at ioc.net.

$1500 or OBO. I don't want to sell the units separately unless I have no luck selling the whole thing.

Tom Scheer
tscheer@ioc.net

531. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: SD <dout-sco@flash.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbb22$c204ade0$ca6d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
Source record: 187093

UUUUUUhhh.....No! Is there something that I should know or something?
I have one person giving me information that will ruin my
scanner.....according to other responses.

Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net> wrote in article <3410AD0B.3999@wwd.net>...
> SD wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone have or know of the cell mod for the new 235's?
>
> Surely you are kidding, right?
> --
> 73
> Nick Marsh
> WB4SQI
>
>
>
> **
> Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227,
> any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
> is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
> US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
> **
>
>
>

532. BC895XLT initial report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerunl@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907015201.VAA05594@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187094

We received our first shipment of BC895XLT's yesterday, Friday,
and I wanted to post my initial impressions of it.

It is extremely sensitive, a noticeable improvement from the original BC890XLT

The volume and squelch knobs are much firmer when turning them and feel a
lot more solidly built than that of any previous Bearcat base scanner.

The unit can scan for CTCSS tones; it scans all 38 tones until it finds
the one being used, at which time it displays the tone flashing on the display.

There is a built-in CTCSS decoder board (one does not have to purchase it
as an option)

The "S" meter is a vertical bar graph on the left side of the
display and seems to be very sensitive to changes in signal strength.

One can use the VFO tuning knob to scroll tjhrough the scan and lockout
lists in the trunking mode.

When the use accidentally duplicates a frequency in the scan
channels, it not only tells you visually that you have duplicated but also
gives you a distinctive audio alert.

There is a 5 pin male computer interface connector in the back of the
radio (I presume it is TTL logic output) which when connected to a $29.95
interface can directly connect to RS232. There will be software available
shortly for $59.95 from Scanner Master.

There is a VOX record ouput to go to a cassette recorder.

The method of programmed the trunked systems is the same as that of the
BC235 as far as I can tell (at least for Motorola type II
systems).

When in the trunking mode, one can use the DATA button to review which
bank is currently in use.

My overall impression of the BC895XLT is that Uniden has put a lot of
effort into the quality of the controls, the performance, and the audio, to
make it a significant improvement over the BC890XLT,
exclusive of its new trunktracking ability.

Glenn Cohen, Scanners Unlimited San Carlos, CA
rec.radio.scanner

533. Seattle, WA Talk Group Lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: XdanlawrenceX@halcyon.com
Message-ID: <5ut1udvbr1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 187095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm trying to complete my talk group list for the City of Seattle /
Valley Com / EPCSA SmartZone systems.

I have collected information from the following web sites:
http://www.wolfe.net/~daydream/html/freqhtml/kingtrnk.html
http://www.oz.net/~djohnson/talk.group.id.html
http://www.trunktracker.com/states/wa.html

I have also purchased the booklet advertised at
http://www.eskimo.com/~brucem/ttidking.htm
(I would definitely recommend this booklet to everyone. It is the
most complete reference I have seen so far)

I am looking for information about the following talk groups...

TG Hex	TG Dec	TG Name
65	1616	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
C3	3120	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
C9	3216	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
5E9	24208	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
5EB	24240	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
5ED	24272	?
5F5	24400	?
5F7	24432	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
5F9	24464	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
5FB	24496	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
605	24656	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
6A9	27280	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
835	33616	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
85D	34256	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
85F	34288	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
874	34624	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
8BF	35824	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
8C1	35856	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
8C3	35888	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
8C5	35920	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
AF5	44880	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
DD6	56672	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
EE7	61040	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
F59	62864	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------
FC8	64640	---------UNKNOWN-TG----------

Thanks for any help you can provide.
Dan

danlawrence@halcyon.com http://www.halcyon.com/dan/welcome.html
Seattle, WA USA Omit REMOVETHIS. from my return address

SPAM bait: the current board of the Federal Communications Commission:
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
more spambait: abuse@localhost postmaster@localhost admin@localhost
customer@email.usps.gov

534. FS: AOR AR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevel@voicenet.com
Message-ID: <3412126d.2124975@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 187096

I have an AOR AR-8000 scanner in excellent condition for sale. It includes the Scout jack and all original accessories (AC adaptor, car adaptor, antenna, NiCd batteries, manual). Price is $600 which includes shipping. Email if interested.

Steve

Steve Lukshides
Philadelphia, PA
U.S.A.
stevel@voicenet.com

---

535. Re: Comments on WinRadio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34122b08.6523394@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 187097
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

No html in newsgroups! This is not the WWW.

==========
Here is how to turn HTML off in Netscape 4.0:

1) Start Netscape 4.0
2) Select menu "Edit, Preferences, Mail and News Preferences"
3) Select tab "Compositions"
4) Remove the check mark for "Use HTML Composition Window"

And at same time check...

1) That "Allow 8-bit" is checked
2) That "MIME compliant" is unchecked

==========

"Authorized User" wrote:

:This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
:
:------=_NextPart_000_01BCB938.E0F6A960
:Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
:
:------=_NextPart_000_01BCB938.E0F6A960
:Content-Type: text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
:
:

536. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34122b01.6517008@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 187098
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

"Ben W." <benwats@why.net> wrote:

:> Not really. The goverment is a criminal organization. They are the muscle
:> of the government. Criminals...
:
:What kind of a statement is that? I sure hope you're not LIVING in the
:United States because you would find alot more people who fit your beliefs
:in Iran.

I guarentee you will not find alot of anything.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

537. Re: Antena+Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex Bischoff <abischof@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <34122B0A.486ACA11@vt.edu>
Source record: 187099

Jeremy wrote:
> antena in the 40-50 mhz range. Also, does anyone have a nice list of
> GOVT. and AIR and other frequencies for the VA area? (hour from DC,
> can I hear stuff there?) Thanks for anything.
Try:

http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/frequencies/states/va/

-or-

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/localfrqs/virginia/va.html

-or-

http://www.richmond.infi.net/~jmccolma/cvascan.html

Alex
- -
mailto:abischof@vt.edu http://www.vt.edu:10021/A/abischof/

| export-a-crypto-system-sig RSA-3-lines-PERL |
| #!/bin/perl -sp0777i<X+d*lMLa^*lN%0]dsXx++lMlN/dsM0<j]dsj |
| $/=unpack('H*',$_);$_=`echo 16dio\U$k"SK$/SM$n\EsN0p[lN*1 |
| lK[d2%Sa2/d0$^Ixp"|dc`;s/\W//g;$_=pack('H*',/((..)*)$/) |

Help Crack RC5 Now! ----> http://rc5.distributed.net/

538. Re: 13.8v DC Question / Mobile Scanner Antenna Y- splitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34122ad5.6472978@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 187100

daw143@fast.net wrote:

:Mobile Scanner Power:
: I am going to install a PRO-2032 base 200 scanner in my vehicle and
:need some advice: The voltage requirments for this unit read 13.8V
:DC.What would be the best method to power this in my vehicle.
:I do not think that they have any 13.8V DC power cigarette adapters.
:Should I run it directly to the battery and negative ground?
:
:Mobile scanner Y-Splitter Question:
: Also, I was looking into maybe using a Y-Splitter madee by Motorola
:that enables you to utilize the car's radio antenna and slpits the
:signal for your scanner. Has anyone ever used these splitters and are
:they as efective as a basic all-band mag. mount antenna?
:
:
:Any suggestions would be greatly appreatiated

The simplest way is to use the cigarette lighter socket. I have used
that method with a PRO-2004 and a UBC-9000XLT. Both worked fine.

A car radio antenna will not work as well as a dedicated antenna
but may by OK depending on your requirements.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

539. Re: Antenna best for frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamoran@mail.goodnet.com
Message-ID: <5utbfcgim2@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 187101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
if you remember you physics. then it will be easy to find the best antenna
1/2, 1/4, 1/8 wave simply divide 300/frequency (mhz)
300/150 = 2 so a 1 meter antenna is a 1/2 wave for a 150mhz frequency.

Alex Bischoff (abischof@vt.edu) wrote:
:]Jeremy wrote:
:]> antena in the 40-50 mhz range. Also, does anyone have a nice list of
:]> GOVT. and AIR and other frequencies for the VA area? (hour from DC,
:]> can I hear stuff there?) Thanks for anything.
:]Try:
:]
:]http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/frequencies/states/va/
:]
:]-or-
:]
:]http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/localfrqs/virginia/va.html
:]
:]-or-
:]
:]http://www.richmond.infi.net/~jmccolma/cvascan.html
:]
:]
:] Alex
:] - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
:] mailto:abischof@vt.edu http://www.vt.edu:10021/A/abischof/
:] ---------------------------------------------------------------------
:]| export-a-crypto-system-sig RSA-3-lines-PERL |
:]| #!/bin/perl -sp0777i<X+d*lMLa^*lN%0]dsXx++lMlN/dsM0<j]dsj |
:]| $/=unpack('H*',$_);$_=`echo 16dio\U$k"SK$/SM$n\EsN0p[lN*1 |
:]| lK[d2%Sa2/d0$^Ixp"|dc`;s/\W//g;$_=pack('H*',/((..)*)$/) |
:] ---------------------------------------------------------------------
:] Help Crack RC5 Now! ----> http://rc5.distributed.net/

--

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
vi..my favorite text editor (:
>Don't send junk/spam mail, I get that stuff in duplicate. When I see<
>two or more messages from the same, They go straight to bit bucket! <
if responding to a usenet post send e-mail also so I will check the group
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

540. Monroe County (Rochester NY) Jail Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Les Wilson <nessman@servtech.com>
Message-ID: <3412374C.530E@servtech.com>
Source record: 187102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have any CONFIRMED frequencies used by jail deputies?
--
==========
Les Wilson, Rochester, NY
--
E-mail - Pers: nessman@servtech.com
Work: les.wilson@ccmail.monroe.edu
--
Web Page: http://www.servtech.com/public/nessman
==========

541. AOR repairs...where??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dalton <dalton@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbb50$76d9e320$0974a3ce@luxor>
Source record: 187103

Can someone direct me to a reliable and quick AOR repair facility? I have an AOR1000XLT needing a new case and some repair (I think either alignment or replacement of a narrow filter).
Thanks in advance.
-M.

542. Monroe County (Rochester NY) Jail Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Les Wilson <nessman@servtech.com>
Message-ID: <34123B23.343D@servtech.com>
Source record: 187104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have any CONFIRMED frequencies used by the jail deputies in
Rochester NY?
--
==========
Les Wilson, Rochester, NY
--
E-mail - Pers: nessman@servtech.com
Work: les.wilson@ccmail.monroe.edu
--
Web Page: http://www.servtech.com/public/nessman
==========

543. Re: AOR repairs...where??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Davis <bruce@gte.net>
Message-ID: <5utflt$44j$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 187105
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Mike Dalton wrote:

Can someone direct me to a reliable and quick AOR
repair facility? I have

an AOR1000XLT needing a new case and some repair (I think either alignment

or replacement of a narrow filter).

Thanks in advance.

-M.

Mike: I sent my AOR1000 to ACE Communications in Indianapolis a few months ago for a new keypad. Service was quick--I think in about two weeks and cost about $50. Their number is: 800 445 7717

Good Luck!

544. Help - what scanner to but

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <wplanck@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbb51$dcbf4940$47f11ece@splanck.iquest.net>
Source record: 187106

What is the best deal on a new scanner under $400 ?

wplanck@netusa1.net

Bill K9KZ

545. San Fernado Valley Armed Response Security?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Highcove Family <Highcove-family@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <34125E2D.3F03@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187107

Does anyone have the freqs for any of those armed response security companies?

546. * Satellite Mailing Lists at QTH.Net *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Short, B.K." <shortckt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <34126058.5768@primenet.com>
Source record: 187108

```
* Satellite Mailing Lists at QTH.Net *
WxSat PacSat CommSat HamSat

Additional information available at: http://www.qth.net

--

>>>> info wxsat
WxSat is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of WxSat, weather satellites.

* APT, HRPT, WEFAX
* NOAA, GOES, METEOR
* Equipment, Software
* Remote Sensing and Interpretation
* Anything WxSat Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe wxsat

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe wxsat

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: wxsat@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qsl.net

-----
>>>> info pacsat
PacSat is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of PacSat, packet radio satellites.

* Amateur Store and Forward Satellites
* Telemetry Reception and Interpretation
* Anomaly Detection and Diagnosis
* Equipment, Software
* Satellite Sleuthing
* Anything PacSat Related
```

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe pacsat

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe pacsat

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: pacsat@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qsl.net

>>>> info commsat
CommSat is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of CommSat, commercial satellites.

* TVRO, DBS, C-Band, Ku
* Hidden Signals and Subcarriers
* Equipment, Software
* Satellite Sleuthing
* Anything CommSat Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe commsat

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe commsat

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: commsat@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qsl.net

>>>> info hamsat
HamSat is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of HamSat, amateur radio satellites.

* Amateur Radio Satellites
* Transponders, Schedules
* DX, Special Events
* Equipment, Software
* Buy, Sell
* Anything HamSat Related

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe hamsat

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe hamsat

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: hamsat@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qsl.net

>>>>

547. Re: Realistic PRO-2021 manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrio9202@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907095201.FAA05472@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187109

ive been there and there fax machine was now working right

548. Re: LoJack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrio9202@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907095501.FAA28623@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187110

the freq is 173.025MHZ i listen to in too in the los angeles area and i hear it go off alot where im at

549. NEW SCANNER pc based

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Barnes <barnes@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <34128BA6.47FD@es.co.nz>
Source record: 187111

Hello all I was just interested to know if any one has compared:-

The new Icom scanner IC-PCR-1000
Computer controled external scanner
http://www.icomamerica.com/receivers/#IC-PCR1000

With Winradio the internal computer scanner
Any comments Please

Mike Barnes ZL3TMB

Email address:- barnesxxx@es.co.nz (Remove the XXX to Email)
Home Page:- http://homepages.ihug.co.nz/~Sbarnes/index.html
Packet Radio :- ZL3TMB@ZL3AC.#80.NZL.OC (Amateur Radio ONLY)
Cellphone :- +64 (021)679-479 (New Zealand GSM Digital)
---

550. <+> Get This FREE Catalog for Scannists!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: crbbooks@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907121701.IAA01814@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187112

Big free CRB Research catalog of federal & many other scanner freq guides & modification manuals, books on electronic eavesdropping & surveillance, the Internet, New Age & alternative communications technologies, CB, etc. Sent to USA/Canada only. Furnish your name & postal address for mailing. Send your request by e-mail to: crbbooks@aol.com. Also visit our new home page at: www.crbbooks.com.

551. Re: Freq Man. I'll find any freq for FREE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341299a1.34841273@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 187113

That sounds real freaky man.

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

552. Pro 2020 and 137-138 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpw@silcom.ca
Message-ID: <5uu68o$2k7$1@news.igs.net>
Source record: 187114

Hi
I have a Realistic Pro2020 scanner and it specifies no coverage between 137 and 138 MHz - does anyone know if there is a modification to allow operation at these frequencies - I want to use the receiver on NOAA weather stellites.

Regards
Peter

Please email responses.

553. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike1@nospam.visi.com
Message-ID: <mike1-0709970741430001@13-178.dynamic.visi.com>
Source record: 187115
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <01bcbafc$904e3260$da97accf@domonkos>, "Andy Domonkos"
<domonkos@erols.com> wrote:

> Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if
> everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat....

"Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."

British tyranny in the colonies increased bit-by-bit, day-by-day until
shots were fired at Lexington and Concorde.

So it will be here.

Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.

-- Guy Norman LaFrance

554. base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tailwind@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <tailwind-0709970910180001@dyn53.tc1.riverhead.i-2000.net>
Source record: 187116

I'm the photo editor of a weekly newspaper on Long Island.I'm concerned about laws being introduced to limit the accessability of scanners, and finally want to invest in a decent base scanner for under $1500.00. For this amount of $ can I get a scanner that covers ssb freq? Recomendationd greatly appreciated.

Doug

555. Re: Coping with digital repeater systems ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <3412A86B.7598@phoenix.net>
Source record: 187117

j wrote:
>
> My county is currently switching most government radio operations
> from simplex 150Mhz to an Erikkson digital repeater-based system.
> You key the mike - the system reads some group-identifier codes
> and then opens a channel to all other radios in that group. The
> exact frequency is undetermined ... could be any of dozens of
> frequencies in the 800Mhz band. The system picks whichever one
> is free at the moment.
>
> How does one cope with such a system ? Even if one knows all the
> possible frequencies used, it becomes impossible to follow a
> conversation. If the police are on one frequency and the channel
> drops even for an instant, the dog-catcher may be on that freq
> a moment later. Furthermore, xmit and receive frequencies are
> not the same.
>
> It would seem that the "old fashioned" scanners are rapidly
> becoming obsolete. Only ones capable of dealing with the
> digital ID codes in new systems, following conversations
> and capable of reading both sides of the conversation are
> going to be of any use whatsoever. While most of the traffic
> will not be encrypted, some will ... and if the citizens
> are to fulfill their duty as "watchdogs" of the public trust,
> then we must be capable of recieving all but the very most
> critical and private transmissions.
>
> What's new on the scanner front ? 50,000 channel memories
> are USELESS if the unit cannot cope with these new repeaters.
> Who will make (is making ?) scanners for the 21st century ?
>
> -j
WOW I hope this don't happen in the U.S. of A if it does SOMEONE will
have to develope something called a TRUNKTRACKER to scam the scanner
guy with.

556. Re: FS: AOR AR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907133101.JAA11111@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187118

>Subject: FS: AOR AR-8000
>From: stevel@voicenet.com (Steve Lukshides)
>Date: Sun, 07 Sep 1997 02:35:12 GMT
>Message-id: <3412126d.2124975@netnews.voicenet.com>

$600 is what they sell for new. If you think you can beat customs, try
Bander.com, Multicomm2000 or several other outfits that have the AOR for
$400-$410.

557. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <3412767C.52EC@screwed.net>
Source record: 187119

US secret service! huh!

Well, dont they have something more important to do
since the cold war has ended.

I thought that the mandate of the US secret was to
protect the president and not to bust scanner users!

Why dont they just give newtie a scrambled cell-phone
and leave the scann buffs alone!

ScanSkip wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: BC235 Mod.
> >From: "SD" <dout-sco@flash.net>
> >Date: 7 Sep 1997 00:08:43 GMT
> >Message-id: <01bcbb22$c204ade0$ca6d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
>
> >UUUUUUhhh.....No! Is there something that I should know or something?
>
> Yeah, you should know that listening to cell phones is against the law and
> that everyone who posts messages here asking for information on how to
> modify unmodifiable scanners are investigated by the US Secret Service.
>
> Oh, oh who's that pounding on your door?

--

For you automated email spammers out there, here's
the email addresses of the current board of the
Federal Communications Commission:

Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
And let's help you send some spam to the USPS, too:
customer@email.usps.gov

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
---

558. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907132601.JAA10838@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187120

>Subject: Re: Funny Newsroom Phone
>From: dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti)
>Date: Sat, 6 Sep 1997 23:18:59 GMT
>Message-id: <EG3yqK.BFJ@nonexistent.com>

Let's see, a fellow exercises his right and decides to posts a humorous thread. The Great Conti jumps all over his case. Several other people say "Hey, what's it to you big shot? We think it's funny!" or something to that effect. Conti replies, "You're all idiots and I'm smarter than you," or something to that effect.

Thank you of Great Conti for your wonderful insight. Your grasp of public relations is really stunning , Conti my boy. Alas, you are also a pitiful bore whose postings are no longer worth reading. Ta ta!

559. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907133001.JAA04337@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187121

>Subject: Re: BC235 Mod.
>From: "SD" <dout-sco@flash.net>
>Date: 7 Sep 1997 00:08:43 GMT
>Message-id: <01bcbb22$c204ade0$ca6d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>

>UUUUUUhhh.....No! Is there something that I should know or something?

Yeah, you should know that listening to cell phones is against the law and
that everyone who posts messages here asking for information on how to
modify unmodifiable scanners are investigated by the US Secret Service.

Oh, oh who's that pounding on your door?

560. Re: 235XLT @ $250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907133300.JAA04461@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187122

>Subject: Re: 235XLT @ $250.00
>From: "Bruce A. Langos" <bruce.langos@daytonoh.ncr.com>
>Date: Sat, 06 Sep 1997 17:08:09 -0400
>Message-id: <01bcbb21$c58872e0$0cf77fc0@langob>
>
>Bull. I am not a dealer or wholesaler. I just called on the phone and

When I called, they were willing to take the order. The problem is that
they don't have them in stock.

561. Re: Discone Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mead@dg-rtp.dg.com
Message-ID: <5uud21$5ui@dg-rtp.dg.com>
Source record: 187123
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tom,

I don't know anything about the new RS discone, but I can tell you that I am VERY happy with the diamond. I use it as a multi purpose antenna. It is primarily used for scanning, but has also been used on 2m, 70cm, 6m and 11m. It is very well built, mine is at the top of a 90' Carolina Tower (that's a pine tree for you northerners :), and it made it through Hurricane Fran.

Glenn - ke4zea

ps - One of the differences between the diamond and the last RS disconne I saw is that the RS does not have the top verticle, which adds to the low band performance.

In article <01bcbafe$3ff4d240$48273ace@home-133>, "Tom Greenli"
<tgreenli@nospam.vr-net.com> wrote:
>
>I have heard lots of good things about the diamond d130.
>Does anyone know if the new radio shack discone is any
>good? It looks the same like it may be made by diamond.
>Any info?
>
>Thanks in advance.
>Tom Greenli
>Oregon

562. Insurance Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: planning@cyberpromo.com
Message-ID: <3412b9d0.0@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 187124

The past year has seen a dramatic reduction in the cost

of term life insurance from many quality companies.

The San Fransisco Chronicle reported, "Price War Creates

Consumer Bargains in Term Life Insurance," and the New

York Times, "Premiums have fallen to record lows."

You may not be aware that your life insurance company

could now be charging new policyholders less than you

are now paying for the same coverage. Your traditional

life insurance agent has access to a small number of

life insurance companies. Through the internet, you

can compare rates to every other policy available.

Our free price comparison service gives you access to

over 550 term policies from over 175 life insurance

companies.

For a free comparison please go to:
http://www.2plan.com/quote.htm

563. Trunk Tracker Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pntx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907143401.KAA15001@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187125

Bought TT Fri. night, had to exchange it Sat AM.
I programed 27 frequencies into bank #1. The unit would only scan the
first 19. I did not know this till the data channel changed and the scanner
could not find it. It was probably just a fluke, but it is a good idea to
scan your trunked frequencies in the conventional mode to make sure that
they are all being scanned.

564. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: devans4465@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907141400.KAA13607@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187126

In article <341192E9.3D2E@Xqsl.net>, Barney <kl7hnyX@Xqsl.net> writes:

>Cliff -- keem 'em comin'. After 19 years as a paramedic/firefighter,
>then 9 years as a 911/fire/police/EMS dispatcher, it'll be great to hear
>that other people get weidros calling them, too :) I mean, how many
>folks out there have ever been sent to an "ill woman, unknown problem"
>only to find out upon arrival that her chief complaint was that, after
>having a BM, some of them were floaters and some were sinkers, and
>wanted you to see them? Sheesh... It *was* fun coming up with a way to
>tell Dispatch what the result of the call was on the radio...
>--Barney--
>
>

I know this is not a newsroom call but, I have to agree with Barney. How about the EMS call for an ill person at 3AM, only to get there and find that they have had a headache for 3 days but decided to call you at this time. Why not just take two asprins and see the doctor in the morning. Please do not get the wrong impression, there are many emergency calls. But, there is a large amount of abuse of the EMS system.

Oh, and by the way:

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

I am so impressed with your wisdom, if I were to comment you are one of these Amateur Radio operators who hate people with scanners and just want to cause trouble. Please if you have nothing constructive or good to say just use the delete button and give up the editorials.

565. Sporty's JD100 Aircraft Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Silagi <SILAGI@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbb99$0ca101c0$26b6edcc@packard-bell>
Source record: 187127
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am thinking about buying a JD100 Air Scan from Sporty's Pilot Shop. Anyone out there own one? Are you happy with it. I am looking for something that is more sensitive on the aircraft bands than a normal scanner. What is the audio quality like? Any opinions would be appreciated.

Richard Silagi

566. FS: GRE Super Amplifier for Hand-Held Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907143601.KAA15165@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187128

I am selling the amplifier for hand held scanner. It is in mint condition and hardly ever used. Connects to your hand held's BNC connector. Has adjustable gain control and is powered by 9-volt battery.

Price is $25.00 plus shipping

E-mail to Fbals@aol.com.

567. Cleaning ham shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sf451@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907145301.KAA16388@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187129

For Sale:
-Yaesu FT301D and Power Supply FP301D, perfect working and cosmetic shape
$250.00..with manual
-Realistic HTX-100.. $95.00 used once for DXpedition
-Bosh "San Francisco" AM-FM-SW car radio $55.00.. with manual
-Antenna coupler AM-FM-50Mhz $20.00
-Tennelec Memory Scan, Programmable Three band scanner (50-140-410 Mhz)
Manufactured in the late 70's, frequencies are entered by front panel
switches in 1 and 0 format to read a binary frequency, manual included ..a
collector's scanner...$45.00
-Mobile (spring loaded) 138-174 Mhz antenna $5.00 with above scanner.
-6 meter (50 Mhz) mobile antenna) $12.00
-10 Meter (28 Mhz) mobile antenna with mobile mount (crew on type) $20.00
-cellular magnet mount antenna (new) $6.00

send me E-mail for questions or arrangements sf451@aol.com

TX

568. Re: Coping with digital repeater systems ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3412C45E.7358@hotmail.com>
Source record: 187130

joe d wrote:
>
> j wrote:
> >
> > My county is currently switching most government radio operations
> > from simplex 150Mhz to an Erikkson digital repeater-based system.
> > <snip>
> > -j
> WOW I hope this don't happen in the U.S. of A if it does SOMEONE will
> have to develope something called a TRUNKTRACKER to scam the scanner
> guy with.

He said "county" moron, not country. If you're going to be sarcastic, at least spell "develop" correctly.

J brings up an interesting point. The GE/Ericson system has no comercially available trunktracking scanner designed for it yet. Will someone develop a digital Motorola trunktracker or GE compatible TT before new legislation kicks in? How quickly can these devices be manufactured before the law drastically changes?

Steve S

<Crusade to reduce sig files>

569. Question about 800mghz Anntenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pntx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907144000.KAA15485@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187131

Has anyone used the Grove high gain 800mghz antenna with the BC235? Are they any better than the stock antenna?

570. winradio board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: lar01@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <3412ba8d.2101799@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 187132

greetings
Has anyone used, or heard about someone elses experiences concering,
the winradio board? I found their website and it cultivated my
curiosity even more. price tag is about $600. Considering you are
not paying for metal and other stuff associated with the traditional
radio, its seems like it might be a good deal.
Any opinions?
p.s. send replay via email.
Larry ve3fxq

571. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: user <snt7765@iol.ie>
Message-ID: <5uugr6$3qq$1@nuacht.iol.ie>
Source record: 187133

Has any1 got a freq list for Dublin Ireland?.

572. Re: Martin Lynch and Son

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rukensteinassociates@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <MPG.e7c92a3323f04cf989682@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 187134

In article <19970906214601.RAA13156@ladder02.news.aol.com>, uk911@aol.com says...
> >Has anyone bought scanners from Marin Lynch and Son
> >http://www.martin-lynch.co.uk/form1.htm
> >from the United States. Did it come through? What is their reputation.
> >Thank You for all your replies.
>
> Hi
>
> I am a UK resident and can confirm that Martin Lynch is a large radio

I am particular interested in ads for the pcr1000 any info on that? Much appreciated

573. SCANing with PC for IDIOTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
Message-ID: <34129114.145B@screwed.net>
Source record: 187135

Dear scanning people,

What needs to be done is as follows:-

1. Remove the brain from your brain-dead scanner.

2. Build a scanner-PC interface and connect it to your PC .
That is much easier than you think.

3. Build a discriminator interface and connect that to PC

4. Write PC software in Visual-Basic for idiots to implement
all of what the scanner does in software PLUS all your
trunk tracking (GE-moto-ericson etc) PLUS cell tracking
capabilities.

5. Put out all the software and hardware details on the USENET
for people to duplicate!

NOW, you will have the best scanner that you cannot buy currently
even for $10,000 . Scanner Software is not controlled by FCC or
other idiots like newtie.

Are you listening you dumb scanner IDIOTS! Get up and do something
you idiots ! Get off your damn asses ! Build an SCANNER-PC interface
for your souls sake.

Even if you succeed only 50% you will still have scanner that will
beat the crap out out of almost every scanner out there.

Do you get it you dumb idiots!

For you automated evil email spammers out there, here's
the email addresses of the current board of the
Federal Communications Commission:

Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
And let's help you send some spam to the USPS, too:
customer@email.usps.gov
---

574. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws: Resistance is Futile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: lar01@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <3412bbfb.2467529@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 187136

On 6 Sep 1997 21:51:46 GMT, bugsweeper@aol.com (BugSweeper) wrote:

>
>If there's one thing I've observed regarding the recent trend of outlawing
>the monitoring of segments of the RF spectrum, it's that attempting to fight
>off every piece of legislation, wherever it may appear (city, state, federal),
>it quite futile. The anti-scanner portions of bills are often buried so deep
>in legal mumbo-jumbo that no one notices what is happening until it is too
>late. In other cases, industry lobbies (via campaign gifts) to have portions
>of the spectrum outlawed from being monitored. Others see outlawing bands
>as an easier/lazier/cheaper alternative to designing encryption into their
>products or communication standards. "Don't worry Mable, no one will listen
>in on your cell calls because that's illegal." Or some police or gov't agency
>who gets caught being naughty or stupid on the airwaves cries out "there
>ought to be a law" and lobbies to outlaw another band. The scanner battles
>are spread over so many fronts that it is futile to try and beat them all back.
>Fighting via the ballot box is useless because us scanner owners are too
>small of a political constituancy to matter. We don't collectively have enough
>votes to influence anyone. Was any election to a political office decided by
>the ballots cast exclusively by scanner enthusiasts over a scanner related
>issue? Any election? Anywhere in the US? Anywhere on the planet?
>
>_Resistance_ is futile.
>
>What is needed is to stage an offensive- to propose and introduce legislation
>at the federal level that reaffirms the rights of scanner owners and preempts
>other state and local laws. Such legislation needs to be worded in such a way
>that is very general. "The use of a scanner shall not be prohibited" is no
>good.
>Nor is any lingo that talks about spectrum space, bands, specific
>services, RF, importation regulations, auxillary devices, "easily
>modofied", encryption,
>"mobile use", "exemptions for", manufacturing constraints, or any other jargon
>terms. The general public will simply not care either way if something
>they do not understand passes or fails. Nor will a politician look good or bad
>in the public eye for supporting or opposing it. And the media is not
>interested reporting on issues that don't gather ratings. Simply worded
>legislation that
>speaks to everyone on an easy to understand/fundamental right level is what's
>needed. It should be worded so that it looks just awful for politicians to
>oppose
>it. An example:
>
>"The right of the people to listen to a radio shall not be infringed."

>
>What politician would want to publically speak out against a statement like
>that? Everyone- every citizen- technically minded or not, can call to mind
>former or current governments that oppressed their people by outlawing
>all but state approved radios. Everyone remembers the huge Soviet jamming
>radio towers used to keep their citizens in the dark. People will not want to
>hear counter arguments starting with "Yes, but..." "My God man!", they'll say,
>"You're opposed to that?!" and walk away from these politicians shaking their
>heads in disgust. Such a simply stated issue would also be a magnet for media
>attention, and would further gain support for the issue. Given enough public
>awareness, a constitutional amendment may even be possible.
>
>Maybe I'm just dreaming, but that's the way I see it. Thoughts?
>
>--
>BugSweeper@aol.com

I understand your frustration but don't you think that those who are
using radios, except cb and amateur and am fm and tv broadcasting,
deserve some degree of privacy?

If you had a cell phone and your business partner, on the other end,
had some important and private information he needed to get to you
quickly for a quick reply, wouldn't you appreciate knowing that that
channel was private? (I know that isn't very good English but, at the
moment, I can't seem to word it any better)

Larry ve3fxq

575. Re: 235XLT @ $250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907163901.MAA17476@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187137

>From: "Bruce A. Langos" <bruce.langos@daytonoh.ncr.com>
>Date: Sat, 06 Sep 1997 17:08:09 -0400
>Message-id: <01bcbb21$c58872e0$0cf77fc0@langob>
>
>Bull. I am not a dealer or wholesaler. I just called on the phone and
ordered one no questions asked.<
>
>JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com> wrote in article
> Whether Sante Fe Distributing has any 235XLTs in stock or not is really
immaterial since they won't sell to anyone but established accounts, or
wholesale to dealers. That leaves 99 percent of us out in the cold as far
as their $229.00 price goes.>

Bruce,

If my information is incorrect, I apologize, however, several people have
told me that Sante Fe sells only to established accounts or to dealers.
Perhaps they have an overstock of 235XLTs as well and are willing to sell
to anyone now.

John

576. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: SD <dout-sco@flash.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbba5$6fa7d800$ae6d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
Source record: 187138

The guy pounding on the door was the pizza guy. I have no interest in modifying the scanner. Actually, I don't even own a 235. I own a BC100 that can't even listen to cellular. I am inquiring for a friend that does not have access to the internet. Listening to cellular phones is not very appealing to me. I wouldn't know the first thing about actually modifying my scanner anyway.

That being said, and my inexperience with this, how can so many people get by with discussing the cellular topic without being attacked by the law. Also, it would appear to me that the FCC would be the people that would be the people that would be looking for 'illegal' scanner modification discussion.

sd

ScanSkip <scanskip@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970907133001.JAA04337@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: BC235 Mod.
> >From: "SD" <dout-sco@flash.net>
> >Date: 7 Sep 1997 00:08:43 GMT
> >Message-id: <01bcbb22$c204ade0$ca6d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
>
> >UUUUUUhhh.....No! Is there something that I should know or
something?
>
> Yeah, you should know that listening to cell phones is against the law
and
> that everyone who posts messages here asking for information on how to
> modify unmodifiable scanners are investigated by the US Secret Service.
>
> Oh, oh who's that pounding on your door?
>

577. Re: New RCA Scanner to hit market

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3412C6FE.BF4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 187139
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al wrote:
>
> In article <EG1959.FA7@nonexistent.com>, dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M.
> Conti) wrote:
> ><snip>
> >
> > Many years ago I had an RCA crystal controlled base, with something
> > called "Varactor Tuning" (What that was I never new), and it was a
> > FINE scanner. Do not know if RCA made that one, or it was made for
> > them by another company.
> ><snip>
> Veractor tuning is a method whereby the radio receiver constantly &
> automatically peaks its sensitivity to whatever frequency is currently
> being monitored.

Not sure where Al went to school. Varactor is a contraction of "variable capacitor". The varactor is actually a diode which is driven with varying reverse DC voltage to separate the P and N regions. An AC cicuit, like a tuned oscillator, is connected to this diode and tuned. The greater the gap in the P-N region, the lower the capacitance. By pairing this with an inductor, a tuned resonator is developed. This allows the resonant frequency of the tank to be changed by a DC control voltage. I always thought this was a pretty clever idea. The most prolific military airborne UHF radio, the ARC-164, uses this tuning method in the synthesizer board.

Steve S

578. SportCat 150 mods.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Crabtree <ctree@nltc.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbba7$593f8980$94ab8bcd@default>
Source record: 187140

Does anyone have any mods for the SportCat 150?

579. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws: Resistance is Futile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cornwall@antispamremoveme.i1.net
Message-ID: <5uunfu$9aj$1@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 187141

In article <3412bbfb.2467529@news.sprynet.com>, lar01@sprynet.com (larry) wrote:
>On 6 Sep 1997 21:51:46 GMT, bugsweeper@aol.com (BugSweeper) wrote:
>
>>
>>If there's one thing I've observed regarding the recent trend of outlawing
>>the monitoring of segments of the RF spectrum, it's that attempting to fight
>>off every piece of legislation, wherever it may appear (city, state, federal),
>>it quite futile. The anti-scanner portions of bills are often buried so deep
>>in legal mumbo-jumbo that no one notices what is happening until it is too
>>late. In other cases, industry lobbies (via campaign gifts) to have portions
>>of the spectrum outlawed from being monitored. Others see outlawing bands
>>as an easier/lazier/cheaper alternative to designing encryption into their
>>products or communication standards. "Don't worry Mable, no one will listen
>>in on your cell calls because that's illegal." Or some police or gov't agency
>>who gets caught being naughty or stupid on the airwaves cries out "there
>>ought to be a law" and lobbies to outlaw another band. The scanner battles
>>are spread over so many fronts that it is futile to try and beat them all
> back.
>>Fighting via the ballot box is useless because us scanner owners are too
>>small of a political constituancy to matter. We don't collectively have enough
>>votes to influence anyone. Was any election to a political office decided by
>>the ballots cast exclusively by scanner enthusiasts over a scanner related
>>issue? Any election? Anywhere in the US? Anywhere on the planet?
>>
>>_Resistance_ is futile.
>>
>>What is needed is to stage an offensive- to propose and introduce legislation
>>at the federal level that reaffirms the rights of scanner owners and preempts
>>other state and local laws. Such legislation needs to be worded in such a way
>>that is very general. "The use of a scanner shall not be prohibited" is no
>>good.
>>Nor is any lingo that talks about spectrum space, bands, specific
>>services, RF, importation regulations, auxillary devices, "easily
>>modofied", encryption,
>>"mobile use", "exemptions for", manufacturing constraints, or any other jargon
>>terms. The general public will simply not care either way if something
>>they do not understand passes or fails. Nor will a politician look good or bad
>>in the public eye for supporting or opposing it. And the media is not
>>interested reporting on issues that don't gather ratings. Simply worded
>>legislation that
>>speaks to everyone on an easy to understand/fundamental right level is what's
>>needed. It should be worded so that it looks just awful for politicians to
>>oppose
>>it. An example:

>>
>>"The right of the people to listen to a radio shall not be infringed."
>>
>>What politician would want to publically speak out against a statement like
>>that? Everyone- every citizen- technically minded or not, can call to mind
>>former or current governments that oppressed their people by outlawing
>>all but state approved radios. Everyone remembers the huge Soviet jamming
>>radio towers used to keep their citizens in the dark. People will not want to
>>hear counter arguments starting with "Yes, but..." "My God man!", they'll say,
>>"You're opposed to that?!" and walk away from these politicians shaking their
>>heads in disgust. Such a simply stated issue would also be a magnet for media
>>attention, and would further gain support for the issue. Given enough public
>>awareness, a constitutional amendment may even be possible.
>>
>>Maybe I'm just dreaming, but that's the way I see it. Thoughts?
>>
>>--
>>BugSweeper@aol.com
>
>I understand your frustration but don't you think that those who are
>using radios, except cb and amateur and am fm and tv broadcasting,
>deserve some degree of privacy?
>
>If you had a cell phone and your business partner, on the other end,
>had some important and private information he needed to get to you
>quickly for a quick reply, wouldn't you appreciate knowing that that
>channel was private? (I know that isn't very good English but, at the
>moment, I can't seem to word it any better)
>
>Larry ve3fxq
>
That's what ENCRYPTION is for!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

****
Mike Cornwall
cornwall@i1.net
cornmc@stlo.smhs.com
****

580. ILLEGAL RECEPTION and BIG BROTHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3412EAB7.24A8@flash.net>
Source record: 187142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

James Aeschliman wrote:
>
> In a previous article, ve7ldh@direct.ca (laura halliday) says:
>
> >Let's keep a bit of perspective, folks - in many countries,
> >it is genuinely illegal to even listen to things you're not
> >supposed to. In others it's OK to listen, but not to
> >divulge what you heard.
> >--
> And the United States of America, where everyone trumpets the freedoms we
> have, is one of those countries where is is "genuinely illegal to even
> listen to things you're not supposed to." The cellular telephone folks
> pressured our Congress into making it illegal to even listen to the
> cellular telephone frequencies. They were supposed to begin encrypting
> transmissions to assure privacy so this restriction could be lifted.
> That never happened, AND our Congress is now preparing to make it illegal
> to even listen to an assortment of additional frequencies. I wonder how
> long it will be until all we are permitted to listen to is domestic
> broadcasting (no foreign shortwave or anything else).
> --
> Jim Aeschliman bb840@scn.org
> Black Diamond, Washington KD7MK

As long as the people sit quietly in the corner in fear, our federal
government will continue to run over our Constitutional rights untill
they exist no more.
It is time for public protests against the heavy handedness of an
increasingly BIG BROTHER styled federal government.

STATES RIGHTS over the FEDERAL GOVERNMENT!
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

581. Programmable Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3412E95F.536C@flash.net>
Source record: 187143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The wonderful, fantastic, outstanding TECH catalog by Radio Shack is filled with great stuff for all of us technogeeks. It is a must have!

Within this fine catalog are many transceivers, some of which are programmable.

Does anyone have, or know where to get the program that UNIDEN, Radio Shack, and other distributors use to pre-program your radio with?

If you have one of these programs, especially if it is the Uniden version, please drop me a note, or if you dont mind, please send it to my e-mail box at david084@flash.net.

Thanks very much!

582. LAPD Tone signaling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duane Park <dspark@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbbb5$5058c1c0$d7a120cc@dspark.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187144

Can anyone tell me what type of sequencial tone signaling is
used on LAPD 506 system. I know of the CTCSS tone, but I
also hear a series of tones when a lot of the radios key up...
I'm assuming it's a Motorola proprietary signaling... Thanks
--

Duane Park

dspark@ix.netcom.com
dspark@ks.trw.com
Amateur Radio WA6EIK

583. FS: 225-400 Mhz & 800 Mhz Ground Plane Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907181500.OAA24585@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187145

I have two ground plane antennas for sale. One covers military aircraft and the other is cellular 800 Mhz. These are the MAX antennas made by Cellular Security Group. Elements are cut for these frequencies. The MIL has a BNC female connector and the 800 Mhz has a SO-239 connector. Both are in excellent condition.

Price is $40 for both plus shipping.

E-mail to Fbals@aol.com

584. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5uurs5$mna@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <3411F34C.43AE@ktvt.com> Cliff Pearson <CliffP@ktvt.com> writes:
>
>
>
>Cliff Pearson
>Assignment Editor
>(um, that means I'm the editor in charge of assignments)
>KTVT Channel 11 News
>Dallas, Texas

you are an editor in charge of?

Cliff...be honest.

You get paid a bit above minimum wage and
you are calling it "editor"...in charge of

why not say: 'senior sittee' who has sat on
the desk at the scanners longer than others.

I try not to ask for "editor"...it's giving
too much "name-say" for he or she who has just
been there longer than those others.

What next: paid interns who buy news video?

People: assignment desk editors have a long
employment with the station and are given
a few extra dollars an hour to go to meetings
and cue the producers and news director in on
what's happening.

it's a job where they read a lot of news wire
copy and decide on what is suggestible to cover
for the producer to assign.

working on a news desk is humor in the hall.

bill

585. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5uurcr$lq1@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187147

In <19970907141400.KAA13607@ladder02.news.aol.com> devans4465@aol.com (DEvans4465) writes:
>
>
>I
>I am so impressed with your wisdom, if I were to comment you are one of
>these Amateur Radio operators who hate people with scanners and just want
>to cause trouble. Please if you have nothing constructive or good to say
>just use the delete button and give up the editorials.

Why not be helpful...why not take your anger,
give you brain a rest by standing up and
offer help to the EMS people...

you could have said: say, EMS followers, there is a
group for EMS guffaws on an EMS thread.

and then ask them to look through the usenet groups
and locate it. Or you could have them get in touch
with me because I view it often and learn much from
the group...lots of good advice and help from these
people who work their asses off going on calls for
"a drunk who wants a ride home on a stretcher so
his wife won't know he's been drunk"....

or a welfare case who calls 911 and wants a ride to
the hospital because she missed her bus and her time
to be there she will be late.

oh jes

for the group address...get to me.

bill conduit
etc.

586. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5uus5s$c8a@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <EG3y2L.9Bx@nonexistent.com> dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti)
writes:
>
>
>> YOu have to be in the business to GET IT.
>
>Thnakfully, I am not...are you? It would explain alot.
>
YES...that is why I got it. It makes sense. It's a
deviation from the "form" you seem to work best in
in your life: strict adherence to "your rules"...

>> also, it's a change of pace. Work with it.
>
>A change of pace from WHAT? being so rigid.
>
>> Allow a new idea to flip your bic
>
>> Evidently you DID NOT get it.
>
>> Whoa...look at the other very erudite people who are responding
to your "stink-think"....

they are saying that you did not get it. They did. And they
work it in to their reading the postings found.

What you resist, persists.

This is something learned from sessions with EST. You need
to deal with people as more than just your image of who they
mirror ... you are not their reality.

Listen to the words by the others against your tirades...

They speak all the answers you need to GET IT!

bill conduit
etc.

587. Uniden's BC895 and NO MANUALS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffl@dynasty.net
Message-ID: <5uuo7a$6va@snews3.zippo.com>
Source record: 187149

Looks like UNIDEN forgot to put manuals in the BC 895 boxes.

Here is what you should have

Telescoping Ant
AC adapter
Owners Manual
Trunking Freq guide
Starter Freq guide

If you are missing ANY of above call UNIDEN at
1.800.297.1023 8:00am-5:00PM central

Dealers and Wholesalers are not going to have any spares

588. Re: Scanner help was-Re: Busch race scanner mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: krzy4evr@usit.netx
Message-ID: <3413029c.14366387@news.usit.net>
Source record: 187150

On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 08:01:27 -0600, Juan <JUANAVILES@prodigy.net>
wrote:

>Can anyone recomend what to look for in a scanner for the races? I know
>nothing about make, model, features or anything else for that matter.
>Thanks in advance.Reply to JUANAVILES@prodigy.net

589. Bc 235xlt cell mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlx6@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970907193500.PAA00723@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187151

Does anybody know if the uniden bc235 TrunkTracker can be modified to pick up cell freqs,or can it pick up images of cell freqs?

590. Homework Assignment for the Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v1u24$g0i@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186803

Point....

why not all who think this is the end of
"our freedom to listen" do this assignment
and report back as to results.

Get the name of the proposed legislation
and the author of and the id's....

Call your local tv station and ask to speak
to the News Dept. Ask the assignment desk
editor or producer about when they are going
to cover this calamity in the making....

then quote the info about the id of the Senate
bill and ask them what they are going to do?

I'll bet they have not even heard about this
plan in progesss now....even though it affects
their ability to get info from scanners as much
as the rest of listeners

then call your local newspaper and call city desk
and ask same questions....do they know about...
and when are they going to do a story on it or
an editorial on it's negative aspects for us and
for them too....

it may surprise you when you find out the
percentages of who knows and who don't know about
this senate bill

try it...it will surprise and dismay

then report back ... share it and that will give
the readers thought about how the Press has reacted
to this negativity.

bill conduit
etc.

591. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v1o2e$28qi$4@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

In article <5v1a6vnen1@news.rmi.net>, dand@shell.rmii.com (Dan Duncan) wrote:
>As I recall, Charles W. Hall (cwhall@hctc.net) wrote:
>->There is no excuse for not encrypting cell radio (they are radios, not
>->telephones) calls, as the required circuitry would add about $5 to the set.
>
>The newer PCS phones (also may be called "Powerband" among other things)
>do use digital encryption.
>
>HOWEVER: Where digital service does not exist, I am switched back to
>analog and thus lose my encryption under the current system. (This
>is rare in my use of the system, but probably not for everyone)

Digital phones are not necessarily encrypted. They are two different
things.

592. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dand@shell.rmii.com
Message-ID: <5v1a6vnen1@news.rmi.net>
Source record: 186805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

As I recall, Charles W. Hall (cwhall@hctc.net) wrote:
->There is no excuse for not encrypting cell radio (they are radios, not
->telephones) calls, as the required circuitry would add about $5 to the set.

The newer PCS phones (also may be called "Powerband" among other things)
do use digital encryption.

HOWEVER: Where digital service does not exist, I am switched back to
analog and thus lose my encryption under the current system. (This
is rare in my use of the system, but probably not for everyone)

-DanD

--
Dan D (kd4igw) dand@rmii.com dand@frottage.com http://rmii.com/~dand
Qui rides? Mutato nomine de te fabula narratur. (Who are you to laugh?
Change the name, and the story is told of you.) -Horace

593. Need mod 4 Uniden BC 230xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: risch921@uidaho.edu
Message-ID: <873758929.1879@dejanews.com>
Source record: 186806

Anyone have the mod for a Uniden Bearcat 230xlt ? Please e-mail me at risch921@uidaho.edu or post it here.

Thank you.
~~~JR

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet
~~~

594. REQ: Testers with 400/500MHZ Motorola TRS Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: trunkerv3x@mailexcite.com
Message-ID: <5v1t0f$54v$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 186807
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Do you have a 400/500MHz trunked Motorola system in your area?

Would you like to test some software to see what is going on with that system?

You must have:

1) Basic to Advanced electronics understanding
2) Ability to communicate clearly on technical issues
3) IBM PC 486DX or better
4) Willing/Ability to design, construct, test any interface(s) need for PC to
scanner connection.
5) Ability to provide written reports on a timely basis.
6) An additional scanner, in addition to the above scanner with a DISCRIMINATOR output
connection, or be willing to modify a scanner to have a DISCRIMINATOR output. 7) A working
Data Interface for PD, POCDEC, POC32,Mobitex, etc.. 8) Working & Monitorable Motorola
400/500MHz trunking radio systems in your area 9) Understanding of trunking systems and how
they function.

Please only reply/apply if you have a 400/500MHz Motorola Trunked system you
can monitor in your area.

If you think you can meet the above qualifications, please email your request
and background information to: trunkerv3x@mailexcite.com

TRUNKER V3.x Home Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/trnkv3x.htm
TRUNKER V3.x Email: trunkerv3x@mailexcite.com

My Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500

US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

595. Re: Uniden's BC895 and NO MANUALS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Lipps <plipps@onramp.net>
Message-ID: <3414574E.61A43976@onramp.net>
Source record: 186808

Jeff,

I did receive my owner's manual, but not the other two. When I called Uniden thay said they would send me the Starter Freq. Guide, but they had cancelled plans on producing a Trunking Freq. Guide. The lady at Uniden said she had a copy of the rough draft and that she would send me a copy? Not sure what that is all about, I'll let you know what I receive, if anything...

Paul Lipps
plipps@onramp.net

Jeff wrote:
>
> Looks like UNIDEN forgot to put manuals in the BC 895 boxes.
>
> Here is what you should have
>
> Telescoping Ant
> AC adapter
> Owners Manual
> Trunking Freq guide
> Starter Freq guide
>
> If you are missing ANY of above call UNIDEN at
> 1.800.297.1023 8:00am-5:00PM central
>
> Dealers and Wholesalers are not going to have any spares

596. When you SELL something here PLEASE .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Brubaker <bob@belvoir.army.mil>
Message-ID: <5v1b4c$38u$1@nozzle.tacom.army.mil>
Source record: 186809

When you SELL something here PLEASE ....

include your location, your 10-20, ur QTH whatever you call it.

Some us would prefer to see the stuff your selling.

597. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EG7LxE.os4@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186810

Daniel M. Conti <dconti@carroll.com> wrote:
>
>THE GREAT CONTI......I like it, Mr. Skip...thanks.....or can I just
>call you Skip?
>
>Another challenge : Show me one sentence from any of my threads where
>I called ANYONE an idiot, or said I was smarter then anyone else.
>You must be a reporter, because you LOVE to put words in my mouth.
>
>BTW.....Not one of my previously issued challenges has been met.

What the heck does this have to do with scanning?

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

598. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <34149026.2492@tir.com>
Source record: 186811

ScanSkip wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: BC235 Mod.
> >From: "SD" <dout-sco@flash.net>
> >Date: 7 Sep 1997 00:08:43 GMT
> >Message-id: <01bcbb22$c204ade0$ca6d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
>
> >UUUUUUhhh.....No! Is there something that I should know or something?
>
> Yeah, you should know that listening to cell phones is against the law and
> that everyone who posts messages here asking for information on how to
> modify unmodifiable scanners are investigated by the US Secret Service.
>
> Oh, oh who's that pounding on your door?

Oh give me a break!.....preach elsewhere. Like the Secret Service has
agents perusing the newsgroups investigating people seeking
information. Logic dictates the SS has much better things to do.
--

599. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341498DE.22EB@flash.net>
Source record: 186812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

Brad Cuppy wrote:
>
> I just got a couple of letters from my congressman concerning this
> bill. He mentioned that he favors them that the restrictions of
> privacy that applies to law enforcement should apply to civiilians
> as well including scanners. His name is Joel Heffley (R-Colorado).
> Some people still don't get it. Why does the burden of privacy must fall
> on the scanner user instead of implementing encryption. The burden
> must be on the sender.
>
> Brad

Though I am a Republican, and usually it is the democratic party
that seeks to take things away from We the People, your congressman is
not very bright. It is time to replace any and all who stand for more
restrictions on reception of the spectrum.

It is the duty of the sender to encode any transmission not intended for
general public reception.

BIG BROTHER IS LURKING.
HE HATES FREEDOM. HE LOVES CONTROL.

--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

600. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34149235.7D2B@flash.net>
Source record: 186813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>
> Cliff Pearson <CliffP@ktvt.com> wrote:
>
> >Recently we've been hearing about funny scanner chatter. I thought
> >those of you who listen to scanners might like to hear some of the
> >kinds of phone conversations I hear one side of in the newsroom.
> >Those of you who work in law enforcement, I'm sure, can relate.
> >These are all ACTUAL PHONE CONVERSATIONS that I have heard one
> >side of.
>
> >Managing Editor: "Yes, ma'am, you have a UFO landing strip in your
> > backyard? Uh-huh, I see."
>
> >Health Reporter: "Yes sir, and exactly why do you feel the government
> > has implanted a biochip in your butt?"
>
> >General Reporter: "They beam voices into your head? Uh-huh."
>
> >Assignment Desk: "You want a news crew sent to your apartment
> > because your landlady won't fix your clogged
> > toilet?"
>
> >Assignment Desk: "No ma'am I'm sorry, but Dan Rather is not here.
> > He works out of New York. Right."
>
> >Assignment Desk: "I'm sorry but the weather anchor is currently
> > on the air so I can't let let you speak to her.
> > Would you like voice mail? No, I'm sorry, I
> > don't know why it didn't rain last night."
>
> >Anyone want to hear more?
>
> >Cliff Pearson
> >Assignment Editor
> >KTVT Channel 11 News
> >Dallas, Texas
>
> Actually.....No.
>
> How did you happen to hear these calls, Cliiff? Were they made to
> YOU? You heard only one side? HHhmmmm
>

> What does this have to do with the scanning hobby?
> dconti@carroll.com
> America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
> Ridgefield, New Jersey
> fax (201) 943-2379

maybe he used a scanner, but does not want to post that fact......
Dear Mr. Dip.
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

601. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ron Sharp"
Message-ID: <5v1ron$glt@drn.zippo.com>
Source record: 186814

In article , nf2g@ggw.org says...
>
>Digital phones are not necessarily encrypted. They are two different
>things.

If they were encrypted, then how would they manage the keys?

You'd have to have some sort of session key transmitted to both ends
from the central system (wrapped in a master system key).

Betcha they just use something as strong as ROT-13. :)

Ron Sharp.

602. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34149C19.11D2@flash.net>
Source record: 186815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

P Schaefr wrote:
>
> Brad Cuppy wrote:
> >
> > I just got a couple of letters from my congressman concerning this
> > bill. He mentioned that he favors them that the restrictions of
> > privacy that applies to law enforcement should apply to civiilians
> > as well including scanners. His name is Joel Heffley (R-Colorado).
> > Some people still don't get it. Why does the burden of privacy must fall
> > on the scanner user instead of implementing encryption. The burden
> > must be on the sender.
> >
> > Brad
> How did you get your congresmans email address?
> --
> Please delete "99" from my address to respond.
> Ban Spam!!!

Before you ban spam, ban junk mail in your REAL mailbox at home.
If you can deal with the junk mail in your mailbox, you can deal with
spam.
Freedom is more important than anything else.
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

603. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34149BA1.743F@flash.net>
Source record: 186816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

Charles W. Hall wrote:
>
> What pray tell is wrong with his attitude? As an contractor hired to work at
> Brooks AFB in Texas to work with privacy act information, I find his attitude
> that the sender/transmitter should be using encryption is the proper one.
> There is no excuse for not encrypting cell radio (they are radios, not
> telephones) calls, as the required circuitry would add about $5 to the set.
> Since most companies essentially give their cell sets away if you sign a
> service agreement (and you can't use cellular without some sort of service
> agreement) there is no real financial impact on the customer.
>
> Charles W. Hall
> Senior Programmer/Analyst
> Brooks AFB
> cwhall@hctc.net (home email)
> 210-536-3927 work

Charles, it is the right way to do things. The spectrum must be wide
open to public reception, and if a transmission is not intended for
reception by the public, the sender, if he decides to, has the option to
encode the transmission. Simply, neat and Constitutional, but it does
nothing to help those in office to gain power over the people! That is
why this will not become the law of the land.

604. Re: FS: AOR AR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikecalder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970908162700.MAA09804@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186817

>$600 is what they sell for new. If you think you can beat customs, try
>Bander.com, Multicomm2000 or several other outfits that have the AOR for
>$400-$410.

From what I can tell Bandercom (?) are pretty much an unknown qty. Never heard of them myself until recently. Never seen any reference to them here in th UK - reputable ?
It was said that M2000 were dropped by AOR (UK) earlier this year as one of their distributors. Doesn't really give you (me anyway) much confidence in them.

Mike.

605. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34149F0C.70BA@flash.net>
Source record: 186818
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Cliff Anchor wrote:
>
> Policemen and Policewomen are human-beings like anyone else. They come in
> all shapes and sizes, from every culture, minority and majority, and they
> bring with them their life's experiences into the workplace. Like doctors,
> dentists, politicians and stock market brokers, the majority are good
> people and seek to maintain good reputations for honesty and integrity and
> professionalism. And, just as in other professions, there are a handful
> whose conduct causes the majority of good cops to bear the brunt of the
> blame and fingerpointing.
>
> I am one of those outraged by what is alleged to have happened in the
> bathroom at NYPD, and for the above reasons. The people who allegedly
> perpetrated the outrage should never have worn a police uniform in the
> first place. They certainly did no favors for the good people in Law
> Enforcement, who have learned that when one serves the public, one leaves
> one's prejudices at home.
>
> I think it is ludicrous to state that *ALL* Law Enforcement personnel, or
> any other group are this or that. If the faces of those holding office
> reflect the faces in a community, there will be trust and social justice.
> Rather than attack the nearest thing at hand (in this case, Law
> Enforcement) I think we need to think of ourselves as an all-inclusive
> Family, as Americans and to work together to FEEL we ALL belong to that
> Family. The quickest way to destroy what we have is to delude ourselves
> that our problems within our country are unable to be resolved properly and
> peacefully. Those who corrupt our institutions of Government need to be
> booted out. Those who embrace the noble ideals of America that ALL
> Americans are equal and who work to strengthen those ideals, will
> themselves be strengthened and revered. For a Nation is only as strong as
> the weakest (poorest) link in the chain. The solution is NOT extremism and
> MORE extremism. It is having a love for one's country which is so deep that
> one looks upon a fellow being as one's kin. It is NOT wearing a flag on
> one's jacket or mumbling the National Anthem. It is what one feels in one's
> heart that counts.
>
> Police, by their very duties, are keepers of the peace. Such demands trust
> from all sides. The tragedy of the man in New York is bad enough, but there
> is a much bigger victim, and that is Public Trust. I think these postings
> in this NG echo public (and police) frustration with this issue. Let those
> with anger in their hearts toward police NOT demoralize or denigrate the
> good cops. Focus on the bad ones and the situations which allowed such a

> tragedy to occur. The first clean-up target should be Prejudice.
> Sincerely,
> Cliff Anchor
> NOSPAManchor@wclynx.com

Cliff, you are right. Except in countries where the people have no freedom. In a place like China, Cuba, N. Korea, Vietnam, etc. the police are enforcers of oppressive law. They are the arm of government that holds the people in place.
Thankfully, we do not face that situation in the USA.

--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

606. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: skypilot@starlink.com
Message-ID: <MPG.e7e4d979e46e9b4989690@news.starlink.com>
Source record: 186819

In article <341362DE.35E@scarlan.com>, soldeed@scarlan.com says...
> Fred wrote:
> >
> > In article <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
> > >Bill Schanks wrote:
> > >>
> > >> How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
> > >
> > >Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
> > >guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
> > >Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!
> >
> > ..EXCEPT KENTUCKY!!!!!!
>
> ALL 50 states!!!! Federal Law overides ANY state law!!!!!
>

Which Federal Law would this be?
I'm unaware of any Federal law saying that HAMs are allowed to use
scanners where they are otherwise illegal, as in vehicles, for instance.
There *IS* a Federal premptive law making it legal for HAMs to use
Amateur Radio equipment that also scans, and is capable of receiving
public service freqs. Is this what you are referring to? This law does
not apply to scanners, though. Both the FCC and the ARRL have been very
clear on that.

Bill Funk

607. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3414A2EC.1030@flash.net>
Source record: 186820
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

JerkyBoy wrote:
>
> > Yes, most police are good people. But, some are very bad indeed.
> > What we really need to focus on are how evil some of our Congressmen
> > have become. Then we have the various agencies like the EPA that seek
> > to control us through whatever means they can come up with.
> > Most of the new laws that remove freedom originate within the
> > increasingly evil Democratic party. Ed Markey who sponsors HR 1964 is
> > a democrat. Guess what the president (is) that passed the awful Brady
> > Bill, and the Assualt Weapons ban???? His name is Komrad Klinton and
> > he is a Democrat.
> >
>
> Hello Comrade!!! Don't you know? The Master Plan is for the New World Order
> (NWO) to socialize the planet, the USA first, since we will be the hardest,
> and once they knock us off first, the world will follow suit. (Check out
> this site for some info regarding NWO-
> http://www.police-against-nwo.com/index.htm)
> We will all then be taking orders from hypocrites like Ted Kennedy, who
> will preach to us
> the ways of the righteous (like he is one to talk).
>
> Also, what ever happened to "make the world American" instead of this
> so-called NWO crap?
> Inside everyone in the world is an American wanting to get out. Look at our
> great nation and see why this is so, look at our grocery stores, our "*"
> Marts, our plumbing, our lives... it is everything the worlds people want
> or desire. So why mess with NWO? The Dems are pushing the most (secretly)
> for it. We should stop this NWO crap and go for the MWA (Make the World
> American) philosophy and everything that it means, the Freedom, the
> Constitution, and the Bill of Rights... "We the People of the World...."
>
> First, they will go after your guns, after which you will be helpless to
> stop them from attacking your other liberties, such as freedom of the
> press, speech, religion, etc. Nazi Germany started in such fashion, some
> say that the American Revolutionary War was also started after the Brits
> decided to disarm the populace (or at least in part).
>
> Oh, boy! The Assault Weapon Ban! Why does anyone have a need to own such a
> gun? A gun designed for just one purpose? Everybody thats who. Why should
> just the military have such weapons? A government *for* the people *by* the
> people, and *OF* the people should have no problems with the populace

> having such weapons, that it, if it is truly *for* the people. Sure, there
> is some wackos out there, but why should the rest of us law abiding
> citizens have to pay the price? These same wacks will get guns regardless
> of what laws are our there restricting the citizens.
>
> Okay Okay, I can see keeping some weapons (like tact) nukes from getting
> into
> peoples hands (because we can't guard them night and day or because the
> terrorists would kill us for them if we had some in our houses ;-)! Just
> kidding!) Also, I am glad that full auto is outlawed, my ammo bills are
> already WAY to high as it is....
>
> Besides, what state was that that outlawed certain guns and almost over
> night the robberies and burglaries more than doubled? Because the criminals
> *knew* that the people they pillaged had no defense, they could rob at
> will. The states that pass CCW laws notice a decrease in criminal activity
> almost overnight.
>
> But wait! These decreases are because of the Crime Bill!! Isn't that
> right? Give that to Komrade Klinton, he will take credit for it, he takes
> credit for everything that he didn't do, especially the economy, which is
> really the "trickle down economy" legislation that George Bush passed, it
> just took awhile to get rolling. But Bill was the first to pounce on that
> one when it was out.
>
> Certain groups are now using this Di thing as a was to "regulate" the
> press- that is what the former USSR did once- why dont we just call the new
> press in the world "Pravda"?
>
> Oh, well, there is always the election 2000, pray that either Fred Thompsen
> or George Bush Jr runs for office to beat Gore. Freedom must be given a
> chance, and the Dems will take it all away from us if they could and spread
> it around, or so they say.
>
> "papers please".....

WOOOOOOW!!!!!!!!!!!!! A man who really knows what is going on!!!!!

I love it! Please do not stop telling the truth! The New World Order
crowd of followers are the goofs who seek a world under one government,
one flag, and a handfull of people will control it all.

If you have a hard time today maintaining your rigths, can you imagine
what life will be like when a super-government like the ugly U.N.
dictates to your country?

It is hard to believe that I am seeing such assualts on freedom in a
world, that only a few short years ago, was greatly seeking more
freedoms.

If the people of China woke up tommorow and said "We will overthrow
our commie dictators today", they could be free by midnight.

--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

608. Re: New RCA Scanner to hit market

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34149CE8.33BF@flash.net>
Source record: 186821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Steve S wrote:
>
> Al wrote:
> >
> > In article <EG1959.FA7@nonexistent.com>, dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M.
> > Conti) wrote:
> > ><snip>
> > >
> > > Many years ago I had an RCA crystal controlled base, with something
> > > called "Varactor Tuning" (What that was I never new), and it was a
> > > FINE scanner. Do not know if RCA made that one, or it was made for
> > > them by another company.
> > ><snip>
> > Veractor tuning is a method whereby the radio receiver constantly &
> > automatically peaks its sensitivity to whatever frequency is currently
> > being monitored.
>
> Not sure where Al went to school. Varactor is a contraction of "variable
> capacitor". The varactor is actually a diode which is driven with varying
> reverse DC voltage to separate the P and N regions. An AC cicuit, like a
> tuned oscillator, is connected to this diode and tuned. The greater the
> gap in the P-N region, the lower the capacitance. By pairing this with an
> inductor, a tuned resonator is developed. This allows the resonant
> frequency of the tank to be changed by a DC control voltage. I always
> thought this was a pretty clever idea. The most prolific military
> airborne UHF radio, the ARC-164, uses this tuning method in the
> synthesizer board.
>
> Steve S

Would you please draw a small example of what this tank would look
like if I used RS parts. I really enjoy making tank circuits, but I
have never used this method, and I have never seen an example of it to
duplicate for small transmitters.
Thanks!!
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

609. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3414A0C2.686B@flash.net>
Source record: 186822
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Galen Parth wrote:
>
> > What kind of a statement is that? I sure hope you're not LIVING in the
> > United States because you would find alot more people who fit your beliefs
> > in Iran.
>
> In Iran, if you disagree with the government, they kill you. In the
> United States, we have the God-given right to speak out in opposition
> if we see criminal acts, acts that violate the Constitution and civil
> liberties. I sure hope you're not opposed to THAT!

Did you hear that Al Gore has been caught in a big lie about the
funds he got from the nuns in California???? WOW, this is a big deal
and will likely kill his chances of running to replace our beloved
Komrad Klinton. What a damn shame! HEHE!
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

610. Re: Opinions on the Opto Scout?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3414A396.6756@flash.net>
Source record: 186823
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ted wrote:
>
> Hi my name is Ted and I have an Opto Scout andI an very happy with what
> it does. Dont bother to buy the antenna that comes with it. A regular
> telecoping antenna with a BNC end is your best bet. Dont expect it to
> pick up signals from a long distance away. Your best range with the
> telecoping antenna is 200-400 yards depending on the other stations
> power level. I find it work well at movie theaters, air shows The Fair,
> etc. If you have any more questions let me know.
>
> Ted

How much is it and where can I get one?
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

611. Re: winradio board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: martin.hayes@usa.net
Message-ID: <3414844b.2975804@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 186824

On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 14:34:14 GMT, lar01@sprynet.com (larry) wrote:

>greetings
>Has anyone used, or heard about someone elses experiences concering,
>the winradio board? I found their website and it cultivated my
>curiosity even more. price tag is about $600. Considering you are
>not paying for metal and other stuff associated with the traditional
>radio, its seems like it might be a good deal.
>Any opinions?
>p.s. send replay via email.
>Larry ve3fxq
>

I got mine for $499. Check out the recent issue of Monitoring Times -
it is advertized there by a few outlets for the same price.
It is a good product and I'm very happy with it.

Martin

612. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3414AAE3.72C0@flash.net>
Source record: 186825
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Alan Furman wrote:
>
> In article <5umnqd$ni1@sjx-ixn3.ix.netcom.com>,
> BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> > Remember: YOU CAN ALWAYS vote for your guy or gal.
>
> You have to get them on the ballot first. The ballot access laws are
> designed to prevent anyone but Republicrats from getting on the ballot.
> They are under the total control of Republicrat state legislators.
>
> As for write-in votes, Hawaii and Oklahoma no longer allow them. (The
> U.S. Supreme Court upheld both statutes, in 1992 and 1994, respectively.)
> This may make civics teachers look like liars when they tell the kiddies
> how we have open elections, but, hey, it's their *job*.
>
> > remember: Hitler was embraced by the populace who
> > brought him to power. They are as guilty
> > as he.
>
> ...but protected from any sanction by the sacred institution of the
> secret ballot. If you have qualms about fucking your neighbor over in
> person, then just vote to have agents of the State, paid and armed out
> of tax revenue, do it for you. You never have to look your victim in
> the eye.
>
> > Jesse Helms is embraced by the idiots who
> > fall for his BS and bring him to power. They
> > are as guilty as he.
>
> Blending into the "electorate" is even easier than blending into a
> lynch mob.
>
> > How this anachronism out of the McCarthy
> > days still gets re-elected will tell you
> > about the weaknesses of the voting system.
> >
> > Jesse Helms is a bully and was Hitler and
> > McCarthy whom Jesse embraced with loving
> > idolatry.
>
> Democracy is two Nazis and a Jew voting on how to make lampshades.
>

> > Now this idiot who is at work bringing to life
> > his vision of what reality is to be in the USA
> > should be...why no outcry against what he is
> > doing?
>
> The USA already has the world's highest incarceration rate (thanks
> to the War On Other Peoples' Drugs), taxes so high that the taxes
> that led to the American Revolution would seem like a blessing,
> a compulsory Ponzi scam (Socialist Insecurity) about to disintegrate,
> mountains of regulations, collapsing civil liberties (e.g. forfeiture),
> an irredeemable paper fiat currency (exactly like the celebrated
> Deutsche Reichmark of 1923), and on and on.
>
> The essence of the U.S. Constitution is S T R I C T L Y L I M I T E D
> G O V E R N M E N T. As an institution that operates by violence, its
> sole legitimate purpose is to protect individuals from fraud and
> coercion by thugs, con artists, tortfeasors, and attacking armies.
> But the American public has fallen for the sales pitch of the
> "Progressives:" You have the right to loot and/or push your neighbor
> around as much as you like, as long as a majority of voters go along.
> Everyone's freedom and livelihood thus become subject to the grace of
> the masses, to be violated at will by demagogues of the Two Party System.
>
> In 1942, the Supreme Court, crawling with Roosevelt lackies, ruled that
> growing grain and feeding it to animals ALL WITHIN THE SAME FARM is
> interstate commerce in that grain and therefore subject to unlimited
> regulation by the FedGov (Wickard vs. Filburn).
>
> If the public and intellectual elite are willing to eat this kind of
> Orwellian shit, then you may well be out of luck when it comes to
> scanners.
>
> > Hitler did not get into power illegally.
>
> That is correct. Reference: _Hitler's Justice: The Courts of the
> Third Reich_ by Ingo Moller (Harvard University Press)
>
> > Jesse Helms did not get into power illegally.
> >
> > Joe McCarthy the same.
> >
> > I guess bullies win too in a democratically
> > elected body of similar thinking bullies.
>
> It's MUCH worse than that.
>
> People who would never think of kicking in their neighbors' doors and
> aiming loaded guns at them for growing hemp plants in their closets,
> or hiring mafia goons to do it, will vote for narconazi demagogues
> who will pass laws which instruct police chiefs to order their chains

> of commands to do it anyway. Being concrete-bound mentalities, they
> can evade the fact that by marking their ballots they are, in fact,
> literally, hiring goons to initiate violence against their neighbors.
> The State provides ready-made rationalizations: Slogans ("send our
> young people the message" etc), flags, badges. The officeholders
> and police agents have an excuse for their physical bullying ("the
> will of the voters").
>
> The moral premise of democracy is that wrong becomes right if the
> majority approve.
>
> And so we have people who would never think of bullying their
> neighbors--people whom you would never think of as bullies--maybe even
> people like YOU--do precisely that via the State.
>
> > Where is the PRESS in regards the new anti-scanner
> > legislation?
> >
> > I guess they need to make a profit on a subject
> > before they investigate. Advertising revenue is the
> > bellwhether of our democracy today.
>
> The press is too busy whining about everybody else's profits and
> calling for more taxes, welfare, and regulations.
>
> If you want forthright, uncompromising advocates of individual liberty,
> try the Libertarian Party. http://www.lp.org
>
> --
> ====alanf@aimnet.com * LPC * LPUSA * ISIL * IOS * KoX * Netscab Squealer====
> LEGALIZE FREEDOM >>>> http://www.lp.org * UBI LIBERTAS IBI PATRIA
> "I see that the White House is like a subway--you have to put in coins to
> open the gates." Johnny Chung, quoted in Washington Post, 7/27/97, p. A16

Johnny Chung is a representative to the Commie Chinese Government.

In some instances, you make good and valid points, but you are over
the edge on a few.
Since you refer to "your neighbors" doing you wrong so often, and the
fact that your endorsing the goofy libertarian party, you must have
been busted for smoking pot!
Let me guess,,, you want dope legalized. Is that it?
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

613. MNManiac's Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hello <hello@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <341489D3.5DA@eatme.com>
Source record: 186826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Drew, Drew, Drew. Are you getting into trouble again? Found this on DejaNews.

Subject: The Peoples Republic of Orange County Schools
From: mnmaniac@aol.com (MN Maniac)
Date: 1997/06/03
Message-Id: <19970603012501.VAA21873@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Newsgroups: fl.politics
[More Headers]

What do y'all make of this situation?

I'm a "Power Walker", which means I take long, brisk walks as part of my daily workout routine. I average 8 miles/day, 6 days/week. I live in a fairly new Orange County subdivision with a neighborhood elementary school. Since we moved here last July, my daily walks have taken me through the parking lot of the school. Not into the school building, not
onto the playground; simply across the length of the parking lot. For the
past 11 months, nobody has had a problem with this. Today, I was stopped
by a security guard and questioned as to what I was doing there. After I explained that I lived in the neighborhood and was on my daily walk, I was
told that all "visitors" on the school grounds must sign in and be assigned a "badge." When asked why nobody had mentioned anything to me over the past 11 months that I have been walking through there on almost a
daily basis, I was told that it was because "a parent called and complained. She was concerned about the childrens' safety."

So, let me get this straight...even though I am a law-abiding citizen who
PAYS for that school with my property tax dollars...even though I had done
nothing suspicious, committed no crime, and did nothing to endanger the safety of anyone at that school...even though I have no children of my own, but yet am forced to pay ridiculously high school taxes to support the education of other people's children...I cannot walk through the PARKING LOT of my neighborhood school without being given official permission to do so, simply because a parent (whose children I am supporting with my property tax dollars) feels that my walking through the

school parking lot may be a threat to the "childrens' safety?!"

If there are any legal professionals in the audience, I would like an opinion as to whether or not they have a legal right to prohibit me from walking on the premises. If not, what is my legal recourse in the event that they attempt to force me from the premises?

-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-

614. cordless freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Ryan <crtoy@fyiowa.infi.net>
Message-ID: <3414804D.4CCD@fyiowa.infi.net>
Source record: 186827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.rec.scanner

Where can I get a current list of all 46-49 Mhz & unscrambled 900 Mhz cordless freqs.?

615. Re: BC Trunk Tracker any good??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <34148EB2.7A88@tir.com>
Source record: 186828

Stop SPAM !!!!! wrote:
>
> Hi!! I was wondering if the BC Trunk Tracker was a decent scanner. I'm
> interested in full coverage (even if have to mod.), programmable steps,
> yadda..yadda..yadda. Model BC235XLT. What is a good price for this unit
> new?? All input is appreciated. Thanks, Timm ... timk29@mc.net

I've had it for a while now. Clarity, sporous signal rejection, scan speed, amount of channels are all good. Don't get it thinking you can cell mod it, nothing out on that yet as far as I know. The only complaint I have is volume level. It's pretty weak. Other than that is works very well in both trunktrack mode and standard mode.

Good Luck........

616. Re: New messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Kralicky <jkralicky@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341494D8.C24F6561@usa.net>
Source record: 186829

Kevin,

I've had that very *annoying* problem, using Netscape 3.01, but have since downloaded Netscape (4.0) Communicator, and the problem seems to be solved.

- Joe Kralicky

kevin wrote:

> Is anyone else having a problem in this group? I've been in many others
> that work fine,but here all the posts come up marked as read. Other than
> that ...no troubles. But it is kind of a pain. Please let me know. Thanks!
> --
>
> Kevin
>
>
> Only believe
> half of what you see and none of what you hear........ Lou Reed

617. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3414AD0F.2CB4@flash.net>
Source record: 186830
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Joseph Feng wrote:
>
> In article <340E5F8B.50F3@flash.net>, on Thu, 04 Sep 1997 02:13:15 -0500,
> "David..." <david084@flash.net> writes:
> >
>
> ....absolutely nothing of relevance to either of the *.radio.scanner
> newsgroups. He is so self-absorbed in his mental masturbation that he does
> not have the competence, courtesy, or maturity to post his rantings and
> ravings only in the relevant areas. If he were not so selfish and childish,
> maybe we could feel sorry for him. Too bad he does not have any friends or
> a real life.

Spoken like a true democrat who has a government job!
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

618. FS 60-905 MHz (no gaps) all-mode receiver/scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: miker <mreiney@SPAMNOThevanet.com>
Message-ID: <34144766.61C5@SPAMNOThevanet.com>
Source record: 186831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale

YAESU FRG-9600 UHF/VHF RECEIVER/SCANNER
ALL-MODE AM/FM/USB/LSB MODES
FM WIDE/NARROW FILTER SELECTIONS
100 MEMORIES
SCANS
60-905 MHZ, NO GAPS
NOT CELL BLOCKED
FULLY COMPUTER PROGRAMMABLE
WITH RS-232 INTERFACE CABLE AND WINDOWS CONTROL PROGRAM
12VDC OPERATION
INCLUDES COPIES OF OPERATOR AND SERVICE MANUALS.
VIDEO OUT WITH OPTIONAL DETECTOR MODULE, NOT INCLUDED.
VERY GOOD CONDITION
There is lots of info on this machine at
http://members.aol.com/FRG9600/Yaesu.html

There=92s nothing like this radio at anywhere near this price. Next step=

up
is Icom 7000/7100, but one will cost you 2-3X the $.

$299 Plus Shipping. (about $10) from 97223 (Portland, Oregon)

-- =

Please remove NOSPAM from return address before replying.

619. Re: LAPD Tone signaling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ric Marshall <cfpa418@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <34143C81.741D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186832

Duane Park wrote:
>
> Thanks, for responding, I know that it is not MDC-600 or 1200,
> and it's also not GE-STAR, They are using Motorola ASTRO radios...
> OK, could be DTMF, but the tones don't sound like dual tones....
> Thanks again for responding... Duane Park
>
> > What you are hearing is either DTMF or 5/6 Tone ANI = Automatic Number
> > Identification. Basically every time the radio PTT button is pressed
> > the radio will send out the programmed series of tones. The tones may
> > be sent at the begining, end, or both of each tx. Some systems allow
> > for an ID to be sent after set periods so that during a lenghty
> > exchange the ID would only be sent a few times, v. every PTT press.
> >
> > DTMF is popular in the US, 5/6 tone is more popular in Europe,
> > although it is used in the US, and I belive LA is 5/6.
> >
> > You may wish to look at WinTone at http://www.steaksandwich.com for
> > software to decode the ANI's. Other dedicated decoders are availabe,
> > check the External Devices section of my web page, URL below. Tone
> > frequencies can be found on the Tone & Signalling section.
> >
> > Other digital systems exist, popular exampes:
> >
> > Motorola MDC-1200
> > Ericsson/GE - GE-STAR
> > Midan - Zap
> >
> > They sound like a "sccreeech" at the same time frames as a above.
> >
> >
> > --
> > I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?
> > --
> > ** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
> > Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
> > http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
> > Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> > http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
> > Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls
> > --
> > "Through the gateway, off the repeater,
> > over the backbone, nothing but net!"
> > --

> > Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
> > any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
> > is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
> > US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
> >
> >
it is modat. a motorola signaling ider.

620. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3414ABE2.71F6@flash.net>
Source record: 186833
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Andy Domonkos wrote:
>
> Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if
> everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat, it's the only way to get
> rid of the strangle-hold on our Constitution. The longer the 2 parties stay
> in power, the more they will pick away at our rights. It's becoming barely
> recognizable as it is now anyway..
>
> Like I said, scanner rights will be the least of our worries. Be prepared
> to be ridiculed. Patriot is now a 4-letter word thanks to the media.

You are so right! Forget the libertarians though.
Patriot means that you own 'evil guns' and that you probably are
anti-government......It means that you lived in Waco for awhile, and now
you support a radical overthrow by force of the kindly, small,
non-intrusive government that "feels your pain".

How long untill the media/ Klintonistas do to arms producers what
they have done to tabacco producers?

621. Huntsville, Al. SmartNET update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ResQ551 <ResQ551@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3414B469.7817@juno.com>
Source record: 186834

<encoded_portion_removed>

622. Re: Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34148EC1.EBA@flash.net>
Source record: 186835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> On Wed, 03 Sep 1997 19:32:33 -0400, Chris Figueroa <sifig@li.net>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm about to but the Yupiteru MVT7100 from a Canadain dealership. What
> >are the chances of it being confiscated by US Customs or any other
> >goverment agency on it's way to the US (I live in New York). Am I just
> >being paranoid or is it a valid concern b/c of this scanners cellular
> >capability. Any help by post or e-mail will be greatly appreciated.
>
> Chances are very slim that anyone on the US border will look twice at
> your scanner. Even if they find that the cell-phone frequencies are
> unblocked, there is no law against possession of such a scanner.
> There is a law against receiving signals on those bands, however. (not
> one of the better examples of our country's legislative process)
>
> Most of the postings you've seen here are from paranoid "they'll pry
> my scanner from my cold, dead hands" types. There are several pieces
> of proposed legislation which are causing an uproar right now, but for
> the present, no law against possession of a cell-phone capable scanner
> exists.
>
> You may want to make sure that scanner is not mounted or operating in
> your car, however. Several states (Michigan comes to mind) have
> outlawed the use of a scanners in cars unless you happen to possess an
> amateur radio license. The same also goes for radar detectors.
>
> Have a nice visit!
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

MICHIGAN SUCKS!
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

623. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341491C7.3591@flash.net>
Source record: 186836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Cliff Pearson wrote:
>
> Recently we've been hearing about funny scanner chatter. I thought
> those of you who listen to scanners might like to hear some of the
> kinds of phone conversations I hear one side of in the newsroom.
> Those of you who work in law enforcement, I'm sure, can relate.
> These are all ACTUAL PHONE CONVERSATIONS that I have heard one
> side of.
>
> Managing Editor: "Yes, ma'am, you have a UFO landing strip in your
> backyard? Uh-huh, I see."
>
> Health Reporter: "Yes sir, and exactly why do you feel the government
> has implanted a biochip in your butt?"
>
> General Reporter: "They beam voices into your head? Uh-huh."
>
> Assignment Desk: "You want a news crew sent to your apartment
> because your landlady won't fix your clogged
> toilet?"
>
> Assignment Desk: "No ma'am I'm sorry, but Dan Rather is not here.
> He works out of New York. Right."
>
> Assignment Desk: "I'm sorry but the weather anchor is currently
> on the air so I can't let let you speak to her.
> Would you like voice mail? No, I'm sorry, I
> don't know why it didn't rain last night."
>
> Anyone want to hear more?
>
> Cliff Pearson
> Assignment Editor
> KTVT Channel 11 News
> Dallas, Texas

HAHAHA! Truely amazing!
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

624. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34149AE6.6421@flash.net>
Source record: 186837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

Tom Jones wrote:
>
> >Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com> wrote:
> >
> >>I just got a couple of letters from my congressman concerning this
> >>bill. He mentioned that he favors them that the restrictions of
> >>privacy that applies to law enforcement should apply to civiilians
> >>as well including scanners. His name is Joel Heffley (R-Colorado).
> >>Some people still don't get it. Why does the burden of privacy must fall
> >>on the scanner user instead of implementing encryption. The burden
> >>must be on the sender.
> >
> >> Brad
> >
> Daniel M. Conti,(dconti@carroll.com) replied:
>
> >As long as many of the postings here include folks fawning at what
> >they "overheard" from phone calls, we should not at all be surprised
> >at the attitude of folks like the one above.
> >dconti@carroll.com
> >America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
> >Ridgefield, New Jersey
> >fax (201) 943-2379
>
> Right you are, but here's the bottom line- that is re-printed here- it was
> CENSORED off a certain, unnamed scanList, I assume because the ListKommisar
> didn't like the implications.(?)
> --
> Xxxxx, I know you are right, as far as it goes...The legitimate side of the
> hobby will die.
>
> However, as is always the case, UNITED STATES prohibitions only create an
> even larger trade for contraband. Drugs are only one example. If the
> Government tells Americans they can't have something, there is something in
> our character that makes us prove them wrong. As far as information in
> newsgroups is concerned, can they really stop me from subscribing to a
> newsgroup devoted to scanning that is based in Vancouver BC? Or a
> newsgroup devoted to "illegal" mods from Denmark? I think they would have
> a short run at enforcement, once they tried something like that. Also, the
> free speech issues, from the standpoint of content providers, is just
> waiting in the wings, ready to jump out and bite these boys on the ass, if
> they try enforcing the informational restrictions inherent in this law,
> IMHO.

>
> While it will be illegal to produce these new units in the US, it will not
> only create a booming cottage industry at home,keeping the 'old stuff' up
> and running, but third world countries will, I am sure, be only too glad to
> build and smuggle new ones.
>
> Of course, that will drive up the cost of the hobby, but we'll pay it. As
> it is, look how many millions of Americans are MORE than willing to pay
> $250 for $10 worth of Pot! Somehow, I can't see too many scannists OR Ham
> operators just rolling over and giving all their equipment a swim, simply
> because the Government decided to enlarge the Criminal Class. That's
> really what it's all about. Fines, supervision fees, seizures...the things
> that make this economy great! (excuse the tongue in my cheek!) Those guys
> inside the beltway REALLY cheese me off!
>
> -tj
>
> Stop H.R. 1964/2369 or lose your right to listen to non broadcast radio!!!
> (legally)

A hunting we will go....A hunting we will go.......My dear
congressman, A hunting we will go........

Brady Bill, Assualt Weapons Ban (what a joke and a lie), Assualt on
legal use of Tabacco, FBI wants to enable a law which allows them to
use a roving, computerized wiretap of 1% of all US phones, The attempt
in 1995 to regulate the Internet(the CDA law), more heavy handed rules
just passed by Clinton that are from the EPA, and now the attempt to
greatly restrict the use of scanners.

WE must hunt and find all the names of any and every congressman who
supports any of the above, including and especially HR 1963 and HR 2369.

POST THOSE NAMES HERE SO WE CAN ALL SEE! My congressman will be
polled soon and the results will be posted here. A hunting we will
go.....for the names of the Big Brother society inside the Beltway.

625. Re: Minneapolis Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Walsh <emw-1@worldnet.att.net.SPAMSUCKS>
Message-ID: <3414ADDF.E9D85057@worldnet.att.net.SPAMSUCKS>
Source record: 186838
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a web page posted at http://home.att.net/~emw-1/mmp.html that
should give you a pretty good start. You will find police and fire for
Minneapolis, St. Paul and many suburbs.

Hope that you will find it to be helpful.

Joseph Olson wrote:

> Does anyone have a current list of frequencies for Minneapolis, MN??
> I am
> lookking for PD, FD, EMS, Sheriff, State Patrol. Thanks in advance!!
>
> Joseph

--
Ed Walsh
Home Page: http://home.att.net/~emw-1/
Minnesota Radio Monitoring Page: http://home.att.net/~emw-1/mmp.html

626. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rivero@accessone.com
Message-ID: <5v2bvcahc1@blaze.accessone.com>
Source record: 186839
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3414ACA6.5A89@flash.net>, David Abrams <david084@flash.net> wrote:
>Michael Rivero wrote:
>>
>> In article <341f8178.191212575@news.zippo.com>,
>> James Pinkerpound <Pinker@rocketmail.com> wrote:
>> >On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 07:41:43 -0500, mike1@nospam.visi.com
>> >(Michael*Schneider) wrote:
>> >
>> >>In article <01bcbafc$904e3260$da97accf@domonkos>, "Andy Domonkos"
>> >><domonkos@erols.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>> Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if
>> >>> everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat....
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."
>> >>
>> >> British tyranny in the colonies increased bit-by-bit, day-by-day until
>> >>shots were fired at Lexington and Concorde.
>> >>
>> >
>> >Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?
>>
>> I'm not. I'm terrified of the prospect. But as Robert Kennedy
>> said (before he was shot) "When peaceful revolution is impossible,
>> violent revolution is inevitable", and he is correct in that
>> assessment.
>>
>> >Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?
>> >You know, it's not like Lexington. We vote now. Having been a poll
>> >watcher, I think incredibly few elections don't produce the correct
>> >winner - total shock that this may be: Clinton really won.
>>
>> Given the allegations of voter fraud that have erupted in the wake of the
>> 1996 elections, that may be presumptuous yto say right now. One thing is
>> for certain, if Clinton vilated election laws (which it appears he did)
>> and if he funded his campaign in part with drug money (whch we KNOW he did)
>> then his victory is invalidated.
>
> What a party I would throw if Uncle Billy and his commie friends were
>booted out of D.C.!!!

I have this killer pasta salad I can bring!

--
RANCHO RUNNAMUKKA | Special Effects / Documentary Films
Mike & Claire - The Rancho Runnamukka http://www.accessone.com/~rivero/
The views expressed here ARE the views of the management!

627. 2 Meter Mobile for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lou Roy <markr@esslink.com>
Message-ID: <3414B9FF.7E22@esslink.com>
Source record: 186840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Alinco DR-112 very small 50 watt mobile rig measuring 5 1/2 x 6 1/4 x 1
1/2. It also comes with a 1/4 mag mount antenna. Unable to locate
manual, however, it
should be easy to get a copy. It has all the normal features - 10
memories; high/low power; etc, etc.
$150 for everything +shipping (from CT)
email
Lou
markr@esslink.com
```

628. Re: British Airways Company Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tgold@microvest.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <873740631snz@microvest.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 186841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <5uvru9$72q$1@news.goodnet.com>
jamoran@mail.goodnet.com "John Moran" writes:

> hi
> I'm looking for either VHF and also HF/Shortwave frequencies that are used
> by British Airways. want company frequencies!

Try tuning around in the 100kHz below the 17m band, say around
18MHz plus or minus 50kHz. I've often heard SSB tranmissions which
sounded like aircraft crew with UK accents.

Regards,
--
Tony - G3SKR / W2TG email: tgold@panix.com

629. Re: Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: stank@off.you
Message-ID: <34152686.19235288@news.zippo.com>
Source record: 186842
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 06 Sep 1997 09:37:29 -0400, nospam <nospam@screwed.net>
wrote:

>Didnt you see the date-line on NBC that covered the
>way the stae of Lousiana implements anti-drug laws
>to target 50 year old innocent GRANDMA coming back
>from visiting their grandchildren in the state of
>florida . NBC said that the JUDGE who is going to decide
>you case gets 20% of whatever the police seizes. He gets nothing
>otherwise. So, which way do you think that that JUDGE will decide
>SIR?

What the christ does this have to do with a scanner or the
conversation about importing scanners into the US? Nothing.

>Now, that women is an all-american white GRANDMA.
>
>If the law enforcement can screw her, they might just love to
>use that toilet plunger on you, (and ask you to provide the JELLY
>or MOTOR OIL)!

Ok...the Louisiana cops took an old lady's car. This relates to
scanners how?

>NOW, I am just another damn fucking (legal) immigrant in
>your country. I guess that in another few years, all of
>us might have those toilet plungers forced up our asses anyway!!!

Oh. This explains a lot. Why don't you just get the fuck out of
the country you piece of shit towel head. You seem to be a real
ingrate.

630. Re: Uniden 235xlt info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <34146C19.F3C@tir.com>
Source record: 186843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mad Dog!! wrote:
>
> Hi guys (and gals),
>
> This is UN-FRIGGIN-BELIEVABLE !! The Rat Shack store here in Canada
> now has the trunk tracker (235xlt) available. You know, the 300
> channel portable, and what a bargain it is toooooo.
>
> For the low low price of only $599.00 + (don't forget about our
> rip-off gov't) you have to add 15% tax to that. Yep - NOT a typo 15%
> tax (8% provincial sales tax + 7% Goods and Services tax). I'm not a
> mathematician but this comes to a GRAND TOTAL of $688.85 !!!!!!!
>
> This just blows me away! Almost $700.00 for a handheld portable that
> has a real value of $299.00 (U.S.) The sales? man justified this by
> insisting I would get an EXTRA BATTERY PACK!!!!! WOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Come on!!! gimme a break.
>
> Talk about an out and out BLATANT RIP-OFF!!
>
> Just to make sure you have the right info, I priced this at the store
> in beautiful Windsor, Ontario, Canada.
>
> Radio Shack
> 1640 Huron Church Rd.,
> Windsor, Ontario
> Canada
>
> Phone (519) 258-7631
>
> I know that someone in this newsgroup will post a better place to
> purchase one of these units. The Rat shack here in Canada should be
> ashamed of themselves.

Hope you have internet browser capabilities cuz here goes. Go to
"yahoo" and type in "bc235xlt", or "trunktracker". You'll get numerous
hits...go from there.....

Good Luck....

631. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <341457C7.E60@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186844

Your
> amateur license should be good in all 50 states for mobile use of a
> scanning recevier.
>
> On the idea that you should become a ham just because you can use a
> mobile scanner - I think its a bad idea. If you just want to use a
> scanner in your car and there is a way to get local persission to do so
> then do it that way.

Unfortunatly that is either too difficult or impossible, and who are you to say why someone should get a ham license? It is perfectly legal to get one just to listen to scanners so if that is what someone wants to do, there is nothing you can do about it.

> You should become an amateur radio operator
> because you are interested in radio as a hobby and/or have desire to
> provide some public service.

Both of these are covered by most scanner listeners, so you have no point.

> You should become a ham because you are interested in at least a
> part of ham radio.
>

RADIO MONITORING is HALF of what ham radio is!!

632. CHECK THIS OUT!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "E.Thompson" <max@zianet.com>
Message-ID: <3414C5E2.4EA4@zianet.com>
Source record: 186845

http://komodo.ebay2.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=248899

633. Meaning of DRE (Similar to PBT)--Tekbir's Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tekbir <wbeachy@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3414621F.18DB@erols.com>
Source record: 186846

I know that what a PBT operator is (Portable Breathalizer Test), but
what is a DRE (Drug R.... E.....)?
--
Tekbir (William Matthew Beachy)
The Polytonic Harmony Home Page
http://www.erols.com/wbeachy

634. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3414AC27.6167@flash.net>
Source record: 186847
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Michael*Schneider wrote:
>
> In article <01bcbafc$904e3260$da97accf@domonkos>, "Andy Domonkos"
> <domonkos@erols.com> wrote:
>
> > Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if
> > everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat....
>
> "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."
>
> British tyranny in the colonies increased bit-by-bit, day-by-day until
> shots were fired at Lexington and Concorde.
>
> So it will be here.
>
> ---
>
> Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
> Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.
>
> -- Guy Norman LaFrance

Most Jews in the USA are Democrats. Democrats passed the Brady Bill
and the Assualty Weapons Ban.
--
*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

635. Re: cordless freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: freyr@nash.net
Message-ID: <freyr-ya02408000R0809971824290001@news.nc5.infi.net>
Source record: 186848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.rec.scanner

Scott Ryan wrote:

> Where can I get a current list of all 46-49 Mhz & unscrambled 900 Mhz
> cordless freqs.?

Radio Shack. Police call. You can search between 900 and 928 Mhz for those phones, but the only thing that I've ran across was my computer's mouse at 922.7375. Hope you have better luck.

636. Re: Confiscated Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: patrick.mccraughtch@juno.com
Message-ID: <34197113.10805450@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 186849
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 08 Sep 1997 16:27:11 GMT, stank@off.you (Camel Jockey) wrote:

>Oh. This explains a lot. Why don't you just get the fuck out of
>the country you piece of shit towel head. You seem to be a real
>ingrate.

I hope you feel the same way when they come after YOUR stuff. Then we
can tell YOU to get the fuck out when you complain and wonder where
your rights went. Ah ha ha ha ha ha! I hope I'm around to see you
piss and moan about it!

637. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3414ACA6.5A89@flash.net>
Source record: 186850
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Michael Rivero wrote:
>
> In article <341f8178.191212575@news.zippo.com>,
> James Pinkerpound <Pinker@rocketmail.com> wrote:
> >On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 07:41:43 -0500, mike1@nospam.visi.com
> >(Michael*Schneider) wrote:
> >
> >>In article <01bcbafc$904e3260$da97accf@domonkos>, "Andy Domonkos"
> >><domonkos@erols.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>> Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if
> >>> everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat....
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."
> >>
> >> British tyranny in the colonies increased bit-by-bit, day-by-day until
> >>shots were fired at Lexington and Concorde.
> >>
> >
> >Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?
>
> I'm not. I'm terrified of the prospect. But as Robert Kennedy
> said (before he was shot) "When peaceful revolution is impossible,
> violent revolution is inevitable", and he is correct in that
> assessment.
>
> >Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?
> >You know, it's not like Lexington. We vote now. Having been a poll
> >watcher, I think incredibly few elections don't produce the correct
> >winner - total shock that this may be: Clinton really won.
>
> Given the allegations of voter fraud that have erupted in the wake of the
> 1996 elections, that may be presumptuous yto say right now. One thing is
> for certain, if Clinton vilated election laws (which it appears he did)
> and if he funded his campaign in part with drug money (whch we KNOW he did)
> then his victory is invalidated.

What a party I would throw if Uncle Billy and his commie friends were
booted out of D.C.!!!

638. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3414ADA2.1AA@flash.net>
Source record: 186851
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Galen Parth wrote:
>
> >I just quit a job working for a govt contractor specializing in
> >surveillance/counter surveillance electronics. The media portrayed 'Militia
> >Paranoia' pales in comparison to our govt's. What/who are they afraid of,
> >an informed public.
>
> I know DOZENS of people who have scanners. They monitor police, fire,
> ambulance, government and the local dog catcher. None of them are
> likely to commit "anti-government" violence. They are HOBBYISTS, as
> am I. Most of them seem to be horribly alarmed at this scanner ban
> development, seeing it as yet another intrusion by the government into
> our private lives. Why do they want to ban our scanners? Why do
> they really want to get rid of CB? We know they hate talk radio. The
> only people they really like are those (some in this newsgroup) who
> are good little robots, believing everything some government pinhead
> says. Protect your radios, folks. They may not disappear right away,
> but this legislation could lead us down the road to the same sort of
> break-in-your-door-and-kill-you mentality seen in Nazi Germany and
> Communist China, where it was/is illegal to listen to shortwave
> broadcasts outside the country.

WOW! Good point! I didnt know the Feds hate our CBs too!

If you look at all of what you posted, it would appear that the FEDs
do not like the idea of the people having the ability to talk to each
other on a large scale, and the ability to listen to what they, the
FEDs are up to via scanner.
--

*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

639. Re: New RS Dicone assembly question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: pkelly5@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3414D7B9.2B1D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186852

Vincent Charron wrote:
>
> To any that have put together the new RS Discone (20-043):
>
> On the top of the apex assembly where the 50 mhz whip screws on, there
> is a nut screwed on tight against the round plate holding the small
> radials. It is unclear in the instructions if that nut must be removed
> before screwing on the whip.
>
> Some of the illustrations of the antenna are misleading because some
> show the nut, and some without it?
>
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Vince C.

I'm not trying to be a smart ass here (but what the heck), but have you tried asking for assistance and knowledgable help at the store where you bought the RS antenna?

Oh...uh...never mind.

Hey! Anyone out there know how to help this guy!

Patrick Kelly
been there,done that

640. Re: Discone Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: pkelly5@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <341496EA.5038@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gene Kelley wrote:
>
> On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 14:17:28 GMT, mead@dg-rtp.dg.com (Glenn Mead)
> wrote:
>
> >Tom,
> >
> >I don't know anything about the new RS discone, but I can tell you that I am
> >VERY happy with the diamond. I use it as a multi purpose antenna. It is
> >primarily used for scanning, but has also been used on 2m, 70cm, 6m and 11m.
> >It is very well built, mine is at the top of a 90' Carolina Tower (that's a
> >pine tree for you northerners :), and it made it through Hurricane Fran.
> >
> >Glenn - ke4zea
> >
> >ps - One of the differences between the diamond and the last RS disconne I
> >saw is that the RS does not have the top verticle, which adds to the low band
> >performance.
> >
> >
> The New Discone from RS DOES have a top vertical element. It is also
> more ruggedly built.
>
> It's probably the same antenna as the diamond, although not having
> owned a diamond I couldn't tell you for sure.
>
> I have replaced both of my old RS discones with two new ones and I am
> very happy with the performance.

I'm glad RS are reworking the discone. Mine literally rattled apart on
the roof and I stepped up to the Diamond, which is a gem. Solid as a
frigging rock. Now I have 3 and I find it hard to believe that RS could
redesign a discone that is as sturdy as the Diamond outside of buying
them and putting their name on it. If I bought another discone, it'd be
a Diamond.

Patrick

641. Re: BC895 Computer Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Lipps <plipps@onramp.net>
Message-ID: <3414556D.4CEA5866@onramp.net>
Source record: 186904

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
--------------B789A060549EB66A2F264869
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Michael,

I scanned and attached the advertisement that comes with the BC895XLT for the
Scanner Master software to this posting. There are two files, named front.jpg
and back.jpg. Let me know if you have any trouble reading them. This will give
you all the information I have about the software.

Paul Lipps
plipps@onramp.net
```

MAlexder wrote:
>
> Anyone have a chance to look over this scanner's computer control
> capabilities? I'm curious about the "Scanner Master" software that is said
> to be soon available for the scanner. Who is the software developer--same
> folks who publish Scanner Master guides?. What does the software actually
> do? Control the scanner; manage freqs; etc. etc. ? Can you load the scanner
> with freqs from a database?
> Michael Alexander

```
--------------B789A060549EB66A2F264869
Content-Type: image/jpeg; x-mac-type="4A504547"; x-mac-creator="3842494D"; name="front.jpg"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Description: Adobe Photoshop® 4.0.1 Document
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="front.jpg"

<encoded_portion_removed>
HgUxOhf/2Q==
--------------B789A060549EB66A2F264869
Content-Type: image/jpeg; x-mac-type="4A504547"; x-mac-creator="3842494D"; name="back.jpg"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Description: Adobe Photoshop® 4.0.1 Document
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="back.jpg"

<encoded_portion_removed>
g/8AEp6P1T/OkpUp/wASeonZi90uag94if/Z
--------------B789A060549EB66A2F264869--
```

642. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Groucho <rjgrocho@rockdal.aud.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <34141EDB.15FB7483@rockdal.aud.alcatel.com>
Source record: 186905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Cliff Pearson wrote:
>
[snip - some very funny stuff]

Looks like you have the raw material for a great book! Put me on the "preferred customer" list ... I'll buy one as soon as they're available.

Groucho

643. Re: Trunk Tracker Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@eat_spam.fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <34142919.794B@eat_spam.fnal.gov>
Source record: 186906

PNTX wrote:
>
> Bought TT Fri. night, had to exchange it Sat AM.
> I programed 27 frequencies into bank #1. The unit would only scan the
> first 19. I did not know this till the data channel changed and the scanner
> could not find it. It was probably just a fluke, but it is a good idea to
> scan your trunked frequencies in the conventional mode to make sure that
> they are all being scanned.

It's possible to enter trunking freqs in a non-trunk programming mode
and they won't work while scanning/searching in the trunking mode. Be
sure you have programmed in all trunking freqs while in the trunking
program mode.

(To reply, remove eat_spam. from address)
Rick Colombo@fnal.gov

644. Bearcat Battery Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc <marc@kosher.com>
Message-ID: <3414B4A8.A5DDCBD@kosher.com>
Source record: 186907

Does anyone know a replacement for the SCR IC601 in the BP205 battery pack? Battery for a 100 XLT
Thanks!

Numbers on it are L4810CV

645. Re: When you SELL something here PLEASE .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com
Message-ID: <970909000102348@thekat.cts.com>
Source record: 186908

```
-> From: "Bob Brubaker" <bob@belvoir.army.mil>
-> Subject: When you SELL something here PLEASE .....
->
->
-> When you SELL something here PLEASE ....
->
-> include your location, your 10-20, ur QTH whatever you call it.
->
-> Some us would prefer to see the stuff your selling.

Even BETTER would be to use rec.radio.swap.
```

646. Cell re-blocking Xplorer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMae826@leo.nmc.edu
Message-ID: <34148749.0@zeus.moa.net>
Source record: 186909

Does anyone know if the Xplorer can have cellular re-blocked again? Before all you guys and gals email me saying you'll trade me your blocked version for an unblocked one, I just want to see what I can find out there that isn't cellular. There are so many cellsites anymore, that either I can never get anything that isn't cellular. I know I could buy a lowpass filter and cut off everything 800, or see if there is a software solution.

I know that the US model used to be software restorable, but I wonder if you can also block it again by issuing some sort of computer command or keyboard command. Of course, I would like to be able to restore it again for what reason I don't know.

Tom

To reply, remove the NOSPAM from my email address.

647. FS: Yupiteru MVT 9000 Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: moorerl@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <34136a29.10362997@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186952

This radio is a 1000 channel handheld, manufactured in Japan, and not
sold in the US.
Specifications:

Frequency Range - .5310 to 2039.000 Mhz
Receiver modes - FM, W-FM, N-AM, AM, LSB, USB, CW
Dual Channel mode (2VFO)
Priority Channels - 10
Memory Banks - 20
Search Banks - 20
Search rate - 30 steps/sec
Scan rate - 30 channels/sec.
Power - 4 Nicad batteries @ 4.8 DC (total)
Soft Carry Case - included

Include two English manuals and a "Owners Guide" by Rich
Wells.
Carry Strap
Ear Phone
Antenna
No battery charger

I purchased this radio new in May. Only serious inquiries
invited. I will accept offers over $600 (US) and I will pay the
shipping, if in the US.
Interested parties please e-mail me at:
moorerl@ix.netcom.com

648. Re: I have lot's of frequencies I'm willing to trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: vwillys@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970908030901.XAA14370@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186953

I have the Seattle scanner book

649. Re: Police Codes Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: warn@ridgecrest.ca.us
Message-ID: <5uvtio$ed8$1@ash.ridgecrest.ca.us>
Source record: 186954

I think it would be a pure coincidence if any 2 PDs anywhere had exactly
the same 10 codes. Here 10-29 is a request for a check on vehicle,
person, property, etc.
10-4

In article <3409CABA.267F@ix.netcom.com>, gahornor@ix.netcom.com says...
>
>One of my hobbies is reading mysteries, and I have a question about a
>police code that appeared in a recent book. The patrol officer radioed
>HQ stating that she had located a 10-29, meaning a dead body. Here in
>Northern California, 10-29 is the code to check warrants, etc. My
>question is, are the codes that much different in New York?
>
>I'm just curious. I've been forced by a broken hip to stay at home for
>the last few months and since I don't have a lot to do, I've enjoyed
>finding interesting frequencies here in this newsgroup.
>
>Thanks.
>
>George Ann, who was half way through being a sexagenarian before she
>realized it didn't mean what she thought it did!

650. RS-2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: SKYNITE@CLASSIC.MSN.COM
Message-ID: <873694969.11616@dejanews.com>
Source record: 186955

looking for older radio scanner the pro-2002, must be in good condition
and working. please e-mail with details

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

651. For Sale pro 43 Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <34159014.952290@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186956

radio shack pro 43 scanner 200ch hyperscan date is 3a4 newer A model.... like new with box and manual nice stock scanner gets even the 200mhz band top of the line scanner from radio shack in 1994 selling for 160.00 shipped to usa 1st class mail email me firm on the price please... this is a very good deal for this type of scanner if you want something good then look no further email me thanks

652. Re: Discone Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ZOT <ZOT@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <341398B2.5BCC871E@sprynet.com>
Source record: 186957
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's the "Old" RS discone antenna. It's been 'discontinued' - I managed to get one at about $40. Local RS still has one in stock. If yours don't - ask 'em to do a 'district search' - there may be one close by they'll ship in for ya.

The 'New' RS discone also has the top vertical and sells for about $80, if I remember rightly.

I use one of the 'old' ones here - great little scanner antenna. Totally happy with it.

Glenn Mead wrote:

> ps - One of the differences between the diamond and the last RS disconne I
> saw is that the RS does not have the top verticle, which adds to the low band
> performance.
>

653. Discone Vertical Element

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: acohn@oregon.uoregon.edu
Message-ID: <34135569.11036271@news.uoregon.edu>
Source record: 186958

Could someone tell me how long the vertical element should be on a Discone Antenna. I have an older one and would like to add the vertical element for lower band improved performance

Thanks

Alan

654. Cordless Panasonic KXTC160

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: an489266@anon.penet.fi
Message-ID: <3413c44b.1037643@news1.ibm.net>
Source record: 186959

Anyone know the base freq that the cordless Panasonic KX-TC160 transmits on? I can find the handset frequency but can't seem to locate the base freq between 46-49 Mhz.

Thanks in advance.

655. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cliff Pearson <CliffP@ktvt.com>
Message-ID: <3413D0E2.AD3@ktvt.com>
Source record: 186960

DEvans4465 wrote:
>
> In article <341192E9.3D2E@Xqsl.net>, Barney <kl7hnyX@Xqsl.net> writes:
>
> >Cliff -- keem 'em comin'. After 19 years as a paramedic/firefighter,
> >then 9 years as a 911/fire/police/EMS dispatcher, it'll be great to
> >hear that other people get weidros calling them, too :) I mean,
> >how many folks out there have ever been sent to an "ill woman,
> >unknown problem" only to find out upon arrival that her chief
> >complaint was that, after having a BM, some of them were floaters and
> >some were sinkers, and wanted you to see them? Sheesh... It *was*
> >fun coming up with a way to tell Dispatch what the result of the call
> >was on the radio...
> >
> >--Barney--
>
> I know this is not a newsroom call but, I have to agree with Barney.
> How about the EMS call for an ill person at 3AM, only to get there and
> find that they have had a headache for 3 days but decided to call you
> at this time. Why not just take two asprins and see the doctor in the
> morning?

Oh brother.

> Please do not get the wrong impression, there are many emergency
> calls. But, there is a large amount of abuse of the EMS system.

I was always taught that one should only call 911 in the case of a life
or death emergency. In other words, only call 911 if there is a real
risk of death or serious bodily injury, or of the escape of a suspect.
I was always taught that for things like a stolen car, or disturbing the
peace, etc. that one should not tie up the 911
syste, but should call the police directly. Is this idea correct?
I called the police on my noisy neighbor, whom I warned about three
times, and the dispatcher told me I should have called 911.
I told her no one was dying, just loud music, but she was adamant
that I should have called 911.
>
> Oh, and by the way:
>
> dconti@carroll.com
> America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
> Ridgefield, New Jersey
> fax (201) 943-2379

656. Re: Police Codes Question--Tekbir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tekbir <wbeachy@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3413AEEA.C57@erols.com>
Source record: 186961

Gene Warner wrote:
>
> I think it would be a pure coincidence if any 2 PDs anywhere had exactly
> the same 10 codes. Here 10-29 is a request for a check on vehicle,
> person, property, etc.
> 10-4
>
> In article <3409CABA.267F@ix.netcom.com>, gahornor@ix.netcom.com says...
> >
> >One of my hobbies is reading mysteries, and I have a question about a
> >police code that appeared in a recent book. The patrol officer radioed
> >HQ stating that she had located a 10-29, meaning a dead body. Here in
> >Northern California, 10-29 is the code to check warrants, etc. My
> >question is, are the codes that much different in New York?
A 10-29 in MD is a wanted check. A dead body is (morbidly amusing) a
"priority four patient" meaning the lowest priority medical patient for
transport to the hospital. I've also heard the ten-code "10-7" meaning
"out of service" in regards to dead things (also morbidly amusing). I
would prefer to hear the terms DOA or DOS used instead,though, out of
respect.
--
Tekbir (William Matthew Beachy)
The Polytonic Harmony Home Page
http://www.erols.com/wbeachy

657. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pinker@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <341f8178.191212575@news.zippo.com>
Source record: 186962
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 07:41:43 -0500, mike1@nospam.visi.com
(Michael*Schneider) wrote:

>In article <01bcbafc$904e3260$da97accf@domonkos>, "Andy Domonkos"
><domonkos@erols.com> wrote:
>
>> Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if
>> everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat....
>
>
>
> "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."
>
> British tyranny in the colonies increased bit-by-bit, day-by-day until
>shots were fired at Lexington and Concorde.
>

Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?
You know, it's not like Lexington. We vote now. Having been a poll
watcher, I think incredibly few elections don't produce the correct
winner - total shock that this may be: Clinton really won. Newt really
won. We aren't ruled by a foreign King. Get out of the house - you'll
see I'm right. No King. No support for treason. Check it out. But if
you're still into that treason stuff - see a shrink. You owe it to
your family.

Pinker

>
> So it will be here.
>
>--
>
>Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
>Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.
>
> -- Guy Norman LaFrance

658. Re: Base TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhouse007@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970908031101.XAA07950@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186963

Its out now. I played with one . It very very good . I have the handheld BC235xlt and I like it to. For more imfo on how to get one or two call 1-650-573-1624 ask for ray

659. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG64I9.KD5@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186964

>Let's see, a fellow exercises his right and decides to posts a humorous
>thread. The Great Conti jumps all over his case. Several other people say
>"Hey, what's it to you big shot? We think it's funny!" or something to that
>effect. Conti replies, "You're all idiots and I'm smarter than you," or
>something to that effect.

THE GREAT CONTI......I like it, Mr. Skip...thanks.....or can I just
call you Skip?

Another challenge : Show me one sentence from any of my threads where
I called ANYONE an idiot, or said I was smarter then anyone else.
You must be a reporter, because you LOVE to put words in my mouth.

BTW.....Not one of my previously issued challenges has been met.

> Thank you of Great Conti for your wonderful insight. Your grasp of
>public relations is really stunning , Conti my boy. Alas, you are also a
>pitiful bore whose postings are no longer worth reading. Ta ta!

You're welcome, Skip.

As long as you get in the last word, correct? Again, when you run out
of things to say, resort to name calling....

Pitiful indeed.
dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

660. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG64Ks.KHu@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186965

Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Joe Nicholson) wrote:

> From: devans4465@aol.com (DEvans4465)

> De> Oh, and by the way:
> De> dconti@carroll.com

> De> I am so impressed with your wisdom, if I were to comment
> De> you are one of these Amateur Radio operators

> You forgot "ubiquitous", "omipotent", and perhaps
> a few other adjectives, to describe "Mr. Wondeful."

The above makes absolutely no sense.

> --
> | 9-1-1 Public Safety BBS | POLICENET SAFENET |
> | LEO'S FIREFIGHTERS SAR | FIDONET |
> | SCANNERS AMATEUR RADIO | e-mail newsgroups |
> |Home of THE San Diego County BBS List(619) 669-0385|
> --
>___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
>--
>|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
>|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
>| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

661. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwhall@hctc.net
Message-ID: <5v0hh5$25h$1@e3000.supernews.com>
Source record: 186966
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
In article <341f8178.191212575@news.zippo.com>, Pinker@rocketmail.com says...
>
>On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 07:41:43 -0500, mike1@nospam.visi.com
>(Michael*Schneider) wrote:
>
>>In article <01bcbafc$904e3260$da97accf@domonkos>, "Andy Domonkos"
>><domonkos@erols.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if
>>> everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat....
>>
>>
>>
>> "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."
>>
>> British tyranny in the colonies increased bit-by-bit, day-by-day until
>>shots were fired at Lexington and Concorde.
>>
>
>Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?
>You know, it's not like Lexington. We vote now. Having been a poll
>watcher, I think incredibly few elections don't produce the correct
>winner - total shock that this may be: Clinton really won. Newt really
>won. We aren't ruled by a foreign King. Get out of the house - you'll
>see I'm right. No King. No support for treason. Check it out. But if
>you're still into that treason stuff - see a shrink. You owe it to
>your family.
>
>Pinker
>
>
>
>
>
>>
>> So it will be here.
>>
>>-------------------------------------------------------------------------
--
>>
>>Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
>>Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.
>>
```

>> -- Guy Norman LaFrance
>

662. Re: BC-200 Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <slybri@foxvalley.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbc14$fcd952c0$a1bae3cf@default>
Source record: 186967

The OEM that would of came with the unit should be a Uniden
model AD-140u
output DC 12v 500mA
A word of help though, I did the same mod for mine and did a
hook-up to the cig lighter. Well it turns out that the thing
gives out a little to much juice and it turned my pack to
playdough.

Bob Herrin <triad6@juno.com> wrote in article
<341019f1.525357@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>...
> Just about any 12v wall-wart adapter will work fine. I've used a 500
> milliamp and a 1 amp wall-wart to charge mine, even charged it from
> the car battery.
>
> Carl Humphrey <sma@mail.futura.net> wrote:
>
> >I have a BC-200 with a MetroWest Pro Pack 1200 battery. My charger has
> >been lost. Can anyone tell me if there is a Radio Shack AC Adapter that
> >will work on this battery......
> >
> >Carl Humphrey
>
>

663. Re: time wasters looking for freqs'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <barry_b@*nospam*snet.net>
Message-ID: <5v0ocp$fni@goofy.snet.net>
Source record: 186968

Gerry Wheeler wrote:

> "Bandit" <bandit@tinet.ie> wrote:
>
> >so far i have asked people to trade freqs',
> >i have received 5 replies but no freqs'......so.....i sent off 5
> lists and
> >waited,
>
> I don't know about this particular situation, but this gives me an
> opportunity to put in my 2 cents worth...
>
> Personally, I haven't been much interested in collecting frequencies
> from others, because most of them are only good in specific areas.
> I've watched the requests for frequencies with some amusement, because
>
> I wonder why more scannists don't just use the search feature of their
>
> scanners to find the frequencies themselves. If folks would take some
> time to search the common bands, and make note of what they hear, I'm
> sure they'd find almost all of the utilities, services, ham repeaters,
>
> and whatever in their areas.
>
> Just one person's opinion.
> ----
> Gerry Wheeler gwheelerX@gate.net (remove the X)

Here's my reply, I recently posted a request for the Loudon, NH area. I was looking for CONFIRMED freqs, not just reguritaions from Percon's web site or Police Call. <G>

The search feature is great, as is a DejaNews search. I did a fruitless DN search before posting here.

Consider this: I am going to be in the area for _3_ days! <G> By the time I could actually identify some of the signals from my search button, I would be back in Connecticut.

I own the most recent Police Call, a Percon disk for the Northeast, some Scannermaster books and others. Sometimes people travel, as I do quite often for business and recreation. More than once I have ordered materials for areas other than my home, so I could listen on a business trip, such as last year when I spent $30+ on printed materials for a two week business trip to San Jose.

Some of these requests go much farther than a lazy newbie with a new radio from Wal-Mart!

Barry

664. Busted by cops but.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: uk911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970908124201.IAA05837@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186969

As you will be aware I had my two base scanners seized by Police Officers about 4/5 weeks ago. They were taken to be examined "....to see if they contained any Police or telephone frequencies...." according to the Police Officers. I telephoned the Police station today (Monday) to see if the results had come back and they have. The scanners were found to have contained NO illegal frequencies and will be returned to me shortly the Chief Super said - thank God, they were a couple of expensive models and one was only a couple of weeks old !!! Before they were seized I reset them with the reset buttons and then programmed a couple of legal frequencies in to them to make sure they were not completely empty - I did this just as a precaution - I obviously did not have any illegal frequencies in them though <g>. So, thanks for your support and advice, it looks like the minority were correct by saying they would be okay if reset. I'm glad I found this group so get used to me hanging around !!

Best wishes

Chunky (in the UK)

665. Re: GOVERNMENT:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: CB <Cbax@erols.com>
Message-ID: <01bcba38$cb471720$7ff5accf@christopher>
Source record: 186970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner

Is there a copy of this legislation available? Can you post it here?

DAV... <david084@flash.net> wrote in article <340B513D.47C6@flash.net>...
> Do you need to contact your Congressman about the awful scanner bills
>
> HR 1963 and HR 2369???
>
> Here is where you need to go to contact them.
>
> Contact them today!
>
> http://consumer-info.org/linksfed.htm
>

666. Re: Uniden's BC895 and NO MANUALS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <5v0i68$k3m@camel4.mindspring.com>
Source record: 186971

Bummer.

Here might be a quick fix. With the following info, you might at least be able to get started with yoru 895. I do not know for sure.

Communications Electronics offers a free copy of the BC235 TrunkTracker scanner manual via fax-back. Use the telephone handset connected to a fax and call (313) 213-1000.

The manual is in two parts. Part I is 20 pages, and Part II is 19 pages. Part I is standard scanner stuff, so you probably want Part II, which covers trunking.

When the phone answers, you can listen to the announcement, or just immediately press 7 on your keypad to select Part II of the manual. Then you press your fax machine's <Start> button. You should then start receiving the fax.

I had any number of problems receiving and printing the manual, though I am using a fax-modem hooked up to my PC, rather than a regular fax, which should work better in this situation (example: I couldn't hang up my headset when the fax started; neither did the headset get cutoff, like in a modem transmission. Just I had to leave my handset off-hook, and this might degrade the quality of the line -- several of the pages were grabled in spots, two of them didn't come out at all, and the fax cut off before all the pages had been sent). Printing later was another nightmare. which I won't bother to get into. Hopefully, you have access to a standard fax machine, rather than a fax modem.

If you do want Part I, press 6. For a catalog, press 5.

The number above is their TrunkTracker fax hotline. They have another fax-back system for other stuff. (313) 213-1000.

I am not affiliated in any way with this company.

<< Art WA9BOJ >>

<< Art >>

My phonecard & Visa Cash collecting homepage is
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/artbecker
Homepage Updated:
7/9/97 Visa Cash Pricelist
7/9/97 Visa Cash Swap/Want List
7/31/97 Worldwide Visa Cash checklist for downloading
8/11/97 Mail Bid Sale #2 Posted

667. Re: winradio board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <3413F8D0.794B@vnet.com>
Source record: 186972

larry wrote:
> Has anyone used, or heard about someone elses experiences concering,
> the winradio board? I found their website and it cultivated my
> curiosity even more. price tag is about $600. Considering you are
> not paying for metal and other stuff associated with the traditional
> radio, its seems like it might be a good deal.
> Any opinions?

Larry,
Overall feedback from WiNRADiO owners has been positive. To take
a look, go to http://www.dejanews.com/ and do a search on "WINRADIO"
to see all the USENET postings about it.
Its carried by several dealers ranging in price from $485 to
$600 so look around. Good luck!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

668. Re: LAPD Tone signaling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <5v0ufhomf1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 186973

"Duane Park" <dspark@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Can anyone tell me what type of sequencial tone signaling is
>used on LAPD 506 system. I know of the CTCSS tone, but I
>also hear a series of tones when a lot of the radios key up...
>I'm assuming it's a Motorola proprietary signaling... Thanks

What you are hearing is either DTMF or 5/6 Tone ANI = Automatic Number Identification. Basically every time the radio PTT button is pressed the radio will send out the programmed series of tones. The tones may be sent at the begining, end, or both of each tx. Some systems allow for an ID to be sent after set periods so that during a lenghty exchange the ID would only be sent a few times, v. every PTT press.

DTMF is popular in the US, 5/6 tone is more popular in Europe, although it is used in the US, and I belive LA is 5/6.

You may wish to look at WinTone at http://www.steaksandwich.com for software to decode the ANI's. Other dedicated decoders are availabe, check the External Devices section of my web page, URL below. Tone frequencies can be found on the Tone & Signalling section.

Other digital systems exist, popular exampes:

Motorola MDC-1200
Ericsson/GE - GE-STAR
Midan - Zap

They sound like a "sccreeech" at the same time frames as a above.

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227, any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

669. Re: Off Topic: Re: Radio Shack Con Job?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken_steinhoff@pbpost.com
Message-ID: <3414fca5.226984739@news.gate.net>
Source record: 186974

On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 19:21:29 -0600, greere@mail5.evangel.edu wrote:

>A very old post stated:
>>I haven't seen anyone yet at RS know there ass from a hole
>>in the ground!!!!!!!!
>
> I am sure that there are a lot of RS employees that know their stuff,
>but I still recall a fateful evening back in 1991. I was at the RS in
>Lynnhaven Mall, Virginia Beach about to make my first PC-compatible
>purchase (previous Apple //c owner). Anyway, I thought I could rely on
>some RS technical expertise. This female sales rep suggested the
>486SX/25. I was using their in-house financing and had enough for that
>down-payment, but wondered if I should save a little more and get the
>DX2/66, which I believe was the best they had there at the time. Her
>words still ring in my ear, "YOU WILL NEVER NEED ANYTHING MORE POWERFUL
>THAN THIS".

Putting it in perspective:

"Who in their right mind would ever need more than 640k of ram!?"
- Bill Gates, 1981

"Any serious graphics applications still run better on Apple's
Macintosh platform..." - Bill Gates, 1991

"Computers in the future may weigh no more than 1.5 tons." --Popular
Mechanics, forecasting the relentless march of science, 1949

"I think there is a world market for maybe five computers." --Thomas
Watson, chairman of IBM, 1943

"I have traveled the length and breadth of this country and talked
with the best people, and I can assure you that data processing is a
fad that won't last out the year." --The editor in charge of business
books for Prentice Hall, 1957

"But what ... is it good for?" --Engineer at the Advanced Computing
Systems Division of IBM, 1968, commenting on the microchip.

"There is no reason anyone would want a computer in their home." --Ken
Olson, president, chairman and founder of Digital Equipment Corp.,
1977

"This 'telephone' has too many shortcomings to be seriously considered
as a means of communication. The device is inherently of no value to
us." --Western Union internal memo, 1876.

"The wireless music box has no imaginable commercial value. Who would pay for a message sent to nobody in particular?" --David Sarnoff's associates in response to his urgings for investment in the radio in the 1920s.

Ken Steinhoff
Telecommunications Manager
Palm Beach Newspapers, Inc.
ken_steinhoff@pbpost.com

670. Uniden 235xlt info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: noway@due-to.spam
Message-ID: <34140247.2644539@news.igs.net>
Source record: 186975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi guys (and gals),

This is UN-FRIGGIN-BELIEVABLE !! The Rat Shack store here in Canada now has the trunk tracker (235xlt) available. You know, the 300 channel portable, and what a bargain it is toooooo.

For the low low price of only $599.00 + (don't forget about our rip-off gov't) you have to add 15% tax to that. Yep - NOT a typo 15% tax (8% provincial sales tax + 7% Goods and Services tax). I'm not a mathematician but this comes to a GRAND TOTAL of $688.85 !!!!!!!

This just blows me away! Almost $700.00 for a handheld portable that has a real value of $299.00 (U.S.) The sales? man justified this by insisting I would get an EXTRA BATTERY PACK!!!!! WOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Come on!!! gimme a break.

Talk about an out and out BLATANT RIP-OFF!!

Just to make sure you have the right info, I priced this at the store in beautiful Windsor, Ontario, Canada.

Radio Shack
1640 Huron Church Rd.,
Windsor, Ontario
Canada

Phone (519) 258-7631

I know that someone in this newsgroup will post a better place to purchase one of these units. The Rat shack here in Canada should be ashamed of themselves.

671. Help BC300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <scannr1@sierra.net>
Message-ID: <34141155.D06DD4F6@sierra.net>
Source record: 186976

I recently aquired a bearcat BC300 and I need a service manual for it
I also need a service manual for a BC101
any info would be appreciated
Thanks
Steve(scannr1@sierra.net)

672. Re: 13.8v DC Question / Mobile Scanner Antenna Y- splitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gary I. Robin" <k9hdu@webspun.com>
Message-ID: <34140CD6.55BE@webspun.com>
Source record: 186977

Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> daw143@fast.net wrote:
>
> :Mobile Scanner Power:
> : I am going to install a PRO-2032 base 200 scanner in my vehicle and
> :need some advice: The voltage requirments for this unit read 13.8V
> :DC.What would be the best method to power this in my vehicle.
> :I do not think that they have any 13.8V DC power cigarette adapters.
> :Should I run it directly to the battery and negative ground?
> :
> :Mobile scanner Y-Splitter Question:
> : Also, I was looking into maybe using a Y-Splitter madee by Motorola
> :that enables you to utilize the car's radio antenna and slpits the
> :signal for your scanner. Has anyone ever used these splitters and are
> :they as efective as a basic all-band mag. mount antenna?
> :
> :
> :Any suggestions would be greatly appreatiated
>
> The simplest way is to use the cigarette lighter socket. I have used
> that method with a PRO-2004 and a UBC-9000XLT. Both worked fine.
>
> A car radio antenna will not work as well as a dedicated antenna
> but may by OK depending on your requirements.
>
> Barry
>
> ====================================
> To reply via email please remove the XX from my
> return address.

Barry:

your question is somewhat unclear. Would you please ask your ,mom to
re-write it?

g

673. FS: R7100, DDI, M-400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Scheer <tscheer@ioc.net>
Message-ID: <5v14e6$q5i$1@newsboy.mtc.ti.com>
Source record: 186978

Icom R7100 receiver, unblocked. Includes original packaging, manual, accessories (12V cable, various fuses and plugs). Modified for discriminator
output.

DDI Digital data interpreter for displaying cell phone information on a PC, except for electronic ID numbers.

Universal M-400 decoder for pager and other formats. Vers 1.02

All equipment in excellent condition with manuals, power adapters, cables
and software.

$1500. I'm in Southern Orange County, California. Prefer to sell locally
but will ship for cash COD.

Reply to tscheer at ioc.net

674. Re: Any recommended Aero books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamoran@mail.goodnet.com
Message-ID: <5uvsl9$72q$3@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 186979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have the AERONAUTICAL FREQUENCY DIRECTORY..got it from GROVE. I tend to
avoid Radio Shack if I can...once you go there, you get junk mail...
also Radio Shack doesn't have half the stuff FRYS electronics carries..
FRYS has everything..Amplifiers, CPU chips, Meters, pots..hard
drives..etc..etc

Tom Greenli (tgreenli@nospam.vr-net.com) wrote:
:]
:]I just finished reading "Monitoring Private & Commercial Aviation" in
:]Monitoring Times July 97 edition. I am interested in getting more
:]information on this subject. In the reference section the author mentions
:]a few books. Has anyone used the following? Please comment on content,
:]accuracy, value or if it's a "MUST HAVE".
:]
:]Aeronautical Frequency Directory by Robert Coburn (Available at Rat Shack)
:]Air Scan by Tom Kneitel
:]Air Waves by Laura Quarantiello
:]The Worldwide Aeronautical Communications Frequency Directory by Bob Evans
:]
:]Or others...
:]
:]Thanks in advance.
:]Tom Greenli
:]Oregon

--

JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
vi..my favorite text editor (:
>Don't send junk/spam mail, I get that stuff in duplicate. When I see<
>two or more messages from the same, They go straight to bit bucket! <
if responding to a usenet post send e-mail also so I will check the group
---

675. Re: Modified Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Van Houten <james@vanhouten.com>
Message-ID: <5v1650$jaf@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 186980
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,phl.scanner

You might want to post it on http://www.ebay.com. I moved a Pro-43 there
very quickly...

Jim

Eddie Kosloski wrote in article <01bcb967$bee24080$35b698ce@default>...

>I have to sell 1 of my 3 Pro-43's
>All have Cellular, Audio, and Short Wave modifications done.
>1 is perfect,mint.......$ 275.00
>1 is electronically perfect,all functions work properly,new keypad
>but the case is worn (case RS new will cost approx.$50.00)
>$200.00
>If intrested reply to:
>Eddie Kosloski
>scanist@acmenet.net

676. Re: Any recommended Aero books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <5v192v$3ug@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186981
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <01bcbafd$3e8929c0$48273ace@home-133>,
Tom Greenli <tgreenli@nospam.vr-net.com> wrote:

>I just finished reading "Monitoring Private & Commercial Aviation" in
>Monitoring Times July 97 edition...
>.... Has anyone used the following?

...
>Aeronautical Frequency Directory by Robert Coburn (Available at Rat Shack)
...

I have the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written
by Bob Coburn, W1JJO.

Although most of the information is about civilian aviation,
Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP.
The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides
(P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053).
The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency
Directory and frequency guides for several New England states.
--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

677. Re: LAPD Tone signaling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duane Park <dspark@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbc6f$92928980$2902b8cd@dspark.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186982

Thanks, for responding, I know that it is not MDC-600 or 1200,
and it's also not GE-STAR, They are using Motorola ASTRO radios...
OK, could be DTMF, but the tones don't sound like dual tones....
Thanks again for responding... Duane Park

> What you are hearing is either DTMF or 5/6 Tone ANI = Automatic Number
> Identification. Basically every time the radio PTT button is pressed
> the radio will send out the programmed series of tones. The tones may
> be sent at the begining, end, or both of each tx. Some systems allow
> for an ID to be sent after set periods so that during a lenghty
> exchange the ID would only be sent a few times, v. every PTT press.
>
> DTMF is popular in the US, 5/6 tone is more popular in Europe,
> although it is used in the US, and I belive LA is 5/6.
>
> You may wish to look at WinTone at http://www.steaksandwich.com for
> software to decode the ANI's. Other dedicated decoders are availabe,
> check the External Devices section of my web page, URL below. Tone
> frequencies can be found on the Tone & Signalling section.
>
> Other digital systems exist, popular exampes:
>
> Motorola MDC-1200
> Ericsson/GE - GE-STAR
> Midan - Zap
>
> They sound like a "sccreeech" at the same time frames as a above.
>
>
> ---
> I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?
> ---
> ** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
> Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
> Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
> Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls
> ---
> "Through the gateway, off the repeater,
> over the backbone, nothing but net!"
> ---
> Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
> any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address

> is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
> US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
>
>

678. Re: WTB: Manuals for Regency Z-45 AND Bearcat III Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@localnet.com
Message-ID: <5v17g3$k36$1@e3000.supernews.com>
Source record: 186983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi,
Follow up to my original post.....
I was surprised to learn that Uniden Parts center had both the manuals
that I needed in stock for a reasonable price. Never would have
thought that would be so easy! Thanks for the suggestion
Regards,
Ray Miller N2NJK radioman@localnet.com

radioman@localnet.com (Raymond A. Miller) wrote:

>Looking for mint to near mint manuals for the following scanners"
> Regency Z-45
> Bearcat III
>I will pay fair & reasonable costs for same.
>If someone can help out, it would be a great asset to my collection. I
>like to have my units complete with manuals and all original
>accessories whenever possible. Thanks!
>Regards,
>Ray Miller N2NJK radioman@localnet.com

679. Re: British Airways Company Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clough@javiaton.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <873737004snz@javiaton.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 186984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <5uvru9$72q$1@news.goodnet.com>
jamoran@mail.goodnet.com "John Moran" writes:

Hi John,

> I'm looking for either VHF and also HF/Shortwave frequencies that are used
> by British Airways. want company frequencies!

The VHF frequencies BA will use at PHX will probably depend on which Ground Handling Agent they use. If they are "handled by SW, AA or DL for example they will probably call in on their ops frequency. Some of the ones they use at London Heathrow though include 131.55, 131.80 & 131.90 (All with the c/s Speedbird Ops). Your best bet is to search the 129-132Mhz band as many Airline Ops appear around this band.

From memory 5535 is one of the main HF Ops frequencies with 8921 and 10072 being two others.

Hope you catch something.

--
Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, UK	AOR AR5000/7000/7030/8000, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Realistic PRO-26/2042, Welz WS-1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627	Uniden UBC-3000XLT/UBC-9000XLT, Icom IC-R10
Info@javiaton.demon.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-9000
PGP Key available on request \ http://www.demon.co.uk/javiation/
\--/

680. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws and crypto laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <341714b7.7352062@news.erols.com>
Source record: 186985

To paraphrase a certain ambassador to the United States:

Politicians will do the right thing only after they have exhausted all
other possibilities.

Sigh.

He may be right. If so, this may take a while.

On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 18:03:28 -0400, "David L. Wilson"
<dlwilson@pop.erols.com> wrote:

>Mike Cornwall wrote:
>>
>> In article <3412bbfb.2467529@news.sprynet.com>, lar01@sprynet.com (larry) wrote:
>
>> >quickly for a quick reply, wouldn't you appreciate knowing that that
>> >channel was private? (I know that isn't very good English but, at the
>> >moment, I can't seem to word it any better)
>> >
>> >Larry
>> >
>> That's what ENCRYPTION is for!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>But here in the US, the US Congress with support from the executive
>branch
>is considering outlawing all crypto unless the US gov. has the keys.
>Besides the obvious attempt to delete the Bill of Rights from cyberspace
>(hopefully the Supreme Court realizes this), it will make crypto less
>desirable to many - so they see privacy as resting in laws protecting
>their communications otherwise.
>
>(Until now, I have been a monetary (though small) contributor to the
>Democratic Party. That has stopped. I have hoped Gore would speak out
>in opposition to this admistration as I think he has a better
>understanding
>of such issues. It would be a real chance to put himself forward as
>a free thinker with believe in principle that founded this country
>That was apparently wishful thinking. I will vote for any candidate who
>supports the spirit of the US Consitution. But this is really off
>subject
>except to point out that the privacy issue is complicated and one
>needs to pay attention to the crypto issue.)
>
>(posted to rec.radio.scanner)

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

681. Re: Cordless Panasonic KXTC160

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mulligan@ACM.ORG
Message-ID: <5v191o$h8m@hopper.acm.ORG>
Source record: 186986

an489266@anon.penet.fi (JimmyBond) asked for:
> .... the base freq that the cordless Panasonic KX-TC160 transmits on?

Assuming this is a conventional 25-ch cordless:

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

Cordless Telephone [15.233]

Chan Base Handset Chan Base Handset

1 43.72 48.76 16 46.61 49.67
2 43.74 48.84 17 B 46.63 49.845
3 43.82 48.86 18 C 46.67 49.86
4 43.84 48.92 19 46.71 49.77
5 43.92 49.02 20 D 46.73 49.875
6 43.96 49.08 21 A 46.77 49.83
7 44.12 49.10 22 E 46.83 49.89
8 44.16 49.16 23 46.87 49.93
9 44.18 49.20 24 46.93 49.99
10 44.20 49.24 25 46.97 49.97
11 44.32 49.28
12 44.36 49.36 Chs A - E handset frequencies
13 44.40 49.40 are also used for baby room
14 44.46 49.46 monitors and low power
15 44.48 49.50 handie-talkies.

The original 10 channels were renumbered 16-25 in June 95
when the new channels 1-15 were added.

682. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rivero@accessone.com
Message-ID: <5v1d92$c1o$1@blaze.accessone.com>
Source record: 186987
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <341f8178.191212575@news.zippo.com>,
James Pinkerpound <Pinker@rocketmail.com> wrote:
>On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 07:41:43 -0500, mike1@nospam.visi.com
>(Michael*Schneider) wrote:
>
>>In article <01bcbafc$904e3260$da97accf@domonkos>, "Andy Domonkos"
>><domonkos@erols.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if
>>> everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat....
>>
>>
>>
>> "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."
>>
>> British tyranny in the colonies increased bit-by-bit, day-by-day until
>>shots were fired at Lexington and Concorde.
>>
>
>Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?

I'm not. I'm terrified of the prospect. But as Robert Kennedy
said (before he was shot) "When peaceful revolution is impossible,
violent revolution is inevitable", and he is correct in that
assessment.

>Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?
>You know, it's not like Lexington. We vote now. Having been a poll
>watcher, I think incredibly few elections don't produce the correct
>winner - total shock that this may be: Clinton really won.

Given the allegations of voter fraud that have erupted in the wake of the
1996 elections, that may be presumptuous yto say right now. One thing is
for certain, if Clinton vilated election laws (which it appears he did)
and if he funded his campaign in part with drug money (whch we KNOW he did)
then his victory is invalidated.

FWIW, I don't forsee an armed rebellion in the future. Anyone who thinks
violence is the answer has some very romantic notions but little sense
of history. This government will not be destroyed from without, but is even
now collapsing itself from within, dragged down by it's uncontrolled
debt, and the loss of trust by the population that is the inevitable result
of all the lies that now stand exposed.

The only real question is just when it will happen. So have patience and stay calm.

--
RANCHO RUNNAMUKKA | Special Effects / Documentary Films
Mike & Claire - The Rancho Runnamukka http://www.accessone.com/~rivero/
The views expressed here ARE the views of the management!

683. where can i get a good dtmf decoder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ~~ <a666l@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3414134B.68D4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 186988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hi,
Im looking for a place to buy a dtmf decoder, with an LED display
preferably, for a reasonable price. Does anyone know where to buy some?

684. Re: Ok, so most people say no Signal Preamp, Which Antenna Then?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <34145666.5CFC@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186989

Don R. Morgan wrote:
>
> I am looking to get better reception on weak signals and posted the
> original question about signal preamps. Most replies I have recieved
> inform me that these preamps are of only modest value. Most have
> suggested that I would get better reception using a different antenna.
>
> My question now is which antenna is best for my BC3000xlt. I am
> looking for improved reception in alll frequency ranges, but mostly in
> the aircraft band 120mhz -
>
> Thanks for the info on Preamps!
>
> Don

The best antenna to cover all frequency ranges, would be a DISCONE
antenna (which radio shack sells, as well as other companies)

The best part about the discone, is you can also use it to transmit on
2-meters, and it works quite well. It is around $79 I think, and would
be best if you put it up on your roof.

685. Re: Freq Man. I'll find any freq for FREE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: al@alsplace.com
Message-ID: <al-0809971446070001@206.28.74.94>
Source record: 186990

What is the frequency of your jerking off?

In article <341299a1.34841273@news.fastlink.com.au>,
barryoXX@fastlink.com.au wrote:

>That sounds real freaky man.
>
>===================================
>To reply via email please remove the XX from my
>return address.

686. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis Wheeler <cwheeler@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <34143FC4.CBF@ccnet.com>
Source record: 186991

Lord Nymon wrote:
>
> Fred wrote:
> >
> > In article <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
> > >Bill Schanks wrote:
> > >>
> > >> How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
> > >
> > >Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
> > >guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
> > >Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!
> >
> > ..EXCEPT KENTUCKY!!!!!!
>
> ALL 50 states!!!! Federal Law overides ANY state law!!!!!

Not always. The states have the right to create stricter laws than the federal government on many issues.

However in the case of amateur radio, I have seen a copy of the FCC opinion that local government cannot regulate amateur stations in such a way that prohibits operation based on the frequencies that it may be able to receive. Even in Kentucky.

I believe it was about 2 years ago that ARRL announced it had finally been successful in lobbying effort to have hams exempted from mobile scanner retsriction in the one last state that was still doing it. Your amateur license should be good in all 50 states for mobile use of a scanning recevier.

On the idea that you should become a ham just because you can use a mobile scanner - I think its a bad idea. If you just want to use a scanner in your car and there is a way to get local persission to do so then do it that way. You should become an amateur radio operator because you are interested in radio as a hobby and/or have desire to provide some public service.

You should not become a ham just because you would rather use an autopatch instead of a cellphone or so you can circumvent local scanner laws. You should become a ham because you are interested in at least a part of ham radio.

--

Curtis

687. Re: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <gregw@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <022cda07$0b6ddba0$322da497@gregw>
Source record: 186992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.boats.electronics

Also Uniden and Tandy both are located in Fort Worth

DAV... <david084@flash.net> wrote in article <340B4F8C.645D@flash.net>...
>
> TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer
>
>
> Thank You for all you have done to reduce taxes, and cut rules and
> regulations in Texas. However, your job is not done. We need much more
> of the same. Please do all you can to cut taxes more and defund the
> departments that do harm to our freedoms. The EPA must be defunded.
> Carol Browner seems to igonore the importance of freedom. I do not
> support the new EPA restrictions signed into law by Bill Clinton.
>
> The primary reason I am writting you today is because of pending
> legislation that will destroy a hobby that many Texans participate in,
> and many elderly make use of to help them maintain a sense of security
> in their neighborhoods.
>
> Scanners are used to monitor everything from police and fire
> departments to airliners flying coast to coast. Many elderly people who
> are shut-ins listen to the police and fire departments in their towns so
> that they can keep up with the crime in their neighborhoods and even on
> their streets.
> Scanners do no harm. They are nothing more than a radio that tunes
> in a broad range of frequencies. Many families find a scanner to be
> more entertaining than watching the many channels of destructive garbage
> on TV.
> HR 1964 and 2369 are bills that will do great damage to the scanner
> hobbiest and the industry at large.
> It is for this reason that I cannot support this proposed
> legislation.
> I ask you to carefully consider the impact that this pending
> legislation will have on many of your Texas constituents.
> Do we want an America that is governed by lobbiests, or by the
> people?
> PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT ANY NEW LEGISLATION, INCLUDING HR 1964 and HR
> 2369 THAT WILL BRING ABOUT FURTHER RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF SCANNERS.
>

688. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v1o8v$28qi$5@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186993

In article <341457C7.E60@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:

>> You should become an amateur radio operator
>> because you are interested in radio as a hobby and/or have desire to
>> provide some public service.
>
>Both of these are covered by most scanner listeners, so you have no
>point.

Exactly what public service do most scanner listeners perform?

I'm not saying that there is none, as I am a scanner listener myself
and am an activist in the effort to keep the privilege. What I want
to know, however, is if anyone can articulate the actual public
benefits of scanning. Such clear statements of purpose will be very
helpful in the fight against H.R. 1964 and 2369.

>RADIO MONITORING is HALF of what ham radio is!!

Maybe. Some hams never go "on the air" except to test new technology.

73 de Dave, NF2G

689. British Airways Company Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamoran@mail.goodnet.com
Message-ID: <5uvru9$72q$1@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 186994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hi
I'm looking for either VHF and also HF/Shortwave frequencies that are used
by British Airways. want company frequencies!

I am able to monitor them on the ATC frequencies of 118.1 (Phoenix
Clearance), 118.7/120.9 (Phoenix Tower), 124.1, 119.2, 126.6, (Phoenix
TRACON, Approach/Departure), 125.25, 135.15, 128.45 (Albuquerque center)
--

JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
vi..my favorite text editor (:
>Don't send junk/spam mail, I get that stuff in duplicate. When I see<
>two or more messages from the same, They go straight to bit bucket! <
if responding to a usenet post send e-mail also so I will check the group
---

690. Downconverter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: hackhell@ripco.com
Message-ID: <5v1pae$889$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 186995

are these fairly easy to build, if so can someone post some schematics? if they are hard to assemble then does anyone know of a place to buy one?

--
hackhell@juno.com

691. Re: newby with freq counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Eckert <daeckert@seanet.com>
Message-ID: <5v1me7$vs@q.seanet.com>
Source record: 186996

Try the OptoElectronics site (optoelectronics.com)
I have their 3000A freq counter, and it works very nicely when I'm within
about 2 miles of a tower, and want to know what transmitters are active....

Also, works nice on my dash driving through towns.....

The negative aspect is that without some filters, it hits first on the
high-powered FM stations...

Tom Walker wrote in article <873211353@general.com>...

>-> Does anyone have usage tips on a webpage somewhere, similar to
>-> the FAQs you can find for beggining scannists? I looked in
>-> the major search engines and only found tech info from
>-> retailers.
>
>-> I'm looking for info like the possibility of using a
>-> more-concealable rubber duck antenna, and techniques for
>-> getting close enough to a miked-up transmitter to get a
>-> reading...
>
>
> I think you will find "Frequency" Counters Highly Overated as a
>scanning tool. It is just chance or accident one is in the Right place
>at the right time to catch anything usefull. Rembember also it is
>typicaly a very short range device. By Design by the way. More
>sensitivity would lead to confusion from the multipule signals in the
>air in most LOCATIONS and make it useless.

692. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws and crypto laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v1nj6$28qi$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186997

In article <341324B0.598F@pop.erols.com>, dlwilson@pop.erols.com wrote:

>But here in the US, the US Congress with support from the executive
>branch
>is considering outlawing all crypto unless the US gov. has the keys.

Not exactly. The Justice Department and the President want that, and the House of Representatives is attempting to craft such legislation. However, the Senate seems to have the opposite attitude about crypto technology. They have bills pending which would PROHIBIT the government from getting involved in the key escrow business, and would disallow export restrictions based on having keys or not.

I don't have any bill numbers handy, but if you look at the 105th Congress' pending legislation via Thomas, you can find them.

73 de Dave, NF2G

693. When to call 9-1-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v1nun$28qi$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186998

In article <3413D0E2.AD3@ktvt.com>, CliffP@ktvt.com wrote:

>I was always taught that one should only call 911 in the case of a life
>or death emergency. In other words, only call 911 if there is a real
>risk of death or serious bodily injury, or of the escape of a suspect.
>I was always taught that for things like a stolen car, or disturbing the
>peace, etc. that one should not tie up the 911
>syste, but should call the police directly. Is this idea correct?
>I called the police on my noisy neighbor, whom I warned about three
>times, and the dispatcher told me I should have called 911.
>I told her no one was dying, just loud music, but she was adamant
>that I should have called 911.

The correct answer to this question is, "It depends."

Different jurisdictions have different policies about what constitutes
an "emergency" call. Some areas have only 9-1-1 as the public's
contact number for all public safety agencies, so you really have no
choice but to call that number for routine incidents. There is a move
on to designate 3-1-1 for "non-emergency" calls. I oppose this for
two reasons:

1- The general public lacks the training to triage their own calls.

2- The same overloaded 9-1-1 centers will be answering the 3-1-1 calls
in most cases, so there is nothing to be gained by adding another
number. If an emergency call is made to the 3-1-1 queue, the caller
may have little chance of receiving a prompt response if they're
waiting in the ACD system for an available operator after all the
9-1-1 calls ahead have been handled.

73 de Dave, NF2G

694. Re: GOVERNMENT:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v1nvq$28qi$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner

In article <01bcba38$cb471720$7ff5accf@christopher>, "CB" <Cbax@erols.com> wrote:
>Is there a copy of this legislation available? Can you post it here?
>
>DAV... <david084@flash.net> wrote in article <340B513D.47C6@flash.net>...
>> Do you need to contact your Congressman about the awful scanner bills
>>
>> HR 1963 and HR 2369???

Look at www.ggw.org/nf2g for all the details.

695. Re: FS: 225-400 Mhz & 800 Mhz Ground Plane Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970908214400.RAA29230@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187000

>I have two ground plane antennas for sale. One covers military aircraft
>and the other is cellular 800 Mhz. These are the MAX antennas made by
>Cellular Security Group. Elements are cut for these frequencies. The MIL
>has a BNC female connector and the 800 Mhz has a SO-239 connector. Both are
>in excellent condition.
>
>Price is $40 for both plus shipping.
>
>E-mail to Fbals@aol.com

Frank,

I might be interested in buying the 225-400MHz antenna from you but I'm
still waiting for that BC9000XLT antenna you were supposed to send me about
three months ago.

John Ward

696. New Brundswick Freqs needed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Wright <cwright@fundy.net>
Message-ID: <5v1s92csl1@e3000.supernews.com>
Source record: 187001
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you have frequencies for the Fredericton area, Oromocto to be exact, of New Brundswick. Please send them to me! Emergency service codes especially wanted! Thanks for any responses!

-Chris Wright

697. *** Radio Shack's New Catalogue ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: masa@writemeNOSPAM.com
Message-ID: <341342ca.4922337@news.mcgill.ca>
Source record: 187045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur

In Canada.....

No more Pro 26. Buy it now. It's a very good scanner. It will
be too late.

M@S@
Student @ McGill University Science
Montreal, Quebec, Canada
E-mail : Masa@WriteMe.NOSPAMcom
URL : http://www.geocities.com/~masataka (General)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/main.htm (Radio)

Remove "NOSPAM" to reply.

WARNING: I will not buy/response any products from unsolicited e-mails !!

698. Alinco Scanner -- When does it go on sale?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: aellery@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970908010800.VAA03912@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 187046

I've read what little there is out there on the new Alinco scanner and am
curioius when they will get their FCC cert and be available for sale.
Also, does anyone have an idea what the price will be be?

Allen

699. FS: PRO-2006 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: AE0Q / V31RY <v31ry@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <5uvk99$8fo@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 187047

For Sale: Radio Shack PRO-2006 scanner,like new.
400-channels, has fast scanning and cellular mods.
Original box, manual, and accessories.
$330 shipped.

Contact via e-mail if interested.

Glenn v31ry@ix.netcom.com

700. Subscribe NOW !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: masa@writemeNOSPAM.com
Message-ID: <34135f01.12146748@news.mcgill.ca>
Source record: 187048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.geocities.com/Yosemite/1325/main.htm

Featured Radio Site !!

This radio site has everything... from shortwaves to UHF.... from
scanner to ham radio...

---
and you may want to subscribe Masa's E-mail list.
Memberships are free. The members receive update notice + membership
letter and +++++ Sign up by filling up the form on "main.htm"

See you there !!

M@S@
Student @ McGill University Science
Montreal, Quebec, Canada
E-mail : Masa@WriteMe.NOSPAMcom
URL : http://www.geocities.com/~masataka (General)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/main.htm (Radio)

Remove "NOSPAM" to reply.

WARNING: I will not buy/response any products from unsolicited e-mails !!

701. Re: 235 xlt case?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: SD <dout-sco@flash.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbbff$10be68e0$a26d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
Source record: 187049

The case for the 230 will work for it.

John Palinkas <johnp@harborcom.net> wrote in article
<34134ed0.1645005@bad-news.harborcom.net>...
> Is there a case available for the trunktracker 235? Or something
> aftermarket that will fit it pretty good?
> Thanks,
> John
> KB8YTB
> Painesville, Ohio
>
>

702. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG645o.J7t@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>>> Whoa...look at the other very erudite people who are responding
> to your "stink-think"....

It's not "Stink Think" it's a position I decided to take, a bit of
the "Devil's Advocate" if you will. That fact that it isn't popular
or in step with what the erudites wish does not matter here. I'm
entitled to state an opinion and defend it.

> they are saying that you did not get it. They did. And they
> work it in to their reading the postings found.

I got it all right. You made my point in your previous response to
Cliff, where you characterize the Assignment Editor as a guy listening
to scanners. That may not be totally true, but your a getting a bit
closer to the point I was trying to make.

> What you resist, persists.

Thats good. I enjoy these types of exchanges from time to time.

> This is something learned from sessions with EST. You need
> to deal with people as more than just your image of who they
> mirror ... you are not their reality.

Whatever.

> Listen to the words by the others against your tirades...

I do, and respond, as is my right.

> They speak all the answers you need to GET IT!

Oh ... so they "get it" because they think they are right?

> bill conduit
> etc.

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

703. Re: arrested for stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mark@scanner.com
Message-ID: <EG64nz.Kw2@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 187051

Greg Garrels <ggarrels@mail.polarnet.com> wrote:

> Well, two points here...
> (1) You are waving a red flag at regulators
> (2) Do you also peep at keyholes and open your neighbors mail?
>Perhaps you go through their trash as well.

It is not against the law to go through your neighbors trash
and it it very informative.

The process is called garbology.

Mark

704. Re: Alabama Scanner page?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: ResQ551 <ResQ551@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3415C25A.2DE1@juno.com>
Source record: 186753

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------F7A16F7DAE
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

AEllery wrote:
>
> There's an excellent one for the Birmingham at:
>
> http://members.aol.com/ke4oyn/scanner.html
>
> There was a listing of trunked system freqs for Huntsville in
> alt.radio.scanner or rec.radio.scanner in the last couple of days -- try
> searching deja-news if you're interested in them.
>
> Allen

--------------F7A16F7DAE
Content-Type: application/msword; name="trunktlisttxt.doc"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="trunktlisttxt.doc"

<encoded_portion_removed>
--------------F7A16F7DAE--
```

705. Re: When you SELL something here PLEASE .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: prografx@teleport.com
Message-ID: <5v4hmbgcv1@nadine.teleport.com>
Source record: 186754

"Bob Brubaker" <bob@belvoir.army.mil> wrote:

>When you SELL something here PLEASE ....

> include your location, your 10-20, ur QTH whatever you call it.

>Some us would prefer to see the stuff your selling.

1. Since this is a world-wide forum, the chances that you would get to see something advertised here are fairly small (statistically).

2. Do you only restrict your interest to devices sold in your area? Most people are attracted to what is being sold, and deal with the opportunities or consequences of location only after initial contact is made.

3. Many people prefer to protect at least the first-tier of personal privacy by limiting the announcement of their location to only those who have expressed an interest, not broadcasting this information to the world at large. I notice you didn't mention where YOU are so we could come to your house and tell you all this in person!

4. Virtually nobody takes much advice here, they follow accepted practices (if they are smart). This makes your message about as likely to induce change as this one has in enlightening you.

Have a nice day....

Steve

706. Re: FS: R7000 AND R7100 RECVRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff <jdarche@wsii.com>
Message-ID: <3415F317.555@wsii.com>
Source record: 186755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Joe Ragsdale wrote:
> This NG is for ham radio gear only. If you ever post commercial
> gear here again I will report you to your ISP & Internic.
> Tom Hervert wrote:
> >
> > Price Reduction on these two world-class receivers:
> >
> > Icom IC-R7000, excellent condition;
> > 25-2000 Mhz unblocked coverage;
> > six years old, original box, manual, power cord;
> > $800.00
> >
> > Icom IC-R7100, excellent condition;
> > 25-2000 Mhz unblocked coverage;
> > three years old, original box, manual, power cord;
> > MB5 Mobile Bracket (unused) and MB23 handle & feet set;
> > $900.00
> >
> > SERIOUS OFFERS OF CASH ONLY!!!
> >
> > Tom
Thank You Joe, for making that decisions for me! Of course, those radios
should be posted in the R7000 specific news group... get a clue!

707. Re: Michigan Scanner Laws and Amatuers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Matthew W. Forster" <mforster@dwo.org>
Message-ID: <3415FF49.63291AEC@dwo.org>
Source record: 186756

PURPLEMA59 wrote:

> Maybe you should get an amatuer radio license and not worry about the
> local cops hassling you.I did,and now I just show them my FCC
> technician
> class license and leave my Pro 2006,my Ar2800 and my HT 2m rigs turned
> up
> as loud as they go.Another question that you didn't ask your cop
> friend was
> if the two he arrested were in the act of commiting a felony,because
> that
> is also a federal crime.Anyway, I think it is a pretty silly law in
> this
> state but federal law supercedes state law every time.So get the
> proper
> license and write to your congressman and senator about H.R. 1954
> &H.R.
> 2369 and tell them that you should have the right to listen to what
> you
> want,where you want and when you want to.Remember,as long as you are
> legally allowed(by the FCC)to operate an amatuer station you can have
> a
> scanner in your car in Michigan,unless H.R. 2369 passes,then
> monitoring or
> even having a scanner will be illegal.
>
> 73's
> KB8ZPC

He's right, I also live in Michigan, I don't have an amatuer radio
license or anything, but I did write the director of the state police,
and they gave me a license to use it in my car for 4 years. Figured
that would buy me some time to get my amatuer license.

708. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: croaker@access.digex.net
Message-ID: <znr873820339k@Digex>
Source record: 186757
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

In article <34106185.1988@usa.net> ma5ter@usa.net writes:

> > > What kind of a statement is that? I sure hope you're not LIVING in the
> > > United States because you would find alot more people who fit your beliefs
> > > in Iran.
> >
> > In Iran, if you disagree with the government, they kill you. In the
> > United States, we have the God-given right to speak out in opposition
> > if we see criminal acts, acts that violate the Constitution and civil
> > liberties. I sure hope you're not opposed to THAT!
>
> And if you disagree too much, the cops will kill you or have the IRS
> audit you to death or take all of your property.

Who said it would be the IRS? All it takes is for someone to shove half a key
of China White through your basement window and wait for the DEA stormtroopers
to kick in your door.

Frank Ney WV/EMT-B VA/EMT-A N4ZHG LPWV NRA(L) GOA CCRKBA JPFO
Sponsor, BATF Abuse page http://www.access.digex.net/~croaker/batfabus.html
West Virginia Coordinator, Libertarian Second Amendment Caucus
NOTICE: Flaming email received will be posted to the appropriate newsgroups
- --
"Whether the authorities be invaders or merely local tyrants, the
effect of such [gun] laws is to place the individual at the mercy of
the state, unable to resist."
- Robert Heinlein, in a 1949 letter concerning _Red Planet_

709. SCANNER AUCTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "E.Thompson" <max@zianet.com>
Message-ID: <34160D4D.63BB@zianet.com>
Source record: 186758

CHECK THIS OUT:
http://komodo.ebay2.com/aw-cgi/ItemISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=248899

710. WinRadio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bobwoodson@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <34160599.4442@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186759

Anyone tried one of the WinRadio cards, and if so, what is your opinion of it?

711. Re: Frecuencias en España

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: pegasus@NO.SPAMS.nym.alias.net
Message-ID: <19970909190409244022@infovia160.mundivia.es>
Source record: 186760

<kiminy@couriernet.infi.net> wrote:

> On Mon, 01 Sep 1997 16:51:34 -0500, "DAV..." <david084@flash.net> wrote:
>
> >Winged Horse wrote:
> > Juancho <fotografo@redestb.es> wrote: > > >
> >Desear�a que alg�n Espa�ol y en particular de Madrid me mandase un
> >mail...(etc)
>
> >SPEAK ENGLISH and you wont have these problems

This part was answered several messages ago... but as I can see, that message doesn't appear in the newsgroup...

> >-- !!! THE US GOVERNMENT MUST SECURE THE SOUTHERN BORDER BY ANY MEANS !!!

Sure! Great! Secure the southern border... and spanish from Spain shall assault the unsecured eastern border... and they'll teach you several things about this little country... first of all, his location in the world... :-))

> If you took the time to skim over the text, even if you don 't read
> Spanish, you'd see the word 'Madrid.' For the intelectually challenged who
> spout slogans, Madrid is in Spain, which is a European country, across the
> Atlantic ocean. Mais, quelles expectations aurions-nous des debiles?

He cannot see the word "Madrid" in the body of that message, because he's very busy securing the southern border... :-) Or he's finding Madrid on that southern border... but he still haven't found it...

And this should be the end of the question...

Best Regards.

WH

-- Winged Horse ----- Neverland's End Inc.
pegasus@NO.SPAMS.nym.alias.net PGP Key Fingerprint: B2 44 EE DD 98 81 64 DF 46 F2 B1 1C 7F 13 87 10 Please, remove the NO.SPAMS from email replies...

712. Web Site updated!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa4pgm@moonstar.com
Message-ID: <NEWTNews.873840322.28437.wa4pgm@wa4pgm.moonstar.com>
Source record: 186853
Cross-posted to: va.general,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.boats.paddle,alt.internet.stuff

I have been doing some work to my web site and have uploaded the latest changes. Have a look around and please sign my guestbook with your comments.

My site contains:
*Amateur Radio
*Blue Ridge River Runners
*Central Virginia Contest Club
*History of Farmville, VA
*Kayaking
*Virginia Martial Arts
*Free World Flags

Thanks for your support!
73 Kyle

http://luna.moonstar.com/~wa4pgm/

713. Re: where can i get a good dtmf decoder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnl@postoffice.ptd.net
Message-ID: <5v3moe$6fq$1@news3.ptd.net>
Source record: 186854
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <5v26iqqlf1@nw001.infi.net>, acorbin@roanoke.infi.net says...
>
>In article <3414134B.68D4@hotmail.com>, a666l@hotmail.com says...
>>
>>hi,
>>Im looking for a place to buy a dtmf decoder, with an LED display
>>preferably, for a reasonable price. Does anyone know where to buy some?
>
>The only one I know of that has an LED display is the Ramsey kit which of
>course you have to build. I have one and it workds quite well. I think
>Opto-Electronics makes a dtmf decoder however I think it has an LCD
>display.
>
I just received the new Opto Techtoyz decoder ant it works great--it's the
size of a pager and costs $ 89 plus shipping direct from Opto.

Hope this helps.

Best regards,

John

N3ZQJ

714. Re: New RCA Scanner to hit market

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: gwheelerX@gate.net
Message-ID: <34154f5c.892663@news.gate.net>
Source record: 186855
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

txjones@tranxsport.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
>Please keep in mind that I am a complete neophyte on the journey to
>understanding radio and electronics;
>Does this mean a control DC voltage is created and slaved to the incoming
>frequency, and changes, as the frequency being monitored changes? If so,
>what advantage is thereby gained?

Ummm, I think it's the other way around. The DC voltage controls the
tuning frequency of the radio. At the time, it was a big step up from
the mechanical tuning that was being used. These days, there are much
better ways, such as the digitally synthesized tuners used on most
televisions and in your scanner.

Gerry Wheeler gwheelerX@gate.net (remove the X)
SpectraFAX Corp. Phone: 941-643-8739
Naples, FL Fax: 941-643-5070

715. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: ain'tg@tno.e-mail
Message-ID: <34155b2b.2483518@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 186856
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

David Abrams <david084@flash.net> wrote:

> Most Jews in the USA are Democrats. Democrats passed the Brady Bill
>and the Assualty Weapons Ban.

Richard Nixon was a republican. He gave us DEA and the
subsequent "War on Drugs"...which have staked your Fourth Amendment
rights straight through the heart.

You really need to learn to think in *principles*. Not
parties.

Billy

Anthology
http://www.mindspring.com/~wjb3/free/essays.html

716. Re: How does web/email to pager gateway work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: russell@probe.net
Message-ID: <5v3rnn$k7o$1@jake.probe.net>
Source record: 186857
Cross-posted to: tnn.comm.pager,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,comp.dcom.modems

"John Braeking" <jbraeking@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>No company (TIKO) uses socket services for paging (TCP of TCP/IP). However,
>it is possible to open a socket and send to their internet CGI or compiled
>'form', if that form is not too complicated.

Pagemart does, and I think others either have it in place or are working
on it. The protocol is called SNPP (Simple Network Paging Protocol). I
have friends at a large telecom services company that use this gateway with
great success.

Most companies, though, still accept pages only via TAP ports. We use
Hylafax (search for it on Yahoo) on one of our servers to do both in/outgoing
faxes and paging.

--
Tim Russell System Admin, Probe Technology email: russell@probe.net
PGP RSA: C992 109C 6D7F 8D91 062E 817E 00D3 287A
"The worst censorship is self-censorship, because fear has no limits."
-- Grady Ward

717. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dont@send.me.shit
Message-ID: <34157089.76734682@192.168.2.2>
Source record: 186858
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 14:58:29 GMT, ain'tg@tno.e-mail (Billy Beck)
wrote:

>
>David Abrams <david084@flash.net> wrote:
>
>> Most Jews in the USA are Democrats. Democrats passed the Brady Bill
>>and the Assualty Weapons Ban.
>
> Richard Nixon was a republican. He gave us DEA and the
>subsequent "War on Drugs"...which have staked your Fourth Amendment
>rights straight through the heart.
>
> You really need to learn to think in *principles*. Not
>parties.

The CDA was as bi-partisan as a bill can get.
--

Dwarftosser

718. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970909.091446.922@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 186859
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3414AD0F.2CB4@flash.net>, on Mon, 08 Sep 1997 20:57:35 -0500,
David Abrams <David> writes:
>
>Joseph Feng wrote:
>>
>> In article <340E5F8B.50F3@flash.net>, on Thu, 04 Sep 1997 02:13:15 -0500,
>> "David..." <david084@flash.net> writes:
>> >
>>
>> ....absolutely nothing of relevance to either of the *.radio.scanner
>> newsgroups. He is so self-absorbed in his mental masturbation that he does
>> not have the competence, courtesy, or maturity to post his rantings and
>> ravings only in the relevant areas. If he were not so selfish and childish,
>> maybe we could feel sorry for him. Too bad he does not have any friends or
>> a real life.
>
> Spoken like a true democrat who has a government job!
>--
>*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
> NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
>http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
>http://www.instanet.com/~vct/

Is this the best you can do? Childish name-calling. One of these days, you
may have enough education to carry on an intelligent argument. Obviously,
this has not happened yet. It goes along with your inability to act with
courtesy to other people. It would be a sign of intelligence and maturity
to keep your postings only in relevant newsgroups. You are entitled to as
much politeness as you extend to other people; in your case, that is zero.

719. FS BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lee Barnett <lbarnett@claimsware.com>
Message-ID: <5v3t6l$p2r@globalnews.globalvision.net>
Source record: 186860

I have a BC235XLT for sale. I bought the scanner, took it on vacation and had a great time trying to figure out the talk groups. But my local county is not truncked, so the scanner has just been sitting around in the shack.

Scanner is in new condition with box, manuals, extra battery, etc.

I'll take $250.00 including ground UPS and COD fee.

Please reply to: lbarnett@claimsware.com

73,

Lee, KJ4BF

720. Re: MNManiac's Problems or Drew hates kids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970909165701.MAA06426@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186861

>Subject: Re: MNManiac's Problems or Drew hates kids
>From: kf2ti@planet.net (Steve (KF2TI - Landing, NJ))
>Date: Tue, 9 Sep 1997 09:36:23 -0400
>Message-id: <MPG.e7f1ac92d139059898af@news.planet.net>

>Maybe
>you should enroll in basic math 101. HMMMM you walked on property
>while school was closed and therefore there were no kids and then after
>school started ...hmmmmm And an elementary school at that, all those
>little children. Drew you really can't be this dumb..but then
>again......

In the immortal words of DConti: " What does this have to do with scanning?"
Michael Alexander

721. CHECK OUT THIS DEAL!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "E.Thompson" <max@zianet.com>
Message-ID: <3415869A.1996@zianet.com>
Source record: 186862

http://komodo.ebay2.com/aw-cgi/ItemISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=248899

722. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dand@shell.rmii.com
Message-ID: <5v3smk$6oj$1@news.rmi.net>
Source record: 186863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

As I recall, D Stark (nf2g@ggw.org) wrote:
->>The newer PCS phones (also may be called "Powerband" among other things)
->>do use digital encryption.

->Digital phones are not necessarily encrypted. They are two different
->things.

Read my lips: The newer PCS phones do use digital encryption.
While previous standard digital phones did not, the PCS ones do.

-DanD

--
Dan D (kd4igw) dand@rmii.com dand@frottage.com http://rmii.com/~dand
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks." -Lazarus Long

723. FS: BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmf@iei.net
Message-ID: <5v41ds$n3p@svr2.iei.net>
Source record: 186864

Yes that's right! It's the new Uniden base trunktracker. I aquired it through a trade and I don't want it as I have the handheld trunktracker. I can probably come up with a receipt for it if you need one. I'm selling it for $295(including shipping) pre-paid by certified check or money order.

Michael N9LFN
Indianapolis, IN

*Reference available

724. Westchester, NY MEDEVAC Chopper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: JKDN73A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <5v3ttv$1fqo$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 186865

Does anyone know the frequencies used for the MEDEVAC helicopters used in Westchester Co., NY?

725. Re: New RS Dicone assembly question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <34156321.B15@videotron.ca>
Source record: 186866

pkelly5@ix.netcom.com wrote:
>
> Vincent Charron wrote:
> >
> > To any that have put together the new RS Discone (20-043):
> >
> > On the top of the apex assembly where the 50 mhz whip screws on, there
> > is a nut screwed on tight against the round plate holding the small
> > radials. It is unclear in the instructions if that nut must be removed
> > before screwing on the whip.
> >
> > Some of the illustrations of the antenna are misleading because some
> > show the nut, and some without it?
> >
> > Any help would be appreciated.
> >
> > Vince C.
>
> I'm not trying to be a smart ass here (but what the heck), but have you
> tried asking for assistance and knowledgable help at the store where you
> bought the RS antenna?
>
> Oh...uh...never mind.
>
> Hey! Anyone out there know how to help this guy!
>
> Patrick Kelly
> been there,done that

Pat:

Valid question. I've asked four (4) different RS reps in my area and got
2 yes and 2 no answers :(

This antenna (with low-band vertical) is quite new on the canadian
market and combined with poor assembly instructions/diagrams I decided
to post my query.

Cheers,
Vince

726. RE: AND/OR Logic for CE-232 Script Function

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34156c63.29880201@news-server>
Source record: 186867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

TO: LGBEAR@dfw-ix6.ix.netcom.com

>>INCORPORATE "AND" AND "OR" LOGIC INTO YOUR CE-232 AND HB-232 SCRIPTS!
>>===

> It never fails to annoy me that it -occurred to you- AFTER I pointed
> it out to you in a private post on Your BBS.

I have no recollection of that....at least the WHO. And, it was three
or four years ago that the AND/OR issue was raised by someone. You say it
was you? Okay. I don't care. But that issue WAS addressed then
and there....and the article was firmly lodged in the download area of my
BBS for one and all to take advantage of. Furthermore, it was added
to all CE-232 program disks thereafter. It was no secret.......

> I guess I should have
> posted it in public. No wonder your original HB232 programmer was so
> impressed with your straightforward and fair minded conduct he added
> that annoying bit of code to the software. Did you ever find out How
> to erase that? What was that key combo again?

I'm afraid I haven't a clue to what you're talking about. Perhaps you
could elaborate..... And how's about including your REAL e-mail
address so this kind of stuff can be kept off the newsgroup?

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

Received: (from uucp@localhost)
by mail.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.7) id AAA15805
for <bcheek@san.rr.com>; Tue, 9 Sep 1997 00:19:18 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from dfw-ix6.ix.netcom.com(206.214.98.6) by mail via smap (V2.0)
id xmaa15770; Tue, 9 Sep 97 00:19:09 -0700
Received: (from smap@localhost)
by dfw-ix6.ix.netcom.com (8.8.4/8.8.4)
id CAA00325 for <bcheek@san.rr.com>; Tue, 9 Sep 1997 02:19:03 -0500 (CDT)
Received: from min-mn4-24.ix.netcom.com(204.30.69.152) by dfw-ix6.ix.netcom.com via smap

(V1.3)
id rma000314; Tue Sep 9 02:18:55 1997
From: LGBEAR@BUCKWHEAT.DUMP
To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Subject: Re: AND/OR Logic for CE-232 Script Function
Date: Tue, 09 Sep 1997 07:14:22 GMT
Message-ID: <3417f557.4225547@smtp.ix.netcom.com>
References: <34117ac7.63644616@news-server>
In-Reply-To: <34117ac7.63644616@news-server>
X-Mailer: Forte Agent 1.01/32.397
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-MIME-Autoconverted: from quoted-printable to 8bit by mail.san.rr.com id AAA15805
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-UIDL: 8ea26a08e3f4f853fa1052143e805b01

727. Re: Any recommended Aero books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <34158605.41C6@vnet.com>
Source record: 186868
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jake Brodsky wrote:
> I'm continually amazed that so many folks ignore the very best
> aviation monitoring references in existence. They are up to date,
> readily available at any general aviation airfield, and the cost is
> quite reasonable:
>
> They're called VFR Sectional Charts, Low and High Altitude IFR charts,
> Approach plates, the Airport/Facility Directory, and the Airman's
> Information Manual.
[snip]

As a fellow pilot, I agree with your assessment of the value of
such charts. You do get up to date info but you do pay a price
for it. Most of the info on these charts is not useful for most
monitors. And I have to object to your statement about them being
of "reasonable" cost. One sectional is going to run you $7. With
VHF/UHF air comms good for 150-200 miles, you will need more than
one chart for sure. Probably around 4 or 5. Thats around $30. You
can buy a nice book for $20 that has all ARTCC freqs as well as
all local tower/ground/CD/ATIS/etc and ARINC channels not to mention
various military bases/freqs etc.
And you haven't even dipped into the additional cost of IFR charts
or A/FD(that is a cheapy). I would also not want to guess how easily
some people have access to a local FBO or being to even found out
where the closest one is.
Perhaps we could set up a distribution service for pilots to
send their outdated manuals so that they could be distributed for
free to aviation monitor enthusiasts? ;-)
I will agree that the serious monitor should pick up a copy of
the Airman's Information Manual(AIM). Lots of good stuff to learn
and help appreciate what you are hearing.

Safe flying & Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

728. F.T.: Realistic Pro-23 HH Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@localnet.com
Message-ID: <5v464k$135$2@usenet76.supernews.com>
Source record: 186869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Realistic Pro-23 HH Scanner, 50 Channels. Coverage is 108-136;
137-174; 406-512; 806-956. Cell enabled. Note: This one does not cover
the lowband VHF popular with many fire depts and older cordless
phones. Unit is in near mint condition. Comes with original box,
packaging, antenna and manual.
I would like to trade this unit for one of the following items:
Realistic Pro-29 HH Scanner
Realistic Pro-66 HH Scanner
Regency Z-45 Base Scanner
Regency Z-60 Base Scanner
Magnavox D2999 Shortwave Recvr
Bearcat DX-1000 Shortwave Recvr
Sangean ATS-818 OR ATS-818C Shortwave Recvr
OR what have you??????????
If interested,please e-mail to: radioman@localnet.com with details.
Trades only within the U.S.A. please.
Thanks,
Ray Miller N2NJK radioman@localnet.com

729. fs - MOTOROLA HT.... MISC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: deli-bear@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3415CDA3.48B3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186870

..... FOR SALE....:

(add postage to prices. CON-US only!!)

MOTOROLA MTX9000 fm handi-talkie radio,
model H01WCF4DB5AN NX type WCMA.
900mHz range. With charger and info.
Only $499.

---------- P O W E R S U P P L Y M O D U L E S ------
I have several power supply modules available. These are
complete, requiring only the DC input voltage. The modules
contain the necessary IC regulator and caps or coils as
needed. These are new, never used. The boards have the
input and output terminals marked.

1.) 2" x 2" PCB with regulator, transformer, etc, installed.
8V to 16VDC input, +12V / 1A out.
6 units available at $8 each, plus shipping.

2.) 24pin DIP regulator-on-a-chip. No external components
are needed! Up to 40VDC input, +5V/1A out.
3 available at $5 each, plus shipping.

.... FOR YOUR DRIVING PLEASURE ....
1929 Mercedes SSK kitcar on VW chassis. Just completed, titled.
Yellow, with brown fenders and running board, tan convertible top, side
curtains, and tonneau cover. Gold trim instruments.

.

------------------- F L A S H L I G H T S ---------------------

4-D cell (2x2 style), krypton bulb. In box, never used.
$5 + shipping.

6-D cell multifunction lantern. Sleek tubular style with
bright incandescent light at end, 6W fluorescent bulb
along bottom, and flashing red and yellow on top. Also
has electronic sonic alarm that can be switched on with
the flashing lights. In box, never used. $12 + shipping

3-C cell Eveready worklight-style. Bright yellow with
hook for hanging, frosted bulb to diffuse light over area.
Unused, but no box. $5 + shipping

Batteries NOT included.....

COCA-COLA caps. Red cloth, white lettering. New.
$8 each + shipping. Only 9 available.

.............MODEMS..............
.
2400 baud internal modem card. Only 3 left. $ 5 each.
.
2400 baud PCMCIA fax/modem card (9600 fax). Only $17
(only 1 available)

14.4Kbaud internal fax/modem card. Only $25

IDE hard drives:
Seagate 120 meg .... 70.
Maxtor 120meg .... 70.

IDE laptop drive: 4" x 2.75" x .75" with mini IDE connector
Seagate ST9546A 540meg $149

MAINBOARDS WITH CPU : Tested with Dos and Windows
(these boards use 30pin memory simms)
386sx 16Mhz $10
486sx 25mHz $40
386DX 40mHz............................ $30

MEMORY SIMMS: (specify parity or not)
4 meg 72pin non-parity .... $ 25
4 meg 72pin parity .... $ 25
30-pin memory SIMMS: 256K 60 or 70ns ($4)
80ns ($3)
100ns ($2)

..............CPU CHIPS............
AMD 386DX40mHz. A great way to speed up those older boards!
Only $ 5
.

add postage to listed price. CON-US ONLY!
e-mail me if interested...

730. Re: CE-232 Script Example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3415895d.37299707@news-server>
Source record: 186871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 04:46:01 GMT, barryoXX@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady) wrote:

> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

> :> : EXAMPLE FUNCTIONAL SCRIPT
> :Finds And AutoLogs All "Birdies" In The Scanner

> I have a PRO-2004 and while it is an excellent scanner it has nowhere
> to connect external computer control to.

Of course not. Most scanners don't. However, many scanners
CAN be retrofitted to be operated by a computer, and the
PRO-2004 is one remarkable example of such.

The CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface functions as a two-way
smart controller and data acquisition interface for the
PRO-2004 (and the PRO-2005/PRO-2006). That is, it can
not only control and AutoProgram the scanner, but it can
also acquire and process data FROM the scanner.

....and a lot more, too.

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

731. Beaumont and Jefferson County Texas Questions....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: scasko@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970909192000.PAA14082@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186872

I am going to be programming a radio for a friend of mine and need a little information. He wants it to be programmed the same as mine is in Houston, but with the addition of Beaumont PD and Jefferson County SO. I am too far away to pick them up myself and can only find a limited bit of info. I'm hoping someone can help me fill in the blanks so I can get his radio right the first time.

This is what I have so far:

Beaumont PD

Ch 2 (information) 460.350 / 465.350
Ch 3 (car-car) 460.225
Ch 4 (dispatch) 460.075 / 465.075
Ch 5 (tac) 460.175
Ch 6 (swat) 460.125 / 465.125

I also show 465.225, 465.175, and 465.275 licensed to them. .225 and .175 would normally be the repeater inputs to car-car and tac, but these don't normally go through a repeater and I was hoping someone knew what they were used for. Also, the 465.275 seems to be a mystery. Is this the missing Ch1? I'm also looking for the pl tone(s) they use if anyone knows them.

Jefferson County SO

Ch 1 (intersystem) 460.275 / 465.275
Ch 3 (car-car) 460.225
Ch 4 (dispatch) 460.500 / 465.500
unknown 453.775 / 458.775

I also show 465.250 and 465.350 licensed to them. I'm looking for the same stuff for these guys: the missing Ch 2, what they use .250 and .350 for, what the .775's are, and the pl tone.

Thanks to anyone who can give me any help on this.

Steve

732. For Trade: Realistic Pro-2021 Base Scanner, 200 Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@localnet.com
Message-ID: <5v46u9gao1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Source record: 186873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Realistic Pro-2021 Base Scanner. Very good condition. Only flaw is the
ELF light panel is dim. Doesn't cause a problem using the unit though.
I would like to trade it for one of the follwoing units:
Realistic Pro-29 HH Scanner
Realistic Pro-66 HH Scanner
Realistic Pro-2026 Scanner
Regency Z-45 Base Scanner
Regency Z-60 Base Scanner
Magnavox D-2999 Shortwave Recvr
Bearcat DX-1000 Shortwave Recvr
Sangean ATS-818 OR ATS-818C Shortwave Recvr
Or What have you??????????????
If interested,please e-mail to: radioman@localnet.com with details.
Trades only within the U.S.A. please.
Thanks,
Ray Miller N2NJK radioman@localnet.com

733. Re: FS: R7000 AND R7100 RECVRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Ragsdale <joer@brunner.net>
Message-ID: <3415A3AB.3B5A@brunner.net>
Source record: 186874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

This NG is for ham radio gear only. If you ever post commercial
gear here again I will report you to your ISP & Internic.

Tom Hervert wrote:
>
> Price Reduction on these two world-class receivers:
>
> Icom IC-R7000, excellent condition;
> 25-2000 Mhz unblocked coverage;
> six years old, original box, manual, power cord;
> $800.00
>
> Icom IC-R7100, excellent condition;
> 25-2000 Mhz unblocked coverage;
> three years old, original box, manual, power cord;
> MB5 Mobile Bracket (unused) and MB23 handle & feet set;
> $900.00
>
> SERIOUS OFFERS OF CASH ONLY!!!
>
> Tom

734. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EG91Lt.so@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186875

Daniel M. Conti <dconti@carroll.com> wrote:
>tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:
>
>>What the heck does this have to do with scanning?
>
>I dunno, Reverend...I asked the same question and got blasted for
>it....

I'm not refering to the rest of the thread. When I asked the
question, I was specifically referring to your rant and whine.

You pride yourself on being a newsgroup moderator, keeping the
newsgroup in check... it is only right that you abide by your
own rules and make sure your posts keep on the topic of scanners
as well.

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

735. Re: time wasters looking for freqs'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: j.stirling@cableol.co.uk
Message-ID: <3415a879.7978859@news.cableol.co.uk>
Source record: 186876

On 5 Sep 1997 01:24:21 GMT, "Bandit" <bandit@tinet.ie> wrote:

>so far i have asked people to trade freqs',
>i have received 5 replies but no freqs'......so.....i sent off 5 lists and
>waited,
>and waited and waited.....why do some people not bother to reply?
>i sent off my lists in good faith, obviously i wasted my time.
>so next up i suppose ill have to post a list of the people who are time
>wasters...
>to save others from the same crap i endured.
>---
sorry but you are telling little fibs i e-mailed you a list as soon
as i receved yours but you seem to have forgot this fact
by the way you had said "i have tons of freq" but what you did not say
was they are dublin freq only not much good to me by the way

736. Re: LAPD Tone signaling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duane Park <dspark@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbd4a$d1602560$57a120cc@dspark.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186877

I think you're right..... Thanks
Duane Park
Ric Marshall <cfpa418@ix.netcom.com> wrote in article
<34143C81.741D@ix.netcom.com>...
> it is modat. a motorola signaling ider.
>

737. Re: Scanner Laws in Maryland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <341891d3.5017666@news.erols.com>
Source record: 186878

On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 13:36:54 GMT, wisper@theonramp.net (Eric Harris) wrote:

>The other day I was talking to a customer at work who's sister is a
>Maryland state police officer, and he told me that it is illegal to
>have a handheld scanner in your vehicle. Does anyone know if this is
>true?

AFAIK, this is not true. And if I get wind of any such stupidity you can be sure I'm going to start making even more phone calls, sending even more e-mails, writing letters, and contributing to certain political action campaigns (if it comes to that).

>If it is, is it legal to have a dash-mounted scanner in your vehicle?
>What are the details of this law?

>Thanks for any information you can give..
>
>Eric Harris

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

738. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: j.stirling@cableol.co.uk
Message-ID: <3415a888.7993895@news.cableol.co.uk>
Source record: 186879

On 7 Sep 1997 15:22:14 GMT, user <snt7765@iol.ie> wrote:

>Has any1 got a freq list for Dublin Ireland?.
>
>
>
yes e-mail me and ill send you it
BIG JAKE

739. Re: Comments on WinRadio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <341791bb.39441836@news-server>
Source record: 186880

On Fri, 05 Sep 1997 23:48:28 GMT, martin.hayes@usa.net (Martin Hayes) wrote:

> Had a chance to see the PCR1000 and had a good play with it,: not bad,
> but compared to Winradio, disappointing.....................

That's largely due to the means of interfacing the radio
to the PC.....via the RS-232 serial port. WinRadio
connects via the ISA bus. The speed/efficiency comparison
is like 115,200-bps vs. 8,000,000 bps.

No wonder WinRadio shines! And we haven't even gotten
into the software yet. <grin>

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

740. Re: Pro-2006 PS Hum!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: prografx@teleport.com
Message-ID: <5v4i2hgcv2@nadine.teleport.com>
Source record: 186881

Mark Zealor <mzealor@gte.net> wrote:

>I just bought a 2006 date code 5A3. The power supply hums and the
>backlight is just a dimmer instead of on/off. Can anyone help me fix
>the hum, and can I put in a new display and get it to turn off/on
>instead of just dim/dimmer. Thanks in advance,

Get a copy of the service manual (I don't have this info in front of me, but I have done it) and locate the resistor connected to the dimmer switch. You can remove this resistor that gets switched-in to produce the DIM effect and this will make it turn OFF instead.

Do yourself and your scanner a BIG favor by buying a 12VDC power supply (or heavy-duty wall-wart) and run the 2006 from an external supply. This is cheaper than getting the internal supply fixed (if you aren't into repairing this stuff yourself) and eliminates 98% of the internally generated heat. The scanner will last a lot longer because it runs so much cooler!

Steve

741. Re: FS: R7000 AND R7100 RECVRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Moss <andmoss@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <34160C6D.7270@ibm.net>
Source record: 186882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Hervert wrote:
>
> Price Reduction on these two world-class receivers:
>
> Icom IC-R7000, excellent condition;
> 25-2000 Mhz unblocked coverage;
> six years old, original box, manual, power cord;
> $800.00
>
> Icom IC-R7100, excellent condition;
> 25-2000 Mhz unblocked coverage;
> three years old, original box, manual, power cord;
> MB5 Mobile Bracket (unused) and MB23 handle & feet set;
> $900.00

Will you consider a trade for anything else radio-related?

8-)

--
Andy Moss
"Whose woods these are I think I know...."

742. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v4nd0$2bnm$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186883

In article <3415183B.2AB3@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
>> Exactly what public service do most scanner listeners perform?
>
>Read Monitoring Times magazine and find out monthly examples of this,
>such as numerous criminals caught because a scanner listener saw the

OK, that's one example. Any more?

743. Re: Any recommended Aero books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: postmaster@nospam.org
Message-ID: <5v4aisrjb2@news.usit.net>
Source record: 186884
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 12:53:31 GMT, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:

>They're called VFR Sectional Charts, Low and High Altitude IFR charts,
>Approach plates, the Airport/Facility Directory, and the Airman's
>Information Manual.

I recently got the IFR Supplement, and I'm pleased with it. It cost me
a little over $11, but it's good for someone who travels a lot. The
info is MUCH more up-to-date that http://www.airnav.com.

---
* Fake Reply-To in use * Email lew1@usit.net to reply *

744. Re: FS: R7000 AND R7100 RECVRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Phipps <sphipps@clandjop.com>
Message-ID: <3415C350.6893@clandjop.com>
Source record: 186885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Joe Ragsdale wrote:
>
> This NG is for ham radio gear only. If you ever post commercial
> gear here again I will report you to your ISP & Internic.

You're not going to make very many friends here by posting this CRAP all over the group.

Keep those amateur and non-amateur radio posts coming everyone!

SP

745. Re: Scanner Laws in Maryland--Tekbir's 10-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tekbir <wbeachy@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3415BF3F.135A@erols.com>
Source record: 186886

That's total BS! Let me 10-9 that. That's total BS. 10-22 what that unit said. You have 10-44 to use your scanner in your POV.

--

Tekbir (William Matthew Beachy)
The Polytonic Harmony Home Page
http://www.erols.com/wbeachy

746. ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "kb8uyz.com" <dan@kb8uyz.com>
Message-ID: <3415E0CE.2A77@kb8uyz.com>
Source record: 186887

rec.radio.scanner

Stop HR2369!

A new proposed bill has the potential to eliminate the scanner industry, as well as greatly affect other radio services, such as amateur radio, MARS, CAP, news media, and radio hobbyists activities.

** Contact your local Congressional representative and voice your opposition to HR2369. If passed, this bill will make it illegal to sell, trade, manufacture or modify any radio receiver with certain restricted frequencies. Wide band amateur radio transceivers will become illegal, as well as general hobbyists who monitor fire, ambulance, and police radio services.

It will also be a crime to own such radio receivers and to listen to these frequencies. Violators will be subject to up to a $500,000 fine and up to 5 years in prison, or both!

For more information, please visit our web site at http://kb8uyz.com, where, under the Radio News section, we have the complete bill posted. Also check out the Grove, Monitoring Times web site at http://www.grove.net/mt2369fea.html. A complete background to the bill is listed.

Please let us hear your comments on this new bill. We will be posting a page of viewer comments within the next several days.

73's
Dan KB8UYZ
dan@kb8uyz.com

747. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: scatmanpoo@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970909212800.RAA15868@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186888

>Re: Scanner Licence
>From: netzel@erinet.com (Fred)
>Date: Sat, 06 Sep 1997 21:41:10 GMT
>Message-id: <5usfb4$htt@nntp1.erinet.com>
>
>In article <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
>wrote:
>>Bill Schanks wrote:
>>>
>>> How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
>>
>>Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
>>guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
>>Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!
>
>..EXCEPT KENTUCKY!!!!!!

Wrong. Kentucky Revised Statute (KRS) 432.570 states in relevant part that:

"(4) Nothing contained in this section shall prohibit the possession of a
[mobile] radio [capable of receiving police transmissions] by: . . .
(c) . . . a person holding a valid license issued by the Federal
Communications Commission in the amateur radio service."

Do not regard this as legal advice.

- SMP

748. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v4n6u$2bnm$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186889

In article <5v3smk$6oj$1@news.rmi.net>, dand@shell.rmii.com (Dan Duncan) wrote:
>As I recall, D Stark (nf2g@ggw.org) wrote:
>->>The newer PCS phones (also may be called "Powerband" among other things)
>->>do use digital encryption.
>
>->Digital phones are not necessarily encrypted. They are two different
>->things.
>
>Read my lips: The newer PCS phones do use digital encryption.
>While previous standard digital phones did not, the PCS ones do.

Sprint PCS disagrees with you. Sprint feels that it is unnecessary to
"further encrypt" their digital PCS phones. I reiterated to them that
DIGITAL is NOT ENCRYPTION.

749. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v4nbq$2bnm$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186890

In article <34151970.3F14@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:

>one can disprove this, thus the law protects scanners (or ANY receiver
>capable of ham frequencies) in ALL 50 STATES... REGARDLESS of ANY
>meaningless states laws.

I want to know what the judge says when you tell him that the law on
which you are being tried is meaningless. After he stops laughing,
that is.

750. F/S Bearcat 760xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Peterson <k6dmj1@pop.flash.net>
Message-ID: <3415EEA7.1111@pop.flash.net>
Source record: 186891

For sale: Bearcat 760 xlt.
Unit has the CTCSS unit installed and covers all of the 800mhz band.
This is a banked 200 channel (100x2) receiver. I have had this unit for
quiet some time but I have only used it here at the house. It is in
pretty decent shape and comes with the stock wall cube. I am asking
$200.00 or best offer (Delivered). Please e-mail with questions or
offers k6dmj1@flash.net

751. Re: Bearcat Battery Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <5v4nqq$fkm@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3414B4A8.A5DDCBD@kosher.com>, marc <marc@kosher.com> wrote:

>Does anyone know a replacement for the SCR IC601 in the
>BP205 battery pack? Battery for a 100 XLT
>Thanks!
>
>Numbers on it are L4810CV

Looks like a 3-terminal fixed 10 VDC positive regulator.
The schematic shows 13.8 VDC in and 10 VDC out.

Suggest you consider one of the following 10 VDC, 1 Amp, 3-terminal
regulators (TO-220) case:

ECG 1968
or
uA7810CKC - available for $.75 from Tech America (part #900-4500).
--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

752. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EG9IB9.1GE@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186893

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> Picky, picky, prissy fellah.
>
> is your scanner colored pink ?
[SNIP!]
> bill conduit
> etc.

What the hell do you have stuffed up your ass, Bill?

Oh, never mind... I see... you have the synoposis for this
newsgroup there... no wonder you don't know what is going
on.

Let me explain.

1) Someone posts some "overheards" which can be seen as fitting in with
the "funny dispatch" threads here.

2) Conti figures that he knows entirely what is correct and what is
incorrect for this newsgroup, and decided to play online editor.

3) YOU start tossing the shit at the original poster about how he has
no idea what an assignment editor does... You start tossing shit
other directions as well... It is obvious that you do have a pain
in the ass. Please don't make it all of ours. Has the synoposis
been up there for this whole thread?

4) Conti starts ragging some more, through several branches of this
thread... getting further off topic than it has ever been.

5) I decide to ask Conti of his post, what he asked of the original
post... you see, two can play at that game.

6) You start personal attacks against me.

7) Two can play at that game. Get the fucking synoposis out of your
butt and read it for yourself next time you wonder what is actually
going on in this group... that is, if you know how to read.

8) What's that smell?

9) Oh, it's just Bill Used Condom.

10) I told you that two can play at that game, Bill.

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison

DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

753. Expired Orlando URL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: comma@notdot.net
Message-ID: <3416e15e.160786785@news.zippo.com>
Source record: 186894

A number of reference URL'sfor orlando frequencies appear to no longer be valid. Could anyone suggest a list of current ones? I'm also interested in the CareFlight (or other air ambulances) operating in the Orlando area.

TIA.

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227, any and all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

754. Re: FS: R7000 AND R7100 RECVRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck <hodell@umbc.edu>
Message-ID: <3415B4B7.E4E8AD65@umbc.edu>
Source record: 186895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Have a bad day Joe? I'm a ham and I have a R7000 that I bought from
this group.

73s Chuck N8ADN

Joe Ragsdale wrote:

> This NG is for ham radio gear only. If you ever post commercial
> gear here again I will report you to your ISP & Internic.
>
> Tom Hervert wrote:
> >
> > Price Reduction on these two world-class receivers:
> >
> > Icom IC-R7000, excellent condition;
> > 25-2000 Mhz unblocked coverage;
> > six years old, original box, manual, power cord;
> > $800.00
> >
> > Icom IC-R7100, excellent condition;
> > 25-2000 Mhz unblocked coverage;
> > three years old, original box, manual, power cord;
> > MB5 Mobile Bracket (unused) and MB23 handle & feet set;
> > $900.00
> >
> > SERIOUS OFFERS OF CASH ONLY!!!
> >
> > Tom

755. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: croaker@access.digex.net
Message-ID: <znr873834177k@Digex>
Source record: 186896
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

In article <34149F0C.70BA@flash.net> david084@flash.net writes:

> Cliff, you are right. Except in countries where the people have no
> freedom. In a place like China, Cuba, N. Korea, Vietnam, etc. the police
> are enforcers of oppressive law. They are the arm of government that
> holds the people in place.
> Thankfully, we do not face that situation in the USA.

Yet. But coming soon, to a gulag near you. . .

Frank Ney WV/EMT-B VA/EMT-A N4ZHG LPWV NRA(L) GOA CCRKBA JPFO
Sponsor, BATF Abuse page http://www.access.digex.net/~croaker/batfabus.html
West Virginia Coordinator, Libertarian Second Amendment Caucus
NOTICE: Flaming email received will be posted to the appropriate newsgroups
- --
"Whether the authorities be invaders or merely local tyrants, the
effect of such [gun] laws is to place the individual at the mercy of
the state, unable to resist."
- Robert Heinlein, in a 1949 letter concerning _Red Planet_

756. Re: PerCon, Grove or???? Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mattg@nojunk.csp-tech.com
Message-ID: <3415b178.0@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 186897

Our web site is www.csp-tech.com. You will find a the complete version of the ScannerBase software with only 100 records per state at our web site.

Thanks

In article <19970906183000.OAA24700@ladder02.news.aol.com>, devans4465@aol.com (DEvans4465) wrote:
>I have had the Percon Database and liked it but, a new one out is Called
>ScannerBase and is out by CSP Tecnologies. You can search multiple states
>at the same time. For example I select the states going through and then
>seach all the states at the same time for the type of Radio Service that I
>am going to be scanning. They do have a web site but I cannot think of it
>right now. I will post it when I do find it.

757. Re: FS: R7000 AND R7100 RECVRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970909210301.RAA20266@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186898

>Subject: Re: FS: R7000 AND R7100 RECVRS
>From: Joe Ragsdale <joer@brunner.net>
>Date: Tue, 09 Sep 1997 12:29:47 -0700
>Message-id: <3415A3AB.3B5A@brunner.net>
>
>
>This NG is for ham radio gear only. If you ever post commercial
>gear here again I will report you to your ISP & Internic.
>
>
The guy is a private individual, looking to sell two well-known, popular
scanner models. What's YOUR problem?

758. FS: Icom R7000 VHF/UHF Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970909224201.SAA21587@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186899

I have an excellent condition Icom R7000 with RC-12 remote control and the SP-3 matching speaker. With original box and manual. This unit has the extra 100 memory channels added using the dimmer switch on the remote, for a total of 200 memory channels. It is one of the later production models.

Price is $850.00 plus shipping

E-mail to Fbals@aol.com

759. pro 62 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Jentis <andrewj@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <34160A29.5BB4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 186900

i have a pro 62 and when i turn it on, it scans fine but does not receive anything. after about 20 minutes, it starts receiving just fine.

any hints on what causes this and how to fix it?

andy

760. Which AR 2700 Computer Interface????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: brents@pressroom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.e7f96ab71d21ed989680@news.pressroom.com>
Source record: 186901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just bought an AR2700 Handheld and would like to know what the best RS232 interface to get.

I would like to use the Radio Manager program and I understand it requires an interface which
uses the squelch circuit. I know of the standard AOR interface but have also learned of the Optolinx interface by Optoelectronics.

If anyone has any experience with these or any other interfaces, I would like to hear your comments.

Thank,

Brent
WB4QLK
--
Brent Schroeder
brents@pressroom.com

761. Re: Trunk Tracker Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Brien G. McCrea" <brienm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <341614C2.7283@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186902

Tom Jones wrote:
>
> =>=> pntx@aol.com (PNTX) wrote:
> >Bought TT Fri. night, had to exchange it Sat AM.
> >I programed 27 frequencies into bank #1. The unit would only scan the
> >first 19. I did not know this till the data channel changed and the scanner
> >could not find it. It was probably just a fluke, but it is a good idea to
> >scan your trunked frequencies in the conventional mode to make sure that
> >they are all being scanned.
>
> I have 29 frequencies entered in my Bank1, all entered in Trunking mode.
> When I power up the radio, it enters Trunked Search mode, and scans the
> freqs, in the order entered. When it comes to the frequency that is the
> control frequency of the day, it jumps over to Trunked Scan, to scan the
> TalkGroups I have entered in the bank. This means that sometimes it scans
> four freqs before commencing trunktracking, sometimes twelve, sometimes all
> 29. I don't appear to be missing transmissions when it only 'looks' at
> four, at start up. I may be wrong, but I think that is what it's supposed
> to be doing.
>
> --
> To reply by email,you must correct my address by removing the two X's...
> (They're there to hopefully sidetrack any SPAM/JunqueMail/unsolicited
> messages, etc...

If you know which frequency is the data channel and you put it in the
first memory location it will go into TT almost instantly.

762. Sources for FIPS codes for RS WXR-SAME Radio #120-0249

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <5v26st$8gh$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 186910
Cross-posted to: bit.listserv.wx-talk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

"Douglas A. Butts Jr." <g089075@JAGUAR1.USOUTHAL.EDU> wrote:

>Look under NOAA Weather Radio on the main page. Although most offices
>have some sort of list or link to NWR, I'm kind of partial to this page.

From: http://www.nws.noaa.gov/sr/ohx/same.htm

7. I just purchased a NOAA Weather Radio with the SAME feature. Where
can I get the specific geographic code I need to program my receiver?

The National Weather Service will offer a list of the SAME codes
available on the NWS website. A toll-free number (1-888-NWR-SAME or
1-888-697-7263) radio owners can use to get the SAME code they need
should be operating by the fall.:)

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

763. FS : Alinco DJ-G5 dual band HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG7vIz.EEC@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Alinco DJ-G5 dual band HT. MINT, purchased on 08-13-97, will provide original receipt. Includes the optional soft leather case, and AA alkaline battery pack.

$300.00 shipped to 48, COD $5.00 extra

No Trades.....

E-Mail if interested
dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

764. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG7vnH.EKF@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186912

tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:

>Daniel M. Conti <dconti@carroll.com> wrote:
>>
>>THE GREAT CONTI......I like it, Mr. Skip...thanks.....or can I just
>>call you Skip?
>>
>>Another challenge : Show me one sentence from any of my threads where
>>I called ANYONE an idiot, or said I was smarter then anyone else.
>>You must be a reporter, because you LOVE to put words in my mouth.
>>
>>BTW.....Not one of my previously issued challenges has been met.

>What the heck does this have to do with scanning?

I dunno, Reverend...I asked the same question and got blasted for
it....

>--
>Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
>DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com
>---
> Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

765. Bearcat Uniden BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Geno" <dmgeno@together.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbcbe$8e81a340$dea605d0@default>
Source record: 186913

Does anyone have information on this model scanner. Need frequency
coverage, and programming/function information.
Thanks.

Dave

766. Re: where can i get a good dtmf decoder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: acorbin@roanoke.infi.net
Message-ID: <5v26iqqlf1@nw001.infi.net>
Source record: 186914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3414134B.68D4@hotmail.com>, a666l@hotmail.com says...
>
>hi,
>Im looking for a place to buy a dtmf decoder, with an LED display
>preferably, for a reasonable price. Does anyone know where to buy some?

The only one I know of that has an LED display is the Ramsey kit which of course you have to build. I have one and it workds quite well. I think Opto-Electronics makes a dtmf decoder however I think it has an LCD display.

767. Re: New messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve@swssec.com
Message-ID: <3414aaac.171806077@news2.ibm.net>
Source record: 186915

>> Is anyone else having a problem in this group? I've been in many others
>> that work fine,but here all the posts come up marked as read. Other than
>> that ...no troubles. But it is kind of a pain. Please let me know. Thanks!

If you are on IBM as an ISP, they have bugs in some new newsgroup
server software which they have been trying to correct for over a
month.

If you are with IBM, please keep throwing trouble reports at them. I
can't believe that on the whole planet I am the only one who bothers
to document problems.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland USA
Manufacturers of electronic surveillance and commo gear
tel +1+410-879-4035 fax +1+410-836-1190
mailto:Steve@swssec.com
website: http://www.swssec.com
Celebrating our 25th anniversary in 1997
"In God We Trust, All Others We Monitor"
****

768. Re: arrested for stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@nospam.com
Message-ID: <5v2a4k$on3$3@news3.microserve.net>
Source record: 186916

In article <340C9E91.4929@mail.polarnet.com>, ggarrels@mail.polarnet.com says...
>
>David Batty wrote:
>>
>> In article <33F8CCF8.5818@polarnet.com>, Greg Garrels
>> <ggarrels@polarnet.com> writes
>> >wopshel wrote:
>> >>
>> >> example:if youre listening to your neighbors
>> >> while they are on the phone
>> >
>> >[SNIP]
>> >...then you need to get a life!!!!!
>> >
>> Listening to your neighbours while they are on the phone is a great way
>> to study psychology, you can examine life in your area from a detached
>> point of view. You can see the real side of people that they would hide
>> if they knew that others were listening. Listening to phonecalls while
>> on the computer is good entertainment as well.
>>
>> --
>> David Batty
>
> Well, two points here...
> (1) You are waving a red flag at regulators
> (2) Do you also peep at keyholes and open your neighbors mail?
>Perhaps you go through their trash as well.
>

Yea, Regulators suck eggs.
No, I don't peep in keyholes, or open my neighbor's mail, but then again, my neighbor doesn't pass his mail through my house, or change in my living room either.

TYler

769. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@nospam.com
Message-ID: <5v2a8d$on3$4@news3.microserve.net>
Source record: 186917

I should have known better than to open anything by MACKEY. However, I didn't read who it was from.... oh well, I'll keep my eyes open for trash.

Tyler

770. Re: Coping with digital repeater systems ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: netzel@erinet.com
Message-ID: <5v28qm$7ji@eri.erinet.com>
Source record: 186918

In article <5uqkj9$8c8$3@news1.atlantic.net>, jmason@iu.net (j) wrote:
>
>My county is currently switching most government radio operations
>from simplex 150Mhz to an Erikkson digital repeater-based system.
>You key the mike - the system reads some group-identifier codes
>and then opens a channel to all other radios in that group. The
>exact frequency is undetermined ... could be any of dozens of
>frequencies in the 800Mhz band. The system picks whichever one
..snip....
are
>going to be of any use whatsoever. While most of the traffic
>will not be encrypted, some will ... and if the citizens
>are to fulfill their duty as "watchdogs" of the public trust,
>then we must be capable of recieving all but the very most
>critical and private transmissions.
>
>What's new on the scanner front ? 50,000 channel memories
>are USELESS if the unit cannot cope with these new repeaters.
>Who will make (is making ?) scanners for the 21st century ?
>
>-j
>
>

..aparently the Congress of these here United States dosen't share your enthusiasim, as for the "watchdog" label....I think the dogcatcher has been given a new lease on life.....as for the newer communications systems replacing the older systems....well that's progress for you. Maybe there's a new twist like monitoring RC models or locating microwave ovens that are causing global warming......(;>).............enjoy.....

Fred

771. Re: I have lot's of frequencies I'm willing to trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: no-one@no-one.com
Message-ID: <5v2aaj$7ji@eri.erinet.com>
Source record: 186919

In article <19970908030901.XAA14370@ladder02.news.aol.com>, vwillys@aol.com (VWillys) wrote:
>I have the Seattle scanner book

I have the PERCOM CD ROM, I have access to the PERCOM web site, I have access to the internet and all the scanner web sites on the internet......why trade, you have something that isn't listed between 100khz and 80gig, that is worth listening to?

772. Re: For Sale pro 43 Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@nospam.com
Message-ID: <5v2aqk$on3$5@news3.microserve.net>
Source record: 186920

In article <34159014.952290@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
orbitone@worldnet.att.net says...
>
>radio shack pro 43 scanner 200ch hyperscan date is 3a4 newer A
>model.... like new with box and manual nice stock scanner gets even
>the 200mhz band top of the line scanner from radio shack in 1994
>selling for 160.00 shipped to usa 1st class mail email me firm on the
>price please... this is a very good deal for this type of scanner if
>you want something good then look no further email me thanks

Sounds a little too good to be true!!! Wow, 200 Mhz capabality!!! WOW!

Tyler

773. Re: MNManiac's Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: enterprise@de.com
Message-ID: <3414acf0.3774999@news.airnet.net>
Source record: 186921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 08 Sep 1997 18:27:15 -0500, Hello <hello@eatme.com> wrote:

>Drew, Drew, Drew. Are you getting into trouble again? Found this on
>DejaNews.
>
>
>Subject: The Peoples Republic of Orange County Schools
>From: mnmaniac@aol.com (MN Maniac)
>Date: 1997/06/03
>Message-Id: <19970603012501.VAA21873@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>Newsgroups: fl.politics
>[More Headers]
>
>What do y'all make of this situation?
>
>I'm a "Power Walker", which means I take long, brisk walks as part of my
>daily workout routine. I average 8 miles/day, 6 days/week. I live in a
>fairly new Orange County subdivision with a neighborhood elementary
>school. Since we moved here last July, my daily walks have taken me
>through the parking lot of the school. Not into the school building,
>not
>onto the playground; simply across the length of the parking lot. For
>the
>past 11 months, nobody has had a problem with this. Today, I was
>stopped
>by a security guard and questioned as to what I was doing there. After I
>explained that I lived in the neighborhood and was on my daily walk, I
>was
>told that all "visitors" on the school grounds must sign in and be
>assigned a "badge." When asked why nobody had mentioned anything to me
>over the past 11 months that I have been walking through there on almost
>a
>daily basis, I was told that it was because "a parent called and
>complained. She was concerned about the childrens' safety."
>
>So, let me get this straight...even though I am a law-abiding citizen
>who
>PAYS for that school with my property tax dollars...even though I had
>done
>nothing suspicious, committed no crime, and did nothing to endanger the
>safety of anyone at that school...even though I have no children of my
>own, but yet am forced to pay ridiculously high school taxes to support
>the education of other people's children...I cannot walk through the

>PARKING LOT of my neighborhood school without being given official
>permission to do so, simply because a parent (whose children I am
>supporting with my property tax dollars) feels that my walking through
>the
>school parking lot may be a threat to the "childrens' safety?!"
>
>If there are any legal professionals in the audience, I would like an
>opinion as to whether or not they have a legal right to prohibit me from
>walking on the premises. If not, what is my legal recourse in the event
>that they attempt to force me from the premises?
>
>
>-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
>
Look here stupid. A school has the right to keep ANYONE off the
property. I hope when you return you are arrested for criminal
trespassing and carted off to jail. You have no business there
and you should have the brains to know that. I see you're just as much
a pain in the ass in real life as you are here.

774. Best Antenna for Bearcat 150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rd41343@spamsux.navix.net
Message-ID: <5v2cok$1rs_001@news.navix.net>
Source record: 186922

Hey,

I am looking for a good antenna for my portable, a Bearcat 150. I have a 7" rubber duckie, but it seems a bit weak. I am mainly interested in air freqs (I work at the airport-LNK), but also cordless and 800-900mHz.

Any suggestions?

775. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: pntx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970909025801.WAA25410@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186923

While I hope the Secret Service would have better things to do than read this newsgroup, it may be read by researchers for the cell phone industry.Posts about mods taken out of context and slickly packaged by the PRdept could show that even when scanners are blocked there is an obsession with listening to cell phones and the only alternative is a complete ban. I know this is pretty much a long shot, but it is something to think about.

776. Re: cordless freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbcd1$912159c0$49d1bbcd@pemble.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.rec.scanner

25 channel cordless telephone freq's

Channel ##	Base TX	Rmte TX
Channel 01	43.7200	48.7600
Channel 02	43.7400	48.8400
Channel 03	43.8200	48.8600
Channel 04	43.8400	48.9200
Channel 05	43.9200	49.0200
Channel 06	43.9600	49.0800
Channel 07	44.1200	49.1000
Channel 08	44.1600	49.1600
Channel 09	44.1800	49.2000
Channel 10	44.2000	49.2400
Channel 11	44.3200	49.2800
Channel 12	44.3600	49.3600
Channel 13	44.4000	49.4000
Channel 14	44.4600	49.4600
Channel 15	44.4800	49.5000
Channel 16	46.6100	49.6700
Channel 17	46.6300	49.8450
Channel 18	46.6700	49.8600
Channel 19	46.7100	49.7700
Channel 20	46.7300	49.8750
Channel 21	46.7700	49.8300
Channel 22	46.8300	49.8900
Channel 23	46.8700	49.9300
Channel 24	46.9300	49.9900
Channel 25	46.9700	49.9700

Scott Ryan <crtoy@fyiowa.infi.net> wrote in article
<3414804D.4CCD@fyiowa.infi.net>...
> Where can I get a current list of all 46-49 Mhz & unscrambled 900 Mhz
> cordless freqs.?
>

777. Re: MNManiac's Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <5v2fb7$p72@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 186925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Drew, I dare you to attempt walking onto a military base (which your taxes help fund) without a visitor's pass.

778. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim233@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970909035701.XAA06626@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186926

You believe the ARRL-GOOD LUCK. Money basket is all that is.
Call them for help sometime-HA HA!!

779. Re: Coping with digital repeater systems ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <auto@arrowweb.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbcda$6a4795e0$1117c2cf@default>
Source record: 186927

```
>j <jmason@iu.net> wrote in article <5uqkj9$8c8$3@news1.atlantic.net>...
>My county is currently switching most government radio operations
>from simplex 150Mhz to an Erikkson digital repeater-based system.
>You key the mike - the system reads some group-identifier codes
>and then opens a channel to all other radios in that group. <snip>
```

Just remember, electronics companies that make government radios also make scanners, and they make more money from the sale of consumer level equipment. I don't think it will be to long before scanners will support this new trunked technology.

```
Remember when the "impossible" laser detectors hit the market soon after
police started buying up those laser speed guns?

:0)

~Ken
```

780. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3414d4ff.5986230@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186928

dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

:> Jackasses have a higher IQ than you possess.
:
:I took alot of criticism from many for what I attempted to do here.

You did not. It is quite impossible to take alot of anything.

:Not once did I malign the intelligence of anyone, nor call anyone a
:name. Your doing so here speaks for itself.

Even intelligent people get sucked into things like Christianity and
using non words like alot, probably because they don't like to think
for themselves.

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

781. Want to buy BC-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Hopkins <RickHH@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3414F4A0.FE0@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186929

I would like to buy a BC-200 scanner.
Does anyone have one reasonable?
Rick H

782. Programit Autoprogrammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970909052900.BAA12826@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186930

You looking for an autoprogrammer for your Radio Shack scanner? Take a look at http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Way/1522. You'll find complete instructions on how you can build an interface for under $20 worth of parts. The site also has free software to convert files from FCC, Percon or FRS and software to load up your scanner. I've been using this set up for a few weeks, and it's terrific.

Michael Alexander

783. Re: CE-232 Script Example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3414d44c.5806514@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

:This article is Copyright (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek, and all rights are
:reserved.
:
:
: EXAMPLE FUNCTIONAL SCRIPT
:Finds And AutoLogs All "Birdies" In The Scanner
:
:Here is a CE-232 Script that is dissected and explained at each step. Its
:purpose is to identify all "birdies" in your scanner and log them to a
:file. A few more steps makes a CE-232 AutoReject file that will prevent
:your scanner (PRO-2004, PRO-2005, or PRO-2006 only) from locking up or
:stopping on "birdies".

I have a PRO-2004 and while it is an excellent scanner it has nowhere
to connect external computer control to.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

784. Re: Freq Man. I'll find any freq for FREE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3414d595.6135636@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186932

al@alsplace.com (Al) wrote:

:What is the frequency of your jerking off?
:
:In article <341299a1.34841273@news.fastlink.com.au>,
:barryoXX@fastlink.com.au wrote:
:
:>That sounds real freaky man.
:>
:>===================================
:>To reply via email please remove the XX from my
:>return address.

Why do you put your reply before the text you replied to?
It makes it confusing to read and messes up further replies.

Your question is off topic for this newsgroup. Please take
it to a newsgroup that I do not read.

Barry

===================================
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

785. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970909051101.BAA05201@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186933

>Subject: Re: BC235 Mod.
>From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
>Date: Mon, 08 Sep 1997 19:54:14 -0400
>Message-id: <34149026.2492@tir.com>

> Oh give me a break!.....preach elsewhere. Like the Secret Service has
>agents perusing the newsgroups investigating people seeking
>information. Logic dictates the SS has much better things to do.

No one is preaching dim bulb. This was a j-o-k-e. Everyone else but you
got it.

786. Re: MNManiac's Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970909051500.BAA12006@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186934

>Subject: MNManiac's Problems
>From: Hello <hello@eatme.com>
>Date: Mon, 08 Sep 1997 18:27:15 -0500
>Message-id: <341489D3.5DA@eatme.com>

>Drew, Drew, Drew. Are you getting into trouble again? Found this on
>DejaNews.
>
>

I'm no supporter of the guy, but your posting this says a heck of a lot
more about the kind of person YOU are than it says about Drew.

787. Re: New RCA Scanner to hit market

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970908.182136.091@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 186935
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.animals.wildlife,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,alt.law-enforcement

In article <34149CE8.33BF@flash.net>, on Mon, 08 Sep 1997 19:48:40 -0500,
David Abrams <David> writes:

>
> Would you please draw a small example of what this tank would look
>like if I used RS parts. I really enjoy making tank circuits, but I
>have never used this method, and I have never seen an example of it to
>duplicate for small transmitters.
> Thanks!!

This is absolutely amazing! It is hard to believe that David Abrams has actually posted something of relevance to the radio newsgroups. If he were any old newby, I would say that he would deserve a polite and helpful reply. However, he is one of the worst and most flagrant posters of irrelevant political diatribes in these newsgroups. The explanation by Steve S is correct. If he had had any kind of reasonable education, he would be able to understand what Steve S wrote. Now he displays his ignorance and incompetence in radio related areas. It goes well with his inability to show some maturity and self-restraint by keeping his political postings to appropriate newsgroups. Or maybe he has tried that and could not keep up with the arguments, so he wandered off thinking he could impress us with his knowledge as he shows off his stupidity.

788. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone Calls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3414d491.5876033@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

:
:> YOu have to be in the business to GET IT.
:
:Thnakfully, I am not...are you? It would explain alot.

I have never seen an explanation for alot. Would you like to
do the honours?

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

789. FS: R7000 AND R7100 RECVRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Hervert <thervert@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5v2o72$2kj@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Price Reduction on these two world-class receivers:

Icom IC-R7000, excellent condition;
25-2000 Mhz unblocked coverage;
six years old, original box, manual, power cord;
$800.00

Icom IC-R7100, excellent condition;
25-2000 Mhz unblocked coverage;
three years old, original box, manual, power cord;
MB5 Mobile Bracket (unused) and MB23 handle & feet set;
$900.00

SERIOUS OFFERS OF CASH ONLY!!!

Tom

790. Re: How does web/email to pager gateway work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Braeking <jbraeking@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5v2r67$c06@mtinsc04.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186938
Cross-posted to: tnn.comm.pager,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,comp.dcom.modems

Usually, a "gateway" is written to receive the SMTP e-mail message, extract, translate, and format it for either TNPP or TAP transmission to the paging terminal. Since they are the provider, the paging company could also use a custom transmission method.

From a UNIX server you can send alpha pages using e-mail, TAP or lease a line to the paging company and use TNPP. You can also translate or modify a copy of their HTML and use that, but, TAP is more reliable. (www.pcia.com for protocols).

No company (TIKO) uses socket services for paging (TCP of TCP/IP). However, it is possible to open a socket and send to their internet CGI or compiled 'form', if that form is not too complicated.

Most paging companies do both e-mail and HTML based paging from the internet. There are also internet services that will do e-mail for you to most any provider.

HTH. JB.

alvarez@iac.co.jp wrote in article <873343588.19610@dejanews.com>...

>Konichiwa,
>
>I am really curious as to internet users can send
>messages from web forms or email to pagers.
>
>For example of what i mean, please see >>
>http://www.bazaars.com/home/paging2.html
>
>Could you kindly share your experience
>with me? Basically how does internet messaging
>interface with a paging terminal? What equipment
>is needed to connect my UNIX web server to a paging
>terminal?
>
>Do pager companies accept dispaches directly from TCP/IP
>or do all the incomming messages need to be dialed in
>thru a normal phone call? How do most pager companies
>recieve data from a web based form or email?
>
>Please advise. Thank you.
>
>-------------------==== Posted via Deja News ====-----------------------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

791. Pro-2006 PS Hum!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Zealor <mzealor@gte.net>
Message-ID: <5v2s38$6ga$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 186939

I just bought a 2006 date code 5A3. The power supply hums and the backlight is just a dimmer instead of on/off. Can anyone help me fix the hum, and can I put in a new display and get it to turn off/on instead of just dim/dimmer. Thanks in advance,

792. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws: Resistance is Futile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmason@iu.net
Message-ID: <5v2c98opu2@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 186940

bugsweeper@aol.com (BugSweeper) wrote:

>If there's one thing I've observed regarding the recent trend of outlawing
>the monitoring of segments of the RF spectrum, it's that attempting to fight
>off every piece of legislation, wherever it may appear (city, state, federal),
>it quite futile. The anti-scanner portions of bills are often buried so deep
>in legal mumbo-jumbo that no one notices what is happening until it is too
>late. [...]
>
>_Resistance_ is futile.
>
>What is needed is to stage an offensive- to propose and introduce legislation
>at the federal level that reaffirms the rights of scanner owners and preempts
>other state and local laws. Such legislation needs to be worded in such a way
>that is very general. "The use of a scanner shall not be prohibited" is no
>good. [...]

One can slip in PRO-scanner legislation just as easily as
others can slip in the ANTI-scanner stuff. All one needs
is a facilitator ... aka yer senator or congressperson.
What you get is trivia wars ... you buy some breathing
room until someone else starts hacking out this or that
bit of the spectrum again. It is perhaps more useful if
the issue is low-key, vague and always in flux. That
way, few prosecutors will be current enough to feel
confident about pressing a case.

On the other end of things, there exist MANY things which
are private and MUST NEVER be casually exposed to the
average scanner fanatic. Cell phone calls are such an
item. There exists NO excuse for listening in on other
peoples conversations - NONE. If you are of the "info
anarchist" bent - well, hope you enjoy jail. The evils
of totalitarianism need not be the sole province of central
governments. Liberty and autonomy can be destroyed by the
great iron fist of the State ... or just as easily by
being pricked to death by a million less empowered
strangers. The net effect is similar.

However, the machinations of government agencies are more
or less fair game. Indeed, they OUGHT to be monitored.
While scrambling is justified for, say, certain police/
military tactical frequencies and perhaps for the
purpose of maintaining victim privacy ... the great bulk
of govt operations should be in the open air for all to

hear.

Privacy is DIFFICULT to come by - don't undermine it.
Access to government is also difficult to come by - don't
let it slip away unchallenged.

-j

793. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <34151970.3F14@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186941

Bill Funk wrote:
>
> In article <341362DE.35E@scarlan.com>, soldeed@scarlan.com says...
> > Fred wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
> > > >Bill Schanks wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
> > > > >
> > > >Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
> > > >guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
> > > >Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!
> > >
> > > ..EXCEPT KENTUCKY!!!!!!
> >
> > ALL 50 states!!!! Federal Law overides ANY state law!!!!!
> >
>
> Which Federal Law would this be?
> I'm unaware of any Federal law saying that HAMs are allowed to use
> scanners where they are otherwise illegal, as in vehicles, for instance.
> There *IS* a Federal premptive law making it legal for HAMs to use
> Amateur Radio equipment that also scans, and is capable of receiving
> public service freqs. Is this what you are referring to? This law does
> not apply to scanners, though. Both the FCC and the ARRL have been very
> clear on that.
>
> Bill Funk

Since a "ham radio" can be "home-made"... I transmit on 2-meters, but need to receive on the 440 band, thus I need my scanner. Together with my 2-meter radio, my scanner BECOMES part of my ham radio system. Just as OTHER ham radios capable of receiving public service freqs, so to does my scanner which I will be using to receive HAM frequencies, and no one can disprove this, thus the law protects scanners (or ANY receiver capable of ham frequencies) in ALL 50 STATES... REGARDLESS of ANY meaningless states laws.

794. Re: where can i get a good dtmf decoder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <34151A46.7601@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186942
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

andy wrote:
>
> In article <3414134B.68D4@hotmail.com>, a666l@hotmail.com says...
> >
> >hi,
> >Im looking for a place to buy a dtmf decoder, with an LED display
> >preferably, for a reasonable price. Does anyone know where to buy some?

Optoelectonics has one that looks exactly like a motorolla pager and
sells for only $89!

check it out at http://www.optoelectronics.com

795. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <3415183B.2AB3@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186943

> Exactly what public service do most scanner listeners perform?

Read Monitoring Times magazine and find out monthly examples of this, such as numerous criminals caught because a scanner listener saw the criminal he heard about on the police frequencies, called police with the info, and criminal is caught.... Monitoring of phone calls and overhearing murder plans, and being able to stop the murder. Every month there are other examples like this.

796. Re: 235XLT @ $250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdeviney@intellinet.com
Message-ID: <3414ab9f.136616397@news>
Source record: 186944

So what's their phone # or web site???

On Sat, 06 Sep 1997 17:08:09 -0400, "Bruce A. Langos"
<bruce.langos@daytonoh.ncr.com> wrote:

>Bull. I am not a dealer or wholesaler. I just called on the phone and
>ordered one no questions asked.
>
>JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com> wrote in article
><19970906021100.WAA26723@ladder02.news.aol.com>...
>> All,
>>
>> Whether Sante Fe Distributing has any 235XLTs in stock or not is really
>> immaterial since they won't sell to anyone but established accounts, or
>> wholesale to dealers. That leaves 99 percent of us out in the cold as far
>> as their $229.00 price goes.
>>
>> John
>>

797. Re: Any recommended Aero books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <34153e39.2484947@news.erols.com>
Source record: 186945
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 6 Sep 1997 19:44:02 GMT, "Tom Greenli" <tgreenli@nospam.vr-net.com>
wrote:

>
>I just finished reading "Monitoring Private & Commercial Aviation" in
>Monitoring Times July 97 edition. I am interested in getting more
>information on this subject. In the reference section the author mentions
>a few books. Has anyone used the following? Please comment on content,
>accuracy, value or if it's a "MUST HAVE".
>
>Aeronautical Frequency Directory by Robert Coburn (Available at Rat Shack)
>Air Scan by Tom Kneitel
>Air Waves by Laura Quarantiello
>The Worldwide Aeronautical Communications Frequency Directory by Bob Evans
>
>Or others...

I'm continually amazed that so many folks ignore the very best
aviation monitoring references in existence. They are up to date,
readily available at any general aviation airfield, and the cost is
quite reasonable:

They're called VFR Sectional Charts, Low and High Altitude IFR charts,
Approach plates, the Airport/Facility Directory, and the Airman's
Information Manual.

Nothing else comes close to explaining all the chatter you're likely
to hear on the air. I strongly recommend the Airman's Information
Manual if you're going to get serious about listening to aviation
chatter. A VFR Sectional Chart for the areas you want to listen to is
also highly recommended. If you want to get even more serious, an IFR
chart and approach plates are cool for understanding ALL the chatter.
Finally, an Airport/Facility Directory can give you details on the
class of certain navigation beacons, airport services, and so on.

The bottom line: go and buy the other books, if you want. They won't
tell you anything about navigation fixes, airways, altitudes,
Restricted Airspaces, where the published holds are, or anything
relevant about 90% of what you're likely to hear. They might be good
for learning about specific company frequencies, AIRINC traffic, or
company call signs though.

Happy Listening!

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ MD24
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

798. FS: AR-3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Pringle <ccpsh@boco.co.gov>
Message-ID: <5v3ifc$dp6@news-1.boco.co.gov>
Source record: 186946

Must sell AR-3000A, Denver area. Unblocked, comes with computer control software. Best offer starting at $750.00. Please respond to Silvler506@aol.com if interested.

799. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike1@nospam.visi.com
Message-ID: <mike1-0909970912570001@13-05.dynamic.visi.com>
Source record: 186947
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <341f8178.191212575@news.zippo.com>, Pinker@rocketmail.com
(James Pinkerpound) wrote:

> On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 07:41:43 -0500, mike1@nospam.visi.com
> (Michael*Schneider) wrote:
>
> >In article <01bcbafc$904e3260$da97accf@domonkos>, "Andy Domonkos"
> ><domonkos@erols.com> wrote:
> >
> >> Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if
> >> everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat....
> >
> >
> >
> > "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."
> >
> > British tyranny in the colonies increased bit-by-bit, day-by-day until
> >shots were fired at Lexington and Concorde.
> >
>
> Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?
> You know, it's not like Lexington. We vote now. Having been a poll
> watcher,

"Votescam: The Stealing of America", aut: Collier, pub: Victoria House Press

> I think incredibly few elections don't produce the correct
> winner - total shock that this may be: Clinton really won. Newt really
> won. We aren't ruled by a foreign King. Get out of the house - you'll
> see I'm right. No King. No support for treason. Check it out. But if
> you're still into that treason stuff - see a shrink. You owe it to
> your family.

I do not consent to this government's initiations of force, merely
because it has the alleged authority to do so by numerous hypocritical
cowards to chickenshitlivered to practice "dynamic entry" themselves.

This government is immoral; and your vote is an excerize in self-deception.

Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.

-- Guy Norman LaFrance

800. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike1@nospam.visi.com
Message-ID: <mike1-0909970918430001@13-05.dynamic.visi.com>
Source record: 186948
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3414AC27.6167@flash.net>, david084@flash.net wrote:

> > Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
> > Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.
> >
> > -- Guy Norman LaFrance
>
> Most Jews in the USA are Democrats. Democrats passed the Brady Bill
> and the Assualty Weapons Ban.

Well, *yeah*....

Who did you think the quotation was aimed at?

Lesbian nuns?

Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.

-- Guy Norman LaFrance

801. Scanner Laws in Maryland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wisper@theonramp.net
Message-ID: <34154fcf.6004897@news.theonramp.net>
Source record: 186949

The other day I was talking to a customer at work who's sister is a Maryland state police officer, and he told me that it is illegal to have a handheld scanner in your vehicle. Does anyone know if this is true?

If it is, is it legal to have a dash-mounted scanner in your vehicle? What are the details of this law?

Thanks for any information you can give..

Eric Harris

802. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <3415547A.19A5@kktv.com>
Source record: 186950

Lord Nymon wrote:
>
> > Exactly what public service do most scanner listeners perform?
>
> Read Monitoring Times magazine and find out monthly examples of this,
> such as numerous criminals caught because a scanner listener saw the
> criminal he heard about on the police frequencies, called police with
> the info, and criminal is caught.... Monitoring of phone calls and
> overhearing murder plans, and being able to stop the murder. Every
> month there are other examples like this.

Interesting that you brought that up. A friend of mine is an attorney
in Muncie, Indiana. There was a case of a person riding his motorcycle
down a state highway at 140 mph. A state trooper couldn't catch him
but gave enough info on the biker that the biker's neighbor knew who
blew by the cop and turned him in. The biker got home and within
a couple of hours, the IN State police paid him a visit, arrested him
for reckless driving among other charges such as fleeing. The neighbors
never got along.

Brad

803. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike1@nospam.visi.com
Message-ID: <mike1-0909970917050001@13-05.dynamic.visi.com>
Source record: 186951
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <5v1d92$c1o$1@blaze.accessone.com>, rivero@accessone.com
(Michael Rivero) wrote:

> FWIW, I don't forsee an armed rebellion in the future. Anyone who thinks
> violence is the answer has some very romantic notions but little sense
> of history. This government will not be destroyed from without, but is even
> now collapsing itself from within, dragged down by it's uncontrolled
> debt, and the loss of trust by the population that is the inevitable result
> of all the lies that now stand exposed.

And you think they'll just go "Aw, shucks; ya caught us" and submit to
true justice, or at the very least drop the reins of power and go home?

Mike, they aren't creating a domestic "standing army" for *nothing*.

They mean to USE IT.

Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.

-- Guy Norman LaFrance

804. CE232 software trick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341743FA.2BAA@usa.net>
Source record: 186603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The message below was sent to me by a (shy) friend:

>"There's some guy hounding Cheek about his CE232 software. He was
>referring to a secret hidden Keyboard trick, that Cheek denied, on his
>software. Cheek is well aware of the trick. The trick is to hold
><shift><?> while the programme is firing up. It basically claims that
>the programmer was fucked over by Cheek. It's encrypted into the
>programme so it can't be hacked out by Cheek!"

Does anyone know the exact wording of this message?

Any other details about it?

Perhaps if I get on the fidonet "Scanradio' echo someone there will
know about it if I have no luck here.

805. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341745E9.13E2@usa.net>
Source record: 186604

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> the "cheek guy" showed you some class
> which you obviously have no appreciation for.

Apparently what YOU consider as "class" is wasting bandwidth
by qouting ENTIRE messages & going off to other newsgroups
to make racists comments.

806. Uniden SC-150 and BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: spj67@flash.net
Message-ID: <5v7an0$kj3$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 186605

Does anyone have any mods or keyboard trick's for either of these scanners. Are either able to be unblocked for cell freqs and if so how??. Any info would be nice.

Stephen.......:)

807. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3417453A.3345@usa.net>
Source record: 186606

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> you bring much to this group....those that

Yea right Billy.

Are you going to start with your racist comments AGAIN???

808. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341746D0.702F@usa.net>
Source record: 186607

Funny sounds like the POT CALLING THE KETTLE BLACK. You & your buddy Frank Burns are involved in more crap on the radio related newsgroups than even Eric Oyen or Jeffrey Herman.

And talk about "bad Vibes", hehehe, that would fill this NG.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> I guess the same could be said about you, John. I have been lurking in
> here for quite some time and see you for what you really are: A JERK! No
> wonder people have such bad vibes about you.....

> KPHX3940 Phoenix Arizona
> Home of the Phoenix Idiots and Frank Burns!

809. Re: Apartment Scanner Antenna Ideas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@iac.honeywell.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbe40$b658f980$667391a4@PSmalley95.iac.honeywell.com>
Source record: 186608
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Bear <NOSPAMBear105@mindless.com> wrote in article
<341720EF.ADE4E10C@mindless.com>...
> Will be moving into an apartment and am interested in anybodys ideas
> on scanner antennas....

Well, I've been there and done that and all I can say is yuck! Apartments suck when it comes to picking up any decent signals, especially when you can't hit the roof. But you will have a balcony so all is not lost. I can think of two things you can try.

If the apartment has aerials for TV on the roof you might try an adapter to come from that antenna to your scanner. I did this once in Visalia California and got decent results. Don't do it if you have cable instead, this doen't work and will probably piss off the cable company (sure pissed my dad off when he caught me trying it, years ago).

The other thing I tried that worked was to purchase a mobile antenna - don't get one of those so-called scanner antennas from rat shack, buy the real thing from a two-way comm shop - and mount it on the corner of your balcony that is farthest away from your building, like this:

```
********* *_____________________________ X <-Antenna goes here
* |
* Balcony |
* |
************************************
Apartment
```

Personally, I liked the mobile antenna idea best, and since you said stealth wasn't a concern I'd go with that. If you don't want to run a wire outside, you could also stick a glass mount up high on a window, but you'll definately want to hide that from your apartment's management staff. (Trust me, I know. They had a cow!)

Later,
-Phil

810. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3417486F.738B@usa.net>
Source record: 186609

Phil Smalley wrote:

> What we're saying is:
>
> Message-ID: <01BCBDC6.66557970.santaclaus@northpole.net>
> From: Santa Claus <santaclaus@northpole.net>
> Reply-To: "santaclaus@northpole.net" <santaclaus@northpole.net>
> To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Subject: *.*
> Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700
> Return-Receipt-To: Santa Claus <santaclaus@northpole.net>
> Importance: high
> X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
> Organization: Santa's little helpers
> X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet E-mail/MAPI - 8.0.0.4211
>
> Anyone can post formatted html to a newsgroup and say they're being
> harassed.

Except I didn't post formatted html did I?? No I didn't!! And
if I had forged something like that, how would I have know to forge
the received lines with the DNS addresses for his mail server?

Again, I will show them to you below:

>Received: from dt2h3n46.san.rr.com(204.210.11.70) by mail via smap
>(V2.0) id xma024335; Wed, 10 Sep 97 08:35:06 -0700
>Received: by localhost with Microsoft MAPI; Wed, 10 Sep 1997
>08:34:48-0700

> Nice try though. You get a C- for today.

Your try was pathetic, you get an F.

811. Re: satellite recieving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Stein <kstein@erols.com>
Message-ID: <34177486.6168@erols.com>
Source record: 186610

C.B. wrote:
>
> hello,
> I am new to scanning and I would like to try to recieve satellite
> frequencys. I don't have any idea how to go about it. If someone would
> give me some ideas how to do it or tell me where I could get a book on
> the subject along with a title ect.. I would be greatful.
> Thank you
> cbalas@tir.com
C.B.,

Check out this web site. Should get you started;

http://www.grove.net/~hearsat/

+ - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - +
The Launchspace Newsline provides you with the latest headlines in
space industry and operations. Subscriptions are currently free of
charge, and can be obtained via the Launchspace Newsline home page
at;

http://launchspace.com/newsline

or by sending an e-mail request directly to the List Server;

newsline-on@lists.newspace.com (to start a new subscription)
newsline-off@lists.newspace.com (to cancel an existing subscription)
+ - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - +

812. Bearcat Uniden BC 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Geno" <dmgeno@together.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbe47$8da53060$7d3729cf@default>
Source record: 186611
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In a recent article, I read to gain access to the PCB inside the scanner, it was necessary to remove two Phillips screws from the back and two more from the bottom, and pry the back off.
There is another screw on the bottom holding the battery contacts in, and what feels like a screw hole under the serial number plate on the back. Do either of these screws have to be removed to get the back off?

Thanks.

Dave

813. FS:ad-70U uniden scanner AC Adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ronalds <ronalds@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <3417654C.1EDE@concentric.net>
Source record: 186612

Used only once just to see if it works.
Nothing wrong with it on the outside as well, mint condition.
Originally for the BC70xlt scanner.
Might work with others Undiden has or sells ?

output DC12volts 200ma

only $5

email:
ronlads@concentric.net

Bay City MI

814. Re: Ham op.license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cogent.net>
Message-ID: <34176C70.57FA@cogent.net>
Source record: 186613

John Masters Jr. wrote:
>
> AGAIN,NOONE can operate a scanner just because they have a Ham
> op.lic.You still would have to get a State permite.I don`t care what
> this idiot tells anyone,WRONG,noone can operate a scanner in a vehicle
> in Michigan unless they get a State permite.

All that you need is a HAM radio license of technician class or
higher in your possession. You do not need a state permit. You have
a federal permit.

Jim

815. FS AOR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Richards <werich@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <34176287.5C670FF9@qnet.com>
Source record: 186614

AOR 8000 for sale $525.00 plus shipping. Unit is 6
months old and is
in excellent condition. Will trade for a Yeasu
FT-8100R or Yeasu FT-8500.

Bill Richards
N6WXF

816. FS PRO 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Richards <werich@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <34176517.E1A24554@qnet.com>
Source record: 186615

Radio Shack Pro 2035 with opto-board. $400.00
plus shipping.
Unit has european cpu so it is wide open with or
without computer.
Unit is in excellent condition.

Bill Richards
N6WXF

817. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: patcook@dimensional.com
Message-ID: <MPG.e811bf3627161189896a0@news.dimensional.com>
Source record: 186616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

In article <341498DE.22EB@flash.net>, david084@flash.net says...
> Brad Cuppy wrote:
> >
> > I just got a couple of letters from my congressman concerning this
> > bill. He mentioned that he favors them that the restrictions of
> > privacy that applies to law enforcement should apply to civiilians
> > as well including scanners. His name is Joel Heffley (R-Colorado).
> > Some people still don't get it. Why does the burden of privacy must fall
> > on the scanner user instead of implementing encryption. The burden
> > must be on the sender.
> >
> > Brad
>
>
> Though I am a Republican, and usually it is the democratic party
> that seeks to take things away from We the People, your congressman is
> not very bright. It is time to replace any and all who stand for more
> restrictions on reception of the spectrum.

Would you same the same thing if this bill becomes law *AS IS*??? If so,
then you'd better be prepared to surrender any stereos and TVs you might
have because if you look at from the *technical* sense, this **WILL**
OPEN THE FLOODGATES to future laws prohibiting you from even watching or
receiving ANY signal AT ALL (whether it's broadcast or not)!!!!

> It is the duty of the sender to encode any transmission not intended for
> general public reception.

Then you'd better tell that to the TV and Radio stations so they can
encrypt their signals from your what would be ILLEGAL reception of their
broadcasts....

> BIG BROTHER IS LURKING.
> HE HATES FREEDOM. HE LOVES CONTROL.

Couldn't have said it better myself. This goes DOUBLE for that HILLBILLY
of a "Congressman" in Newt Gingrich!!!

"NO MORE NEWT!!" --Congresswoman Diana DeGette during her acceptance
speech at the Denver County Democratic Convention

Just my humble Democratic opinion.... :-)

Patrick Cook
patcook@dimensional.com
Denver, Colorado

P.S.: SPAMMERS NEED NOT REPLY!!!!
--
FUTURE WEB SITES:
==========

www.qsl.net/kb0oxd/
www.geocities.com/Heartland/Prarie/8074/introduction.html

818. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners - Ham & Hobby Threat.txt [1/1]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: patcook@dimensional.com
Message-ID: <MPG.e811dded2d0c3989896a1@news.dimensional.com>
Source record: 186617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,co.general

```
--(nnfstwxgcz=========__873926013)
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7BIT

In article <34149AE6.6421@flash.net>, david084@flash.net says...
>
> Tom Jones wrote:
> >
> > >Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >>I just got a couple of letters from my congressman concerning this
> > >>bill. He mentioned that he favors them that the restrictions of
> > >>privacy that applies to law enforcement should apply to civiilians
> > >>as well including scanners. His name is Joel Heffley (R-Colorado).
> > >>Some people still don't get it. Why does the burden of privacy must fall
> > >>on the scanner user instead of implementing encryption. The burden
> > >>must be on the sender.
> > >
> > >> Brad
> > >
> > Daniel M. Conti,(dconti@carroll.com) replied:
> >
> > >As long as many of the postings here include folks fawning at what
> > >they "overheard" from phone calls, we should not at all be surprised
> > >at the attitude of folks like the one above.
> > >dconti@carroll.com
> > >America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
> > >Ridgefield, New Jersey
> > >fax (201) 943-2379
> >
> > Right you are, but here's the bottom line- that is re-printed here- it was
> > CENSORED off a certain, unnamed scanList, I assume because the ListKommisar
> > didn't like the implications.(?)
> > --
> > Xxxxx, I know you are right, as far as it goes...The legitimate side of the
> > hobby will die.
> >
> > However, as is always the case, UNITED STATES prohibitions only create an
> > even larger trade for contraband. Drugs are only one example. If the
> > Government tells Americans they can't have something, there is something in
> > our character that makes us prove them wrong. As far as information in
> > newsgroups is concerned, can they really stop me from subscribing to a
```

> > newsgroup devoted to scanning that is based in Vancouver BC? Or a
> > newsgroup devoted to "illegal" mods from Denmark? I think they would have
> > a short run at enforcement, once they tried something like that. Also, the
> > free speech issues, from the standpoint of content providers, is just
> > waiting in the wings, ready to jump out and bite these boys on the ass, if
> > they try enforcing the informational restrictions inherent in this law,
> > IMHO.
> >
> > While it will be illegal to produce these new units in the US, it will not
> > only create a booming cottage industry at home,keeping the 'old stuff' up
> > and running, but third world countries will, I am sure, be only too glad to
> > build and smuggle new ones.
> >
> > Of course, that will drive up the cost of the hobby, but we'll pay it. As
> > it is, look how many millions of Americans are MORE than willing to pay
> > $250 for $10 worth of Pot! Somehow, I can't see too many scannists OR Ham
> > operators just rolling over and giving all their equipment a swim, simply
> > because the Government decided to enlarge the Criminal Class. That's
> > really what it's all about. Fines, supervision fees, seizures...the things
> > that make this economy great! (excuse the tongue in my cheek!) Those guys
> > inside the beltway REALLY cheese me off!
> >
> > -tj
> >
> > Stop H.R. 1964/2369 or lose your right to listen to non broadcast radio!!!
> > (legally)
>
> A hunting we will go....A hunting we will go.......My dear
> congressman, A hunting we will go........
>
> Brady Bill, Assualt Weapons Ban (what a joke and a lie), Assualt on
> legal use of Tabacco, FBI wants to enable a law which allows them to
> use a roving, computerized wiretap of 1% of all US phones, The attempt
> in 1995 to regulate the Internet(the CDA law), more heavy handed rules
> just passed by Clinton that are from the EPA, and now the attempt to
> greatly restrict the use of scanners.
>
> WE must hunt and find all the names of any and every congressman who
> supports any of the above, including and especially HR 1963 and HR 2369.
>
> POST THOSE NAMES HERE SO WE CAN ALL SEE! My congressman will be
> polled soon and the results will be posted here. A hunting we will
> go.....for the names of the Big Brother society inside the Beltway.

I'm gonna spare everyone my rantings and point you to an attachment
(provided the word wrapper is working) which I pulled from the Home
Page of the publication "MONITORING TIMES" and let everyone decide for
themselves what their interpretations are going to be.

Patrick Cook
patcook@dimensional.com

Denver, Colorado

P.S.: Someone PLEASE inform me if the word wrapping is not there.

P.P.S.: SPAMMERS NEED NOT REPLY!!!

--
"NO MORE NEWT!!"--Congresswoman Diana DeGette during her acceptance
speech at the Denver County Democratic Convention
--(nnfstwxgcz=========__873926013)
Content-Type: Application/X-zip-compressed; name="Ham & Hobby Threat.txt"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: Base64

<encoded_portion_removed>
DQoNCqANCg0KDQoNCg==

--(nnfstwxgcz=========__873926013)--

819. Re: SCANing with PC for IDIOTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3417760C.A711BE25@cts.com>
Source record: 186618

I'm curious about something. I am going to buy the BC895XLT within the next 2 weeks or so. I also program in Visual Basic (for a hobby) and was wondering where to get info on how to control the scanner via the computer. I know I can just buy it, but I like to tinker.

Thanks.

> A RS Pro 2004,5 or 6 (can be had quite cheaply if you shop around)
> A CE-232 interface kit (comes with components,software and
> instructions)
> A serial cable for your PC and scanner
> A copy of any of Bill Cheeks' scanner modification books. I recommend
> and
> own a copy of "The Ultimate Scanner"
> Memory IC's and dip switches/diodes/etc. as outlined in above book.
>
> Now, all you have to do here is follow the instructions in the book
> and in
> the kit and you will have a scanner capable of cellular reception,
> hands
> off frequency logging and search, automated programming , 64,000
> channels
> and more. If THAT isn't enough scanner for you then may God have mercy
> on
> your soul.
>
> -Phil

820. Bill Cheeek stirring up t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jay.allen@warpgate.com
Message-ID: <c81_9709102100@warpgate.com>
Source record: 186619

From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>

> I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up trouble again & hoping to start
> something.
> Below I have attached an e-mail from him I received this morning.

You are the only one trying to stir up trouble - you
just proved your mentality by posting a private email
message in a newsgroup, you idiot.

9-1-1 Public Safety BBS	POLICENET SAFENET
LEO'S FIREFIGHTERS SAR	FIDONET
COMMS AMATEUR RADIO	e-mail newsgroups
Home of THE San Diego County BBS List(619) 669-0385

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12

| WarpGate: Jay Allen 52:1000/211
| Internet: jay.allen@warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

821. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910214300.RAA12676@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186620

In article <5v6f2dkjn1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>, JWMJR@webtv.net (John Masters Jr.) writes:

>Evidently you MR.CB don`t know what really goes on in reality...Stop
>watching the tv shows like tj hooker and watch real police in
>action,like "COPS"then write back and see if you see any policeofficers
>plant anything on anyone..
>
>

Evidently YOU MR WEBTV.NET, have NO idea how to read or reply to a newsgroup post. I have NEVER said anything about police planting drugs, or anything of the sort. Perhaps you should look back at the post and RE_READ it!!!.
By the way, reality is outside, not on your TV. I have ridden along with my local PD many times. ALthough I'm sure they keep me towards the "sunny side" of the activity for the evening, I still wouldn't want their job for anything. They have my utmost respect.
You, have none.

The CB Guy

822. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34178540.7ACB@juno.com>
Source record: 186621

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Funny sounds like the POT CALLING THE KETTLE BLACK. You & your buddy
> Frank Burns are involved in more crap on the radio related newsgroups
> than even Eric Oyen or Jeffrey Herman.
>
> And talk about "bad Vibes", hehehe, that would fill this NG.
>
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
>
I heard one of those guys mention this little quote, John. Perhaps you
have heard of it???

"It is better to be a fool and remain silent than to open ones mouth and
remove all doubt" You are starting to appear quite foolish, Johm.

823. AOR A3000 info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Benito Horta <benny@shadow.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbd93$2bcc9040$662604d1@default>
Source record: 186622

Does anyone have info regarding the AR-3000a, how is it in regards to images, birdies and intermodulation will the 12khz AM filter be a problem for AIR-band monitoring. sounds a little wide but since this unit will not be used for HF monitoring is it still a problem? is it a reliable unit?

824. Addres Of Uniden/Bearcat wanted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob.Vissersdijk@tacotel.iwg.nl
Message-ID: <0e6_9709111900@iwg.nl>
Source record: 186623

Hello All!

I'm looking for the EMail Adress, or the FAX Phone number from the
UNIDEN/BEARCAT manufacturer, because I know a mentally handicapped
boy who lost his manual of his Bearcat Scanner , and now he is
unable of programming his scanner again after a power-loss.

Any help will be appriciated !

(Sorry for my English,I'm only Dutch :-)

Rob Vissersdijk

825. WWW.IW7CHV.ORG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: iw7chv@linux.iw7chv.org
Message-ID: <34166778.146827397@news.tin.it>
Source record: 186624

The new site WWW.IW7CHV.ORG is ready to be viewed.

You can find the most complete Ham Radio Search Engine.

POWWOW Community about Ham Radio

IW7CHV Radio Gateway

Greetings from Raffaello Di Martino

PacketAddress : IW7CHV@IW7CHV.IPUG.ITA.EU
FidoNET : 2:335/719.6
Radio E-mail : iw7chv@linux.iw7chv.org
Job E-mail : dimartino@webdes.com
HamRadio Site : http://www.iw7chv.org
My Job Site : http://www.webdes.com (WEB design s.r.l.)
Phone : Home:080-8775895 Job:080-5576911 Cell:0347-3604205

---

826. Re: Uniden 235xlt info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Goes@Here.now
Message-ID: <5v5006$7r5$1@news.interlog.com>
Source record: 186625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check out www.interlog.com/~jmiles for pricing and information
on the new BC-235XLT NOW ON SALE

In article <34140247.2644539@news.igs.net>, noway@due-to.spam says...
>
>
>Hi guys (and gals),
>
>This is UN-FRIGGIN-BELIEVABLE !! The Rat Shack store here in Canada
>now has the trunk tracker (235xlt) available. You know, the 300
>channel portable, and what a bargain it is toooooo.
>
>For the low low price of only $599.00 + (don't forget about our
>rip-off gov't) you have to add 15% tax to that. Yep - NOT a typo 15%
>tax (8% provincial sales tax + 7% Goods and Services tax). I'm not a
>mathematician but this comes to a GRAND TOTAL of $688.85 !!!!!!!
>
>This just blows me away! Almost $700.00 for a handheld portable that
>has a real value of $299.00 (U.S.) The sales? man justified this by
>insisting I would get an EXTRA BATTERY PACK!!!!! WOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>Come on!!! gimme a break.
>
>Talk about an out and out BLATANT RIP-OFF!!
>
>Just to make sure you have the right info, I priced this at the store
>in beautiful Windsor, Ontario, Canada.
>
>Radio Shack
>1640 Huron Church Rd.,
>Windsor, Ontario
>Canada
>
>Phone (519) 258-7631
>
>I know that someone in this newsgroup will post a better place to
>purchase one of these units. The Rat shack here in Canada should be
>ashamed of themselves.
>

827. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EGAxBH.5Lp@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186703

tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:

>Daniel M. Conti <dconti@carroll.com> wrote:
>>
>>Keep it up fellas. When all else fails, resort to cursing and name
>>calling.

>Beats the hypocrisy of telling others to stay on topic, but wandering
>off topic when it suits you, doesn't it?

Show me where I wandered off topic. I asked a simple question initailly, about what that had to do with scanning....I then got blasted by the likes of you....am I NOT entitled to defend myslef from that point on?

>>You guys are so quick to bash ME, someone who responds contrary to the
>>group, that the bigger picture eludes you all.....

>The bigger picture doesn't elude us. Your desire to control the
>speech of others, is no different than those who want to control
>all aspects of our lives, like what we can or can't listen to on
>scanners, or keeping our front lawn trimmed to a 1/16 of an inch of
>the neighborhood standard, or any of the others who aren't satisfied
>in life without control over others.

SHOW ME WHERE I WANT TO CONTROL THE SPEECH OF OTHERS>>>!!!!!!!!!!!!

>Take a look at my Header... "Our Lady Of Perpetual Freedom"... Know
>what that stands for? You ight get the nearsighted impression that
>it's some sort of Virgin Mary cult... far from it... it has nothing
>to do with any heavenly deity, other than the possibility that whatever
>"creator" mentioned in the US Declaration Of Independence, who created
>ALL of us with those rights elaborated on in the Bill Of Rights, might
>have been a deity, or just primordial slime... You make the mistake
>of assuming the type of ministry I conduct... but it all comes down
>to the one basic tenet of the Universal Life Church (of which I am
>ordained) and that is the "Freedom to do that which is right" and
>the person who decides what is right, is the individual, and if that
>person chooses properly, what he chooses to do will not be greater than
>what he would expect others to do back to him, and will not unwillingly
>infringe on the equal rights of others.

Wow...this is heavy..it explains your paranoia.

>The exact point of my first post, was that while you expected others
>to refrain from posting that which you didn't feel belonged in this
>newsgroup, you went ahead and started in of stuff which others might

>not have felt belonged in this newsgroup. Your expectation of others
>does not equal what you expect their expectations of you to be... you
>expect themm to accept more from you that you would from them.

After my initial response, WHAT DID I START...AGAIN.....SHOW ME
EXAMPLES!!! PLEASE!!!!!!!!

>The style I used to bring this hypocrisy to your attention, is called
>irony. It eventually gets the point across through dense skulls, but
>not before causing outrage at the user of irony as a tool. Call it
>"Savage Indignation"... for that is what Reverend Johnathon Swift
>called it when he wrote "A Modest Proposal" about eating the kids of
>the poor in improve the conditions for the families in early 1700
>Ireland when taxes were heavy and legislation repressive...

WOW...cool

>Now, in keeping on topic with this newsgroup, and to satisfy Bill who
>thinks I should "help the situation", try to think of how you can use
>"irony" and "savage indignation" to combat the legislation this hobby
>of ours faces... [Hint... try to compare it to the hypocrisy in the
>laws... such as a cop being able to look into your car window without
>a warrant... but yet when a communication is in the clear, we cannot
>listen to it... Yes, you are correct about there being a "bigger picture",
>but don't be so sure that it elludes all of us.

you really let this shit get to you, eh Reverend...? Lotsa time on
your hands?

>--
>Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
>DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com
>--
> Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

828. Re: uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3416ed8c.7882764@news-server>
Source record: 186704

On 10 Sep 1997 14:38:07 GMT, "Lester Altman" <moe@pathway.net> wrote:

> i have a 50 channel uniden, and i would like to know how to change it to
> pick up the scrambled 900 phone conversations? butch

Sorry, you can't.


```
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
```

829. Re: PRO90 VS BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910175200.NAA20997@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186705

>differences between the PRO90 and BC235XLT? I'm not sure which would be the better buy.<

Other than a few minor cosmetic touches, the biggest difference between the two radios is the price and the included accessories.

For about $250.00+$8 shipping you can buy the Uniden radio complete with two battery packs and a charger.

For $300.00 you can buy the Radio Shack version of the exact same radio, then spend an additional $50.00 to buy another battery pack and a charger. Sounds like a great deal to me (for Tandy, that is).

John

830. WTB: Optoelectronics Cub frequency counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: SDH <sdharris@gate.net>
Message-ID: <5v6tco$2asu$1@news.gate.net>
Source record: 186706
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

looking for new or used Cub in good condition for a good price under
retail.

Please remove X's from the front of my e-mail address!

thanks

831. SF Bay Area 464.375 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis Wheeler <cwheeler@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3416E707.7059@ccnet.com>
Source record: 186707

I have been hearing a repeater on 464.375 in the SF bay area. From the traffic I think it is security for a college or university campus (because of where I am - I am thinking it's DVC.) The system has never ID'd with a call sign (that I have noticed). Anyone know who/where it might be?

I realize this I am hearing only one system of what might be several users of this frequency in northern CA.

Thanks

--

Curtis

Notice: I am NOT sales@quantcom.com nor am I buttnwd@lm.com

832. Ham op.license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWMJR@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5v6ehpkim1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 186708

AGAIN,NOONE can operate a scanner just because they have a Ham op.lic.You still would have to get a State permite.I don`t care what this idiot tells anyone,WRONG,noone can operate a scanner in a vehicle in Michigan unless they get a State permite.

833. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: alanf@aimnet.com
Message-ID: <5v7052$s41@shell1.aimnet.com>
Source record: 186709
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <mike1-07099707414300001@13-178.dynamic.visi.com>,
Michael*Schneider <mike1@nospam.visi.com> wrote:

> "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."

"Romanian term limits," eh?

--
====alanf@aimnet.com * LPC * LPUSA * ISIL * IOS * KoX * Netscab Squealer====
LEGALIZE FREEDOM >>>> http://www.lp.org * UBI LIBERTAS IBI PATRIA
"I see that the White House is like a subway--you have to put in coins to
open the gates." Johnny Chung, quoted in Washington Post, 7/27/97, p. A16

834. BC 895 XLT-Santa Fe Does It Again!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: along@erols.com
Message-ID: <along-ya02408000R1009971427040001@news.erols.com>
Source record: 186710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi, all...

Just got word that the fabulous Santa Fe Distributors in Kansas has the new BC 895 Trunk Tracker Base Model in stock and they're going for $279.95!! They've done it again!! This doesn't include shipping...

No I don't have any connection with the company except as a customer. This is where I got my 235 Trunk Tracker.

FYI

1-800-255-6595

Andy
--
In trying to avoid those spams please just remove the letters "nospam" from my e-mail address to respond.

835. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v6to3$1l48$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186711

In article <3415E0CE.2A77@kb8uyz.com>, dan@kb8uyz.com wrote:
>rec.radio.scanner
>
>
>Stop HR2369!
>------------
>A new proposed bill has the potential to eliminate the scanner industry,
>as well as greatly affect other radio services, such as amateur radio,
>MARS, CAP, news media, and radio hobbyists activities.

Gee, we've only been talking about this for a few weeks, now. Join
the party. See www.ggw.org/nf2g/alert.shtml for more details.

836. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGAyFt.2yM@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186712

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> i never attacked you by name. i was
> referring to conti and you just got in the
> way.

I beg to differ. In article <5v4amh$cc1@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com> you followed up my post, included an attribution to me (but none of the text from my previous post, and then did use the word "reverend" which it appears that I am the only one here posting as a Reverend.

```
=====================================================================
=In <EG91Lt.so@moraga.ness.com> tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend
=Tweek) writes:
=>
=>
= Picky, picky, prissy fellah.
=
= is your scanner colored pink ?
=
= Look...listen...think
=
= why not help those that post? reverend of the
= innocuous and incredulous perspicuity.
=[...]
=====================================================================
```

It doesn't appear that you are talking to Conti.

> just as you being a minister from a group that
> sends certificates of ordination out for a fee.

You misspelled "free".

> send a check and get an "ordainment" speck.

Nope. ULC does suggest a $1.00 donation to defray postage costs. I sent in something more, (three years ago) because I also asked for the ULC textbook ($5 I think) as well. Not only did I get my money's worth out of money I assumed could be a waste... an entertainment expense, if you must... but for the last three years, I have also been receiving the ULC Newsletter which has a suggested subscription of $5/yr, yet I didn't ask for it, didn't pay for it, and haven't been asked to pay for the issues they send me.

As to "please send a check and we'll ordain you", since they went onto the net with a WWW site, and since they now offer ordination on their

WWW site, there is no way to collect the $1 suggested donation to defray postage (which is more than a spec. there was also an informative booklet included... postage on that packet was about $0.90). In fact, since there is no return postage involved on the ULC ordination certificate (it is printed online for you... ie, you download it and print it out) there is NO FEE involved.

The ULC legitimizes the "Freedom Of Religion" right which the Constitution of the US speaks of. It goes deeper than that though... In fact, Kirby, the founder of ULC, while he may not agree with the theology of the ministers ordained into his church, will defend their right to hold their own beliefs, and will not hold those beliefs against him. Kirby believes that it is the right of the INDIVIDUAL to recognize his own calling into a ministry, and not that of any other person. It is not Kirby or the ULC which ordains a minister... that is done by the individual him/herself... The ULC simply acknowledges that ordination on the word of the individual, so as to satisfy the laws of this country which really don't believe in Freedom of Religion.

As to folks who say "Hell, ULC will ordain anyone, even a dog." Yes, folks have had dogs "ordained" by the ULC... but as ruled in a court case, that was fraud on the part of the lawyer (trying to do battle with the ULC) because the lawyer claimed to ULC when asking for ordination, that *he* was the applicant... the dog... and he felt the calling... but yet, he wasn't the dog, and couldn't speak for the dog, and thus, could not ask for ordination for the dog.

In a recent battle (in Tennessee I believe) there is a "Minister of the Gospel"... some sort of "mainstream" minister... who happens to operate a "Wedding Chapel"... and who is trying to change state law to keep ULC ministers from being able to officiate at weddings. You talk about $Money$ changing hands... looks like this mainstream minister figures he has a good thing and doesn't want ULC ministers to be able to cut in on his business. I'm curious as to how this case will be go... seems that this minister, not only got his dog ordained, but also had ULC ordain his dead father, and will try to use that as "proof" in his battle that ULC will ordain anything... Of course, Tennessee is so screwed up anyway (look at the AABBS case), they might just ignore previous court rulings... but only for a while, till the case advances to the Federal level where the other cases occured, and were won by ULC.

I certainly believe you have the wrong idea about the ULC. Sure, there may be some of those ordained by ULC who are hucksters out for scamming money out of folks... But then, the Catholics have rapists and child mollesters as priests... there is Reverend Greenlaw in the SF Diocese with his financial inproprieties... Jimmy Baker and his jail term... Oral Roberts and his blackmail from the prayer tower... and who was the one who broke down on the pulpit whith "I have sinned" after being caught with a hooker? Who died and made these folks Ministers of the cloth? Certainly it CAN'T be our government... for our government preaches "Freedom of Religion"... so who decides?... It has to be the god of

the particular individual... who speaks to the individual and makes his/her calling directly to the individual... not to some prescribed government entity who approves or disproves the calling.

Now you may ask, what the hell does all this religious shit have to do with scanners and the hobby of scanning. A lot really... it's all about "CONTROL". Control of others... and not being responsible for ones own actions.

These people wanting to restrict what we listen to, want to start with control over us, rather than the simpler fix of using their own personal responsibility and not sending out in the clear, what they don't want others to hear. A favorite saying of the "Mainstreamers" is "God helps those who help themselves", but yet... in this case, in the case of the scanning hobby, the folks want god (the government) to protect them from overhearing ears, rather than helping themselves by keeping their private information private in the first place.

Control... Control before restraint... they would rather control others, than to use simple restraint on themselves. They don't want to be inconvenienced... but they're willing to inconvenience others for the sake of their convenience. THAT is not fair. That is like telling a neighbor that he can't sit on his front lawn on a sunny afternoon, because it will violate your right to privacy while you sunbathe on your front lawn... and you damn well have the right to sunbathe on your front lawn... it's your property... so he better get off of his front lawn so you don't have to concern yourself with him sneaking a peak.

> ps: i was against conti's position as you.

Yes, I realized that after your last post... but I had absolutely no i what you were hitting at when you posted your first followup to my post... It certainly looked like an attack on me.

> you just got in the way.

I hate it when that happens. ;-)

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

837. NJ frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scanner Dude <rpribush@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3416F4EB.6DEB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186713

Check out my page at http://pw2.netcom.com/~rpribush/scanner.html for
Middlesex and Union Co. frequencies. Although not every city of each
county is listed, the ones that are are very comprehensive with unit
id's , station locations, and pictures.

Check it out!
I add more every day!

Drew

838. Funny dispatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@eat_spam.fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <3416C5FB.41C6@eat_spam.fnal.gov>
Source record: 186714

Heard last night:

Dispatch: Unit-xxx, respond to the dog that hit a car

Unit-xxx: What? The dog hit the car?

Dispatch: 10-4

(later)

Unit-xxx: Central, the dog ran away.

Dispatch: Do you have a description?

Unit-xxx: It'a a black Labrador vehicle.

(Both Dispatch and Unit-xxx could be heard laughing at this point :-)

--

(To reply, remove "eat_spam." from reply address or use the address
below)
(Rick) Colombo@fnal.gov

839. Re: uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@iac.honeywell.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbe25$9ab74260$667391a4@PSmalley95.iac.honeywell.com>
Source record: 186715

Actually the magic words to use are "Lama, lama, lama" and be sure to repeat this as often as necessary. Or you could just buy a better scanner - one that can actually receive that band...? naaaaah.

-Phil

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com> wrote in article <19970910175500.NAA21148@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> First you ask your fairy godmother to leave a magic wand under your
> pillow. Then you take the magic wand and pass it over the radio three times
> while saying "Shazaam, Shazaam, Shazaam" and that's all there is to it,
> Gomer.
>

840. Re: AND/OR Logic for CE-232 Script Function

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3416d5ee.1836094@news-server>
Source record: 186716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

On Tue Sep 9 1997 22:19:21, LGBEAR@BUCKWHEAT.DUMP wrote:

> It was several exchanges in '92 or '93 betwixt us. I originally
> suggested you talk to your programmer (I believe you later referred to
> him as'Gorgie Porgie') about including it in the next release of
> software. You explaned that he was no longer associated with the
> product. I then worked the -walkaround- out and explaned it to you.
> couple days later came a public post where "it had occurred to you..."

That is patently untrue.'Gorgie Porgie' worked it out and I still
have the correspondence between him and me where *I asked* and *he
replied* with an explanation. You were not associated with that
exchange whatsoever. You may or may not have raised the question....
but you did NOT participate in the solution.

So who the hell are you? LGBEAR@BUCKWHEAT.DUMP tells
me little of nothing except that you're bogus and phony.

And......apparently lacking in candor.

I still want to know your REAL e-mail address and name.

+--+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+--+

Received: (from uucp@localhost)
by mail.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.7) id UAA16659
for <bcheek@san.rr.com>; Tue, 9 Sep 1997 20:20:28 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from dfw-ix10.ix.netcom.com(206.214.98.10) by mail via smap (V2.0)
id xma016542; Tue, 9 Sep 97 20:20:06 -0700
Received: (from smap@localhost)
by dfw-ix10.ix.netcom.com (8.8.4/8.8.4)
id WAA25357 for <bcheek@san.rr.com>; Tue, 9 Sep 1997 22:19:59 -0500 (CDT)
Received: from min-mn13-19.ix.netcom.com(204.31.154.147) by dfw-ix10.ix.netcom.com via
smap (V1.3)
id rma025301; Tue Sep 9 22:19:21 1997
From: LGBEAR@BUCKWHEAT.DUMP

To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Subject: Re: AND/OR Logic for CE-232 Script Function
Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 03:14:46 GMT
Message-ID: <34160d36.1024567@smtp.ix.netcom.com>
References: <34156c63.29880201@news-server>
In-Reply-To: <34156c63.29880201@news-server>
X-Mailer: Forte Agent 1.01/32.397
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-MIME-Autoconverted: from quoted-printable to 8bit by mail.san.rr.com id UAA16659
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-UIDL: e0c909deeba78367aa220d6e004667d5

841. Could someone advise me on this scanner before I buy it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenhollow@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910191001.PAA01644@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186717

Thanks in advance to all that help me out. I'm fairly new to scanning. I recently purchased a Sportcat and like it. There is a person I work with who saw me with it and wants to sell me his base model. It is a Realistic Pro 2022. It has 200 channels and looks to be in perfect shape. He said it has been in the same spot in his den for about 5 years. He wants $75.00 for it. Is this a good buy?

Thanks again,

John

842. Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3416F13E.7F0D@usa.net>
Source record: 186718

I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up trouble again & hoping to start
something.

Below I have attached an e-mail from him I received this morning.

I have repeated requested Bill not send me e-mail but he continues.
For all of you that think Bill is so nice & can do no wrong, what
do you say about this??

Received: from dt2h3n46.san.rr.com(204.210.11.70) by mail via smap
(V2.0)
id xma024335; Wed, 10 Sep 97 08:35:06 -0700
Received: by localhost with Microsoft MAPI; Wed, 10 Sep 1997
08:34:48-0700
Message-ID: <01BCBDC4.66457940.bcheek@san.rr.com>
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Reply-To: "bcheek@san.rr.com" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>
Subject: *.*
Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700
Return-Receipt-To: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Importance: high
X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet E-mail/MAPI - 8.0.0.4211

Hi Queenie! How's it going? You hanging in there doing okay?

Word around the grapevine has it that you're acting a lot
like a housefly these days....eating shit and bothering people.

Tsk.....tsk....tsk.

843. Scanners in Michigan??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bryan@prodistributors.com
Message-ID: <5v6ifd$3nu$3@news.nts-online.net>
Source record: 186719

I just got onto this discussion....I would like a clarification that people are stating that you must have a state permit to own/use a scanning radio that covers the ham frequencies? I would have to get a Michigan state permit to use my Kenwood TM-241 that scans the ham frequencies? Or is the statement made that a "general coverage" scanner can't be used without a permit even if you have a ham license....

Bryan

844. Re: Help - what scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ric Mackie <ric_mackie@hp.com>
Message-ID: <3416B691.7BA0@hp.com>
Source record: 186720

Hi Rich,

Thanks for the info regarding scanners. It has helped me alot. I picked a Radio Shack Pro-60 because of the price and features at first. A couple days ago, I picked up an AC adaptor and I was really disappointed with the radio when I plugged it it to the wall. There was a noticeable hum whenever the adaptor was plugged in. It wasn't too bad through the speaker, but I listen with an ear-bud and the sound was extremely irritating. I took the radio back and we tried swapping adaptors and radios, but they all did the same thing. Tried a Pro-64 - same thing. They even plugged it in to some sort of noise filter. I returned the radio for a refund. I picked up a RELM HS200. So far, so good. I like the keyboard better and the fact that the keys light as well as the display.
Anyway, I don't know if you've heard that complaint before so I thought I'd pass it on.

Regards,

Ric Mackie

--
Go Chargers! Beat the Panthers!

845. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910214301.RAA06519@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186721

In article <5v6ttc$1l48$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>, nf2g@ggw.org (D Stark) writes:

>Now, what ELSE do scanner listeners do that can be classified as a
>"public service"?
>
>
>

In my small little neigborhood, I am known as the scanner nut, whom, when bad weather is approaching, all the neighbors know to come to me to get info about what is approaching.
A public Service???.....naw, but by my listening to Skywarn, (for my own benefit mainly) I'm able to help others also.

The CB Guy

846. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v6ttc$1l48$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186722

In article <34164AAF.5500@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
>D Stark wrote:
>>
>> In article <3415183B.2AB3@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
> wrote:
>> >> Exactly what public service do most scanner listeners perform?
>> >
>> >Read Monitoring Times magazine and find out monthly examples of this,
>> >such as numerous criminals caught because a scanner listener saw the
>>
>> OK, that's one example. Any more?
>
>There was more than ONE example, read it again idiot. And for MORE than
>the examples I gave, I suggested you read the magazine for MONTHLY
>examples. You either are just trying to be a troll, or you can't read
>very well.

You're not getting the point. OK, so an occasional scanner listener
helps the police to find someone or solve a crime. That's wonderful!

Now, what ELSE do scanner listeners do that can be classified as a
"public service"?

847. Re: SF Bay Area 464.375 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Eckert <daeckert@seanet.com>
Message-ID: <5v6tl1$pei@q.seanet.com>
Source record: 186723

Just looked at 1997 edition of PoliceCall....have 464.375 registered to Masters College, Newhall (I'm in Washington State so don't know where Newhall, CA, is) in their college/university listings....

I didn't look at Public and Private schools cause listing was kinda long and not sorted in frequency order....

Curtis Wheeler wrote in article <3416E707.7059@ccnet.com>...

>I have been hearing a repeater on 464.375 in the SF bay area. From the
>traffic I think it is security for a college or university campus
>(because of where I am - I am thinking it's DVC.) The system has never
>ID'd with a call sign (that I have noticed). Anyone know who/where it
>might be?
>
>I realize this I am hearing only one system of what might be several
>users of this frequency in northern CA.
>
>
>Thanks
>
>
>--
>
>Curtis
>
>Notice: I am NOT sales@quantcom.com nor am I buttnwd@lm.com

848. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5v6tvs$1l48$4@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186724

In article <34164C65.1153@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:

>I don't think that any JUDGE will be lauging, but I think everyone that
>read your post is now laughing at YOU!!

Only the ignorant. I am receiving a degree (with distinction) in
Criminal Justice this winter. I have studied the law and the
Constitution in great detail and I dare to say that I know what I'm
talking about. I'm not so sure about you...

849. Baby Mackey crys the blues (was Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Whomever <Why@who.cares>
Message-ID: <3416FC92.C6D31210@who.cares>
Source record: 186725

Baby Mackey- whines and crys.....................

> I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up trouble again & hoping to start
> something.
>
> Below I have attached an e-mail from him I received this morning.
>
> I have repeated requested Bill not send me e-mail but he continues.
> For all of you that think Bill is so nice & can do no wrong, what
> do you say about this??

I say you are a cry baby, a liar and full of hot air. He sent it through E-mail and surprise surprise little Johnnie can't take it and runs to RRS crying "lookee what big bad Bill did to me. WAAAAAAAAAAA! Help me fight my battles I am too little and too simple of mind to do it on my own. WAAAAAAAAA. You can't expect me to battle Big Bad Bill by myself. WAAAAAAAAAAAAA!"

Go smoke another power supply and repeater John and blame it on everyomne else. Most everyone hates you so it really doesn't matter does it loser? HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!

WAAAA! Billy is picking on little Johnnie . Please help little Johnnie. He can't handle it! WAAAAAAAAA!

Does this mean little Johnnie is going to wet his bed and then post 30-40 times a day again? At least the Cheek guy has the sense to take it to E-mail. You on the other hand don't have a lick of sense. Your 30-40 posts a day recently proved it 30-40 times a day.

WAAAAAAAAA! Little Baby Johnnie needs some help. Big bad Bill hurt his little feelings. WAAAAAAAAAA!!!

(snip headers of message Cheek sent Baby Johnnie)

>Hi Queenie! How's it going? You hanging in there doing okay?

>Word around the grapevine has it that you're acting a lot
>like a housefly these days....eating shit and bothering people.

>Tsk.....tsk....tsk.

Not only that Cheek seems to have you pegged. Everything he wrote you IS THE TRUTH. Can't handle the truth Johnnie boy? WAAAAAAAAAAA! Go have mommy wipe your nose and you rear. You got slapped with the truth and then were stupid enough to post it in public. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! What a wimp!! Are you skipping grade school to post this stuff? BOOWAAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHA!

(plonk) (splash)

850. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@iac.honeywell.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbe24$8b9c2ee0$667391a4@PSmalley95.iac.honeywell.com>
Source record: 186726
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_01BCBDE9.DF3D56E0

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote in article
<3416F13E.7F0D@usa.net>...
> I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up trouble again & hoping to start
> something....

What we're saying is:

Message-ID: <01BCBDC6.66557970.santaclaus@northpole.net>
From: Santa Claus
Reply-To: "santaclaus@northpole.net"
To: "'jmackey@usa.net'"
Subject: *.*
Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700
Return-Receipt-To: Santa Claus
Importance: high
X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
Organization: Santa's little helpers
X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet E-mail/MAPI - 8.0.0.4211

Anyone can post formatted html to a newsgroup and say they're being
harassed.

-Santa
santaclaus@northpole.net

Nice try though. You get a C- for today.

-Phil
------=_NextPart_000_01BCBDE9.DF3D56E0

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> =
wrote in article <3416F13E.7F0D@usa.net>...
> I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up =
trouble again & hoping to start
> something....
<Excess =
baggage snipped>
What we're saying is:

Message-ID: =
<01BCBDC6.66557970.santaclaus@northpole.net>
From: Santa Claus <santaclaus@northpole.net>

Reply-To: "santaclaus@northpole.net" <santaclaus@northpole.net>
To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" =
<jmackey@usa.net>
Subject: *.*
Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 =
08:34:43 -0700
Return-Receipt-To: Santa Claus <santaclaus@northpole.net>
Importance: high
X-Priority: 1 =
(Highest)
Organization: Santa's little helpers
X-Mailer: Microsoft =
Internet E-mail/MAPI - 8.0.0.4211

Anyone can post formatted html =
to a newsgroup and say they're being harassed.

-Santa
santaclaus@northpole.net

Nice try though. You get a C- for =
today.

-Phil

------=_NextPart_000_01BCBDE9.DF3D56E0--

851. FS : Alinco DJ-G5 dual band HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EGAwr6.4Gx@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equip,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Alinco DJ-G5 dual band HT. MINT, purchased on 08-13-97, will provide
original receipt. Includes the optional soft leather case, and AA
alkaline battery pack.

$300.00 shipped to 48, COD $5.00 extra

No Trades.....

E-Mail if interested
dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

852. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGAz3D.300@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186728

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> Oh no!?
>
> how much did this certificate cost you?

$1.00, to defray postage, although it was not required. Nowdays,
they are on the web, and there is no certificate send back by USPS
mail (it's downloaded) and the cost is... FREE <http://www.ulc.org/ulc/>

> this is the group of "hmmmm" who pay some guy
> money to get ordained into this church so they
> can avoid paying fed and state and local taxes.

Nope... All the material I've read from the church, mentions that
you still have to pay taxes on any income. It specifically mentions
that it ULC not a tax haven. It does mention that if you have a
legitimate congregation, and a legitimate ministry, with legitimate
non-profit funds, then yes, you, like any other church, can avoid
paying taxes on those specific funds.

> IRS says, "pay taxes"...

So does ULC.

> anyone can become a ORDAINED heathen by sending
> M O N E Y to the head ordainee.

Nope. Anyone can be ordained for FREE... ULC will recognize that
ordination for free as well. (FYI, ULC does not "ordain" you. They
do however recognize your ordination, and will legitimize it for the
government which does not believe in Freedom Of Religion.

> What reality is is not what it is. In the case
> of the above "professor of many questions"
>
> and mixing god with freedom is a non-sequitur.
>
> god equals tyranny. god is tyranny. god is a cage.

Who said ANYTHING about god == religion? Religion is a personal
thing. Some people's religion may involve a god. They may chose
to take someone else's religion or god, or create their own god or
gods in their own religion... or just do away with a god altogether.

Your problem is, that you are trying to impose your own ideas of
religion upon me. Those are your beliefs, not mine.

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

853. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v74fo$3qo@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186729

In <3417f891.4884972@news.cyberhighway.net>
glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) writes:
>
>scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) wrote:
>
>>>this is the group of "hmmmm" who pay some guy
>>> money to get ordained into this church so they
>>> can avoid paying fed and state and local taxes.
>>
>>>What reality is is not what it is. In the case
>>> of the above "professor of many questions"
>>
>>> bill conduit
>>> etc
>>
>>Not that I ever doubted it, but Conduit is sorely in need of a shrink
and
>>an English teacher.
>
>Not to mention that his Shift Key seems to be broken.
>--

give it some air....

my english is perfected
my shift key is "ready"

it's just that your mind
does not understand
what it is about
that you read when

i guess you just have to be there
to understand...whooooooooossssssh'd you by

existentialist you will never be. you will
never 'get it'....

as such, why pretend
why feign?

as such, why grouch
why disdain?

as such, why peruse
why inflect

as such, why belittle
why uncircumspect.

bill conduit
etc

854. Re: Scanner License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: orcinus@netcom.com
Message-ID: <orcinusEGB6EM.LI6@netcom.com>
Source record: 186730

hmmmm....

Police radio..... radio.... radio.... capabible of transmitting...
radio.... radio....

No mention of a scanner here, they're talking about radios...
specifically *police* radios... but the way they 'define' radio would
include scanners...

As written, it would also be legal for a ham to have a radio cabable of
transmitting on said band, which is NOT to say it would be ok to do so

Must be nice to live in IND> :)

sounds like false arrest to me... perhaps you should prosecute ?

Don Crozier (ka9qjg@tsrcom.com) wrote:

: This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

: --------------232449103ADE
: Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
: Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

: JerkyBoy wrote:
: >
: > Do you need such a license? Is this a requirement? Why don't the guys at RS
: > tell you that when you buy one there?
: >
: > Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote in article
: > <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>...
: > > Bill Schanks wrote:
: > > >
: > > > How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
: > >
: > > Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
: > > guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
: > > Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!
: > >
: Well get a copy of the SCANNER LAW .for your STATE in IND. I Have been
: arrested twice for having a Scanner in my Car, After thousands of
: dollars in lawyer fees, case was Dismissed becauese I am a Lic.Ham Radio
: Operator, But Maby you mean that your state has a exemption such as IND,
: which states a WRITTEN LETTER FROM THE CHIEF OF POLICE (LICENSE)But you
: wont get one here ? A COPY OF THE SCANNER LAW FOR IND ,HAPPY MONTORING
: TO ALL FROM DON KA9QJG

: --------------232449103ADE
: Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1; name="INDLAW.txt"
: Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
: Content-Disposition: inline; filename="INDLAW.txt"

: The Indiana Scanner Law

: --=
: --

: Indiana Code 35-44-3-12

: Enacted 1977

: Amended 1994

: IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:

: (1) possesses a police radio;

: (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency

: purposes; or

: (3) possesses or uses a police radio:

: (A) while committing a crime;

: (B) to further the commission of a crime; or

: (C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement agency;

: commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B misdemeanor.

: (b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:

: (1) a governmental entity;

: (2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;

: (3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose vehicles are used in

: emergency service;

: (4) a public service or utility company whose vehicles are used in

: emergency service;

: (5) a person who has written permission from the chief executive

: officer of a law enforcement agency to possess a police radio;

: (6) a person who holds an amateur radio license issued by the Federal

: Communications Commission if the person is not transmitting over a

: frequency assigned for police emergency purposes;

: (7) a person who uses a police radio only in the person's dwelling or

: place of business;

: (8) a person:

: (A) who is regularly engaged in news gathering activities;

: (B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to receive legal

: advertisements under IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed

: commercial or public radio or television station; and

: (C) whose name is furnished by his employer to the chief executive

: officer of a law enforcement agency in the county in which the

: employer's principal office is located;

: (9) a person engaged in the business of manufacturing or selling

: police radios; or

: (10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio during the normal

: course of the person's lawful business.

: (c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a radio that is capable=

: of sending or receiving signals transmitted on frequencies assigned

: by the Federal Communications Commission for police emergency

: purposes and that:

: (1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a vehicle; or

: (2) can be operated while it is being carried by an individual.

: The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a dwelling.

: As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.
: --=
: --

: Back to the Main Menu

: Additions or Corrections
: Last Updated: Saturday, June 01, 1996
: =00
: --------------232449103ADE--

--

\|/ \|/
* *
_ _____--------. .--------_____ _/
== __ o__| |__o __ ==
----//---' `---\\----

855. Re: 13.8v DC Question / Mobile Scanner Antenna Y- splitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EGB6II.Jxx@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186731

nospam <nospam@screwed.net> wrote:

>1. The 13.8 volts on the cigarette lighter socket
>can have 200 Volt or larger surges. There is no surge
>protection in that damn scanner(pro 2032).

Where have you heard about those 200V surges (no offense)???
You forgot that the 50Ah car battery is a GREAT surge protector.
The only situation I can think of is if the battery get's disconnected
for some reason (such as loose connectors). Then you would be right
(and the car would behave funnily too, maybe burning out all the
computers (today cars are actually computers on wheels...) in the
car!...:-) I'd say this would be VERY rare.
If he runs it in a parked car then he would have no problem.
But generally, to be on the safe side, you're right. But he would have
to charge that gel cell too sometime.

cheers!

Mote: when Replying, please email me directly in addition
to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to
remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam
counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno

856. satellite recieving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C.B." <cbalas@tir.com>
Message-ID: <34170369.10E@tir.com>
Source record: 186732

hello,
I am new to scanning and I would like to try to recieve satellite frequencys. I don't have any idea how to go about it. If someone would give me some ideas how to do it or tell me where I could get a book on the subject along with a title ect.. I would be greatful.
Thank you
cbalas@tir.com

857. Re: Ham op.license (dont be an ASS!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: hutch@whoever.com
Message-ID: <5v6mq8$mas@news2.triton.net>
Source record: 186733

In article <5v6ehpkim1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>, JWMJR@webtv.net says...
>
>AGAIN,NOONE can operate a scanner just because they have a Ham
>op.lic.You still would have to get a State permite.I don`t care what
>this idiot tells anyone,WRONG,noone can operate a scanner in a vehicle
>in Michigan unless they get a State permite.

Please note, a PERMIT (note lack of E), in Michigan, is NOT required if you have an amateur radio class lic. of tech or higher. This MIS-INFORMATION that your are passing around is an injustice to the rest of us on the NG. Please read the law as written, or become a lawyer and then read it. If you have any other questions about the scanner law in Michigan, please, feel free to contact me on this issue.

Scanner Law EXPERT in Michigan.

Eric - N8XHP/SK

858. Re: uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910175500.NAA21148@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186734

>i have a 50 channel uniden, and i would like to know how to change it to
>pick up the scrambled 900 phone conversations?
butch
>
>

First you ask your fairy godmother to leave a magic wand under your
pillow. Then you take the magic wand and pass it over the radio three times
while saying "Shazaam, Shazaam, Shazaam" and that's all there is to it,
Gomer.

859. Apartment Scanner Antenna Ideas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bear <NOSPAMBear105@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <341720EF.ADE4E10C@mindless.com>
Source record: 186735
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Will be moving into an apartment and am interested in anybodys ideas
on scanner antennas. I have read a few books but am more interested in
what is actually working for somebody.
The Apt. has a couple of bedroom windows(metallic) and a big wood
porch. Its located on the 2nd floor of a 3-story bldg, so there is
somebody above me.
Stealth is not as important as quality but I have no access to the
roof.

Please email me with your ideas and concepts.

Cheers, Denis
--
---
bear105@mindless.com

"When virtue has slept, she will get up more refreshed."
-Nietzsche
---

860. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v711v$gq6@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186736

In <3416ffdc.66484817@news.flash.net> bill@flash.net (Bill) writes:
>
>On Wed, 10 Sep 1997 12:13:02 -0700, John Mackey--KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>
>>I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up trouble again & hoping to start
>>something.
>>
>>Below I have attached an e-mail from him I received this morning.
>>
>>I have repeated requested Bill not send me e-mail but he continues.
>>For all of you that think Bill is so nice & can do no wrong, what
>>do you say about this??
>>
>>
>>Received: from dt2h3n46.san.rr.com(204.210.11.70) by mail via smap
>>(V2.0)
>> id xma024335; Wed, 10 Sep 97 08:35:06 -0700
>>Received: by localhost with Microsoft MAPI; Wed, 10 Sep 1997
>>08:34:48-0700
>>Message-ID: <01BCBDC4.66457940.bcheek@san.rr.com>
>>From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
>>Reply-To: "bcheek@san.rr.com" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
>>To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>
>>Subject: *.*
>>Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700
>>Return-Receipt-To: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
>>Importance: high
>>X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
>>Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
>>X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet E-mail/MAPI - 8.0.0.4211
>>
>>Hi Queenie! How's it going? You hanging in there doing okay?
>>
>>Word around the grapevine has it that you're acting a lot
>>like a housefly these days....eating shit and bothering people.
>>
>>Tsk.....tsk....tsk.
>
> That's stirring up trouble!!!? It sounds more like he's stating the
all too
>painfully obvious.
>
>Bill

>
>
If this is the Check-guy...bravo. Keep it coming
as you so adeptly peruse the vast synapse out
in their limbo-like minds.

you bring much to this group....those that
understand and those that will not understand
no matter how much insight they are told they
might have had they seen the light...

Oh Happy Days....

bill conduit
the "etc" guy

861. Pro-2046 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: shaunpm@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910205700.QAA02859@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186737

Does anyone have any comments on this model? Or any good ones like it?
Shaun Mollohan
shaunpm@aol.com
Varmint Hunters Association Member (#31390)

"The death of democracy is not likely to be assassination from ambush. It
will be a slow extinction from apathy, indifference, and undernourishment."
-- Robert M. Hutchins

862. Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <c07_9709101300@warpgate.com>
Source record: 186738

-=> Quoting Daniel M. Conti to All <=-

DMC> The above makes absolutely no sense.

Perhaps because you lack any upstairs.

You're the one who quoted an ENTIRE message
you didn't agree with and thought didn't
belong in the NG.

| 9-1-1 Public Safety BBS | POLICENET SAFENET
| LEO'S FIREFIGHTERS SAR | FIDONET
| COMMS AMATEUR RADIO | e-mail newsgroups
|Home of THE San Diego County BBS List(619) 669-0385

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

863. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: lenrev@wwa.com
Message-ID: <34170fa7.694741@news.wwa.com>
Source record: 186739

Please play your games in e-mail.

On Wed, 10 Sep 1997 12:13:02 -0700, John Mackey--KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up trouble again & hoping to start
>something.
>
>Below I have attached an e-mail from him I received this morning.
>
>I have repeated requested Bill not send me e-mail but he continues.
>For all of you that think Bill is so nice & can do no wrong, what
>do you say about this??
>
>
>Received: from dt2h3n46.san.rr.com(204.210.11.70) by mail via smap
>(V2.0)
> id xma024335; Wed, 10 Sep 97 08:35:06 -0700
>Received: by localhost with Microsoft MAPI; Wed, 10 Sep 1997
>08:34:48-0700
>Message-ID: <01BCBDC4.66457940.bcheek@san.rr.com>
>From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
>Reply-To: "bcheek@san.rr.com" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
>To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>
>Subject: *.*
>Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700
>Return-Receipt-To: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
>Importance: high
>X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
>Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
>X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet E-mail/MAPI - 8.0.0.4211
>
>Hi Queenie! How's it going? You hanging in there doing okay?
>
>Word around the grapevine has it that you're acting a lot
>like a housefly these days....eating shit and bothering people.
>
>Tsk.....tsk....tsk.

////////////////////////////////////
/ Len Revelle lenrev@wwa.com /
/ N9IJ A.M.A. 60055 /
/ Skyknights aeromodeling Team /
/ http://www.wwa.com/~lenrev/skat.html /
////////////////////////////////////

864. Reno air races

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Susie Miller <smmiller@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5v70l4$b0k@suriname.earthlink.net>
Source record: 186740

Hi- I'm relatively new to scanners and am going to the Reno Air Races this weekend. I have a BC scanner with air frequencies, but have been unable to find the frequencies I need for Stead airport, in any books or on the web, or any one who might have the air to ground and show frequencies for the air races. If you know where I could find these, I would appreciate any help you could give me.

Thanks -

Susie

865. Re: Trunk Tracker Problem Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: pntx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910213600.RAA12089@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186741

When I first set up the tt Fri night it scanned the bank found the data channel and began trunking. During the night the data channel changed. When I turned it on Sat. AM it searched for the data channel but could not find it. I thought it was an antenna problem at first. I put the unit into the conventional mode checking each frequency until I found the data channel at postion 20. I returned to trunking mode and watched the display, It only scanned to positon 19 then retuned to the begining. The same thing happened in conventional scanning mode.
The store was sceptical until they compared it to display model. They gaver me another one it works fine

866. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34172D7F.7C52@juno.com>
Source record: 186742

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up trouble again & hoping to start
> something.
I guess the same could be said about you, John. I have been lurking in here for quite some time and see you for what you really are: A JERK! No wonder people have such bad vibes about you.....

>
> Below I have attached an e-mail from him I received this morning.
>
> I have repeated requested Bill not send me e-mail but he continues.
> For all of you that think Bill is so nice & can do no wrong, what
> do you say about this??
Perhaps you can take him to court under the "junk e-mail" laws (or is it junk mail/phone calls?)? Otherwise, posting whatever he has to say here only makes you look like a fool.

KPHX3940 Phoenix Arizona
Home of the Phoenix Idiots and Frank Burns!

867. ***New Ham Radio/Hacker Page***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: eugened@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910225301.SAA18003@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186743

Hello...

I am a new ham, N3ZVM, and I have a home page which I invite everyone to check out. I have info on Ham Radio, Scanners, CBs, hacking Cellular Phones, etc. All info on this page is for educational purposes only. The web address is...

http://members.aol.com/EugeneD

73's,
N3ZVM,
EugeneD@aol.com

868. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3417f891.4884972@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 186744

scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) wrote:

>>this is the group of "hmmmm" who pay some guy
>> money to get ordained into this church so they
>> can avoid paying fed and state and local taxes.
>
>>What reality is is not what it is. In the case
>> of the above "professor of many questions"
>
>> bill conduit
>> etc
>
>Not that I ever doubted it, but Conduit is sorely in need of a shrink and
>an English teacher.

Not to mention that his Shift Key seems to be broken.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

869. Re: SCANing with PC for IDIOTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@iac.honeywell.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbe22$9a371d40$667391a4@PSmalley95.iac.honeywell.com>
Source record: 186745

Looks to me like the only idiot out here is this one who is too lazy to build this montrosity himself.

Here, I have an idea... why don't YOU build the interface (build a circuit for a scanner CPU from scratch, that should be interesting - if it's so easy for you why haven't ya built one yet??), YOU write the program (I'm a vb programmer,so I probably could but that's beside the point) and then YOU post all your information and hours of hard work for FREE so that other people can leech off of it and get something for nothing?!

Geez pal, if you can't figure out why no one has bothered to do this for you, then I'd have to say that you are the idiot here.

Ok, enough flaming. I think I made my point. Calling people idiots is not the best way to talk them into giving you something of value - unless you have a gun drawn ;)

My point is, there ARE scanner control interfaces already out there, ready to use. If you really want a computer controlled kick-ass scanner I recommend the following:

A RS Pro 2004,5 or 6 (can be had quite cheaply if you shop around)
A CE-232 interface kit (comes with components,software and instructions)
A serial cable for your PC and scanner
A copy of any of Bill Cheeks' scanner modification books. I recommend and own a copy of "The Ultimate Scanner"
Memory IC's and dip switches/diodes/etc. as outlined in above book.

Now, all you have to do here is follow the instructions in the book and in the kit and you will have a scanner capable of cellular reception, hands off frequency logging and search, automated programming , 64,000 channels and more. If THAT isn't enough scanner for you then may God have mercy on your soul.

-Phil

870. FS RS Pro 37 (modified) $175 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: wil-son@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5v77lt$8t6$1@newsd-104.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 186746

[No readable article body recovered]

871. Re: split frenquency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EGB6Kn.KB3@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186747

Dave Parisee <intel@bbsi.net> wrote:

>Hello

>I have PRO-49, and i don't go up to 512mhz, for listening cellular, i
>need a frenquency splitter, if you have schma of them, please, send me
>it

You mean a frequency CONVERTER. Try Ramsey or Grove.
Good luck.

Mote: when Replying, please email me directly in addition
to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to
remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam
counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno

872. Re: uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Moss <andmoss@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3417533C.7064@ibm.net>
Source record: 186748

Lester Altman wrote:
>
> i have a 50 channel uniden, and i would like to know how to change it to
> pick up the scrambled 900 phone conversations? butch

Butch, I'm assuming your scanner doesn't cover 800MHz......so you are going to need not only a descrambler but some kind of downcovertor too!

All the 900MHz cordless phones are around 902MHz so if you were to use one of those GRE convertors with your scanner you'd hear them. You may be able to get around the scrambled part with a programme that uses your sound card to re-invert the audio. Failing that, you'll have to purchase a circuit (or build a kit) that will allow you to descramble the signal.
Given my personal experience listening to other folks calls, it's a crashing bore seldom if ever worth the effort.

Cellular monitoring is truly the sport of the no-lifer crowd. But, I would recommend that you upgrade your scanner to something that has 800MHz.

--
Andy Moss
"Whose woods these are I think I know...."

873. FS: Restorable PRO-39 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Lawrence <jiml@sover.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.95.970910192719.11374A-100000@granite.sover.net>
Source record: 186749

For Sale: Radio Shack PRO-39 handheld scanner.

Features:
200 memory locations, 10 banks
30-54, 108-136.975 (AM), 137-174, 380-512, 806-960 (less cellular) MHz
Date code: 3A3
New keyboard
Stock rubber duckie antenna included
Capable of receiving the entire 800 MHz band following modification.

Problems: The case of this radio is in somewhat rough shape. Not bad condition but this unit is obviously pre-owned. There's also no box and no manual. The good news here is that prior to offering this unit for sale, I took it down to Radio Shack and had the keyboard replaced and everything checked out on it. The radio is under warranty for the work done for 90 days. I'll send the necessary paperwork along with the radio to the purchaser.

Price: $200.00. Will also consider trade for a Uniden 3000, PRO-26 or PRO-43.

E-mail me if interested, removing .no.spam effort in domain name.

Jim
northern Vermont USA

874. Free Cable Descrambler plans here....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: eugened@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910221600.SAA15167@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186750

<<Just a few inexpensive parts from Radio Shack and a little time
and you can descramble every cable channel.>>

Screw the $5.00, here are the plans for free...
This is N3ZVM, and these plans are for educational purposes only...

///PAY TV DECODER PLANS///

MATERIALS REQUIRED:

1 - Radio Shack mini-box (#270-235)
1 - 1/4 watt resistor, 2.2k-2.4k ohm
(RS #271-1325)
1 - 75pf-100pf variable capacitor
(Hard to find)
2 - F61a chassis-type coaxial
connectors (RS #278-212)
12" - No. 12 solid copper wire
12" - RG59 coaxial cable

///INSTRUCTIONS///

1. Bare a length of No. 12 gauge solid
copper wire and twist around a 3/8"
nail or rod to form a coil of 9 turns.
Elongate coil to a length of 1 1/2"
inches and form right angle bends on
each end.

2. Solder the variable capacitor to
the coil. It doesn't matter where you
solder it, it still does the same job.
The best place for it is in the center
with the adjustment screw facing upward.

Note: When it comes time to place coil
in box, the coil must be insulated from
grounding. This can be done by crazy-
glueing a piece of rubber to the bottom
of the box, and securing the coil to it.

3. Tap coil at points 2 1/2 turns from
ends of coil and solder to coaxial
chassis connectors, bringing tap leads
through holes in chassis box. Use as

little wire as possible.

4. Solder resistor to center of coil
and ground other end of resistor to
chassis box, using solder lug and small
screw.

5. Drill a 1/2" diameter hold in mini-
box cover to permit adjustment of the
variable capacitor from the outside.
Inspect the device for defects in
workmanship and place cover on mini-
box. Tighten securely.

6. Place device in line with existing
cable on either side of the converter
box and connect to television set with
the short piece of RG59 coaxial cable.
Set television set to HBO channel.

7. Using a plastic screwdriver (non-metallic)
adjust the varible capacitor until picture
tunes in. Sit back, relax, and enjoy!!!

875. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v71ht$blt@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186751

In <3416F13E.7F0D@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up trouble again & hoping to start
>something.
>
>Below I have attached an e-mail from him I received this morning.
>
>I have repeated requested Bill not send me e-mail but he continues.
>For all of you that think Bill is so nice & can do no wrong, what
>do you say about this??
>
>
> IDIOT of MACKEY....that is the purpose of e-mail

so it's between you and the toy you have between your
sheets....

grow up...he did the right thing and you post an e-mail
post which is "low-class" and against the NET rules of
engagement...

why is it necessary for you to "try think"....?

best you go lube your toy and attempt to enjoy.

IDIOT OF MACKEY: the "cheek guy" showed you some class
which you obviously have no appreciation for. You
whine as you drink your penile wine. You pout as you
grab your shank in doubt.

scanners are fun. that's to keep it honest.

bill conduit
etc.

876. * Radio Controlled Modelling *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Short, B.K." <shortckt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <34172B93.73B9@primenet.com>
Source record: 186752

```
* Radio Controlled Modelling *

>>>> info r-c
R-C is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of R-C, radio controlled devices such
as airplanes, helicopters, boats, cars, etc.

As these sometimes use amateur radio frequencies
in the 6m band (50MHz) by those who are properly
licensed to do so, this mailing list is sponsored
by radio amateurs, but NOT limited to discussion
of amateur radio nor to membership by hams only.

Anyone may join.

Amateurs have done many interesting things with
these devices, including live video transmission
and telemetry, etc.

To join the mailing list, send email:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe r-c

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe r-c

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: r-c@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

-----
>>>>
```

877. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rollo@trib.com
Message-ID: <5v4p7a$172@news.trib.com>
Source record: 186761
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

David Abrams <david084@flash.net> wrote:

>Michael Rivero wrote:
>>
>> In article <341f8178.191212575@news.zippo.com>,
>> James Pinkerpound <Pinker@rocketmail.com> wrote:
>> >On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 07:41:43 -0500, mike1@nospam.visi.com
>> >(Michael*Schneider) wrote:
>> >
>> >>In article <01bcbafc$904e3260$da97accf@domonkos>, "Andy Domonkos"
>> >><domonkos@erols.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>> Good point, but whether Libertarian or other, the only change will come if
>> >>> everyone votes other than Republican or Democrat....
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."
>> >>
>> >> British tyranny in the colonies increased bit-by-bit, day-by-day until
>> >>shots were fired at Lexington and Concorde.
>> >>
>> >
>> >Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?
>>
>> I'm not. I'm terrified of the prospect. But as Robert Kennedy
>> said (before he was shot) "When peaceful revolution is impossible,
>> violent revolution is inevitable", and he is correct in that
>> assessment.
>>
>> >Are you proposing the armed overthrow of the United States Government?
>> >You know, it's not like Lexington. We vote now. Having been a poll
>> >watcher, I think incredibly few elections don't produce the correct
>> >winner - total shock that this may be: Clinton really won.
>>
>> Given the allegations of voter fraud that have erupted in the wake of the
>> 1996 elections, that may be presumptuous yto say right now. One thing is
>> for certain, if Clinton vilated election laws (which it appears he did)
>> and if he funded his campaign in part with drug money (whch we KNOW he did)
>> then his victory is invalidated.

> What a party I would throw if Uncle Billy and his commie friends were
>booted out of D.C.!!!

my source of schematic diagrams: http://w3.trib.com/~rollo/bomcat.htm

878. For Sale pro 43 Scanner..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3416ebfb.1119307@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186762

Radio Shack pro 43 handheld 200 ch hyperscan mint cond!!
date code is 3a4 newer model!!! selling for 160.00 firm..
includes shipping to USA users... email me thanks.

879. Re: MNManiac's Problems or Drew hates kids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Proctor Hymera <username@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbd82$14a6b8c0$669192ce@default>
Source record: 186763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

What does this fool posting have to do with any of the groups it is posted
to?
Brian <bhilden@NOSPAMisd.net> wrote in article
<3415BDB0.7F71@NOSPAMisd.net>...
> Steve (KF2TI - Landing, NJ) wrote:
> > blah blah blah

880. Re: MNManiac's Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3ab@ptdprolog.net
Message-ID: <3415f9c8.1519314@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 186764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 02:03:15 GMT, enterprise@de.com (Mike Murcury)
wrote:

>On Mon, 08 Sep 1997 18:27:15 -0500, Hello <hello@eatme.com> wrote:
>
>>Drew, Drew, Drew. Are you getting into trouble again? Found this on
>>DejaNews.
>>
>>
>>Subject: The Peoples Republic of Orange County Schools
>>From: mnmaniac@aol.com (MN Maniac)
>>Date: 1997/06/03
>>Message-Id: <19970603012501.VAA21873@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>>Newsgroups: fl.politics
>>[More Headers]
>>
>>What do y'all make of this situation?
>>
>>I'm a "Power Walker", which means I take long, brisk walks as part of my
>>daily workout routine. I average 8 miles/day, 6 days/week. I live in a
>>fairly new Orange County subdivision with a neighborhood elementary
>>school. Since we moved here last July, my daily walks have taken me
>>through the parking lot of the school. Not into the school building,
>
>>If there are any legal professionals in the audience, I would like an
>>opinion as to whether or not they have a legal right to prohibit me from
>>walking on the premises. If not, what is my legal recourse in the event
>>that they attempt to force me from the premises?
>>
>>
>>-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
>>
>Look here stupid. A school has the right to keep ANYONE off the
>property. I hope when you return you are arrested for criminal
>trespassing and carted off to jail. You have no business there
>and you should have the brains to know that. I see you're just as much
>a pain in the ass in real life as you are here.

Drew, the school has an obligation to protect the children attending
class there. As a parent, I demand this protection. Any normal person
should understand and respect their position. Sounds like they are not
forcing you off the property, they are just trying their best to keep
the kids safe. Your taxes pay for the schools, the teachers, and
protection for the kids.

If this bothers you, find other places to "power walk". There are plenty of streets that your taxes paid for where you can walk. At least that's what I do.

881. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910011101.VAA10281@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186765

>Subject: Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days
>From: croaker@access.digex.net (Francis A. Ney, Jr.)
>Date: 9 Sep 1997 17:55:55 -0400
>Message-id: <znr873820339k@Digex>
>
>

>Who said it would be the IRS? All it takes is for someone to shove half a
>key
>of China White through your basement window and wait for the DEA
>stormtroopers
>to kick in your door.
>
>

One could get someone killed for a whole lot less than a Ki of White.
The real criminals these days are the folks who sit back on their asses,
and do nothing but bitch about the way things should be.
Nothing wrong with complaining if you're trying to change things.
If you choose to sit behind your curtains and cower, all the while
lambasting Police officers who are out there doing something you never
could, than you get what you deserve.
Everyone hates the cops...until they need one.

The CB Guy

882. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v4tr7$ld2@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186766

In <EG9IB9.1GE@moraga.ness.com> tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) writes:
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>>
>> Picky, picky, prissy fellah.
>>
>> is your scanner colored pink ?
>[SNIP!]
>> bill conduit
>> etc.
>
>What the hell do you have stuffed up your ass, Bill?
>
>Oh, never mind... I see... you have the synoposis for this
>newsgroup there... no wonder you don't know what is going
>on.
>
> picky picky...a bit drawn out, no

let's see: i suggest rather than your bit part
you devise a way to help...i see what you have\
posted and what conti tries at and all this
"attitude".... this is a forum.

as a forum. all things are present. you cannot
control the river, it flows by itself....

so: create an out for the people conti disdains
to post....

gee, your feelings hurt...wow. you must live in
a protracted environment.

GET real: suggest a way of continuing the idea, but
in another way...that is what I did you pompous
lump of clump-sump.

you attack...i suggest. You are offered a solution
along with the other malfeasants and you cry "MOMMY"

you are a legend in your own mind. your importance
in this diatribe of nothingness is miniscule...you
just happened to be walking and took a dump on us.

offer a suggestion to help those who would post but
not to your 'net cop' mentality: that being the conti

esso's of the net or if you quality, you too.

i don't give a damn if you qualify or not, that is your decision to be "bad-brained" or not...just get out and offer help to the guy who began what a few did not enjoy.

after all, they don't have to read it. I don't see many getting upset and some cute stuff. Remember bozo: there are scanners near their phones...so technically it does qualify.

allow people to express what it is they possess and in the transfer if it bothers you or the conti-onti, then help that other try another approach.

that is the message: offer help. make a contribution that matters in the universe. it ain't here, that's for sure. but imagine it be so.

bill conduit
etc.

883. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG9t61.H59@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186767

tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:

>BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>>
>> Picky, picky, prissy fellah.
>>
>> is your scanner colored pink ?
>[SNIP!]
>> bill conduit
>> etc.

>What the hell do you have stuffed up your ass, Bill?

>Oh, never mind... I see... you have the synoposis for this
>newsgroup there... no wonder you don't know what is going
>on.

>Let me explain.

>1) Someone posts some "overheards" which can be seen as fitting in with
> the "funny dispatch" threads here.

>2) Conti figures that he knows entirely what is correct and what is
> incorrect for this newsgroup, and decided to play online editor.

>3) YOU start tossing the shit at the original poster about how he has
> no idea what an assignment editor does... You start tossing shit
> other directions as well... It is obvious that you do have a pain
> in the ass. Please don't make it all of ours. Has the synoposis
> been up there for this whole thread?

>4) Conti starts ragging some more, through several branches of this
> thread... getting further off topic than it has ever been.

>5) I decide to ask Conti of his post, what he asked of the original
> post... you see, two can play at that game.

>6) You start personal attacks against me.

>7) Two can play at that game. Get the fucking synoposis out of your
> butt and read it for yourself next time you wonder what is actually
> going on in this group... that is, if you know how to read.

>8) What's that smell?

>9) Oh, it's just Bill Used Condom.

>10) I told you that two can play at that game, Bill.

You gotta LOVE this stuff....this is GREAT!!!!

Keep it up fellas. When all else fails, resort to cursing and name calling.

You guys are so quick to bash ME, someone who responds contrary to the group, that the bigger picture eludes you all.....

>Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
>DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com
>--
> Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

884. Re: arrested for stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim233@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910025101.WAA19031@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186768

Tyler,

Excellent comeback-If my neighbors MAIL was constantly delivered thru my home 24 hours a day I would read!!!

885. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim233@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910030101.XAA19878@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186769

What diiference does it make scanner or ham radio-some ham radios have greater receive capabilties than scanners do.

If a scanner is illegal in your state purchase the Standard 5900 tri band amateur radio receive capabilities 40 MHz thru 1400 MHz.

So according to Bill Funk a Standard Tri Bander is Illegal to monitor in a vehicle because it receives more than PUBLIC SERVICE FREQS. I think not. The FCC approved this radio for its receive capability for mobile use-Sorry Bill

886. WHAT IS A GOOD SCANNER?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kewlsacd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910032301.XAA15330@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186770

WHAT IS A GOOD SCANNER FOR AROUND 100.00 - 150.00
AND WHERE CAN I GET IT?

THANX

887. Motorola Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Drclone@geocities.com
Message-ID: <873898858.6653@dejanews.com>
Source record: 186771

I'am also looking for motorola radio software for (Visor)16 ch
I have a rib and cable and rib schematics.

N3voh

----------------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------------------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

888. J.I.L.Seller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: shutton4@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910044200.AAA21391@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186772

My mail to you (Paramedic) keeps being rejected...Please call me at 412 765-8403 and leave a message so we can set up the transaction...
Ed Hutton N3KEX

889. WTB Yaesu FRG 9600 60-905Mhz Rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: shutton4@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910044101.AAA21283@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186773

Attention Yaesu sellers....my mail keeps being rejected...I am interested in this radio, please e me if you are selling this...or call me at 412 765-8403 and leave a message.
Ed Hutton N3KEX

890. PRO90 VS BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: snoopy735@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910015600.VAA14059@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186774

Anyone have a valid opinion on the differences between the PRO90 and BC235XLT? I'm not sure which would be the better buy. Anything else available compare to these (for the same price range)?

891. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGA1v2.24L@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186775

Daniel M. Conti <dconti@carroll.com> wrote:
>
>Keep it up fellas. When all else fails, resort to cursing and name
>calling.

Beats the hypocrisy of telling others to stay on topic, but wandering
off topic when it suits you, doesn't it?

>You guys are so quick to bash ME, someone who responds contrary to the
>group, that the bigger picture eludes you all.....

The bigger picture doesn't elude us. Your desire to control the
speech of others, is no different than those who want to control
all aspects of our lives, like what we can or can't listen to on
scanners, or keeping our front lawn trimmed to a 1/16 of an inch of
the neighborhood standard, or any of the others who aren't satisfied
in life without control over others.

Take a look at my Header... "Our Lady Of Perpetual Freedom"... Know
what that stands for? You ight get the nearsighted impression that
it's some sort of Virgin Mary cult... far from it... it has nothing
to do with any heavenly deity, other than the possibility that whatever
"creator" mentioned in the US Declaration Of Independence, who created
ALL of us with those rights elaborated on in the Bill Of Rights, might
have been a deity, or just primordial slime... You make the mistake
of assuming the type of ministry I conduct... but it all comes down
to the one basic tenet of the Universal Life Church (of which I am
ordained) and that is the "Freedom to do that which is right" and
the person who decides what is right, is the individual, and if that
person chooses properly, what he chooses to do will not be greater than
what he would expect others to do back to him, and will not unwillingly
infringe on the equal rights of others.

The exact point of my first post, was that while you expected others
to refrain from posting that which you didn't feel belonged in this
newsgroup, you went ahead and started in of stuff which others might
not have felt belonged in this newsgroup. Your expectation of others
does not equal what you expect their expectations of you to be... you
expect themm to accept more from you that you would from them.

The style I used to bring this hypocrisy to your attention, is called
irony. It eventually gets the point across through dense skulls, but
not before causing outrage at the user of irony as a tool. Call it
"Savage Indignation"... for that is what Reverend Johnathon Swift
called it when he wrote "A Modest Proposal" about eating the kids of
the poor in improve the conditions for the families in early 1700

Ireland when taxes were heavy and legislation repressive...

Now, in keeping on topic with this newsgroup, and to satisfy Bill who thinks I should "help the situation", try to think of how you can use "irony" and "savage indignation" to combat the legislation this hobby of ours faces... [Hint... try to compare it to the hypocrisy in the laws... such as a cop being able to look into your car window without a warrant... but yet when a communication is in the clear, we cannot listen to it... Yes, you are correct about there being a "bigger picture", but don't be so sure that it elludes all of us.

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

892. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGA0Fv.234@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186776

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> let's see: i suggest rather than your bit part
> you devise a way to help...i see what you have\
> posted and what conti tries at and all this
> "attitude".... this is a forum.
>
> as a forum. all things are present. you cannot
> control the river, it flows by itself....

Gee, and just what ironic point was I just trying to get across with my post, turning Conti's word's back upon him? And I could point out the same to you... You seem not to like what I have said... but yet I haven't told anyone not to write or speak anything... just pointing out that they are a bit hypocritical... that isn't trying to "control" the flow.

> so: create an out for the people conti disdains
> to post....
>
> gee, your feelings hurt...wow. you must live in
> a protracted environment.

Nope, my feelings aren't hurt. Don't know where your puss filled mind contracted that idea... it had to have contracted it... it certainly didn't hatch in your thought free mind.

> GET real: suggest a way of continuing the idea, but
> in another way...that is what I did you pompous
> lump of clump-sump.

No, what you did was degrading someone's occupation... probably because too many assignment editors have thrown your stringy ass out on the street... I don't know, but that was the impression I got for why you attacked "assignment editors" in general and the original poster in particular.

> you attack...i suggest. You are offered a solution
> along with the other malfeasants and you cry "MOMMY"

Nope. I didn't ask for any assistance from anyone. Don't know where you got that idea that I went crying for mommy. I can be completely abusive and crude all on my own.

> you are a legend in your own mind. your importance
> in this diatribe of nothingness is miniscule...you
> just happened to be walking and took a dump on us.

No. I took a dump on you and Conti only. Not on anyone else in
this newsgroup.

> offer a suggestion to help those who would post but
> not to your 'net cop' mentality: that being the conti
> esso's of the net or if you quality, you too.

What Net-cop mentality was there in my post? Conti did a Net-cop
thing, and I only turned his own words back on him... If you were
able to understand irony, you might see that that the point of y
post was against Conti's Net-Cop action.

> i don't give a damn if you qualify or not, that is your
> decision to be "bad-brained" or not...just get out and
> offer help to the guy who began what a few did not enjoy.

Go impale yourself on your own stub. I enjoyed the original post
of newsroomm overheards. I didn't enjoy Conti's trying to shape
it out of the newsgroup, and when Conti went and started his own
off-topic branch of the thread, I merrily pointed it out to him...
(and the idiot himself responds, also not seeing the irony)

> after all, they don't have to read it. I don't see many
> getting upset and some cute stuff. Remember bozo: there
> are scanners near their phones...so technically it does
> qualify.

Go back and show me where I said it doesn't qualify. If I recall, I
specifically mentioned in my previous post that it appeared to try
to fit in with the threads here on scanner-funnies. Looks to me
like you jumped on the flame wagon without looking to see that you
are trying to singe someone who was all for the newsroomm-overheards.

> allow people to express what it is they possess and in the
> transfer if it bothers you or the conti-onti, then help
> that other try another approach.

I'mm allowing people to express what they judge is appropriate for
the group. Our editor friend judged that his posting was appropriate,
and I don't question his judgement. Show me one post in this thread
where I have said otherwise.

> that is the message: offer help. make a contribution
> that matters in the universe. it ain't here, that's for
> sure. but imagine it be so.

Here's one contribution for you... ascertain what direction someone
is coming from before lighting the flame thrower. This is my fourth
post in this thread. The other three are also a part of this same
branch of the thread. The first post calls attention to Conti's
right to rant off topic when he feels that others don't have that
same right. The second one explains that first post to Conti. The
third one defends from a misguided attack from you, and this one
explains to you that you really don't understand great classics such

as Johnathon Swift's A Modest Proposal, and other works of irony.

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

893. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGA33r.28K@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186777

Daniel M. Conti <dconti@carroll.com> wrote:
>tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:
>
>>You pride yourself on being a newsgroup moderator, keeping the
>>newsgroup in check...
>
>Oh yea...since when was I appointed to that lofty, albeit non-existent
>position?

You can't even remember you yourself appointing yourself to that
position? You affirmed it in your first post in this thread.

>Anything disagreeable is ALWAYS rant and whine with you guys.....

Yep... you did that in your first post in this thread too.

>> it is only right that you abide by your own rules and make sure your posts keep on the
topic of scanners
>>as well.
>
>I do. Another challenge...show me a post that I initiated that is NOT
>scanner related.

So now we get into the "But Mom! Johnny did it first."

>I was merely a respondent to this one, asking a pertinent question,
>and trying to raise a viewpoint unfortunately missed by all the

You probably missed that I did nothing but ask the same question, and
then stated MY OPINION in next post. Isn't that what free speech is
all about, opinion? Or do you want to get into my mind and alter
all of my opinions?

>ANONYMOUS loudmouths here....Reverend, Scan Skip, Fire Chief and on
>and on.....not a real name in the bunch.

What's anonymous? I've gone by "Tweek" for nearly 20 years. It's
a variant of the word "tweak", having to do with tweaking radio
broadcast equipment, which obtained me that nickname. I answer to
the nae Tweek. I've used it on Internet for 8 years, and on other
computer services for even longer. Oh, but you weren't refering to
the "Tweek" as anonymous... you mentioned the "Reverend", of which
I am an ordained minister and in my on line personna, feel I have
a right to prefix my name with it, as well as in the real world.

>If you're a spiritual advisor, I'm the Pope.

Two words. Kool Aid. Enjoy it.

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

894. Question. How to intercept 900MhzSpreadSprectrum GE phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: lcsg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910055801.BAA25729@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186778

Howdy experts. What trouble would someone need to go through to intercept my General Electric Model No. 2-900SST. (I live in a high tech area) Are my credit card transactions and private conversations reasonably safe from scanning? Thanks!/GR

895. Re: pro 62 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <5v5fv1$pp6$1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 186779

Andrew Jentis (andrewj@earthlink.net) wrote:
: i have a pro 62 and when i turn it on, it scans fine but does not
: receive anything. after about 20 minutes, it starts receiving just fine.

: any hints on what causes this and how to fix it?

: andy

If the PLL is not locked(a reasonable bet) then check the VCO...possibly
when the set heats up, the VCO starts, and then of course, the PLL locks,
and all is well.
If not...in general..this problem is almost certainly temperture related.
A can of freeze spray, and a soldering iron held NEAR, but NOT touching
suspect parts/connections can be helpful.
Remember..use freeze spray sparingly...as it can cause moisture to
condense on the part/connection, thus possibly causing problems.
Before trying the above.....get a good light, and a magififier....then
look at the board carefully...particulary the solder side....a cold or
loose solder joint can cause the problems you are having.
Any connection that has a crack in the solder, looks dull grey instead of
shiny, or looks odd(this includes a solder pad with almost no
"bump"...this can have a air bubble), among other things.

Good Luck.

896. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: i4086@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910070300.DAA28309@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186780

I have found Bill to be very courteous in my dealing with him. He spent quite a bit of his time helping me troubleshoot a noise problem with my 2006. He also gave me tons of info on PC interference. All of the information he gave me was right on the money. So Bill gets a pro vote from me.

Derick,

73

897. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <34164AAF.5500@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186781

D Stark wrote:
>
> In article <3415183B.2AB3@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
> >> Exactly what public service do most scanner listeners perform?
> > >
> > >Read Monitoring Times magazine and find out monthly examples of this,
> > >such as numerous criminals caught because a scanner listener saw the
> >
> > OK, that's one example. Any more?

There was more than ONE example, read it again idiot. And for MORE than
the examples I gave, I suggested you read the magazine for MONTHLY
examples. You either are just trying to be a troll, or you can't read
very well.

898. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <34164C65.1153@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186782

D Stark wrote:
>
> In article <34151970.3F14@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
>
> >one can disprove this, thus the law protects scanners (or ANY receiver
> >capable of ham frequencies) in ALL 50 STATES... REGARDLESS of ANY
> >meaningless states laws.
>
> I want to know what the judge says when you tell him that the law on
> which you are being tried is meaningless. After he stops laughing,
> that is.

Why don't you go to Calafornia where they passed a law legalizing
medical use of marijuana, and try to get a doctor to give you a
perscription. The Feds said they will bust anyone who tries, as Federal
Laws still outlaw marijuana, and California's law is MEANINGLESS.

Go to a library and study, or just watch the news once in a while. The
whole point the Federal Law was written, was to OVERIDE any states that
tried to pass laws against scanners that would affect Ham operators.

I don't think that any JUDGE will be lauging, but I think everyone that
read your post is now laughing at YOU!!

899. IRS CID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cliff Pearson <CliffP@ktvt.com>
Message-ID: <34167869.3135@ktvt.com>
Source record: 186783

Folks,

A friend of mine who worked for the IRS CID tells me that the entire IRS is converting to a new computer system. I've been monitoring them on 418.1 MHz in the Dallas area and I hear systems tests and diagnostic type stuff. Sounds like the are creating a system that allows a handie-talkie to also function as a phone, and to be able to link to voice mail. I know it sounds weird, but this is what it sounds like.

Anyone know more about this?

Cliff P

900. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EG9stw.G6w@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186784

tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:

>Daniel M. Conti <dconti@carroll.com> wrote:
>>tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:
>>
>>>What the heck does this have to do with scanning?
>>
>>I dunno, Reverend...I asked the same question and got blasted for
>>it....

>I'm not refering to the rest of the thread. When I asked the
>question, I was specifically referring to your rant and whine.

>You pride yourself on being a newsgroup moderator, keeping the
>newsgroup in check...

Oh yea...since when was I appointed to that lofty, albeit non-existent
position?

Anything disagreeable is ALWAYS rant and whine with you guys.....

> it is only right that you abide by your own rules and make sure your posts keep on the
topic of scanners
>as well.

I do. Another challenge...show me a post that I initiated that is NOT
scanner related.

I was merely a respondent to this one, asking a pertinent question,
and trying to raise a viewpoint unfortunately missed by all the
ANONYMOUS loudmouths here....Reverend, Scan Skip, Fire Chief and on
and on.....not a real name in the bunch.

If you're a spiritual advisor, I'm the Pope.

>--
>Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
>DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com
>---
> Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

901. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34166783.4710@usa.net>
Source record: 186785

Bill is the type of guy that can be either very nice or a complete
ASS, depending on his mood & who he needs to please.

I4086 wrote:
> I have found Bill to be very courteous in my dealing with him. He spent
> quite a bit of his time helping me troubleshoot a noise problem with my
> 2006. He also gave me tons of info on PC interference. All of the
> information he gave me was right on the money. So Bill gets a pro vote from me.

902. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34166805.4E66@usa.net>
Source record: 186786

Trolling for trouble again, LITTLE TYLER?

tyler wrote:
> I should have known better than to open anything by MACKEY. However, I didn't
> read who it was from.... oh well, I'll keep my eyes open for trash.

903. question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Rafey <rafey@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <5v5454$jl5@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186787

Has anyone seen or heard about radio shacks pro-2042 base scanner?
If so, is it junk or is it good.
I would appreciate your comments.
Thanxs....

904. Re: British Airways Company Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: brian@g7lij.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <873890095snz@g7lij.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 186788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <5uvru9$72q$1@news.goodnet.com>
jamoran@mail.goodnet.com "John Moran" writes:

> hi
> I'm looking for either VHF and also HF/Shortwave frequencies that are used
> by British Airways. want company frequencies!
>
> I am able to monitor them on the ATC frequencies of 118.1 (Phoenix
> Clearance), 118.7/120.9 (Phoenix Tower), 124.1, 119.2, 126.6, (Phoenix
> TRACON, Approach/Departure), 125.25, 135.15, 128.45 (Albuquerque center)
British Airways HF freq..

3.497khz 5.535khz 8.921khz 10.072khz 11.333khz 13.333khz 17.922khz
21.946khz

of those above stations frequently heard on 10.072 .

--
cu.....Brian
info@lowe.co.uk
http://www.lowe.co.uk
brian@g7lij.demon.co.uk

905. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v62u0$ntb@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186789

In <34166783.4710@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>Bill is the type of guy that can be either very nice or a complete
>ASS, depending on his mood & who he needs to please.
>
>
he has a very keen insight into the other one
making statements about him...and based on
your unceasing "vitrolicism" against his
position, how else is he to think about you?

if you come on with him as a bastard, then
he treats you as such...or just don't
think much of you to begin with seeing
as how you have this personality problem.

then too, you have trouble dealing with others
who don't descend to your 'reach'

what does this have to do with scanning?

bill conduit
etc.

906. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v63s1$rnc@sjx-ixn7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186790

In <EGA0Fv.234@moraga.ness.com> tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) writes:
>
>
>
>Here's one contribution for you... ascertain what direction someone
>is coming from before lighting the flame thrower. This is my fourth
>post in this thread. The other three are also a part of this same
>branch of the thread. The first post calls attention to Conti's
>right to rant off topic when he feels that others don't have that
>same right. The second one explains that first post to Conti. The
>third one defends from a misguided attack from you, and this one
>explains to you that you really don't understand great classics such
>as Johnathon Swift's A Modest Proposal, and other works of irony.
>
>
i never attacked you by name. i was
referring to conti and you just got in the
way.

my point with you was in other domains.

best to end this now and part friends....

i'm a bit tired now and have to get some sleep

by the way: that bit to the assignment editor
was sarcasm. those in the business will know
what was meant as I posted that way. There was
no insult against the originator as you say.

sarcasm...

i play this game daily and i don't get kicked
out as you suggest. if you knew the business
you would have "ah hah"'d as i developed the
script.

just as you being a minister from a group that
sends certificates of ordination out for a fee.

send a check and get an "ordainment" speck.

ps: i was against conti's position as you.

you just got in the way.

bill
>--
>Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious
Liaison
>DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com
>---

> Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in
alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

907. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v63a7$2be@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186791

In <EGA1v2.24L@moraga.ness.com> tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) writes:
>
>
>of assuming the type of ministry I conduct... but it all comes down
>to the one basic tenet of the Universal Life Church (of which I am
>ordained)

Oh no!?

how much did this certificate cost you?

this is the group of "hmmmm" who pay some guy
money to get ordained into this church so they
can avoid paying fed and state and local taxes.

IRS says, "pay taxes"...

anyone can become a ORDAINED heathen by sending
M O N E Y to the head ordainee.

What reality is is not what it is. In the case
of the above "professor of many questions"

and mixing god with freedom is a non-sequitur.

god equals tyranny. god is tyranny. god is a cage.

bill conduit
etc

908. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970910130800.JAA06648@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186792

>Subject: Re: Funny Newsroom Phone
>From: myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP)
>Date: 10 Sep 1997 12:20:23 GMT
>Message-id: <5v63a7$2be@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>

>this is the group of "hmmmm" who pay some guy
> money to get ordained into this church so they
> can avoid paying fed and state and local taxes.

>What reality is is not what it is. In the case
> of the above "professor of many questions"

> bill conduit
> etc

Not that I ever doubted it, but Conduit is sorely in need of a shrink and
an English teacher.

909. Salt Lake City Airmed frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Erickson <JDErickson@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbdee$b1bab280$9d1817cc@jim>
Source record: 186793

Does anyone possibly know the frequency for Airmed in Salt Lake City (Not LifeFlight). I've tried the hospital frequency that Police Call mentions with no luck.

They also mention a "statewide" frequency for ground control of medical choppers that the sheriff uses to contact choppers. Does anyone know this frequency.

Thanx for your help.

Jim Erickson

910. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmort@opman.com
Message-ID: <3418895a.291724742@snews.zippo.com>
Source record: 186794
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

On 9 Sep 1997 17:47:44 -0400, croaker@access.digex.net (Francis A. Ney, Jr.) wrote:

>
>
>In article <34149F0C.70BA@flash.net> david084@flash.net writes:
>
>> Cliff, you are right. Except in countries where the people have no
>> freedom. In a place like China, Cuba, N. Korea, Vietnam, etc. the police
>> are enforcers of oppressive law. They are the arm of government that
>> holds the people in place.
>> Thankfully, we do not face that situation in the USA.
>
>Yet. But coming soon, to a gulag near you. . .

You ought to ask whether he'd like to be gay in Georgia and whether he supports anti-sodomy laws.

Gun control, the theory that Black people will be
better off when only Mark Fuhrman has a gun.

Check out:

http://super.zippo.com/~cmorton/home.htm
http://www.firstnethou.com/gunsite/moore.html

911. Re: Discriminator Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ltest@rte9-sun_5.5.1
Message-ID: <5v69hq$6an@lztnsc06.att.com>
Source record: 186795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.rec.scanner,phl.scanner

In article <340C8338.3AD3@screwed.net> "P. Singh" <nospam@screwed.net> wrote:
> sir,
>
> Pin 10 of 3359 is the discriminator output.

sir,

Pin 10 of 3359 is the discriminator output.

The interface unit generally uses a 741 opamp and a couple of diodes
and resistors to connect to the PC serial port.

You can use the hammcomm interface in most cases.

Oren Haydel wrote:
>
> HI
>
> I have a PRO-2026 with discriminator chip 3359..can any one tell me what
> pin is the output pin?? I am trying to hook up my scanner to the PC to
> run the trunker program. Also I need to purchase the equipment that
> connects the scanner to the PC. After I get the right pin output, I
> have the internal hook-up done to the scanner. can any one advise me
> where to look for the interface unit. I heard Opteolectronics has a
> Optiolinx, will that work, and what, if any extra hardware/software will
> I need to get my Trunker Program Working? And one last question, what
> port will the interface use on my PC to send the info? 1 million
> thanks to anyone who can answer my questions.. OH

--

Sending me SPAM or Junk-Mail means that you have legally agreed to
pay me US $500 for each SPAM or Junk-Mail message you send to me.
----

912. uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lester Altman <moe@pathway.net>
Message-ID: <5v6bcf$5r6$0@207.76.141.12>
Source record: 186796

i have a 50 channel uniden, and i would like to know how to change it to pick up the scrambled 900 phone conversations? butch

913. Re:BC 145 XL losing memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Calzaretta <joec@xtalwind.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbdfa$4c98fea0$57f2a0cd@default>
Source record: 186797

I have a BC 145 XL scanner that keeps losing its programmed frequencies after the radio has been shut off for several days. There does not seem to be any battery on the PC board to be replaced. One of the large capacitors that stores voltage for retaining memory could be leaky. Any suggestions or cures?

Thanks, Joe e-mail: joec@xtalwind.net

914. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWMJR@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5v6dnmkhm1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 186798

That is not true about a scanner lic. In Michigan no matter if yu have a license through Radio Shack you can`t use it in Mich.I sure wish that peope like you would just stop telling others FALSE info.In Michigan you MUST go through the State Police before having a scanner on or "in readily available"condition,so STOP giving WRONG information

915. Re: WHAT IS A GOOD SCANNER?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3416bc98.115962723@news-server>
Source record: 186799

On 10 Sep 1997 03:23:07 GMT, kewlsacd@aol.com (KEWLSACD) wrote:

> WHAT IS A GOOD SCANNER FOR AROUND 100.00 - 150.00
> AND WHERE CAN I GET IT?

You can't get a "good" scanner for $100-$150.

Unless "pre-owned", that is. And the Used Market is
rather vague and indefinite. Someone just advertised
a PRO-43 for $160. Now that's a "good" scanner. If you
can get a PRO-2004, PRO-2005, or PRO-2006 for under
$200, those would be good deals.

+--+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+--+

916. Re: Michigan Scanner Laws and Amatuers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWMJR@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5v6epbkjb1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 186800

STOP TELLING EVERYONE LIES!!!!!!!!!!!!NOONE CAN OPERATE A SCANNER IN THEIR VEHICLE UNLESS THEY GET A STATE PERMITE.YOUR NOT GETTING YOUR STORIES STRAIGHT

917. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWMJR@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5v6f2dkjn1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 186801

Evidently you MR.CB don`t know what really goes on in reality...Stop watching the tv shows like tj hooker and watch real police in action,like "COPS"then write back and see if you see any policeofficers plant anything on anyone..

918. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EGAxLp.62L@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186802

tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:

>Daniel M. Conti <dconti@carroll.com> wrote:
>>tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:
>>
>>>You pride yourself on being a newsgroup moderator, keeping the
>>>newsgroup in check...
>>
>>Oh yea...since when was I appointed to that lofty, albeit non-existent
>>position?

>You can't even remember you yourself appointing yourself to that
>position? You affirmed it in your first post in this thread.

Says YOU...SHOW ME!! You eqaute MY right to respond to what is
posted publicly here with that of a MODERATOR....you are misguided.

>>Anything disagreeable is ALWAYS rant and whine with you guys.....

>Yep... you did that in your first post in this thread too.

So, anyone who responds to a public post in this group that is not of
the mainstream thought is now ranting and whining?

>>> it is only right that you abide by your own rules and make sure your posts keep on the
topic of scanners
>>>as well.
>>
>>I do. Another challenge...show me a post that I initiated that is NOT
>>scanner related.

>So now we get into the "But Mom! Johnny did it first."

No, I'm not referring to anyone else but ME.....put up or shut
up..PROVE your point.

>>I was merely a respondent to this one, asking a pertinent question,
>>and trying to raise a viewpoint unfortunately missed by all the

>You probably missed that I did nothing but ask the same question, and
>then stated MY OPINION in next post. Isn't that what free speech is
>all about, opinion? Or do you want to get into my mind and alter
>all of my opinions?

No, but I am entitled to respond to you, just as you are to me, and on
and on..until we ALL get bored with this....

>>ANONYMOUS loudmouths here....Reverend, Scan Skip, Fire Chief and on
>>and on.....not a real name in the bunch.

>What's anonymous? I've gone by "Tweek" for nearly 20 years. It's
>a variant of the word "tweak", having to do with tweaking radio
>broadcast equipment, which obtained me that nickname. I answer to
>the nae Tweek. I've used it on Internet for 8 years, and on other
>computer services for even longer. Oh, but you weren't refering to
>the "Tweek" as anonymous... you mentioned the "Reverend", of which
>I am an ordained minister and in my on line personna, feel I have
>a right to prefix my name with it, as well as in the real world.

No, I'm referring to the FACT that I'm the only one here using my real
FULL name, callsign, location, etc...not hiding behind anonymity....

>>If you're a spiritual advisor, I'm the Pope.

>Two words. Kool Aid. Enjoy it.

Four words...Have a nice day!

>--
>Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
>DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com
>---
> Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

919. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Davie <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3417ADB8.4208@flash.net>
Source record: 186503
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Joseph Feng wrote:
>
> In article <3414AD0F.2CB4@flash.net>, on Mon, 08 Sep 1997 20:57:35 -0500,
> David Abrams <David> writes:
> >
> >Joseph Feng wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <340E5F8B.50F3@flash.net>, on Thu, 04 Sep 1997 02:13:15 -0500,
> >> "David..." <david084@flash.net> writes:
> >> >
> >>
> >> ....absolutely nothing of relevance to either of the *.radio.scanner
> >> newsgroups. He is so self-absorbed in his mental masturbation that he does
> >> not have the competence, courtesy, or maturity to post his rantings and
> >> ravings only in the relevant areas. If he were not so selfish and childish,
> >> maybe we could feel sorry for him. Too bad he does not have any friends or
> >> a real life.
> >
> > Spoken like a true democrat who has a government job!
> >--
> >*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
> > NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
> >http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
> >http://www.instanet.com/~vct/
>
> Is this the best you can do? Childish name-calling. One of these days, you
> may have enough education to carry on an intelligent argument. Obviously,
> this has not happened yet. It goes along with your inability to act with
> courtesy to other people. It would be a sign of intelligence and maturity
> to keep your postings only in relevant newsgroups. You are entitled to as
> much politeness as you extend to other people; in your case, that is zero.

Im sorry. I wouldnt want to be called a "democrat with a government
job" either! What a terrible thing!
--
*** The best website on the net!!!!!

http://www.rtis.com/nat/pol/rush/

920. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Davie <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3417A807.74F6@flash.net>
Source record: 186504
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Billy Beck wrote:
>
> David Abrams <david084@flash.net> wrote:
>
> > Most Jews in the USA are Democrats. Democrats passed the Brady Bill
> >and the Assualty Weapons Ban.
>
> Richard Nixon was a republican. He gave us DEA and the
> subsequent "War on Drugs"...which have staked your Fourth Amendment
> rights straight through the heart.
>
> You really need to learn to think in *principles*. Not
> parties.
>
> Billy

You need to realize who the enemy is. The DEA is not bad, nor is
the War on Drugs, but the democrats added into the mix the Ricoh laws
and confiscation laws which allows the DEA and other law enforcement
agencies to come and take EVERYTHING you own because they only SUSPECT
that you are doing something illegal.
Who passed the Brady Bill, the Assualt weapons ban, the largest tax
increase in the history of this country? Who were responsible for the
outcome of Waco and Ruby Ridge? Under what administration has the FBI
brought up the idea of wiretaping 1% of the USA's phones though most
Americans think that their government is not spying on them?

The answer to all of the above is: THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION!

921. Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3417B27F.7B1F@usa.net>
Source record: 186505

Hi Bill Cheek.

By looking at your header info it is OBVIOUSLY you.

Billy Thunder wrote:

Path:
spool1!news.sprintisp.com!sprintisp!su-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com
!news.bbnplanet.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!204.210.0.20!newsfee
d.san.rr.com!usenet
From: "Billy Thunder" <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!
Date: 11 Sep 1997 04:54:15 GMT
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
Message-ID: <01bcbe6f$240fe500$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
References: <3416F13E.7F0D@usa.net> <3416ffdc.66484817@news.flash.net>
<5v711v$gq6@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com> <3417453A.3345@usa.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dt2h3n25.san.rr.com
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Internet News 4.70.1161

> John Mackey why do you act like a butthole
> all the time? Do you got something against
> guys named Bill? Do you like anybody or
> anything ever?

922. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3417C4E1.13F@juno.com>
Source record: 186506

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> yea, certainly HAVE removed all doubt about your complete
> foolishness.
Funny?
One could say the same thing of you.....

923. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3417C576.6F2B@juno.com>
Source record: 186507

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Hi Bill Cheek.
> By looking at your header info it is OBVIOUSLY you.
>
> Billy Thunder wrote:
>
> Path:
> spool1!news.sprintisp.com!sprintisp!su-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.bbnplanet.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!204.210.0.20!newsfeed.san.rr.com!usenet
> From: "Billy Thunder" <bthunder@san.rr.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Subject: Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!
> Date: 11 Sep 1997 04:54:15 GMT
> Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
> Message-ID: <01bcbe6f$240fe500$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
> References: <3416F13E.7F0D@usa.net> <3416ffdc.66484817@news.flash.net>
> <5v711v$gq6@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com> <3417453A.3345@usa.net>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: dt2h3n25.san.rr.com
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Internet News 4.70.1161
John,
You must have your wires crossed somewhere. I don't see any mention of
bills address here. In fact, I begin to wonder who is really being a
troublemaker here (most likely, you).

924. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3417B0C7.1B0E@usa.net>
Source record: 186508

yea, certainly HAVE removed all doubt about your complete
foolishness.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> I heard one of those guys mention this little quote, John. Perhaps you
> have heard of it???
> "It is better to be a fool and remain silent than to open ones mouth and
> remove all doubt"

925. IINFO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Crozier <ka9qjg@tsrcom.com>
Message-ID: <3417B009.2799@tsrcom.com>
Source record: 186509

Hi My Name IS Don, Ham Radio Operator KA9QJG, I run the posted MDT PROGRAM written in C++ ,Can any one if I send them the program set it up to keep receiving after 100 BUFFERS?? I Have to reset it ,any help will be greatly appreciated ,You can E-MAIL Direct or post for all I will share all info.

THANKS AND HAPPY SCANNING TO ALL
DON KA9QJG

926. Re: FS: BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: gnoza@gulftel.com
Message-ID: <341fc3e8.19264811@news.pen.net>
Source record: 186510

On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 17:46:37 GMT, cmf@iei.net (C. Michael Fry) wrote:

>Yes that's right! It's the new Uniden base trunktracker. I aquired it through
>a trade and I don't want it as I have the handheld trunktracker. I can
>probably come up with a receipt for it if you need one. I'm selling it for
>$295(including shipping) pre-paid by certified check or money order.
>
>
>Michael N9LFN
>Indianapolis, IN
>
>*Reference available
>
Santa Fe Distributors
1-800-255-6596

$279.95

Andrew Gnoza
WWWebologist

927. Re: funny newsroom phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911112501.HAA26319@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186511

(Daniel M. Conti) writes:

>I NEVER said it didn't belong in the NG...show me where I did.
>
>I asked a rhetorical question , a VERY simple one, one that has been
>asked NUMEROUS times by others here....what did it have to do with
>scanning?

Nice weasel attempt. We all know what you "meant", just as we can all see you scrambling to get out of it now.
That one post had a helluvalot more to do with scanning than the dozen or so follow-ups by you.

The CB Guy

928. IC-R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tailwind@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <tailwind-1109970754210001@dyn6.tc1.riverhead.i-2000.net>
Source record: 186512

Any have cell mods for IC-R8500?

929. Pro-33 (same shape as pro-37)75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: New call VE2QUE <vo2apl@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <3417FBF2.419A@netrover.com>
Source record: 186513

20 ch (do not cover 800Mhz)have search and monitor,this scanner is
in very good condition no box or manual 75.00

930. Regency TS2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tedh@xcelco.on.ca
Message-ID: <5v8nc4pfk1@nr1.ottawa.istar.net>
Source record: 186514

Hi

I recently aquired a Regency TS2 scanner but unfortunately have no manuel for it. If anybody has one they are willing to part with or would make me a copy please let me know . Willing to pay reasonable costs.

Also it appears to be the blocked model but forgot the source of info on how to remove the censureship. Could anyone direct me to a source?

Thanks in advance

Ted

931. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nhull@mindspring.nojunkmail.com
Message-ID: <nhull-1109970741580001@user-2k7i5cr.dialup.mindspring.com>
Source record: 186515
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

In article <34179B57.6EC8@flash.net>, david084@flash.net wrote:

> Francis A. Ney, Jr. wrote:
>
> > Who said it would be the IRS? All it takes is for someone to shove half a key
> > of China White through your basement window and wait for the DEA stormtroopers
> > to kick in your door.
>
> Yes,and when they come in, they will, under the Ricoh act, be able to
> confiscate ALL of your posessions and auction them off while you try to
> PROVE you are not quilty. Good luck.

I would like to see all DEA agents take a drug test. I would also like to see police cars regularly checked by a drug sniffing dog. Unfortunately, it would only work if done by a non-governmental group; the nobility (govt workers) look after their own.

--
Free men own guns - slaves don't <http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/5357/>
glockist@aol.com nhull@mindspring.com

932. GE Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: saber123@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <873979521.13403@dejanews.com>
Source record: 186516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for GE Radio Programming Software for the 800mhz trunked
portables and mobiles.

Have lots of Motorola Lab and Regular software for trade!

Email for more details.

Thanks
saber123@hotmail.com

----------------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------------------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

933. Icom 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "stuart nadelson, p.c." <stuartn@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3417E73A.5828@erols.com>
Source record: 186517

I have an Icom 8500. Does anyone know of any mods to this radio? Please advise.

934. Realistic PRO34.... info wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alistair S Ross <asr@eng.cam.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3417F5CD.E8F4EABC@eng.cam.ac.uk>
Source record: 186518

I have bought a second hand PRO34 hand held scanner (I think?) It came with no instructions and a dodgy squelch button, which I've fixed. Is there someone who can tell me how to use this scanner, how do you change wave length ? it appears to only have UHF. As you probably gathered I know sweet FA about this subject, but this may just sow the seed.......! So far I've seem only to 'pick up' mobile phone calls.

Thanks ....ttfn......Alistair
--
: Alistair S Ross
: Room W7b, Instrument Shop, C.U.E.D.
: phone - UK(01223)332853
: email - asr@eng.cam.ac.uk

935. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim233@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911133901.JAA24814@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186519

It is against the Law to monitor, Cellular Phones, Cordless Phones, Mobile Phones, Ship to Shore Phones, Pager, SMR, and the list goes on an on. Soon you will be able to use your scanner for a paper weight. Sucks don't it.

936. Re: Sub Carrier Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bgranger@ozemail.com.au
Message-ID: <3417f3e9.4916802@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 186520

On Thu, 11 Sep 1997 05:45:04 GMT, jyao@deltanet.com (Jeffrey Yao) wrote:

>I had a freind asked me about sub carrier audio today and that's
>something that I've never heard of. Can someone please tell me more
>about it? Also is it possible to listen to it with a scanner?
>
>Jeffrey

A broadcast FM signal can carry many signals at once, typically a mono Left+Right channel, a stereo pilot tone on 19KHz and a double sideband difference signal L - R centered on 38khz. The radio decodes these to give two distinct channels of sound.

Additionally it can carry narrow band, mono signals on higher sub-carriers. Two in common use are 67khz and (I think) 96khz. Others may exist.

These SCA signals may carry any type of information the broadcaster wants, like fax, differential GPS, or locally to me: BBC World Service, Franklins' Food Stores in-house radio, and two or three types of elevator music spread across several stations.

I have built an sca decoder from a $20 kit and fitted it into a stereo tuner. A scanner will work nicely, if you have a baseband audio output. Some mods for this are published and it is generally required for decoding pocsag pagers. I believe Ramsey in NY USA has a kit for SCA decoding.

Whether you have any SCA carriers on any FM stations near you is generally going to be a case of pot luck, you will need a decoder to look for them and the station might not like to volunteer the information if you ask them.

937. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim233@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911134401.JAA01317@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186521

I know what you are saying but what I am saying is the laws have NO continuity-Period.

There are Amateur Radios on the market that have more receive capabilites than scannners. The Radios are legal but the scanner is not. Just another example of Governmental mumble jumble mess of laws over riding laws that over ride laws.

938. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: destarr@tir.com
Message-ID: <3419f700.1343351@news.tir.com>
Source record: 186522

On Wed, 10 Sep 1997 18:11:22 -0700, John Mackey--KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

}BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
}> you bring much to this group....those that
}
}Yea right Billy.
}
}Are you going to start with your racist comments AGAIN???

What happens between you and Bill via email is between the two of you.
Why bring it here ?

++
Dave Starr

Professional Shop Rat - 32 years in an Auto Plant

939. Re: Any recommended Aero books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <341c9c72.5631550@news.erols.com>
Source record: 186523
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 13:23:17 -0400, Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com> wrote:

>
>Jake Brodsky wrote:
>> I'm continually amazed that so many folks ignore the very best
>> aviation monitoring references in existence. They are up to date,
>> readily available at any general aviation airfield, and the cost is
>> quite reasonable:
>>
>> They're called VFR Sectional Charts, Low and High Altitude IFR charts,
>> Approach plates, the Airport/Facility Directory, and the Airman's
>> Information Manual.
>[snip]
>
> As a fellow pilot, I agree with your assessment of the value of
>such charts. You do get up to date info but you do pay a price
>for it. Most of the info on these charts is not useful for most
>monitors. And I have to object to your statement about them being
>of "reasonable" cost. One sectional is going to run you $7. With
>VHF/UHF air comms good for 150-200 miles, you will need more than
>one chart for sure. Probably around 4 or 5. Thats around $30. You
>can buy a nice book for $20 that has all ARTCC freqs as well as
>all local tower/ground/CD/ATIS/etc and ARINC channels not to mention
>various military bases/freqs etc.
> And you haven't even dipped into the additional cost of IFR charts
>or A/FD(that is a cheapy). I would also not want to guess how easily
>some people have access to a local FBO or being to even found out
>where the closest one is.
> Perhaps we could set up a distribution service for pilots to
>send their outdated manuals so that they could be distributed for
>free to aviation monitor enthusiasts? ;-)
> I will agree that the serious monitor should pick up a copy of
>the Airman's Information Manual(AIM). Lots of good stuff to learn
>and help appreciate what you are hearing.

Fair enough. Not everybody has that kind of money to throw around.
If anyone wants my old charts from the Maryland area, e-mail me. (I
just replaced a bunch of Low altitude IFR charts in my Jeppesen books
covering everything from Maine to Florida)

Happy Listening!

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

940. Re: Realistic PRO34.... info wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <3417FC09.41C6@vnet.com>
Source record: 186524

Alistair S Ross wrote:
> I have bought a second hand PRO34 hand held scanner (I think?) It came
> with no instructions and a dodgy squelch button, which I've fixed. Is
> there someone who can tell me how to use this scanner, how do you change
> wave length ? it appears to only have UHF. As you probably gathered I
> know sweet FA about this subject, but this may just sow the seed.......!
> So far I've seem only to 'pick up' mobile phone calls.

Alistair,
The 34 was a nice radio in its day. Good sensitivity but kind of
slow with a cheap case and crappy audio. Happens to be the very first
scanner I ever bought. Probably a bad choice since I now own over
30 receivers...but that's another story...
Have a look here for basic PRO-34 info

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/doc38/38455.htm

For a look at most of its operation try this

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8564.htm

This is the Tandy page for the PRO-43. Click on Operation
which will give you most of what you need for basic operation.
Should be very similar to the PRO-43.
Since you seem to be new to the hobby, be sure to check out
my web page and new user FAQ below. Any questions, feel free to
e-mail me and I'll do my best to answer them.
Happy monitoring!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

941. Re: FS: R7000 AND R7100 RECVRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Christopher W. (Chris) Boone" <cboone@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3417EB99.5C1D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 186525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Joe Ragsdale wrote:
>
> This NG is for ham radio gear only. If you ever post commercial
> gear here again I will report you to your ISP & Internic.

GO RIGHT AHEAD IDIOT...they will just laugh at you....
YOU dont run the NGs...thank God....

942. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3417fe74.8094987@news.erols.com>
Source record: 186526

On 11 Sep 1997 08:25:48 GMT, zeno@magicnet.net wrote:

>On page 55 of the new 1998 Radio Shack catalog, it says that it is against
>the law to listen to cellular OR cordless phone conversations. It speaks
>of fines and prison, etc. Has the law regarding just plain cordless phones
>changed? I thought it was legal to listen to cordless phones, and just not
>legal for cellular, and the new PCS, etc. Has the law changed?

Nope. It's been that way since 1994, when the ECPA was passed.

From the FCC regulations (47CFR)

Sec. 15.9 Prohibition against eavesdropping.

Except for the operations of law enforcement officers conducted
under lawful authority, no person shall use, either directly or
indirectly, a device operated pursuant to the provisions of this part
for the purpose of overhearing or recording the private conversations
of others unless such use is authorized by all of the parties engaging
in the conversation.

Which means that you can't use a scanner, portable phone, or even a
baby monitor to find out what's going on in your neighbor's house.

What's interesting is that later in Part 15.214 (c) there is a
requirement for a label to be placed on all cordless phones which
says:

"Privacy of communications may not be ensured when using this phone."

If you're confused, you're in good company.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

943. Re: SF Bay Area 464.375 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke6hny@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911135101.JAA25357@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186527

In article <3416E707.7059@ccnet.com>, Curtis Wheeler <cwheeler@ccnet.com>
writes:

>Subject: SF Bay Area 464.375 ?
>From: Curtis Wheeler <cwheeler@ccnet.com>
>Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 11:29:27 -0700
>
>I have been hearing a repeater on 464.375 in the SF bay area. From the
>traffic I think it is security for a college or university campus
>(because of where I am - I am thinking it's DVC.) The system has never
>ID'd with a call sign (that I have noticed). Anyone know who/where it
>might be?
>
>I realize this I am hearing only one system of what might be several
>users of this frequency in northern CA.
>
>
>Thanks
>
>

The freq you list is (at least was) licened and is used by the San Mateo
County Community College District. All three Colleges use it for security &
Maintance (Skyline, Coll of San Mateo, Canada). I wrok there, I know. It is
also used by an FBO at SFO, I think UC Hayward for events etc. As for the
SMCCCD, I set the origonal system up but no longer have any thing to do
with it. You are correct, it does not ID. THe staff have know real idea how
to use the system propertly. I realy don't know if the license is current.

Richard Tidd
Ke6HNY

944. Re: backlight broken on pro-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail.please
Message-ID: <3417f07e.8528977@news.zippo.com>
Source record: 186528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 10 Sep 1997 20:20:55 -0600, TateB <TateB@sprynet.com>
wrote:

>Gee dude, guess I can't be too surprised, couldn't figure out how to
>change a bulb!

Cool, DUDE!

>
>Yea - I'm miffed and it ain't just Mike.
>

Life sucks. Get over it. Either that or learn how to use a
filter.

>I'm damn sick and tired of backwards folks crying cuz they can't deal with
>current technologies. Get with the program folks! Hell, I'm even making it
>work on a 16 bit OS. You hear me crying because Netscape Communicator
>dosen't have a 'twit filter'? No! I skip what I don't wanna see. You don't
>like HTML - then upgrade your fancy Forte Agent or deal with it, and don't
>expect me to 'deal with it' for you.

Hey, I have an idea. Go fuck yourself! I'll read what I want
the way I want. I chose not to read HTML shit.

To answer your question, YES I do hear you crying. Quite loudly
too. Like a little whiney bitch.

Outside of you, thanks to those who posted useful information in
regards to my original question.

945. Re: funny newsroom phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EGCMrv.FDG@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186529

thecbguy@aol.com (The CB Guy) wrote:

>(Daniel M. Conti) writes:

>>I NEVER said it didn't belong in the NG...show me where I did.
>>
>>I asked a rhetorical question , a VERY simple one, one that has been
>>asked NUMEROUS times by others here....what did it have to do with
>>scanning?

>Nice weasel attempt. We all know what you "meant", just as we can all see
>you scrambling to get out of it now.
>That one post had a helluvalot more to do with scanning than the dozen or
>so follow-ups by you.

>The CB Guy

Hey...another ANONYMOUS poster sticking his two, er..I mean ONE cent
in....

Scrambling, I sincerely doubt my dear CB GUY....

CB GUY? Well, that certainly says ti all for me.

So, Mr. CB, you're going to tell me that a post where I guy tells the
world he's listening to the phone calls of others, funny as they may
or may not be, regardess of HOW he's doing it, has anything AT ALL to
do with SCANNING?

Get back on the CB good buddy.....
dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

946. Sante Fe Dist. / Mr. Gnoza

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmf@iei.net
Message-ID: <5v8usb$14c@svr2.iei.net>
Source record: 186530

This is an open letter to Mr. Gnoza for all to read. If you do not believe its content, call Sante Fe Distributors at 1-800-255-6595 and ask if you can buy a Uniden BC895XLT for $279.95 without a resellers license. I did and I was told that you had to be a dealer with the proper paperwork (state resellers license).

Subject: Re: FS: BC895XLT
To: gnoza@gulftel.com
Cc:

>On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 17:46:37 GMT, cmf@iei.net (C. Michael Fry) wrote:
>
>>Yes that's right! It's the new Uniden base trunktracker. I aquired it through
>>a trade and I don't want it as I have the handheld trunktracker. I can
>>probably come up with a receipt for it if you need one. I'm selling it for
>>$295(including shipping) pre-paid by certified check or money order.
>>
>>
>>Michael N9LFN
>>Indianapolis, IN
>>
>>*Reference available
>>
>Santa Fe Distributors
>1-800-255-6596
>
>$279.95
>
>Andrew Gnoza
>WWWebologist&#65533;

Open reply to Mr. Gnoza;

Sir,

It is 09:20 am in the morning here in Indianapolis and I just got off the phone with Sante Fe Distributors. I told them that a Mr. Andrew Gnoza was reporting on the internet that individuals could purchase the Uniden BC895XLT for $279.95 from them. Well sir, I just hung up the phone with Sante Fe and I was told the following and I quote "you must have a state resellers license to get this price. It is for dealers only". By your postings, you are hurting all legitimate dealers and or someone who is trying to sell one used as I am and by the way, thanks to you, I lost a sale over your posting. You are probably someone whom does not take advice but here it is - Please fully investigate

your information before you post it. What your doing is harming the honest dealer / citizen.

Please clean up your act,

Michael

947. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <34180332.3FDF@kktv.com>
Source record: 186531
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Davie wrote:

> Do not let this deter you from speaking your mind about our
> government. WE the PEOPLE own the government, and we brought it into
> existance. It is as if we are the owners of a business, and the elected
> officials are managers we have hired to work for us. The country
> belongs to us, and so does its management responsibilites. If we desire
> to make massive changes, it is ours to change. No violence is needed.
> All we need to do is not vote for any liberals, and the conservatives we
> do vote for must be held accountable for what they promised to do. If
> they do not do that which they promised, public protests may be the only
> answer.

We need to insist that the people that are running for office
give an opinion on how they feel about the Constitution especially
such politically incorrect amendments such as the 2nd, the 10th
and the other Bill of Rights. We don't hear about the Constitution
unless it is perverted to the crook's point of view. When these people
who say that the Constitution is important especially the controversial
parts are elected to office, we need to hold their feet to the fire.
Accountability ! Something that is now forgotten especially the current
attitude of, "So What !" as orchestrated by the Big Eastern Liberal
Media
Establishment.

Brad

948. Re: Busted by cops but.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGCqCn.52C@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186532

<zeno@magicnet.net> wrote:
>
>Can not listen to the police on a non-mobile base scanner in the UK? Boy
>the UK has some tough communication laws.

That is my understanding as a Merkin...

Heard about a sting the British govt used once to ferret out these
scanning scofflaws... they transmitted a bogus dispatch about a UFO
landing in some isolated area, and then waited for the scanner illegals
to arrive... and arrested them.

>I understand you also have to
>buy a TV reciever license in the UK also!

And a Cat License... heard all about it from Monty Python. ;-)

[Actually, I don't believe you need a TV license if you don't have
a TV... and I think I heard once, that if your TV is only a black
and white set, you don't need to apply for the color tv license.
But when the TV Detector van drives around... on Tuesdays and
Thursdays... it's doubles as the cat detector van on the other
days of the week... if it sniffs out your TV set, and doesn't
show you as having a license... well, I think they do something
about it.]

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

949. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ain'tg@tno.e-mail
Message-ID: <34181170.46848173@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 186533
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Davie <david084@flash.net> wrote:

>Billy Beck wrote:
>>
>> David Abrams <david084@flash.net> wrote:
>>
>> > Most Jews in the USA are Democrats. Democrats passed the Brady Bill
>> >and the Assualty Weapons Ban.
>>
>> Richard Nixon was a republican. He gave us DEA and the
>> subsequent "War on Drugs"...which have staked your Fourth Amendment
>> rights straight through the heart.
>>
>> You really need to learn to think in *principles*. Not
>> parties.

> You need to realize who the enemy is. The DEA is not bad, nor is
>the War on Drugs...

It most certainly *is*. Look: we learned all these lessons
during The First War On (Some) Drugs. See the Eighteenth Amendment,
Volstead Act, and subsequent follies. You're looking at the same
bloody thing, today, but brought up to date on the streets of L.A. and
Miami, for instance, with rap and Glocks, compared to Chicago,
Thompsons, and ragtime fifty years ago. It's the same power game,
with the same effects on the culture.

The DEA must go the way of the Dodo, forthwith. Today.
Instantly. Throw those rat bastards out the front doors of their
buildings and let 'em find real jobs, or starve to death - it matters
not a whit to me. There is no place for them in that original
conceptual landscape of liberty known as "America" - they are
predatory aliens.

Don't hand me that "who the enemy is" crap, pal. "The enemy"
is anyone, anywhere, who can't understand *reality*, and who insists
on cranking up a law on the premises of their own ignorance and fear.

Billy

Anthology
http://www.mindspring.com/~wjb3/free/essays.html

950. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@127.0.0.1" <ma5ter@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <34182896.4A1E@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 186534
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Davie wrote:
>
> Francis A. Ney, Jr. wrote:
>
> > Who said it would be the IRS? All it takes is for someone to shove half a key
> > of China White through your basement window and wait for the DEA stormtroopers
> > to kick in your door.
>
> Yes,and when they come in, they will, under the Ricoh act, be able to
> confiscate ALL of your posessions and auction them off while you try to
> PROVE you are not quilty. Good luck.

While you are trying to prove you are not guilty, you will be paraded around, like a 20 pt. Buck on the hood of a pickup, to show the community how another dangerous drug dealer was pulled from the streets.

951. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970911.095636.983@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 186535
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3417A807.74F6@flash.net>, on Thu, 11 Sep 1997 03:12:55 -0500,
Davie <Davie> writes:

> but the democrats added into the mix the Ricoh laws

What are the Ricoh laws? The ones used by the papparazzi?*

Not only are you so stupid that you cannot keep your postings in the relevant
newsgroups, but now you demonstrate that you don't even know what you are
babbling about. You clearly don't let something trivial like the facts
affect your thinking or your conclusions.

* for the uninformed, Ricoh is a brand of cameras.

952. Re: Canon Owners of L1/L2's...response to Post by Bill Conduit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v96io$69m@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186536

In <5v7qv2vao13@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com> VGSR76A@prodigy.com
(Mr. Wayne L. Vokey) writes:
>
>This is also an FYI for any other unsuspecting Service Agencies, avoid

>this guy as a customer.

Viewers...this is not my idea.

This guy, who overcharges and does work on
cameras that were not agreed to, has posted
to this group from searching out to find what
groups i post to.

For full details of my answer to this post, if it interests
you, go to: rec.video.production.

That is where it belongs. In video groups.

not here. he evidently has a major need to
flagellate viewers of this fine group, minus
the IDIOT of MACKEY, with his problem.

i guess he posted this to : alt.abortion and
alt.atheists and soc.culture.hawaii and alt.stupid
pet tricks and more....phew....

again, if you want to read my rebuttal, follow the
yellow brick road to rec.video.production.

bill conduit
etc.

953. MVT-9000 <-> AOR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cremer@hrzpub.th-darmstadt.de
Message-ID: <5v9669$8km$1@sun27.hrz.th-darmstadt.de>
Source record: 186537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Have someone inforationen about testing the recivequality
of the new scanner MVT-9000 ag. the AOR8000.
For my own it is important if the recivequality of the
MVT-9000 in FRQ 30-1300 MHZ ist nearly the same as from
the AOR8000.
Can anybody help me ?

thx
M.C.

954. ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net>
Message-ID: <5v94or$sn1$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 186538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

kb8uyz.com wrote:

rec.radio.amateur.misc

Stop HR2369!

A new proposed bill has the potential to eliminate the scanner industry, as well as greatly affect other radio services, such as amateur radio, MARS, CAP, news media, and radio hobbyists activities.

** Contact your local Congressional representative and voice your opposition to HR2369. If passed, this bill will make it illegal to sell, trade, manufacture or modify any radio receiver with certain restricted frequencies. Wide band amateur radio transceivers will become illegal, as well as general hobbyists who monitor fire, ambulance, and police radio services.

It will also be a crime to own such radio receivers and to listen to these frequencies. Violators will be subject to up to a $500,000 fine and up to 5 years in prison, or both!

For more information, please visit our web site at http://kb8uyz.com, where, under the Radio News section, we have the complete bill posted. Also check out the Grove, Monitoring Times web site at http://www.grove.net/mt2369fea.html A complete background to the bill is listed.

Please let us hear your comments on this new bill. We will be posting a page of viewer comments within the next several days.

73's
Dan KB8UYZ
dan@kb8uyz.com

955. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws: Resistance is Futile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: patrick.mccraughtch@juno.com
Message-ID: <3417c9a3.39016742@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 186539

On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 01:29:33 GMT, jmason@iu.net (j) wrote:

> On the other end of things, there exist MANY things which
> are private and MUST NEVER be casually exposed to the
> average scanner fanatic. Cell phone calls are such an
> item. There exists NO excuse for listening in on other
> peoples conversations - NONE. If you are of the "info
> anarchist" bent - well, hope you enjoy jail. The evils

I overheard some people talking in a restaurant the other day. They were discussing some pretty detailed business arrangements. If I was of a mind, I could have profited by it. But I didn't, and went about finishing up my fettucini Alfredo.

956. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: DOC <avakoff@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <34180DE7.4CEF@pacbell.net>
Source record: 186540
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Christopher Morton wrote:
>
> ---
> Gun control, the theory that Black people will be
> better off when only Mark Fuhrman has a gun.
>
> Check out:
>
> http://super.zippo.com/~cmorton/home.htm
> http://www.firstnethou.com/gunsite/moore.html
==========

For your information Chris, the LA Police Department, along with the Feds I believe, had a very thorough investigation of Mark Furman's so-called racist tendencies. They found he was not a racist and would even engage in athletic games at ridiculous hours (sometimes as early as 6 am) with blacks and latinos, many of whom said he was their friend. The investigation found his statements to that "author" were nothing but fabrication and exaggeration. No charges were brought against him. And, naturally, the media chose to give this part of the Fuhrman story only the most minimal of coverage.
--
_____ ~ ~
(____(*)_____} } }

957. Re: Off Topic: Re: Radio Shack Con Job?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Groucho <rjgrocho@rockdal.aud.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <34181DDB.1CFBAE39@rockdal.aud.alcatel.com>
Source record: 186541

greere@mail5.evangel.edu wrote:
>
> A very old post stated:
> >I haven't seen anyone yet at RS know there ass from a hole
> >in the ground!!!!!!!!
>
> I am sure that there are a lot of RS employees that know their stuff,
> but I still recall a fateful evening back in 1991. I was at the RS in
> Lynnhaven Mall, Virginia Beach about to make my first PC-compatible
> purchase (previous Apple //c owner). Anyway, I thought I could rely on
> some RS technical expertise. This female sales rep suggested the
> 486SX/25. I was using their in-house financing and had enough for that
> down-payment, but wondered if I should save a little more and get the
> DX2/66, which I believe was the best they had there at the time. Her
> words still ring in my ear, "YOU WILL NEVER NEED ANYTHING MORE POWERFUL
> THAN THIS". Was she trying to get my money quicker or was she just
> stupid. Since then, I worked that poor thing to death, even with a 50Mhz
> processor upgrade later. I did everything from Turbo Pascal, to Visual
> Basic, to Ray-Tracing. I had to let that darn thing sit for 7 full days
> (yep a week) to render a 640x480 anti-aliased frame. Sorry to bore
> everyone, but I just had to get that off my chest. It makes me laugh
> now. My dad uses the 50Mhz for email. I make my own decisions on
> products that I am familiar with and ask close friends about the rest. I
> wonder how bored I would get calling them up with complicated questions,
> just for a laugh? After all, I've got questions; they've got answers.
>
> - Erle
> greere@mail5.evangel.edu

You shouldn't blame the Radio Shack employee for being wrong,
that EXACT statement was made by one of the high-ranking officers
of IBM many years ago, when asked if the company had plans to
upgrade their only entry into the "personal" computer market.
History (and lots of creative entrepreneurs) proved hime wrong.
BUT ... this guy was a highly educated, college graduated,
professional businessman with years of computer experience and
STILL got it wrong! How can you blame some poor clerk at a
retail store for making the same statement? It isn't a matter
of "knowing your stuff" ... it's more a matter of *vision*!
(Which *most* people don't have.)

There's my two-cents ... and worth every penny.

Groucho
--

"Whoever controls what you see, controls what you think."
MediaWatch

.

958. Re: I have lot's of frequencies I'm willing to trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: patrick.mccraughtch@juno.com
Message-ID: <34179c48.10057261@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 186542

Frequency information is available by the trainload all around the net
on various free pages. Most people in here post what they have for
free. You probably won't get many offers to trade.

959. Re: MVT-9000 <-> AOR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <341827CD.3138@ibm.net>
Source record: 186543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Markus Cremer wrote:
> Have someone inforationen about testing the recivequality
> of the new scanner MVT-9000 ag. the AOR8000.
> For my own it is important if the recivequality of the
> MVT-9000 in FRQ 30-1300 MHZ ist nearly the same as from
> the AOR8000.
> Can anybody help me ?

Markus,
I have written reviews for both of these radios. If you are interested in reading them, start at the web page below. Follow the radio monitoring link. About in the middle of the page you will see my list of radios. There is a page for the MVT-9000 and AR8000. On these pages will be the links to the respective reviews.
Happy reading!

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

960. BC895 Command Set

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Pyle <rpyle@dev.tivoli.com>
Message-ID: <34180345.CB9327EA@dev.tivoli.com>
Source record: 186544

Does any body have or know where to get the command set for computer controlling the TrunkTracker?

I am wanting to play around with it to see what can be controlled via computer.

Thanks.

961. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970911.101508.674@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 186545
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
In article <3417ADB8.4208@flash.net>, on Thu, 11 Sep 1997 03:37:12 -0500,
Davie <Davie> writes:
>
>Joseph Feng wrote:
>>
>> In article <3414AD0F.2CB4@flash.net>, on Mon, 08 Sep 1997 20:57:35 -0500,
>> David Abrams <David> writes:
>> >
>> >Joseph Feng wrote:
>> >>
>> >> In article <340E5F8B.50F3@flash.net>, on Thu, 04 Sep 1997 02:13:15 -0500,
>> >> "David..." <david084@flash.net> writes:
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> ....absolutely nothing of relevance to either of the *.radio.scanner
>> >> newsgroups. He is so self-absorbed in his mental masturbation that he does
>> >> not have the competence, courtesy, or maturity to post his rantings and
>> >> ravings only in the relevant areas. If he were not so selfish and childish,
>> >> maybe we could feel sorry for him. Too bad he does not have any friends or
>> >> a real life.
>> >
>> > Spoken like a true democrat who has a government job!
>> >--
>> >*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
>> > NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
>> >http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
>> >http://www.instanet.com/~vct/
>>
>> Is this the best you can do? Childish name-calling. One of these days, you
>> may have enough education to carry on an intelligent argument. Obviously,
>> this has not happened yet. It goes along with your inability to act with
>> courtesy to other people. It would be a sign of intelligence and maturity
>> to keep your postings only in relevant newsgroups. You are entitled to as
>> much politeness as you extend to other people; in your case, that is zero.
>
> Im sorry. I wouldnt want to be called a "democrat with a government
>job" either! What a terrible thing!
>--
>*** The best website on the net!!!!!
>
> http://www.rtis.com/nat/pol/rush/

I see that is the best you can do.
```

Actually, I have never posted anything here that you could rationally use to deduce my politics. I have only stated that you lack maturity and competence because you continually post material that is completely irrelevant to the scanner newsgroups. You prove your selfishness every time you do that. Courtesy and relevance are not political issues.

If you had any intelligence, you could see that my address strongly suggests that I do not work for any government agency.

While I do not consider "democrat with a government job" an insult(as you obviously do), your charge is clearly unrelated to the facts. You leap to unfounded conclusions and ASSume you are speaking truth.

962. Re: I have lot's of frequencies I'm willing to trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: destarr@tir.com
Message-ID: <34192b89.1401058@news.tir.com>
Source record: 186546

On Thu, 11 Sep 1997 18:46:48 GMT, patrick.mccraughtch@juno.com (Pat McCraughtch) wrote:

}
}Frequency information is available by the trainload all around the net
}on various free pages. Most people in here post what they have for
}free. You probably won't get many offers to trade.

I'm willing to send him the NOAA frequencies for Flint, MI. if he'll send me all the freqs the Federal Government uses here.

++
Dave Starr

Professional Shop Rat - 32 years in an Auto Plant

963. RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ck279@torfree.net
Message-ID: <EGCyDw.6pF.0.queen@torfree.net>
Source record: 186547

I have been listening to shortwave for several years and this fall I want
to start listening in the VHF/UHF area. Last week I went to a local radio
shack to find a unit that have wide frequency coverage. I find out a
Pro-26 is on sale at Cdn$400, the sales told me it has continuous coverage
from 25MHz up to 1.3GHz!
Unfortunately, the model will be discountinued next year. I'm considering
buying it. Is it worth the money?
--

Philip Tong
hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA

964. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911191200.PAA18463@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186548

I have never seen a more dismaying collection of comments than those that have been posted by the idiots that have contributed to this thread. Never before has there been a bigger assembly of anit-semites, anti-free speech fools, pro-gun toting morons and anti-liberal Nazis in one place. You folks need to get the hell out of this country. You filthy bastards are stinking up the place.

965. POLICE BEING THE CRIMINAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWMJR@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5v9dm6$q1p$1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 186549

It sounds like you love to contradict yourself an awful lot.First,you talk badly about the Law Enforcement Officers then you say theyhave your respect??????????
Make up your mind!!!!!!!
I was using the drug thing as an example of what you put across on the computer,of how it appeared you felt towards police.I know there are some bad cops,I`ve busted 2 Detroit Police Officers when I was doing some Security work,
Defrauding An Innkeeper.I do know there are bad cops,but there`s more good cops than bad.

966. PD (POCSAG Decoder) 2.04 Released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete Baston <pete@bearnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <KRHDvXA9EEG0EwYJ@bearnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 186550
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
** Announcing the release of PD (POCSAG Decoder) Version 2.04 **

PD 2.04 may be downloaded from the following sites:-

http://www.bearnet.demon.co.uk/pocsag/

http://www.keplens.co.uk/pager/

ftp://ftp.demon.co.uk/pub/ham/scanners/pd-204.zip

The following is a summary of the improvements made to PD 2.04, over
version 2.03:-

VERSION 2.04 September 1997

* Made language features configurable by means of .LNG files.
* Re - introduced short single-line display and log formats.
* Added TextScan facility, allowing up to 10 text strings to be searched
for in received messages.
* Serial output enabled in shareware version - allows evaluation with
Dave Evans' P_Base.
* Highlighting and beeping for selected pages now work in the shareware
version
* Added options for date and time on serial output.
* Added the "filter" facility to restrict decoding to only those RIC's
listed in PAGERS.INI or messages containing matching text strings.
* Added the ability to globally reject tone-only pages.
* PAGERS.INI and REJECT.INI may now each contain up to 1000 entries.

--
------------------------------------------------------------------------
******* Pete Baston, Buckley, Flintshire, U.K. *******
******* http://www.bearnet.demon.co.uk/ *******
------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

967. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Currie <TOM.CURRIE@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <34184EF4.703C@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186551
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

DOC wrote:
=========
>
> For your information Chris, the LA Police Department, along with the
> Feds I believe, had a very thorough investigation of Mark Furman's
> so-called racist tendencies. They found he was not a racist and would
> even engage in athletic games at ridiculous hours (sometimes as early
> as 6 am) with blacks and latinos, many of whom said he was their
> friend.
> The investigation found his statements to that "author" were nothing
> but fabrication and exaggeration. No charges were brought against him.
> And, naturally, the media chose to give this part of the Fuhrman story
> only the most minimal of coverage.
> --
> _____ ~ ~
> (____(*)_____} } }

Yes, officer, anything you say, officer.

968. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v96v8$71c@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186552

In <EGBvAF.At3@nonexistent.com> dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti)
writes:
>
>
>Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Joe Nicholson) wrote:
>

>
>>
>
>> Perhaps because you lack any upstairs.
>
>>
>I asked a rhetorical question , a VERY simple one, one that has been
>asked NUMEROUS times by others here....what did it have to do with
>scanning?
>
>
OH no...he didn't get it.

Conti...did not get it. that's spelled: GET IT!

rhetorical question? wow. How educated. exclamation mark.

it was explained to you...while getting these calls there
are scanners nearby...and if he mentioned this to any of
his news crews out in the field it was heard on a scanner.

that is the scanner connection. his words to his crew
for that nights frivolity-break comes over the scanner. It
is listenable on a scanner tuned to the media frequencies.

Now....did you GET IT. question mark.

it's usable in this group oh educated one...rhetorical
non-being

bill conduit
etc.

969. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdmandus@frogger.lm.com
Message-ID: <5vaad9$n36@frogger.lm.com>
Source record: 186553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Ike (ike@one.net) wrote:

> It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> the listening.

> I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> the way it came out of the box!

> I will call and offer support for HR2369!

That will not do a thing. If you were worried about the possible interception of your cordless phone calls, then you should have went to a land-line. I had a cordless phone for a long time and when I wanted to guarantee privacy, I would say "wait a minute" and go to a land-line, aka, a corded and secure phone. The same is true for cellular phones too, either encrypt or go to a land-line. To be blunt, why should the radio hobbyists who didn't even have a part in your misfourtune pay for your mistake? There are already existing laws that could cover that without making new ones. Sorry to hear about your troubles, but hopefully you'll learn from the experience and come out much wiser.
Chuck, sorry about being blunt, but "I tell it like it is...." (with apologies to Jessie "The Body" Ventura)

DE KA3WRW

--
"Guns are cool." - Butt-Head
"I get it, spelling America with a "K," are we?!" - The Tick
"It's Disney's Dominatrix World!" - Crow T. Robot, MST3K

970. Re: Ok, so most people say no Signal Preamp, Which Antenna Then?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: trust@no.one
Message-ID: <341808b9.652014@news>
Source record: 186554

On Mon, 08 Sep 1997 14:47:50 -0500, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
wrote:

>Don R. Morgan wrote:
>>
>> I am looking to get better reception on weak signals and posted the
>> original question about signal preamps. Most replies I have recieved
>> inform me that these preamps are of only modest value. Most have
>> suggested that I would get better reception using a different antenna.
>>
>> My question now is which antenna is best for my BC3000xlt. I am
>> looking for improved reception in alll frequency ranges, but mostly in
>> the aircraft band 120mhz -
>>
>> Thanks for the info on Preamps!
>>
>> Don
>
>The best antenna to cover all frequency ranges, would be a DISCONE
>antenna (which radio shack sells, as well as other companies)
>
>The best part about the discone, is you can also use it to transmit on
>2-meters, and it works quite well. It is around $79 I think, and would
>be best if you put it up on your roof.

I'd agree with everything you said except the purchase of a Radio
Shack discone. Price may be right but most specialty store procducts
are far superior. This is most pertinent if you desire complete
spectrum coverage. Just count the number of elements.
A minimum of 16 tends to be the rule.
Just an opinion.

Will

971. Re: Regency TS2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@localnet.com
Message-ID: <5v8vh3$p68$1@e3000.supernews.com>
Source record: 186555

Ted,
Try to contact Uniden Parts. They bought out Regency a while back. I was able to locate a manual through them for trhe Old Z-45 that I bought second hand. I don't have the number off hand. I know that it is in the newsgroups under the FAQ on scanners by Bob Panass. If you can't locate it, e-mail me and I'll find it.
Best regards,
Ray miller N2NJK radioman@localnet.com
tedh@xcelco.on.ca (ted hay) wrote:

>Hi

>I recently aquired a Regency TS2 scanner but unfortunately have no
>manuel for it. If anybody has one they are willing to part with or
>would make me a copy please let me know . Willing to pay reasonable
>costs.

>Also it appears to be the blocked model but forgot the source of info
>on how to remove the censureship. Could anyone direct me to a source?

>Thanks in advance

>Ted

972. Re: CE232 software trick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com
Message-ID: <970911160001495@thekat.cts.com>
Source record: 186556

-> From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
-> Subject: CE232 software trick
->
-> The message below was sent to me by a (shy) friend:
->
-> >"There's some guy hounding Cheek about his CE232 software. He was
-> >referring to a secret hidden Keyboard trick, that Cheek denied, on
-> his
-> >software. Cheek is well aware of the trick. The trick is to hold
-> ><shift><?> while the programme is firing up. It basically claims th
-> >the programmer was fucked over by Cheek. It's encrypted into the
-> >programme so it can't be hacked out by Cheek!"
->
->
-> Does anyone know the exact wording of this message?
->
->
-> Any other details about it?
->
->
-> Perhaps if I get on the fidonet "Scanradio' echo someone there will
-> know about it if I have no luck here.

John, SHUT the fuck up, or someone will have to complain to your ISP
again.

973. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com
Message-ID: <970912040002518@thekat.cts.com>
Source record: 186557

```
-> From: ekim233@aol.com (EKIM233)
-> Subject: Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?
->
-> It is against the Law to monitor, Cellular Phones, Cordless Phones,
-> Mobile
-> Phones, Ship to Shore Phones, Pager, SMR, and the list goes on an on.
-> you will be able to use your scanner for a paper weight. Sucks don't
-> it.

Ship to Shore phones, SMRs and 'the list goes on' are all wrong. Only
the other things you mention are currently prohibited.
```

974. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick <patrickl@wco.com>
Message-ID: <34184DDE.3D87@wco.com>
Source record: 186558
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Nick Hull wrote:

> I would like to see all DEA agents take a drug test. I would also like to
> see police cars regularly checked by a drug sniffing dog. Unfortunately,
> it would only work if done by a non-governmental group; the nobility (govt
> workers) look after their own.

Why wait Nick. Train a dog and take it to your local PD and have him
sniff away. If he alerts call the DA.

Oh, and by the way. I have to submit to random testing at my PD. This
testing is conducted by a civilian lab.

Patrick

Civilians, ya gotta love em. They will keep a cop employed and
overworked forever.

975. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevin <iowaboy@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbf06$e488a280$a27ad7cf@default>
Source record: 186559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Jim Wayda <jwayda@cogent.net> wrote in article
<340BB6D8.210B@cogent.net>...
> joe wrote:
> > I guess I can sell my pro-2035 for $600.00 (fat chance)
>
> You can't compare a pro-2035 to a pro-2006. The 2006 is the best
> scanner ever made.
>
> Jim Wayda
Got that right! I have a 2035, I like it.but it's no 2006. To listen to
some of these folks you would think I should feel guilty for getting the
$300!

good scanning

976. Cell mod for PRO-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ch5news@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911021000.WAA03889@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186626

I have a RS PRO-51, does anyone have cellular modification for this unit?

977. Illinois State Police for Galena?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ch5news@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911021200.WAA04060@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186627

I travel from Chicago to Galena every weekend on highway-20, does any have
State police freq?

978. Re: MNManiac's Problems or Drew hates kids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc800xlt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911011800.VAA29483@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186628

>Drew you really can't be this dumb

>Drew is a moron

>Drew having a fit about it.

>Drew should have used a little common sense

rec.radio.scanner flame_fest

979. Icom IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpitts@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <34175c53.184327433@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186629

Just surfed over to the Icom web page and found a notice of a new Winradio type of scanning receiver called the IC-PCR1000. If anyone wants to take a look, you can check it out at http://www.icomamerica.com/featured/. They say it will be available "Fall 1997" so we ought to be hearing something soon. Coverage is 100 kHz - 1.3 GHz. It's an external device connected via a serial cable and is triple conversion. Check out the web page for more info.

Gary - Nashville gpitts@ix.netcom.com
** 95 VFR - "A Gentleman's Sportbike" - AA4DO - ARRL Life - NRA Life **

980. Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim233@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911023600.WAA06061@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186630

Yes you can operate any AMATEUR RADIO in the state of Michigan in a motor vehicle WITHOUT a PERMIT from the State Police (Blue Bellies).

Here is the LAW.

Under Michigan Compiled Law Section 750.508, IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO HAVE A SCANNER PERMIT ISSUED BY THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE to equip a vehicle to monitor frequencies assigned by the FCC if you are a bona fide amateur radio operator holding a technician class, general, advanced or extra class amateur license issued by the Federal Communications Commission. In order to exercise the privilege of equipping a vehicle to monitor frequencies, you must have your Federal license in your possession.

Sec. 508. Any person who shall equip a vehicle with a radio receiving set that will receive signals sent on frequencies assigned by the FCC of the United States of America for Police Purposes, or use the same in this unless such vehicle is used or owned by a peace officer or a bona fide amateur radio operator holding a technician clas, general, advanced or extra class amateur license issued by the FCC, without first securing a permit to do so from the director of the department of the state police upon applicatio as he or she may perscribe, shall be guilty of a misdemeaner, punishable by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than 1 year, or by a fine of not more than $500.00, or by both fine and imprisonment in the discretion of the court.

ANY QUESTIONS NOW???

I run the Standard 5900 Tri band Amateur radio that receives from 40 MHz thru 1400 Mhz-FCC APPROVED by the way for sale in the UNITED STATES for mobile use in all 50 STATES. What is the difference between my Radio and a Scanner-NONE!!!!!

981. PASSIVE ANT QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jng2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911024100.WAA06502@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186631

Is anybody using the Radio Shak passave scanner or CB celular look alike antenna. I am looking into the more "low key" type of antenna. Do they work as well as a fully corded. Thanks

-Jeff

982. Relm HS100 Scanner Tricks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ddeagle@nospam.richmond.infi.net
Message-ID: <5v7hh0$cn0$1@nw001.infi.net>
Source record: 186632

I have q close friend who recently bought a Relm HS100 Scanner and
he was wondering if anyone had any undocumented features for it.

Go Roush Racing, #6 #16 #99
(Sissy race cars wear Bow Ties)
(remove NOSPAM in email address for email replys)

983. Re: Uniden SC-150 and BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Crabtree <ctree@nltc.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbe4e$110fb5a0$e0ab8bcd@default>
Source record: 186633

SP-150 keypad tricks
Hold down WX and L/O keys while turning on radio
This is some kind of voltage indicator.

Hold down 2, 9, and Hold to erase memory. While turning on radio.

Matt

Stephen <spj67@flash.net> wrote in article
<5v7an0$kj3$1@excalibur.flash.net>...
> Does anyone have any mods or keyboard trick's for either of these
> scanners. Are either able to be unblocked for cell freqs and if so
> how??. Any info would be nice.
>
>
> Stephen.......:)
>
>

984. Re: Could someone advise me on this scanner before I buy it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bcbe67$1ce4d900$354de0c7@holmes>
Source record: 186634

Go here and check out the specs yourself.
It sounds like a good price to me if in decent
shape........http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods.html
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

985. Re: Scanners in Michigan??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark D. Fergus" <GOAWAYSPAMfergus.8@postbox.acs.ohio-state.edu>
Message-ID: <34175F3B.3CB2@postbox.acs.ohio-state.edu>
Source record: 186635

bryan wrote:
>
> I just got onto this discussion....I would like a clarification that
> people are stating that you must have a state permit to own/use a
> scanning radio that covers the ham frequencies? I would have to
> get a Michigan state permit to use my Kenwood TM-241 that scans
> the ham frequencies? Or is the statement made that a "general
> coverage" scanner can't be used without a permit even if you have
> a ham license....
>
> Bryan

Bryan,

This discussion has been going on alot on this NG about the Michigan scanner laws. Below is the Michigan scanner law and a letter that ham operators should carry with them that will protect them from the law in Michigan. Basically, the law states that if you have a ham license of tech level or greater, and you have a copy of your FCC ham license with you, you are exempted from getting a state scanner permit from the state.

Here is the letter and the law:

State of Michigan
John Engler, Governor
Department of State Police
714 S. Harrison rd, E. Lansing, MI 48823
Col. Michael D. Robinson, Director
February 16, 1995
To Whom it may concern:
Under Michigan Compiled Law Section 750.508, it is not necessary to have a scanner permit issued by the Michigan Department of State Police to equip a vehicle to monitor frequencies assigned by the FCC for police purposes if you are a bona fide amateur radio operator holding a technician class, general, advanced, or extra class amateur license issued by the Federal Communications Commission. In order to exercise the privilege of equipping a vehicle to monitor police frequencies, you must have your Federal license in your possession.
If you rely on your license to scan police frequencies, we suggest you carry this letter with you to show law enforcement officers as needed.
Sincerely,
Joe Steffel, Captain
Division Commander

Communications Division

VEHICLES EQUIPPED WITH SHORT WAVE
LENGTH RADIO RECIEVING SETS (MCL 750.508)
"Any person who shall equip a vehicle with a radio recieving set that will recieve signals sent on frequencies assigned by the Federal Communications Commission of the united states of America for police purposes, or use the same in this state unless such a vehicle is used for or owned by a peace officer or a bona fide amateur radio operator holding a technician class, general, advanced, or extra class amateur license issued by the Federal Communications Commission, without first securing a permit to do so from the director of the department of state police upon application as he or she may prescribe, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than 1 year, or by a fine of not more than $500.00, or by both fine and imprisonment in the discretion of the court."

I hope this clears up the issue about the Michigan scanner law.

Mark N8VJF
-- Please use the e-mail address below to e-mail me.--
****
Mark D. Fergus e-mail: fergus.8@osu.edu
WWW: http://socrates.math.ohio-state.edu/~mfergus/mdfhome.htm
Electrical Engineering Student The Ohio State University

986. Canon Owners of L1/L2's...response to Post by Bill Conduit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: VGSR76A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <5v7qv2vao13@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 186636

This is also an FYI for any other unsuspecting Service Agencies, avoid this guy as a customer.
On Aug 23 of this year he posted to various Newsgroups a warning against us.
Bill Conduit "myway@ix.netcom.com
of Bay City Video Group
484 Lake Park Ave Suite 360
Oakland Ca. 94610

When we received his Canon Camcorder, it looked as though it had just been pulled out of a trough of red mud. He stated that he had been shooting a fire with it when the plane carrying the materiel dumped all over him and his camera.
We had to completely disassemble the machine and put parts in a bath to even BEGIN to perform any service on it at all. There was flame retardant on the printed circuit boards which we could not bath, had to remove by hand and brush.
The machine was also out of alignment and had seen hard use.
We "bid" $400. to land the camera back at his location aligned and repaired.
Bill agreed to that price and we proceeded. Bill initially stated to me in an e-mail letter that if we did a good job (I took it to mean give him a "deal") he could send us a lot of business because he is on all the newsgroups and can control opinion based on the respect that he has gained. I have since found that not to be the case by virtue of having read some of his hundreds of postings found by searching the newsgroups using a major search engine, designating usenet groups and term "baycitvidgrp".
So back to the subject, We shipped the machine back to him and when he got it, there was a problem and I acknowledge that problem. I told him to return it to us that we would take care of it. I have tried to handle Bill in a professional manner; but he just won't let you. He has to throw in insults, threats, and whinings when he deals with you. He has threatened to contact various agencies etc, etc, to bring public opinion to bear on us, has stated that he is a great and imaginative author, which has a degree of truth in it, and is going to let the whole world know how we are.
We got the camera back again with a nasty letter in it, all of which we have on file of course. The camera was dis-assembled again and it was found that some additional surface mount capacitors had failed due to corrosion and or leakage. More than likely because of the flame retardant chemicals it had been exposed to. The disassembly of the Canon L1 is not an easy chore and takes some time and caution to do properly. The particular capacitors are of a specific width of 3mm by

@4mm the width was critical as there was no additional room on the board to mount larger ones. We were out of stock on them, we called Canon, they were out of stock and had no arrival date. I spent at least 6 hours on parts research on the Internet and the telephone to suppliers all over the country with no luck. Finally in a last effort, I called my competitor who is also a member of NESDA and obtained the needed capacitors at ten times my wholesale rate. Not complaining, just stating a fact, this is America and he can charge what he wants, I didn't HAVE to pay it; but I needed them for my customer. I then dispatched the tech to drive 30 miles round trip to pick them up, over an hour and a half travel time in Seattle traffic. We then had to install seven of them in various circuits on the board and test the unit again before shipping back to Bill.
In the meantime Bill is telling me that he can't do what he does because his L1 is in our shop. So I hear that the UPS strike is about to occur, so I ship the camcorder back to him 2Day air so that he would have it before the strike occurs.
There was no charge to him on any of the additional work, parts, shipping, NOTHING! There was also no thanks of any kind what so ever. As it turns out, according to the other posts, Bill has lots of equipment he could or is using, unless he is fibbing about that.
I don't expect that everyone understand all that goes on behind the scenes all the time, and thank us for every little thing; but we did a hell of a good job on that repair, we did not end up making any profit on the job when all was said and done, and here I am on my day off , ignoring my family to respond to a .0001% customer that would not be satisfied if we had done all of that for zero dollars. I am a Certified Electronics Technician, have been in this profession since returning from two tours in Viet Nam in 1968, the rest of the crew are also Vets. Video Tech has been in business over ten years, we have no complaints with the Better Business Bureau, We are not out to rip any one off, we believe in fair exchange of value for money paid. I do have a payroll to meet, rent to pay, taxes, insurance etc. It's called being in business to make more money than it costs you to operate. I get the impression that Bill is the only one that should make money, every one else should just serve him at no charge. That is too bad because from this point forward he is to be denied service by us, he is considered by me to be suppressive. He should be denied service by any repair agency that is honest and self respecting.

987. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: frog207lillypads@juno.com
Message-ID: <5v7ps7frt2@news.wco.com>
Source record: 186637

[Quoted Text from the Mich. scanner law snipped]

>I run the Standard 5900 Tri band Amateur radio that receives from 40 MHz
>thru 1400 Mhz-FCC APPROVED by the way for sale in the UNITED STATES for
>mobile use in all 50 STATES. What is the difference between my Radio and a
>Scanner-NONE!!!!!
>
>

Thank you! About time someone posted the law as written. Too many Mich.
hams either can't read or don't want to.

By-the-way... just to clarify your last statement, licensed radio amateurs
(above Novice) may have scanners, as well as ham rigs, without permit. The
exclusion was quite clear on this.

Ed

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "lillypads" from my return address.
==========

988. Re: Off Topic: Re: Radio Shack Con Job?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bcbe68$827df3e0$354de0c7@holmes>
Source record: 186638

I guess you STILL drive that old Model T, too.....
Wake up..... This is 1997..... In computer years.....etc....
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

989. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbe6f$240fe500$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 186639

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<3417453A.3345@usa.net>...
> BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> > you bring much to this group....those that
>
> Yea right Billy.
>
> Are you going to start with your racist comments AGAIN???

John Mackey why do you act like a butthole
all the time? Do you got something against
guys named Bill? Do you like anybody or
anything ever?

990. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EGBvAF.At3@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186640

Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Joe Nicholson) wrote:

> -=> Quoting Daniel M. Conti to All <=-

> DMC> The above makes absolutely no sense.
>

> Perhaps because you lack any upstairs.

> You're the one who quoted an ENTIRE message
> you didn't agree with and thought didn't
> belong in the NG.

Joe, are you SURE you really want to get in on this one? I mean,
Tweek and Conduit are ntertainment enough for me, but you're welcome
to jump in.

I never said I didn't agree with the entire message. Show me where I
did.

I NEVER said it didn't belong in the NG...show me where I did.

I asked a rhetorical question , a VERY simple one, one that has been
asked NUMEROUS times by others here....what did it have to do with
scanning?

> --
> | 9-1-1 Public Safety BBS | POLICENET SAFENET
> | LEO'S FIREFIGHTERS SAR | FIDONET
> | COMMS AMATEUR RADIO | e-mail newsgroups
> |Home of THE San Diego County BBS List(619) 669-0385
> --
>___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
>--
>|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
>|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
>| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

991. Re: Could someone advise me on this scanner before I buy it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34177503.42567431@news-server>
Source record: 186641

On 10 Sep 1997 19:10:55 GMT, glenhollow@aol.com (Glenhollow) wrote:

> Thanks in advance to all that help me out. I'm fairly new to scanning. I
> recently purchased a Sportcat and like it. There is a person I work with
> who saw me with it and wants to sell me his base model. It is a Realistic
> Pro 2022. It has 200 channels and looks to be in perfect shape. He said it
> has been in the same spot in his den for about 5 years. He wants $75.00 for
> it. Is this a good buy?

Not especially....but it isn't the worst buy, either. I'd offer
him $25 for it and see if you can get it for $50 or less.

The PRO-2022 never was a prime scanner, even in its heyday, where
it was always the #2 or #3 scanner in Radio Schloque's line. But it
is a decent scanner, and can be modified for cellular and a host
of other things.

Incidentally, the PRO-2022 is electronically a clone and twin
of the handheld PRO-34. It was designed as a base scanner, but
really is identical to the PRO-34, if you compare the schematics.
Not a putdown at all....since the PRO-34 was the best of the best
in the handheld department in its day.

The PRO-2022 is old now....and is not supported by Tandy anymore,
so there is some risk and it should not command a high price. See
if you can get it for less to be on the comfortable side.

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

992. Sub Carrier Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jyao@deltanet.com
Message-ID: <341784ec.22391311@news.deltanet.com>
Source record: 186642

I had a freind asked me about sub carrier audio today and that's something that I've never heard of. Can someone please tell me more about it? Also is it possible to listen to it with a scanner?

Jeffrey

993. Re: Discone Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Davie <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341795EA.4C9B@flash.net>
Source record: 186643
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

pkelly5@ix.netcom.com wrote:
>
> Gene Kelley wrote:
> >
> > On Sun, 07 Sep 1997 14:17:28 GMT, mead@dg-rtp.dg.com (Glenn Mead)
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Tom,
> > >
> > >I don't know anything about the new RS discone, but I can tell you that I am
> > >VERY happy with the diamond. I use it as a multi purpose antenna. It is
> > >primarily used for scanning, but has also been used on 2m, 70cm, 6m and 11m.
> > >It is very well built, mine is at the top of a 90' Carolina Tower (that's a
> > >pine tree for you northerners :), and it made it through Hurricane Fran.
> > >
> > >Glenn - ke4zea
> > >
> > >ps - One of the differences between the diamond and the last RS disconne I
> > >saw is that the RS does not have the top verticle, which adds to the low band
> > >performance.
> > >
> > >
> > The New Discone from RS DOES have a top vertical element. It is also
> > more ruggedly built.
> >
> > It's probably the same antenna as the diamond, although not having
> > owned a diamond I couldn't tell you for sure.
> >
> > I have replaced both of my old RS discones with two new ones and I am
> > very happy with the performance.
>
> I'm glad RS are reworking the discone. Mine literally rattled apart on
> the roof and I stepped up to the Diamond, which is a gem. Solid as a
> frigging rock. Now I have 3 and I find it hard to believe that RS could
> redesign a discone that is as sturdy as the Diamond outside of buying
> them and putting their name on it. If I bought another discone, it'd be
> a Diamond.
>
> Patrick

Thats great, but where on Earth can you get a Diamond discone???
How much do they cost, and who sells them for the best price??
--

*** The best website on the net!!!!!

http://www.rtis.com/nat/pol/rush/

994. Re: Busted by cops but.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1109970417020001@pm51-15.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186644

In article <19970908124201.IAA05837@ladder02.news.aol.com>, uk911@aol.com (UK911) wrote:

> As you will be aware I had my two base scanners seized by Police Officers
> about 4/5 weeks ago. They were taken to be examined "....to see if they
> contained any Police or telephone frequencies...." according to the Police
> Officers. I telephoned the Police station today (Monday) to see if the
> results had come back and they have. The scanners were found to have
> contained NO illegal frequencies and will be returned to me shortly the
> Chief Super said - thank God, they were a couple of expensive models and
> one was only a couple of weeks old !!! Before they were seized I reset
> them with the reset buttons and then programmed a couple of legal
> frequencies in to them to make sure they were not completely empty - I did
> this just as a precaution - I obviously did not have any illegal
> frequencies in them though <g>. So, thanks for your support and advice, it
> looks like the minority were correct by saying they would be okay if reset.
> I'm glad I found this group so get used to me hanging around !!

Can not listen to the police on a non-mobile base scanner in the UK? Boy the UK has some tough communication laws. I understand you also have to buy a TV reciever license in the UK also!

995. Re: Could someone advise me on this scanner before I buy it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3417A878.3240@usa.net>
Source record: 186645

Bill Cheek is DEFINATELY NOT someone who you want giving you
advice. He is a scum. Look at the e-mail I received from him
below.

Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 20:56:54 -0700
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>

John-Boy, m'lad, I shoulda oughta beat you senseless
with a shillelagh way back when I was consorting and
consulting with your mammy. She asked me to put a
knot on your head and I declined on account of the brain
damage you had suffered at birth. In retrospect, I
shoulda oughta done it.

I mean in this world of smart fellers, you shine as a
fart smeller ..... a slick dick in a sandpaper world, John-Boy.

Say, what did you ever do with them bed-wetting kids of yours?
Your mammy told me she never could cure you of whizzing
the bed at night. Yup, I still got your newsgroup post where
you snuck on there to see what could be done with bed wetters.

Tsk......tsk.....tsk.......

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Not especially....but it isn't the worst buy, either. I'd offer
> him $25 for it and see if you can get it for $50 or less.
>
> The PRO-2022 never was a prime scanner, even in its heyday, where
> it was always the #2 or #3 scanner in Radio Schloque's line. But it
> is a decent scanner, and can be modified for cellular and a host
> of other things.
>
> Incidentally, the PRO-2022 is electronically a clone and twin
> of the handheld PRO-34. It was designed as a base scanner, but
> really is identical to the PRO-34, if you compare the schematics.
> Not a putdown at all....since the PRO-34 was the best of the best
> in the handheld department in its day.
>
> The PRO-2022 is old now....and is not supported by Tandy anymore,
> so there is some risk and it should not command a high price. See
> if you can get it for less to be on the comfortable side.
>
> +---+

> | Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
> | PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
> | Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
> | E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
> | WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
> | Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
> | FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
> | Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
> +---+

996. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <5v89mrain1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 186646

EKIM233 (ekim233@aol.com) wrote:
: Yes you can operate any AMATEUR RADIO in the state of Michigan in a motor
: vehicle WITHOUT a PERMIT from the State Police (Blue Bellies).

: Here is the LAW.

: Under Michigan Compiled Law Section 750.508, IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO HAVE A
: SCANNER PERMIT ISSUED BY THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE to equip a
: vehicle to monitor frequencies assigned by the FCC if you are a bona fide
: amateur radio operator holding a technician class, general, advanced or
: extra class amateur license issued by the Federal Communications
: Commission. In order to exercise the privilege of equipping a vehicle to
: monitor frequencies, you must have your Federal license in your possession.

: Sec. 508. Any person who shall equip a vehicle with a radio receiving set
: that will receive signals sent on frequencies assigned by the FCC of the
: United States of America for Police Purposes, or use the same in this
: unless such vehicle is used or owned by a peace officer or a bona fide
: amateur radio operator holding a technician clas, general, advanced or
: extra class amateur license issued by the FCC, without first securing a
: permit to do so from the director of the department of the state police
: upon applicatio as he or she may perscribe, shall be guilty of a
: misdemeaner, punishable by imprisonment in the county jail for not more
: than 1 year, or by a fine of not more than $500.00, or by both fine and
: imprisonment in the discretion of the court.

: ANY QUESTIONS NOW???

: I run the Standard 5900 Tri band Amateur radio that receives from 40 MHz
: thru 1400 Mhz-FCC APPROVED by the way for sale in the UNITED STATES for
: mobile use in all 50 STATES. What is the difference between my Radio and a
: Scanner-NONE!!!!!

:

For all practical purposes, none, but LEGALLY there IS a difference!
In states which have probibitions on mobile scanners, the above radio is
just as illegal.
However, the FCC added a regulation that overrides local laws on ham
radios with broad-band receivers
(like the one mentioned above).....BUT......it does NOT legalize scanners!
Of course, many states exempt hams-and the local laws usually don't treat
scanners any differently than ham equipment.

997. Re: Question. How to intercept 900MhzSpreadSprectrum GE phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1109970413340001@pm51-15.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186647

In article <19970910055801.BAA25729@ladder01.news.aol.com>, lcsg@aol.com (LCSG) wrote:

> Howdy experts. What trouble would someone need to go through to intercept
> my General Electric Model No. 2-900SST. (I live in a high tech area) Are
> my credit card transactions and private conversations reasonably safe from
> scanning? Thanks!/GR

If it is indeed a Spread Spectrum Digital cordless phone, it is extremely difficult to eavesdrop on. If some one could, the are probably already in a position, to not need any money from you credit card anyway.

998. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Davie <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3417A9DB.7CFB@flash.net>
Source record: 186648
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dwarftosser wrote:
>
> On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 14:58:29 GMT, ain'tg@tno.e-mail (Billy Beck)
> wrote:
>
> >
> >David Abrams <david084@flash.net> wrote:
> >
> >> Most Jews in the USA are Democrats. Democrats passed the Brady Bill
> >>and the Assualty Weapons Ban.
> >
> > Richard Nixon was a republican. He gave us DEA and the
> >subsequent "War on Drugs"...which have staked your Fourth Amendment
> >rights straight through the heart.
> >
> > You really need to learn to think in *principles*. Not
> >parties.
>
> The CDA was as bi-partisan as a bill can get.
> --
>
> Dwarftosser

Yes it was bi-partisan, because many of our congressman have no idea
of how the net operates, nor do they know that software can be used to
solve many of the concerns of those who supported the CDA.
However, it is almost always the DEMOCRATIC PARTY that seeks to
remove some right, or take away some freedom. Guns are their number one
enemy. Property rights are falling under Clinton's Carol Browner who
heads up the awful, and evil EPA. Your right to control your property
must be removed so that environmental wackos can make certain that you
do not do something terrible like cut down a tree, or use gasoline
powered lawn equpt. In ten years, we will really be reflecting back on
the days when America was so much more free.

Question: Are our politicians pressing us so hard that the people on
the edge will respond violently which will then give our politicians the
ability to come back and create massive restrictions on other freedoms
that we currently enjoy?
Could it be that a version of Marshall Law will be imposed in time
which will give the government the level of power over the people that
it now seems to lust for?
--
*** The best website on the net!!!!!

http://www.rtis.com/nat/pol/rush/

999. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Davie <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3417AD63.7C6D@flash.net>
Source record: 186649
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Michael*Schneider wrote:
>
> In article <5v1d92$c1o$1@blaze.accessone.com>, rivero@accessone.com
> (Michael Rivero) wrote:
>
> > FWIW, I don't forsee an armed rebellion in the future. Anyone who thinks
> > violence is the answer has some very romantic notions but little sense
> > of history. This government will not be destroyed from without, but is even
> > now collapsing itself from within, dragged down by it's uncontrolled
> > debt, and the loss of trust by the population that is the inevitable result
> > of all the lies that now stand exposed.
>
> And you think they'll just go "Aw, shucks; ya caught us" and submit to
> true justice, or at the very least drop the reins of power and go home?
>
> Mike, they aren't creating a domestic "standing army" for *nothing*.
>
> They mean to USE IT.

I believe you are right about this.

And, we should all realize that the FBI and other agencies are
monitoring any newsgroup where any anti-government speak is posted.
It is their job to keep track of any potential problem makers.
If you speak out against the federal government, especially if you
post it on the net where it is easy for them to find, there is a fine
chance that a file has been started on you where comments concerning
your posts and or lifestyle are kept in the event there is a need to
refer to them in the future. This is the job of the FBI.

Do not let this deter you from speaking your mind about our
government. WE the PEOPLE own the government, and we brought it into
existance. It is as if we are the owners of a business, and the elected
officials are managers we have hired to work for us. The country
belongs to us, and so does its management responsibilites. If we desire
to make massive changes, it is ours to change. No violence is needed.
All we need to do is not vote for any liberals, and the conservatives we
do vote for must be held accountable for what they promised to do. If
they do not do that which they promised, public protests may be the only
answer.

1000. Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1109970430420001@pm51-15.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186650

On page 55 of the new 1998 Radio Shack catalog, it says that it is against the law to listen to cellular OR cordless phone conversations. It speaks of fines and prison, etc. Has the law regarding just plain cordless phones changed? I thought it was legal to listen to cordless phones, and just not legal for cellular, and the new PCS, etc. Has the law changed?

1001. PRO-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdeviney@intellinet.com
Message-ID: <3417443d.31640003@news>
Source record: 186651

http://www.grove.net/
has the PRO-26 for $250.

1002. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Davie <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34179B57.6EC8@flash.net>
Source record: 186652
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Francis A. Ney, Jr. wrote:

> Who said it would be the IRS? All it takes is for someone to shove half a key
> of China White through your basement window and wait for the DEA stormtroopers
> to kick in your door.

Yes,and when they come in, they will, under the Ricoh act, be able to
confiscate ALL of your posessions and auction them off while you try to
PROVE you are not quilty. Good luck.

1003. PA Tuurnpike activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bdano@cybercomm.net
Message-ID: <5v8r66$ilm@crow.cybercomm.net>
Source record: 186653

My apologies for not posting this earlier...so many of you were good
enough to email me w/PA turnpike frequencies last month re: my trip to
Pittsburgh. However- the only frequency with ANY activity was 159.075,
which had a lot of useage near Philly and extremly heavy near harrisburg!
Bill

1004. Does anyone know what scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Smeed <msmeed@dynanet.com>
Message-ID: <34187917.2FAE@dynanet.com>
Source record: 186654

can pick up cordless phones. And the mods to do it...
Thanks,
Mike
--

1005. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ike <ike@one.net>
Message-ID: <34188354.2833@one.net>
Source record: 186655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Greg Dean wrote:
>
> kb8uyz.com wrote:
>
> rec.radio.amateur.misc
>
> Stop HR2369!
> ------------
> A new proposed bill has the potential to eliminate the scanner industry,
> as well as greatly affect other radio services, such as amateur radio,
> MARS, CAP, news media, and radio hobbyists activities.
>
> ** Contact your local Congressional representative and voice your
> opposition to HR2369. If passed, this bill will make it illegal to
> sell, trade, manufacture or modify any radio receiver with certain
> restricted frequencies. Wide band amateur radio transceivers will
> become illegal, as well as general hobbyists who monitor fire,
> ambulance, and police radio services.
>
> It will also be a crime to own such radio receivers and to listen to
> these frequencies. Violators will be subject to up to a $500,000 fine
> and up to 5 years in prison, or both!
>
> For more information, please visit our web site at http://kb8uyz.com,
> where, under the Radio News section, we have the complete bill posted.
> Also check out the Grove, Monitoring Times web site at
> http://www.grove.net/mt2369fea.html A complete background to the bill
> is listed.
>
> Please let us hear your comments on this new bill. We will be posting a
> page of viewer comments within the next several days.
>
> 73's
> Dan KB8UYZ
> dan@kb8uyz.com

It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
and the info was used against me by the individual that did
the listening.

I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver

the way it came out of the box!

I will call and offer support for HR2369!

1006. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <341a4aee.5018434@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 186656

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

> what does this have to do with scanning?
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

What does this have to do with scanning?

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1007. Re: Attention EVERYONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <341c4b58.5124236@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 186657

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>> Idit of Mackey
>
> You are the sound of one hand clapping.
>
> Develop it. We will all sleep better.
>
>
> if all you have to claim your second of fame is
> defiling the benevolence of the Cheek guy you
> really should take time out from your toilet
> training session and flush yourself along with
> the rest of your allusions.
>
> Idiot of Mackey is a legend in his own mind.
>
> Clap
>
> bill conduit

"what does this have to do with scanning?" ...bill conduit
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1008. TrunkTracker listserv for Seattle/King County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tug@pobox.com_no.spam
Message-ID: <5v9nak$p22$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 186658

A list server focusing on the TrunkTracker
scanner for the Seattle/King County Regional 800Mhz system,
Port of Seattle System, and perhaps other trunked systems in the
Seattle/King County area has been set up.

To subscribe to this list, send a
message from the email account you want on the list:

TO: generic-list-request@eskimo.com
SUBJECT: subscribe youremailaddress

You should get back a welcome message within a few minutes, unless
the load on the mail server is high, in which case it could take
longer.

1009. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5v9oke$eq1@dfw-ixnews12.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186659

In <341a4aee.5018434@news.cyberhighway.net>
glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) writes:
>
>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>
>
>> what does this have to do with scanning?
>>
>> bill conduit
>> etc.
>
>What does this have to do with scanning?
>
>--
That's what I said.

are you an official echo?

or?

bill

1010. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lawrence <ducky@excal.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbefa$9b6c51c0$eaf659d1@mycomputer1.supernet.ab.ca>
Source record: 186660

```
test
```

1011. This is a test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Administrator <acecomm@excal.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbefa$8fbd4aa0$0e03d1cc@ns1>
Source record: 186661

Testing

1012. testing...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lawrence <ducky@excal.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbefc$6f058500$eaf659d1@mycomputer1.supernet.ab.ca>
Source record: 186662

test

1013. WTB: Scanner for cordless or cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Smeed <msmeed@dynanet.com>
Message-ID: <341878CC.702D@dynanet.com>
Source record: 186663

```
WTB a scanner to pick up cordless phones.
Any suggestions?
Thanks,
Mike
```

1014. Wanted: BC, SC, or RS scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawk82 <hawk82@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <34188F77.42A9@gwi.net>
Source record: 186664

I'm looking for a Bearcat, Sportcat, or a Radio Shack hand-held scanner.
Will pay up to 200 dollars.
12 bands or more prefered.
Newer in last 2 years.

e-mail me at:hawk82@gwi.net

1015. Re: Apartment Scanner Antenna Ideas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Langfield <johnlang@wavenet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ant112200868gmo4@hoole.wavenet.co.uk>
Source record: 186665
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <341720EF.ADE4E10C@mindless.com>, Bear
<URL:mailto:NOSPAMBear105@mindless.com> wrote:
> Will be moving into an apartment and am interested in anybodys ideas
> on scanner antennas. I have read a few books but am more interested in
> what is actually working for somebody.
> The Apt. has a couple of bedroom windows(metallic) and a big wood
> porch. Its located on the 2nd floor of a 3-story bldg, so there is
> somebody above me.
> Stealth is not as important as quality but I have no access to the
> roof.

That is a pitty. 3 floors up would make a great place to put the antennas.

You could hang a multiple dipole out of the window. Maybe clamp it to the
window ledge. I have a 4 element dipole on my roof and it works a treat.

John.

-- __ __
__ / /__ / / ___ ++ mailto:johnlang@wavenet.co.uk ++
/ /_/ / _ \/ _ \/ _ _
____/___/_//_/_//_(_)
_____ Hoole, Preston. Lancashire.

1016. New Pro 2046 user

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nozzlenut@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5v9gt1$q8b$1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 186666

Does anyone know of any m

ods that any one has done to this unit.or anyone have an gripes or
experienced any problems with this model.Please Email me at
nozzlenut@webtv.net
Thaks,

Tom

1017. FS - Pro 2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tuco@mesadev.com
Message-ID: <341951a4.16823581@news.indra.com>
Source record: 186667

$150 plus shipping. Cell mod, manual and pretty good antenna.

1018. US Military: Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia--News Flash!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tekbir <wbeachy@erols.com>
Message-ID: <34185AC4.5622@erols.com>
Source record: 186668

From Yahoo's Top News Stories:

Thursday September 11 3:53 PM EDT

Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia

By Charles Aldinger

WASHINGTON (Reuter) - The U.S. military said on Thursday it was sending to Bosnia three electronic warfare aircraft capable of disrupting hard-line Serb radio and TV broadcasts that have challenged the Dayton peace accords.

The Air Force EC-130 aircraft, which can also broadcast information to Bosnia in favor of the peace accords, will be ready to fly out of Brindisi Air Base in Italy on Saturday as two days of key municipal elections are held in Bosnia.

Each of the elite Special Forces planes -- based at Harrisburg, Pa., and manned by a crew of 11 -- can send strong signals to "jam" ground broadcasts by overriding them and at the same time send broadcasts on other channels.

"The aircraft are being deployed there in response to the persistent pattern of vehement rhetoric and incitement to violence being broadcast by Serb radio and television," Army Col. Richard Bridges, a Pentagon spokesman, told reporters.

Washington charged this week that broadcasts controlled by backers of indicted war criminal Radovan Karadzic had not kept an agreement to soften rhetoric against Western-backed Bosnian President Biljana Plavsic and NATO-led peacekeeping troops, or to grant air time to Plavsic supporters.

One of the three planes departed Harrisburg on Wednesday but was delayed at Newfoundland with mechanical problems, Bridges said. Another was to depart later on Thursday and the third on Friday.

The elections are considered a major step toward final peace in Bosnia even as the United States and other Western powers debate the need for further military peacekeeping there after a NATO deadline to withdraw the current force of 31,000 troops next June.

U.S. troops in Bosnia as part of the NATO-led peacekeeping force last week seized one television transmitter but

surrendered control after a deal between the peacekeeping stabilization force (SFOR) and Serb representatives.

U.S. State and Defense Department officials said this week that the broadcasts had played a major role in inciting
recent tense stand-offs between U.S. and other troops and mobs of Serb hard-liners.

But they also said that despite the standoffs, SFOR troops were successful on Monday in stopping busloads of Serb
hard-liners, some armed and carrying false identifications, and defusing a planned anti-Plavsic rally planned in Banja
Luka.

They accused hard-liners at the time of trying to mount a second coup attempt against Plavsic.
--
Tekbir (William Matthew Beachy)
The Polytonic Harmony Home Page
http://www.erols.com/wbeachy
Three Notes, Two Keys, One Chord...

1019. ICOM 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "stuart nadelson, p.c." <stuartn@erols.com>
Message-ID: <341870B3.308E@erols.com>
Source record: 186669

Does anyone know of any mods to the ICOM 8500 radio?

1020. Re: MVT-9000 <-> AOR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: brat@interlog.com
Message-ID: <5v9r45$ra7$1@news.interlog.com>
Source record: 186670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <5v9669$8km$1@sun27.hrz.th-darmstadt.de>, cremer@hrzpub.th-darmstadt.de (Markus Cremer) wrote:
>Have someone inforationen about testing the recivequality
>of the new scanner MVT-9000 ag. the AOR8000.
>For my own it is important if the recivequality of the
>MVT-9000 in FRQ 30-1300 MHZ ist nearly the same as from
>the AOR8000.

You could try

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/REVM9000.HTM

Bernie

--
Bernie Rataj brat@interlog.com qth://toronto.on.ca
Member: Ontario DX Association, Tsuruoka Canadian Budokai
--

1021. Re: I have lot's of frequencies I'm willing to trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pwrites@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <3418707d.3591716@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 186671

The NOAA Weather radio freq. for Flint, Mi is - 162.475Mhz

For a list of all Weather freqs. go to,

http://www.nws.noaa.gov/noaaradio.shtml#mtarget

>I'm willing to send him the NOAA frequencies for Flint, MI. if he'll
>send me all the freqs the Federal Government uses here.
>
>
>++
>Dave Starr
>
>Professional Shop Rat - 32 years in an Auto Plant

1022. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pooch <tpo@pop3.concentric.net>
Message-ID: <34189522.2807@pop3.concentric.net>
Source record: 186672
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

ma5ter@127.0.0.1 wrote:
>
> Davie wrote:
> >
> > Francis A. Ney, Jr. wrote:
> >
> > > Who said it would be the IRS? All it takes is for someone to shove half a key
> > > of China White through your basement window and wait for the DEA stormtroopers
> > > to kick in your door.
> >
> > Yes,and when they come in, they will, under the Ricoh act, be able to
> > confiscate ALL of your posessions and auction them off while you try to
> > PROVE you are not quilty. Good luck.
>
> While you are trying to prove you are not guilty, you will be paraded
> around, like a 20 pt. Buck on the hood of a pickup, to show the
> community how another dangerous drug dealer was pulled from the streets.
Ya wanna ride on my new truck? heh heh

1023. Re: Off Topic: Re: Radio Shack Con Job?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dedgod <NO@SPAM.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbea5$2b844020$cb0653d1@hooded-claw>
Source record: 186673

I asked one of the RS clerks about one of their "Family Radio Service"
transceivers and was told that they operate in the VHF HI frequencies, as
opposed to the "GMRS" which operate in the UHF freqs. This error is
particularly funny because it was Radio Shack, who petitioned the FCC to
allow low power, unlicensed transmissions on the GMRS freqs., which by the
way is Family Radio Service.
--
Dedgod

1024. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jon27787@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911225901.SAA04957@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186674

This has to do with scanning how??????????????????????

1025. REQ:Dallas PD Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kahless@value.net
Message-ID: <34187d49.21744051@news.value.net>
Source record: 186675

To all:

Hello! My name is Chris Halladay. I live in the San Francisco bay area. I would like to request that someone email me the following information regarding the Dallas Police Department and the Dallas Fire Department:

Beat map for the entire Dallas PD
Map indicating the location of every Dallas Fire Station and hospital
List telling me which piece of equipment (truck, engine, etc) is at which station
Codes used by both agencies on the radio

The reason that I am asking for this information is that I enjoy monitoring Dallas Police and Dallas Fire over RealAudio. It would make things a lot easier to understand if I had this information.

If you can't provide me with this through email, then please contact me privately so that I can give you my snail mail address.

Thanks in advance!!
Chris E. Halladay
Castro Valley,CA
kahless@value.net

1026. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: skj9 <skj9@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbef1$9b6c67e0$010a2499@default>
Source record: 186676
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_01BCBEB6.EF153100

I've had a pro-26 for about six months now and it has performed excellent.
25 MHz to 1.3GHz is assuming that you know cell will be blocked if you
purchase it in the States. This web site has a review of an unblocked
Pro-26. http://www.osha.igs.net/~durhamradio/pro26.htm

H.Y. Tong wrote in article
...
> I have been listening to shortwave for several years and this fall I want
> to start listening in the VHF/UHF area. Last week I went to a local radio
> shack to find a unit that have wide frequency coverage. I find out a
> Pro-26 is on sale at Cdn$400, the sales told me it has continuous
coverage
> from 25MHz up to 1.3GHz!
> Unfortunately, the model will be discountinued next year. I'm considering
> buying it. Is it worth the money?
> --
>

--
> Philip Tong
> hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
> Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
>
------=_NextPart_000_01BCBEB6.EF153100

I've had a pro-26 for about =
six months now and it has performed excellent. 25 MHz to 1.3GHz is =
assuming that you know cell will be blocked if you purchase it in the =
States. This web site has a review of an unblocked Pro-26. =
http://www.osha.igs.net/~durhamradio/pro26.htm

H.Y. Tong <ck279@torfree.net> =
wrote in article <EGCyDw.6pF.0.queen@torfree.net>...
> I have been listening to shortwave for =
several years and this fall I want
> to start listening in the =
VHF/UHF area. Last week I went to a local radio
> shack to find a =
unit that have wide frequency coverage. I find out a
> Pro-26 is =
on sale at Cdn$400, the sales told me it has continuous coverage
```

> =
from 25MHz up to 1.3GHz!
> Unfortunately, the model will be =
discountinued next year. I'm considering
> buying it. Is it worth =
the money?
> --
> =

> Philip Tong
> hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
> Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
>

------=_NextPart_000_01BCBEB6.EF153100--

1027. Re: SF Bay Area 464.375 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <3418644B.7A2@accesscom.com>
Source record: 186677

Dennis Eckert wrote:
>
> Just looked at 1997 edition of PoliceCall....have 464.375 registered to
> Masters College, Newhall (I'm in Washington State so don't know where
> Newhall, CA, is) in their college/university listings....
>
> I didn't look at Public and Private schools cause listing was kinda long and
> not sorted in frequency order....
>
> Curtis Wheeler wrote in article <3416E707.7059@ccnet.com>...
>
> >I have been hearing a repeater on 464.375 in the SF bay area. From the
> >traffic I think it is security for a college or university campus
> >(because of where I am - I am thinking it's DVC.) The system has never
> >ID'd with a call sign (that I have noticed). Anyone know who/where it
> >might be?
> >
> >I realize this I am hearing only one system of what might be several
> >users of this frequency in northern CA.
> >
> >
> >Thanks
> >
> >
> >--
> >
> >Curtis
> >
> >Notice: I am NOT sales@quantcom.com nor am I buttnwd@lm.com

Curtis, Here are frequencies that I have of educational institutions in
the "Bay Area". You didn't define north, south or east

464.3750 KNGF654 FREMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
464.3750 KNBH547 EVERGREEN SCHOOL DISTRICT
464.3750 KNEB804 SAN MATEO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
464.3750 WPKD347 HOLY NAMES COLLEGE
464.3750 WPKE906 SAINT MARYS COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL
464.3750 WNNM284 SONOMA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

1028. REQ:LAPD & NYPD Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kahless@value.net
Message-ID: <34187f1b.22210384@news.value.net>
Source record: 186678

To all:

Hi!! My name is Chris. I live in the San Francisco bay area. I would like to request that someone email me the following information regarding the LAPD and NYPD:

Beat map for the entire department
Unit designations
10 & 11 codes used on the radio
Penal Codes

The reason that I'm requesting this is that I enjoy monitoring these agencies over RealAudio. I feel that I would have a better understanding of what is going on if I had this information.

If you cannot provide me with this through email, then please contact me privately and I will give you my snail mail address.

Thanks in advance!!
Chris E. Halladay
Castro Valley,CA
kahless@value.net

1029. Re: Mobile use of hand-held laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbird188@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911214700.RAA23256@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186679

HI

HOW ABOUT NY & NJ

THANKS

1030. Re: POLICE BEING THE CRIMINAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911221801.SAA01909@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186680

In article <5v9dm6$q1p$1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>, JWMJR@webtv.net
(John Masters Jr.) writes:

>It sounds like you love to contradict yourself an awful lot.First,you
>talk badly about the Law Enforcement Officers then you say theyhave your
>respect??????????
>Make up your mind!!!!!!!
>I was using the drug thing as an example of what you put across on the
>computer,of how it appeared you felt towards police.I know there are
>some bad cops,I`ve busted 2 Detroit Police Officers when I was doing
>some Security work,
>Defrauding An Innkeeper.I do know there are bad cops,but there`s more
>good cops than bad.
>
>

You need to learn how to operate that Web Tv of yours. First, you need to
quote some of the original material. Second, I have NEVER said anything
negative about a Law Enforcement Officer. If you doubt me, please provide
any proof you may have. I believe you read a post in which I commented
against......and you mistook me for the poster.
This is the last time I care to discuss your inability to use a newsreader.

The CB Guy

1031. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <34187668.4E6D@kktv.com>
Source record: 186681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Ike wrote:

> It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> the listening.

You should have not discussed what was used against you.
That's your problem. Should have used a landline phone.

> I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> the way it came out of the box!

I'll do that when you, Congress, or CTIA buys the radio for
me. If I pay for it myself, then I have every right to
modify it to perform the way I want it to.

> I will call and offer support for HR2369!

Seig Heil ! Citizenry control is #1. Heil Hitler !

1032. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmort@opman.com
Message-ID: <341a72b6.417019088@snews.zippo.com>
Source record: 186682
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

On Mon, 08 Sep 1997 19:57:48 -0500, David Abrams <david084@flash.net>
wrote:

> Cliff, you are right. Except in countries where the people have no
>freedom. In a place like China, Cuba, N. Korea, Vietnam, etc. the police
>are enforcers of oppressive law. They are the arm of government that
>holds the people in place.
> Thankfully, we do not face that situation in the USA.

Tell that to Mark Clark, Fred Hampton, Vicki Weaver, Donald Scott and
Malice Green.

Gun control, the theory that Black people will be
better off when only Mark Fuhrman has a gun.

Check out:

http://super.zippo.com/~cmorton/home.htm
http://www.firstnethou.com/gunsite/moore.html

1033. ICOM 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "stuart nadelson, p.c." <stuartn@erols.com>
Message-ID: <34187102.3AD@erols.com>
Source record: 186683

Does anyone know of any mods to the ICOM 8500? Please advise.

1034. Mistress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: a112ssb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970911235800.TAA03496@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186684

http://members.aol.com/A112SSB/Mistress.htm

1035. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pooch <tpo@pop3.concentric.net>
Message-ID: <34189352.3B6A@pop3.concentric.net>
Source record: 186685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Greg Dean wrote:
>
> kb8uyz.com wrote:
>
> rec.radio.amateur.misc
>
> Stop HR2369!
> ------------
> A new proposed bill has the potential to eliminate the scanner industry,
> as well as greatly affect other radio services, such as amateur radio,
> MARS, CAP, news media, and radio hobbyists activities.
>
> ** Contact your local Congressional representative and voice your
> opposition to HR2369. If passed, this bill will make it illegal to
> sell, trade, manufacture or modify any radio receiver with certain
> restricted frequencies. Wide band amateur radio transceivers will
> become illegal, as well as general hobbyists who monitor fire,
> ambulance, and police radio services.
>
> It will also be a crime to own such radio receivers and to listen to
> these frequencies. Violators will be subject to up to a $500,000 fine
> and up to 5 years in prison, or both!
>
> For more information, please visit our web site at http://kb8uyz.com,
> where, under the Radio News section, we have the complete bill posted.
> Also check out the Grove, Monitoring Times web site at
> http://www.grove.net/mt2369fea.html A complete background to the bill
> is listed.
>
> Please let us hear your comments on this new bill. We will be posting a
> page of viewer comments within the next several days.
>
> 73's
> Dan KB8UYZ
> dan@kb8uyz.com
I'll be glad to call and SUPPORT the bill. My conversations are none of
your business!

1036. Misc. Radio/Elect. EQ FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: EJ Mars <ejmars@CajunNet.com>
Message-ID: <3418B484.1D97@CajunNet.com>
Source record: 186686

I have the following Radio, Electronic, and Computer equipment for sale or willing to trade up or down. Please reply to My email address if interested or have questions about specific items. Reasonable offers will be considered. All working last time tested unless noted. Shipping charges will be added to each item. Checks are OK, but will not ship until they clear. No, I m not a dealer I just enjoy collecting and playing with old elecrtonic gear.
Thanks
EJ (KC5EPI)

Kenwood TS-820S - Ditigal Ham Transceiver 120-10 meters. Looks and works good. 100W output. $400

Tempo-1- Tube type Ham Transceiver/with Power Supply 80-10 meters. Looks and works good. 100W output. $275

Siltronix 1011C- 10 meter and CB AM or SSB Transceiver. Looks and works good. 250-300W PEP output on SSB. $250.

Siltronix VFO Model 80. $75

Heathkit Hw-101- Tube type Ham Transceiver/with Power Supply 80-10 meters. Receives, but does not transmit. $100.

Yaesu FRG-7 Solid State General Coverage Short wave Receiver. Looks and works Great, except the Power Switch has the tip broken off.but still working OK.
$250

National Two/Seventy Ham Receiver with 6 Meters. All Tube with matching speaker $250.

National NC 188 General coverage receiver with matching speaker. all tubes and not working. Appearance would be 5-6, from 1-10. $100

Hallicrafter Model S-108 General coverage receiver. All Tubes, works well and appearance would be 7-8. $150

Grundig 2066 Am/Fm/SW Receiver all wood cabinet with white plastic trim. push button presets and tuning control all tubes not working. looks Ok except spk. grill cloth matl. needs replacing. $125

Pearce Simpson, Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobil power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $200.

Lafayette, Constat 25- All tube CB Transceiver. Have two. One is working the other for Parts or can be repaired. both for $ 200.

Pal, VFO- 11 meter CB $50.

Traynor, Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low mic. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. $150

Sears- 10 channel Crystal Control Table top Scanner. $50
Regency- 10 channel Crystal Control Table top Scanner. Wood case $75

Realistic Pro30- Programable Hand Held 16 Channel scanner. 30-50,108-136, 138-174, 380-512 Mhz. $75

Regency HX2200- Programable Hand Held 20 Channel scanner. 118-950 Mhz including cell. coverage. $100

Craig- 6" Reel to Reel Tape Stereo Recorder. $75

AR Inc.- Stereo Amp, all solid state. $150

Heathkit- Model-AA-32 Tube Steteo Amp. $100

Channel Master Model 6601 Amp with matching Am/Fm Stereo tuner good condition all tubes the tuner receiving Fm only no Am. $150 for both

Bell Fax Line 1500- Fax and Phone Machine. Was working Great, but stopped working last time I plugged in. $50.

Yashica Eletro 35- 35MM Camera with Telephoto 1:4 , Wide Angle 1:4 and Tele-wide finder lense. $150

Macintosh Plus Computer- Built in Monitor have Keybord, Mouse System Disk and 4 Megs Memory. $200.

286 and 386 Mother boards and Misc Computer Hardware. Controllors, vedio, network cards. Tell me what you need.

1037. Re: New RS Dicone assembly question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <34186205.59A7@videotron.ca>
Source record: 186687

> It probably won't make a bit of difference to the reception if use the
> nut or not. The nut simply retains the disc on the top of the antenna.
> If you have the whip holding the disc in place then you do not need
> the nut.
>
> Garry

Thanks Garry:

I assume it wont affect reception, like you say. My concern was more towards the SWR aspect as I will be transmitting on 2 of the resonant frequencies of this antenna (2meter/70cm).

Nice to know if it's properly assembled before I put this thing up 40 feet :)

Vince

1038. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <34187D32.790E@kktv.com>
Source record: 186688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Pooch wrote:

> >
> > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill (HR2369). We will be posting a
> > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
> >
> > 73's
> > Dan KB8UYZ
> > dan@kb8uyz.com

> I'll be glad to call and SUPPORT the bill. My conversations are none of
> your business!

FLAME BAIT ALERT !!!!!!!!! I'll bite. If you keep it on a
landline phone or encrypt, otherwise, it is fair game.

1039. Incorrect statement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffl@dynasty.net
Message-ID: <5va0ht$6hs@snews3.zippo.com>
Source record: 186689

In 1986, the U.S. Congress made it a federal crime to intentionally intercept and listen
to a cellular conversation with the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. In 1992, it
became illegal to manufacture scanners (which are used to intercept cellular calls) in
the United States. Now, because of emerging digital technologies, the Federal
Communications Commission has rules requiring that scanners be incapable of
converting digital cellular transmissions to voice audio.

The above appaers on UNITED STATES CELLULAR WEBPAGE.

I am correct atleast as of TODAY that last line on digital is a complete LIE???

Jeff

1040. Re: New RCA Scanner to hit market

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timothy McGowen <searchk9@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbe68$ef69dd20$LocalHost@Primenet.primenet.com>
Source record: 186690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Many years ago I had an RCA crystal controlled base, with something
> called "Varactor Tuning" (What that was I never new), and it was a
> FINE scanner. Do not know if RCA made that one, or it was made for
> them by another company.
>
>
Just took mine out of moth balls and it works great. Have not used it in
about 18-19 years but it works great.

Anybody know where I can order some crystals?

1041. Uniden Bearcat BC 855 XLT (Request Information)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: wil-son@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5va64f$dm8$1@newsd-104.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 186691

My father has this scanner and I would like to ask the following: Is it hard to do the cellular modification? Is this a good scanner? Thank you for any fnformation I may receive.

1042. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ike <ike@one.net>
Message-ID: <3418C1CC.633D@one.net>
Source record: 186692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Brad Cuppy wrote:
>
> Ike wrote:
>
> > It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> > conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> > and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> > the listening.
>
> You should have not discussed what was used against you.
> That's your problem. Should have used a landline phone.
>
> > I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> > would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> > the way it came out of the box!
>
> I'll do that when you, Congress, or CTIA buys the radio for
> me. If I pay for it myself, then I have every right to
> modify it to perform the way I want it to.
>
> > I will call and offer support for HR2369!
>
> Seig Heil ! Citizenry control is #1. Heil Hitler !

The only reason you are modifying the electronics
is to do something that is not legal ... it's the
same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
so when you transmit your signal, it causes
interference with nearby radios & tv's. You
do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
big house ... why don't you spend your time
doing something constructive?

1043. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <874029634.902252@optional.cts.com>
Source record: 186693
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wow, So anyone get a new scanner lately ? :-)

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

Joseph Feng wrote in article <19970911.101508.674@vnet.ibm.com>...

>In article <3417ADB8.4208@flash.net>, on Thu, 11 Sep 1997 03:37:12 -0500,
> Davie <Davie> writes:
>>
>>Joseph Feng wrote:
>>>
>>> In article <3414AD0F.2CB4@flash.net>, on Mon, 08 Sep 1997
20:57:35 -0500,
>>> David Abrams <David> writes:
>>> >
>>> >Joseph Feng wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> In article <340E5F8B.50F3@flash.net>, on Thu, 04 Sep 1997
02:13:15 -0500,
>>> >> "David..." <david084@flash.net> writes:
>>> >> >
>>> >>

1044. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Yanchuck <rony58@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3418A67D.55E0@erols.com>
Source record: 186694

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>
> On page 55 of the new 1998 Radio Shack catalog, it says that it is against
> the law to listen to cellular OR cordless phone conversations. It speaks
> of fines and prison, etc. Has the law regarding just plain cordless phones
> changed? I thought it was legal to listen to cordless phones, and just not
> legal for cellular, and the new PCS, etc. Has the law changed?

But then, nothing is illegal if you're not caught.

1045. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Eckelman <s.eckelman@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5vafii$e97@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186695
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Davie wrote in article <3417A807.74F6@flash.net>...

>Billy Beck wrote:
>>
>> David Abrams <david084@flash.net> wrote:
>>
>> > Most Jews in the USA are Democrats. Democrats passed the Brady Bill
>> >and the Assualty Weapons Ban.
>>
>> Richard Nixon was a republican. He gave us DEA and the
>> subsequent "War on Drugs"...which have staked your Fourth Amendment
>> rights straight through the heart.
>>
>> You really need to learn to think in *principles*. Not
>> parties.
>>
>> Billy
>
> You need to realize who the enemy is. The DEA is not bad, nor is
>the War on Drugs, but the democrats added into the mix the Ricoh laws
>and confiscation laws which allows the DEA and other law enforcement
>agencies to come and take EVERYTHING you own because they only SUSPECT
>that you are doing something illegal.

The War on (some) Drugs is evil. How has the formerly freest country
on the planet come to the sad state of affairs where the government
can dictate what supposedly free men and women can _eat_?

Scott E.

1046. FS 60-905 (no gaps) mhz all-mode receiver FS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: miker <&mreiney@hevanet.com>
Message-ID: <3418C2F9.74D5@hevanet.com>
Source record: 186696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,pdx.forsale,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale

YAESU FRG-9600 UHF/VHF RECEIVER/SCANNER
ALL-MODE AM/FM/USB/LSB MODES
FM WIDE/NARROW FILTER SELECTIONS
100 MEMORIES
SCANS
60-905 MHZ, NO GAPS
NOT CELL BLOCKED
FULLY COMPUTER PROGRAMMABLE
INCLUDED ARE RS-232 INTERFACE CABLE AND WINDOWS CONTROL PROGRAM
12VDC OPERATION
INCLUDES COPIES OF OPERATOR AND SERVICE MANUALS.
VIDEO OUT WITH OPTIONAL DETECTOR MODULE, NOT INCLUDED.
VERY GOOD CONDITION
There is info on this machine at
http://members.aol.com/FRG9600

There=92s nothing like this radio at anywhere near this price. Next step=

up
is Icom 7000/7100, but one will cost you 2-3X the $.

$299 Plus Shipping. (about $10) from 97223 (Portland, Oregon)

-- =

Please remove & from return address before replying.

1047. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3418C718.48D@usa.net>
Source record: 186697

You're a little late since it was said of YOU, FIRST.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> Funny?
> One could say the same thing of you.....

1048. FS AOR8000 SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Richards <werich@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3418A76B.F298DC67@qnet.com>
Source record: 186698

The AOR 8000 has been sold.
Thanks

Bill Richards

AOR 8000 for sale $525.00 plus shipping. Unit is 6

months old and is
in excellent condition. Will trade for a Yeasu
FT-8100R or Yeasu FT-8500.

Bill Richards
N6WXF

1049. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3418C7F6.834@usa.net>
Source record: 186699

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> In <341a4aee.5018434@news.cyberhighway.net>
> glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) writes:
> >
> >myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
> >
> >
> >> what does this have to do with scanning?
> >>
> >> bill conduit
> >> etc.
> >
> >What does this have to do with scanning?
> >
> >--
> That's what I said.
>
> are you an official echo?
>
> or?
>
> bill

What does this have to do with scanning??

1050. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3418C79A.4B05@usa.net>
Source record: 186700

Phoenix-

Look at the "organization" line.

That should answer your question.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
>
> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > Hi Bill Cheek.
> > By looking at your header info it is OBVIOUSLY you.
> >
> > Billy Thunder wrote:
> >
> > Path:
> > spool1!news.sprintisp.com!sprintisp!su-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet
.com!news.bbnplanet.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!204.210.0.20!new
sfeed.san.rr.com!usenet
> > From: "Billy Thunder" <bthunder@san.rr.com>
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> > Subject: Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!
> > Date: 11 Sep 1997 04:54:15 GMT
> > Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
> > Message-ID: <01bcbe6f$240fe500$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
> > References: <3416F13E.7F0D@usa.net> <3416ffdc.66484817@news.flash.net>
> > <5v711v$gq6@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com> <3417453A.3345@usa.net>
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: dt2h3n25.san.rr.com
> > X-Newsreader: Microsoft Internet News 4.70.1161
> John,
> You must have your wires crossed somewhere. I don't see any mention of
> bills address here. In fact, I begin to wonder who is really being a
> troublemaker here (most likely, you).

1051. FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Kirksey <tkirksey@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3418B1B3.6DDE@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 186701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap

I have a Yupiteru MVT-7100 for sale.

Frequency Range: 15khz - 1600Mhz continuous (NO BLOCKS)
Modes: AM - FM (wide & narrow) - SSB - CW
Memory: 1000 channels in 10 banks

To sweeten the deal I will throw in an internal 33.6k modem.

$400 or best offer.

tkirksey@bellsouth,net

1052. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy B <RandyB@DNC.Net>
Message-ID: <34188359.69AA@DNC.Net>
Source record: 186702

ScanSkip wrote:
>
> I have never seen a more dismaying collection of comments than those that
> have been posted by the idiots that have contributed to this thread. Never
> before has there been a bigger assembly of anit-semites, anti-free speech
> fools, pro-gun toting morons and anti-liberal Nazis in one place. You folks
> need to get the hell out of this country. You filthy bastards are stinking
> up the place.

Why don't you go eat a pound of prunes pal? you'll probably feel better
afterwards. You're the one who's full of it.

1053. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341A0891.7D9D@usa.net>
Source record: 186353

Then WHY ARE you bringing it up here?

David Starr wrote:
> What happens between you and Bill via email is between the two of you.
> Why bring it here ?

1054. Re: Is there a mobile mounting brkt for the 2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mac <gmh@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3419BE8E.3535@ameritech.net>
Source record: 186354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tried to respond to ur e-mail address but it must be spam proof - the mail was returned. I have the RS mobile bracket for the 2006. It was hard to find until I talked with customer service at RS. They had it in a special consumer products catalog, or so she said. It is a great bracket. I mounted the 2006 on it, plus drilled holes in the bracket to mount a BCT-7 on top of that. The bracket supports both and sit atop a mobile phone pedastal. Grove, I found later had the same bracket available but it was $10 higher than RS. I ordered mine 2 yrs ago.

Mac

1055. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pooch <tpoche@pop3.concentric.net>
Message-ID: <341A252F.7F2A@pop3.concentric.net>
Source record: 186355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

ma5ter@127.0.0.1 wrote:
>
> Pooch wrote:
> >
> > Ike wrote:
> > >
> > > Brad Cuppy wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Ike wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> > > > > conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> > > > > and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> > > > > the listening.
> > > >
> > > > You should have not discussed what was used against you.
> > > > That's your problem. Should have used a landline phone.
> > > >
> > > > > I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> > > > > would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> > > > > the way it came out of the box!
> > > >
> > > > I'll do that when you, Congress, or CTIA buys the radio for
> > > > me. If I pay for it myself, then I have every right to
> > > > modify it to perform the way I want it to.
> > > >
> > > > > I will call and offer support for HR2369!
> > > >
> > > > Seig Heil ! Citizenry control is #1. Heil Hitler !
> > >
> > > The only reason you are modifying the electronics
> > > is to do something that is not legal ... it's the
> > > same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
> > > so when you transmit your signal, it causes
> > > interference with nearby radios & tv's. You
> > > do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
> > > the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
> > > that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
> > > in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
> > > big house ... why don't you spend your time
> > > doing something constructive?
> > Ya gotta understand Ike, this is a bunch of people that have no life. So

> > they sit around trying to creep into someone else's. Makes them feel
> > like real men.
>
> They never said they were cops.
Yeah, kinda like you huh CS, so ya found your nuts long enough to tell
us what you do for a living?

1056. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341A0973.A49@usa.net>
Source record: 186356

It is obvious that you know little about how the internet functions.
Sure, an organizational line is EASY to forge. The "path" &
"posting host" is nearly impossible.

Tim Shoppa wrote:
> It answers no question. It's trivial to forge just about any part
> of a Usenet header, with the exception of the "first part" of the
> "Path:" line and the "Posting host". Even these fields can be forged
> by someone who has the ability to inject news at intermediate sites.
> Take a look at my "Organization:" line if you believe it's hard to
> fake that line.

1057. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341A0A64.3305@usa.net>
Source record: 186357

what does this have to do with scanning?

Bill wrote:
>
> On Fri, 12 Sep 1997 04:12:27 GMT, geoxvet@worldnest.att.net (George Vetterle)
> wrote:
>
> >glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:
> >
> >>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>> what does this have to do with scanning?
> >>>
> >>> bill conduit
> >>> etc.
> >>
> >>What does this have to do with scanning?
> >>
> >>--
> >>glenq@cyberhighway.net
> >>WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM
> >>
> >>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
> >
> >What does this have to do with scanning?
> >
> >---
> >
> >George
> >
> >geovet@ix.netcom.com
>
> What does this have to do with scanning?

b

b

b

b

b

b

1058. 8megs, $30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc <marc@kosher.com>
Message-ID: <341A0850.B30BBCF2@kosher.com>
Source record: 186358

8 single meg simms 30pin chips for $30.

will ship for free.

1059. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pooch <tpoche@pop3.concentric.net>
Message-ID: <341A25BC.13B4@pop3.concentric.net>
Source record: 186359
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

```
Patrick wrote:
>
> Brad Cuppy wrote:
> >
> > Ike wrote:
> >
> > > It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> > > conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> > > and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> > > the listening.
> >
> > You should have not discussed what was used against you.
> > That's your problem. Should have used a landline phone.
> >
> > > I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> > > would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> > > the way it came out of the box!
> >
> > I'll do that when you, Congress, or CTIA buys the radio for
> > me. If I pay for it myself, then I have every right to
> > modify it to perform the way I want it to.
> >
> > > I will call and offer support for HR2369!
> >
> > Seig Heil ! Citizenry control is #1. Heil Hitler !
>
> DUCK BRAD!!!!!!!!!! It's a black helicopter.
Nah, Patrick it's the X-Files! hehheh
```

1060. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341A08D4.6AA6@usa.net>
Source record: 186360

What a bunch of mindless, senseless rambling. Hey Bill, are you gonna
start making your racist comments again?

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> Question: does this idea then hold that anyone can say "I did not
> post that" and be truthful?"
>
> based on that statement...cannot the originator be found out
> based on where they posted from using their address to get the
> initial stages ready for posting...?
>
> back to the source...cannot that be found in light of
> the forgers work?
>
> bill conduit
> etc

1061. Re: MANY SCANNERS FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob9000 <bob9000@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <341A0B16.6CF6@concentric.net>
Source record: 186361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bob9000 wrote:
>
> Leaving hobby so I have these radios for sale.
>
> Radio Shack 2042 with full cellular os535 board installed. good
> condition with antenna and manual $475.00
>
> Radio Shack 2035 with european 2042 chip in it for full cellular and
> also features of a 2042 with os535 board installed Box, Manual, service
> manual, $550.00
>
> BC3000 400 channel handheld good condition with manual and antenna.
> No charger. $175.00
>
> RS pro 2037 200 channel base scanner excellent condition with box and
> manual. $125.00
>
> BC700A base/mobile scanner with preprogrammed frequencies for police
> fire marine air plus room to store some of your own. Mint condition
> $100.00
>
> all prices include shipping in USA. Postal Money order only or I can
> also take visa,mc,discover through my business. E-mail or call me.
> BOB 847-356-5665

RS 2042 is sold and the Bearcat 3000 is gone also.

1062. Re: REQ:Dallas PD Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: plipps@onramp.net
Message-ID: <plipps-1209972254590001@isdn-hg1-48.dllstx.onramp.net>
Source record: 186362

Chris,

Try the Dallas Police home page at <http://www.airmail.net/dpd/>, it
should have most of the information you seek.

In article <34187d49.21744051@news.value.net>, kahless@value.net (Chris
Halladay) wrote:

>To all:
>
> Hello! My name is Chris Halladay. I live in the San Francisco bay
>area. I would like to request that someone email me the following
>information regarding the Dallas Police Department and the Dallas Fire
>Department:
>
> Beat map for the entire Dallas PD
> Map indicating the location of every Dallas Fire Station and
>hospital
> List telling me which piece of equipment (truck, engine, etc) is
>at which station
> Codes used by both agencies on the radio
>
> The reason that I am asking for this information is that I enjoy
>monitoring Dallas Police and Dallas Fire over RealAudio. It would make
>things a lot easier to understand if I had this information.
>
> If you can't provide me with this through email, then please
>contact me privately so that I can give you my snail mail address.
>
> Thanks in advance!!
> Chris E. Halladay
> Castro Valley,CA
> kahless@value.net

--
Paul Lipps
plipps@onramp.net

1063. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike1@nospam.visi.com
Message-ID: <mike1-1209971741450001@13-191.dynamic.visi.com>
Source record: 186363
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3419521A.66E5@kktv.com>, Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com> wrote:

> Michael=Schneider wrote:
>
> > Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
> > Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.
> >
> > -- Guy Norman LaFrance
>
> The movie somehow doesn't show the Jews defending themselves
> with firearms since Hollywood is politically correct and
> defending yourself with firearms is politically incorrect.
> When Holocaust came out about 20 years ago, the movie series
> showed the Jews defending themselves in the ghettos with
> firearms.

A couple of people have told me this already, and I would like some
clarification on it.

Did Schindler actually arm the Jews under his protection?

(The Warsaw Ghetto uprisers armed themselves with weapons taken from
raids, and off the bodies of fallen Germans who repeatedly marched into
their traps.)

Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.

-- Guy Norman LaFrance

1064. Re: Thank You (Help with AOR1000xlt)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: spitnspot@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <341A0DB0.2F88CDEC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 186364

Whoops! One more bit of info. My original post was concerning the AOR AR1000xlt and how to program, limit, etc...

1065. Thank You

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Moss <spitnspot@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <341A0CF4.32BBA88D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 186365

Hey just wanted to say THANKS to everyone who wrote! The unfortunate part is that my HDD crashed (physically died) before I could actually print anything out and save to floppy... :(

I really appreciate your assistance and if you would be kind enough to resend that would be great, however I understand that I have already taken up enough of your time.

Thank you for your kindness and assistance!

spitnspot@earthlink.net

1066. ar8000 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul ellison <ellison@harolds.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbf85$b6b063a0$191010ac@ellison.harolds.net>
Source record: 186366

Does anyone happen to have a scanned copy of an ar8000 manual?

Any help or direction would be appreciated by email.

Thanks,

Paul Ellison
Edmond, OK

1067. Re: PA Tuurnpike activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <5vbgev$9r1$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 186453

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:

>In article <5v8r66$ilm@crow.cybercomm.net>, bdano@cybercomm.net (Bill
>Bergadano) wrote:

>> My apologies for not posting this earlier...so many of you were good
>> enough to email me w/PA turnpike frequencies last month re: my trip to
>> Pittsburgh. However- the only frequency with ANY activity was 159.075,
>> which had a lot of useage near Philly and extremly heavy near harrisburg!
>> Bill
>It has been my understanding, that a while back, the PA State police use a
>800 MHz frequency, that is scrambled in some way. That is perhaps why you
>did not pick anything up.

PSP does not use 800MHz at this time. The Turnpike does use a system
of alternating frequency repeaters the length of the mainline turnpike
and related spurs opereated by the PTC.

Begining in the year 2000 PSP and ALL state agencies will begin
migrating to an all digital 800MHz trunking system.

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1068. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@127.0.0.1" <ma5ter@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <34195047.21B@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 186454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Ike wrote:
>
> Greg Dean wrote:
> >
> > kb8uyz.com wrote:
> >
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc
> >
> > Stop HR2369!
> > ------------
> > A new proposed bill has the potential to eliminate the scanner industry,
> > as well as greatly affect other radio services, such as amateur radio,
> > MARS, CAP, news media, and radio hobbyists activities.
> >
> > ** Contact your local Congressional representative and voice your
> > opposition to HR2369. If passed, this bill will make it illegal to
> > sell, trade, manufacture or modify any radio receiver with certain
> > restricted frequencies. Wide band amateur radio transceivers will
> > become illegal, as well as general hobbyists who monitor fire,
> > ambulance, and police radio services.
> >
> > It will also be a crime to own such radio receivers and to listen to
> > these frequencies. Violators will be subject to up to a $500,000 fine
> > and up to 5 years in prison, or both!
> >
> > For more information, please visit our web site at http://kb8uyz.com,
> > where, under the Radio News section, we have the complete bill posted.
> > Also check out the Grove, Monitoring Times web site at
> > http://www.grove.net/mt2369fea.html A complete background to the bill
> > is listed.
> >
> > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill. We will be posting a
> > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
> >
> > 73's
> > Dan KB8UYZ
> > dan@kb8uyz.com
>
> It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> the listening.

>

Then you need either a landline phone or a GSM digital phone. I guess you hate it when people use your other public conversations against you too? If you don't want people reading your mail don't write it on a post card, if you don't want your e-mail to be read, use encription (same with phone calls).

> I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> the way it came out of the box!
>
> I will call and offer support for HR2369!

Even if a scanner is unmodified one can still pickup cell frequencies on their various harmonics. Also, the cell companies keep adding frequencies that are not blocked, even on the newest scanners.

If you have an old television, tune it to UHF ch. 83 (I think, but it is close) and you can get cell calls all day.

The bottom line is, if you want your conversation private you must take the effort to make it private, just like closing the shades on your windows and closing your doors. This legislation will not keep anybody from repeating what happened to you, but you have the power to stop it from happening.

For more info on personal privacy, check http://www.2600.com and do a www search for PGP (they even have a free product from PGP that allows you to have voice conversations on the net using strong encription).

There are several bills being proposed that will make it illegal to keep your communications private, perhaps you should fight against this effort so that you will be allowed to have the privacy you seek.

1069. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <3419521A.66E5@kktv.com>
Source record: 186455
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Michael=Schneider wrote:

> Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
> Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.
>
> -- Guy Norman LaFrance

The movie somehow doesn't show the Jews defending themselves
with firearms since Hollywood is politically correct and
defending yourself with firearms is politically incorrect.
When Holocaust came out about 20 years ago, the movie series
showed the Jews defending themselves in the ghettos with
firearms.

1070. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: i4086@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970912144601.KAA23729@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186456

If you don't like the price, don't buy it. Simple and easy. Just delete the message, and say gee whiz. Charge whatever the market will pay. 2006 is a very nice toy (er.. scanner) and some are willing to mortgage their house for one, well close...
;)

derick
73

1071. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@127.0.0.1" <ma5ter@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <3419559F.45B5@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 186457
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

```
Pooch wrote:
>
> ma5ter@127.0.0.1 wrote:
> >
> > Davie wrote:
> > >
> > > Francis A. Ney, Jr. wrote:
> > >
> > > > Who said it would be the IRS? All it takes is for someone to shove half a key
> > > > of China White through your basement window and wait for the DEA stormtroopers
> > > > to kick in your door.
> > >
> > > Yes,and when they come in, they will, under the Ricoh act, be able to
> > > confiscate ALL of your posessions and auction them off while you try to
> > > PROVE you are not quilty. Good luck.
> >
> > While you are trying to prove you are not guilty, you will be paraded
> > around, like a 20 pt. Buck on the hood of a pickup, to show the
> > community how another dangerous drug dealer was pulled from the streets.
> Ya wanna ride on my new truck? heh heh

Why is it no suprize at all that you continually support cops killing
and jailing the innocent while the criminals go free?
```

1072. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <34195154.7CA2@kktv.com>
Source record: 186458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Ike wrote:

> The only reason you are modifying the electronics
> is to do something that is not legal ... it's the
> same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
> so when you transmit your signal, it causes
> interference with nearby radios & tv's. You
> do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
> the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
> that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
> in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
> big house ... why don't you spend your time
> doing something constructive?

When do we draw the line to where we say no more to
gov't regulations on what we watch or listen to. Would
you be obedient if the gov't decided to outlaw the
receiving of non-domestic (i.e. foreign) broadcast
at the urging of the Liberal Eastern Establishment
Press such as CBS, ABC, etc ?

The couple ought to take this asinine law and
challenge it in court.

As for doing something constructive, this is !
It is called defending my right as an American
to protect my freedom, what little is left
because of intrusive laws and regulations.

Brad

1073. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@127.0.0.1" <ma5ter@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <34195093.1BA5@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 186459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

```
Pooch wrote:
>
> Ike wrote:
> >
> > Brad Cuppy wrote:
> > >
> > > Ike wrote:
> > >
> > > > It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> > > > conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> > > > and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> > > > the listening.
> > >
> > > You should have not discussed what was used against you.
> > > That's your problem. Should have used a landline phone.
> > >
> > > > I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> > > > would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> > > > the way it came out of the box!
> > >
> > > I'll do that when you, Congress, or CTIA buys the radio for
> > > me. If I pay for it myself, then I have every right to
> > > modify it to perform the way I want it to.
> > >
> > > > I will call and offer support for HR2369!
> > >
> > > Seig Heil ! Citizenry control is #1. Heil Hitler !
> >
> > The only reason you are modifying the electronics
> > is to do something that is not legal ... it's the
> > same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
> > so when you transmit your signal, it causes
> > interference with nearby radios & tv's. You
> > do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
> > the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
> > that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
> > in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
> > big house ... why don't you spend your time
> > doing something constructive?
> Ya gotta understand Ike, this is a bunch of people that have no life. So
> they sit around trying to creep into someone else's. Makes them feel
> like real men.
```

They never said they were cops.

1074. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <341954E8.166B@kktv.com>
Source record: 186460

The CB Guy wrote:
>
> What limit on the encryption do you think you'll stop at?
> Most cell phones are now in the process of being digital. I would think
> this is a form of encrypting, however I will bet that someone will feel the
> need to get around it too.
> Bottom line, in my opinion, nothing is wrong to listen too (thats right I
> said NOTHING) .
> It's what happens after it's heard that can make it a crime.

Thank You !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Goes back to the original intent
of the 1934 Comm Act. You can listen to any frequency as long
as you don't divulge the contents there of !!!!!!!

Such as simple concept ! Digital encryption is simple
to implement, but would be a pain to decrypt except
for a savy few.

Brad

1075. MANY SCANNERS FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob9000 <bob9000@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <3419563B.435C@concentric.net>
Source record: 186461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Leaving hobby so I have these radios for sale.

Radio Shack 2042 with full cellular os535 board installed. good condition with antenna and manual $475.00

Radio Shack 2035 with european 2042 chip in it for full cellular and also features of a 2042 with os535 board installed Box, Manual, service manual, $550.00

BC3000 400 channel handheld good condition with manual and antenna. No charger. $175.00

RS pro 2037 200 channel base scanner excellent condition with box and manual. $125.00

BC700A base/mobile scanner with preprogrammed frequencies for police fire marine air plus room to store some of your own. Mint condition $100.00

all prices include shipping in USA. Postal Money order only or I can also take visa,mc,discover through my business. E-mail or call me. BOB 847-356-5665

1076. FS ICOM R-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ben.lent@pi.net
Message-ID: <34195aee.145348@news.pi.net>
Source record: 186462

Prijs Fl 550.-

Tel 0654293969

1077. AR8000 + Scout + Acc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald R. Hoenstine" <RickHoenstine@msn.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbf8c$23b4a820$3a962399@donaldho>
Source record: 186463

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

For Sale 1 AR8000 #0223xx + 1 Opto. Scout 40 #274xxx
1 Opto CX12 All Manuals, Cases, Boxes, and Cables

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.0
Charset: noconv

iQCVAwUBNBlYIfvF3ccO5IiVAQGPGgP/cH8CAnYxkrnVP6LTq6UgniU69NBSuCNj
er4gI1fnKmHAyg3b9JG6EgPbIxn4RckFpkR7c8Q/7dK1mvyEBcaoe6YAWhGWTRmT
cBa9ij+7Jh87f3tbJXdKBTKNrIx2mI99d1z02tVgaWFtk+IcQ4Ftvn3zKvVrtHva
FVev4IX46Eg=
=oQwd
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1078. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@eat_spam.fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <3419665B.167E@eat_spam.fnal.gov>
Source record: 186464

LA wrote:
>
> Today I broke federal law. I ripped the tag off my matress.
>

It's not illegal for the consumer to remove these tags.

(Remove "eat_spam." to reply or use the address below)
(Rick) Colombo@fnal.gov

1079. Re: I have lot's of frequencies I'm willing to trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: prografx@teleport.com
Message-ID: <5vbo72mug1@nadine.teleport.com>
Source record: 186465

"They" found out he was trading frequencies that didn't belong to him so they hauled him of to the "big house" to do some hard time for his questionable radio activities.

The scanner police are getting tougher...

Steve

1080. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pooch <tpoche@pop3.concentric.net>
Message-ID: <34198FE2.57B7@pop3.concentric.net>
Source record: 186466
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

ma5ter@127.0.0.1 wrote:
>
> Pooch wrote:
> >
> > ma5ter@127.0.0.1 wrote:
> > >
> > > Davie wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Francis A. Ney, Jr. wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Who said it would be the IRS? All it takes is for someone to shove half a key
> > > > > of China White through your basement window and wait for the DEA stormtroopers
> > > > > to kick in your door.
> > > >
> > > > Yes,and when they come in, they will, under the Ricoh act, be able to
> > > > confiscate ALL of your posessions and auction them off while you try to
> > > > PROVE you are not quilty. Good luck.
> > >
> > > While you are trying to prove you are not guilty, you will be paraded
> > > around, like a 20 pt. Buck on the hood of a pickup, to show the
> > > community how another dangerous drug dealer was pulled from the streets.
> > Ya wanna ride on my new truck? heh heh
>
> Why is it no suprize at all that you continually support cops killing
> and jailing the innocent while the criminals go free?
Why is it no "suprize" that you cannot produce any evidence to support
you claims, CS?

1081. FS Comtel 205 Scanner range 25 to 1300 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ben.lent@pi.net
Message-ID: <34195a84.38924@news.pi.net>
Source record: 186467

prijs Fl 450.-

Tel 0654293969

1082. Bearcat (electra)250 brain dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: New call VE2QUE <vo2apl@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <34198F6F.4897@netrover.com>
Source record: 186468

when power is on only a small hisssssss,no display or sensitivity
have a clue?
thanks

1083. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970912152101.LAA19442@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186469

>Subject: Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond
>From: i4086@aol.com (I4086)
>Date: 12 Sep 1997 14:46:55 GMT
>Message-id: <19970912144601.KAA23729@ladder02.news.aol.com>

>some are willing to mortgage their house
>for one, well close...

Well sure, if they are either homeless or live in a packing crate.

1084. monmouth unversity freq nj

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jurcioli@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970912174101.NAA02993@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186470

if anyone knows any freqs active for monmouth unversity in west long branch new jersey please post thank you

1085. Re: New RS Dicone assembly question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: davem@cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <5vbsr2$m5l@harpo.cs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 186471

charv@videotron.ca writes:
>I assume it wont affect reception, like you say. My concern was more
>towards the SWR aspect as I will be transmitting on 2 of the resonant
>frequencies of this antenna (2meter/70cm).

Antennas are reciprocal devices. If something doesn't affect reception, it won't affect transmission either. And as long as the whip is well connected electrically to the top of the discone, the presence or absence of an extra nut shouldn't matter.

In addition, the whip is there only for low frequencies. The 2 meter and 70 cm bands will be transmitted by the discone part of the antenna, not the whip, so you could remove the whip entirely and likely have no effect on the behaviour of the antenna on these frequencies. I use the older Radio Shack discone (no whip) for transmitting on these two bands, and it works fine.

Dave

1086. Re: Canadian weather freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <5vbnp1$dsr@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 186472

The CB Guy (thecbguy@aol.com) writes:
> Well I have tried the web, now I'll rely on the folks in the ng.
> Does anyone know if the Kanucks have a weather service similar to NOAA?
> If they do where can I find the weather radio frequencies for them?
>
Gee Canada is kind of big, eh! Could you narrow the search down a bit???
In Ottawa they use 162.400, and 162.550 is used in some other areas.
AFAIK they use the same sets of frequencies that the NOAA uses, so just
try until you find them, or search in the 161.99 to 163.0 range for starts.

1087. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <3419B3BE.6B6F@datasync.com>
Source record: 186473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Brad Cuppy wrote:
>
> Pooch wrote:
>
> > >
> > > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill (HR2369). We will be posting a
> > > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
> > >
> > > 73's
> > > Dan KB8UYZ
> > > dan@kb8uyz.com
>
> > I'll be glad to call and SUPPORT the bill. My conversations are none of
> > your business!
>
> FLAME BAIT ALERT !!!!!!!!! I'll bite. If you keep it on a
> landline phone or encrypt, otherwise, it is fair game.

HELLO ALL

this is not about being legal or not..this is not about someone having a
life or not..THIS IS ABOUT 1 MORE WAY YOUR GOVT INTRUDES on your daily
life...they should not do this this is a bad bill not thought out and
not
well written..go back an ACTULLY READ the bill and think about what it
says an DO NOT REACT EMOTIONALLY...no the govt is not all bad however
this particular bill is...I also believe that those out there that dont
want conversations heard should get techonology oriented and encrypt
or get freqq hopping phones and radios, they are out there.. you got
locks for your houses and i could noame many more exampels..locks only
keep honest people honest..however back basics..this is your govts way
of trying one more time to CONTROL you

DON
nci-1081

1088. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <bhay@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <34196AD2.5DE6@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 186474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Ike wrote:
>
>
> It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> the listening.
>
> I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> the way it came out of the box!
>
> I will call and offer support for HR2369!

Sure... Let's pass another law because there are a few folks with the IQ of a tomato plant who are dumb enough to use an open frequency for discussions that should be made elsewhere... This is a great example of the dumbing down of America... Thanks for sharing with us Ike - Now go back to learning how to set the clock on your VCR.

Bill

Washington DC is just Hollywood for those who
are unattractive

1089. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Robertson <rr04@gte.com>
Message-ID: <341972F5.7E8B@gte.com>
Source record: 186475
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Billy Beck wrote:
>
> asshole:
>
> >You're looking at the same bloody thing, today, but brought up to
> >date on the streets of L.A. and Miami, for instance, with rap and Glocks,
> >compared to Chicago, Thompsons, and ragtime fifty years ago.
>
> <doh!>
>
> So...it was seventy years ago. Who's counting?

The birthdays are just whizzing by, eh Billy? You're not still
wearing that onion on your belt, are you?

> Billy
>
> Anthology
> http://www.mindspring.com/~wjb3/free/essays.html

_
Rob "Tell it to your Aunt Tilly" Robertson

1090. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGEsvD.7r8@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186476

Rick Colombo <colombo@eat_spam.fnal.gov> wrote:
>LA wrote:
>>
>> Today I broke federal law. I ripped the tag off my matress.
>>
>
>It's not illegal for the consumer to remove these tags.

Yet.

Actually, I seem to recall hearing about a law covering one such
instance where it is illegal for anyone to remove a certain "tag"
on a product... even at the consumer level. (and I'm not thinking
of the FCC Certification tags, which you shouldn't remove either.)

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

1091. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@tivoli.com>
Message-ID: <341993EE.6170@tivoli.com>
Source record: 186477

D Stark wrote:
>
>
> I don't mean to dispute your allegation that this sort of thing may be
> happening. I do want to point out that such wholesale surveillance
> and dossier collecting on the general citizenry violates the
> Constitution.

Whew! I feel better already. Our illustrious federal government
would never dream of violating the Constitution, would they?

FTFG,
Jeff

1092. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd1hz@anomaly.ideamation.com.nospam
Message-ID: <5vc038$22h@anomaly.ideamation.com>
Source record: 186478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

In article <5vaad9$n36@frogger.lm.com>,
Chuck Mandus <cdmandus@frogger.lm.com> wrote:

>That will not do a thing. If you were worried about the possible
>interception of your cordless phone calls, then you should have went to a
>land-line. I had a cordless phone for a long time and when I wanted to
>guarantee privacy, I would say "wait a minute" and go to a land-line, aka,
>a corded and secure phone.

Exactly. I refer to my cell-phone as "the mobile public-address system",
my cordless as "the portable public-address system" and my normal, non-
cordless handset as "the semi-secure line" (since, as well all know, there
is no such thing as *real* security, unless you encrypt the communications.)

MD
--
-- Ted Kennedy has killed more people with his car than I have
-- with my guns.
--
-- If you don't like my opinions, that's just too damn bad.

1093. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd Little <little@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3419A0B6.91595590@ameritech.net>
Source record: 186479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Ike wrote:
>
> Brad Cuppy wrote:
> >
> > Ike wrote:
> >
> > > It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> > > conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> > > and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> > > the listening.
> >
> > You should have not discussed what was used against you.
> > That's your problem. Should have used a landline phone.
> >
> > > I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> > > would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> > > the way it came out of the box!
> >
> > I'll do that when you, Congress, or CTIA buys the radio for
> > me. If I pay for it myself, then I have every right to
> > modify it to perform the way I want it to.
> >
> > > I will call and offer support for HR2369!
> >
> > Seig Heil ! Citizenry control is #1. Heil Hitler !
>
> The only reason you are modifying the electronics
> is to do something that is not legal

That's a pretty big assumption. I modify electronics
all the time for completely legitimate purposes. Sometimes
those modifications have a side effect of allowing the
reception of "prohibited" frequencies.

Personally I have a hard time having any sympathy for someone
that has their communication intercepted when they've taken
absolutely no effort to prevent such interception. It's
like shouting across the street to one neighbor that you
think another neighbor is a scum bug, then being upset
that the other neighbor overheard your conversation. People
who live in glass houses shouldn't throw stones.

Besides, legislating that someone can't receive the radio signals that are entering their own house is absurd. The existing laws that preventing using such information were more than adequate. If you want secure communication, ask your cellular provider to adopt decades old technology and provide you with a reasonably secure link. If instead you choose to be like the emperor with his new clothes and parade yourself around in public nude, don't expect people to avert their eyes.

>... it's the
> same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
> so when you transmit your signal, it causes
> interference with nearby radios & tv's.

Unfortunately this is completely contrary to what the FCC has found. In a recent study, they found that legally operated CBs and amateur radio stations caused an inordinate amount of interference because of poorly designed consumer electronic devices. They found that the fault almost always lies with the device receiving the interference as opposed to the device transmitting a signal. Telephones are a perfect example. When a corded telephone receives RFI it is clearly improperly operating as a radio receiver and the fault lies in its design, not in the transmitter it is unintentionally receiving.

> You do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
> the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
> that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
> in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
> big house ... why don't you spend your time
> doing something constructive?

You just said "the innocent couple" and then proceeded to sentence them to serve time. Do you really understand the issues and are you sure of your convictions? Passing unenforceable laws that can only be enforced by invading the privacy of one's home is unacceptable. You have been duped by the cellular and PCS lobbyists. Their *ONLY* goal is to cut their costs and improve their bottom line. If they can do that by having congress pass untenable laws instead of taking prudent measures to ensure the privacy of your communication, they will do it. That you expect the average citizen to submit to their whims and be subject to unreasonable legislation only speaks to the efficacy of their means.

73,
Todd
N9MWB

--
Unsolicited business offers sent to my e-mail address will be considered
a request for me to proofread the offer and in turn reimburse me $500
for my time and effort for each offer received. Receipt of said e-mail
constitutes acceptance of this offer. Let dick@durbin.senate.gov
and senator@moseley-braun.senate.gov know what you think of e-mail SPAM.
Support the anti-Spam amendment, see http://www.cauce.org/

1094. My New Web Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty Blei <jmap@idt.net>
Message-ID: <3419B310.4F13@idt.net>
Source record: 186480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,misc.emerg-services,nyc.forsale

Hi: Please check out my new web page at

http://www.expage.com/page/radios

It has loads of Radios, Lights For Sale!!

1095. Re: Help with ICOM IC-71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billc@rust.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbfbc$b73211c0$8b4445d1@mcsppro200>
Source record: 186481

Jim:

Yes, ICOM has an adapter that does mount in one of the areas you observed.
Short-wave radios however, do not benefit much from being computer
controlled, like VHF/UHF scanners do.

Bill Crocker

Jim <ziggy@vsi.net> wrote in article
<3419fa69.3388658@news2.microserve.net>...
> I just got a used Icom IC-71A. Can this radio be computer controlled?
> On the back there is no remote outlet. It looks like there are items
> that can be added to the rear because there are two covers screwed on
> the back panel. I have ScanCat computer program. Thanks.
>

1096. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vc8gh$f4k@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186482

In <5vc1ksbsm1@nntp.ucs.ubc.ca> shoppa@alph02.triumf.ca (Tim Shoppa)
writes:
>
>In article <3418C79A.4B05@usa.net>,
>John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>>Phoenix-
>>Look at the "organization" line.
>>That should answer your question.
>
>It answers no question. It's trivial to forge just about any part
>of a Usenet header, with the exception of the "first part" of the
>"Path:" line and the "Posting host". Even these fields can be forged
>by someone who has the ability to inject news at intermediate sites.
>Take a look at my "Organization:" line if you believe it's hard to
>fake that line.
>
>Tim. (shoppa@triumf.a)

Question: does this idea then hold that anyone can say "I did not
post that" and be truthful?"

based on that statement...cannot the originator be found out
based on where they posted from using their address to get the
initial stages ready for posting...?

back to the source...cannot that be found in light of
the forgers work?

bill conduit
etc

1097. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vc1vo$17ia$4@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186483

In article <34188354.2833@one.net>, ike@one.net wrote:

>> ** Contact your local Congressional representative and voice your
>> opposition to HR2369. If passed, this bill will make it illegal to
>> sell, trade, manufacture or modify any radio receiver with certain
>> restricted frequencies. Wide band amateur radio transceivers will
>> become illegal, as well as general hobbyists who monitor fire,
>> ambulance, and police radio services.
>>

>I will call and offer support for HR2369!

H.R. 2369 will criminalize ALL RADIO RECEPTION outside the ham, CB and
broadcast bands. Is that what you want? Heil Hitler!

1098. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rollo@trib.com
Message-ID: <5vch4g$a3b@news.trib.com>
Source record: 186484
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

david084@flash.net (David...) wrote:

>Andy Domonkos wrote:
>>
>> Wow, support for Al Gore and his philosophy of the govt's owing rights to
>> everyone's comms one we go to an all-digital mode. Too bad not all of you
>> folks work in the comm field to see what the Whitehouse is REALLY pushing
>> for.
>>
>> I just quit a job working for a govt contractor specializing in
>> surveillance/counter surveillance electronics. The media portrayed 'Militia
>> Paranoia' pales in comparison to our govt's. What/who are they afraid of,
>> an informed public.
>>
>> Since I quit I can finally sleep at night again....
>>
>> Andy
>>

> Hey ANDY!

> Tell us what you have seen, or know is going on. What is our
>government up to?

>*** DO NOT READ THESE WEBPAGES !!! ***
> NO LIBERALS ALLOWED!
>http://webusers.anet-stl.com/~civil/imamericadying.html
>http://www.instanet.com/~vct/
If you think that government will not squash an individual, look at
what Gaiienger Gov. of wyoming did to a webpage on aol.
my source of schematic diagrams: http://w3.trib.com/~rollo/bomcat.htm

1099. *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: masa@writemeNOSPAM.com
Message-ID: <3419aa96.2500302@news.total.net>
Source record: 186485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

*** Transmit without license !! It's possible !!***

Go to Antarctica. You can do whatever you want in south pole.

Good luck.

M@S@
Student @ McGill University Science
Montreal, Quebec, Canada
E-mail : Masa@WriteMe.NOSPAMcom
URL : http://www.geocities.com/~masataka (General)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/main.htm (Radio)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/mcgill.htm (McGill University)

Remove "NOSPAM" to reply.

WARNING: I will not buy/response any products from unsolicited e-mails !!

1100. Re: Any ideas on how to get school frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <34199816.1179@videotron.ca>
Source record: 186486

Yaesu50R wrote:
>
> My children go to a public school in California. I work near enough to be
> able to receive the motorola handhelds that are common on campus. I would
> like to be able to keep abreast of school activities especially here in
> earthquake country. I don't want to spend the money on a Scout because I
> am not interested in collecting a lot of frequencies. The school is pretty
> stuffy so I don't think they would happily give up the frequencies. Help
> please?
> NoUnsolicitedJunkMailYaesu50R@aol.com

Dear Yaesu50R:

Look for a local or national frequency guide. They are the best and most
complete reference to frequencies such as the one you are looking for.
Very handy indeed:)

Vince

1101. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vc1s4$17ia$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186487

In article <19970911133901.JAA24814@ladder01.news.aol.com>, ekim233@aol.com (EKIM233) wrote:
>It is against the Law to monitor, Cellular Phones, Cordless Phones, Mobile
>Phones, Ship to Shore Phones, Pager, SMR, and the list goes on an on. Soon
>you will be able to use your scanner for a paper weight. Sucks don't it.

It is not illegal to monitor SMR. SMR is trunking.

1102. Re: Incorrect statement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vc2ce$1bks$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186488

In article <EGDnt4.6My@moraga.ness.com>, tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:
>In article <5va0ht$6hs@snews3.zippo.com>, Jeff <jeffl@dynasty.net> wrote:

>> the United States. Now, because of emerging digital technologies, the
>>Federal
>> Communications Commission has rules requiring that scanners be incapable
>>of
>> converting digital cellular transmissions to voice audio.

>>I am correct atleast as of TODAY that last line on digital is a complete
>>LIE???

No, you're not. The law does indeed prohibit demodulating digital
cellular telephone transmissions. Take a look at ECPA (18 USC 2510
through 2520). It's in there.

1103. Pro 34 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy <randy@vvm.com>
Message-ID: <3419D285.CD1356BB@vvm.com>
Source record: 186489

I purchased a Pro 34 hand held scanner by mail. I have not recieved it
yet, but interested in any and all mods that are available for it...
please email me if you know or have any.... or know of mod sites that
may list them Thanks de Randy
randy@vvm.com

--
Please visit our site at http://www.vvm.com/~tcarc/tcarc.htm
Have a nice day !

1104. Re: Pro 34 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Taylor <N3TEL@EROLS.COM>
Message-ID: <3419D76F.149F@EROLS.COM>
Source record: 186490

QRZ.COM has a lot of radio mods, including scanner mods.
for this scanner, you need to be a little handy with a soldering iron,
as you need to unsolder, and then re-solder the antenna connections,
the on/off connections, 1 tin plated cover, and 1 ground wire.
If i could remeber the diode number to clip, i'd tell you here, but i am
not sure. it is a regular diode, with leads, just clip one lead, and
bend a little out of the way.

1105. Re: New RS Dicone assembly question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <341999DC.6847@videotron.ca>
Source record: 186491

Dave Martindale wrote:

Antennas are reciprocal devices. If something doesn't affect
reception,
> it won't affect transmission either. And as long as the whip is well
> connected electrically to the top of the discone, the presence or
> absence of an extra nut shouldn't matter.
>
> In addition, the whip is there only for low frequencies. The 2 meter and
> 70 cm bands will be transmitted by the discone part of the antenna, not
> the whip, so you could remove the whip entirely and likely have no
> effect on the behaviour of the antenna on these frequencies. I use the
> older Radio Shack discone (no whip) for transmitting on these two
> bands, and it works fine.
>
> Dave

Dave:

Thanks for the reply. I suppose my question re: SWR was a no-brainer
since it only concerns the resonant part of the RS discone - which
excludes the vertical whip (50Mhz).

I'm curious - are you getting good reports on 2 meters/ 70 cms with the
discone and what kind of range are you geeting (what height is it off
the ground).

'73,,,

Vince

1106. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vc35q$1bks$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186492

In article <3417AD63.7C6D@flash.net>, david084@flash.net wrote:

> It is their job to keep track of any potential problem makers.
> If you speak out against the federal government, especially if you
> post it on the net where it is easy for them to find, there is a fine
> chance that a file has been started on you where comments concerning
> your posts and or lifestyle are kept in the event there is a need to
> refer to them in the future. This is the job of the FBI.

I don't mean to dispute your allegation that this sort of thing may be
happening. I do want to point out that such wholesale surveillance
and dossier collecting on the general citizenry violates the
Constitution.

1107. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbryant@cannet.com
Message-ID: <5vc3n2$ijr@akron5.neo.lrun.com>
Source record: 186493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

>For more info on personal privacy, check http://www.2600.com and do a
>www search for PGP (they even have a free product from PGP that allows
>you to have voice conversations on the net using strong encription).
>
>There are several bills being proposed that will make it illegal to keep
>your communications private, perhaps you should fight against this
>effort so that you will be allowed to have the privacy you seek.

It seems like a double standard at work. The government wants to protect your privacy for you, so don't you dare try to do it yourself. Maybe that's why good encription software is so hard to find. (with the exception of PGP.)

Tom - N8ECW

1108. Re: monmouth unversity freq nj

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3419DDD6.5539@prodigy.net>
Source record: 186494

JUrcioli wrote:
>
> if anyone knows any freqs active for monmouth unversity in west long
> branch new jersey please post thank you
If this is the same as monmouth college the freqs are:
39.46
151.805
151.835
154.875
155.070

1109. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: shoppa@alph02.triumf.ca
Message-ID: <5vc1ksbsm1@nntp.ucs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 186495

In article <3418C79A.4B05@usa.net>,
John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>Phoenix-
>Look at the "organization" line.
>That should answer your question.

It answers no question. It's trivial to forge just about any part
of a Usenet header, with the exception of the "first part" of the
"Path:" line and the "Posting host". Even these fields can be forged
by someone who has the ability to inject news at intermediate sites.
Take a look at my "Organization:" line if you believe it's hard to
fake that line.

Tim. (shoppa@triumf.a)

1110. Re: Here are CIA Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: pausto <pausto@Eerols.com>
Message-ID: <3419DE0C.F52@Eerols.com>
Source record: 186496

Chris & Jamie Mason wrote:
>
> sierrahotel@delphi.com wrote:
> >
> > BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.co> writes:
> >
> > >>> >Sorry, I know it was mean to play this trick on you, but I really
> > >nead
> > >>> >help. I recently bought a Realestic pro-2001 scanner and I can't
> > >figuar
> > >>> >out how to scan the channels. What I mean by that is I can't
> > >figuar out
> > >>> >how to scan all of the chanels 41.00-42.00. If you know how to
> > >help me,
> > >>> >please reply. I'm begging you!
> > >>> >Nick
> >
> > The first thing this guy has to do is to be intelligent enough to spell
> > properly.... because of this I don't think he can read worth a rat's
> > gludimus maximus.
> >
> > Tom
>
> That is gluteus maximus....

Ellipsis? Three dots, not four.
--

1111. SMR's in SF Bay area ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3419A645.EA3500C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186497
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Now that I've got Alameda county (SF Bay area)locked and loaded and most all talkgroups ID'd, I was wondering if there are any business trunked systems in the SF Bay area that can be monitored with the TT.(SMR's) If so, could you provide a fleetmap or proper programming. I would be glad to share all ID's I have for Alameda County also. Thanks

Monty

1112. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rick etter <retter@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3419F159.1478@bright.net>
Source record: 186498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Ike wrote:
>
> It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> the listening.
>
> I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> the way it came out of the box!
>
> I will call and offer support for HR2369!
==========

The airwaves are suppose to belong to all, if you don't want someone to listen, don't beam a signal into their HOME!!! Once it hits their property I feel it should be theirs to Rx.

--
CANOE NORTH!
Rick Etter
http://www.bright.net/~retter

1113. Needed: pro-2006/POC32 info needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy B <RandyB@DNC.Net>
Message-ID: <3419DEA8.5839@DNC.Net>
Source record: 186499

Greetings Group,

I am using a Pro-2006 and running POC-32 for pocsag decoding. I had been using the headphone out into my soundcard and it mananged to decode it 100%. I'd like to use the audio out on the back of my 2006 but the amplitude is too high. POC32 can only be run with a low audio level into the soundcard, is there an internal pot in the Pro-2006 that I could use to lower that level? I'm currently using a Radio Shack inline headphone volume control as an attenuater. Any info would be appreciated. (I'm ordering a Pro-2006 manual soon.)

On another note, POC32 does accept two scanner inputs, so I piped my Pro-51 into the soundcard as well, (I just mute the computer sound to preserve my wife's sanity) It's a great program and it's freeware.

Randy B.
Corvallis, Or.

--
"If you don't know where you're going, any road will get you there."

1114. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rick etter <retter@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3419F29B.E01@bright.net>
Source record: 186500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Michael P. Deignan wrote:
>
> In article <5vaad9$n36@frogger.lm.com>,
> Chuck Mandus <cdmandus@frogger.lm.com> wrote:
>
> >That will not do a thing. If you were worried about the possible
> >interception of your cordless phone calls, then you should have went to a
> >land-line. I had a cordless phone for a long time and when I wanted to
> >guarantee privacy, I would say "wait a minute" and go to a land-line, aka,
> >a corded and secure phone.
>
> Exactly. I refer to my cell-phone as "the mobile public-address system",
> my cordless as "the portable public-address system" and my normal, non-
> cordless handset as "the semi-secure line" (since, as well all know, there
> is no such thing as *real* security, unless you encrypt the communications.)
>
> MD
> --
> -- Ted Kennedy has killed more people with his car than I have
> -- with my guns.
> --
> -- If you don't like my opinions, that's just too damn bad.
============================

Problem is, the government is making it illegal to securly encrypt any
transmissions. If they don't have the 'key' you can't use it.

--
CANOE NORTH!
Rick Etter
http://www.bright.net/~retter

1115. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: spam_me_not@shore.net
Message-ID: <5vckh3$smj@fridge-nf0.shore.net>
Source record: 186501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

masa@writemeNOSPAM.com (M@s@) wrote:

>*** Transmit without license !! It's possible !!***

>Go to Antarctica. You can do whatever you want in south pole.

Yeah! You sure can....so long as it falls within the guidelines of
international treaty.

==========
73 de Jim, WK1V
Ex: KA5MWD, N1CLS, KB1LW, HL9ZF
==========

1116. Re: CE232 software trick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341A07B8.1B22@usa.net>
Source record: 186502

Bud Jamison wrote:
> John, SHUT the fuck up, or someone will have to complain to your ISP
> again.

Bud go FUCK YOURSELF, or I will have to complain to YOUR fidonet feed
about YOUR behavior.

BTW- If anyone did bitch to my ISP it didn't work!

1117. Canadian weather freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970912005501.UAA08351@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186560

Well I have tried the web, now I'll rely on the folks in the ng.
Does anyone know if the Kanucks have a weather service similar to NOAA?
If they do where can I find the weather radio frequencies for them?

Thanks very much

The CB Guy

1118. AOR 950 and PRO-60 F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbf19$bc798760$0f4f74cf@mdockeryworldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186561
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

AOR 950 100 ch. 29 to 956 MHz with unblocked 800 band. Has adjustable steps 5,10,12.5,25,30,50 KHz. With manual, antenna, mobile brkt, power supply. $185.00
Pro 60 in new condition with antenna, manual. $185.00. Factory service manual for PRO-26 scanner $15.00. I'll pay shipping on these. COD is $5.00 extra.

Thanks,
Mike Dockery
303-840-0727

1119. TOP of the range Scanning Receiver for sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Norton <nick@swint.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <4vpLzKAOpJG0Ews7@swint.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 186562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.adverts.other,uk.adverts.computer,uk.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,comp.sys.laptops,uk.rec.aviation,demon.adverts

Yupiteru MVT-9000 hand held scanner.
Little used, only a few weeks old.
spec available at http://www.demon.co.uk/javiation/
=A3300 o.n.o.
or will swap for a colour 486 laptop.
--=20
Nick

Museum of 70's 80's Sports & Leisurewear =3D http://www.swint.demon.co.uk/

1120. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970912004700.UAA07639@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186563

>Subject: Re: ALERT!! HR2369
>From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
>Date: Thu, 11 Sep 1997 17:22:26 -0600
>Message-id: <34187D32.790E@kktv.com>
>
>
>Pooch wrote:
>
>> >
>> > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill (HR2369). We will be
>posting a
>> > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
>> >
>> > 73's
>> > Dan KB8UYZ
>> > dan@kb8uyz.com
>
>
>> I'll be glad to call and SUPPORT the bill. My conversations are none of
>> your business!
>
>FLAME BAIT ALERT !!!!!!!!! I'll bite. If you keep it on a
>landline phone or encrypt, otherwise, it is fair game.

What limit on the encryption do you think you'll stop at?
Most cell phones are now in the process of being digital. I would think
this is a form of encrypting, however I will bet that someone will feel the
need to get around it too.
Bottom line, in my opinion, nothing is wrong to listen too (thats right I
said NOTHING) .
It's what happens after it's heard that can make it a crime.

The CB Guy

1121. Re: MNManiac's Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: enterprise@de.com
Message-ID: <34188a7a.5034414@news.airnet.net>
Source record: 186564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 08 Sep 1997 18:27:15 -0500, Hello <hello@eatme.com> wrote:

>Drew, Drew, Drew. Are you getting into trouble again? Found this on
>DejaNews.
>
>
>Subject: The Peoples Republic of Orange County Schools
>From: mnmaniac@aol.com (MN Maniac)
>Date: 1997/06/03
>Message-Id: <19970603012501.VAA21873@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>Newsgroups: fl.politics
>[More Headers]
>
>What do y'all make of this situation?
>
>I'm a "Power Walker", which means I take long, brisk walks as part of my
>daily workout routine. I average 8 miles/day, 6 days/week. I live in a
>fairly new Orange County subdivision with a neighborhood elementary
>school. Since we moved here last July, my daily walks have taken me
>through the parking lot of the school. Not into the school building,
>not
>onto the playground; simply across the length of the parking lot. For
>the
>past 11 months, nobody has had a problem with this. Today, I was
>stopped
>by a security guard and questioned as to what I was doing there. After I
>explained that I lived in the neighborhood and was on my daily walk, I
>was
>told that all "visitors" on the school grounds must sign in and be
>assigned a "badge." When asked why nobody had mentioned anything to me
>over the past 11 months that I have been walking through there on almost
>a
>daily basis, I was told that it was because "a parent called and
>complained. She was concerned about the childrens' safety."
>
>So, let me get this straight...even though I am a law-abiding citizen
>who
>PAYS for that school with my property tax dollars...even though I had
>done
>nothing suspicious, committed no crime, and did nothing to endanger the
>safety of anyone at that school...even though I have no children of my
>own, but yet am forced to pay ridiculously high school taxes to support
>the education of other people's children...I cannot walk through the

>PARKING LOT of my neighborhood school without being given official
>permission to do so, simply because a parent (whose children I am
>supporting with my property tax dollars) feels that my walking through
>the
>school parking lot may be a threat to the "childrens' safety?!"
>
>If there are any legal professionals in the audience, I would like an
>opinion as to whether or not they have a legal right to prohibit me from
>walking on the premises. If not, what is my legal recourse in the event
>that they attempt to force me from the premises?
>
>
>-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
>
Next time you go on the property, I hope they lock your dumbass up for
criminal trespassing. You are one dumb son of a bitch.

1122. Citizens band question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: samosx@inforamp.net
Message-ID: <5va9nk$cst@news.inforamp.net>
Source record: 186565

Is there a news group that deals with citizens band ... or is this the
one?
Thanks
Dan

Dan Bjarnason / Toronto, Canada
(To reply by email, remove x from samosx)

1123. Re: I have lot's of frequencies I'm willing to trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: destarr@tir.com
Message-ID: <3419877a.503454@news.tir.com>
Source record: 186566

On Thu, 11 Sep 1997 22:31:16 GMT, pwrites@sprynet.com wrote:

}The NOAA Weather radio freq. for Flint, Mi is - 162.475Mhz
}
}For a list of all Weather freqs. go to,
}
}http://www.nws.noaa.gov/noaaradio.shtml#mtarget
}
}
}>I'm willing to send him the NOAA frequencies for Flint, MI. if he'll
}>send me all the freqs the Federal Government uses here.

Oh, Man, you blew my trade !! <G>

++
Dave Starr

Professional Shop Rat - 32 years in an Auto Plant

1124. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1109972251320001@pm53-03.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186567

In article <19970911133901.JAA24814@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
ekim233@aol.com (EKIM233) wrote:

> It is against the Law to monitor, Cellular Phones, Cordless Phones, Mobile
> Phones, Ship to Shore Phones, Pager, SMR, and the list goes on an on. Soon
> you will be able to use your scanner for a paper weight. Sucks don't it.
Yea, sucks is being kind. So, are they planning to "lock out" the 49 MHz
cordless frequencies on scanners, or is protection under the law only for
the cellular folks. Does money buy protection under the law? Would not be
the first time, would it! I think the cordless law stinks, but just to
make a point about unfair, selective practices by the feds. So, when I use
my 5 year old Radio Shack analog Patrolman 5 band radio on thje band that
has 49 MHz, how long am I allowed to pause on anythbing I happen to pick
up around 49 MHz. Do I listen just long enough, to realize it is a phone
conversation, or perhaps it is a pair of those walkie-talkies that operate
on the same frequencies! Let's see, how do you tell? Perhaps the brillant
feds can answer that one for me! Perhaps they can pass another law, that
if you are on a phone at 49 MHz, every 2 minutes, you must announce you
are on a phone, and n ot on a walkie-talkie!

1125. Re: PA Tuurnpike activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1109972254430001@pm53-03.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186568

In article <5v8r66$ilm@crow.cybercomm.net>, bdano@cybercomm.net (Bill Bergadano) wrote:

> My apologies for not posting this earlier...so many of you were good
> enough to email me w/PA turnpike frequencies last month re: my trip to
> Pittsburgh. However- the only frequency with ANY activity was 159.075,
> which had a lot of useage near Philly and extremly heavy near harrisburg!
> Bill

It has been my understanding, that a while back, the PA State police use a 800 MHz frequency, that is scrambled in some way. That is perhaps why you did not pick anything up.

1126. Re: BC895 Command Set

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <5va8h2$u0f@camel3.mindspring.com>
Source record: 186569

Well, Bob, lots of luck trying to use a computer to control the BC895.

While Uniden advertises that the BC895 has an RS232C interface, that is a lie. What it does have is a proprietary connector on the back (not a subminiature D connector), which could easily be TTL level, for all we know, rather than RS232.

Worse, the manual doesn't even give a pin-out of this connector. It even arrogantly states that Uniden doesn't provide support for this feature. So, on one hand they advertise the feature to get you to buy the radio, and on the other hand they tell you that you are on your own if you actually want to use it. That is false and deceptive advertising any way you want to look at it.

All too late you find out that the BC895 does not actually have an RS232C interface.

It is a total mystery why Uniden has to lie to get people to buy its otherwise excellent radio.

Well, I assume the pinout will eventually become public knowledge, and eventually someone will publish some public domain control programs. Until then, I sure can't see paying "only" (don't you just love the use of "only?") $96 for the third party cable & software they advertise.

<< Art WA9BOJ >>

1127. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: cgallo@spacelab.net
Message-ID: <34187f7d.1373106642@news.mxol.com>
Source record: 186570
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Actually his question isn't stupid - there are no Ricoh laws - they
are the RICO laws, But.......

On Thu, 11 Sep 1997 16:56:36 GMT, jfeng@ibm.com (Joseph Feng) wrote:

>
>In article <3417A807.74F6@flash.net>, on Thu, 11 Sep 1997 03:12:55 -0500,
> Davie <Davie> writes:
>
>> but the democrats added into the mix the Ricoh laws
>
>What are the Ricoh laws? The ones used by the papparazzi?*
>
>Not only are you so stupid that you cannot keep your postings in the relevant
>newsgroups, but now you demonstrate that you don't even know what you are
>babbling about. You clearly don't let something trivial like the facts
>affect your thinking or your conclusions.
>
>* for the uninformed, Ricoh is a brand of cameras.

1128. Re: Regency TS2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: frog207lillypads@juno.com
Message-ID: <5vacep$4bq$1@news.wco.com>
Source record: 186571

In article <5v8nc4pfk1@nr1.ottawa.istar.net>, tedh@xcelco.on.ca (ted hay) wrote:
>
>Hi
>
>I recently aquired a Regency TS2 scanner but unfortunately have no
>manuel for it. If anybody has one they are willing to part with or
>would make me a copy please let me know . Willing to pay reasonable
>costs.
>
>Also it appears to be the blocked model but forgot the source of info
>on how to remove the censureship. Could anyone direct me to a source?
>
>Thanks in advance
>
>Ted
>

I have never heard of a TS-2 that was "blocked". They scan the cell freqs
just fine. Send me your address.

Ed

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "lillypads" from my return address.
==========

1129. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mulligan@ACM.ORG
Message-ID: <5vac4q$15h@hopper.acm.ORG>
Source record: 186572

zeno@magicnet.net
> I thought it was legal to listen to cordless phones, and just not
> legal for cellular, and the new PCS, etc. Has the law changed?

The Digital Telephony Act (popularly known as the FBI Wiretap bill) was
enacted as Public Law 103-414 and signed 25 Oct 1994. Tacked on the back
was an ammendment to sections 2510 & 2511 of Title 18, U.S. Code, extending
the prohibition on monitoring cellular to include cordless.
/* barry /&

1130. PRO-2004 Scanner: Wanted to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3418b2e1.123953708@news-server>
Source record: 186573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

```
WANTED TO BUY:

Junked or inoperable PRO-2004. Need it for parts.
Must be in whole, unchopped condition; nothing
missing and no "basket cases".

Will pay $25 plus shipping.

Email to: bcheek@san.rr.com
Fax to: 619-578-9247 (anytime)
Voice to: 619-578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT)
Snail to: Bill Cheek; PO Box 262478; San Diego, CA 92196-2478

+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
```

1131. Re: I have lot's of frequencies I'm willing to trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: pwrites@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <3418b9cf.2881635@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 186574

>}The NOAA Weather radio freq. for Flint, Mi is - 162.475Mhz

>}>I'm willing to send him the NOAA frequencies for Flint, MI. if he'll
>}>send me all the freqs the Federal Government uses here.
>
>
>
>Oh, Man, you blew my trade !! <G>

hehe. Opps. <G>

1132. trade-800mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeb <ofco@gte.net>
Message-ID: <5vaeblngb1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 186575

I want to trade my pro-34-200-channel-with full 800mhz (handheld)
scanner-for-2-meter mobile rig-- Email-pse- ZEB

1133. Re: Incorrect statement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGDnt4.6My@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186576

In article <5va0ht$6hs@snews3.zippo.com>, Jeff <jeffl@dynasty.net> wrote:
>In 1986, the U.S. Congress made it a federal crime to intentionally intercept
>and listen
> to a cellular conversation with the Electronic Communications Privacy
>Act. In 1992, it
> became illegal to manufacture scanners (which are used to intercept
>cellular calls) in
> the United States. Now, because of emerging digital technologies, the
>Federal
> Communications Commission has rules requiring that scanners be incapable
>of
> converting digital cellular transmissions to voice audio.
>
>The above appaers on UNITED STATES CELLULAR WEBPAGE.
>
>I am correct atleast as of TODAY that last line on digital is a complete
>LIE???

Heck, the first part is incorrect too.

> In 1992, it
> became illegal to manufacture scanners (which are used to intercept
> cellular calls) in
> the United States.

As I read that, it says:

1) In 1992, it became illegal to manufacture scanners in the U.S.
2) Scanners are used to intercept cellular calls.

The correct way that it should be worded to be truthful, would be
without the parenthesis, and without commas in their place... simply:

In 1992, it became illegal to manufacture scanners in the U.S.
which are capable of intercepting cellular calls.

That still isn't completely truthfull... It omits mentioning that it
is also illegal to import said scanners... but it's close enough compared
to what they have.

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

1134. Re: Sub Carrier Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <5vafjhevt1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 186577

Brad Granger (bgranger@ozemail.com.au) wrote:
: On Thu, 11 Sep 1997 05:45:04 GMT, jyao@deltanet.com (Jeffrey Yao)
: wrote:

: >I had a freind asked me about sub carrier audio today and that's
: >something that I've never heard of. Can someone please tell me more
: >about it? Also is it possible to listen to it with a scanner?
: >
: >Jeffrey

: A broadcast FM signal can carry many signals at once, typically a mono
: Left+Right channel, a stereo pilot tone on 19KHz and a double
: sideband difference signal L - R centered on 38khz. The radio decodes
: these to give two distinct channels of sound.

: Additionally it can carry narrow band, mono signals on higher
: sub-carriers. Two in common use are 67khz and (I think) 96khz. Others
: may exist.

: These SCA signals may carry any type of information the broadcaster
: wants, like fax, differential GPS, or locally to me: BBC World
: Service, Franklins' Food Stores in-house radio, and two or three types
: of elevator music spread across several stations.

: I have built an sca decoder from a $20 kit and fitted it into a stereo
: tuner. A scanner will work nicely, if you have a baseband audio
: output. Some mods for this are published and it is generally required
: for decoding pocsag pagers.

NOPE!....generally, the NFM output is used for POCSAG...but SCA needs the
WFM discriminator output.

: I believe Ramsey in NY USA has a kit for SCA decoding.

: Whether you have any SCA carriers on any FM stations near you is
: generally going to be a case of pot luck, you will need a decoder to
: look for them and the station might not like to volunteer the
: information if you ask them.

1135. Convert a PRO-2004 to a PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3419c13b.127628191@news-server>
Source record: 186578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

Interested in converting/upgrading your PRO-2004
to a PRO-2006 and still retain all the benefits of
the PRO-2004?

I am still in the engineering and development phase
of this project and would like to have a couple
of adventuresome techno-weenies to run a beta version
of the Instruction Set.

If you are interested, you will need to purchase a
CPU for a PRO-2006 from Tandy National Parts at
(800) 442-2425. You'll need a few other odds 'n ends
of no great significance, but the cost of the CPU
will be around $60, I'd guess.

The cost and the complexity of this mod suggests that
the faint of heart, confirmed couch potatoes, and
those not technically inclined should NOT apply at
this time.

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

1136. Re: Coping with digital repeater systems ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: heliox <heliox@cyberspace.com>
Message-ID: <3418B50D.36AE@cyberspace.com>
Source record: 186579

Before you attack someone for their typing or spelling skills, how many letter "m's" do you put into "commercially"?

1137. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: geoxvet@worldnest.att.net
Message-ID: <5vafc3$d94@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186580

glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:

>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>
>
>> what does this have to do with scanning?
>>
>> bill conduit
>> etc.
>
>What does this have to do with scanning?
>
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

What does this have to do with scanning?

George

geovet@ix.netcom.com

1138. Re: MNManiac's Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc5egg@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vagb1$5f1@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

In <34188a7a.5034414@news.airnet.net> enterprise@de.com (Mike Murcury)
writes:
>

It never ceases to amaze me how much time and energy people on these
newsgroups are willing to expend on hate. Have you no life Mike?

Got a traffic net gotta go. 73 Jerry

>On Mon, 08 Sep 1997 18:27:15 -0500, Hello <hello@eatme.com> wrote:
>
>>Drew, Drew, Drew. Are you getting into trouble again? Found this on
>>DejaNews.
>>
>>
>>Subject: The Peoples Republic of Orange County Schools
>>From: mnmaniac@aol.com (MN Maniac)
>>Date: 1997/06/03
>>Message-Id: <19970603012501.VAA21873@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>>Newsgroups: fl.politics
>>[More Headers]
>>
>>What do y'all make of this situation?
>>
>>I'm a "Power Walker", which means I take long, brisk walks as part of
my
>>daily workout routine. I average 8 miles/day, 6 days/week. I live
in a
>>fairly new Orange County subdivision with a neighborhood elementary
>>school. Since we moved here last July, my daily walks have taken me
>>through the parking lot of the school. Not into the school building,
>>not
>>onto the playground; simply across the length of the parking lot.
For
>>the
>>past 11 months, nobody has had a problem with this. Today, I was
>>stopped
>>by a security guard and questioned as to what I was doing there.
After I
>>explained that I lived in the neighborhood and was on my daily walk,
I
>>was
>>told that all "visitors" on the school grounds must sign in and be
>>assigned a "badge." When asked why nobody had mentioned anything to

me
>>over the past 11 months that I have been walking through there on
almost
>>a
>>daily basis, I was told that it was because "a parent called and
>>complained. She was concerned about the childrens' safety."
>>
>>So, let me get this straight...even though I am a law-abiding citizen
>>who
>>PAYS for that school with my property tax dollars...even though I had
>>done
>>nothing suspicious, committed no crime, and did nothing to endanger
the
>>safety of anyone at that school...even though I have no children of
my
>>own, but yet am forced to pay ridiculously high school taxes to
support
>>the education of other people's children...I cannot walk through the
>>PARKING LOT of my neighborhood school without being given official
>>permission to do so, simply because a parent (whose children I am
>>supporting with my property tax dollars) feels that my walking
through
>>the
>>school parking lot may be a threat to the "childrens' safety?!"
>>
>>If there are any legal professionals in the audience, I would like an
>>opinion as to whether or not they have a legal right to prohibit me
from
>>walking on the premises. If not, what is my legal recourse in the
event
>>that they attempt to force me from the premises?
>>
>>
>>-Drew in Sunny Central Florida-
>>
>Next time you go on the property, I hope they lock your dumbass up for
>criminal trespassing. You are one dumb son of a bitch.

1139. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pooch <tpo@pop3.concentric.net>
Message-ID: <3418EA83.31AD@pop3.concentric.net>
Source record: 186582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

```
Ike wrote:
>
> Brad Cuppy wrote:
> >
> > Ike wrote:
> >
> > > It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> > > conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> > > and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> > > the listening.
> >
> > You should have not discussed what was used against you.
> > That's your problem. Should have used a landline phone.
> >
> > > I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> > > would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> > > the way it came out of the box!
> >
> > I'll do that when you, Congress, or CTIA buys the radio for
> > me. If I pay for it myself, then I have every right to
> > modify it to perform the way I want it to.
> >
> > > I will call and offer support for HR2369!
> >
> > Seig Heil ! Citizenry control is #1. Heil Hitler !
>
> The only reason you are modifying the electronics
> is to do something that is not legal ... it's the
> same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
> so when you transmit your signal, it causes
> interference with nearby radios & tv's. You
> do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
> the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
> that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
> in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
> big house ... why don't you spend your time
> doing something constructive?
Ya gotta understand Ike, this is a bunch of people that have no life. So
they sit around trying to creep into someone else's. Makes them feel
like real men.
```

1140. Re: Funny Newsroom Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti@carroll.com
Message-ID: <EGDq5K.90I@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186583

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>In <EGBvAF.At3@nonexistent.com> dconti@carroll.com (Daniel M. Conti)
>writes:
>>
>>
>>Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Joe Nicholson) wrote:
>>

>>
>>>
>>
>>> Perhaps because you lack any upstairs.
>>
>>>
>>I asked a rhetorical question , a VERY simple one, one that has been
>>asked NUMEROUS times by others here....what did it have to do with
>>scanning?
>>
>>
> OH no...he didn't get it.

> Conti...did not get it. that's spelled: GET IT!

> rhetorical question? wow. How educated. exclamation mark.

> it was explained to you...while getting these calls there
> are scanners nearby...and if he mentioned this to any of
> his news crews out in the field it was heard on a scanner.

He said that in his original post? That there were scanners nearby?
Oh really? He said nothing of the sort...read the post...

> that is the scanner connection. his words to his crew
> for that nights frivolity-break comes over the scanner. It
> is listenable on a scanner tuned to the media frequencies.

So, let me get this straight....he said in his original post that he
can hear one side of conversation on the phones in his newsroom, then
he gets on the station's radio system and tells those in the field,
over the radio about these calls, and scanner listeners hear this and
WE ALL HAVE A BIG LAUGH!!!!??????

Is that really what he said?

Preposterous.

> Now....did you GET IT. question mark.

No exclamation point

> it's usable in this group oh educated one...rhetorical
> non-being

if you say so, Bill

> bill conduit
> etc.

>

dconti@carroll.com
America OnLine OffDan43@aol.com Amateur Radio - N2TYB
Ridgefield, New Jersey
fax (201) 943-2379

1141. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike1@nospam.visi.com
Message-ID: <mike1-1209970028330001@13-117.dynamic.visi.com>
Source record: 186584
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <5v7052$s41@shell1.aimnet.com>, alanf@aimnet.com (Alan Furman)
wrote:

> In article <mike1-0709970741430001@13-178.dynamic.visi.com>,
> Michael*Schneider <mike1@nospam.visi.com> wrote:
>
> > "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."
>
> "Romanian term limits," eh?

Works for me!

Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.

-- Guy Norman LaFrance

1142. Long Beach, NY Fire Dept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: lbnyfire@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970912054900.BAA00727@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186585

The Long Beach Fire Department in Nassau County, NY has a new website on the web. It has links to major fire departments in the USA, as well as other departments in Nassau County, NY. In addition, there are links to sites on fire safety, as well as news on the fire department. Please check it out and let us know what you think...

http://members.aol.com/lbnyfire

1143. Can the Icom R10 Speed be increased!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <sllewd@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbf45$6b966bc0$a9b496ce@crown.crown.icongrp.com>
Source record: 186586

Are there any mods for any kind of speed increase? I like the scanner, but the search & scan are so slow.

My buddies 15 year old scanner scans faster than the R-10.

THnaks in advance!

1144. Any ideas on how to get school frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: yaesu50r@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970912071201.DAA10148@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186587

My children go to a public school in California. I work near enough to be able to receive the motorola handhelds that are common on campus. I would like to be able to keep abreast of school activities especially here in earthquake country. I don't want to spend the money on a Scout because I am not interested in collecting a lot of frequencies. The school is pretty stuffy so I don't think they would happily give up the frequencies. Help please?
NoUnsolicitedJunkMailYaesu50R@aol.com

1145. FS: pro 43 handheld $150.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <5vaokv$9i0@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186588

Selling a radio shack pro 43 date code is 3a4 A model all stock like new in the box and manual rubber ant.. this is the newer model no cell but a good radio for the buck gets the 200mhz band also top of the line scanner in 1994... triple conv also hyperscan ... price is.... 150.00 plus you pay the shipping of your choice.. email me

1146. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <3418EC5B.431A@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186589

EKIM233 wrote:
>
> Yes you can operate any AMATEUR RADIO in the state of Michigan in a motor
> vehicle WITHOUT a PERMIT from the State Police (Blue Bellies).
>
> Here is the LAW.
>
> Under Michigan Compiled Law Section 750.508, IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO HAVE A
> SCANNER PERMIT ISSUED BY THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE to equip a
> vehicle to monitor frequencies assigned by the FCC if you are a bona fide
> amateur radio operator holding a technician class, general, advanced or
> extra class amateur license issued by the Federal Communications
> Commission. In order to exercise the privilege of equipping a vehicle to
> monitor frequencies, you must have your Federal license in your possession.

You no longer are required to have a copy of your Ham license on you
anymore. Your call sign is all that is needed. That is federal law, it
overides any state laws.

1147. Tanforan Mall Security San Bruno,CA???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: spade@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vat1f$ruu@sjx-ixn7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186590

Does anyone know Frequencies for the Tanforan Mall security in San Bruno CA. They use to use Fox Security on 151.895. Thanks Tony

1148. Re: Scanner License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <3418EE96.15E4@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186591

JerkyBoy wrote:
>
> Do you need such a license? Is this a requirement? Why don't the guys at RS
> tell you that when you buy one there?
>

The license I was refering to is a HAM RADIO license, not really a
SCANNER license (no such thing). But,... a ham license will give you
the priviledge of having a scanner in your car in states that have laws
against them. The scanner becomes the receiver (for ham frequencies of
course) of your car ham radio system.

1149. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ain'tg@tno.e-mail
Message-ID: <3418ec9b.723175@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 186592
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

asshole:

>You're looking at the same bloody thing, today, but brought up to
>date on the streets of L.A. and Miami, for instance, with rap and Glocks,
>compared to Chicago, Thompsons, and ragtime fifty years ago.

<doh!>

So...it was seventy years ago. Who's counting?

Billy

Anthology
http://www.mindspring.com/~wjb3/free/essays.html

1150. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick <patrickl@wco.com>
Message-ID: <34190EDC.31AE@wco.com>
Source record: 186593
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Brad Cuppy wrote:
>
> Ike wrote:
>
> > It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> > conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> > and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> > the listening.
>
> You should have not discussed what was used against you.
> That's your problem. Should have used a landline phone.
>
> > I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> > would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> > the way it came out of the box!
>
> I'll do that when you, Congress, or CTIA buys the radio for
> me. If I pay for it myself, then I have every right to
> modify it to perform the way I want it to.
>
> > I will call and offer support for HR2369!
>
> Seig Heil ! Citizenry control is #1. Heil Hitler !

DUCK BRAD!!!!!!!!!! It's a black helicopter.

1151. Re: pro 62 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerry@null.net
Message-ID: <34175d3b.571329@news-s01.ca.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 186594

On Tue, 09 Sep 1997 19:47:05 -0700, Andrew Jentis
<andrewj@earthlink.net> wrote:

>i have a pro 62 and when i turn it on, it scans fine but does not
>receive anything. after about 20 minutes, it starts receiving just fine.
>
>
>any hints on what causes this and how to fix it?

I've had two scanners which solder joints from the antenna to the
board which went bad. One was a RS and the other a Uniden. I have a
PRO62 but have not had the problem.

1152. Re: Antenna for SportCat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <sllewd@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbf46$ba354340$a9b496ce@crown.crown.icongrp.com>
Source record: 186595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You can go to Radio Shack and order a replacement antenna for one of their model.

The best handheld antenna i've ever had/sold (didn't realize it until it was to late) was the

duck antenna for the Pro 43. I highly recommend it.

Good scanning my friend

web9@webtv.net wrote in article
<5ukj05ilo1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>...
> I am looking for Rubber Duck Antenna for a Uniden SportCat 150,
> preferably the one that it comes with. I am willing to pay upto $16
> including shipping, any leads, greatly appreciated. Uniden is out of
> stock untill late Sept.
> Thanks
>

1153. Icom R 7000 For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dalves7978@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970912064501.CAA09129@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186596

I have an R 7000 in excellent cond all manuals asking 800.00 obo

Dan DAlves7978@aol.com

1154. Re: Citizens band question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1109972258570001@pm53-03.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186597

In article <5va9nk$cst@news.inforamp.net>, samosx@inforamp.net wrote:

> Is there a news group that deals with citizens band ... or is this the
> one?
> Thanks
> Dan

There is a group at "rec.radio.cb". Apparantly your internet provider does
not carry that group. You can try "www.dejanews.com" and access it there.
That is how I get to the many groups my provider does not carry.

1155. Re: Scanners in Michigan??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <3418EEFF.7F08@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186598

bryan wrote:
>
> I just got onto this discussion....I would like a clarification that
> people are stating that you must have a state permit to own/use a
> scanning radio that covers the ham frequencies? I would have to
> get a Michigan state permit to use my Kenwood TM-241 that scans
> the ham frequencies? Or is the statement made that a "general
> coverage" scanner can't be used without a permit even if you have
> a ham license....
>
> Bryan

Don't worry, if you have a ham license, you are safe. Just don't admit to be listening to anything other than ham frequencies, and no one can prove otherwise.

1156. Re: TE KOOP AOR3000A / F.S. AOR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: beebee@xs4all.nl
Message-ID: <3419f1fb.2798355@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 186599
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On stardate 4 Sep 1997 13:07:28 GMT, boxers@free.com mumbled:

>Te koop: AOR3000A scanner. 16 maanden gebruikt.
> In perfecte staat.
>Specificaties:
>Ontvangstbereik: 100 Khz - 2036 Mhz
>Modulatiesoorten: USB, LSB, CW, AM, NFM, WFM
>Kanalen: 400 kanalen, 100 per bank
>Nieuwprijs: fl. 2298,--
>Vraagprijs = vaste prijs: fl. 1398,-- Email-to:

Best wel moeilijk h&#65533;, nederlands. Een vraagprijs die gelijk is aan een
vaste prijs. Ga daar maar eens over filosoferen.....

Brian

```````````````````````````````````````````````````````

Keyboard error or no keyboard present

Press F1 to continue..........
,,,
```````````````````````````````````````````````````````

1157. Re: Uniden SC150 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <3418F019.5173@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Greg W. wrote:
>
> I have searched the Web looking for info on correcting this scanner for
> normal operation but can not find anything, ie full 800MHz. Looking
> inside the radio adjacent to the processor there are a couple of surface
> mount 100K resistors that have alternate positions. These may be pull-up
> resistors but I am not sure. Anybody know anything about this. Can not
> find anything with most search engines including Deja News. Being
> surface mount this whould make the radio not readily modifiable and
> nearly impossible for most people, that is if having them in the
> altenate positions proves to restore the radio to correct and proper
> operation. This needs to be verified.
>
> Thanks Greg W. gwareber@ix.netcom.com

Any radio currently being sold in retail stores (such as the SC150
Sportcat) can NOT be modified. (in a simple manner as older units can)

1158. Re: Wanted: BC, SC, or RS scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <3418F0AD.5461@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186601

Hawk82 wrote:
>
> I'm looking for a Bearcat, Sportcat, or a Radio Shack hand-held scanner.

Just so you know, a Sportcat IS a Bearcat scanner.

> Will pay up to 200 dollars.

Any retail store selling scanners should be able to help you.

1159. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <3418EDAC.2B34@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186602

John Masters Jr. wrote:
>
> That is not true about a scanner lic. In Michigan no matter if yu have a
> license through Radio Shack you can`t use it in Mich.I sure wish that
> peope like you would just stop telling others FALSE info.In Michigan you
> MUST go through the State Police before having a scanner on or "in
> readily available"condition,so STOP giving WRONG information

YOU are the one posting incorrect information. How can you even accuse
someone else of being wrong when you OBVIOUSLY have never read the laws!

1160. Re:Metro Fire Radio,Inc. (NJ)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fp351k@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913153400.LAA15212@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186303

Hello all. I am a supervisor for Metro Fire Radio system. We are currently looking for new members to support our fire notification radio network. Our membership consists of fire/ems/law enforcement/ and other disaster related personell. We all have a common interest in emergency services and like to know what's happening at all times. We currently operate on 4 repeaters in the business band 450-460's. Our primary notifications consist of working fires in the Bergen/Passaic/N.Hudson/Rockland/Essex/Union areas as well as multiple alarms in greater NYC. We also alert members of serious motor vehicle accidents and helicopter medevac jobs for photo opportunities. We have a few news media agencies as members who rely on our info for their publications. The system is currently owned by the American Red Cross of Hackensack,NJ. The cost is $30 a year and this money goes to the maintenance of the repeaters which is costly to operate. You need to own your own UHF radio whether it be a portable or mobile. Anyone who's interested in radios and the emergency services or you're a news photographer/reporter, this is a radio sytem that will greatly benefit your hobby/profession. Interested parties can either e-mail me at "fp351k@aol.com or fp351k@juno.com". Also, you can contact the American Red Cross at (201)487-7470 and
ask for Disaster Services and speak to either Mark or Jeff. Thank you and we look forward to hearing some new voices over the air.
Anthony
Metro 751/FPNJ351

1161. Re: ILLEGAL RECEPTION and BIG BROTHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341AC08C.DAF@flash.net>
Source record: 186304

EKIM233 wrote:
>
> AMEN-That is the problem bitch, bitch, bitch but continue to live in your
> little shells and debate the issues never confronting them..

Heres is how to confront them. Below is an easy way to send a single
message to many many congressman and tell them what you think.
--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1162. looking for FLORIDA Freq,,,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpg1096@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913164601.MAA19486@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186305

i need some freq,# for melbourne, palm bay area's could you please e.mail them to me please, when i get my book out of storage, i'll have pleanty to help people with,, THANKS
E-MAIL ,,,, GPG1096@AOL.COM

1163. Re: Help - what scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Kralicky <jkralicky@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341ABFBE.34EE4D63@usa.net>
Source record: 186306

I have the pro-60 and also noticed the hum when listening with headphones or at close proximity to the speaker. Doesn't happen with batteries. I didn't return it since I normally use it hooked up to an external speaker and can't detect the hum.. Kind of annoying I know....

Joe Kralicky

Ric Mackie wrote:

> Hi Rich,
>
> Thanks for the info regarding scanners. It has helped me alot. I
> picked a Radio Shack Pro-60 because of the price and features at first.
> A couple days ago, I picked up an AC adaptor and I was really
> disappointed with the radio when I plugged it it to the wall. There was
> a noticeable hum whenever the adaptor was plugged in. It wasn't too bad
> through the speaker, but I listen with an ear-bud and the sound was
> extremely irritating. I took the radio back and we tried swapping
> adaptors and radios, but they all did the same thing. Tried a Pro-64 -
> same thing. They even plugged it in to some sort of noise filter. I
> returned the radio for a refund. I picked up a RELM HS200. So far, so
> good. I like the keyboard better and the fact that the keys light as
> well as the display.
> Anyway, I don't know if you've heard that complaint before so I thought
> I'd pass it on.
>
> Regards,
>
> Ric Mackie
>
> --
> Go Chargers! Beat the Panthers!

1164. Re: Blatant Copyright violation - LOOK BELOW FOR THE ADDRESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vegrj$1csg$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186307

In article <341A3C5A.1BD1@flash.net>, david084@flash.net wrote:
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>--------------12441E5B3BFE
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>BELOW YOU WILL FIND A FEW OF YOUR CONGRESSMAN. PLEASE EMAIL THEM
> DAILY!
>

[snip]

><meta name=3D"copyright"
>content=3D"Copyright (c) 1996 LEGI-SLATE, Inc. Certain names, l=

Congratulations! Today you have committed at least a dozen violations
of U.S. Federal Law! By posting the entire HTML source and content of
Legi-Slate's web page to multiple newsgroups, you have violated their
copyright.

This is not a good way to promote positive contact with the government
in order to get a message across about bad legislation.

Next time, just post the URL of the web page and we can go there
ourselves. It's of no use as a raw HTML code list anyway.

1165. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5veh46$1csg$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186308

In article <341ABD83.8A3D2393@usa.net>, Joseph Kralicky <jkralicky@usa.net> wrote:
>What's Skywarn? Can you get it anywhere in the US?

Skywarn is a cooperative service using NWS-trained amateur (and
sometimes CB) operators as weather spotters. It is prevalent in
"Tornado Alley" but also exists in many other areas. To find out if
there is a Skywarn operation in your area, contact either your local
National Weather Service office (if you're fortunate enough to live in
an area that still has one), the local ham radio club, or ARRL.

73 de Dave, NF2G

1166. Western Washington Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <DolphIII@aol.com>
Message-ID: <341ACCBA.2307@aol.com>
Source record: 186309

i found some freq's while seaching that are
132.075
134.650

just wondering if anyone knew the source. i live about 50 miles north of Portland and about 130 south of Seattle. i know that the are airlines but don't know if they are certain airline of airport freq's.also can someone tell me if i connect a outside antenna what kind of range i would get in miles. the kid a radio shack said i should be able to recieve calls in Portland is that true. thanks

1167. FS: RS Pro 2020 --> $65

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nichf <nichf@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <5vei31$g3h$1@nw003.infi.net>
Source record: 186310

This is a nice scanner. Unmodified, works great, and in great cosmetic shape (probably a 9 on 10 scale). No manual, but shouldn't be hard to find one on this newsgroup. I'll take $65 for the scanner and I'll split shipping cost to cont US address. Please e-mail if interested.

Don

1168. Re: Help with Icom IC-71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gfiber@news.cmc.net
Message-ID: <341bd818.8002248@news.cmc.net>
Source record: 186311

On 13 Sep 1997 16:30:17 GMT, mfraser@obelix.helix.net (Mark fraser)
wrote:

>There were two approaches taken by Icom, the first used an EX309
>(I may have the number wrong...) that was simply a parallel bus
>interface, that still needed some other stuff to make it into a
>serial/parallel converter. You'll see these modules occasionally
>for quite low prices, and unless you can do up a whizbang
>microcontroller, it's not what you want.
>
>The second approach, which brought out a Serial port, but TTL or
>CMOS levels, not RS232, is the one you would want. Icom sell a
>converter to change the voltage levels to true RS232, but there are
>several less expensive ways to do this, including the MAX232 chip.

The EX-309 was not well supported. What you need are two items. The
UX-14 installs inside of the R-71A and it converts the internal buss
to the current CI-V command system also you will require some sort of
level converter. The standard serial port levels are too high for the
R-71A. ICOM make on called CT-17. The CT-17 interfaces the computer
serial port with the TTL voltage level UX-14. You can build a level
converter or even convert one of your computers serial ports to TTL
level.

Gary
Copyright 1997 All Rights reserved
Gary Fiber

1169. Optoelectronics R11 & Xplore "CELL MOD" needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rah72550@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913182400.OAA19959@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186312

Is there a cell mod for thr optoelectronics new R11 test receiver and the Xplorer.

1170. Re: Any ideas on how to get school frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tmalesic@concentric.net
Message-ID: <341aa6c1.19184867@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 186313

On 12 Sep 1997 07:12:44 GMT, yaesu50r@aol.com (Yaesu50R) wrote:

>My children go to a public school in California. I work near enough to be
>able to receive the motorola handhelds that are common on campus. I would
>like to be able to keep abreast of school activities especially here in
>earthquake country. I don't want to spend the money on a Scout because I
>am not interested in collecting a lot of frequencies. The school is pretty
>stuffy so I don't think they would happily give up the frequencies. Help
>please?
>NoUnsolicitedJunkMailYaesu50R@aol.com

What town/school?

1171. Re: New RS Dicone assembly question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: davem@cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <5vec5d$4k@harpo.cs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 186314

charv@videotron.ca writes:
>I'm curious - are you getting good reports on 2 meters/ 70 cms with the
>discone and what kind of range are you geeting (what height is it off
>the ground).

The discone is perhaps 25 feet off the ground *inside* the attic of the house. (We rent, so an outdoor antenna would be a problem). This clearly isn't an optimum location for the antenna. But it works far better than the other options that were available. A proper base station antenna with gain wouldn't fit in the attic; a simple 1/4 W or 1/2 W dipole only covers one band, a dual-band mobile antenna needs a ground plane.

Basically, a discone can be expected to work as well as a dipole. It has no gain. What it *does* do is work over a 10:1 or so frequency range, something that very few antennas will do, and with a low enough SWR to transmit into.

Dave

1172. Re: Ham op.license (dont be an ASS!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffl@dynasty.net
Message-ID: <5ve728$bu0@snews1.zippo.com>
Source record: 186315

In article <01bcc047$c3dec9a0$b7ca2581@compuserve>, "CRAIG " <csom<STOP>me1@ibm.net>
wrote:
>I second this. I personally dealt with this issue in Michigan and I can
>say that ERIC is 100% correcto.
>
>Eric M. Hutchinson <hutch@whoever.com> wrote in article
><5v6mq8$mas@news2.triton.net>...
>> In article <5v6ehpkim1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>, JWMJR@webtv.net
>says...
>> >
>> >AGAIN,NOONE can operate a scanner just because they have a Ham
>> >op.lic.You still would have to get a State permite.I don`t care what
>> >this idiot tells anyone,WRONG,noone can operate a scanner in a vehicle
>> >in Michigan unless they get a State permite.
>>
>> Please note, a PERMIT (note lack of E), in Michigan, is NOT required if
>you
>> have an amateur radio class lic. of tech or higher. This MIS-INFORMATION
>that
>> your are passing around is an injustice to the rest of us on the NG.
>Please
>> read the law as written, or become a lawyer and then read it. If you
>have any
>> other questions about the scanner law in Michigan, please, feel free to
>contact
>> me on this issue.
>>
>> Scanner Law EXPERT in Michigan.
>>
>> Eric - N8XHP/SK
>>
>> didn't the ARRL a couple years ago take of this with feds.

Ham lic NOW exempts hams in ALL states overwrites any state mobile scanner
law.

Thanks ARRL

1173. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Kralicky <jkralicky@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341ABD83.8A3D2393@usa.net>
Source record: 186316

What's Skywarn? Can you get it anywhere in the US?

The CB Guy wrote:

> In article <5v6ttc$1l48$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>, nf2g@ggw.org (D
> Stark) writes:
>
> >Now, what ELSE do scanner listeners do that can be classified as a
> >"public service"?
> >
> >
> >
>
> In my small little neigborhood, I am known as the scanner nut, whom, when
> bad weather is approaching, all the neighbors know to come to me to get
> info about what is approaching.
> A public Service???.....naw, but by my listening to Skywarn, (for my own
> benefit mainly) I'm able to help others also.
>
> The CB Guy

1174. Re: TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <341AB5EC.3F2B@phoenix.net>
Source record: 186317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.boats.electronics

Christopher W. (Chris) Boone wrote:

> ASSHOLE Gramm is one of them who VOTED FOR the scanner ban on cell freqs
> and that was AFTER I asked him in public meetings in the Woodlands what
> his opinion on the bill was...he joked it off saying that Goldwater
> would
> stop it and all that....BULLSHIT!!! Gramm is a MONEY egotistical asshole
> and will wimp out at the first sign of trouble...
> Kay is no better......I hope both are voted out of office next time..
>
> And you can bet your bottom DOLLAR, that REPULICANS are behind the
> anti scanner bill...thanks to BUSINESS..who is in bed with the GOP!
>
> Chris
> WB5ITT

Give it to um Chris, the sooner we get Texas outa th U.S and the U.S.
outa Texas the better.

1175. Re: ! What are the school bus frequencies for the Katy ISD in Katy Texas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <341AE400.2823@phoenix.net>
Source record: 186318

DavidA. wrote:
>
> I was at a KATY football game a few nights ago, and while riding on
> the bus, I noticed all the drivers were talking on radios to each other.
> As we passed an area with many fast food resturants, one of the headsets
> came over the radio in the bus, but we passed through its range so
> quickly, I could not find out if the person was ordering a Big Mac, or
> something from Wendys.NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
>
> IF you have an idea of what Katy ISD bus frequencies are, please post
> them! AT http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm
>
So what does this person want with schoolbus freqs? This is just the
kind of stuff that gives the kiddyporn newsgroups a bad name.
does prop 209 allow these school bus stalkers to stalk openly.
I say call your congrease person and get PoP 209 repealed before
we loose our full page scanner rights.

LETZ GET TEXAS OUTA TH u.s. AND TH u.s. OUTA TEXAS FREE THIS REPUIBICK

1176. FS/Trade bc 800xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: i4086@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913082401.EAA24183@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186319

In excellent condition, covers full 800mhz.

$180 includes shipping in US only.

Will trade for a SW radio of like value that has SSB/AM/CW modes.

Any questions or offers email me.

thankyou,

derick
73

1177. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: CRAIG <csom>
Message-ID: <01bcc07a$212a61a0$60ca2581@compuserve>
Source record: 186320

D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org> wrote in article
<5v6tvs$1l48$4@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>...
> In article <34164C65.1153@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
wrote:
>
> >I don't think that any JUDGE will be lauging, but I think everyone that
> >read your post is now laughing at YOU!!
>
> Only the ignorant. I am receiving a degree (with distinction) in
> Criminal Justice this winter. I have studied the law and the
> Constitution in great detail ...

WHAT CONSTITUTION?

1178. ! What are the school bus frequencies for the Katy ISD in Katy Texas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341ABF0D.32D1@flash.net>
Source record: 186321

I was at a KATY football game a few nights ago, and while riding on the bus, I noticed all the drivers were talking on radios to each other. As we passed an area with many fast food resturants, one of the headsets came over the radio in the bus, but we passed through its range so quickly, I could not find out if the person was ordering a Big Mac, or something from Wendys.

The main bus in this convoy has a very powerful radio, however all the other busses are weak and can only talk to the lead bus. They seemed to be using FM, but the frequencies are not known.

IF you have an idea of what Katy ISD bus frequencies are, please post them!

Thanks!

--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1179. Re: Help with Icom IC-71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mfraser@obelix.helix.net
Message-ID: <5vef2p$82f@van1s03.cyberion.com>
Source record: 186322

There were two approaches taken by Icom, the first used an EX309 (I may have the number wrong...) that was simply a parallel bus interface, that still needed some other stuff to make it into a serial/parallel converter. You'll see these modules occasionally for quite low prices, and unless you can do up a whizbang microcontroller, it's not what you want.

The second approach, which brought out a Serial port, but TTL or CMOS levels, not RS232, is the one you would want. Icom sell a converter to change the voltage levels to true RS232, but there are several less expensive ways to do this, including the MAX232 chip.

I've had an R71 for years, never quite got around to doing the whole job, and partly because I'd rather use my PC to do digital data signal decoding RTTY etc. You might want to think about the remote controller as a possible alternative, I'm considering using my little HP 100lx handheld (and 95lx is real cheap these days) along with some readily available software to digest the IR codes from the Icom controller, then program a bunch of frequencies and codes into the HP, turning it into a scancat-like device, leaving my PC available for signal processing.

So that's a start for you / mark

Jim (ziggy@vsi.net) wrote:
: I just got a used Icom IC-71A. Can this radio be computer controlled?
: On the back there is no remote outlet. It looks like there are items
: that can be added to the rear because there are two covers screwed on
: the back panel. I have ScanCat computer program. Thanks.

1180. U.S. Scanner Laws ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: uk911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913083700.EAA00606@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186323

Hi all

I live in England, UK and have monitored this group and the various postings about some changes that your Govt. are introducing re. scanning. Could someone please explain to me what is going on with you lot ?

The laws are very dodgy here, the Police recently seized my scanning equipment to see if they had in Police frequencies in but they came back all clear so they are having to return them to me.

Any help appreciated.

Cheers

Chunky

1181. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: CRAIG <csom>
Message-ID: <01bcc07a$f30d27c0$60ca2581@compuserve>
Source record: 186324

SORRY, EKIM233 was CORRECT! READ THE LAW BUD. I checked into it to verify
the truth in EKIM's statement and found him to be right-on.

Bud Jamison <bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com> wrote in article
<970912040002518@thekat.cts.com>...
> -> From: ekim233@aol.com (EKIM233)
> -> Subject: Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?
> ->
> -> It is against the Law to monitor, Cellular Phones, Cordless Phones,
> -> Mobile
> -> Phones, Ship to Shore Phones, Pager, SMR, and the list goes on an on.
> -> you will be able to use your scanner for a paper weight. Sucks don't
> -> it.
>
> Ship to Shore phones, SMRs and 'the list goes on' are all wrong. Only
> the other things you mention are currently prohibited.
>

1182. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5veqlh$e2k@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186325

>

>Bill wrote:
>>
>> On Fri, 12 Sep 1997 04:12:27 GMT, geoxvet@worldnest.att.net (George
Vetterle)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:
>> >
>> >>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>> what does this have to do with scanning?
>> >>>
>> >>> bill conduit
>> >>> etc.
>> >>
>> >>What does this have to do with scanning?
>> >>
>> >>--
>> >>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>> >>WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM
>> >>
>> >>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>> >
>> >What does this have to do with scanning?
>> >
>> >---
>> >
>> >George
>> >
>> >geovet@ix.netcom.com
>>
>> What does this have to do with scanning?
>
Gee George: I don't know, what DOES "this" have to do
with scanning

question mark.

1183. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: amateur@vub.mcgill.ca.ham
Message-ID: <341af358.6375367@news.mcgill.ca>
Source record: 186326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 12 Sep 1997 23:51:40 GMT, spam_me_not@shore.net (JJ) wrote:

>masa@writemeNOSPAM.com (M@s@) wrote:
>
>>*** Transmit without license !! It's possible !!***
>
>
>>Go to Antarctica. You can do whatever you want in south pole.
>
>Yeah! You sure can....so long as it falls within the guidelines of
>international treaty.

international treaties + any laws do not apply in antarctica !!

you can do whatever you want !!

M@S@
Student @ McGill University Science
Montreal, Quebec, Canada
E-mail : amateur@vub.mcgill.ca.ham
URL : http://www.geocities.com/~masataka (General)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/main.htm (Radio)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/mcgill.htm (McGill University)

Remove ".ham" to reply.

WARNING: I will not buy/response any products from unsolicited e-mails !!

1184. Re: PRO90 VS BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913173501.NAA16763@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186327

The service contract (as nearly all service contracts) is sucker buy. Electronics especially are quite reliable, even in "rough service," as you put it. Look at the economics: You're already paying about $50 more for the scanner; add to that $60 for the service contract, and you've invested $110 more than you need to in something that **might** break. The chances that the scanner will crap out seem quite remote but even if it did, the repair would probably be less than $110. If the repair was more than that, you might be also consider that it wouldn't be worth the added cost anyway.

Michael Alexander

1185. Write to Congress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gary.saffer@channel1.com
Message-ID: <40.64554.2433@channel1.com>
Source record: 186328

For those of you that want to write to you U.S. Representative or Senator to comment on HR 2369, but don't know the address to write to, there is a web site that will help you out. www.congress.org has a searchable data base that will allow you to find out who your Rep. is and his or her address. AFAIK, this is a free service, but is not providede by the government.

Gary

* CMPQwk 1.42 129 *An armed man is a citizen, an unarmed man is a subjec

1186. Re: Any ideas on how to get school frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tug@pobox.com_no.spam
Message-ID: <5veqsf$k97$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 186329

In message <19970912071201.DAA10148@ladder02.news.aol.com> - yaesu50r@aol.com (Yaesu50R)12 Sep 1997 07:12:44 GMT writes:

My children go to a public school in California. I work near enough to be able to receive the motorola handhelds that are common on campus. I would like to be able to keep abreast of school activities especially here in earthquake country. I don't want to spend the money on a Scout because I am not interested in collecting a lot of frequencies. The school is pretty stuffy so I don't think they would happily give up the frequencies. Help please?

Make friends with someone in the know.

Volunteer to do school security.

Find out the make and model of the radios, and narrow it down from there and scan. A school in my area is using 151.xxxx; unknown which type of radio.

In WA State we have a public disclosure law, under which citizens have the right to inspect agency records (and exemptions are narrowly defined). You might have similar laws in CA.

1187. Philadelphia Flyer Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: plckle@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913202101.QAA04294@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186330

If anybody knows frequencies used by the Philadelphia Flyers or New Jersey Devils please respond via e-mail. Thanks.

pLckLe@aol.com

1188. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: timstarr@netcom.com
Message-ID: <timstarrEGGrv7.IrF@netcom.com>
Source record: 186331
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <mike1-12099700283300001@13-117.dynamic.visi.com>,
Michael=Schneider <mike1@nospam.visi.com> wrote:
>In article <5v7052$s41@shell1.aimnet.com>, alanf@aimnet.com (Alan Furman)
>wrote:
>
>> In article <mike1-07099707414300001@13-178.dynamic.visi.com>,
>> Michael*Schneider <mike1@nospam.visi.com> wrote:
>>
>> > "Nothing changes until you kill the assholes."
>>
>> "Romanian term limits," eh?
>
>
> Works for me!

Yes: "Take the Ceaucescu Challenge!"

"If guns are outlawed, only the government will have guns. Only the police,
the secret police, the military, the hired servants of our rulers. Only the
government--and a few outlaws. I intend to be among the outlaws."
--Edward Abbey (1927-1989), _Abbey's Road,_ p.39_(Plume, 1979)

Tim Starr - Renaissance Now! Think Universally, Act Selfishly

Assistant Editor: Freedom Network News, the newsletter of The International
Society for Individual Liberty (ISIL), http://www.isil.org/
Personal home page: http://www.creative.net/~star/timstarr.htm

Liberty is the Best Policy - timstarr@netcom.com

1189. Re: Help with ICOM IC-71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341AFC80.DFAFBC12@juno.com>
Source record: 186332

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> Jim:
>
> Yes, ICOM has an adapter that does mount in one of the areas you observed.
> Short-wave radios however, do not benefit much from being computer
> controlled, like VHF/UHF scanners do.

Wow! To think I wasted al that time controlling my R71a by computer. I never realized how little I benefited from having unlimited number of memories, the ability to scan groups of freqs separated into category and computer logging to name just a FEW things computer control added to my R71A. One would be foolish to own that radio and not hook it up to a computer. Sheesh!

Bill you don't have a clue and I mean that in the kindest way possible.

1190. PRO-2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: SKYNITE@CLASSIC.MSN.COM
Message-ID: <874175915.23101@dejanews.com>
Source record: 186333

WTB: RADIO SHACK PRO-2002, AN OLDER SCANNER, MUST BE IN GOOD WORKING CONDITION. IF THE PERSON WHO RESPONDED TO LAST POSTING WILL AGAIN CONTACT. PLEASE E-MAIL WITH DETAILS THANKS

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

1191. PRO2026/CTCSS Tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dad <deadeye@javanet.com>
Message-ID: <341AFE4E.C14@javanet.com>
Source record: 186334

Does anyone know if the tone board for a BC760XLT will fit and work in a PRO2026 as Ithink it is a bear cat in radio shack disguise?

1192. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: timstarr@netcom.com
Message-ID: <timstarrEGGs24.Izz@netcom.com>
Source record: 186335
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <mike1-1209971741450001@13-191.dynamic.visi.com>,
Michael=Schneider <mike1@nospam.visi.com> wrote:
>In article <3419521A.66E5@kktv.com>, Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com> wrote:
>
>> Michael=Schneider wrote:
>>
>> > Any Jew who sees "Schindler's List" and doesn't come away wanting every
>> > Jew in the U.S. to own an assault rifle has something very wrong with him.
>> >
>> > -- Guy Norman LaFrance
>>
>> The movie somehow doesn't show the Jews defending themselves
>> with firearms since Hollywood is politically correct and
>> defending yourself with firearms is politically incorrect.
>> When Holocaust came out about 20 years ago, the movie series
>> showed the Jews defending themselves in the ghettos with
>> firearms.
>
>
>
> A couple of people have told me this already, and I would like some
>clarification on it.
>
> Did Schindler actually arm the Jews under his protection?

Yep. Gave 'em machine guns.

"If guns are outlawed, only the government will have guns. Only the police,
the secret police, the military, the hired servants of our rulers. Only the
government--and a few outlaws. I intend to be among the outlaws."
--Edward Abbey (1927-1989), _Abbey's Road,_ p.39_(Plume, 1979)

Tim Starr - Renaissance Now! Think Universally, Act Selfishly

Assistant Editor: Freedom Network News, the newsletter of The International
Society for Individual Liberty (ISIL), http://www.isil.org/
Personal home page: http://www.creative.net/~star/timstarr.htm

Liberty is the Best Policy - timstarr@netcom.com

1193. WTB: AOR AR2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Kirksey <tkirksey@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <341AF278.4634@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 186336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

I am looking for an AR2500 in perfect working and good or better
cosmetic condition.

Tom
KD4FTQ

1194. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: geoxvet@worldnest.att.net
Message-ID: <341afe29.3325598@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186337

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>
>>
>
>>Bill wrote:
>>>
>>> On Fri, 12 Sep 1997 04:12:27 GMT, geoxvet@worldnest.att.net (George
>Vetterle)
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> >glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:
>>> >
>>> >>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>> what does this have to do with scanning?
>>> >>>
>>> >>> bill conduit
>>> >>> etc.
>>> >>
>>> >>What does this have to do with scanning?
>>> >>
>>> >>--
>>> >>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>>> >>WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM
>>> >>
>>> >>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>>> >
>>> >What does this have to do with scanning?
>>> >
>>> >---
>>> >
>>> >George
>>> >
>>> >geovet@ix.netcom.com
>>>
>>> What does this have to do with scanning?
>>
> Gee George: I don't know, what DOES "this" have to do
> with scanning
>
> question mark.
>

>

Answer: *Nothing*, It was merely a test to detect the humorously
challenged.

--

George Vetterle

If replying by e-mail, please remove the XS from the above return address.
They were added because of ever increasing junk e-mail.

1195. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341B048E.60AE@flash.net>
Source record: 186338

D Stark wrote:
>
> In article <5v3smk$6oj$1@news.rmi.net>, dand@shell.rmii.com (Dan Duncan) wrote:
> >As I recall, D Stark (nf2g@ggw.org) wrote:
> >->>The newer PCS phones (also may be called "Powerband" among other things)
> >->>do use digital encryption.
> >
> >->Digital phones are not necessarily encrypted. They are two different
> >->things.
> >
> >Read my lips: The newer PCS phones do use digital encryption.
> >While previous standard digital phones did not, the PCS ones do.
>
> Sprint PCS disagrees with you. Sprint feels that it is unnecessary to
> "further encrypt" their digital PCS phones. I reiterated to them that
> DIGITAL is NOT ENCRYPTION.

If Sprint is happy, I am happy! Most of us would not bother to find a
way to decipher their digital signal anyway.
--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1196. FS: PRO-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <341AC90B.78D0@videotron.ca>
Source record: 186339

Good condition. Manual.
4 new rechargeable batteries.

Unmodified.

P.S. This scanner is cell capable; date code -A3

asking $180.00US

e-mail only.

1197. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970913.141733.927@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 186340
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <timstarrEGGs24.Izz@netcom.com>, on Sat, 13 Sep 1997 20:39:40 GMT,
Tim Starr <timstarr@netcom.com> writes:

>
> < absolutely nothing of relevance to the radio.scanner newsgroups >
>

..thereby proving that he is childish and incompetent. Somebody should
have taught him some manners and how to use a computer so he could
exhibit some courtesy and intellligence. If he is so demonstrably
ignorant, then this probably shows how valid his conclusions and
logical thought processes are.

1198. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913214301.RAA03845@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186341

>Subject: Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***
>From: amateur@vub.mcgill.ca.ham (M@s@)
>Date: Sat, 13 Sep 1997 20:12:27 GMT
>Message-id: <341af358.6375367@news.mcgill.ca>
>
>On Fri, 12 Sep 1997 23:51:40 GMT, spam_me_not@shore.net (JJ) wrote:
>
>>masa@writemeNOSPAM.com (M@s@) wrote:
>>
>>>*** Transmit without license !! It's possible !!***
>>
>>
>>>Go to Antarctica. You can do whatever you want in south pole.
>>
>>Yeah! You sure can....so long as it falls within the guidelines of
>>international treaty.
>
>international treaties + any laws do not apply in antarctica !!
>
>
>you can do whatever you want !!

You get the fire started..we'll meet you soon.

The CB Guy

1199. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341B0B27.4CD0@juno.com>
Source record: 186342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

M@s@ wrote:
> international treaties + any laws do not apply in antarctica !!
> you can do whatever you want !!
>
Then explain why the UN (United Nations) has jurisdiction over
antarctica? That is an international preserve for the study of nature
and all laws and treaties stipulated to do apply.

I dare say, I think your foot just intercepted your mouth on this one.
Better get some gold bond for your gums.

1200. FS/FT: Mint RS Pro 2006 scanner unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobzito@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <34240706.152277538@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 186343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

FS/FT: Mint RS Pro 2006 scanner unblocked.
$325.00 or Trade for/toward:
1.2 Ghz moble/base tranciever/antenna
222 Mhz mobile/base/antenna
<bobzito@wolfenet.com>(Bob Zito) KI7EV MDing WA. State

1201. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raymond Hansmeier <rhansme@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <341B07A9.F1E636C9@pacifier.com>
Source record: 186344

I have 3 brand new in the box 5 element 9.2 db gain 450 to 470 Mhz yagi antenna's. I would like $35 each and about $7 for shipping. They are made by Antennex and have a Type "N" connector and all mounting hardware is included...N7NL

1202. Re: TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341B0C60.1C3D@flash.net>
Source record: 186345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dennis P. Bernier wrote:
>
> Christopher W. (Chris) Boone wrote:
>
> > DAV... wrote:
> > >
> > > TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer
> > >
> > >
> > > Thank You for all you have done to reduce taxes, and cut rules and
> > > regulations in Texas. However, your job is not done. We need much more
> > > of the same. Please do all you can to cut taxes more and defund the
> > > departments that do harm to our freedoms. The EPA must be defunded.
> > > Carol Browner seems to igonore the importance of freedom. I do not
> > > support the new EPA restrictions signed into law by Bill Clinton.
> > >
> > > The primary reason I am writting you today is because of pending
> > > legislation that will destroy a hobby that many Texans participate in,
> > > and many elderly make use of to help them maintain a sense of security
> > > in their neighborhoods.
> > >
> > > Scanners are used to monitor everything from police and fire
> > > departments to airliners flying coast to coast. Many elderly people who
> > > are shut-ins listen to the police and fire departments in their towns so
> > > that they can keep up with the crime in their neighborhoods and even on
> > > their streets.
> > > Scanners do no harm. They are nothing more than a radio that tunes
> > > in a broad range of frequencies. Many families find a scanner to be
> > > more entertaining than watching the many channels of destructive garbage
> > > on TV.
> > > HR 1964 and 2369 are bills that will do great damage to the scanner
> > > hobbiest and the industry at large.
> > > It is for this reason that I cannot support this proposed
> > > legislation.
> > > I ask you to carefully consider the impact that this pending
> > > legislation will have on many of your Texas constituents.
> > > Do we want an America that is governed by lobbiests, or by the
> > > people?
> > > PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT ANY NEW LEGISLATION, INCLUDING HR 1964 and HR
> > > 2369 THAT WILL BRING ABOUT FURTHER RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF SCANNERS.
> >
> > ASSHOLE Gramm is one of them who VOTED FOR the scanner ban on cell freqs
> > and that was AFTER I asked him in public meetings in the Woodlands what

> > his opinion on the bill was...he joked it off saying that Goldwater
> > would
> > stop it and all that....BULLSHIT!!! Gramm is a MONEY egotistical asshole
> > and will wimp out at the first sign of trouble...
> > Kay is no better......I hope both are voted out of office next time..
> >
> > And you can bet your bottom DOLLAR, that REPULICANS are behind the
> > anti scanner bill...thanks to BUSINESS..who is in bed with the GOP!
> >
> > Chris
> > WB5ITT
>
> Chris, you scored a direct hit... rarely have I witnessed such an on-target
> hit of the reasons every-day working Americans are losing their Constitutional
> guarantees. Most people are fooled into believing that ideology is behind the
> actions of politicians. As you pointed out, the root cause is money. When
> Republicans (the party of Richard M. Nixon and Ronald McDonald Reagan) are
> involved, pseudo capitalism and corporate welfare run rampant.73,
> Dennis
> WA1WIA

Dennis, you did not score a direct hit. You listed two Republicans of
which there are no assertions that they have ever taken a dollar with
the request that they support certain legislation. However, look at our
current DEMOCRATIC administration. Clinton and Gore are both being
investigated for illegal campaign contributions from the COMMUNIST
GOVERNMENT OF RED CHINA of all places!!!
Clinton and the Democratic National Committee accepted over 4,000,000
last year from the Red Chinese. Do you think that the commies in China
were giving CLinton this money because they love him? NO! They want
something in return for that money, but at this time, noone knows what
it is that they want the Demcrats to do for them.
--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1203. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vf1rk$64v@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186346

```
In <341afe29.3325598@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
geoxvet@worldnest.att.net (George Vetterle) writes:
>
>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>
>>
>>>
>>
>>>Bill wrote:
>>>>
>>>> On Fri, 12 Sep 1997 04:12:27 GMT, geoxvet@worldnest.att.net
(George
>>Vetterle)
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:
>>>> >
>>>> >>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >>> what does this have to do with scanning?
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> bill conduit
>>>> >>> etc.
>>>> >>
>>>> >>What does this have to do with scanning?
>>>> >>
>>>> >>--
>>>> >>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>>>> >>WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM
>>>> >>
>>>> >>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>>>> >
>>>> >What does this have to do with scanning?
>>>> >
>>>> >---
>>>> >
>>>> >George
>>>> >
>>>> >geovet@ix.netcom.com
>>>>
>>>> What does this have to do with scanning?
>>>
>> Gee George: I don't know, what DOES "this" have to do
```

>> with scanning
>>
>> question mark.
>>
>>
>
>Answer: *Nothing*, It was merely a test to detect the humorously
>challenged.
>
>--
>
>George Vetterle
>
>
>If replying by e-mail, please remove the XS from the above return
address.
>They were added because of ever increasing junk e-mail.
>
>

I thought it was a test to see how many
objections from the humorously challenged
would object?

I guess all who read this post are humorous
to the core....

what a waste!

bill conduit
etc.

1204. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341B0CE0.5E18@flash.net>
Source record: 186347

The CB Guy wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days
> >From: croaker@access.digex.net (Francis A. Ney, Jr.)
> >Date: 9 Sep 1997 17:55:55 -0400
> >Message-id: <znr873820339k@Digex>
> >
> >
>
> >Who said it would be the IRS? All it takes is for someone to shove half a
> >key
> >of China White through your basement window and wait for the DEA
> >stormtroopers
> >to kick in your door.
> >
> >
>
> One could get someone killed for a whole lot less than a Ki of White.
> The real criminals these days are the folks who sit back on their asses,
> and do nothing but bitch about the way things should be.
> Nothing wrong with complaining if you're trying to change things.
> If you choose to sit behind your curtains and cower, all the while
> lambasting Police officers who are out there doing something you never
> could, than you get what you deserve.
> Everyone hates the cops...until they need one.
>
> The CB Guy

That is right and that is why I am posting the folling site all over
the web. Contact Congress right away about HR2369!!
--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1205. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913225401.SAA08785@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186348

> That is right and that is why I am posting the folling site all over
>the web. Contact Congress right away about HR~~~~!!
>--
>NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
>CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
>http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

Don't ever attempt to associate me with this bill.
It is completely irrelevant to the point I was making.

The CB Guy

1206. Re: Salt Lake City Airmed frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kut7bh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913231501.TAA15950@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186349

>Subject: Salt Lake City Airmed frequency
>From: "Jim Erickson" <JDErickson@prodigy.net>
>Date: 10 Sep 1997 13:33:55 GMT
>Message-id: <01bcbdee$b1bab280$9d1817cc@jim>
>
>Does anyone possibly know the frequency for Airmed in Salt Lake City (Not
>LifeFlight). I've tried the hospital frequency that Police Call mentions
>with no luck.
>
>They also mention a "statewide" frequency for ground control of medical
>choppers that the sheriff uses to contact choppers. Does anyone know this
>frequency.
>
>Thanx for your help.
>
>Jim Erickson
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Jim,
Try monitoring 155.340 to hear Airmed; I live in Ogden and can hear
the hospitals in Salt Lake City calling Airmed quite often on this
frequency. Unless you have been monitoring 155.280 (the secondary
hospital frequency), I don't understand why you haven't been able to hear
Airmed. As far as the "statewide" frequency is concerned, try monitoring
statewide UHP on 155.505 or 460.125. Most often the VHF (155.505) freq.
traffic is just rebroadcast over the UHF (460.125) repeater.

DAVID

1207. FS: Pro43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kolak@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913222601.SAA12708@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186350

Radio Shack Pro43 scanner for sale. Mint. Almost never used. $225.00

Reply via Email.

1208. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: spam_me_not@shore.net
Message-ID: <5vf48u$a4b@fridge-nf0.shore.net>
Source record: 186351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

amateur@vub.mcgill.ca.ham (M@s@) wrote:

>On Fri, 12 Sep 1997 23:51:40 GMT, spam_me_not@shore.net (JJ) wrote:

>>masa@writemeNOSPAM.com (M@s@) wrote:
>>
>>>*** Transmit without license !! It's possible !!***
>>
>>
>>>Go to Antarctica. You can do whatever you want in south pole.
>>
>>Yeah! You sure can....so long as it falls within the guidelines of
>>international treaty.

>international treaties + any laws do not apply in antarctica !!

>you can do whatever you want !!

ROTFLMAO!

==========
73 de Jim, WK1V
Ex: KA5MWD, N1CLS, KB1LW, HL9ZF
==========

1209. Re: New RS Dicone assembly question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <341AEF93.1BFE@videotron.ca>
Source record: 186352

Dave Martindale wrote:
>
> charv@videotron.ca writes:
> >I'm curious - are you getting good reports on 2 meters/ 70 cms with the
> >discone and what kind of range are you geeting (what height is it off
> >the ground).
>
> The discone is perhaps 25 feet off the ground *inside* the attic of
> the house. (We rent, so an outdoor antenna would be a problem). This
> clearly isn't an optimum location for the antenna. But it works far
> better than the other options that were available. A proper base station
> antenna with gain wouldn't fit in the attic; a simple 1/4 W or 1/2 W
> dipole only covers one band, a dual-band mobile antenna needs a ground
> plane.
>
> Basically, a discone can be expected to work as well as a dipole. It
> has no gain. What it *does* do is work over a 10:1 or so frequency
> range, something that very few antennas will do, and with a low enough
> SWR to transmit into.
>
> Dave

Thanks Dave. I had mine up in the attic for 6 months and enjoyed the performance. It's now 35 feet off the ground - outdoors - and I'm getting slightly better performance. I want it outside before it snows 3 feet on my roof:) (no kidding)

I'm setting off repeaters 20 miles away with 75 milliwatts on the ICZ1A handheld. Full power - 5 watts gives me access to roughly 12 repeaters in and around the Quebec City area. Very satisfied with the antenna on 2m so far, not to mention a great scanner antenna as well (awesome on the 118-136mhz aviation band). I'm looking forward to hooking up my TM-251A with 50 watts on it:)

'73

Vince

1210. Help with Icom IC-71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ziggy@vsi.net
Message-ID: <3419fa69.3388658@news2.microserve.net>
Source record: 186367

I just got a used Icom IC-71A. Can this radio be computer controlled?
On the back there is no remote outlet. It looks like there are items
that can be added to the rear because there are two covers screwed on
the back panel. I have ScanCat computer program. Thanks.

1211. Re: Kansas Column 09-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: electro149@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913001000.UAA29179@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186368

Andy: I wouldn't be surprised to see the highway patrol go to a consolidated dispatch center. In the past 2 years, they have eliminated the beautiful traditional design of their patrol cars, and went to a stupid looking door emblem that looks more like a taxicab sign (no offense intended to the trooper who designed it). Kansas Highway Patrol DID have the most beautiful patrol cars in the country, a design which they used for many years, which many of us Kansans were very proud of and admired. Why not let the efficient dispatch operations go away too?

1212. FS: AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ardyno@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913011100.VAA27708@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186369

For sale : AOR 8000 in mint condition, it has FCC ID # , it's not import.
Was distributied by EDCO, VIENNA, VA.
It has full coverage (no gaps).
I have original box, manual, 4 AA Ni-Cd batteries, belt clip, AC
power/charger, hand strap, rubber duckie antenna and the scanner.
I also have a computer interface and software (ScanCat Gold)
Asking $ 675 + shipping

ArDyno@aol.com

1213. FS- BEARCAT BCT 10-NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gaylakate@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913013200.VAA29312@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186370

For cale in original box with all accessories, including both visor and window mount-DC cord all in original box, why if you travel look up the Highway patrol or local police ? It s all preprogramed in this litlle item !

1214. Re: Canadian weather freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mulligan@ACM.ORG
Message-ID: <5vcoug$1j6@hopper.acm.ORG>
Source record: 186371

ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Darrell A. Larose) wrote:
> The CB Guy (thecbguy@aol.com) writes:
>> Does anyone know if the Kanucks have a weather service similar to NOAA?

Environment Canada uses the same primary weather frequencies as NOAA,
plus two marine channels in the coastal and Great Lakes regions.

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

Weather - NOAA broadcasts

Ch Freq.

1 162.550 161.650 } Environment Canada
2 162.400 161.775 } (marine weather)
3 162.475
4 162.425
5 162.450 The weather alert signal is
6 162.500 a 1050 kHz tone for 5 secs.
7 162.525

1215. FS: Radio Shack PRO-2006 with Opto456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dberg@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <5vcuov$8k4$3@comet3.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186372

Radio Shack PRO-2006 with Opto456 $500.00

Buyer pays shipping and packing costs. Money orders are preferred.
Checks will cheerfully be accepted but must clear my bank before
shipment.

Email me at dberg@magicnet.net

Thanks and take care!

David

_____
David Steinberg
Orlando, FL Take deep breaths...
dberg@magicnet.net

1216. FS: BC890XLT w/CTCSS option

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dberg@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <5vcuu3$8k4$4@comet3.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186373

BC890XLT with CTCSS board $185.00

Buyer pays shipping and packing costs. Money orders are preferred.
Checks will cheerfully be accepted but must clear my bank before
shipment.

Email me at dberg@magicnet.net

Thanks and take care!

David

_____
David Steinberg
Orlando, FL Take deep breaths...
dberg@magicnet.net

1217. FS: Radio Shack PRO-60 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dberg@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <5vcuj5$8k4$1@comet3.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186374

Radio Shack PRO-60 Scanner $150.00

Buyer pays shipping and packing costs. Money orders are preferred.
Checks will cheerfully be accepted but must clear my bank before
shipment.

Email me at dberg@magicnet.net

Thanks and take care!

David

_____
David Steinberg
Orlando, FL Take deep breaths...
dberg@magicnet.net

1218. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmort@opman.com
Message-ID: <3419e4ba.2972782@snews.zippo.com>
Source record: 186375
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

On Thu, 11 Sep 1997 08:27:35 -0700, DOC <avakoff@pacbell.net> wrote:

>For your information Chris, the LA Police Department, along with the
>Feds I believe, had a very thorough investigation of Mark Furman's
>so-called racist tendencies. They found he was not a racist and would
>even engage in athletic games at ridiculous hours (sometimes as early as
>6 am) with blacks and latinos, many of whom said he was their friend.

An investigation of the LAPD BY the LAPD is worth about as much as an
investigation of the mafia BY the mafia.

>The investigation found his statements to that "author" were nothing but
>fabrication and exaggeration. No charges were brought against him. And,
>naturally, the media chose to give this part of the Fuhrman story only
>the most minimal of coverage.

You seem to have missed out on Furhman's plea bargain to AVOID
prosecution.

Gun control, the theory that Black people will be
better off when only Mark Fuhrman has a gun.

Check out:

http://super.zippo.com/~cmorton/home.htm
http://www.firstnethou.com/gunsite/moore.html

1219. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bj <hollabau@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <01bcbff3$19214460$33aa8bcd@burton-hollabau>
Source record: 186376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com> wrote in article
<3419B3BE.6B6F@datasync.com>...
> Brad Cuppy wrote:
> >
> > Pooch wrote:
> >
> > > > >
> > > > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill (HR2369). We
will be posting a
> > > > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
> > > >
> > > > 73's
> > > > Dan KB8UYZ
> > > > dan@kb8uyz.com
> >

Let the morons in Washington pass any law they want to. With Ms Reno
enforcing the law you
have nothing to fear. The Japanese will pay off Ms Reno and then flood the
country with cheap scanners.

l

1220. Wtb:BC 2500XLT Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tsr172@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <5vd19n$9hk@camel2.mindspring.com>
Source record: 186377

Need manual for Uniden Bearcat 2500XLT scanner, copy or original.
Tim Robinson
tsr172@mindspring.com

1221. FS: ICOM R7000 VHF/UHF Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913042500.AAA19136@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186378

I have an excellent condition ICOM R7000 receiver with RC-12 remote control for sale. With original box and manual. Has an extra 100 memory channels accessed through the dimmer switch. Later production model.

Price is $800.00 plus shipping

Will consider trade for mint PRO-2004 or PRO-43 scanners with cellular

E-mail to Fbals@aol.com
Phone (216) 261-5319

1222. FS: Radio/Electronics Equip.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kenneke@peak.org
Message-ID: <5vd4d7$n2$2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 186379

Hi!

I've got a bunch of surplus radio/electronics equipment to sell. The
complete list is at:

http://www.bitblaster.com/~danash
(select the surplus quipment link)

And, if you want to read a "net published" book about Pirate Radio, go to:

http://www.peak.org/~kenneke/pirbook.html

-j

1223. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws: Resistance is Futile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341a154c.997446@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186380

jmason@iu.net (j) wrote:

: On the other end of things, there exist MANY things which
: are private and MUST NEVER be casually exposed to the
: average scanner fanatic. Cell phone calls are such an
: item. There exists NO excuse for listening in on other
: peoples conversations - NONE.

You seem to have lost the plot on this one.
No amount of legislation will ensure that an analogue cellphone
conversation is private. The only fair thing is to bring in laws
that require service providers to make their customers aware
that any call they make may be listened to. The cellphone user
can then avoid talking about critical matters on his cellphone.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1224. Re: 13.8v DC Question / Mobile Scanner Antenna Y- splitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341a155a.1011633@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186381

"Gary I. Robin" <k9hdu@webspun.com> wrote:

:Barry O'Grady wrote:
:>
:> daw143@fast.net wrote:
:>
:> :Mobile Scanner Power:
:> : I am going to install a PRO-2032 base 200 scanner in my vehicle and
:> :need some advice: The voltage requirments for this unit read 13.8V
:> :DC.What would be the best method to power this in my vehicle.
:> :I do not think that they have any 13.8V DC power cigarette adapters.
:> :Should I run it directly to the battery and negative ground?
:> :
:> :Mobile scanner Y-Splitter Question:
:> : Also, I was looking into maybe using a Y-Splitter madee by Motorola
:> :that enables you to utilize the car's radio antenna and slpits the
:> :signal for your scanner. Has anyone ever used these splitters and are
:> :they as efective as a basic all-band mag. mount antenna?
:> :
:> :
:> :Any suggestions would be greatly appreatiated
:>
:> The simplest way is to use the cigarette lighter socket. I have used
:> that method with a PRO-2004 and a UBC-9000XLT. Both worked fine.
:>
:> A car radio antenna will not work as well as a dedicated antenna
:> but may by OK depending on your requirements.
:>
:> Barry
:>
:> ====================================
:> To reply via email please remove the XX from my
:> return address.
:
:Barry:
:
:your question is somewhat unclear.

Would it be clearer if you knew I had not asked any questions?

:Would you please ask your ,mom to re-write it?

Perhaps, but I would need clarification on what ,mom is.

If you advise me of your particular disability I may be able to
write it taking that into account.

:g

b

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1225. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341a1566.1023567@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186382
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WWW.

==================================
Here is how to turn HTML off in Netscape 4.0:

1) Start Netscape 4.0
2) Select menu "Edit, Preferences, Mail and News Preferences"
3) Select tab "Compositions"
4) Remove the check mark for "Use HTML Composition Window"

And at same time check...

1) That "Allow 8-bit" is checked
2) That "MIME compliant" is unchecked

========================================

"Phil Smalley" wrote:

:
:This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
:
:------=_NextPart_000_01BCBDE9.DF3D56E0
:Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
:------=_NextPart_000_01BCBDE9.DF3D56E0
:Content-Type: text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
:
:

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> =
:wrote in article <3416F13E.7F0D@usa.net>...
> I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up =

Barry

====================================
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.
```

1226. FS: AOR AR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevel@_FIGHT_SPAM_voicenet.com
Message-ID: <341a1a8e.3201373@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 186383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Because a prior agreement to sell fell through I have an AOR AR-8000
with full 800 MHz coverage, Scout jack, AC adapter, car adapter,
antenna, NiCd batteries, hand strap and manual available. Price is
$600 which includes shipping. Send email if interested.

Steve

NOTE: Anti-spam email address!! Please note reply address
before sending email.

Steve Lukshides
Philadelphia, PA

1227. Re: Pro 34 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGFMFr.8vq@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 186384

In article <3419D285.CD1356BB@vvm.com>, Randy <randy@vvm.com> wrote:
>I purchased a Pro 34 hand held scanner by mail. I have not recieved it
>yet, but interested in any and all mods that are available for it...
>please email me if you know or have any.... or know of mod sites that
>may list them Thanks de Randy

http://www.io.com/~tweek/34/

This is ONLY the cell mod, but you might want to take a look at it
to see the complexity of modding this particular model. (This www
page has pictures.)

For other mods, as the other person suggested, check out QRZ... although,
if I recall, there really isn't many more diode snipping mods for this
scanner, other than *removing* frequencies. (ala... the UK bandplan)

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

1228. Re: Can the Icom R10 Speed be increased!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcb1 <pcb1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc004$ea092000$d3a120cc@default>
Source record: 186385

After I programmed the mode and steps with my computer, it scans noticeably faster. You do not have any idea of what this radio is capable of till you connect it to your computer.

Dan <sllewd@mail.icongrp.com> wrote in article
<01bcbf45$6b966bc0$a9b496ce@crown.crown.icongrp.com>...
> Are there any mods for any kind of speed increase? I like the scanner,
but
> the search & scan are so slow.
>
> My buddies 15 year old scanner scans faster than the R-10.
>
> THnaks in advance!
>

1229. !!! Danger! Contact YOUR Congressman from here! Its fast and easy!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341A3F4F.1388@flash.net>
Source record: 186386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

Below, you will find many of your Congressmen. Not all will be here, so you may have to do some digging to find their addresses, or fax numbers, but below is a list of many of them.

All you have to do is click on the one you want to write. If the mail is returned, do not give up hope. Their box is probably full. Please remail your message in a few hours, or the next day.

BE NICE, BUT let them know that you cannot support them if they support any legislation like H.R.2369 Tell them that you do not support any further restrictions on scanner use and that H.R.2369 will greatly damage your hobby. Tell them that many older Americans use their scanners to listen to events in their neighborhoods which is part of their safety network.

It is so easy for you to voice your opinion, please support your rights and your hobby by sending a single note with many many Congressmen listed in the address area of your message. All you have to do is seperate them by a comma. This will allow you to send out one message to many Congressmen.

Now, look below for their addresses:

Content-Disposition: inline; filename="congressmail.htm"
Content-Base: "file:///E|/Pic/congressmail.htm"

1230. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341A420F.2DCF@flash.net>
Source record: 186387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

```
Ike wrote:
>
> Brad Cuppy wrote:
> >
> > Ike wrote:
> >
> > > It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> > > conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> > > and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> > > the listening.
> >
> > You should have not discussed what was used against you.
> > That's your problem. Should have used a landline phone.
> >
> > > I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> > > would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> > > the way it came out of the box!
> >
> > I'll do that when you, Congress, or CTIA buys the radio for
> > me. If I pay for it myself, then I have every right to
> > modify it to perform the way I want it to.
> >
> > > I will call and offer support for HR2369!
> >
> > Seig Heil ! Citizenry control is #1. Heil Hitler !
>
> The only reason you are modifying the electronics
> is to do something that is not legal ... it's the
> same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
> so when you transmit your signal, it causes
> interference with nearby radios & tv's. You
> do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
> the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
> that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
> in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
> big house ... why don't you spend your time
> doing something constructive?

You need to realize that for the longest time, it was not illegal to
listen to ANYTHING that came into your presence. It was the obligation
of the sender to modify the signal in some way as to make it very
difficult of the unintended recepient to understand.
The awful, selfish cellular industry bribed, funded, and used all
```

kinds of methods to have congress pass the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986. Since then, all kinds of restrictions have been placed upon the reciever of a mere radio signal!
This is simply not the way to handle the issue.
We must return to the rule of law from the past which stated that it is the responsiblity of the sender to encode the signal so as to make it difficult for the non-intended recepient to understand.

However, I believe that there is far more behind these restrictions and those within HR 2369. It seems to me that the government is using the requests of industry as an excuses to limit the freedoms of Americans.

Fear the government that fears your guns,scanners, and your right to speak out against them!
--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1231. Re: ALERT!! HR2369 CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN NOW!!! LOOK BELOW FOR THE ADDRESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341A3C5A.1BD1@flash.net>
Source record: 186388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

BELOW YOU WILL FIND A FEW OF YOUR CONGRESSMAN. PLEASE EMAIL THEM
DAILY!

Content-Disposition: inline; filename="congressmail.htm"
Content-Base: "file:///E|/Pic/congressmail.htm"

1232. Re: Any ideas on how to get school frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1309970308090001@pm51-35.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186389

In article <19970912071201.DAA10148@ladder02.news.aol.com>,
yaesu50r@aol.com (Yaesu50R) wrote:

> My children go to a public school in California. I work near enough to be
> able to receive the motorola handhelds that are common on campus. I would
> like to be able to keep abreast of school activities especially here in
> earthquake country. I don't want to spend the money on a Scout because I
> am not interested in collecting a lot of frequencies. The school is pretty
> stuffy so I don't think they would happily give up the frequencies. Help
> please?
> NoUnsolicitedJunkMailYaesu50R@aol.com

Perhaps you can rent a Frequency Counter. Not sure where one can do that though.

1233. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341A428D.335D@juno.com>
Source record: 186390

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> >
> >Tim. (shoppa@triumf.a)
> Question: does this idea then hold that anyone can say "I did not
> post that" and be truthful?"
>
> based on that statement...cannot the originator be found out
> based on where they posted from using their address to get the
> initial stages ready for posting...?
>
> back to the source...cannot that be found in light of
> the forgers work?
>
> bill conduit
> etc
Bill,
actually, yes it can.
You can use the path to trace back to the ISP of origin. then using the message ID that is included, request that the ISP confirm that a user posted that public article. I have used it a few times to conform a suspicion.

There are ways to defeat this (if you are skilled enough), but it takes real work to do so.

1234. Re: TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Christopher W. (Chris) Boone" <cboone@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <341A3840.71D5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 186391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.boats.electronics

DAV... wrote:
>
> TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer
>
>
> Thank You for all you have done to reduce taxes, and cut rules and
> regulations in Texas. However, your job is not done. We need much more
> of the same. Please do all you can to cut taxes more and defund the
> departments that do harm to our freedoms. The EPA must be defunded.
> Carol Browner seems to igonore the importance of freedom. I do not
> support the new EPA restrictions signed into law by Bill Clinton.
>
> The primary reason I am writting you today is because of pending
> legislation that will destroy a hobby that many Texans participate in,
> and many elderly make use of to help them maintain a sense of security
> in their neighborhoods.
>
> Scanners are used to monitor everything from police and fire
> departments to airliners flying coast to coast. Many elderly people who
> are shut-ins listen to the police and fire departments in their towns so
> that they can keep up with the crime in their neighborhoods and even on
> their streets.
> Scanners do no harm. They are nothing more than a radio that tunes
> in a broad range of frequencies. Many families find a scanner to be
> more entertaining than watching the many channels of destructive garbage
> on TV.
> HR 1964 and 2369 are bills that will do great damage to the scanner
> hobbiest and the industry at large.
> It is for this reason that I cannot support this proposed
> legislation.
> I ask you to carefully consider the impact that this pending
> legislation will have on many of your Texas constituents.
> Do we want an America that is governed by lobbiests, or by the
> people?
> PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT ANY NEW LEGISLATION, INCLUDING HR 1964 and HR
> 2369 THAT WILL BRING ABOUT FURTHER RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF SCANNERS.

ASSHOLE Gramm is one of them who VOTED FOR the scanner ban on cell freqs
and that was AFTER I asked him in public meetings in the Woodlands what
his opinion on the bill was...he joked it off saying that Goldwater
would
stop it and all that....BULLSHIT!!! Gramm is a MONEY egotistical asshole
and will wimp out at the first sign of trouble...

Kay is no better......I hope both are voted out of office next time..

And you can bet your bottom DOLLAR, that REPULICANS are behind the
anti scanner bill...thanks to BUSINESS..who is in bed with the GOP!

Chris
WB5ITT

1235. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: i4086@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913081901.EAA00183@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186392

In article <19970912152101.LAA19442@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) writes:

>Well sure, if they are either homeless or live in a packing crate.
>
>

Does a whirlpool appliance box count? ;)

derick,
73

1236. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Miles <amilesnospam@interport.net>
Message-ID: <341A5DDE.65D1@interport.net>
Source record: 186393
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

DOC wrote:
>
> Christopher Morton wrote:
> >
> > ---
> > Gun control, the theory that Black people will be
> > better off when only Mark Fuhrman has a gun.
> >
> > Check out:
> >
> > http://super.zippo.com/~cmorton/home.htm
> > http://www.firstnethou.com/gunsite/moore.html
> ==
>
> For your information Chris, the LA Police Department, along with the
> Feds I believe, had a very thorough investigation of Mark Furman's
> so-called racist tendencies. They found he was not a racist and would
> even engage in athletic games at ridiculous hours (sometimes as early as
> 6 am) with blacks and latinos, many of whom said he was their friend.
> The investigation found his statements to that "author" were nothing but
> fabrication and exaggeration. No charges were brought against him. And,
> naturally, the media chose to give this part of the Fuhrman story only
> the most minimal of coverage.

Because they were focussed on the perjury issue. Furman's problem
wasn't about racism, but perjury.

1237. Re: British Airways Company Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Moran <jamoran@goodnet.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.95.970913021629.5730B-100000@goodguy>
Source record: 186394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

HI
thanks for the BA info...
here's what I have for Los Angeles

According to my Aeronautical Frequency Directory, and also from spending
lots of time at LAX with my scanner in hand, here are some frequequencies
to monitor
airway shuttle vans 936.1, 935.1
search/rescue 122.9, 123.10
lockheed corp: 3.444, 3.4430, 6.5514, 6.5500
8.8234, 8.8220, 11.3704, 11.3060, 13.3134, 13.3120, 17.9654, 17.9640,
21.9324, 21.9310, 125.25, 123.325, 123.425
LAX Approach 124.5, 381.6 (heading 225-044)
LAX Approach 124.9, 269.0 (090-224)
LAX Approach 128.5, 360.7 (045-089)
LAX ATIS arrivial 133.8
LAX ATIS departures 135.65
lax clearance 121.4, 327.0
Coast Guard 381.8, 383.9
LAX Departure 124.3, 363.2 (045-248)
LAX Departure 125.2, 385.4 (249-044)
LAX ground 121.65, 327.0 North Complex
LAX ground 121.75, 327.0 South Complex
(Between 11pm and 530am All Tower Services: Clearance, Ground, and tower
are usually on 120.95 and UHF 379.1
LAX operations 372.2
LAX tower 119.8, 120.35
LAX tower 120.95, 379.1 (South Complex and nights everything)
LAX tower 133.9 239.3 (North Complex)
LAX Unicom 122.95
LAX vfr corridor 122.75
Rochwell international 3.0054, 3.0040, 3.444, 3.4430, 5.5724, 5.5710,
10.0464, 10.0450, 17.9654, 17.9640,
LAX flight service (Hawthorne) 122.0, 122.1, 122.2, 122.5, 255.4

LAX airport operations
460.525, 460.10, 453.375 Police
Operations 460.55

The following frequencies are licensed or owned by Aeronautical Radio Inc
but are used by various airlines for ground operations and "company"
frequencies
460.65, 460.775, 460.800 460.85 (Continental ramp/maint), 460.875 (UNited
Maintenance, 460.775

Trunked system, shared by several airlines:
856.7875, 856.8875, 857.7875, 857.8875, 858.7875, 858.8875, 859.7875,
859.8875, 860.7875, 860.8875 (Delta, Mexicana, and a few others have been
heard here)

460.70 (American), 465.775 (ARCO communications), 460.85 (Continental),
460.70 (Continental), 460.65, (Delta) 460.75 (Delta), 460.775 (Delta),
460.825 (Delta), 460.85 (Delta), 460.825 flying tiger/Federal Express),
460.70 (MGM Grand), 460.70 (Northwest), 4690.825 (Quantis), 460.85
(Quantis), 460.675 (TWA), 460.725 (United), 460.875 (United), 460.725
(Virgin Atlantic), 460.85 (World Airways).

Aeronautical Radio (ARINC) HF/Shortwve frequencies.
5.5754, 5.5740 (HF ramp check)
2.8704, 2.8690, 3.0144, 3.0130, 3.4144, 3.4130, 3.4954, 3.4940, 5.5304,
5.5290, 5.5484, 5.5470, 6.6024, 6.6040, 6.6384, 6.6370, 6.6414, 6.69400,
6.6744, 6.6730, 8.8444, 8.8430, 8.8504, 8.8490, 8.9344, 8.9330, 10.0344,
10.0330, 10.0584, 10.0570, 11.2834, 11.2820, 11.3434, 11.3480, 13.3494,
13.3480, 17.9054, 17.9040, 17.9264, 17.9400, 21.9264, 21.9250

Evergreen 125.825
128.875, 128.925 intl airlines
american eagle 128.95
mgm grand LACSA 128.975
air france 129.025
Scandavian SAS 129.075
Nippon 129.10
ACARS digital data 129.125Air new Zealand, Canadian, China air 129.15
mexicana 129.175
American ramp 129.225
Southwest ramp 125.25
United 129.30
United operations 129.40
UPs 129.425, 129.475
United ramp 129.50
Delta Atlanta Dispatch 129.55 (used Nationwide)
Northwest 129.60, 129.65
United Express 129.675
TACA 129.725
Lufthansa 129.875
Aero Mexico, Korean air 129.90
Alpha air 129.95
Us Air Maint 130.00
ACARS Digital Data 130.025, 130.05
Delta Operations 130.10
United Maintenance 130.225
America West 130.25
KLM 130.275
British Airways 130.375
Air Canada 130.475
Continental 130.50

Delta maintenance 130.525, 130.55
El-al 130.6
Northwest Minneapolis Dispatch 130.70
TWA load control 130.775
DElta Atlanta dispatch 130.775
ARINC on ground frequency 130.80, 130.825
asiana 130.95
American maintenance 131.025
131.15 us air operations
131.20 Alaska
Arinc On ground 131.30
Hudson General Services 131.325
Philippine 131.375
Japan air 131.40
Aerolinas Argentina 131.425
Delta Ramp Tower (T-4, 5,6) 131.45
Acars Digital Data 131.55
Mercury Aviation 131.60
DHL 131.625
Iberia 131.675
Hilltop Aviation 131.70
Skywest 131.75
TWA Ramp-maintenance 131.80
Federal Express 131.825, 131.875, 131.925
ARINC pacific frequency 131.950
air la 131.975
goodyear blimp 132.0

malibu mountain rescue 121.85, 122.90

Phoenix Clerance 118.1
Phoenix Ground 121.85, 121.9, 119.65, 132.55
Phoenix Tower 120.7, 118.7
Phoenix Tracon (arvl, deprt) 124.1, 119.2, 126.6, 126.8, 128.65, 120.7,
123.7, 269.5, 256.8, 393.1
Delta 130.10 129.55 460.75, 460.85
America West (Cactus) 131.775, 128.975, 460.525, 935.175, 935.1875
Southwest 856.8875-860.8875 (shared trunked system) 131.70

328.6-335.4 ar ILS Glidepath frequencies
960-1215 are allocated for Navaids..transponders, I think are 1050mhz.
Transponders can also be in the 1300-1350mhz range.
Airport Radars use 2700-2900mhz frequencies!

hope this helps!!
let me know if you find something I don't have listed.
I have also monitored many Cellulatr calls while near LAX and also the Air
fones in the 849-850 and 869mhz area. I believe these are digital signals.

JOHN

JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) computing & making good Chemistry!

- - - - - - - -

1238. Re: TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: destarr@tir.com
Message-ID: <341c8192.1687401@news.tir.com>
Source record: 186395

On Sat, 13 Sep 1997 01:52:48 -0500, "Christopher W. (Chris) Boone"
<cboone@earthlink.net> wrote:

}
}And you can bet your bottom DOLLAR, that REPULICANS are behind the
}anti scanner bill...thanks to BUSINESS..who is in bed with the GOP!
}
}Chris
}WB5ITT

And probably the Democrats, who don't want you to know what they're up
to.

++
Dave Starr

Professional Shop Rat - 32 years in an Auto Plant

1239. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913121601.IAA29103@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186396

>Subject: Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up
>trouble again!!!
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: Fri, 12 Sep 1997 20:30:28 -0700
>Message-id: <341A08D4.6AA6@usa.net>
>
>What a bunch of mindless, senseless rambling. Hey Bill, are you gonna
>start making your racist comments again?
>
>
>

Well IDIOT OF MACKEY (to quote a source).
This is at least the 5th time I've seen you ask Bill this question. I
haven't seen him make any racist comments, so one would think he was
answering your question in a very obvious manner.
Now, a question for you......
Hey MACKEY?......You gonna keep posting your inane drivel?

The CB Guy

1240. Virginia Beach Freq. needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tom <tzink@livenet.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc042$1a6c2200$411f9cce@livenet.net.livenet>
Source record: 186397

I just recently moved to Virginia Beach, Virginia. I've been trying to get
local frequency police/fire ect.
If any one has them please post them.

Thanks
Tom

1241. Re: TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dennis P. Bernier" <DBERNIER@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5ve297$5a0@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Christopher W. (Chris) Boone wrote:

> DAV... wrote:
> >
> > TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer
> >
> >
> > Thank You for all you have done to reduce taxes, and cut rules and
> > regulations in Texas. However, your job is not done. We need much more
> > of the same. Please do all you can to cut taxes more and defund the
> > departments that do harm to our freedoms. The EPA must be defunded.
> > Carol Browner seems to igonore the importance of freedom. I do not
> > support the new EPA restrictions signed into law by Bill Clinton.
> >
> > The primary reason I am writting you today is because of pending
> > legislation that will destroy a hobby that many Texans participate in,
> > and many elderly make use of to help them maintain a sense of security
> > in their neighborhoods.
> >
> > Scanners are used to monitor everything from police and fire
> > departments to airliners flying coast to coast. Many elderly people who
> > are shut-ins listen to the police and fire departments in their towns so
> > that they can keep up with the crime in their neighborhoods and even on
> > their streets.
> > Scanners do no harm. They are nothing more than a radio that tunes
> > in a broad range of frequencies. Many families find a scanner to be
> > more entertaining than watching the many channels of destructive garbage
> > on TV.
> > HR 1964 and 2369 are bills that will do great damage to the scanner
> > hobbiest and the industry at large.
> > It is for this reason that I cannot support this proposed
> > legislation.
> > I ask you to carefully consider the impact that this pending
> > legislation will have on many of your Texas constituents.
> > Do we want an America that is governed by lobbiests, or by the
> > people?
> > PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT ANY NEW LEGISLATION, INCLUDING HR 1964 and HR
> > 2369 THAT WILL BRING ABOUT FURTHER RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF SCANNERS.
>
> ASSHOLE Gramm is one of them who VOTED FOR the scanner ban on cell freqs
> and that was AFTER I asked him in public meetings in the Woodlands what
> his opinion on the bill was...he joked it off saying that Goldwater
> would

> stop it and all that....BULLSHIT!!! Gramm is a MONEY egotistical asshole
> and will wimp out at the first sign of trouble...
> Kay is no better......I hope both are voted out of office next time..
>
> And you can bet your bottom DOLLAR, that REPULICANS are behind the
> anti scanner bill...thanks to BUSINESS..who is in bed with the GOP!
>
> Chris
> WB5ITT

Chris, you scored a direct hit... rarely have I witnessed such an on-target hit of the reasons every-day working Americans are losing their Constitutional guarantees. Most people are fooled into believing that ideology is behind the actions of politicians. As you pointed out, the root cause is money. When Republicans (the party of Richard M. Nixon and Ronald McDonald Reagan) are involved, pseudo capitalism and corporate welfare run rampant.73,
Dennis
WA1WIA

1242. RS Support Page for the 120-0249 WR-SAME Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <5ve197$499$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 186399
Cross-posted to: bit.listserv.wx-talk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

The following web page is the support page for the RS NWR-NWS WRSAME
Radio #120-0249.

http://support.tandy.com/support_audio/40465.htm

This page has complete information on the use of the radio AND
FIPS/SAME codes for the entire US.

These documents are also available for faxback @ (800) 323-6586 to
get a catalog. Fax # for this product is # 40465.

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1243. Pro 2001 and Pro 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <kenj@pacificcoast.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc044$f8bd5cc0$a1d0d1cc@default>
Source record: 186400

Could someone please tell if how to service search on these scanners. I just got the at a swap and shop and I do not know how to really run them. If someone has a manual they could copy I would be willing to pay a reasonable amount. Thank you. email kenj@pacificcoast.net

1244. Re: PRO90 VS BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa9yhx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970913130401.JAA07023@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186401

>Subject: PRO90 VS BC235XLT
>From: snoopy735@aol.com (SNOOPY735)
>Date: 10 Sep 1997 01:56:19 GMT
>Message-id: <19970910015600.VAA14059@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>
>Anyone have a valid opinion on the differences between the PRO90 and
>BC235XLT? I'm not sure which would be the better buy. Anything else
>available compare to these (for the same price range)?>

Although you can buy the BC235XLT from a vendor for $249 plus shipping, vs. the Pro-90 for $299, there is something called a TSP, or service plan for $59.95 available from Radio Shack. If purchased within 30 days, it's a 5 year "insurance policy" that covers EVERYTHING in the Pro-90, no matter what goes wrong (except theft). The BC235XLT only has a one year warranty. So, if you look at it that way, and if you plan on using the radio in "rough service," it may be worth going the RS route. I don't work for Radio Shack.

Will Sperling, WA9YHX, Woodridge, Il. 60517

1245. Re: Ham op.license (dont be an ASS!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: CRAIG <csom>
Message-ID: <01bcc047$c3dec9a0$b7ca2581@compuserve>
Source record: 186402

I second this. I personally dealt with this issue in Michigan and I can
say that ERIC is 100% correcto.

Eric M. Hutchinson <hutch@whoever.com> wrote in article
<5v6mq8$mas@news2.triton.net>...
> In article <5v6ehpkim1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>, JWMJR@webtv.net
says...
> >
> >AGAIN,NOONE can operate a scanner just because they have a Ham
> >op.lic.You still would have to get a State permite.I don`t care what
> >this idiot tells anyone,WRONG,noone can operate a scanner in a vehicle
> >in Michigan unless they get a State permite.
>
> Please note, a PERMIT (note lack of E), in Michigan, is NOT required if
you
> have an amateur radio class lic. of tech or higher. This MIS-INFORMATION
that
> your are passing around is an injustice to the rest of us on the NG.
Please
> read the law as written, or become a lawyer and then read it. If you
have any
> other questions about the scanner law in Michigan, please, feel free to
contact
> me on this issue.
>
> Scanner Law EXPERT in Michigan.
>
> Eric - N8XHP/SK
>
>

1246. Re: Against the law to listen to remove mattress tag?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <341B2292.619B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 186403

Reverend Tweek wrote:
>
> Rick Colombo <colombo@eat_spam.fnal.gov> wrote:
> >LA wrote:
> >>
> >> Today I broke federal law. I ripped the tag off my matress.
> >>
> > >
> > >It's not illegal for the consumer to remove these tags.
> >
> Yet.
>
> Actually, I seem to recall hearing about a law covering one such
> instance where it is illegal for anyone to remove a certain "tag"
> on a product... even at the consumer level. (and I'm not thinking
> of the FCC Certification tags, which you shouldn't remove either.)

But seriously folks... The new scanner law will not change my scanning habits one iota. (I just may have to remove my mobile unit).

Steve S

1247. Re: US Military: Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia--News Flash!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <341B255F.60D4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 186404

Tekbir wrote:
>
> From Yahoo's Top News Stories:
>
> Thursday September 11 3:53 PM EDT
>
> Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia
>
> By Charles Aldinger
>
> WASHINGTON (Reuter) - The U.S. military said on Thursday it was sending
> to Bosnia three electronic warfare(yada, yada, yada)

Please don't repost news stories to this group.

Steve S

1248. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341B221A.11AC@usa.net>
Source record: 186405

Finally, some GOOD CORRECT advice.

I hope Bill is smart enough to follow it.

We haven't seen his racist comments lately either.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> Bill,
> actually, yes it can.
> You can use the path to trace back to the ISP of origin. then using the
> message ID that is included, request that the ISP confirm that a user
> posted that public article. I have used it a few times to conform a
> suspicion.
> There are ways to defeat this (if you are skilled enough), but it takes
> real work to do so.

1249. I NEED HELP DECIDING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRYHARKER <JERRYHARKER@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc098$27b88f60$c8ce2581@packard-bell>
Source record: 186406

I have a Uniden SC-150 Scanner and I would like something better. Does anyone have any comments about the bc3000. Is it that much better, or should I hold off on it and keep the sc-150. Or should I get a trunk tracker since my kind of small city (that is the is in a big county in which I can hear other big cities) is the only city in the county to have a trunked system. Or should I just keep the sc-150 instead of the 235? Please help me decide. All comments welcome. Thank you. Mike Jerryharker@prodigy.net or BZWM23B@prodigy.net

1250. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <341B2C21.4AD5@inreach.com>
Source record: 186407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
>
> M@s@ wrote:
> > international treaties + any laws do not apply in antarctica !!
> > you can do whatever you want !!
> >
> Then explain why the UN (United Nations) has jurisdiction over
> antarctica? That is an international preserve for the study of nature
> and all laws and treaties stipulated to do apply.
>
> I dare say, I think your foot just intercepted your mouth on this one.
> Better get some gold bond for your gums.

The reason the UN has jurisdiction over Antartica is only because they say they do and there are no citizens of Antartica to disagree with them.
Go to Antartica, start your own country, and tell the UN to take a hike through the glaciers, dont forget your own army though.
;')

1251. FS : Pro 34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Colson III <jcolson@cajunnet.com>
Message-ID: <341B36CA.3EE6@cajunnet.com>
Source record: 186408

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------19456F2E4273
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

For Sale Radio Shack Pro 34 Handheld scanner. Its in good condition
and comes with the manual and Rechargeable Batteries. Their is a
picture attached. IM asking $125.00 OBO. Any questions please email at
JColson@CajunNet.com.

Thanks,
Joe Colson

--------------19456F2E4273
Content-Type: image/jpeg; name="Scanner.jpg"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="Scanner.jpg"

<encoded_portion_removed>
T+8+oH7dPUH81PtZM8x8f0J24vx3fqf/2Q==
--------------19456F2E4273--
```

1252. FS: PRO-2022, 2010 BCT2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joel Gallagher <joelkeri@america.com>
Message-ID: <341B3FCB.5838@america.com>
Source record: 186409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale:
Pro-2010 20 channel, with air $65
Pro-2022 200 channels, air, and full 800 (no gaps) $200
BCT2 Bear Tracker, Mobile Pre-Progamed for all 50 states, Police-Fire-EMT including The Highway Saftey Indicator, includes power supply and box. $65
Please contact me for more info, payment, and shipping method.

1253. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com
Message-ID: <970913160001576@thekat.cts.com>
Source record: 186410

-> From: nf2g@ggw.org (D Stark)
-> Subject: Re: ALERT!! HR2369
->
->
-> In article <34188354.2833@one.net>, ike@one.net wrote:
->
-> >> ** Contact your local Congressional representative and voice your
-> >> opposition to HR2369. If passed, this bill will make it illegal
-> >> sell, trade, manufacture or modify any radio receiver with certai
-> >> restricted frequencies. Wide band amateur radio transceivers wil
-> >> become illegal, as well as general hobbyists who monitor fire,
-> >> ambulance, and police radio services.
-> >>
->
-> >I will call and offer support for HR2369!
->
-> H.R. 2369 will criminalize ALL RADIO RECEPTION outside the ham, CB
-> and broadcast bands. Is that what you want? Heil Hitler!

Wrong, wrong, W R O N G !

Learn to read and UNDERSTAND stuff before you make such (stupid) blanket
statements.

1254. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Burch <libertybell7@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <341B417A.C4EAC356@earthlink.net>
Source record: 186411

Ron Yanchuck wrote:

> zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
> >
> > On page 55 of the new 1998 Radio Shack catalog, it says that it is against
> > the law to listen to cellular OR cordless phone conversations. It speaks
> > of fines and prison, etc. Has the law regarding just plain cordless phones
> > changed? I thought it was legal to listen to cordless phones, and just not
> > legal for cellular, and the new PCS, etc. Has the law changed?
>
> But then, nothing is illegal if you're not caught.

I second that! And it applies to alot more than scanners. Just ask any politician. :-) Mike K8MB.

1255. Re: BC 895XLT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <341B590F.1B71@ibm.net>
Source record: 186412

John Griffin wrote:
> Just got my 895 this week. It's surely the best thing Uniden has ever
> produced. Even my Icom and AOR radios don't have CTCSS - a long overdue
> feature!
> I do have one question about the tape recorder function. Maybe someone else
> has noticed this.
> On page 27 of the manual it states,
> ...."if your scanner stops on a channel you have designated for recording,
> the transmission and it's time, as well as the channel number and frequency
> are all recorded."
> HOW IS THIS POSSIBLE? Did I miss something about an internal clock or
> digital time stamp function?

John,
Nope. You didn't miss anything. I'll assume that Uniden
meant to say that these features(time, channel number and
frequency) would be available if the radio were used via
the computer interface and control software?

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1256. Re: I NEED HELP DECIDING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <341B5B0E.758B@ibm.net>
Source record: 186413

JERRYHARKER wrote:
> I have a Uniden SC-150 Scanner and I would like something better. Does
> anyone have any comments about the bc3000. Is it that much better, or
> should I hold off on it and keep the sc-150. Or should I get a trunk
> tracker since my kind of small city (that is the is in a big county in
> which I can hear other big cities) is the only city in the county to have a
> trunked system. Or should I just keep the sc-150 instead of the 235?
> Please help me decide. All comments welcome. Thank you.

Mike,
Sounds like the BC3000 would be best for you. Doubt
you need the 235 by the sounds of it.
Do you have any specific needs that you think the
BC3000 will solve that are lacking with the SC150?
The 3000 is a much better radio but it is also twice
as much as the 150. Only you can decide if that's
what would be best for you.

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1257. FS: Icom R-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilson3@flash.net
Message-ID: <874207610.14819@dejanews.com>
Source record: 186414

FS: Icom R-7000. This unit is NOT blocked and is in good condition. It comes with the owner's manual as well. $750. Jim W.

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

1258. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "One who knows John"
Message-ID: <5vfi47$j0o@drn.zippo.com>
Source record: 186415

In article <01bcc0a7$b79814c0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>, "Billy says...
>
>
>Hello John Smackey. Are you still being a jerk?
>You can stop any time you want. Just like
>breaking a bad habit.You are a bad habit.
>You are also a very odd fellow, maybe a
>a teenager? If not then you better see your
>shrink for help. Dementium7 might cure you.

Poor John,
was given a bad hand in the card game of life, and he wants everyone to know it.
Reminds me of smegol; a poor withering creature who never looked up at the
light of day.
Go ahead John, let it all out, cuase we know what a hatred filled man you are.
Poor soul.
Laugh it off in public,
cry it off in private.
Feel sorry for him. poor fella.

>
>
>
>

1259. Re: Any ideas on how to get school frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Ruark <nbruark@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <341B63A4.7B0A@pacifier.com>
Source record: 186416

Telecommunications Users Group wrote:
>
> In message <19970912071201.DAA10148@ladder02.news.aol.com> - yaesu50r@aol.com
(Yaesu50R)12 Sep 1997 07:12:44 GMT writes:
>
> My children go to a public school in California. I work near enough to be
> able to receive the motorola handhelds that are common on campus. I would
> like to be able to keep abreast of school activities especially here in
> earthquake country. I don't want to spend the money on a Scout because I
> am not interested in collecting a lot of frequencies. The school is pretty
> stuffy so I don't think they would happily give up the frequencies. Help
> please?
>
> -----
>
> Make friends with someone in the know.
>
> Volunteer to do school security.
>
> Find out the make and model of the radios, and narrow it down from there and scan.
> A school in my area is using 151.xxxx; unknown which type of radio.
>
> In WA State we have a public disclosure law, under which citizens have the right to
> inspect agency records (and exemptions are narrowly defined). You might have
> similar laws in CA.
>

Sheeezzz....why don't you simply ask the school principal??? The info
isn't secret; besides that info is something he should know....
> ----------

1260. Re: Scanner License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Ruark <nbruark@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <341B6A39.7B33@pacifier.com>
Source record: 186417

Orca wrote:
>
> hmmmm....
>
> Police radio..... radio.... radio.... capabible of transmitting...
> radio.... radio....
>
> No mention of a scanner here, they're talking about radios...
> specifically *police* radios... but the way they 'define' radio would
> include scanners...
>
> As written, it would also be legal for a ham to have a radio cabable of
> transmitting on said band, which is NOT to say it would be ok to do so
>
> Must be nice to live in IND> :)
>
> sounds like false arrest to me... perhaps you should prosecute ?
>
> Don Crozier (ka9qjg@tsrcom.com) wrote:
>
> : This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
> : --------------232449103ADE
> : Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> : Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
> : JerkyBoy wrote:
> : >
> : > Do you need such a license? Is this a requirement? Why don't the guys at RS
> : > tell you that when you buy one there?
> : >
> : > Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote in article
> : > <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>...
> : > > Bill Schanks wrote:
> : > > >
> : > > > How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
> : > >
> : > > Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
> : > > guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
> : > > Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!
> : > >
The above statement is ABSOLUTELY false....a ham radio license IS NOT
a scanner license. Period.

> : Well get a copy of the SCANNER LAW .for your STATE in IND. I Have been
> : arrested twice for having a Scanner in my Car, After thousands of
> : dollars in lawyer fees, case was Dismissed becauese I am a Lic.Ham Radio
> : Operator, But Maby you mean that your state has a exemption such as IND,
> : which states a WRITTEN LETTER FROM THE CHIEF OF POLICE (LICENSE)But you
> : wont get one here ? A COPY OF THE SCANNER LAW FOR IND ,HAPPY MONTORING
> : TO ALL FROM DON KA9QJG
>
> : --------------232449103ADE
> : Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1; name="INDLAW.txt"
> : Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
> : Content-Disposition: inline; filename="INDLAW.txt"
>
> : The Indiana Scanner Law
>
> : --=
> : --
>
> : Indiana Code 35-44-3-12
>
> : Enacted 1977
>
> : Amended 1994
>
> : IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
>
> : (1) possesses a police radio;
>
> : (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency
>
> : purposes; or
>
> : (3) possesses or uses a police radio:
>
> : (A) while committing a crime;
>
> : (B) to further the commission of a crime; or
>
> : (C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement agency;
>
> : commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B misdemeanor.
>
> : (b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:
>
> : (1) a governmental entity;
>
> : (2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;
>
> : (3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose vehicles are used in
>
> : emergency service;

>
> : (4) a public service or utility company whose vehicles are used in
>
> : emergency service;
>
> : (5) a person who has written permission from the chief executive
>
> : officer of a law enforcement agency to possess a police radio;
>
> : (6) a person who holds an amateur radio license issued by the Federal
>
> : Communications Commission if the person is not transmitting over a
>
> : frequency assigned for police emergency purposes;
>
> : (7) a person who uses a police radio only in the person's dwelling or
>
> : place of business;
>
> : (8) a person:
>
> : (A) who is regularly engaged in news gathering activities;
>
> : (B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to receive legal
>
> : advertisements under IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed
>
> : commercial or public radio or television station; and
>
> : (C) whose name is furnished by his employer to the chief executive
>
> : officer of a law enforcement agency in the county in which the
>
> : employer's principal office is located;
>
> : (9) a person engaged in the business of manufacturing or selling
>
> : police radios; or
>
> : (10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio during the normal
>
> : course of the person's lawful business.
>
> : (c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a radio that is capable=
>
> : of sending or receiving signals transmitted on frequencies assigned
>
> : by the Federal Communications Commission for police emergency
>
> : purposes and that:

>
> : (1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a vehicle; or
>
> : (2) can be operated while it is being carried by an individual.
>
> : The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a dwelling.
>
> : As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.
> : --=
> : --
>
> : Back to the Main Menu
>
> : Additions or Corrections
> : Last Updated: Saturday, June 01, 1996
> : =00
> : --------------232449103ADE--
>
> --
> ---
> \|/ \|/
> * *
> _ _____--------. .--------_____ _/
> == __ o__| |__o __ ==
> ----//---' `---\\----

1261. Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341B795E.2BF0@juno.com>
Source record: 186418

A112SSB wrote:
> DILLHOLES
> http://members.aol.com/A112SSB/Mistress.htm
Funny? I haven't seen him in here is a while. Did his ISP cancel him or
what?

1262. Re: Against the law to l

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan.Hanks@conchbbs.com
Message-ID: <7c8_9709140037@conchbbs.com>
Source record: 186419

Zeno@magicnet.net said "Against the law to listen" to All, and then...

Ze> On page 55 of the new 1998 Radio Shack catalog, it says that it is
Ze> against the law to listen to cellular AND cordless phone conversations.

It is illegal to monitor any cordless or cellular phone
conversations.

It is illegal to manufacture a device to monitor cellular
conversations -- I'm not sure about cordless phones, though.

The best part is that it is not (yet...) illegal to possess
a device to scan any of the aforementioned conversations.

... If at first you don't succeed, destroy all evidence that you tried.
___ Blue Wave/DOS v2.30 [NR]
--
|Fidonet: Bryan Hanks 1:106/357
|Internet: Bryan.Hanks@conchbbs.com
|
| Standard disclaimer: The views of this user are strictly his own.

1263. Re: Trunk Tracker 235 XLT Trouble,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: SD <dout-sco@flash.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc148$c557c140$ac6d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
Source record: 186203

I remembered where I heard about cell images on the 235. The 806-814 Mhz range is full of clear cell images from the 850-860 Mhz range. The source that pointed me in this direction was Rich Wells (Strong Signals). I checked it out, and it works.

Here is his mini-review of the 235 with reference to these images. Check under the heading of VHF/UHF Performance for more informaiton

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/IMP235.HTM

sd

gknox@mindspring.com wrote in article
<341c2de9.2701463@news.mindspring.com>...
> On Sun, 14 Sep 1997 12:15:19 -0400, Max <max7@netmcr.com> wrote:
>
> >On a recent trip to Alabama I took my 3-month old BC 200 XLT and a new
> >Trunk Tracker 235 XLT. I was searching for data channels in 800 MHz band
> >with the 200 and the 235 - noticed that the 235 picks up no cellular
> >traffic at all - the 200 was crawling with it.
> >Why is this? I did check to be sure the 235 was in conventional mode. I
> >have no interest in the cell trafffic but worried this may be a sign
> >that I have defective 235.
>
> Actually this is a sign that your BC235 has a superior receiver
> compared to the BC230. The BC235 has a triple conversion architecture
> designed specifically to enhance its image rejection performance. This
> means it is designed to prevent cell phone image reception. The BC230
> is a double conversion design and as such, cell phone image reception
> occurs much more readily.
>
> The days are gone where manufacturers could claim that their scanners
> were incapable of receiving cell phone traffic simply because the cell
> phone frequency range was blocked at the keyboard, while with a wink
> and a nod noting that cell phone images were available in some other
> frequency range. The FCC is wise to these tactics and such scanners
> won't get approval. They are serious about blocking the reception of
> cellular phone calls.
>
> Greg Knox
>
>

1264. Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Pillera <pillera@rust.net>
Message-ID: <341C4193.97BA4A52@rust.net>
Source record: 186204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello,
I've heard mention of "micro-broadcasting" while listening to my
shortwave radio. Apparently, it has something to do with ordinary
people who legally use very low power to transmit some sort of voice
(narrow FM?) signal in their local area.

Do any of you have a list of common frequencies for this? Is it indeed
narrow FM - or is another modulation used?

Just curious. Thanks in advance.

Joe
--
Joe Pillera
ICQ (instant chat) ID = 2629013
http://www.rust.net/~pillera

1265. Re: Which Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan <ks4hr@injersey.com>
Message-ID: <5vhg0p$9c6$1@news.injersey.com>
Source record: 186205

Do you really mean 40-50 KHz?????? You need a longwire for that low freqs.
If you mean 40-50 MHz then a CB antenna works well.
A 9 foot steel or fiberglass whip works real well for low band (30-50 MHz).

--
Bryan ks4hr@injersey.com

Highcove Family wrote in article <5uqas8$46v@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...

>Which antenna is best for picking up in the 40-50KHz range?

1266. pro 60 scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ��m���� <m0d@xmission.com>
Message-ID: <341C5C82.545E@xmission.com>
Source record: 186206

hello,
I just recently got into scanning and am quickly becoming quit enthusiast.
I want very much to expand my knowledge, and am wondering if any body out there
knows any mods for the pro60?
--
M�� ~ 3mp�r� � ��rk��ss ~ ���r �h� ����rw�r��

1267. Expired Orlando URL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: comma@notdot.net
Message-ID: <341f18b4.567831566@news.zippo.com>
Source record: 186207

A number of reference URL'sfor orlando frequencies appear to no longer be valid. Could anyone suggest a list of current ones? I'm also interested in the CareFlight (or other air ambulances) operating in the Orlando area.

TIA.

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227, any and all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1268. Re: Any ideas on how to get school frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ronalds <ronalds@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <341C41F1.5E2D@concentric.net>
Source record: 186208

Yaesu50R wrote:
>
> My children go to a public school in California. I work near enough to be
> able to receive the motorola handhelds that are common on campus. I would
> like to be able to keep abreast of school activities especially here in
> earthquake country. I don't want to spend the money on a Scout because I
> am not interested in collecting a lot of frequencies. The school is pretty
> stuffy so I don't think they would happily give up the frequencies. Help
> please?
> NoUnsolicitedJunkMailYaesu50R@aol.com
plug in these itinerant/low power freqs and i'm sure 90% or more you'll
find it.
A few high schools here I've found to use them:

If you can get a look at the bottom of a Motorola radio and it has a
colored
dot, symbol or letter on it, you know the frequency it is on:

Blue 154.570 Brown 464.500 Green 154.600 Red 151.625 Yellow
464.550
Purple 151.955 White 462.575 Black 462.625 Orange 462.675 J
467.7625
K 467.8125, Silver Star 467.850, Gold Star 467.875, Red Star
467.900
Blue Star 467.925

Jobcom radios 151.625, 462.575, 154.600, 154.570
Util-com radio 154.570
Voice one radio 49.845

Itinerant (Low Power)- 151.505, 151.625, 154.570, 154.600, 158.400,
451.800,
457.525, 457.550, 457.575, 462.575, 464.500, 464.550,
464.750

**** 151.625, 154.570, 154.600 are the most popular. So you'll probably
pick some other stuff on them from time to time.

1269. Explorer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914211100.RAA21078@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186209

How can you tell which explorers are cell restorable?If I where to buy one what should I look for?Please e-mail me with info.Thanks,Ira Cooper

1270. What you can listen to.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim233@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914220601.SAA24999@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186210

In 1986, Congress passed the controversial Electronic Communications Privacy Act (ECPA, 18 USC 2510-2511), designed to bring anti-wiretapping laws into the radio age, Under the ECPA, you can still listen to ships, aircraft, police, fire departments, civil defense, business mobile radios, hams, CBers, and the like. (authors note: yepee!!!)
But you can't listen to communications that are intended to be private. SPECIFICALLY, YOU CAN NOT LISTEN TO CELL OR CORDLESS PHONES, SHIP TO SHORE PHONES, MICOWAVE OR SATELLITE TRANSMISSIONS, OR ANY TRANSMISSION THAT IS SCRAMBLED, ENCRYPTED, OR TRANSMITTED ON A SUBCARRIER. (authors note: yepee!). Another sticking point is the sub-carrier audio (SCA) signals on FM broadcast stations. According to the ECPA, these are now illegal to listen to without the permission of the sender. (authors note: SCA not SMR excuse me!)

I hope everyone now can enjoy there scanner. Oh boy I think I will listen and wait the the fire department to use there radio. Got to go may be missing something!

DDog

1271. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lentz <jlentz@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <341C6085.FF4@execpc.com>
Source record: 186211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Joe Pillera wrote:
>
> Hello,
> I've heard mention of "micro-broadcasting" while listening to my
> shortwave radio. Apparently, it has something to do with ordinary
> people who legally use very low power to transmit some sort of voice
> (narrow FM?) signal in their local area.
>
> Do any of you have a list of common frequencies for this? Is it indeed
> narrow FM - or is another modulation used?
>
> Just curious. Thanks in advance.
>
> Joe
> --
> Joe Pillera
> ICQ (instant chat) ID = 2629013
> http://www.rust.net/~pillera

Joe... monitor the alt.radio.pirate newsgroup and I think you will find
your information regarding "micro-broadcasting".

1272. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <341C5D7B.3512@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Joe Pillera wrote:
>
> Hello,
> I've heard mention of "micro-broadcasting" while listening to my
> shortwave radio. Apparently, it has something to do with ordinary
> people who legally use very low power to transmit some sort of voice
> (narrow FM?) signal in their local area.
>
> Do any of you have a list of common frequencies for this? Is it indeed
> narrow FM - or is another modulation used?
>
> Just curious. Thanks in advance.
>
> Joe
> --
> Joe Pillera
> ICQ (instant chat) ID = 2629013
> http://www.rust.net/~pillera

Nope! thats not it! What they mean is transmitting on the AM/FM
broadcast band at low power levels. This is NOT legal. But its done
all the time by a good many people. Check out alt.radio.pirate for the
real information on the subject.

1273. LIVE ATC Comm via Real Audio - new site Miami

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5vhri6$lot@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check out the following Real Audio sites:

Chicago Approach ATC

http://www.cyberair.com/audio/chiapp/index.html

Dallas/Fort Worth ATC

http://www.audionet.com/simuflite/

Denver - Centennial Airport Tower Feed ATC (Future Site)

http://www.airparts.com/radio/

Miami International Airport ATC (New Site)

http://www.silverexpress.com/liveatc.htm

1274. Re: Michigan Scanner Laws and Amatuers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: gonzo@alt.anonymous.messages
Message-ID: <341c62df.69646520@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 186214

On Wed, 10 Sep 1997 11:36:11 -0400, JWMJR@webtv.net (John Masters Jr.) wrote:

>STOP TELLING EVERYONE LIES!!!!!!!!!!!!NOONE CAN OPERATE A SCANNER IN
>THEIR VEHICLE UNLESS THEY GET A STATE PERMITE.YOUR NOT GETTING YOUR
>STORIES STRAIGHT

You are the one that needs to get their story "straight".

I quote this from a letter from the Department of State Police:

"Under Michigan Compiled Law Section 750.508, it is not necessary to have a scanner permit issued by the Michigan Department of State Police to equip a vehicle to monitor frequencies assigned by the FCC for police purposes if you are a bona fide amateur radio operator holding a technician class, general, advanced, or extra class amateur license issued by the Federal communications Commission."

check it out: http://www.cris.com/~Lsbutler/law.gif

--Gonzo

1275. Seattle, WA trunking web page update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: XdanlawrenceX@halcyon.com
Message-ID: <5vht42$h5b$1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 186215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have updated my web pages. It is at
http://www.wolfe.net/~daydream/users/dan.html

It deals with trunking systems in the King County Area. There is
quite a bit of specific data about the Regional 800 MHz system which
has been collected using trunker 3.3. There is a bit of info about
other trunking systems in the area. There is also a fair amount about
the free trunking software called trunker 3.x

Check it out if you are interested.

Dan

danlawrence@halcyon.com http://www.halcyon.com/dan/welcome.html
Seattle, WA USA Omit REMOVETHIS. from my return address

SPAM bait: the current board of the Federal Communications Commission:
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
more spambait: abuse@localhost postmaster@localhost admin@localhost
customer@email.usps.gov

1276. req: pro-2037 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: arcand@j0lt.demtech.com
Message-ID: <341c6bfb.0@news.netway.com>
Source record: 186216

After doing a dejanews search, I have seen the question asked, but could not find a response. So, I will
ask again. Are there 'any' mods available for the pro-2037 (nobeep, channel increase, speed increase,
etc)?

Please post reponse in newsgroup so all can benefit.

thnx.

-Bill

1277. [FS]: MISC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnl@postoffice.ptd.net
Message-ID: <5vhncf$9ad$4@news3.ptd.net>
Source record: 186217

MFJ Icom Computer Interface Cable and Rig Windows software both new in box
$ 40.00 shipped.

Altec Lansing ACS100 Amplified Speakers (3" bass & 11mm dome) with connecting
cables, power supply, instructions and box $ 35.00 shipped.

Grove FCC Database w/mapping option on CD $ 20.00 shipped.

6 Pcs. QIC-40 Tape Backup Cartridges by Colorado all for $ 20.00 shipped.

2 Intel EtherExpress LAN Cards (ISA) new in box-both for $ 50.00 shipped.

Contact John at (717) 629-3402 evenings or E-Mail at johnl@ptd.net

Thanks

John

N3ZQJ

1278. ## Data slicer for Discriminator output and clock recovery circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EGIv6G.55H@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Net friends,

I'm trying to design a high speed RF digital link (10-20Kbaud) and till now have acheived unsatisfactory results. I need help regarding designing a data slicer (used to convert a receiver's discriminator audio to digital levels for further digital decoding) design. Using a simple LM339 comparator on the receiving side. It seems that the widely varying audio level, also as the result of the digital data modulations, causes severe duty cycle and missed pulses distortion of the converted signal. The problem is mainly with the low level or short signal pulses. Due to varying attenuation of the signals due to receiver limited passband , the signal sometimes contains some "bias" (due to previous bits) so that short signals coming after them don't acheive a "zero crossing" and thus get missed.
To make a long story short: I need ideas (or better yet working designs...) for a data slicer. The solution might be quite simple. I may be overlooking the obvious. My LM339 (single supply) comparator design involves biasing one input at 1/2 supply and applying the audio to the other input (with a similar bias too) via a capacitor. Of course I've also added a bit of hysteresis to square the signals a bit and add noise immunity. Removing the hysteresis doesn't solve the problem.
And while we're at it, I also need to make a clock recovery circuit for decoding that 10-20Kbaud data. I'm encountering too many problems with a 4046 PLL. Ideas, anyone?...
Thank's in advance for any help offered.

Note: when Replying, please email me directly in addition to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno

1279. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341C864E.19EA@usa.net>
Source record: 186219

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> your smelly orifice called your brain just
> called some poster racist...about five or six
> days ago....

Really??? Who was I calling a racist??? Please post the message.

> as that fine fellah called you down on, that being
> the pres and vice-pres of your flagellation club,

More meaningless rambling.

> what it all boils down to is this: your definition
> of racist and how you interpret what you read. Which
> to date evidenced by your retorts is less than legal.

More meaningless rambling from a FOOL.

1280. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341C89C7.939@usa.net>
Source record: 186220

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> Judging by this and other postings over the last 2 weeks, I think the
> flame war is back on, and John MAckey fired the first volley.

What did I do to fire the first valley??

> I have done a little research at dejanews (and others) and have
> discovered one disturbing point:
> John Mackey doesn't like to have the truth presented to him.

No, that is NOT true. Infact, I have found that problem with MANY
others here on this NG & have become the PRESENTER of truth due to
that.

> It queers hsi worldview all out of whack.

You can't spell or do grammar worth a shit.

> It has taken me some time to track down headers on some of the others in
> here as well, and yes, in that, I have discovered some forgeries on the
> parts of a few people in here (especially you, john).

Do you mean that people are forgering messages as ME?? Which messages
are those?

1281. Trunk Codes for San Diego wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <341C9445.592045A3@cts.com>
Source record: 186221

Can anyone tell me where I can find the user codes for the San Diego CA public safety trunk system?

Thanks

1282. Re: ALERT!! No surprise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Smoothguy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5vi3clopb1@newsd-102.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 186222

These are ALL manifestations of the New World Order. Slowly limiting the personal liberties of the citizenry is a very big part of mass control. From the Gestapo like police tactics used on the motoring public, to FCC imposed equipment use mandates, we're being assaulted from all sides. This is only the beginning.

1283. Announcing 9 *NEW* Classic Radio Mailing Lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Short, B.K." <shortckt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <341CAE87.E4C@primenet.com>
Source record: 186223

Announcing 9 *NEW* Classic Radio Mailing Lists

1. Gonset
2. Allied
3. Lafayette
4. Sony
5. Panasonic
6. JRC
7. Grundig
8. Zenith
9. RCA

Other classic radio mailing lists and further information:
http://www.qth.net

Please forward this information to all interested.

--

>>>> info gonset
Gonset is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of radio equipment from Gonset.

* Reviews, Collectors
* Accessories and Upgrades
* Enhancements, Modifications, Repairs
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Gonset Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe gonset

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe gonset

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: gonset@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

>>>> info allied

Allied is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of radio equipment from Allied.

* Reviews, Collectors
* Accessories and Upgrades
* Enhancements, Modifications, Repairs
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Allied Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe allied

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe allied

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: allied@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

>>>> info lafayette
Lafayette is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of radio equipment from Lafayette.

* Reviews, Collectors
* Accessories and Upgrades
* Enhancements, Modifications, Repairs
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Lafayette Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe lafayette

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe lafayette

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: lafayette@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

```
-----
>>>> info sony
Sony is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of radio equipment from Sony.

* Reviews, Collectors
* Accessories and Upgrades
* Enhancements, Modifications, Repairs
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Sony Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe sony

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe sony

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: sony@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

-----
>>>> info panasonic
Panasonic is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of radio equipment from Panasonic.

* Reviews, Collectors
* Accessories and Upgrades
* Enhancements, Modifications, Repairs
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Panasonic Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe panasonic

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe panasonic

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: panasonic@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net
```

```
-----
>>>> info jrc
JRC is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of radio equipment from JRC.

* Reviews, Collectors
* Accessories and Upgrades
* Enhancements, Modifications, Repairs
* Buy/Sell
* Anything JRC Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe jrc

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe jrc

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: jrc@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

-----
>>>> info grundig
Grundig is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of radio equipment from Grundig.

* Reviews, Collectors
* Accessories and Upgrades
* Enhancements, Modifications, Repairs
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Grundig Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe grundig

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe grundig

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: grundig@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net
```

```
-----
>>>> info zenith
Zenith is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of radio equipment from Zenith.

* Reviews, Collectors
* Accessories and Upgrades
* Enhancements, Modifications, Repairs
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Zenith Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe zenith

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe zenith

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: zenith@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net

-----
>>>> info rca
RCA is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of radio equipment from RCA.

* Reviews, Collectors
* Accessories and Upgrades
* Enhancements, Modifications, Repairs
* Buy/Sell
* Anything RCA Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe rca

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe rca

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: rca@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qth.net
```

>>>>
>>>>

1284. FS. RS 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald R. Hoenstine" <RickHoenstine@msn.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc181$d4d370e0$LocalHost@donaldho>
Source record: 186224

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Radio Shack 2035 Scanner for sale $300.00 OBO
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.0
Charset: noconv

iQCVAwUBNByh1vvF3ccO5IiVAQFUfAQAhcWxkuy9GMtO0P10YIoNY8gXv5jNlTCX
hlM+tIV+SX3jCHGPGukPXWNf6lAfc18M0VS5kmP7Uk5WTBAviPX0MHwhZ4CExZPR
w7xMkI+Kgk5lrC/PAjnm7Fnk1n1leGDLH6jrx2DD6Tqb27CyI61cLUnbHbaQ9kTk
xGh1QaB+aqA=
=P1+/
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1285. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Currie <TOM.CURRIE@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5vi94o$a9c@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

M@s@ wrote:
>
> On Fri, 12 Sep 1997 23:51:40 GMT, spam_me_not@shore.net (JJ) wrote:
>
> >masa@writemeNOSPAM.com (M@s@) wrote:
> >
> >>*** Transmit without license !! It's possible !!***
> >
> >
> >>Go to Antarctica. You can do whatever you want in south pole.
> >
> >Yeah! You sure can....so long as it falls within the guidelines of
> >international treaty.
>
> international treaties + any laws do not apply in antarctica !!
>
> you can do whatever you want !!
>
> ---
>
> M@S@
> Student @ McGill University Science
> Montreal, Quebec, Canada
> E-mail : amateur@vub.mcgill.ca.ham
> URL : http://www.geocities.com/~masataka (General)
> http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/main.htm (Radio)
> http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/mcgill.htm (McGill University)
> ---
>
> Remove ".ham" to reply.
>
> WARNING: I will not buy/response any products from unsolicited e-mails !!

Who told this bean brain how to access a newsgroup?

1286. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel1@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <341CAD80.E5127FBC@mail.icongrp.com>
Source record: 186226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry! You need a license from either your home country or the country
which is sponsering the area of Antartica you are operating from or the
host country(USA or Russia) for space operation. Unless you catch a lift
from France or Japan on one of their launch vehicles.

Mercenary PGP key wrote:

> masa@writemeNOSPAM.com (M@s@) wrote:
>
> >*** Transmit without license !! It's possible !!***
> >
> >
> >Go to Antarctica. You can do whatever you want in south pole.
> >
> >
> >Good luck.
>
> Sure, and build your own space shuttle and transmit from space legally
> without a license. It is so easy to get an amateur radio license,
> there is no need to illegally transmit without one.
> ---
> http://www.icom.net/~tachyon/pgp.key

1287. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ZOT <ZOT@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <341CB05C.760E9BFF@sprynet.com>
Source record: 186227
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Sorry dude - join the modern world - you want text on paper - buy it at the local book store.

Or, you can poke your head in the ground and pretend it aint gonna happen.

Barry O'Grady wrote:

NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WWW.

1288. Wanted: BC200XLT mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: lithic@koan.com
Message-ID: <341CCB7F.86C1D789@koan.com>
Source record: 186228

Would like to obtain mods for the above-mentioned unit. More specifically, a modification to either increase or decrease the Kz scan-stepping feature to allow for scanning "in-between" the usual 10 Kc increments.

Thanks,

Trevor

1289. Re: ! What are the school bus frequencies for the Katy ISD in Katy Texas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevin <iowaboy@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc0be$e7541da0$a87ad7cf@default>
Source record: 186229

joe d <jedent@phoenix.net> wrote in article <341AE400.2823@phoenix.net>...
> DavidA. wrote:
> >
> > I was at a KATY football game a few nights ago, and while riding on
> > the bus, I noticed all the drivers were talking on radios to each
other.
> > As we passed an area with many fast food resturants, one of the
headsets
> > came over the radio in the bus, but we passed through its range so
> > quickly, I could not find out if the person was ordering a Big Mac, or
> > something from Wendys.NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
> >
> > IF you have an idea of what Katy ISD bus frequencies are, please
post
> > them! AT http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm
> >
> So what does this person want with schoolbus freqs? This is just the
> kind of stuff that gives the kiddyporn newsgroups a bad name.
> does prop 209 allow these school bus stalkers to stalk openly.
> I say call your congrease person and get PoP 209 repealed before
> we loose our full page scanner rights.
>
> LETZ GET TEXAS OUTA TH u.s. AND TH u.s. OUTA TEXAS FREE THIS REPUIBICK
Sounds like a troll to me! But anyway, I listen to the school bus freqs
when the winter weather gets bad here in Iowa. I find out if my kids have
school much sooner than by listening to the local radio station.
Kevin

1290. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Flaherty <paulf@w6yx.stanford.edu>
Message-ID: <01bcc0ce$feca5480$0aa00110@vinland.viking.org>
Source record: 186230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Ike <ike@one.net> wrote in article <3418C1CC.633D@one.net>...
> The only reason you are modifying the electronics
> is to do something that is not legal ... it's the
> same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
> so when you transmit your signal, it causes
> interference with nearby radios & tv's. You
> do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
> the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
> that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
> in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
> big house ... why don't you spend your time
> doing something constructive?

The basis of all of this is the Communications Act of 1934, which replaced earlier and more arcane legislation that was found to be Unconstitutional. For starters, the Act explicitly covers most of the situations you mention; one is allowed to listen to the electromagnetic waves traversing one's body, so long as you don't repeat what you've heard to someone else, especially for profit. This has been the law for over six decades. It balances the rights of both parties to a degree that has stood the test of time. Given the ready availability of a technical solution (cryptography) to the problem you describe, I see no reason to unbalance that which has served so well.

As an aside, any attempt to regulate radio reception is very likely to be found Unconstitutional, or not enforced, ala the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986.

Now, as to some of the minor bits:

There are plenty of legitimate reasons to hack radios. 30-50MHz is prime spectrum for watching meteor trails, but not much in the way of gear is made to do this out of the box. I have a couple of Mocom 10s that I've "slid up" from 45 MHz to 51 for use as meteor radars. These are neither illegitimate nor illegal, since I have the proper ticket hanging on my wall.

Tweaking the gain on a CB set usually results in splatter, which is only somewhat harmful, and not likely to attract much attention. The cause of much RFI tends to be tweaked gain *and* beefy linear amplifiers, which are easy to nail down.

As to constructive use of my time, well, bouncing radio waves off of meteor trails happens to be one of my chosen forms of recreation, in addition to posting to net.ham-radio, which can be more or less productive.

1291. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341b810f.10292393@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com> wrote:

:> FLAME BAIT ALERT !!!!!!!!! I'll bite. If you keep it on a
:> landline phone or encrypt, otherwise, it is fair game.
:
:HELLO ALL
:
:this is not about being legal or not..this is not about someone having a
:life or not..THIS IS ABOUT 1 MORE WAY YOUR GOVT INTRUDES on your daily
:life...they should not do this this is a bad bill not thought out and

The law will not achieve its aim. Users of analouge cell phones and
cordless phones can never be sure that they are not being monitored.
The only safe way to use such devices is to take care what you say
on them.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1292. Want to Purchase a Good Scanner at a reasonable price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: l23l23l23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914063601.CAA15891@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186254

Im looking to purchase a Used scanner anywhere up to the price of $300.00. I prefer a hand held but will settle for a Base. I want something with at least 100 channels but prefer 200 or more. Brand name dosent really matter. I want it to work!!! Body condition is not important. I want to be able to receive the Cellular channels. This is a must. If anyone has what im looking for email me please dont reply to the news group. Thanks...
Robert
L23L23L23@aol.com

1293. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <5vgfl2$hf6$4@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 186255

Mr. X (Mr.X@earth.net) wrote:
: On Thu, 11 Sep 1997 17:46:37 GMT, bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com (Bud
: Jamison) wrote:
: >-> From: ekim233@aol.com (EKIM233)
: >-> Subject: Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?
: >->
: >-> It is against the Law to monitor, Cellular Phones, Cordless Phones,
: >-> Mobile
: >-> Phones, Ship to Shore Phones, Pager, SMR, and the list goes on an on.
: >-> you will be able to use your scanner for a paper weight. Sucks don't
: >-> it.
: >
: >Ship to Shore phones, SMRs and 'the list goes on' are all wrong. Only
: >the other things you mention are currently prohibited.

: It is NOT against the law to listen to CORDLESS phones, BUT it is
: against the law to repeat anything you hear on a cordless phone.
: (i.e. you would not be allowed to call police if you heard that
: someone was going to kill someone else.)

BEFORE YOU GIVE INFO THAT CAN GET SOMEONE ARRESTED.....READ THE DAMM
LAW!!!!
It has been illegal to listen to cordless phones, on a federal level,
since 1994!!!!

1294. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <5vgff2$hf6$3@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 186256

Lord Nymon (soldeed@scarlan.com) wrote:
: EKIM233 wrote:
: >
: > Yes you can operate any AMATEUR RADIO in the state of Michigan in a motor
: > vehicle WITHOUT a PERMIT from the State Police (Blue Bellies).
: >
: > Here is the LAW.
: >
: > Under Michigan Compiled Law Section 750.508, IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO HAVE A
: > SCANNER PERMIT ISSUED BY THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE to equip a
: > vehicle to monitor frequencies assigned by the FCC if you are a bona fide
: > amateur radio operator holding a technician class, general, advanced or
: > extra class amateur license issued by the Federal Communications
: > Commission. In order to exercise the privilege of equipping a vehicle to
: > monitor frequencies, you must have your Federal license in your possession.

: You no longer are required to have a copy of your Ham license on you
: anymore. Your call sign is all that is needed. That is federal law, it
: overides any state laws.

In fact, the above statement IS correct...only the call sign is
needed...BUT it can be rough to convince a police officer that you are
licensed without showing him/her something official, so I strongly suggest
carrying your ham license at all times(or at least when you are in a motor
vehicle with a scanner/broad-banded ham unit).

1295. 2032 fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: pequiste@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <341BF6AA.6841@hotmail.com>
Source record: 186257

```
200.00 shipped,very good condition,full 800
```

1296. Radio Related Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Simon Collings <simon.collings@cableinet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <01bcc10e$7dfa7480$359075c2@sapphire>
Source record: 186258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RS-232 interfacing for a variety of popular radios + much more:

http://wkweb4.cableinet.co.uk/simon.collings

Enjoy.

Simon
--
Simon Collings, G4SGI
Radio Communications Consultant
http://wkweb4.cableinet.co.uk/simon.collings

1297. FS: Standard C228A Dual-Bander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul M <paulm@ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <5vgoa8$89f$1@decius.ultra.net>
Source record: 186259

For Sale

Standard C228A Dual-band Handi-talkie 144/220 in excellent condition. Comes with original packaging, manual, belt clip, antenna, new CNB151 Nicad Pack, CBT151 Alkaline Pack, CSA160 Rapid Desktop Charger. This is a feature loaded handi-talkie that'll do just about anything.

$395 (sorry, no trades - complete system only)

Email to paulm@ultranet.com

1298. Re: Canadian weather freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Lyle <blyle@pathcom.com>
Message-ID: <5vgoui$6qr$2@newsmaster.pathcom.com>
Source record: 186260

162.475 is also used in Canada.

Regards!

&#65533;Bob&#65533;

blyle@pathcom.com

Darrell A. Larose wrote in article <5vbnp1$dsr@freenet-news.carleton.ca>...

>
>
>The CB Guy (thecbguy@aol.com) writes:
>> Well I have tried the web, now I'll rely on the folks in the ng.
>> Does anyone know if the Kanucks have a weather service similar to NOAA?
>> If they do where can I find the weather radio frequencies for them?
>>
>Gee Canada is kind of big, eh! Could you narrow the search down a bit???
>In Ottawa they use 162.400, and 162.550 is used in some other areas.
>AFAIK they use the same sets of frequencies that the NOAA uses, so just
>try until you find them, or search in the 161.99 to 163.0 range for starts.
>
>

1299. Re: BC 9000 XLT Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914133501.JAA27309@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186261

>>>Just recently received my BC9000XLT, great unit. However, I am unable to scan cellular frequencies. Does anyone have information on modifying the unit to open cellular bandwiths?>>>

Tony,
Since you asked this question on an open newsgroup using what is presumably your real name I can only assume that you are not aware that it is a violation of federal law to monitor cellular and cordless telephone conversations, as well as certain other protected communications.
The 9000XLT was designed to prevent modification to open up the cellular bands, although it's well know that if you are close enough to a cellular tower you can receive cellular images in the 360.00 to 400.00MHz range.
If you are aware that monitoring cordless and cellular telephones is illegal, and if you are aware of the pressure in Congress to expand the restrictions on scanners and radio monitoring, then you are either a Congressional aide deliberately planting this post to use later as evidence that "electronic stalking" is widespread, or you're a complete idiot.
Oh, whoops! I made a mistake. I said you're either a Congressional aide OR an idiot. That's rather redundant, isn't it, with one being the definition of the other.

John

1300. Re: US Military: Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia--News Flash!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914140301.KAA22879@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186262

In article <341B255F.60D4@hotmail.com>, Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com> writes:

>> Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia
>>
>> By Charles Aldinger
>>
>> WASHINGTON (Reuter) - The U.S. military said on Thursday it was sending
>> to Bosnia three electronic warfare(yada, yada, yada)
>
>Please don't repost news stories to this group.
>
>

IF it doesn't take up more than a screenful or two, I think it's fine.
It's definitely got a relation to scanning.. Who knows, we could be next.
H Higgins

1301. FS: MISC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnl@postoffice.ptd.net
Message-ID: <5vgrcbmsh6@news3.ptd.net>
Source record: 186263

MFJ Icom Computer Interface Cable and Rig Windows software both new in box
$ 40.00 shipped.

Altec Lansing ACS100 Amplified Speakers (3" bass & 11mm dome) with connecting
cables, power supply, instructions and box $ 35.00 shipped.

Grove FCC Database w/mapping option on CD $ 20.00 shipped.

6 Pcs. QIC-40 Tape Backup Cartridges by Colorado all for $ 20.00 shipped.

2 Intel EtherExpress LAN Cards (ISA) new in box-both for $ 50.00 shipped.

Contact John at (717) 629-3402 evenings or E-Mail at johnl@ptd.net

Thanks

John

N3ZQJ

1302. Re: Canadian weather freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914143401.KAA00420@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186264

>>Subject: Re: Canadian weather freqs?
>From: "Bob Lyle" <blyle@pathcom.com>
>Date: Sun, 14 Sep 1997 09:30:46 -0400
>Message-id: <5vgoui$6qr$2@newsmaster.pathcom.com>
>
>162.475 is also used in Canada.
>
>Regards!
>
>&#65533;Bob&#65533;
>
>blyle@pathcom.com
>
>Darrell A. Larose wrote in article <5vbnp1$dsr@freenet-news.carleton.ca>...
>
>

Thanks to all that posted. I suspected the use of freqs. also used by
NOAA, however I was hoping otherwise.
Seems we're camping just a wee to far from civilization......
Thanks again.

The CB Guy

1303. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914143800.KAA00656@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186265

>It is NOT against the law to listen to CORDLESS phones, BUT it is
>against the law to repeat anything you hear on a cordless phone.
>(i.e. you would not be allowed to call police if you heard that
>someone was going to kill someone else.)

>From: Mr.X@earth.net (Mr. X)
>Date: Sun, 14 Sep 1997 04:31:20 GMT
>Message-id: <341c67a1.585747@NEWS.IFX.NET>

Step into the 90's pal, It is illegal to listen to cordless phones.

The CB Guy

1304. FS: Icom R-9000 Premium Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Norton <rnorton@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <5vgtli$rt7$1@zinger.callamer.com>
Source record: 186266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From the estate of a silent key, I have an Icom R-9000 receiver for sale. Those who would be interested know what it is! Located in Southern California. Asking $3500, with serious offers considered. Please, serious interest only, as I am only trying to help the widow.

Rick Norton, WB2JIO
rnorton@sprynet.com

1305. How to adjust PRO-43 sensibility

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc@gmgate.vircom.com
Message-ID: <5vgtb9qbk1@wagner.videotron.net>
Source record: 186267

Hiya

I have the tech plans for the 46.. but someone can tell me HOW to
adjust the PRO-43? Thanks

mageron.antispam@game-master.com

(remove anti-spam)

1306. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: davion28@centraltx.net
Message-ID: <341bfc71.1216239@news.centraltx.net>
Source record: 186268

On 12 Sep 1997 20:25:53 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>In <5vc1ksbsm1@nntp.ucs.ubc.ca> shoppa@alph02.triumf.ca (Tim Shoppa)
>writes:
>>
>>In article <3418C79A.4B05@usa.net>,
>>John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>>>Phoenix-
>>>Look at the "organization" line.
>>>That should answer your question.
>>
>>It answers no question. It's trivial to forge just about any part
>>of a Usenet header, with the exception of the "first part" of the
>>"Path:" line and the "Posting host". Even these fields can be forged
>>by someone who has the ability to inject news at intermediate sites.
>>Take a look at my "Organization:" line if you believe it's hard to
>>fake that line.
>>
>>Tim. (shoppa@triumf.a)
>
> Question: does this idea then hold that anyone can say "I did not
> post that" and be truthful?"
>
> based on that statement...cannot the originator be found out
> based on where they posted from using their address to get the
> initial stages ready for posting...?
>
> back to the source...cannot that be found in light of
> the forgers work?
>
> bill conduit
> etc
WHO CARES!!!

1307. Whats the B Technique?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Goeffrey <baxterd@pronet.net.au>
Message-ID: <01bcc111$fc495380$d96722cb@dean>
Source record: 186269

??????????????????????????????

1308. Re: Apartment Scanner Antenna Ideas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: arcand@j0lt.demtech.com
Message-ID: <341c0104.0@news.netway.com>
Source record: 186270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Bear (NOSPAMBear105@mindless.com) wrote:
: Will be moving into an apartment and am interested in anybodys ideas
: on scanner antennas. I have read a few books but am more interested in
: what is actually working for somebody.
: Please email me with your ideas and concepts.

If there is a TV antenna on the roof of the apartment building that you
have access to, that can also help improve reception.

1309. Business Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: RDK <rdk@a.crl.com>
Message-ID: <341C098E.73B1@a.crl.com>
Source record: 186271

Hi all, is there a way to search for business frequencies? I have been trying to obtain the freq for 'ferma corp' a constuction firm located in mountain view california. also would like to purchase on disc the FCC master database, which version or vendor would be best to seek out? Thanks in advance for any assistance

Richard

1310. Re: How to adjust PRO-43 sensibility

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <341C08DA.6BF8@phoenix.net>
Source record: 186272

Marc Chouinard wrote:
>
> Hiya
>
> I have the tech plans for the 46.. but someone can tell me HOW to
> adjust the PRO-43? Thanks
>
> mageron.antispam@game-master.com
>
> (remove anti-spam)
what is sensibility in radio?

1311. Help Bearcat (Electra)BC 300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <scannr1@sierra.net>
Message-ID: <341C07CB.D16440EF@sierra.net>
Source record: 186273

I have an old BC300 scanner that has begun to give me fits. Any body out
there
give me info on where and how to get a service manual or schematic for
it so I can
track down the problem. Does anybody have experience with repairing this
unit?
Thanks
Steve(scannr1@sierra.net)

1312. Re: Against the law to I

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph <joesugar@erols.com>
Message-ID: <341BFFAF.4E1B@erols.com>
Source record: 186274

Bryan Hanks wrote:
>
> It is illegal to manufacture a device to monitor cellular
> conversations -- I'm not sure about cordless phones, though.
> Is this true? My understanding was the way the government keeps these products off the market was to refuse type acceptance. However, if you build it for your own use or from a kit, it doesn't go through type acceptance.

The only reason I ask is, this was one of the loopholes that I always thought was ridiculous about the ECPA.

Joseph

1313. INFO SCANNING SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9mxt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914160801.MAA06344@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186275

Hello All,
I currently have an OPTO OS456 board in my PRO-2006. Works great by the way! Anyways, I am currently running it with SCANCAT 6.0 and Radio Manager. Ever hard of SCANSTAR?? If so how is this software and what features does it have? What other software is available out there for computer control?

Please email me back with info... Thanks

Jeffrey

1314. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970914.090308.592@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 186276
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.politcs.usa.repub

In article <5vfsbs$ll6$1@gte2.gte.net>, on 14 Sep 1997 05:23:08 GMT,
Greg Dean <Greg> writes:
>Patrick wrote:
>>
> blah blah blah
>
> [absolutely no content about radios]
>

Patrick, Greg Dean, Roll-o and their ilk continue to prove to everyone that they are both incompetent and ill-mannered by regurgitating posting their intellectual excrement into newgroups where they are completely irrelevant. It is too bad nobody ever taught them common courtesy, good hygiene, or how to operate a computer. Their publicly demonstrated stupidity in these areas probably also reflects on the validity of their political barfing.

1315. Trunk Tracker 235 XLT Trouble,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Max <max7@netmcr.com>
Message-ID: <341C0D97.479@netmcr.com>
Source record: 186277

On a recent trip to Alabama I took my 3-month old BC 200 XLT and a new Trunk Tracker 235 XLT. I was searching for data channels in 800 MHz band with the 200 and the 235 - noticed that the 235 picks up no cellular traffic at all - the 200 was crawling with it.
Why is this? I did check to be sure the 235 was in conventional mode. I have no interest in the cell trafffic but worried this may be a sign that I have defective 235.

1316. Re: US Military: Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia--News Flash!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970914.092104.308@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 186278

In article <341b96b8.80624949@news.concentric.net>, on Sun, 14 Sep 1997 07:50:55 GMT,
Tony Malesic <tmalesic@concentric.net> writes:
>On Sat, 13 Sep 1997 19:44:31 -0400, Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Tekbir wrote:
>>>
>>> From Yahoo's Top News Stories:
>>>
>>> Thursday September 11 3:53 PM EDT
>>>
>>> Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia
>>>
>>> By Charles Aldinger
>>>
>>> WASHINGTON (Reuter) - The U.S. military said on Thursday it was sending
>>> to Bosnia three electronic warfare(yada, yada, yada)
>>
>>Please don't repost news stories to this group.
>>
>>Steve S
>
>If it's scanning related and properly attributed, why not? Certainly
>much more interesting reading than the stupid flames that seem to
>pervade this usegroup.
>
>Tony
>

I agree that it was more interesting than the stupid political garbage
that gets posted. It was even radio-related, but not to scanners.
Some of the airplanes may have carried transmitters that could be picked
up by scanners, but this was not part of the story. There is no
significant relationship in this story to scanners.

1317. Help restoring missing freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: lshields@berkshire.net
Message-ID: <5vh466$385$2@news.stealth.net>
Source record: 186279

Hi,
I'd like to buy a base type scanner and modify it to rcv the missing 800Mhz frequencies. What is a good scanner to buy and where is the info to make the mod.
Also, is it possible to buy a Canadian rig (I assume they are more enlightened than in the US and have access to cell freq.) and have it pick up those missing freq ??
I have an old cobra SR925 and it looks like a freq converter is the only answer for that unit.
I'm willing to spend $200 to $250 for this unit.

Appreciate in advance all the help

Leo

1318. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vh503$1qe4$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186280

In article <970913160001576@thekat.cts.com>, bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com (Bud Jamison) wrote:

>-> H.R. 2369 will criminalize ALL RADIO RECEPTION outside the ham, CB
>-> and broadcast bands. Is that what you want? Heil Hitler!
>
>Wrong, wrong, W R O N G !
>
>Learn to read and UNDERSTAND stuff before you make such (stupid) blanket
>statements.

OK. The revised Section 705 of the Communications Act of 1934 will now make it illegal to "intercept OR divulge" any communication not specified as exempt (ham, CB, aviation, distress, etc.). The current Section 705 makes it illegal to "intercept AND divulge" such communications.

What's to understand? "Interception" with or without divulgence will be illegal. Period. No more monitoring.

1319. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vh54n$1qe4$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186281

In article <341B048E.60AE@flash.net>, david084@flash.net wrote:

> If Sprint is happy, I am happy! Most of us would not bother to find a
>way to decipher their digital signal anyway.

Then "most of us" will be out of a hobby in most populated areas
within the next decade. Hope you enjoyed scanning - it's over now.

1320. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vh4rq$1qe4$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186282

In article <341c67a1.585747@NEWS.IFX.NET>, Mr.X@earth.net (Mr. X) wrote:

>It is NOT against the law to listen to CORDLESS phones, BUT it is

Yes, it is (in the USA) and has been illegal since 1994.

1321. test message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jf73441
Message-ID: <341c1cb9.609025@news.netpass.com>
Source record: 186283

just trying to get out. Having a bit of problems on my end. No reply necessary.

Thanks

1322. !BC895XLT First Impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <341C1913.6A14@ibm.net>
Source record: 186284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have just completed my First Impressions of the new Uniden
BC895XLT TrunkTracker. To anyone even remotely considering
buying a new base/mobile radio, I urge you to read this to
find out more about this great radio.

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1323. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341C1AD4.14D@juno.com>
Source record: 186285

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> No Bill, YOU have made racist comments on other newsgroups according
> to Dejanews. I have not called anyone racist besides YOU.
> So who are these three others that you say I am calling racist?
>
> You talk in senseless circles.
>
> Oh really, when did I forge a post? Send me the post I forged.
> You can't because I never did. You are thinking of Bill Cheek
> & Eric Oyen.
>
> Your behavior sounds like YOU are on LSD.
Well,
Judging by this and other postings over the last 2 weeks, I think the flame war is back on, and John MAckey fired the first volley.

I have done a little research at dejanews (and others) and have discovered one disturbing point:
John Mackey doesn't like to have the truth presented to him. It queers hsi worldview all out of whack.

It has taken me some time to track down headers on some of the others in here as well, and yes, in that, I have discovered some forgeries on the parts of a few people in here (especially you, john).

Oh well. I've said my peice and its time to move on. Good luck folks.
I'll be posting to a computer near you.....

1324. !BC895XLT First Impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <341C176F.50BA@ibm.net>
Source record: 186286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have just completed my First Impressions of the new Uniden
BC895XLT TrunkTracker. To anyone even remotely considering
buying a new base/mobile radio, I urge you to read this to
find out more about this great radio.

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1325. Re: Trunk Tracker 235 XLT Trouble,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: SD <dout-sco@flash.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc131$aa79d280$ac6d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
Source record: 186287

The 235 is blocked & as I have found out, is unmodifiable. I have heard
that you can get images on the 235, but I am unsure of the frequency range
that you can receive these images.

sd

Max <max7@netmcr.com> wrote in article <341C0D97.479@netmcr.com>...
> On a recent trip to Alabama I took my 3-month old BC 200 XLT and a new
> Trunk Tracker 235 XLT. I was searching for data channels in 800 MHz band
> with the 200 and the 235 - noticed that the 235 picks up no cellular
> traffic at all - the 200 was crawling with it.
> Why is this? I did check to be sure the 235 was in conventional mode. I
> have no interest in the cell trafffic but worried this may be a sign
> that I have defective 235.
>

1326. Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc800xlt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914171301.NAA04523@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186288

>Subject: Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote...
>From: a112ssb@aol.com (A112SSB)
>Date: 14 Sep 1997 02:49:49 GMT
>Message-id: <19970914024900.WAA01164@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>
>DILLHOLES
>
>http://members.aol.com/A112SSB/Mistress.htm

Don't waste your time visiting this site--it's pure drivel. Shall I send you a case of crying towels, Carla? Remind me to send a case to your good buddy Dale, too!

1327. !BC895XLT First Impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <341C18C1.4985@ibm.net>
Source record: 186289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have just completed my First Impressions of the new Uniden
BC895XLT TrunkTracker. To anyone even remotely considering
buying a new base/mobile radio, I urge you to read this to
find out more about this great radio.

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1328. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914172100.NAA10943@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186290

>What's to understand? "Interception" with or without divulgence will
>be illegal. Period. No more monitoring.
>
>
>
>

Interception without divulgence is nothing. If nobody knows that you hear it, it doesn't exsist.

I pose to you this question.....
If a person interecepts a signal, but nobody knows about it.....how would we know?

The CB Guy

1329. FS: CT-17 Computer Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@mail.telis.org>
Message-ID: <341C20CE.D92@mail.telis.org>
Source record: 186291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

For Sale CT-17 Communication interface (CI-V)Level Converter
In box with cables and manual, excellent condition used very little.
$75.00
Ron M

1330. HELP with scanner dates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jf73441
Message-ID: <341c2247.2030687@news.netpass.com>
Source record: 186292

Can anyone explain the following dates??

I've located what I think are some good scanner buys. But confused about the dates. Here goes...

BC 860XLT with a date of OKIE

BC100XLT with a date of OJHH

BC 230XLT with a date of OHIE

Also which do you think would be the best one to buy? Thanks for you help..

John
jf73441@netpass.com

1331. Re: Mistress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: razzu@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914175601.NAA13345@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186293

Quit your whining, Carla--YOU are the one who needs to get a life, get off the radio, and act like a 36 year old married woman with two children, not a lot lizard wanna be. And I think you have more than your fair share of problems; otherwise you wouln't have resorted to taking advantage of someone who did NOTHING to you except try to be your friend. At least I can go to sleep with a clear conscience, because I don't have to resort to telling LIES to cover my ass, as you do.

1332. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vh8dk$94t@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186294

In <341BAD97.29EB@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>> the idiot of Mackeral calls everyone he cannot get it
>> with a racist...he calls three other's racists'
>
>No Bill, YOU have made racist comments on other newsgroups according
>to Dejanews. I have not called anyone racist besides YOU.
>
> Oh give it a "stop me"....

your smelly orifice called your brain just
called some poster racist...about five or six
days ago....

as that fine fellah called you down on, that being
the pres and vice-pres of your flagellation club,
they know you...few pieces not self-installed
correctly.

what it all boils down to is this: your definition
of racist and how you interpret what you read. Which
to date evidenced by your retorts is less than legal.

bill

1333. Re: !BC895XLT First Impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <341C2134.1A01@ibm.net>
Source record: 186295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Rich Wells wrote:
> I have just completed my First Impressions of the new Uniden
> BC895XLT TrunkTracker. To anyone even remotely considering
> buying a new base/mobile radio, I urge you to read this to
> find out more about this great radio.

For those of you unfamiliar with my web site, go to the
web page below and follow the radio monitoring link. Near the
top of that page you will find a link to my BC895 posting.

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1334. Re: !!! Danger! Contact YOUR Congressman from here! Its fast and easy!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <341C4332.4A48@datasync.com>
Source record: 186296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

BETTER YET DavidA. wrote:
>
> Below, you will find many of your Congressmen. Not all will be here,
> so you may have to do some digging to find their addresses, or fax
> numbers, but below is a list of many of them.
>
> All you have to do is click on the one you want to write. If the mail
> is returned, do not give up hope. Their box is probably full. Please
> remail your message in a few hours, or the next day.
>
> BE NICE, BUT let them know that you cannot support them if they
> support any legislation like H.R.2369 Tell them that you do not support
> any further restrictions on scanner use and that H.R.2369 will greatly
> damage your hobby. Tell them that many older Americans use their
> scanners to listen to events in their neighborhoods which is part of
> their safety network.
>
> It is so easy for you to voice your opinion, please support your rights
> and your hobby by sending a single note with many many Congressmen
> listed in the address area of your message. All you have to do is
> seperate them by a comma. This will allow you to send out one message
> to many Congressmen.
>
> Now, look below for their addresses:
>
> ---
>
> [Text only version]
>
> [Image]
>
> E-Mail Addresses for the United States Congress
>
> ---
>
> [Image]
> Click on your state for the e-mail addresses of your Representatives
> and Senators.
>
> Note: Not all Congressmen and Senators have e-mail addresses.
>
> ---

>
>
>
> Alabama
> Sen. Richard Shelby senator@shelby.senate.gov
> Rep. Spencer Bachus sbachus@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Sonny Callahan callahan@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bud Cramer budmail@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Terry Everett everett@hr.house.gov
>
> Alaska
> Sen Ted Stevens senator_stevens@stevens.senate.gov
> Sen. Frank Murkowski email@murkowski.senate.gov
>
> Arizona
> Sen. Jon Kyl info@kyl.senate.gov
> Sen. John McCain senator_mccain@mccain.senate.gov
> Rep. J. D. Hayworth hayworth@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Jim Kolbe jimkolbe@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Ed Pastor edpastor@hr.house.gov
>
> Arkansas
> Sen. Dale Bumpers senator@bumpers.senate.gov
> Sen. Tim Hutchinson senator hutchinson@hutchinson.senate.gov
> Rep. Jay Dickey jdickey@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Tim Hutchinson timhutch@hr.house.gov
>
> California
> Sen. Barbara Boxer senator@boxer.senate.gov
> Sen. Dianne Feinstein senator@feinstein.senate.gov
> Rep. Brian Bilbray bilbray@hr.house.gov
> Rep. George Brown talk2geb@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Tom Campbell campbell@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Chris Cox chriscox@hr.house.gov
> Rep. David Dreier cyberrep@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Anna Eshoo annagram@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Sam Farr samfarr@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Vic Fazio dcaucus@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Jane Harman jharman@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Tom Lantos talk2tom@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Jerry Lewis khuiskes@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Zoe Lofgren zoegram@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Howard McKeon tellbuck@hr.house.gov
> Rep. George Miller gmiller@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Ron Packard rpackard@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Nancy Pelosi sfnancy@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Richard Pombo rpombo@mail.house.gov
> Rep. George Radanovich george@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Frank Riggs repriggs@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Fortney 'Pete' Stark petemail@hr.house.gov

> Rep. Lynn C. Woolsey woolsey@hr.house.gov
>
> Colorado
> Rep. Dan Schaefer schaefer@hr.house.gov
> Rep. David Skaggs skaggs@hr.house.gov
>
> Connecticut
> Sen. Christopher Dodd. sen_dodd@dodd.senate.gov
> Sen. Joseph Lieberman. senator_lieberman@lieberman.senate.gov
> Rep. Sam Gejdenson bozrah@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Christopher Shays cshays@hr.house.gov
>
> Delaware
> Sen. Joseph Biden senator@biden.senate.gov
> Rep. Michael Castle delaware@hr.house.gov
>
> Florida
> Sen. Bob Graham bob_graham@graham.senate.gov
> Sen. Connie Mack senator_mack@jec.senate.gov
> Rep. Michael Bilirakis truerep@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Charles Canady canady@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Peter Deutsch pdeutsch@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Alcee Hastings hastings@hr.house.gov
> Rep. John Mica mica@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Dan Miller miller13@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Cliff Stearns cstearns@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Karen Thurman kthurman@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Dave Weldon fla-15@hr.house.gov
>
> Georgia
> Sen. Paul Coverdell senator_coverdell@coverdell.senate.gov
> Rep. Saxby Chambliss saxby@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Mac Collins rep3mac@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Newt Gingrich georgia6@hr.house.gov
> Rep. John Linder jlinder@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Charlie Norwood ga10@hr.house.gov
>
> Hawaii
> Sen. Daniel Inouye senator@inouye.senate.gov
> Rep. Neil Abercrombie neil@abercrombie.house.gov
>
> Idaho
> Sen. Larry Craig larry_craig@craig.senate.gov
> Sen. Dirk Kempthorne dirk_kempthorne@kempthorne.senate.gov
> Rep. Helen Chenoweth askhelen@hr.house.gov
>
> Illinois
> Sen. Carol Moseley-Braun senator@moseley-braun.senate.gov
> Rep. Jerry Costello jfcil12@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Harris Fawell hfawell@hr.house.gov

> Rep. Luis Gutierrez luisg@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Dennis Hastert dhastert@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Ray LaHood lahood18@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bobby Rush brush@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Jerry Weller jweller@hr.house.gov
>
> Indiana
> Sen. Richard Lugar lugar@iquest.net
> Rep. Lee Hamilton hamilton@hr.house.gov
> Rep. John Hostettler johnhost@hr.house.gov
> Rep. David McIntosh mcintosh@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Tim Roemer troemer@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Mark Souder souder@hr.house.gov
>
> Iowa
> Sen. Charles Grassley chuck_grassley@grassley.senate.gov
> Sen. Tom Harkin tom_harkin@harkin.senate.gov
> Rep. Jim Nussle nussleia@hr.house.gov
>
> Kansas
> Rep. Todd Tiahrt tiahrt@hr.house.gov
>
> Kentucky
> Sen. Wendell Ford wendell_ford@ford.senate.gov
> Sen. Mitch McConnell senator@mcconnell.senate.gov
> Rep. Jim Bunning bunning4@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Ed Whitfield edky01@hr.house.gov
>
> Louisiana
> Sen. John Breaux senator@breaux.senate.gov
> Rep. Jim McCrery mccrery@hr.house.gov
>
> Maine
> Sen. Olympia Snowe olympia@snowe.senate.gov
> Sen. Susan Collins senator@collins.senate.gov
> Rep. John Baldacci baldacci@hr.house.gov
>
> Maryland
> Sen. Barbara Mikulski. senator@mikulski.senate.gov
> Sen. Paul Sarbanes senator@sarbanes.senate.gov
> Rep. Ben Cardin cardin@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Robert Ehrlich, Jr. ehrlich@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Albert Wynn alwynn@hr.house.gov
>
> Massachusetts
> Sen Edward Kennedy senator@kennedy.senate.gov
> Sen. John Kerry john_kerry@kerry.senate.gov
> Rep. Martin Meehan mtmeehan@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Joe Moakley jmoakley@hr.house.gov
> Rep. John Olver olver@hr.house.gov

>
> Michigan
> Sen. Spencer Abraham michigan@abraham.senate.gov
> Sen. Carl Levin senator@levin.senate.gov
> Rep. Dave Camp davecamp@hr.house.gov
> Rep. John Conyers, Jr. jconyers@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Vernon Ehlers congehlr@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Peter Hoekstra tellhoek@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Lynn Rivers lrivers@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Nick Smith repsmith@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bart Stupak stupak@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Fred Upton talk2fsu@hr.house.gov
>
> Minnesota
> Sen. Rod Grams mail_grams@grams.senate.gov
> Sen. Paul Wellstone senator@wellstone.senate.gov
> Rep. Gil Gutknecht gil@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bill Luther tellbill@hr.house.gov
> Rep. David Minge dminge@hr.house.gov
> Rep. James Oberstar oberstar@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Collin Peterson tocollin@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Jim Ramstad mn03@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Martin Sabo msabo@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bruce Vento vento@hr.house.gov
>
> Mississippi
> Sen. Thad Cochran senator@cochran.senate.gov
> Rep. Bennie Thompson ms2nd@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Roger Wicker rwicker@hr.hosue.gov
>
> Missouri
> Sen. John Ashcroft john_ashcroft@ashcroft.senate.gov
> Sen. Christopher Bond kit_bond@bond.senate.gov
> Rep. Jo Ann Emerson jemerson@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Richard Gephardt demldr@hr.house.gov
> Rep. James Talent talentmo@hr.house.gov
>
> Montana
> Sen. Max Baucus max@baucus.senate.gov
> Sen Conrad Burns conrad_burns@burns.senate.gov
>
> Nebraska
> Sen. Bob Kerrey bob@kerrey.senate.gov
> Rep. Jon Cristensen talk2jon@hr.house.gov
>
> Nevada
> Sen. Harry Reid senator_reid@reid.senate.gov
> Sen. Richard Bryan senator@bryan.senate.gov
> Rep. John Ensign ensign@hr.house.gov
>

> New Hampshire
> Sen. Judd Gregg mailbox@gregg.senate.gov
> Sen. Bob Smith opinion@smith.senate.gov
> Rep. Charles Bass cbass@hr.house.gov
> Rep. John Sununu Rep.Sununu@Mail.House.Gov
>
> New Jersey
> Sen. Frank Lautenberg frank_lautenberg@lautenberg.senate.gov
> Rep. Robert Andrews randrews@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bob Franks franksnj@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Rodney Frelinghuysen njeleven@hr.house.gov
>
> New Mexico
> Sen. Jeff Bingaman senator_bingaman@bingaman.senate.gov
> Sen. Pete Domenici senator_domenici@domenici.senate.gov
>
> New York
> Sen. Daniel Patrick Moynihan senator@dpm.senate.gov
> Sen. Alfonse D'Amato senator_al@damato.senate.gov
> Rep. Sherwood Boehlert boehlert@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Eliot Engel engeline@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Michael Forbes mpforbes@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Maurice Hinchey hinchey@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Amo Houghton houghton@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Sue Kelly dearsue@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Peter King peteking@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Rick Lazio lazio@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Nita Lowey nitamail@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Carolyn Maloney cmaloney@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Thomas Manton tmanton@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Michael McNulty mmcnulty@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Susan Molinari molinari@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Jerrold Nadler nadler@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bill Paxon bpaxon@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Charles Rangel rangel@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Jose Serrano jserrano@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Jim Walsh jwalsh@hr.house.gov
>
> North Carolina
> Sen Lauch Faircloth senator@faircloth.senate.gov
> Sen. Jesse Helms jesse_helms@helms.senate.gov
> Rep. Cass Ballenger cassmail@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Richard Burr mail2nc5@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Sue Myrick myrick@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Charles Taylor chtaylor@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Mel Watt melmail@hr.house.gov
>
> North Dakota
> Sen. Kent Conrad senator@conrad.senate.gov
> Sen. Byron Dorgan senator@dorgan.senate.gov

> Rep. Earl Pomeroy epomeroy@hr.house.gov
>
> Ohio
> Sen. Mike DeWine senator_dewine@dewine.senate.gov
> Rep. Sherrod Brown sherrod@hr.house.gov
> Rep. John Kasich budget@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Michael Oxley oxley@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Rob Portman portmail@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Deborah Pryce pryce15@hr.house.gov
> Rep. James Traficant telljim@hr.house.gov
>
> Oklahoma
> Sen. Don Nickles senator@nickles.senate.gov
> Rep. Ernest J. Istook, Jr. istook@hr.house.gov
>
> Oregon
> Sen.Ron Wyden senator@wyden.senate.gov
> Rep. Peter DeFazio pdefazio@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Elizabeth Furse furseor1@hr.house.gov
>
> Pennsylvania
> Sen. Rick Santorum senator@santorum.senate.gov
> Sen Arlen Specter senator_specter@specter.senate.gov
> Rep. Jon Fox jonfox@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Paul Kanjorski kanjo@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Paul McHale mchale@hr.house.gov
> Rep.John Murtha murtha@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Curt Weldon curtpa7@hr.house.gov
>
> Rhode Island
> Sen. John Chafee senator_chafee@chafee.senate.gov
>
> South Carolina
> Sen. Ernest Hollings senator@hollings.senate.gov
> Sen. Strom Thurmond senator@thurmond.senate.gov
> Rep. James E. Clyburn jclyburn@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bob Inglis binglis@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Mark Sanford sanford@hr.house.gov
> Rep. John Spratt jspratt@hr.house.gov
>
> South Dakota
> Sen. Tom Daschle tom_daschle@daschle.senate.gov
>
> Tennessee
> Sen. Bill Frist senator_frist@frist.senate.gov
> Sen Fred Thompson senator_thompson@thompson.senate.gov
> Rep. Bob Clement clement@hr.house.gov
> Rep. J.J. Duncan jjduncan@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Harold Ford hford@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bart Gordon bart@hr.house.gov

>
> Texas
> Sen. Kay Bailey Hutchison senator@hutchison.senate.gov
> Rep. Joe Barton barton06@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Lloyd Doggett doggett@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Martin Frost frost@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Henry Gonzales bnkgdems@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Gene Green ggreen@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Sam Johnson samtx03@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Ron Paul rep.paul@mail.house.gov
>
> Utah
> Sen. Robert Bennett senator@bennett.senate.gov
> Sen. Orrin Hatch senator_hatch@hatch.senate.gov
>
> Vermont
> Sen. Jim Jeffords vermont@jeffords.senate.gov
> Sen. Patrick Leahy senator_leahy@leahy.senate.gov
> Rep. Bernie Sanders bsanders@igc.apc.org
>
> Virginia
> Sen. Charles Robb senator@robb.senate.gov
> Sen. John Warner senator@warner.senate.gov
> Rep. Rick Boucher ninthnet@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Thomas Davis tomdavis@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bob Goodlatte talk2bob@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Jim Moran repmoran@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Lewis Payne lfpayne@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Owen Pickett opickett@hr.house.gov
>
> Washington
> Sen. Slade Gorton senator_gorton@gorton.senate.gov
> Sen. Patty Murray senator_murray@murray.senate.gov
> Rep. Jennifer Dunn dunnwa08@hr.house.gov
> Rep. George Nethercutt grnwa05@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Linda Smith asklinda@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Rick White repwhite@hr.house.gov
>
> West Virginia
> Sen. Robert Byrd senator_byrd@byrd.senate.gov
> Sen. John Rockefeller III senator@rockefeller.senate.gov
> Rep. Nick Rahall nrahall@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Bob Wise bobwise@hr.house.gov
>
> Wisconsin
> Sen. Russell Feingold senator@feingold.senate.gov
> Sen. Herb Kohl senator_kohl@kohl.senate.gov
> Rep. Thomas Barrett telltom@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Jerry Kleczka jerry4wi@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Scott Klug badger02@hr.house.gov

> Rep. Mark Neumann mneumann@hr.house.gov
> Rep. Tom Petri tompetri@hr.house.gov
> Rep. James Sensenbrenner sensen09@hr.house.gov
>
> Wyoming
> Sen. Craig Thomas craig@thomas.senate.gov
> Sen. Mike Enzi senator@enzi.senate.gov
>
> ---
> ---
>
> FAQs - Search - Membership - Guestbook - Archives - Subject Index -
> Comments - Main Page - JBS Info - The New American - TRIM - AOBS -
> What's Hot - Pending Legislation
>
> Copyright 1997 - The John Birch Society, Inc.

BETTER YET GO HERE

http://www.yes.ucla.edu/voices/email.html

1335. Re: FS: Icom R-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <341C3145.28C7@accesscom.com>
Source record: 186297

jwilson3@flash.net wrote:
>
> FS: Icom R-7000. This unit is NOT blocked and is in good condition. It
> comes with the owner's manual as well. $750. Jim W.
>
> ----------------------==== Posted via Deja News ====-----------------------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

This radio was NEVER blocked so why advertise that it is UNBLOCKED!

1336. Re: Trunk Tracker 235 XLT Trouble,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: gknox@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <341c2de9.2701463@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 186298

On Sun, 14 Sep 1997 12:15:19 -0400, Max <max7@netmcr.com> wrote:

>On a recent trip to Alabama I took my 3-month old BC 200 XLT and a new
>Trunk Tracker 235 XLT. I was searching for data channels in 800 MHz band
>with the 200 and the 235 - noticed that the 235 picks up no cellular
>traffic at all - the 200 was crawling with it.
>Why is this? I did check to be sure the 235 was in conventional mode. I
>have no interest in the cell trafffic but worried this may be a sign
>that I have defective 235.

Actually this is a sign that your BC235 has a superior receiver
compared to the BC230. The BC235 has a triple conversion architecture
designed specifically to enhance its image rejection performance. This
means it is designed to prevent cell phone image reception. The BC230
is a double conversion design and as such, cell phone image reception
occurs much more readily.

The days are gone where manufacturers could claim that their scanners
were incapable of receiving cell phone traffic simply because the cell
phone frequency range was blocked at the keyboard, while with a wink
and a nod noting that cell phone images were available in some other
frequency range. The FCC is wise to these tactics and such scanners
won't get approval. They are serious about blocking the reception of
cellular phone calls.

Greg Knox

1337. MISTRESSES' TRUCKERS PAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc800xlt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914185601.OAA17418@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186299

Hey Carla (or whoever put this lovely page on the Net): If you want to have a rational, adult discussion about our differences, you know what my E-mail address is. If not, then as far as I am concerned, we can just continue to attack each other on the Net until hell freezes over. I'm willing to cease fire in this "war" if you are.

1338. Re: Mistress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc800xlt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914192200.PAA13272@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186300

>Subject: Re: Mistress
>From: razzu@aol.com (RazzU)
>Date: 14 Sep 1997 17:56:30 GMT
>Message-id: <19970914175601.NAA13345@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>
>Quit your whining, Carla--YOU are the one who needs to get a life, get off
>the radio, and act like a 36 year old married woman with two children, not
>a lot lizard wanna be. And I think you have more than your fair share of
>problems; otherwise you wouln't have resorted to taking advantage of
>someone who did NOTHING to you except try to be your friend. At least I
>can go to sleep with a clear conscience, because I don't have to resort to
>telling LIES to cover my ass, as you do.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Hey Carla (or whoever put this lovely page on the Net): If you want to discuss our differences in a rational, adult fashion, you know what my E-mail address is. Otherwise, as far as I'm concerned, we can continue to attack each other on the Net until hell freezes over. I'm willing to call a cease fire in this war if you are.

1339. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcoles@tor.hookup.net
Message-ID: <5vh6v3qdu1@loki.tor.hookup.net>
Source record: 186301

In article <01bcbef1$9b6c67e0$010a2499@default>, "skj9" <skj9@earthlink.net> wrote:
>

>
>I've had a pro-26 for about six months now and it has performed excellent.
>25 MHz to 1.3GHz is assuming that you know cell will be blocked if you
>purchase it in the States. This web site has a review of an unblocked
>Pro-26. http://www.osha.igs.net/~durhamradio/pro26.htm
>
>

If you buy it from a Radio Shack in Canada it will be cell blocked. Only the UK versions (or model with the UK chip) available from places like Durham Radio will truly be continous coverage.

Todd

1340. For Sale RCA RP- 6150 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Allen <FAllen-IDC@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc147$24301160$a3cb92cf@default>
Source record: 186302

Hi,
I still have a few factory fresh RCA RP-6150 Handheld scanners left. Same as Radio Shacks Pro-62.

For more info and prices please e-mail.

Thanks,
Fred
fallen-idc@worldnet.att.net

1341. VK3NDS new look to web site....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dsimp@tbsa.com.au
Message-ID: <341b303c.24275641@news.tbsa.com.au>
Source record: 186420

A short note to anyone interested in radio and communications of all kinds, that my web site has a new & improved interface for regular and new visitors. Topics from propagation, satellite, packet radio, SWL, monitoring, indexes of home pages worldwide, DX pages, scanner, etc etc...url is...

http://www.tbsa.com.au/~dsimp/

Thanks.
David.
VK3NDS.

1342. U.S. Army Golden Knights Freq's Needed please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914001901.UAA14190@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186421

I am looking for the Golden Knights freq. If you have any i would appreciate it if you drop me an e-mail. Thank you
/\/\A/\/
http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm

1343. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc0a7$b79814c0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 186422

Hello John Smackey. Are you still being a jerk?
You can stop any time you want. Just like
breaking a bad habit.You are a bad habit.
You are also a very odd fellow, maybe a
a teenager? If not then you better see your
shrink for help. Dementium7 might cure you.

1344. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vfbb5$nsn@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186423

In <341B221A.11AC@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>Finally, some GOOD CORRECT advice.
>
>I hope Bill is smart enough to follow it.
>
>We haven't seen his racist comments lately either.
>
> the idiot of Mackeral calls everyone he cannot get it
with a racist...he calls three other's racists'

he has a speech impediment....brain-drained
on drain-O.

>
>
>Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
>> Bill,
>> actually, yes it can.
>> You can use the path to trace back to the ISP of origin. then using
the
>> message ID that is included, request that the ISP confirm that a
user
>> posted that public article. I have used it a few times to conform a
>> suspicion.
>> There are ways to defeat this (if you are skilled enough), but it
takes
>> real work to do so.

To the Phoenix Radio man...
thank you...

the man of smelly Mackeral said it cannot be done
when he sent me a "FU.. YOU" e-mail.

and that he does not forge as others have caught
him doing over the many months he has festered
on group.

i'll give it a try...when time permits
and i have a guide nearby who is
on LSD...for a very very high experience
into this world you explain.

Going Higher....

bill conduit
etc

1345. Re: PRO2026/CTCSS Tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Griffin <jpgriff@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc0ac$172463e0$6dc174cf@default>
Source record: 186424

Close, but no cigar. Sorry to say, no, the tone board will not work in the
2026.
-
John Griffin
JPGRIFF@NOSPAMworldnet.att.net
please remove "NOSPAM" to reply

Dad <deadeye@javanet.com> wrote in article <341AFE4E.C14@javanet.com>...
> Does anyone know if the tone board for a BC760XLT will fit and work in a
> PRO2026 as Ithink it is a bear cat in radio shack disguise?
>

1346. BC 895XLT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Griffin <jpgriff@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc0ae$49630580$6dc174cf@default>
Source record: 186425

Just got my 895 this week. It's surely the best thing Uniden has ever produced. Even my Icom and AOR radios don't have CTCSS - a long overdue feature!
I do have one question about the tape recorder function. Maybe someone else has noticed this.
On page 27 of the manual it states,
...."if your scanner stops on a channel you have designated for recording, the transmission and it's time, as well as the channel number and frequency are all recorded."
HOW IS THIS POSSIBLE? Did I miss something about an internal clock or digital time stamp function?
John Griffin
JPGRIFF@NOSPAMworldnet.att.net
please remove "NOSPAM" to reply

1347. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: zarlenga@conan.ids.net
Message-ID: <5vfg11jo4@paperboy.ids.net>
Source record: 186426
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

: > For your information Chris, the LA Police Department, along with the
: > Feds I believe, had a very thorough investigation of Mark Furman's
: > so-called racist tendencies. They found he was not a racist and would
: > even engage in athletic games at ridiculous hours (sometimes as early
: > as 6 am) with blacks and latinos, many of whom said he was their
: > friend.

More times than not, racists befriend a FEW of the group they tend to hate.

Furhman was apparently that kind of racist. His LAPD jacket was rife with complaints and accusations of racist behavior.

: > but fabrication and exaggeration. No charges were brought against him.
: > And, naturally, the media chose to give this part of the Fuhrman story
: > only the most minimal of coverage.

Excuse me, but the man perjured himself and those charges WERE brought against him. His punishment was less that the cost of a CHP speeding ticket. True to form, a cop broke the law and walked away with nothing but a slap on the wrist.

Does anyone think a $200 fine is gonna make other crooked perjurers with badges think twice before lying under oath as Detective Furhman did?

Must be nice to be a cop, eh? The laws are for other people I guess.

--
-- Mike Zarlenga
finger zarlenga@conan.ids.net for PGP public key

1348. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: zarlenga@conan.ids.net
Message-ID: <5vfg8mjo5@paperboy.ids.net>
Source record: 186427
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Christopher Morton (cmort@opman.com) wrote:
: >For your information Chris, the LA Police Department, along with the
: >Feds I believe, had a very thorough investigation of Mark Furman's
: >so-called racist tendencies. They found he was not a racist and would
: >even engage in athletic games at ridiculous hours (sometimes as early as
: >6 am) with blacks and latinos, many of whom said he was their friend.

: An investigation of the LAPD BY the LAPD is worth about as much as an
: investigation of the mafia BY the mafia.

Or the New Orleans PD by the New Orleans PD.

Isn't it funny that, for years the NOPD's Internal Affairs dept.
couldn't come up with evidence of wrongdoing by NOPD's cops. Isn't
it also funny that people who filed CONFIFENTIAL complaints with
the NOPD IAD wound up dead? One woman was gunned down in the street,
the hit set up by the very cop she filed the complaint against.

These days, many cops and even entire DEPARTMENTS are no more trust-
worthy than your regular, everyday street criminal.

--
-- Mike Zarlenga
finger zarlenga@conan.ids.net for PGP public key

1349. Scout how does it opperate?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: rampelbergh.p@infoboard.be
Message-ID: <341d4d98.5526223@news.ib.be>
Source record: 186428

How does the OPTOELECTRONICS SCOUT works?

Paul Rampelbergh (Belgium)

1350. New Cordless Phone Frequencies Needed!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <5vfj1k$r1a@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 186429

I'm interested in knowing all of the new cordless phone channels and frequencies. I'm also interested in the old frequencies as well. Please e-mail me if you have what I'm looking for. Thanks a lot for your time and effort in advance.

X
Trust No One

1351. TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <5vfit9$r1a@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 186430

I'm intersted in knowing of a place where I can get a listing of the TV voice frequencies listed by channel. Please e-mail me if you have any information requarding this. Thanks a lot for you time and effort in advance!!

X
Trust No One

1352. Re: "Eric Oyen" wrote...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: a112ssb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914024900.WAA01164@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186431

DILLHOLES

http://members.aol.com/A112SSB/Mistress.htm

1353. BC 9000 XLT Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anthony Love <tony.love@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc0bd$bb2446c0$88b2400c@anthony-love>
Source record: 186432

Just recently received my BC9000XLT, great unit. However, I am unable to scan cellular
frequencies. Does anyone have information on modifying the unit to open cellular bandwiths?

Any advice is appreciated

1354. For sale PRO 43 Scanner,,$150.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <341c612c.1183685@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186433

For sale pro 43 scanner from radio shack top of the line has 200mhz
also date code 3a4 all stock all oringinal mint cond with box and
manual.. best scanner made in 1994 and many other years.. has 200ch
hyperscan looks good.. original rubber ant included... selling for
150.00 plus shipping cost as low as 3.00 if you want it first class
mail.. or UPS is slightly higher!! my number is 714 960-2835 mike
this scanner is triple Conv also no intermode with this scanner...
call me or email me thanks.

1355. Re: FS : Pro 34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914042601.AAA02628@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186434
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: FS : Pro 34
>From: jcolson@cajunnet.com
>Date: Sun Sep 14 00:04:48 1997
>

We all know what this scanner looks like......
Keep the binaries off the ng please

The CB Guy

1356. Looking for any PRO-2010 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Seanster@merlan.ca_SPAM_ME_NOT
Message-ID: <Seanster.410.00D6E2DE@merlan.ca_SPAM_ME_NOT>
Source record: 186435

Subject say it all. Just wondering if there's anything interesting that can be done to it. The mod pages I have located so far contain every PRO model except this one. :)

If anyone has a copy of World Scanner Report, Volume 2, # 7 (August, 1992) kicking around, maybe you could peek in it and see what it has to say for me? :)

Thanks,
Sean

[Please fix the email address when you reply]

1357. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr.X@earth.net
Message-ID: <341c67a1.585747@NEWS.IFX.NET>
Source record: 186436

On Thu, 11 Sep 1997 17:46:37 GMT, bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com (Bud Jamison) wrote:

>-> From: ekim233@aol.com (EKIM233)
>-> Subject: Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?
>->
>-> It is against the Law to monitor, Cellular Phones, Cordless Phones,
>-> Mobile
>-> Phones, Ship to Shore Phones, Pager, SMR, and the list goes on an on.
>-> you will be able to use your scanner for a paper weight. Sucks don't
>-> it.
>
>Ship to Shore phones, SMRs and 'the list goes on' are all wrong. Only
>the other things you mention are currently prohibited.

It is NOT against the law to listen to CORDLESS phones, BUT it is against the law to repeat anything you hear on a cordless phone. (i.e. you would not be allowed to call police if you heard that someone was going to kill someone else.)

1358. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: rollo@trib.com
Message-ID: <5vfpht$fi5@news.trib.com>
Source record: 186437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Bill <bhay@sprintmail.com> wrote:

>Ike wrote:
>>
>>
>> It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
>> conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
>> and the info was used against me by the individual that did
>> the listening.
>>
>> I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
>> would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
>> the way it came out of the box!
>>
>> I will call and offer support for HR2369!

>Sure... Let's pass another law because there are a few folks with the IQ
>of a tomato plant who are dumb enough to use an open frequency for
>discussions that should be made elsewhere... This is a great example of
>the dumbing down of America... Thanks for sharing with us Ike - Now go
>back to learning how to set the clock on your VCR.

>Bill
>---
>Washington DC is just Hollywood for those who
>are unattractive

THIS IS IN CAPS >>>I AM YELLING. <<<<<<<< YOUR CORDLESS PHONE
AND CELLPHONE COME IN ON TV AND OTHER DEVICES. THEY WILL
NEVER BE PRIVATE UNLESS THEY ENCRYPT. DO NOT ENTICE YOUR
9 YEAR OLD LOVER WITH THIS MEDIUM. OR ELSE!!!!
YOU GUYS SCREW UP ALOT OF VIDEO TAPE WITH YOUR PRATTELING.
my source of schematic diagrams: http://w3.trib.com/~rollo/bomcat.htm

1359. WTB: Used Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ronnieo@usa.net
Message-ID: <341b68fa.0@news2.pitnet.net>
Source record: 186438

Please send e-mail with what you have available and asking price.

Thank you,

Ron
ronnieo@usa.net

1360. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Taylor <N3TEL@EROLS.COM>
Message-ID: <341B61FC.1A65@EROLS.COM>
Source record: 186439

they are all on .750 MHz frequencies, i.e. XXX.750 MHz
the XXX can be replaced with:
59,65,71,81,87,179,185,191,197,203,209,and 215 for channels 2-13
475,481,487,etc. increasing 6 MHz for each UHF channel.

hope this is clearly explained.

1361. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net>
Message-ID: <5vfsbs$ll6$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 186440
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Patrick wrote:
>
>
> Civilians, ya gotta love em. They will keep a cop employed and
> overworked forever.

Patrick

You forget, you are a civilian as well. Only the military lose the status of civilian. While law enforcement (and firefighters) are restricted in many of their rights (especially first admentment rights), it is much more rigid for the military. We are under seperate law with it's own courts, courts that judge us much harder than any civilian court. Let law enforcement be judged like us.

I will give an example. This spring, a Sgt 1st class (SFC) had marriage problems (like most cops), hard a little too much alcohol, had a run in with some local punks (military dependents) and pulled a gun to hold them until the MPS came. He was charged, convicted in a one day trial, did get only six months (could have been sentenced to 10 yrs) and lost all rights and retirement. Would you like to face that?

Law enforcement is becoming to militarized. If this continues, it will take only the change of one law to allow military to preform law enforcement. Our MPs can do the job, they are trained to deal with civilians. Civilian Affairs personnel can deal with the local government even run a government if need be (which they do in countries distoried by war). I do not want to see this happen but LEOs attitude is pushing us towards this situation. Do you really want this?

1362. Re: Anti-Scanner Laws: Resistance is Futile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: interested@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <341b7f3c.12699556@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186441

On Sat, 13 Sep 1997 04:23:51 GMT, barryoXX@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady) wrote:

>jmason@iu.net (j) wrote:
>
>: On the other end of things, there exist MANY things which
>: are private and MUST NEVER be casually exposed to the
>: average scanner fanatic. Cell phone calls are such an
>: item. There exists NO excuse for listening in on other
>: peoples conversations - NONE.
>
>You seem to have lost the plot on this one.
>No amount of legislation will ensure that an analogue cellphone
>conversation is private. The only fair thing is to bring in laws
>that require service providers to make their customers aware
>that any call they make may be listened to. The cellphone user
>can then avoid talking about critical matters on his cellphone.
>
>Barry
>
>====================================
>To reply via email please remove the XX from my
>return address.

As a lawyer, I agree. In many states in the US it is a violation of professional ethics to discuss any sensative client matter on the open airwaves of a cellular phone.

I take it further by not discussing sensative matters on ANY phone, and I don't use a regular FAX machine. I use internet e-mail encrypted with PGP. I can scan a document, encrypt it, and e-mail it anywhere in the world for free, and I know that IT IS SECURE from any source other than a brute force attact by the cray computers of NSA working 24 hours a day for 40 weeks for a single message.

People who use cordless and cellular phones probably live in a fantasy world where privacy doesn't matter anyway.

I'm Interested.

1363. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: rollo@trib.com
Message-ID: <5vfokg$fgk@news.trib.com>
Source record: 186442
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Patrick <patrickl@wco.com> wrote:

>Nick Hull wrote:

>> I would like to see all DEA agents take a drug test. I would also like to
>> see police cars regularly checked by a drug sniffing dog. Unfortunately,
>> it would only work if done by a non-governmental group; the nobility (govt
>> workers) look after their own.

>Why wait Nick. Train a dog and take it to your local PD and have him
>sniff away. If he alerts call the DA.

>Oh, and by the way. I have to submit to random testing at my PD. This
>testing is conducted by a civilian lab.

>Patrick

>Civilians, ya gotta love em. They will keep a cop employed and
>overworked forever.

Silly question. But why are we civilians, What are you? I am not
beeing beligerant...I have just noticed this in speach patterns of Law
Enforcement Personel. Civilians are also called Probible Perps, and
Pending Subjects. Also " The great unwashed masses yearning to be
booked."(from now outlawed scanner traffic)
my source of schematic diagrams: http://w3.trib.com/~rollo/bomcat.htm

1364. Re: New Cordless Phone Frequencies Needed!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnnyreb1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970914063001.CAA09790@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186443

>I'm interested in knowing all of the new cordless phone channels and
>frequencies. I'm also interested in the old frequencies as well. Please
>e-mail me if you have what I'm looking for. Thanks a lot for your time and
>effort in advance.
>
>

43.72 43.74 43.82 43.84 43.92 43.96 44.12 44.16 44.18
44.20 44.32 44.36 44.40 44.46 44.48 46.61 46.63 46.67
46.71 46.73 46.77 46.83 46.87 46.93 46.97

1365. Re: US Military: Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia--News Flash!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tmalesic@concentric.net
Message-ID: <341b96b8.80624949@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 186444

On Sat, 13 Sep 1997 19:44:31 -0400, Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Tekbir wrote:
>>
>> From Yahoo's Top News Stories:
>>
>> Thursday September 11 3:53 PM EDT
>>
>> Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia
>>
>> By Charles Aldinger
>>
>> WASHINGTON (Reuter) - The U.S. military said on Thursday it was sending
>> to Bosnia three electronic warfare(yada, yada, yada)
>
>Please don't repost news stories to this group.
>
>Steve S

If it's scanning related and properly attributed, why not? Certainly
much more interesting reading than the stupid flames that seem to
pervade this usegroup.

Tony

1366. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <341B96F6.7607@scarlan.com>
Source record: 186445

CRAIG
>
> D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org> wrote in article
> <5v6tvs$1l48$4@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>...
> > In article <34164C65.1153@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
> wrote:
> >
> > >I don't think that any JUDGE will be lauging, but I think everyone that
> > >read your post is now laughing at YOU!!
> >
> > Only the ignorant. I am receiving a degree (with distinction) in
> > Criminal Justice this winter. I have studied the law and the
> > Constitution in great detail ...

Everyone is laughing even HARDER now!!!

1367. Asthma attack in flite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamoran@mail.goodnet.com
Message-ID: <5vfsopsdu2@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 186446
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

I had my scanner on tonight while I was reading some materials her at my desk. I heard a Southwest plane call in on the Airlines's company (ARINC) frequencies 131.70 and 131.785 advising they had a passenger on board with an asthma attack. The Flight was a bit closer to Phoenix than Las Vegas and diverted to Phoenix. I don't know where the flight was scheduled to go.
Once the flight landed, it was met by the airport fire/EMS crew. An additional off-airport rescue crew was also dispatched.

I also have monitored similar situations on Delta's dispatch frequency 129.55.

When I first heard the southwest flight indicate he was diverting to Phoenix, he was about 100 miles away and about 20 minutes out. Once the pilots notified the controller working the flight of the situation, they were given an expedited clearance to get them to phoenix ASAP..

anyone ever been on a flight when ther ewas an in-flight medical emergency

--

JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
vi..my favorite text editor (:
>Don't send junk/spam mail, I get that stuff in duplicate. When I see<
>two or more messages from the same, They go straight to bit bucket! <
if responding to a usenet post send e-mail also so I will check the group
---

1368. Re:TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Rosenberg <michael.rosenberg@factory.com>
Message-ID: <5vg79t$kph@news9.noc.netcom.net>
Source record: 186447

Reply:Buy the Radio Shack Police Call book iam sure the tv audio frequencies are listed however check first to be sure.

In article 5vfit9$r1a@clarknet.clark.net,
heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) said:
>
>I'm intersted in knowing of a place where I can get a listing of
>the TV
>voice frequencies listed by channel. Please e-mail me if you have
>any
>information requarding this. Thanks a lot for you time and effort
>in
>advance!!
>
>X
>Trust No One
>
>

1369. Icom R-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: berlin@solaris1.mysolution.com
Message-ID: <341b9dba.108628451@news.mysolution.com>
Source record: 186448

Icom R-10 Full coverage Export model.
Only a few months old I bought 2 and don't need both.

You get
Icom-R10
Computer Cables
Software for IBM

$450.00 you pay shipping.....

Email for details.

1370. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341BAD97.29EB@usa.net>
Source record: 186449

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> the idiot of Mackeral calls everyone he cannot get it
> with a racist...he calls three other's racists'

No Bill, YOU have made racist comments on other newsgroups according
to Dejanews. I have not called anyone racist besides YOU.

So who are these three others that you say I am calling racist?

> he has a speech impediment....brain-drained
> on drain-O.

You talk in senseless circles.

> and that he does not forge as others have caught
> him doing over the many months he has festered
> on group.

Oh really, when did I forge a post? Send me the post I forged.
You can't because I never did. You are thinking of Bill Cheek
& Eric Oyen.

> and i have a guide nearby who is
> on LSD...

Your behavior sounds like YOU are on LSD.

1371. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341BAE2A.4C1@usa.net>
Source record: 186450

Hi Bill Cheek. I see you were smart enough THIS TIME to
change you organizational name AFTER I pointed it out
to you. But, your writing style is still the same.

Billy Thunder wrote:
> Hello John Smackey. Are you still being a jerk?
> You can stop any time you want. Just like

1372. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341BAEAB.4FA9@usa.net>
Source record: 186451

One, who, knows, John wrote:

> Poor John,
> was given a bad hand in the card game of life,

So then what's YOUR excuse???

You can't even identify yourself, you are not a man, you are a chicken.

1373. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vg1m5$2eh@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186452

In <5vfi47$j0o@drn.zippo.com> One who knows John writes:
>
>In article <01bcc0a7$b79814c0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>, "Billy
says...
>>
>>
>>Hello John Smackey. Are you still being a jerk?
>>You can stop any time you want. Just like
>>breaking a bad habit.You are a bad habit.
>>You are also a very odd fellow, maybe a
>>a teenager? If not then you better see your
>>shrink for help. Dementium7 might cure you.
>
>
>Poor John,
>was given a bad hand in the card game of life, and he wants everyone
to know it.
>Reminds me of smegol; a poor withering creature who never looked up at
the
>light of day.
>Go ahead John, let it all out, cuase we know what a hatred filled man
you are.
>Poor soul.
>Laugh it off in public,
>cry it off in private.
>Feel sorry for him. poor fella.
>

Say ... oh don't tell me another sob story
mackeral breath...don't tell me that these
two well-versed men are your fan club, the
pres and vice-pres.

Oh...oh...oh. Hurt me. Hurt me.

So this is what you mean when you say you are
universally loved...

....fellahs, thank you for showing the mackeral
of the net such loving adoration and respect.

bill conduit
etc.

1374. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <5vj7n0$rq@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed June 29, 1997

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in

plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to

cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 813-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1375. FS or Trade: BC895XLT TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bruce A. Langos" <bruce.langos@daytonoh.ncr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc1e9$194dc880$27f77fc0@langob>
Source record: 186104

New never opened. Received as birthday gift. Prefer the 235XLT. Will trade for a mint 235XLT or $300.00 shipped.

Bruce

1376. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <5vj7mq$rp@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed May 15, 1997

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is

basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's 1992 book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good

book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM for $100 instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a

frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are

really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using

call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (704)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1377. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <5vj7n6$rt@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: April 29, 1997.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 1997 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229.

To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553.

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden/Bearcat's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267.

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and
2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal

chassis. The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This condition is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal

required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the

two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder
connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier
activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found
the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and
says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1378. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <5vj7nv$s2@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: June 29, 1997.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267.

Parts lists for recent models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.html. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)442-2425 or (817)870-5600, or through your local Radio Shack store

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1379. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <5vj7nc$ru@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: September 12, 1997 |

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled, transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by battery operated portable pagers, and the desktop models are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron 700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when aligned properly.

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. You can buy them new but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and attach a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down,

then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain. | Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 | and R700 models is offered by: *

WEBER ELECTRONICS |
PO BOX 212 |
5138 LAUREL LN |
BROAD RUN, VA 20137 |
telephone (540) 347-7760 |

You can also obtain Plectron repairs and parts from: |

Northeast Communications
4 Oak Ridge Rd
Newfoundland NJ 07435
201-697-8804

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)972-3226.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers |

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X
SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from the crystals used in the conventional single channel Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) / 10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--

==========

Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1380. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <5vj7o6$s3@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed September 5, 1997 |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004

Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67 |

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio, otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996.

ICOM

R-10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts

of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great

searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997.

UNIDEN/Bearcat and Electra/Bearcat

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized

service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent

display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100 components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder. Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch

adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10

priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at www.grove.net.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan, search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

Regency

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88

-108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. For a quantitative test report, see "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997.

Radio Shack

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan. Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels. Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory

channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. Includes power saver circuit, odd for a base unit, which can clip the first part of a transmission. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually

unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners have no trouble.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50

frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

Craig (division of Pioneer)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker,

and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

Sonar

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan-nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock-outs?
FR104	6	X			no
FR105	6	X			no
FR2512	24	X			no
FR2513	24	X			no
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no

FR2516 10 X yes yes
FR2517 10 X yes yes
FR2525 10 X yes yes
FR2526 10 X X yes yes
FR2527 10 X X yes yes
FR2528 10 X X yes yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

Tennelec

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and

wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $4.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1381. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <5vj7mj$rn@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: July 14, 1997.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a presidential candidate discuss strategy with his advisor from a 415 MHz radiophone in Air Force 1, or a team of G-men protect him while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies. It's clear from the discussions posted on the Internet that Americans still listen to whatever they want in the privacy of their own homes despite the laws.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a

diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some of the newer models, like the Uniden SportCat and Radio Shack PRO-2046,

allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $2000 Icom R8500 and AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT (a/k/a BC205XLT), BC220XLT, BC230XLT, and Radio Shack PRO-43 and PRO-60 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites.

Looking for value? At $180 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT base is a good value and available at most Wal-Mart stores. The new RELM HS200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $250 street price.

Considered by many as the best scanner ever made, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2006 was replaced by the 1000

channel PRO-2035, which was replaced by the PRO-2042. The portable Radio Shack PRO-64 and PRO-60 are good performers. All five models employ up conversion and are made for Radio Shack by GRE (General Research Electronics).

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are

unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer

than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but

carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (704)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove.net

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. By federal regulation, new scanner models cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/ham-radio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

For information on "virtual downconverter" modifications, see Steve Donnell's article in January 1995 RCMA Scanner Journal or February 1996 Monitoring Times. Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises,
7540 Highway 64 West,
Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (704)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

I don't get any part of this reprint fee.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain selected business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 704-837-9200, www.grove.net) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national

scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. Early issues disappointed experienced scanner hobbyists, but it now has a good column on scanner modifications and has greatly improved its coverage of east coast frequencies since merging with NESN (North East Scanner News). The best scanner frequency lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

The Scanner Club is the name of a bimonthly magazine published by Les Mattson. It is a successor to the Northeast Scanning News and is the official publication of The Scanner Club, a not-for-profit club organization. TSC's main strength is local frequency information, including CTCSS codes. Sample issues are $4 each. A yearly membership costs $24.95 and includes 6 issues. Write to The Scanner Club, PO Box 62, Gibbstown, NJ 08027 for more information.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM for $100. In the 1995 edition, fields are different than PerCon's Spectrum CDROM but the data appears to be of the same vintage. The licensee name and licensee city are used instead of the DBA (doing business as) name and transmitter city which makes query results confusing.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities,

highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Peter Laws administers an electronic mail list read by over 700 hobbyists devoted to scanners and scanning. It's called "scan-l" and for more information, read:

http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/scan-l.txt

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

All Ohio Scanner Club

- 2 -

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about 4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

Some CARMA members participate on the CARMA computer bulletin board, run by Will Sperling. There is a $15 annual fee for the BBS. If you have a modem and terminal or computer, you can reach the CARMA BBS by calling (630)852-1292.

All meetings are held on Saturdays at locations announced in advance. Meetings are usually held at:

- the Destiny Restaurant, on Rand Road, in Des Plaines,

- Philly's in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook,

- The Great Escape, on Irving Park Road, Schiller Park

and at other restaurants.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1382. FOR SALE:AR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: oleg@swusa.com
Message-ID: <874326196.31110@dejanews.com>
Source record: 186111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale:
AOR-8000
- In original package
- With original manual
- In leather case SC-8000
(http://www.demon.co.uk/javiation/ar8kcase.html)
- With Service Manual (schematic diagram, test procedure, e.t.c)
- Unblocked (full coverage including...everything)
- Used less than 5 times (it was bought for some particular task)
- In excellent condition: even screen protection in place.
Price: $550

Where are you ?
Oleg
oleg@swusa.com

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

1383. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <5vj7np$s1@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 3, 1996

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1996 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14 of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197	47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148	47K remove R148 or replace with 220K.

	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner -- How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
==========

Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1384. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <341D29F4.78C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

(Remove xx to email) wrote:
>
> N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Joe Pillera wrote:
> >>
> >> Hello,
> >> shortwave radio. Apparently, it has something to do with ordinary
> >> people who legally use very low power to transmit some sort of voice
> >> (narrow FM?) signal in their local area.
> >>
> >> Do any of you have a list of common frequencies for this? Is it indeed
> >> narrow FM - or is another modulation used?
>
> >Nope! thats not it! What they mean is transmitting on the AM/FM
> >broadcast band at low power levels. This is NOT legal. But its done
> >all the time by a good many people. Check out alt.radio.pirate for the
> >real information on the subject.
>
> Using Ramsey FM-10 under Part 15 is LEGAL to transmit on the FM band.
> Those using MODIFIED FM-10's, amplifiers etc. then would exceed the
> power levels allowed under Part 15 and would then be ILLEGAL to use
> MODFIED/AMPLIFIED/EXTERNAL ANTENNAS etc. to transmit on FM with out am
> FM broadcast license under Part 73.
>
> Don't classify all FM-10 & similar devices as illegal. Many such
> devices are on the market to relay portable CD audio your car stereo
> etc.. These devices when used PROPERLY are LEGAL.
>
> ---
> I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?
> ---
> ** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
> Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
> Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
> Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls
> ---
> "Through the gateway, off the repeater,
> over the backbone, nothing but net!"
> ---
> Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,

> any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
> is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
> US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

Not if you exceed the "field strength" requirments. And with a tunned dipole you will. You can run 1Kw on the FM broadcast band as long as its into a good dummy load. Hook an FM 10 to a beam with a nice 8 Dbd gain. You'll hear it for a mile. The FCC wouldnt like that....73

1385. Re: ## More XPLORER questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Upyours <your@moma.com>
Message-ID: <01bcbfb8$90936e00$LocalHost@christopher>
Source record: 186114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

nreat fringsa beohelu. conne beaka, lolikeata bonxre. debodsa queiite.

hrusha!

Techno <techno55@actcom.co.il> wrote in article
<EFy0GM.32G@nonexistent.com>...
> Hello net friends,
>
> Although it's been highly praised, I've never been too impressed with
> the Optoelectronics XPLORER, mainly because of it's "uncontrolled"
> behavior (i.e. it does what IT wants to do, not what THE USER
> necessarily wants, regarding on what frequencies it locks on...). I
> still like it's fast capture speed and built in DCS/CTCSS decoder, so
> maybe the following questions might help raise my respect for this
> interesting device...:
>
> 1. What are the minimal frequency "steps" it can lock to (e.g. a
> regular scanner can search in 5 or 12.5KHz steps, what is the
> equivalent for the explorer)? Is it possible to miss signals not
> aligned to these "steps"?
>
> 2. In case of multiple strong signals, will pressing the SKIP button
> lock onto the next signal (IMO, this is not possible because
> Optoelectronics specifically state that it will only lock on to the
> STRONGEST signal). But then will LOCKING OUT this strongest signal
> (with one of the 1000 memories provided for this purpose) enable
> reception of the next strongest signal? Can this process be repeated
> for the next stronger signals? Is it easy to UN-LOCK OUT a specific
> frequency (e.g. a stronger frequency we just locked out to lock to the
> next strongest frequency)?
>
> 3. Is it possible to download captured frequencies, DCS, CTCSS, hit
> counts, DTMF decodes etc. to a PC?
>
> 4. How many DTMF decoded digits will it store, and can they be
> downloaded to PC (I want to monitor long-term usage of my DTMF
> controlled autopatch against hackers...:-).
>
> 5. Is it practical to limit response to say 140-150MHz using an
> external filter, or is this too sharp to be practically acheived
> (bandwidth of about 7% of frequency)?
>
> 6. I've heard complaints about input stage damage whith too strong
> signals (i.e. standing too close to a powerful transmitter might burn

> the input stage). Is this / was this true, and have Optoelectronics
> solved the problem by now?
>
> Thank's in advance for any help offered...
>
> ## Mote: when Replying, please email me directly in addition
> to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to
> remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam
> counter measure...:-)
>
> Thanks and best regards,
> techno
>
>

1386. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341d347b.2330043@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186115

wild_dog@bconnex.net (Wild Dog) wrote:

:On 14 Sep 1997 02:41:45 GMT, Tony Heatwole wasted 7 lines babbling
:about TV Frequencies Needed!!:
:
:>I'm intersted in knowing of a place where I can get a listing of the TV
:>voice frequencies listed by channel. Please e-mail me if you have any
:>information requarding this. Thanks a lot for you time and effort in
:>advance!!
:
:I listen to TV stations on my scanner. Pretty cool. First, in case
:you didn't realize, you must set your scanner in WIDE FM mode, same
:as with cellular phones and FM broadcast radio. Anyhow, here are the
:channels.

I listen to TV stations on my scanner also. It can be quite handy when
I am in bed or don't want to carry a TV with me. VHF channel 3 can be
picked up on a standard FM broadcast radio. TV sound is wide band FM
but not as wide as FM broadcast radio. Cellular is narrow FM.

VHF sound channels are as follows;

ch 0 - 51.75
ch 1 - 62.75
ch 2 - 69.75
ch 3 - 91.75
ch 4 - 100.75
ch 5 - 107.75
ch 5A - 143.75
ch 6 - 180.75
ch 7 - 187.75
ch 8 - 194.75
ch 9 - 201.75
ch 10 - 214.75
ch 11 - 221.75

UHF TV is from ch 28 at 532.75 to ch 69 at 819.75

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1387. ## Does anyone have a BC-3000XLT service manual?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EGJtpA.AyK@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186116
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Net friends,

Does anyone have a BC-3000XLT service manual? I've been trying to get
one from Uniden but they're giving me a hard time.
Thank's in advance for any responses.

Note: when Replying, please email me directly in addition
to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to
remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam
counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno

1388. Status of the HR bill on scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: lcw999@ibm.net
Message-ID: <341d3e91.6191366@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 186117

Anyone know just what the status of the House bill on restricting scanners? Or, what's the general opinion on its passing without some rewriting?

Should there be a massive e-mail campaign?

If we just sit around will all scanners be restricted?

Its obvious that the "rank and file" out here will get clobbered if we just sit around. Apparently, there is some "special interest" money already lobbying in D.C....it's obvious that anyone with a casual interest in this as a hobby is going to get "run over"...most media services use the scanner! Could their forces not be brought to play here and help bring this to the forefront?

Leonard...

1389. *** Digital data reception from a scanner ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EGJtpt.B3u@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 186118
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi!

I'm looking for someone with experience in receiving high speed
(10-20KHz) data transmissions with a scanner (for a radio link I'm
designing). It seems that at these high speeds, the scanner I.F causes
too much intersymbol interference (i.e. bits timing is distorted due
to different delays for different bits. For example, a wide bit might
add so much DC component that the following short bit won't even have
a chance to reach the zero crossing and thus won't be detected).
I've tried a wideband receiver (originally used for broadcast band FM
reception) but still without good enough results. The recovered audio
is too low (receiving +/-10KHz on a +/-75KHz discriminator) and it
seems that the bits are distorted too.
Then there's the clock recovery problem for high speed data sampling
and decoding. I still need to find a circuit that _works_.
Can anyone with experience in this area give a helping hand?...
Thank's in advance for any help offered.

Note: when Replying, please email me directly in addition
to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to
remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam
counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno

1390. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341d36ea.2953212@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186119

barryoXX@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady) wrote:

:wild_dog@bconnex.net (Wild Dog) wrote:
:
::On 14 Sep 1997 02:41:45 GMT, Tony Heatwole wasted 7 lines babbling
::about TV Frequencies Needed!!:
::
::>I'm intersted in knowing of a place where I can get a listing of the TV
::>voice frequencies listed by channel. Please e-mail me if you have any
::>information requarding this. Thanks a lot for you time and effort in
::>advance!!
::
::I listen to TV stations on my scanner. Pretty cool. First, in case
::you didn't realize, you must set your scanner in WIDE FM mode, same
::as with cellular phones and FM broadcast radio. Anyhow, here are the
::channels.
:
:I listen to TV stations on my scanner also. It can be quite handy when
:I am in bed or don't want to carry a TV with me. VHF channel 3 can be
:picked up on a standard FM broadcast radio. TV sound is wide band FM
:but not as wide as FM broadcast radio. Cellular is narrow FM.
:
:
:VHF sound channels are as follows;
:
:ch 0 - 51.75
:ch 1 - 62.75
:ch 2 - 69.75
:ch 3 - 91.75
:ch 4 - 100.75
:ch 5 - 107.75
:ch 5A - 143.75
:ch 6 - 180.75
:ch 7 - 187.75
:ch 8 - 194.75
:ch 9 - 201.75
:ch 10 - 214.75
:ch 11 - 221.75
:
:UHF TV is from ch 28 at 532.75 to ch 69 at 819.75

I forgot to mention that some microwave pay TV is in the 2.3 Ghz range.

Barry

==========

To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1391. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rick <RBORGMAN@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <341D510C.F09F6309@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186120
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

like blowing up federal buildings too a great way to stop criminal government

David... wrote:

> Ben W. wrote:
> >
> > > Not really. The goverment is a criminal organization. They are the muscle
> > > of the government. Criminals...
> >
> > What kind of a statement is that? I sure hope you're not LIVING in the
> > United States because you would find alot more people who fit your beliefs
> > in Iran.
> >
> > If you think that poorly of our government, then get the hell out.
>
> What? Have you paid attention to the illegalities of the Clinton
> admin??? Are you aware of the millions the Democratic party has taken
> from the Communist Government of China???
> We the people have the absolute right to control our government, and
> when it gets out of control, we have the right to change it, or abolish
> it and start over. Read the Declaration of Independence.

1392. wxsat rx mysteries/help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: pduck@ozemai.com.au
Message-ID: <5vjastigk1@reader1.reader.news.ozemail.net>
Source record: 186121

Hi and thanks for reading.
Iv'e an older bearcat 100xl handheld scanner and I would like to
know : If I should be able to hear wxsat signals with just a rubber
duckie ant or a 2 mt ground plane because Iv'e been trying both and I
haven't heard diddly!
I know that they are supposed to be hard to rec but I thought I
would at least hear something?
Am listening on 137.62 /.50/.85/.40/.30.
I'm on sth east coast of Australia.
Regards Phill

1393. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rick <RBORGMAN@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <341D5079.40122C3C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186122
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

DAV... wrote:

> David D Wigand wrote:
> >
> > >list of abuses snipped<
> >
> > ANY group, regardless of it's purpose, cannot help but end up with some
> > people involved who may be criminals. I know a LOT of police officers,
> > and the VAST majority of them are fine, upstanding individuals. Sure
> > there are some who are criminals, but to call all of them criminals does
> > a great disservice to the vast majority who are good human beings.
> >
> > Just my .02,
> > Dave
>
> Yes, most police are good people. But, some are very bad indeed.
>

very bad how? Won't let teens go around blowing up mail boxes, won't allow teens to destroy houses with hammers? Enforce curfew laws? Interupts teens driving around shooting people with paint guns?

> What we really need to focus on are how evil some of our Congressmen
> have become. Then we have the various agencies like the EPA that seek
> to control us through whatever means they can come up with.

Whatever means? Like what? Stopping the business owners from dumping toxic waste in open dumps? Trying to keep clean water clean? Never swam in a lake full of feces run off have you?

> Most of the new laws that remove freedom originate within the
> increasingly evil Democratic party.

Which laws are those, by number, by sponser?

> Ed Markey who sponsors HR 1964 is
> a democrat. Guess what the president (is) that passed the awful Brady
> Bill, and the Assualt Weapons ban???? His name is Komrad Klinton and
> he is a Democrat.

1394. FS: Icom R-7000 W/Digital Data Interpreter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Crumpton <Mcrumpton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5vjki1$ob0@mtinsc04.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186123

Ihave for sale a Icom R-7000 receiver with a digital data Interpreter installed.Unit will display control channel info (both forward and reverse) on your PC. It will control the receiver's freq and follow hand-offs, shows power levels and other system info.IT WILL NOT SHOW ESN'S.Will track specific numbers and more.Comes with book and software. This system is intended as a Cellular test tool!!!
$1000 shipped
Thanks, Mike
E-Mail-- mcrumpton@worldnet.att.net

1395. RELM SCANNERS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdkerkes <jdkerkes@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc209$902e9f40$f515440c@hp-customer>
Source record: 186124
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just wondering if anyone uses any of the base model units and if so what
do you think of it's performance?
Thank you for your help.
--
jdkerkes@worldnet.att.net

1396. BC700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Kosloski <scanist@acmenet.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc1f1$d2de62c0$56b698ce@default>
Source record: 186125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

BearCat BC700A mobil/base pre-programmed scanner.
Cellular mod. is done and the radio in in mint condition.
$ 150.00
I also have one exactly as above but not in as great a shape that I will
let go for $ 80.00
Reply to:
Eddie Kosloski
scanist@acmenet.net

1397. Re: New Cordless Phone Frequencies Needed!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <341D68F0.477F@tir.com>
Source record: 186126

JohnnyReb1 wrote:
>
> >I'm interested in knowing all of the new cordless phone channels and
> >frequencies. I'm also interested in the old frequencies as well. Please
> >e-mail me if you have what I'm looking for. Thanks a lot for your time and
> >effort in advance.
> >
> >
>
> 43.72 43.74 43.82 43.84 43.92 43.96 44.12 44.16 44.18
> 44.20 44.32 44.36 44.40 44.46 44.48 46.61 46.63 46.67
> 46.71 46.73 46.77 46.83 46.87 46.93 46.97

Or try linking to this URL:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/genfreq.html

It has some great info.......

1398. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Guy Wheelock <unsgpuaym@libraryservices.com>
Message-ID: <341D812F.C93@libraryservices.com>
Source record: 186127
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

rick wrote:
>
> DAV... wrote:
>
> > David D Wigand wrote:
> > >
> > > >list of abuses snipped<
> > >
> > > ANY group, regardless of it's purpose, cannot help but end up with some
> > > people involved who may be criminals. I know a LOT of police officers,
> > > and the VAST majority of them are fine, upstanding individuals. Sure
> > > there are some who are criminals, but to call all of them criminals does
> > > a great disservice to the vast majority who are good human beings.
> > >
> > > Just my .02,
> > > Dave
> >
> > Yes, most police are good people. But, some are very bad indeed.
> >
>
> very bad how? Won't let teens go around blowing up mail boxes, won't allow
> teens to destroy houses with hammers? Enforce curfew laws? Interupts teens
> driving around shooting people with paint guns?
>

No; going around butt-fucking handcuffed suspects with toilet
plungers...

Those are the type that need to be removed from ANY police force...
along with any cop that knew about it & failed to try to stop it or
report it...

1399. PRO 2010 mod(s)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: strato@mail.club-internet.fr
Message-ID: <5viviq$5tf$1@newsfeeds.grolier.fr>
Source record: 186128

I
i have a pro 2010 and can not find any mod for this scanner.
may be it's not possible ?
can you help me ?

stephane coudert
Paris
France

1400. Digital PCS Phones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: halifax_@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <341cc9cd.2942711@news.atcon.com>
Source record: 186129

What frequencies do the new digital PCS phones operate on????
They use an unencrypted digital signal,correct??
Thanks

.......may be purchasing one.

1401. Re: Citizens band question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <341D6759.879@tir.com>
Source record: 186130

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>
> In article <5va9nk$cst@news.inforamp.net>, samosx@inforamp.net wrote:
>
> > Is there a news group that deals with citizens band ... or is this the
> > one?
> > Thanks
> > Dan
> There is a group at "rec.radio.cb". Apparantly your internet provider does
> not carry that group. You can try "www.dejanews.com" and access it there.
> That is how I get to the many groups my provider does not carry.

Or try asking your ISP to carry that newsgroup. I know if I request
one (that is of cultural signifance of course) my ISP will gladly
oblige.

1402. Re: Digital PCS Phones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: schuster@panix.com
Message-ID: <5vjv15$hgb@panix3.panix.com>
Source record: 186131

In article <341cc9cd.2942711@news.atcon.com>,
halifax_@rocketmail.com <halifax_@rocketmail.com> wrote:
>
>What frequencies do the new digital PCS phones operate on????

In the US, that depends.

There are carriers using true PCS as defined by the FCC, which use 1900 Mz
frequencies and GSM data encoding.

There are also carriers (like AT&T) who are offering "PCS features" on
special TDMA phones that use standard cellular frequencies.

--
Mike Schuster | 70346.1745@CompuServe.COM_nospam
schuster@panix.com_nospam | schuster@pol.net_nospam

1403. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341D7553.4586@juno.com>
Source record: 186132

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> What did I do to fire the first valley??
You got in here and opened your nig mouth and decided to badmouth Cheek in your first sentence. Is that enough?

> No, that is NOT true. Infact, I have found that problem with MANY
> others here on this NG & have become the PRESENTER of truth due to
> that.
There you go denying reality again.... I just love it when you are wrong and won't admit that others MIGHT actually be right. :)

> You can't spell or do grammar worth a shit.
What? Are you a school teacher too?

> Do you mean that people are forgering messages as ME?? Which messages
> are those?
You said it, I didn't. I mentioned that others wer forging messages, not specifically about you (more you you were doing it to others, like Eric Oyen, Bill Cheek Blake bowers and a few others). Next, you'll probably try me.....

1404. FOR SALE IC R 7000 RECEIVER UNBLOCKED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: colteng@oanet.com
Message-ID: <341d7c8f.0@news.oanet.com>
Source record: 186133

FOR SALE ICOM R 7000 30 MHZ TO 2 GIG COVERAGE NO BLOCKS
AM, FM FMW SSB AM 100 CHANNEL MEMORY MINT SHAPE
$ 750 US OR 1000 CND

1405. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <341D6847.7644@tir.com>
Source record: 186134

Tony Heatwole wrote:
>
> I'm intersted in knowing of a place where I can get a listing of the TV
> voice frequencies listed by channel. Please e-mail me if you have any
> information requarding this. Thanks a lot for you time and effort in
> advance!!
>
> X
> Trust No One

Try linking to this URL, it has some great info:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/genfreq.html
--

****
** To Reply, Remove the "55" from my E-Mail address **
** Anti Spam Measures In Effect **
****

1406. Re: Help restoring missing freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <341D6C05.44EA@tir.com>
Source record: 186135

Leo Shields wrote:
>
> Hi,
> I'd like to buy a base type scanner and modify it to rcv the missing
> 800Mhz frequencies. What is a good scanner to buy and where is the
> info to make the mod.
> Also, is it possible to buy a Canadian rig (I assume they are more
> enlightened than in the US and have access to cell freq.) and have it
> pick up those missing freq ??
> I have an old cobra SR925 and it looks like a freq converter is the
> only answer for that unit.
> I'm willing to spend $200 to $250 for this unit.
>
> Appreciate in advance all the help
>
> Leo

Leo:

I can't help you with mods, but if you're willing to spend that kind of money why not simply buy a second scanner that was made to receive cell? Believe it or not, you can find an excellent used scanner that was made before the cell law from the good people on this newsgroup. If you need a reputalbe source for used equipment try:

radioman@localnet.com (Mr. Raymond A. Miller)

Good luck and happy scanning......

1407. Uniden crystals wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: lectrichead@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <341d66ca.8622723@marvin.orgatech.de>
Source record: 186136

I'm looking for the following Uniden crystals. Not Radio Shack
crystals.

155.010
154.400
154.755

I have some miscellaneous crystals I'd trade (mostly Radio Shack
crystals) or I'd buy them.

I could get the crystals from one of the various places which sell
them, but thought I'd try saving myself a little $$$ and see if I
could trade or buy them here.

Marc
lectrichead@bigfoot.com

```
/-----------------------------------------------------------\
|HMI Enterprises - Web page design, upgrades, computer |
|instruction of all types, miscellaneous computer work |
| E-mail: lectrichead@bigfoot.com ICQ #: 1386142 |
|Webpage: http://www.imcnet.net/~lectrich/hmi.htm |
|Monitor Station Registry: KNY2ADC |
|my scanning website - |
| http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/8145 |
|my satellite tracking website- |
| http://www.imcnet.net/~lectrich/space.htm |
|my trail/mountain bike/hiking website - |
| http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/8145/bhindex.htm |
\-----------------------------------------------------------/
```

1408. Re: FS: Icom R-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970915104301.GAA05213@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186137

Subject: Re: FS: Icom R-7000
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>

jwilson3@flash.net wrote:
>>
>> FS: Icom R-7000. This unit is NOT blocked and is in good condition. It

>This radio was NEVER blocked so why advertise that it is UNBLOCKED!

Don't be such a drip Ed... He's not trying to pull as fast one on anyone
as far as I can see.

1409. Re: Does anyone know what scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <341D669D.139E@tir.com>
Source record: 186138

Mike Smeed wrote:
>
> can pick up cordless phones. And the mods to do it...
> Thanks,
> Mike
> --
> ___

If I'm not mistaken, most if not all scanners can pick up cordless phones in the 46-49 Mhz range. Cellular phones are another story.

1410. Re: RS Support Page for the 120-0249 WR-SAME Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <5vj88a$m4r$4@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 186139
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

jamesdthdj@aol.com (JamesDthDJ) wrote:

>Thanks for the web info, I got mine off the faxback, didn't know it was
>available online.

It just came on line in the last few weeks. When the radio came out I
checked the web site, no info. I checked back recently and the site
now has updated this. I think the item was too new the first time,
only on sale for about a week.

>Great radio by the way. Only thing I can see is that some people are
>definitely gonna need an external antenna. I'm only about 30 miles from
>the transmitter, and mine missed a storm warning because it wasn't getting
>enough signal to decode the data.

Yes, this can be a problem. I know of one area in SE OH that has down
right HORRIBLE NWS-NWR coverage. It is none to barely.

Some thing that might help is a FILTERED PRE AMP. This can help even
in urban areas with its filter to reduce interfernce from paging
transmitters. Check: http://www.mts-comm.com/Products/prod09.htm. Cost
is only $70. A full spec sheet is available in PDF format too, for
review. I don't use PDF so can't comment on other than the listed
specs. 16dB Gain, 1dB NF, Filter Q of 200, -30dB at 158.700

Model #4801 NWR Amp

Multi-Technical Services, Inc.
150 Clayton Commerce Center
Clayton, NC 27520
919-553-2995 Phone
919-553-0437 Fax

The unit is meant to be used withe EAS receivers at AM/FM/TV stations,
but will work with any receiver. They also have a WR-SAME to ASCII
converter, but its $400.

Unit will probably help me in my NWR DX. I like to check the VHF band
for DX as a comparison on antenna systems by listening to various
other NWR Sites. It was so good one night I got a NWR site 150+ miles
away in the car!

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **

Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1411. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <DLambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <341D6565.798F@tir.com>
Source record: 186140

Rick Colombo wrote:
>
> LA wrote:
> >
> > Today I broke federal law. I ripped the tag off my matress.
> >
>
> It's not illegal for the consumer to remove these tags.
>
> (Remove "eat_spam." to reply or use the address below)
> (Rick) Colombo@fnal.gov

OH NO! WE'VE BEEN DISCOVERED BY A GOVERNMENT AGENT!! HE HAS .gov IN HIS E-MAIL ADDRESS !!!!!! RUN FOR THE HILLS !!!!!

1412. FS-PRO2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: JM <jmul@iland.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc1f8$cb09d280$7ae7f2cd@jmul>
Source record: 186141

I have for sale a PRO2026 mobile scanner in really nice condition, no mods. Box, manual, etc comes with it. $110 shipped ConUs. Email if interested, thanks.

1413. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Jeppesen <gpnet@agt.net>
Message-ID: <341D8C6C.6104@agt.net>
Source record: 186142

Tony Heatwole wrote:
>
> I'm intersted in knowing of a place where I can get a listing of the TV
> voice frequencies listed by channel. Please e-mail me if you have any
> information requarding this. Thanks a lot for you time and effort in
> advance!!
>
> X
> Trust No One

Hi Tony,

Hope this helps you out. These are all that have been submitted to our
site.

Dale
National Frequency Database
http://www.agt.net/public/gpnet/gpnet.htm

059.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 2)
065.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 3)
071.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 4)
081.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 5)
087.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 6)
179.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 7)
185.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 8)
191.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 9)
197.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 10)
203.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 11)
209.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 12)
215.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 13)
475.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 14)
481.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 15)
487.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 16)
493.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 17)
499.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 18)
505.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 19)
511.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 20)
517.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 21)
523.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 22)
529.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 23)
535.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 24)
541.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 25)
547.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 26)

553.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 27)
559.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 28)
565.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 29)
571.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 30)
577.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 31)
583.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 32)
589.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 33)
595.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 34)
601.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 35)
607.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 36)
613.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 37)
619.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 38)
625.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 39)
631.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 40)
637.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 41)
643.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 42)
649.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 43)
655.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 44)
661.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 45)
667.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 46)
673.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 47)
679.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 48)
685.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 49)
691.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 50)
697.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 51)
703.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 52)
709.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 53)
715.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 54)
721.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 55)
727.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 56)
733.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 57)
739.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 58)
745.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 59)
751.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 60)
757.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 61)
763.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 62)
769.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 63)
775.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 64)
781.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 65)
787.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 66)
793.7500----TELEVIS (TELEVISION CHANNEL 67)

1414. question about REGENCY Z45

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mwolf@musca.unm.edu
Message-ID: <5vk2anmjs1@lynx.unm.edu>
Source record: 186143

Hi,
Does anybody know about any modifications to this scanner(REGENCY Z45).
I opened it up and inside there is microprocessor with REGENCY name on it.
Could anyone provide iformation regarding this chip and modifications.

Thanks in advance.

1415. Re: FS: AOR-5000 Full Coverage Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Indresano <joei@emu.com>
Message-ID: <341D8C16.BADBB9A6@emu.com>
Source record: 186144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Ouch... I just ordered one from Finland for $417.

Mark S. Conway wrote:

> Mint Condition.
> Comes with PL Board installed.
> Comes with DS8000 Descrambler installed
> Full Coverage, including Cellular of course.
> Box & Manual
>
> $1,800.00 Shipped

1416. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970915.094003.625@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 186145
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <341D510C.F09F6309@worldnet.att.net>,
on Mon, 15 Sep 1997 10:15:25 -0500, rick <RBORGMAN@worldnet.att.com> writes:

>
> < mindless drivel with no radio-related content >
>

...proving that he, too, is a small mind with and overblown ego that is
incapable of exercising the common courtesy of keeping his postings to
the newsgroups where they are relevant.

1417. Re: wxsat rx mysteries/help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "L.Mortensen" <labmo@post3.tele.dk>
Message-ID: <341D9B27.7FDF@post3.tele.dk>
Source record: 186146

Hi Philip.
Concentrate on 137.62 (NOAA14) and 137.85 (MET3-5) and get urself a tracking program, in order to find out when those "birds" are passing ur area....then u'll see (or rather:hear hi)
P.S. You may not be able to hear them on a rubber duckie, in which case u should get urself a better antenna (though u CAN definately hear them on a simple dipole)

Good luck my friend

vy 73 de OZ4ui / Lars M.

*** *** ***

Phillip Duck wrote:
>
> Hi and thanks for reading.
> Iv'e an older bearcat 100xl handheld scanner and I would like to
> know : If I should be able to hear wxsat signals with just a rubber
> duckie ant or a 2 mt ground plane because Iv'e been trying both and I
> haven't heard diddly!
> I know that they are supposed to be hard to rec but I thought I
> would at least hear something?
> Am listening on 137.62 /.50/.85/.40/.30.
> I'm on sth east coast of Australia.
> Regards Phill

1418. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <5vj7nj$s0@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 186147
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 1997 - NORTHERN ILLINOIS
last updated August 10, 1997

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

SEPTEMBER 1997

Sep 7 (Sun), Joliet, IL - Bolingbrook ARS. Inwood Recreation Center, 3000 W. Jefferson St (Rt. 52), Joliet, IL Admission $5. Outdoor flea market on paved parking lot. Indoor reserved tables. VE testing 9 AM - noon, bring original license and photocopy. Talk in on 147.33 (+600 kHz), 224.54, and 146.82 (-600 kHz). Table Info: Ed Weinstein, WD9AYR, (630)759-7005.

Sep 27 and 28 (Sat & Sun), Grayslake, IL - CFMC's Radio Expo 97. Lake County Fairgrounds, Rts 45 & 120. Admission $6. Talk in 146.76 MHz. Info (708)457-0966. (This is probably the biggest and best hamfest in N. Illinois - AJ9S).

NOVEMBER 1997

Nov 15 & 16 (Sat & Sun), Fort Wayne, IN - Fort Wayne Hamfest. Indiana ARRL State Convention. Allen County War Memorial Coliseum Expo Center. Sponsored by the Allen County AR Technical Society. Admission $5. Parking $2. www.pipeline.com/~dagagnon/. Info: (219)484-1314.

NOTES - Computer Central and Giant Computer shows

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 708-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm.

Giant Computer shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $5. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1419. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <341c3bf6.4312854@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 186148

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>
>In <341a4aee.5018434@news.cyberhighway.net>
>glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) writes:
>>
>>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>>
>>
>>> what does this have to do with scanning?
>>>
>>> bill conduit
>>> etc.
>>
>>What does this have to do with scanning?
>>
>>--
> That's what I said.
>
> are you an official echo?
>
> or?
>
> bill

What does this have to do with scanning?

P.S. Learn to write. It's probably too late to learn to think.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1420. Re: FS: AOR-5000 Full Coverage Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mfdockery@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970915211300.RAA05933@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186149

Maybe it was a AOR-8000 not a 5000 that you ordered from Finland?

1421. Re: Here are CIA Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970915212501.RAA12770@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186150

In article <3419DE0C.F52@Eerols.com>, pausto <pausto@Eerols.com> writes:

>> That is gluteus maximus....

>Ellipsis? Three dots, not four.

Three in the middle of a sentence; four at the end.

1422. Re: FS: Icom R-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970915213001.RAA07145@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186151

In article <341C3145.28C7@accesscom.com>, Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
writes:

>This radio was NEVER blocked so why advertise that it is UNBLOCKED!

I though it was marketed for a short while without the 800 Mhz band at
all, no?

1423. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mommamia <momma@ya.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc218$65c5d980$1f41accf@christopher>
Source record: 186152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

11:32 AM 9/15/97> It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> the listening.

And you didn't have the brains to have that person prosecuted? Do you have any unique thoughts of your own? Can you say "Big brother is watching you"? Can you say "Lets give the government all our rights that we have"? Can you say "turn America socialist"? Can you say "Yes! I do not need any freedom or liberty anymore! Take control of my life, Big Brother! I do not need any freedoms! I do not mind being stopped every 100 miles by the police for no reason! I do not mind stopping a check-points! I do not mind having the feds come into my home whenever they want! I love you Big Brother!"

>
> I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> the way it came out of the box!

Thank God people like you are not judges, pretty soon you'll be shooting people for jay-walking and without due process, like trials and a jury of their peers. That other respondent was right! you are like Hitler! Sic Heil! My Fuhror! Can you say "skin head"? Can you say "Fascism"?

And that is what this country will be like, after fascists pigs like you destroy all our civil liberties. Just because one individual chose to break the law (of which you were spineless to do anything) does not mean that the rest of us should pay the price by allowing a facist law like that pass. It is judgmental jack booted thugs like you that want to turn Americans into subservient slobs incapable of making intelligent thought and rounded up like livestock. Heil to the New World Order! Sic Heil! Heil the Forth Reich!

As soon as they take away your scanners, they will be able to transmit freely to all the Jack Booted Thugs their raids to take all the guns away. No one will ever know about it. But don't forget that they do have the capability to use tech which is encrypted anyway. Or that the plans are made before they even leave the station. They will be able to take you away because you are so subversive and patriotic. And do not expect even the news will pick it up because they are so liberal, they support disarmament. Then the news stations will only receive information (because they will have to turn in their scanners, too, unless they are exempt? ohhhh, real partial laws there, folks, what ever happened to all people being equal?)

that the government will want them to report. Call it "The States Station"
and all newspapers "Pravda".

>
> I will call and offer support for HR2369!
>

You do that, you spineless worm. Why don't you tell your congress member
that you are in support in eliminating the Bill of Rights, too. Forget "We
the People". Forget George Washington. Forget James Madison. Forget Ben
Franklin. America- the land of the... stupid!

Ike <ike@one.net> wrote in article <34188354.2833@one.net>...
>
> Greg Dean wrote:
> >
> > kb8uyz.com wrote:
> >
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc
> >
> > Stop HR2369!
> > ------------
> > A new proposed bill has the potential to eliminate the scanner
industry,
> > as well as greatly affect other radio services, such as amateur radio,
> > MARS, CAP, news media, and radio hobbyists activities.
> >
> > ** Contact your local Congressional representative and voice your
> > opposition to HR2369. If passed, this bill will make it illegal to
> > sell, trade, manufacture or modify any radio receiver with certain
> > restricted frequencies. Wide band amateur radio transceivers will
> > become illegal, as well as general hobbyists who monitor fire,
> > ambulance, and police radio services.
> >
> > It will also be a crime to own such radio receivers and to listen to
> > these frequencies. Violators will be subject to up to a $500,000 fine
> > and up to 5 years in prison, or both!
> >
> > For more information, please visit our web site at http://kb8uyz.com,
> > where, under the Radio News section, we have the complete bill posted.
> > Also check out the Grove, Monitoring Times web site at
> > http://www.grove.net/mt2369fea.html A complete background to the bill
> > is listed.
> >
> > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill. We will be posting
a
> > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
> >
> > 73's
> > Dan KB8UYZ
> > dan@kb8uyz.com

>
> It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> the listening.
>
> I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> the way it came out of the box!
>
> I will call and offer support for HR2369!
>

Ike <ike@one.net> wrote in article <34188354.2833@one.net>...
>
> Greg Dean wrote:
> >
> > kb8uyz.com wrote:
> >
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc
> >
> > Stop HR2369!
> > ------------
> > A new proposed bill has the potential to eliminate the scanner
industry,
> > as well as greatly affect other radio services, such as amateur radio,
> > MARS, CAP, news media, and radio hobbyists activities.
> >
> > ** Contact your local Congressional representative and voice your
> > opposition to HR2369. If passed, this bill will make it illegal to
> > sell, trade, manufacture or modify any radio receiver with certain
> > restricted frequencies. Wide band amateur radio transceivers will
> > become illegal, as well as general hobbyists who monitor fire,
> > ambulance, and police radio services.
> >
> > It will also be a crime to own such radio receivers and to listen to
> > these frequencies. Violators will be subject to up to a $500,000 fine
> > and up to 5 years in prison, or both!
> >
> > For more information, please visit our web site at http://kb8uyz.com,
> > where, under the Radio News section, we have the complete bill posted.
> > Also check out the Grove, Monitoring Times web site at
> > http://www.grove.net/mt2369fea.html A complete background to the bill
> > is listed.
> >
> > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill. We will be posting
a
> > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
> >
> > 73's

> > Dan KB8UYZ
> > dan@kb8uyz.com
>
> It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> the listening.
>
> I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> the way it came out of the box!
>
> I will call and offer support for HR2369!
>

1424. The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vk9t3$f1j@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186153

this web site:

breaking news

the idiot of mackeral has been promoted
and will now be found in the following
site:

http://edge.edge.net/~lynns/stupid/stupid.html

He is an Einstein amoungst the group he now
has complete power over.....

let's here it for the IDIOT OF MACKERAL...the
mackey....

and to think we helped him get this edifice of
justifiable edification....

we are proud of you, here! here!

bill conduit
etc.

1425. Re: FS: AOR-5000 Full Coverage Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5vkdie$skq@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Joe Indresano <joei@emu.com> wrote:
>Ouch... I just ordered one from Finland for $417.
>
>Mark S. Conway wrote:
>
>> Mint Condition.
>> Comes with PL Board installed.
>> Comes with DS8000 Descrambler installed
>> Full Coverage, including Cellular of course.
>> Box & Manual
>>
>> $1,800.00 Shipped
>
>
>

Joe,

Are you sure you ordered the 5000? I bet you are refering the AR-8000.

Jon

1426. Re: FS: AOR-5000 Full Coverage Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970915220901.SAA10135@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186155

Subject: Re: FS: AOR-5000 Full Coverage Receiver
From: Joe Indresano <joei@emu.com>
Date: Mon, 15 Sep 1997 12:27:18 -0700
Message-id: <341D8C16.BADBB9A6@emu.com>

>Ouch... I just ordered one from Finland for $417.

While you're at it, order a brain since you obviously have confused a stunningly wonderful base unit with an overpriced handheld. The only way to make the AOR8000 remotely useful is to get an interface and software, for an extra $200 or so. If you don't need or want computer control, you are better off with the Yupi MVT7100 for a hundred bucks less (it's a better performing receiver for the money). I know, I've own them both.

1427. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ike <ike@one.net>
Message-ID: <341DD4DF.5810@one.net>
Source record: 186156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

mommamia wrote:
>
(snip ... snip)

It is amazing ... people get all ruffled when
the air waves are in jeopardy ... but were is the
same vigor in stopping BABBA from taking our
hard earned $$$ ... if we limit the $$$ going
to the federal government ... then they would
not have the funds to waste on HR2369 ...

It the same way people fuss on a $2 charge
on their $20 ATM transaction ... but they will
gladly help pay BABBA's largest tax increase
in the history of our civilization ...

When HR2369 passes, I won't feel a thing ... but
I do feel my paycheck getting smaller each time the
government decides to do something ... and in most
cases it's to fuel some socialist program or transfer
more money to a bunch of lazy asses ...

Go figure ...

1428. Testing news server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <simmont@doitnow.com>
Message-ID: <341DB72B.5417@doitnow.com>
Source record: 186157

I haven't seen any messages for about a week now, and of course my ISP says that they aren't experiencing any problems on thier end. Thought id try a test post and see if that works.....

--
CHARLES SIMMONT - ICP509 / DISPATCHER
INCIDENT COMMAND PAGE OF ARIZONA
ARIZONA'S PUBLIC SAFTEY INCIDENT NOTIFICATION SYSTEM
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp

OTHER INCIDENT NOTIFICATION SYSTEMS:
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp/other.html

1429. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Bossard <rbossard@nauticom.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc22b$975f03a0$e327abcc@fsd2.slip.lm.com>
Source record: 186158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Ike <ike@one.net> wrote in article <34188354.2833@one.net>...
> It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> the listening.

Then you should have filed a legal complaint in both criminal, and civil courts.

>
> I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> the way it came out of the box!

Hate to burst your bubble of ignorance, but its been illegal to make use of information heard in a private radio conversation since 1936. The laws are fine the way they are now. But I doubt if you know much about radio or related laws...

>
> I will call and offer support for HR2369!

Ahhh, ignorance must be bliss.

Rick

1430. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bratcher@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3428c99a.93266469@NETNEWS.WORLDNET.ATT.NET>
Source record: 186159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 14 Sep 1997 17:56:11 -0400, N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>Joe Pillera wrote:
>>
>> Hello,
>> I've heard mention of "micro-broadcasting" while listening to my
>> shortwave radio. Apparently, it has something to do with ordinary
>> people who legally use very low power to transmit some sort of voice
>> (narrow FM?) signal in their local area.
>>
>> Do any of you have a list of common frequencies for this? Is it indeed
>> narrow FM - or is another modulation used?
>>
>> Just curious. Thanks in advance.
>>
>> Joe

Try 6955 khz plus or minus about 20 khz most evenings.
Seems weekends are best for catching pirates.
As for local FM look all over the dial during the evening or night.
Most FM pirates are low power and aren't heard as far as legal
broadcasters.

>Nope! thats not it! What they mean is transmitting on the AM/FM
>broadcast band at low power levels. This is NOT legal. But its done
>all the time by a good many people. Check out alt.radio.pirate for the
>real information on the subject.

Thata a good newwgroup for the pirate scene...

bratcher@worldnet.att.net
Record collector, 8mm, super 8, 16 and 35mm Film collector.
Looking for prerecorded reel to reel tape albums.
I like old radio's too.
Collins, Hallicrafters, National & Hammarlund are my Favorites!

1431. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341DC5D6.5163@usa.net>
Source record: 186160

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> You got in here and opened your nig mouth and decided to badmouth Cheek
> in your first sentence. Is that enough?

NO it is not enough!! I simply stated the TRUTH & FACTS. Can you
find ANYTHING I said that was NOT factual (& have proof to back it up)?

> There you go denying reality again.... I just love it when you are wrong
> and won't admit that others MIGHT actually be right. :)

Then what did I say that was not true or correct?

> What? Are you a school teacher too?

Compared to YOU, yes I am.

> > Do you mean that people are forgering messages as ME?? Which messages
> > are those?
> You said it, I didn't.

No, I've simply asked you to clarify your vague statement.

> I mentioned that others wer forging messages, not
> specifically about you (more you you were doing it to others, like Eric
> Oyen, Bill Cheek Blake bowers and a few others).

Well you are still dancing around in bullshit & not saying anything
coherant. But, I have NEVER forged messages so it really makes no
difference.

1432. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <341DDCAB.4C56@hotmail.com>
Source record: 186161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tom Currie wrote:
>
> M@s@ wrote:
> >
> > <snipped drivel>
>
> Who told this bean brain how to access a newsgroup?

Tom,
Most of us have filtered him out.

Steve S

1433. What happened to TV channel 37?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <341DDF21.55EE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 186162

Why is this blocked? Is this the one the US Gov't uses "secretly" for
various LMRs?

Steve S

Wild Dog wrote:
>
> On 15 Sep 1997 09:55:54 GMT, F. Barry Mulligan wasted 52 lines
> babbling about Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!:
>
> > No transmitters
> > are allowed on 608-614 MHz (Ch 37).
>
> I mentioned that to him. Makes me wonder though... if they deleted
> channel 1 for the same reason, why is channel 37 still on the dial?
>
> **********
> Sean & Mona: a great tradition since 1996
> Be nice to your kids. They'll choose your nursing home.
> wild_dog@bconnex.net Barrie, Ontario Canada.
> **********
>
> [Posted with Agent 1.5. For info, email agent-info@forteinc.com.]

1434. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@127.0.0.1" <ma5ter@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <341DECD7.41B7@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 186163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

```
Pooch wrote:
>
> ma5ter@127.0.0.1 wrote:
> >
> > Pooch wrote:
> > >
> > > Ike wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Brad Cuppy wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Ike wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > It's about time ... I had the experience of having a phone
> > > > > > conversation intercepted by using a modified radio receiver
> > > > > > and the info was used against me by the individual that did
> > > > > > the listening.
> > > > >
> > > > > You should have not discussed what was used against you.
> > > > > That's your problem. Should have used a landline phone.
> > > > >
> > > > > > I think five years is not enough ... the death penalty
> > > > > > would be more like it ... just leave the radio receiver
> > > > > > the way it came out of the box!
> > > > >
> > > > > I'll do that when you, Congress, or CTIA buys the radio for
> > > > > me. If I pay for it myself, then I have every right to
> > > > > modify it to perform the way I want it to.
> > > > >
> > > > > > I will call and offer support for HR2369!
> > > > >
> > > > > Seig Heil ! Citizenry control is #1. Heil Hitler !
> > > >
> > > > The only reason you are modifying the electronics
> > > > is to do something that is not legal ... it's the
> > > > same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
> > > > so when you transmit your signal, it causes
> > > > interference with nearby radios & tv's. You
> > > > do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
> > > > the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
> > > > that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
> > > > in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
> > > > big house ... why don't you spend your time
```

> > > > doing something constructive?
> > > Ya gotta understand Ike, this is a bunch of people that have no life. So
> > > they sit around trying to creep into someone else's. Makes them feel
> > > like real men.
> >
> > They never said they were cops.
> Yeah, kinda like you huh CS, so ya found your nuts long enough to tell
> us what you do for a living?

Look, shithead, I am not in your shithole town and I don't have to tell
you a fucking thing. What I do for a living, or anything else I do is
none of your damn business.

1435. BC860 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Rothdeutsch <matt@pentrel.com>
Message-ID: <341DE6DE.6216@pentrel.com>
Source record: 186164

I'd like to know if
anyone knows of any
good mods for the
Bearcat 860XLT.

If Anyone knows of any good
ones, please let me know.

1436. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: friedhelm.mehnert@gmx.net
Message-ID: <EGJpIF.390@whitespace.de>
Source record: 186165

Mark Taylor (N3TEL@EROLS.COM) wrote:
: they are all on .750 MHz frequencies, i.e. XXX.750 MHz
: the XXX can be replaced with:
: 59,65,71,81,87,179,185,191,197,203,209,and 215 for channels 2-13
: 475,481,487,etc. increasing 6 MHz for each UHF channel.
:
: hope this is clearly explained.

If you live in a country where stereo- or multi-language-sound is
used, the second chanel is at XXX.992 MHz.

Regards,
Friedhelm

--
Microsoft is NOT the answer. Microsoft is the Question.
The answer is: "NO!"

Friedhelm Mehnert, Berliner Allee 42, 22850 Norderstedt, Germany
phone + fax: +49-40-5236562 email: friedhelm.mehnert@gmx.net

1437. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@127.0.0.1" <ma5ter@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <341DEBDD.3A44@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 186166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

T.L. Bryant wrote:
>
> >For more info on personal privacy, check http://www.2600.com and do a
> >www search for PGP (they even have a free product from PGP that allows
> >you to have voice conversations on the net using strong encription).
> >
> >There are several bills being proposed that will make it illegal to keep
> >your communications private, perhaps you should fight against this
> >effort so that you will be allowed to have the privacy you seek.
>
> It seems like a double standard at work. The government wants to protect your
> privacy for you, so don't you dare try to do it yourself. Maybe that's why good
> encription software is so hard to find. (with the exception of PGP.)
>
> Tom - N8ECW

Not too hard to find, just hard to find it as secure AND as cheap as
PGP.

I prefer to send PGP messages using stenography to mask them from
uninformed eyes.

1438. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341E0469.279E@juno.com>
Source record: 186167

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > You got in here and opened your nig mouth and decided to badmouth Cheek
> > in your first sentence. Is that enough?
>
> NO it is not enough!! I simply stated the TRUTH & FACTS. Can you
> find ANYTHING I said that was NOT factual (& have proof to back it up)?
John
You stated ASSERTIONS that you PURPORTED to be facts (which I dispute
because there are no postings from Bill Cheek to bolster your claims)

>
>
> > There you go denying reality again.... I just love it when you are wrong
> > and won't admit that others MIGHT actually be right. :)
>
> Then what did I say that was not true or correct?
That Bill made ACTUAL Racist commentary or remarks of a defamatory
nature to one specific religion or race. I compiled a word search and
found this: The only mention of any racist comments in Bills messages
were quoted replies from someone else (or clever re-writes on the part
of a few skilled forgers).

>
> > What? Are you a school teacher too?
>
> Compared to YOU, yes I am.
You don't even know who I am. How can you compare yourself against the
UNKNOWN????

In fact, nobody in here really knows who I am except that I live in
Phoenix Arizona and have run on CB radio and possibly even own a
scanner. I fit the description of probably about 25% of the city
population here as far as activities go. I am about as average as
someone can get.. Like I said, you don't know me at all.

>
>
> > > Do you mean that people are forgering messages as ME?? Which messages
> > > are those?
> > You said it, I didn't.
>
> No, I've simply asked you to clarify your vague statement.
It wasn't that vague, John. You reacted to it like someone with a guilty

conscience would. You gave yourself away (like all criminals and
no-gooders do because they like to claim or brag about it).

>
> > I mentioned that others wer forging messages, not
> > specifically about you (more you you were doing it to others, like Eric
> > Oyen, Bill Cheek Blake bowers and a few others).
>
> Well you are still dancing around in bullshit & not saying anything
> coherant. But, I have NEVER forged messages so it really makes no
> difference.
You haven't??? What about a few that appeared to come from Bill Cheek,
but had the path right back to your ISP (USA.NET)? That happened about 5
months ago. Care to elaborate on the 6 messages that appeared that way?

1439. Great Info on the BC895XLT TrunkTracker!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: wiggie@kansas.net
Message-ID: <341DF1E3.56D4@kansas.net>
Source record: 186168

I know that you might have pointed you browser in the direction of Rich Wells's homepage...but if you haven't you should. You can find his report on the BC895xlt at http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/IMP895.HTM . Rich has done a very good job of producing quality reports on various scanners. The kind of reports that you usually have to pay for! I dont have the collection of scanners that Rich does...but if I happen to pick up a scanner that he has done a review on, he is very much on the money with everything.
Even if you don't have a trunked system in your area, it appears that this scanner would be a very good setup despite that. Uniden has definately improved on their scanners. I have a BC220/230xlt that I really enjoy for decent battery life, reception, and speed. I do realize that it is not a very good scanner for bigger metropolitan areas because of the intermod that is produced in the scanners dual conversion circuitry. I have played with a friend's BC9000xlt and really liked it...too bad it doesn't hook up with Probe!!! It is fast and the alphanumerics is a blessing.
Take the time to read Rich's review. It will tell you what the BC895xlt can or cannot do. I just wish that Uniden had adopted an extended CI-V protocol that the OS456/OS535 uses. Then Probe, ScanCat, ScanStar, RadioManager, etc would all work with it. Why try to reinvent EVERYTHING all at once, then find that you are behind schedule and slap everything together in what appears to be a last minute effort. Have a manual that doesn't leave the buyer asking questions! Have the ability to use time-tested software that most dedicated scannists already has on hand! I know that not all software wouldnt be able to manage TT and
download freqs at the present time...but the core code is ready to go!
OK...gonna step off my soapbox. Great job once again Rich...I certainly appreciate you taking your time to bring us very in-depth information!

Wiggie

1440. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341DC6A9.2A3@usa.net>
Source record: 186169

haahahahahahahahahahha hehehehehehehehe

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:

> myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
much BULLSHIT from "BAYCITVIDGRP" deleted

> What does this have to do with scanning?
>
> P.S. Learn to write. It's probably too late to learn to think.

1441. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341E1D40.5594@usa.net>
Source record: 186170

Another bogus post from Bill Cheek.

If I start trouble, then HOW COME BILL CHEEK is always THE COMMON
THREAD in all the FLAMES on this NG?

Billy Thunder wrote:
> What about this Mackie? He does more
> harm than the other guys. I did some searching and
> cant find anywhere that Mackie is friendly and
> helpfull to anybody. He always starts trouble.
> Do you like him?

1442. Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio@copland.udel.edu
Message-ID: <5vkk4n$9hq$1@copland.udel.edu>
Source record: 186171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I had a debate with someone about scanning cellular frequencies.

It is my impression that it has been illegal to import or manufacture for sale to the general public, since about 1994, scanners that covered the cellular frequencies. It has been legal for companies to sell inventories of such left over since before that date.

Is this correct? What is the band of frequencies involved, and how many channels are contained?

Thanks!

Bob

1443. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341E2040.591@usa.net>
Source record: 186172

Another bogus post from Bill Cheek. This can
be seen (in netscape) by clicking
on "options", then "show headers" then "all".
Notice the routers & headers are the same as Bill Cheek"s
postings.

Billy Thunder wrote:

> Who or what is this Mackey character? He seems
> to be a vermin after observing his posts for a week.

1444. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341E1F7B.547A@usa.net>
Source record: 186173

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> since when do i stroke the Cheek's guy's boner.

You said it, not us, so it must be true.

> the Cheeks guy has style, has gutz and he don't
> take no shit from any of you....

yea right. he had one of the longest thread in Internet history
named after him , called "Bill Cheeks is an asshole".

You are an idiot.

1445. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341E1E07.7A25@usa.net>
Source record: 186174

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> a bit past tepid huh glen g

No, you just don't like it when someone calls you
on YOUR BULLSHIT.

> i guess you want things a certain way
> and refuse to allow creative people
> to have their unique way of say.

See above response.

1446. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341E2335.8059F99B@juno.com>
Source record: 186175

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Another bogus post from Bill Cheek.
>
> If I start trouble, then HOW COME BILL CHEEK is always THE COMMON
> THREAD in all the FLAMES on this NG?

Every time Cheeks' name comes up it is followed by 30-50 posts a week by you.

The last 150 posts made by you on RRS break down this way.

146 - profanity, tantrums and flames pointed at Cheek
2 - defending why you smoked a power supply and repeater
2 - something to do with scanners, barely

Please tell us again how everyone else is a troublemaker and you are a saint and RRS's salvation using the above.

While you are at it, maybe you can also explain why so many ask you to quit but nobody defends your actions and thanks you for staying.

Why does everyone hate you?

1447. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anthony Snow <tsnow@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <341D8394.314C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 186176
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Although you could probably say that reading his book would be pointless, read it nonetheless. The degree to which he attempts to dispel that myth and the sincerity he displays in doing so is uncanny. Whether or not he is a racist is irrelevant if he never allows those views to surface which other than those fictional tapes, there is no evidence to support. Furthermore, under CA law the crime perjury can only be committed with material relevant to the case in which it is presented. If asked about your age on the stand in a murder case and you lie, it's NOT perjury. If asked about where you were on the night of a murder and you lie, it is perjury. You need to be the judge of whether or not Fuhrman committed perjury. I think that even in light of the so-called conspiracy theory of which no evidence supported nor was even presented, that Fuhrman's denial of using the epithet was NOT perjury.

1448. Re: ## Data slicer for Discriminator output and clock recovery circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Norton <nick@swint.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <e$FC3BA7NJH0EwgA@swint.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 186177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
Have you looked at the discriminator output with a scope?
It's just a thought that you may have an inadequate impedance
match for this kind of baud rate.
Over or under shoot etc.
--
Nick

Museum of 70's 80's Sports & Leisurewear = http://www.swint.demon.co.uk/
```

1449. Re: FS: CT-17 SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@mail.telis.org>
Message-ID: <341DDF32.438C@mail.telis.org>
Source record: 186178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Ron M wrote:
>
> For Sale CT-17 Communication interface (CI-V)Level Converter
> In box with cables and manual, excellent condition used very little.
> $75.00
> Ron M

1450. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341E0126.5605BFDA@juno.com>
Source record: 186179

Billy Thunder wrote:
>
> Who or what is this Mackey character? He seems
> to be a vermin after observing his posts for a week.

So MR. Billy Thunder, just what the hell is your beef with Vermin????

I have never seen vermin flame you yet you call them dirty names.

I have never seen vermin lie, but you compare them with John Mackey.

So tell us Mr. Thunder, what has any vermin EVER done to you?

You sir owe vermin around the world an apology!!!

Sheesh!!!!

1451. Great Info on the BC895XLT TrunkTracker!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: wiggie@kansas.net
Message-ID: <341DEF3A.700D@kansas.net>
Source record: 186180

I know that you might have pointed you browser in the direction of Rich Wells's homepage...but if you haven't you should. You can find his report on the BC895xlt at http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/IMP895.HTM . Rich has done a very good job of producing quality reports on various scanners. The kind of reports that you usually have to pay for! I dont have the collection of scanners that Rich does...but if I happen to pick up a scanner that he has done a review on, he is very much on the money with everything.
Even if you don't have a trunked system in your area, it appears that this scanner would be a very good setup despite that. Uniden has definately improved on their scanners. I have a BC220/230xlt that I really enjoy for decent battery life, reception, and speed. I do realize that it is not a very good scanner for bigger metropolitan areas because of the intermod that is produced in the scanners dual conversion circuitry. I have played with a friend's BC9000xlt and really liked it...too bad it doesn't hook up with Probe!!! It is fast and the alphanumerics is a blessing.
Take the time to read Rich's review. It will tell you what the BC895xlt can or cannot do. I just wish that Uniden had adopted an extended CI-V protocol that the OS456/OS535 uses. Then Probe, ScanCat, ScanStar, RadioManager, etc would all work with it. Why try to reinvent EVERYTHING all at once, then find that you are behind schedule and slap everything together in what appears to be a last minute effort. Have a manual that doesn't leave the buyer asking questions! Have the ability to use time-tested software that most dedicated scannist already possess! I know that not all software wouldnt be able to manage TT and download freqs at the present time...but the core code is ready to go!
OK...gonna step off my soapbox. Great job once again Rich...I certainly appreciate you taking your time to bring us very in-depth information!

Wiggie

1452. ICOM R10 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: David F <david@avsc.com>
Message-ID: <341D2F75.71F@avsc.com>
Source record: 186181

Is there any that can read a radio,
edit information,
then download back to radio.
Any help would be great!

1453. Re: BC 9000 XLT Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: stuartmx@idirect.com
Message-ID: <341c8d96.3250245@news2.idirect.com>
Source record: 186182

On 14 Sep 1997 03:24:01 GMT, "Anthony Love"
<tony.love@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Just recently received my BC9000XLT, great unit. However, I am unable to
>scan cellular
>frequencies. Does anyone have information on modifying the unit to open
>cellular bandwiths?
>
>Any advice is appreciated

Search between 360 & 385 MHz. in 5KHz steps in NFM mode and you will
hear plenty of cell activity. Also try between 1000 & 1300 MHz.

(If replying by E-Mail, remove the "x" from my address)

Listen and Ye Shall Hear!

1454. Re: Apartment Scanner Antenna Ideas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: inkaddict@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970915001700.UAA10799@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186231

I use a discone mounted on a camera tripod. I also live on the second floor of a big complex and am not allowed to leave one mounted all the time. With this setup I can pu it outside when I want or I can use it indoors(which I do most of the time with no problems)I do not use a ground and have not had any trouble since I started about a year ago.

1455. WTB: BC-9000XLT in VG Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobzito@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <34328281.249471565@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 186232

WTB: BC-9000XLT in VG Condition. Please Email with the condition,
options (like CTCSS) and asking price.
Thanks!
Bob

<bobzito@wolfenet.com>(Bob Zito) KI7EV MDing WA. State

1456. FS: AOR-5000 Full Coverage Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark S. Conway" <mconway@nantucket.net>
Message-ID: <5vi1niskb0@204.249.164.185>
Source record: 186233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Mint Condition.
Comes with PL Board installed.
Comes with DS8000 Descrambler installed
Full Coverage, including Cellular of course.
Box & Manual

$1,800.00 Shipped

1457. Re: Anyone Know Bill Cheeks Current E-mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <341d86bb.4859780@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 186234

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>
>In <341a4aee.5018434@news.cyberhighway.net>
>glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) writes:
>>
>>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>>
>>
>>> what does this have to do with scanning?
>>>
>>> bill conduit
>>> etc.
>>
>>What does this have to do with scanning?
>>
>>--
> That's what I said.
>
> are you an official echo?
>
> or?
>
> bill

What does this have to do with scanning?

P.S. Learn to write. It's probably too late to learn to think.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1458. FS: AOR-5000 Full Coverage Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark S. Conway" <mconway@nantucket.net>
Message-ID: <5vi1p6sjn0@204.249.164.185>
Source record: 186235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Mint Condition.
Comes with PL Board installed.
Comes with DS8000 Descrambler installed
Full Coverage, including Cellular of course.
Box & Manual

$1,800.00 Shipped

1459. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vi2le$ge4$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186236

In article <19970914172100.NAA10943@ladder02.news.aol.com>, thecbguy@aol.com (The CB Guy) wrote:
>>What's to understand? "Interception" with or without divulgence will
>>be illegal. Period. No more monitoring.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>Interception without divulgence is nothing. If nobody knows that you hear
>it, it doesn't exsist.

Yes, you are right. Be sure to explain this to your congressperson,
who has been totally blinded to the laws of nature and common sense by
huge campaign contributions from the cellular industry.

>I pose to you this question........
>If a person interecepts a signal, but nobody knows about it......how would
>we know?

Well, I suppose we'll still have the idiots who brag about doing
it.... :-)

1460. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <341d3c4f.4401351@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 186237

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>One, who, knows, John wrote:
>
>> Poor John,
>> was given a bad hand in the card game of life,
>
>So then what's YOUR excuse???
>
>
>You can't even identify yourself, you are not a man, you are a chicken.

Oh, give it a rest, John.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1461. Re: My Congressman's reply to HR2369 - Banning Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341cb4aa.1550972@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186238

Ron Sharp wrote:

:In article , nf2g@ggw.org says...
:>
:>Digital phones are not necessarily encrypted. They are two different
:>things.
:
:If they were encrypted, then how would they manage the keys?
:
:You'd have to have some sort of session key transmitted to both ends
:from the central system (wrapped in a master system key).
:
:Betcha they just use something as strong as ROT-13. :)
:
:Ron Sharp.

V oryvrir gung gur rapelcgvba flfgrz hfrq jvgu TFZ qvtvgny cubarf vf
dhvgr fgebat. Znlor gur xrl sbe rnpu cubar vf fgberq ng gur rkpunatr.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1462. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8blk@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970915040901.AAA22846@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186239

In article <341C4193.97BA4A52@rust.net>, Joe Pillera <pillera@rust.net> writes:

>Hello,
>I've heard mention of "micro-broadcasting" while listening to my
>shortwave radio. Apparently, it has something to do with ordinary
>people who legally use very low power to transmit some sort of voice
>(narrow FM?) signal in their local area.
>
>

I hate to disappoint you, but usually, it's not legally low power. Two
federal district courts have recently ruled in two separate cases in
favor of the FCC confiscating equipment and the like. There are presently
strict limits on what can be radiated while unlicensed, with the figure
set in a rather low figure of microvolts per meter distance, and a severe
limit on the length of the radiator or antenna. The end result is that if
someone can hear you two blocks away, you're way too powerful.
In effect, it's pirate radio, whether for good or ill.
-art clemons-

1463. Re: New messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341cb58f.1780005@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186240

"kevin" <iowaboy@rocketmail.com> wrote:

:Is anyone else having a problem in this group? I've been in many others
:that work fine,but here all the posts come up marked as read. Other than
:that ...no troubles. But it is kind of a pain. Please let me know. Thanks!
:--
:
:Kevin

What are you using for a newsreader?

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1464. www.potg.com = Free comedy radio, Unique and Award Winning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobciz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970915054000.BAA04088@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186241

http://www.potg.com/
See: Why everyone on the internet is talking about this progressive new website; why up until now, this site has grown simply by word-of-mouth.
Hear: The show that is breaking boundaries on the radio, making it an entertaining medium once more, and why certain sites have already heralded it as "the show that will redefine radio and will usher in a new style of comedy for the 21st century."
Taste: The difference between Planet of the Grapes' potent style of humor and the type of humor that makes you turn off your television or radio in disgust. The show's hosts guarantee that whether you like it or not, it is impossible to deny that it has a unique, fresh style.

The Hosts: Deaze Beans, Yahdderman, and U.B. Jackson
The Show : Planet of the Grapes
The Site: http://www.potg.com/
The Reason: Join the bandwagon that is headed into the future of comedy!

1465. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341cca92.7159320@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186242

heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) wrote:

:I'm intersted in knowing of a place where I can get a listing of the TV
:voice frequencies listed by channel. Please e-mail me if you have any
:information requarding this. Thanks a lot for you time and effort in
:advance!!

VHF sound channels are as follows;

ch 0 - 51.75
ch 1 - 62.75
ch 2 - 69.75
ch 3 - 91.75
ch 4 - 100.75
ch 5 - 107.75
ch 5A - 143.75
ch 6 - 180.75
ch 7 - 187.75
ch 8 - 194.75
ch 9 - 201.75
ch 10 - 214.75
ch 11 - 221.75

UHF TV is from ch 28 at 532.75 to ch 69 at 819.75

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1466. Re: How to adjust PRO-43 sensibility

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341ccb4a.7343197@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186243

marc@gmgate.vircom.com (Marc Chouinard) wrote:

:Hiya
:
:
: I have the tech plans for the 46.. but someone can tell me HOW to
:adjust the PRO-43? Thanks

I have never seen the term sensibility applied to a scanner before.
I always considered scanners to be dumb machines that just do what
they are programmed to do.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1467. Scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Manuel <manne@mbox303.swipnet.se>
Message-ID: <01bcc1a2$6ecb2100$34a4f482@manuel>
Source record: 186244

We have all uniden and Yupiteru scanner incl Cell bands for export.
And the price is very good,please contact us by mail for price and
shipping.

Manuel
Limmareds Ham Center HB
manne@mbox303.swipnet.se

1468. Re: Pro 43 Datecode Help!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdallal@world.std.com
Message-ID: <EGJ5H0.2tA@world.std.com>
Source record: 186245

orbitone@worldnet.att.net wrote:
: Ok whats the deal on the date code on the pro 43 is it 2a4 the latest
: one you can have without doing the mod to it.. need to know if anyone
: has a later model that has the 800mhz mod done... like 3a4 or 4a4
: etc... thanks Orbitone

If the *serial number* contains an "A", the unit cannot be modified.
No "A", it's modifiable.

1469. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: shoppa@alph02.triumf.ca
Message-ID: <5vibegorm1@nntp.ucs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 186246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <341C4193.97BA4A52@rust.net>, Joe Pillera <pillera@rust.net> wrote:
>I've heard mention of "micro-broadcasting" while listening to my
>shortwave radio. Apparently, it has something to do with ordinary
>people who legally use very low power to transmit some sort of voice
>(narrow FM?) signal in their local area.

Yes, this is exceedingly common. Folks who want to become
"micro-broadcasters" buy baby monitors, cordless phones, and cellphones.
Each such broadcaster typically has a half-dozen or so listeners
who are kept entertained in their neighborhood.

Among the most popular broadcasts are local politicians, government
and school employees who regularly make their entire personal lives
available to whoever may want to listen. You may have read about
how Newt Gingrich recently opened his own station.

Tim. (shoppa@triumf.ca)

1470. Re: ALERT!! No surprise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnnyreb1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970915035801.XAA22110@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186247
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>
Subject: Re: ALERT!! No surprise!
>From: Smoothguy@webtv.net
>Date: Sun, 14 Sep 1997 20:35:17 -0500
>Message-id: <5vi3cl$opb$1@newsd-102.bryant.webtv.net>
>
>These are ALL manifestations of the New World Order. Slowly limiting the
>personal liberties of the citizenry is a very big part of mass control.
>From the Gestapo like police tactics used on the motoring public, to FCC
>imposed equipment use mandates, we're being assaulted from all sides.
>This is only the beginning.
>
>
>
>
>

That's right, tell it like it is. Most people are too blind to see the
whole picture as it really is...instead, they tend to believe it could
never happen in America. People in other countries also thought the same
thing...study your world history everyone, and open your eyes...it's here.
```

1471. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341cb1ac.784935@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 186248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

rollo@trib.com (Roll-o) wrote:

:THIS IS IN CAPS >>>I AM YELLING. <<<<<<<< YOUR CORDLESS PHONE
:AND CELLPHONE COME IN ON TV AND OTHER DEVICES. THEY WILL
:NEVER BE PRIVATE UNLESS THEY ENCRYPT. DO NOT ENTICE YOUR
: 9 YEAR OLD LOVER WITH THIS MEDIUM. OR ELSE!!!!
:YOU GUYS SCREW UP ALOT OF VIDEO TAPE WITH YOUR PRATTELING.

Sorry, but it is quite impossible to screw up alot of video tape.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1472. BOCES Nassau County Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2lak@dorsai.org
Message-ID: <slrn61p579.t8r.n2lak@amanda.dorsai.org>
Source record: 186249

ANybody know where they are? The antenna on the buses looks like it is cut
for 30 -40 mHz. Where is my frquency counter when I need it? :-)
Thanks,
n2lak@dorsai.org

1473. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mulligan@ACM.ORG
Message-ID: <5vj0na$asj@HOPPER.acm.ORG>
Source record: 186250

Michael Rosenberg <michael.rosenberg@factory.com> wrote:
> heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) said:
>> .... a listing of the TV voice frequencies listed by channel.

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

Television [73.603]

Ch Range Video Audio

2 54 - 60 55.250 59.750
3 60 - 66 61.250 65.750
4 66 - 72 67.250 71.750
5 76 - 82 77.250 81.750
6 82 - 88 83.250 87.750
7 174 - 180 175.250 179.750
8 180 - 186 181.250 185.750
9 186 - 192 187.250 191.750
10 192 - 198 193.250 197.750
11 198 - 204 199.250 203.750
12 204 - 210 205.250 209.750
13 210 - 216 211.250 215.750
14 470 - 476 471.250 475.750 }
15 476 - 482 477.250 481.750 } Range for Ch. N
.. ... - ... . } Low = 386 + 6*N
68 794 - 800 795.250 799.750 } High = 392 + 6*N
69 800 - 806 801.250 805.750 }

Note the gaps between chs 4/5, 6/7, and 13/14.
Freqs in the 470-512 MHz range (Ch 14-20) may be assigned to
Public Service mobile use in major metro areas. No transmitters
are allowed on 608-614 MHz (Ch 37).
Each TV channel is 6 MHz wide. The video carrier is 1.25 MHz
above the start of the range. The audio carrier is 0.25 MHz below
the end of the range. Television audio is wideband FM.
Some TV stations may be offset +/- 10 kHz.

Cable TV (IRC) [76.605]
[EIA IS-132]
Ch MHz
2 - 13 54 - 216 (same as broadcast VHF)
14 - 22 120 - 174 Mid band Ch. A-I
23 - 36 216 - 300 Super band J-W
37 - 53 300 - 402 Hyper band AA-QQ

54 - 64 402 - 468 " "
65 - 94 468 - 648 (Ultra band)
95 - 99 90 - 120 Low band A5-A1
100 -125 648 - 804 (Ultra band)

1474. FS: Uniden Bearcat 350A Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: trucco@cybernw.com
Message-ID: <341ca7f4.1235639@news.cybernw.com>
Source record: 186251

*50 Channel Mobile/Base Scanner
*As new condition. Never been mobile.
*11 Band coverage including Aircraft. No 800 mhz.
*Turbo Scan-Automatically scans fire, emergency, aircraft, police,
weather, and marine.
*Original box, manual,mounting bracket, AC adapter, telescopic
antenna, and DC power cord.

$70 COD includes shipping within US.

Victor Trucco
Portland, Oregon
trucco@cybernw.com

1475. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <5vj446$m4r$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 186252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Joe Pillera wrote:
>>
>> Hello,
>> shortwave radio. Apparently, it has something to do with ordinary
>> people who legally use very low power to transmit some sort of voice
>> (narrow FM?) signal in their local area.
>>
>> Do any of you have a list of common frequencies for this? Is it indeed
>> narrow FM - or is another modulation used?

>Nope! thats not it! What they mean is transmitting on the AM/FM
>broadcast band at low power levels. This is NOT legal. But its done
>all the time by a good many people. Check out alt.radio.pirate for the
>real information on the subject.

Using Ramsey FM-10 under Part 15 is LEGAL to transmit on the FM band.
Those using MODIFIED FM-10's, amplifiers etc. then would exceed the
power levels allowed under Part 15 and would then be ILLEGAL to use
MODFIED/AMPLIFIED/EXTERNAL ANTENNAS etc. to transmit on FM with out am
FM broadcast license under Part 73.

Don't classify all FM-10 & similar devices as illegal. Many such
devices are on the market to relay portable CD audio your car stereo
etc.. These devices when used PROPERLY are LEGAL.

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1476. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341E4446.7143@usa.net>
Source record: 186003

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> Hello...who are you?
> do YOU have a name?

Look at the headers, compare it to messages from
Bill Cheek. It is Bill Cheek.

> do you think?

he can't.

1477. Re: Great Info on the BC895XLT TrunkTracker!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmkarse@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <341e2ab3.8955771@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 186004

On 15 Sep 1997 19:29:32 -0700, wiggie@kansas.net (wiggie) wrote:
Hope it has less intermod than the BC890!
>
>I know that you might have pointed you browser in the direction of
>Rich Wells's homepage...but if you haven't you should. You can find his
>report on the BC895xlt at http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/IMP895.HTM . Rich
>has done a very good job of producing quality reports on various
>scanners. The kind of reports that you usually have to pay for! I dont
>have the collection of scanners that Rich does...but if I happen to pick
>up a scanner that he has done a review on, he is very much on the money
>with everything.
> Even if you don't have a trunked system in your area, it appears
>that this scanner would be a very good setup despite that. Uniden has
>definately improved on their scanners. I have a BC220/230xlt that I
>really enjoy for decent battery life, reception, and speed. I do realize
>that it is not a very good scanner for bigger metropolitan areas because
>of the intermod that is produced in the scanners dual conversion
>circuitry. I have played with a friend's BC9000xlt and really liked
>it...too bad it doesn't hook up with Probe!!! It is fast and the
>alphanumerics is a blessing.
> Take the time to read Rich's review. It will tell you what the
>BC895xlt can or cannot do. I just wish that Uniden had adopted an
>extended CI-V protocol that the OS456/OS535 uses. Then Probe, ScanCat,
>ScanStar, RadioManager, etc would all work with it. Why try to reinvent
>EVERYTHING all at once, then find that you are behind schedule and slap
>everything together in what appears to be a last minute effort. Have a
>manual that doesn't leave the buyer asking questions! Have the ability
>to use time-tested software that most dedicated scannist already
>possess! I know that not all software wouldnt be able to manage TT and
>download freqs at the present time...but the core code is ready to go!
>OK...gonna step off my soapbox. Great job once again Rich...I certainly
>appreciate you taking your time to bring us very in-depth information!
>
>Wiggie

1478. Re: New Cordless Phone Frequencies Needed!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916074301.DAA20883@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186005

The freqs listed on this thread and any related web pages or other sources are for everyone's protection. Now we know what frequencies to block out of the scanners so we will not accidentally listen in to cordless conversations.

Perhaps someone could provide a list of the old cordless channels to the group so everyone could block those out also. These combined lists would be expecially helpful to newcomers to the hobby. The newbies could block out all cordless channels at once and never run any unnecessary risks.

To provide more complete protection, we also need a list of cell image freqs for popular models of cell blocked scanners.

Spammers please reply to:
Postmaster@MindSpring.Com
Postmaster@AOL.Com
Abuse@NetCom.Com
Abuse@IX.NetCom.Com
Postmaster@Flash.Net

1479. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmort@opman.com
Message-ID: <3422d688.102589618@snews.zippo.com>
Source record: 186006
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

On Mon, 15 Sep 1997 10:12:58 -0500, rick <RBORGMAN@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>> Yes, most police are good people. But, some are very bad indeed.
>>
>
>very bad how? Won't let teens go around blowing up mail boxes, won't allow
>teens to destroy houses with hammers? Enforce curfew laws? Interupts teens
>driving around shooting people with paint guns?

How about sodomizes prisoners with a plunger handle, then denies the
victim medical care?

How about murders her own partner during the course of a holdup she's
committing?

Gun control, the theory that Black people will be
better off when only Mark Fuhrman has a gun.

Check out:

http://super.zippo.com/~cmorton/home.htm
http://www.firstnethou.com/gunsite/moore.html

1480. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mock - KD6PAG <kd6pag@nospam.qsl.net>
Message-ID: <341E4876.5421@nospam.qsl.net>
Source record: 186007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

*** Transmit without license !! It's possible !!***

Not a problem at 1750 KHz. People do it all the time. In the States,
you can do 10W (if i recall correctly) but your transmitting antenna
is limited in size. But your *receive* antenna can be as big as you
have real estate for. Check a recent ARRL Handbook if you want to
build a 'Lowfer' rig.

-- KD6PAG (Networking Old-Timer, RF newbie)

1481. Re: Great Info on the BC895XLT TrunkTracker!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmkarse@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <341e29b0.8696649@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 186008

On 15 Sep 1997 19:29:32 -0700, wiggie@kansas.net (wiggie) wrote:
The BC895 predecessor, BC890, is plagued with intermod. Hope unident
fixed it o the '895.
>
>I know that you might have pointed you browser in the direction of
>Rich Wells's homepage...but if you haven't you should. You can find his
>report on the BC895xlt at http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/IMP895.HTM . Rich
>has done a very good job of producing quality reports on various
>scanners. The kind of reports that you usually have to pay for! I dont
>have the collection of scanners that Rich does...but if I happen to pick
>up a scanner that he has done a review on, he is very much on the money
>with everything.
> Even if you don't have a trunked system in your area, it appears
>that this scanner would be a very good setup despite that. Uniden has
>definately improved on their scanners. I have a BC220/230xlt that I
>really enjoy for decent battery life, reception, and speed. I do realize
>that it is not a very good scanner for bigger metropolitan areas because
>of the intermod that is produced in the scanners dual conversion
>circuitry. I have played with a friend's BC9000xlt and really liked
>it...too bad it doesn't hook up with Probe!!! It is fast and the
>alphanumerics is a blessing.
> Take the time to read Rich's review. It will tell you what the
>BC895xlt can or cannot do. I just wish that Uniden had adopted an
>extended CI-V protocol that the OS456/OS535 uses. Then Probe, ScanCat,
>ScanStar, RadioManager, etc would all work with it. Why try to reinvent
>EVERYTHING all at once, then find that you are behind schedule and slap
>everything together in what appears to be a last minute effort. Have a
>manual that doesn't leave the buyer asking questions! Have the ability
>to use time-tested software that most dedicated scannist already
>possess! I know that not all software wouldnt be able to manage TT and
>download freqs at the present time...but the core code is ready to go!
>OK...gonna step off my soapbox. Great job once again Rich...I certainly
>appreciate you taking your time to bring us very in-depth information!
>
>Wiggie

1482. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341E4328.4477@usa.net>
Source record: 186009

Typical CB'er big mouth.

The CB Guy wrote:
> How DARE you compare fuzzy cute little vermin to that dispicable Mackey??
> Vermin the world over are cringing because of that comparison....

1483. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vl0pb$kek@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186010

In <01bcc235$69ec99a0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com> "Billy Thunder"
<bthunder@san.rr.com> writes:
>
>Who or what is this Mackey character? He seems
>to be a vermin after observing his posts for a week.
>
>
Hello...who are you?

do YOU have a name?

do you think?

if so, kindly leave a name

it would make us so much more friendly

and less para-normally deficient.

bill conduit
etc.

1484. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc24f$08b02de0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 186011

Forgive me. I apologize for degrading the worlds vermin
like that. Shame on me. I didn't realize that Mackey
animal could be lower than vermin.I am told in private
via email that this Mackie is lower than whale dookey.

So where's he coming from? I don't understand him
at all. This is supposed to be a scanner place I think.

The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970916005701.UAA23791@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> >>Subject: John Mackey
> >From: "Billy Thunder" <bthunder@san.rr.com>
> >Date: 16 Sep 1997 00:13:52 GMT
> >Message-id: <01bcc235$69ec99a0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
> >
> >Who or what is this Mackey character? He seems
> >to be a vermin after observing his posts for a week.
> >
> >
> >
>
> SAVE THE VERMIN!!!!!!!
>
> How DARE you compare fuzzy cute little vermin to that dispicable Mackey??
> Vermin the world over are cringing because of that comparison....
>
> The CB Guy
>
> http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio
>
>

1485. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341E46CB.6681@usa.net>
Source record: 186012

Eric Oyen wrote:

> On Mon, 15 Sep 1997 21:00:41 -0700, Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> >You stated ASSERTIONS that you PURPORTED to be facts (which I dispute
> >because there are no postings from Bill Cheek to bolster your claims)

> John, I hate to say this (and a grudgingly agree with him on this),
> but he is right. Wgere are the postings? What articles (can you
> include reference ID, date and times?)???

OK, what postings are you two desiring?? It should be no problem
to produce them via Dejanews.

> >In fact, nobody in here really knows who I am except that I live in
> >Phoenix Arizona and have run on CB radio and possibly even own a
SNIP

> Wrong! oh one of wide mouth! I KNOW you! Think you could steal a
> handle that I used on the radio about 10 years ago, huh? Think again!

hahahahahahahahaahhehehehehehheehhehe

1486. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc250$31f2cfe0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 186013

What about this Mackie? He does more
harm than the other guys. I did some searching and
cant find anywhere that Mackie is friendly and
helpfull to anybody. He always starts trouble.
Do you like him?

Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net> wrote in article
<341dd2e2.13558368@news.cyberhighway.net>...
> myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>
> > let's here it for the IDIOT OF MACKERAL...the
> > mackey....
>
> <blah, blah, blah>
>
> > bill conduit
> > etc.
>
> Knock it the hell off, Conduit. Anyone who can't write, spell,
> punctuate, or format any better than you do has no room to call anyone
> an idiot.
>
> All I've seen you do here for the past year or so is kiss Bill Cheek's
> ass and stir up flame wars. Go away. Nobody'll miss you, and maybe
> this newsgroup will settle down to what it's designed to do; discuss
> scanning and scanners.
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>

1487. Niagara Region Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: maverick@caninet.com
Message-ID: <341dfe56.83544672@news.caninet.com>
Source record: 186014
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For frequencies in the Niagara Region in Canada try:

http://www.vaxxine.com/dgl/scan-net/

1488. SportCatMODS NEEDED! DO they EXIST????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Steve L. Haas" <slhaas@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <341E5196.72DC7CBC@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 186015

I am looking to modify my SportCat to get the full 800 band and maybe a few others that arent offered in the scanner. Can the SportCat be modified? If so how or where do I go about doing it...PLEASE help...I would appreciate responses in email.

Thanks

Steve

1489. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341E33BD.6C59@flash.net>
Source record: 186016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

rick etter wrote:
>
> Michael P. Deignan wrote:
> >
> > In article <5vaad9$n36@frogger.lm.com>,
> > Chuck Mandus <cdmandus@frogger.lm.com> wrote:
> >
> > >That will not do a thing. If you were worried about the possible
> > >interception of your cordless phone calls, then you should have went to a
> > >land-line. I had a cordless phone for a long time and when I wanted to
> > >guarantee privacy, I would say "wait a minute" and go to a land-line, aka,
> > >a corded and secure phone.
> >
> > Exactly. I refer to my cell-phone as "the mobile public-address system",
> > my cordless as "the portable public-address system" and my normal, non-
> > cordless handset as "the semi-secure line" (since, as well all know, there
> > is no such thing as *real* security, unless you encrypt the communications.)
> >
> > MD
> > --
> > -- Ted Kennedy has killed more people with his car than I have
> > -- with my guns.
> > --
> > -- If you don't like my opinions, that's just too damn bad.
> ============================
>
> Problem is, the government is making it illegal to securly encrypt any
> transmissions. If they don't have the 'key' you can't use it.

Time to kick the bastards out!

1490. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <5vlmrggva1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 186017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Not if you exceed the "field strength" requirments. And with a tunned
>dipole you will. You can run 1Kw on the FM broadcast band as long as
>its into a good dummy load. Hook an FM 10 to a beam with a nice 8 Dbd
>gain. You'll hear it for a mile. The FCC wouldnt like that....73

Again as I stated UNMODIFIED, UNAMPLIFIED, STOCK FM-10 is 47 CFR 15
LEGAL. A MODIFIED/AMPLIFIED/EXTERNAL ANTENNA is **NOT LEGAL**.

I would consider "micro broadcasting" to be the use of a LEGAL FM-10
or similar product. As the power is definately in the micro power
level.

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1491. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341E328B.17F5@flash.net>
Source record: 186018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Pooch wrote:
>
> Greg Dean wrote:
> >
> > kb8uyz.com wrote:
> >
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc
> >
> > Stop HR2369!
> > ------------
> > A new proposed bill has the potential to eliminate the scanner industry,
> > as well as greatly affect other radio services, such as amateur radio,
> > MARS, CAP, news media, and radio hobbyists activities.
> >
> > ** Contact your local Congressional representative and voice your
> > opposition to HR2369. If passed, this bill will make it illegal to
> > sell, trade, manufacture or modify any radio receiver with certain
> > restricted frequencies. Wide band amateur radio transceivers will
> > become illegal, as well as general hobbyists who monitor fire,
> > ambulance, and police radio services.
> >
> > It will also be a crime to own such radio receivers and to listen to
> > these frequencies. Violators will be subject to up to a $500,000 fine
> > and up to 5 years in prison, or both!
> >
> > For more information, please visit our web site at http://kb8uyz.com,
> > where, under the Radio News section, we have the complete bill posted.
> > Also check out the Grove, Monitoring Times web site at
> > http://www.grove.net/mt2369fea.html A complete background to the bill
> > is listed.
> >
> > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill. We will be posting a
> > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
> >
> > 73's
> > Dan KB8UYZ
> > dan@kb8uyz.com
> I'll be glad to call and SUPPORT the bill. My conversations are none of
> your business!

Then dont transmit them where everyone can hear what you are saying
silly boy!
--

NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1492. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341E3379.2A38@flash.net>
Source record: 186019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

bj wrote:
>
> Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com> wrote in article
> <3419B3BE.6B6F@datasync.com>...
> > Brad Cuppy wrote:
> > >
> > > Pooch wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill (HR2369). We
> will be posting a
> > > > > > > > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > 73's
> > > > > > > > Dan KB8UYZ
> > > > > > > > dan@kb8uyz.com
> > > >
>
> Let the morons in Washington pass any law they want to. With Ms Reno
> enforcing the law you
> have nothing to fear. The Japanese will pay off Ms Reno and then flood the
> country with cheap scanners.
>
> l

Youve got a point here. If there is one thing that motivates the
clinton admin, it is money!
Maybe we should go to Al Gore and ask him how much it will cost to
stop this bill! ahahahaha! He might say "oh, about $250,000, and it
will go away! ahahaha! What a bunch of terds!
--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1493. Re: RELM SCANNERS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ddeagle@nospam.richmond.infi.net
Message-ID: <5vlpc3cdu1@nw003.infi.net>
Source record: 186020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"jdkerkes" <jdkerkes@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Just wondering if anyone uses any of the base model units and if so what
>do you think of it's performance?
> Thank you for your help.

While not exactly what you wanted, and to be honest I didn't know
Relm sold base units (they are not in the catalog I order from). I
have sold several of the HS100 port. models and everyone has been
very pleased with the sound and reception quality.

Go Roush Racing, #6 #16 #99
(Sissy race cars wear Bow Ties)
(remove NOSPAM in email address for email replys)

1494. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916113000.HAA01529@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186021

In article <341E42FC.5260@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>Glenn - GET A LIFE!!!! If you actually have the TIME that you could
>count & sort 150 of my posts then you HAVE NO LIFE or are OBSESSED.
>
>

Notice if you will folks the quick slight of hand.......The old idiot
Mackey cannot defend this true statement, so he attacks the bringer of the
truth.
Mackey, (you dolt), you asked for proof of your miserable past, and now
when someone brings it forward, you call them obsessed???
I think Glenn spent the time hoping that if the TRUTH were presented to
you, you might realize your total lack of useful contributions to this ng,
(not to mention mankind).
However, what Glenn failed to realize was that you knew the truth already,
and you like all the negative attention you get.
Terrible, terrible little boy....your mother would be ashamed.

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1495. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916113001.HAA01526@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186022

In article <341E4328.4477@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>Typical CB'er big mouth.
>
>The CB Guy wrote:
>> How DARE you compare fuzzy cute little vermin to that dispicable Mackey??
>> Vermin the world over are cringing because of that comparison....
>
>

Can't argue a fact eh Mackey??.....so resort to name calling.
You're colors are shining through so bright that a blind man could see them.
Here's a challange you boob.......Post one relevant scanner article in
this newsgroup.....I DARE YOU!!! Or perhaps you only read the ones with
your name in the title.??
Com'on, you gonnna take the challange or not?????

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1496. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916113001.HAA25484@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186023

In article <341e3749.1004313@news2.psn.net>, n7zzt@psn.net (Eric Oyen) writes:

>Wrong! oh one of wide mouth! I KNOW you! Think you could steal a
>handle that I used on the radio about 10 years ago, huh? Think again!

WOw.......Handles are propriatory??......

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1497. Surveillance Listening Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve@swssec.com
Message-ID: <341f78a3.19061362@news2.ibm.net>
Source record: 186024

Hello all,

We have uploaded to our website some new photos of a surveillance listening post in a hotel room from a recent job. This is a chance to see what a real video and audio listening post looks like in a properly funded government letter agency surveillance.

Go to our opening page, then to the What's New section. In there click on the section "A Day in the Life".

Sorry the photos are not well lit, but they were shot on the street not in a studio.

Also some new (used) communications and video equipment for sale on the used equipment page (in the What's New section also).

The only thing that is definite is that things are subject to change.

Regards ..... Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland USA
Manufacturers of electronic surveillance and commo gear
tel +1+410-879-4035 fax +1+410-836-1190
mailto:Steve@swssec.com
website: http://www.swssec.com
Celebrating our 25th anniversary in 1997
"In God We Trust, All Others We Monitor"
****

1498. need help with MDTSTUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: R Williams <rwilliam@rpnet.net>
Message-ID: <341E7FAE.6CB@rpnet.net>
Source record: 186025

i need some help in getting MDTSTUFF up and running.

i have build the interface box as directed. and have tapped into my discrimitor chip on the uniden MR100. when i run PD-203 it seems to work o.k.

however when i run MDTSTUFF with and without the "/x" switch, all i get on the screen is this:

********** BUFFER 0% full
**********Buffer 0 % full
over and over again. when i display data.dat on the screen, it is a file full of blanks. i have tried to run this on the nationwide ardis freq. and san francisco freq. with the same results.

is there anyone in the san francisco bay area that has had any success with this project? please e-mail me at rwilliam@rpnet.net

thanks in advance

1499. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: foxcat@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <foxcat-1609971019220001@ip109.philadelphia6.pa.pub-ip.psi.net>
Source record: 186026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Found this gopher link to HR 2369, so we can keep track of stuff.

gopher://tad.micro.umn.edu:7005/7list%20BILL.QUICKTITLE%20BILL%20BILL.CONGNUM%3D105?2369

Take it easy,

Andy (Foxcat)

"What can possibly go wrong... go wrong... go wrong..."

1500. Re: Help Bearcat (Electra)BC 300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: grohe@galaxy.nsc.com
Message-ID: <34204049.15223916@139.187.81.1>
Source record: 186027

On Sun, 14 Sep 1997 08:50:36 -0700,
in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
Steve <scannr1@sierra.net>
from Sierra-Net thoughtfully posted:

> I have an old BC300 scanner that has begun to give me fits. Any body out
> there
> give me info on where and how to get a service manual or schematic for
> it so I can
> track down the problem. Does anybody have experience with repairing this
> unit?
> Thanks
> Steve(scannr1@sierra.net)

Don't tell me...Your display is dim and it is having trouble
remembering/programming frequencies. Right???

Replace C98, an electrolytic capacitor near the small transformer
on the RF board. It is under a lot of stress and does go bad
after a few years. Replace it with a 47uF/25V dipped tantalum, or
"low ESR" electrolytic type capacitor if possible.

Also touch-up as many solder connections as possible. The 300's
are loaded with poor solder connections.

See the Repair FAQ by Bob Parnass:

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner

Good Luck!

Cheers,
Paul Grohe

Paul Grohe National Semiconductor Corp.
Sr. Electronics Technician 2900 Semiconductor Drive
Standard Products Apps Group Mail Stop C2693
grohe@galaxy.nsc.com Santa Clara, CA. 95052-8090 USA
(408) 721-7389 Tel (408) 721-2513 Fax

1501. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vmapv$86g@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186028

In <341E42FC.5260@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>
>Due to the posts of SOME individuals (like YOU & Bill) it has
>caused others (like ME) to work harder to maintain order & keep
>this NG a nice place.
>
>
> the idiot of smelly mackeral...

tie yourself up on a gordian knot and hang yourself.

bill conduit
etc.

oops...you have already done it.

1502. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@mail.telis.org>
Message-ID: <341EA04E.7EDE@mail.telis.org>
Source record: 186029

Hi,
If you have not purchased a Pro-26 yet I have a MINT, like new, one that
was purchased in Europe with full 800mhz coverage, no gaps!, with a new
leather case and manual. I will ship to canada for a total of $350.
Email me if interested.
Ron M

H.Y. Tong wrote:
>
> I have been listening to shortwave for several years and this fall I want
> to start listening in the VHF/UHF area. Last week I went to a local radio
> shack to find a unit that have wide frequency coverage. I find out a
> Pro-26 is on sale at Cdn$400, the sales told me it has continuous coverage
> from 25MHz up to 1.3GHz!
> Unfortunately, the model will be discountinued next year. I'm considering
> buying it. Is it worth the money?
> --
> --
> Philip Tong
> hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
> Toronto, Ontario, CANADA

1503. Need help with external antenna for Sangean 803

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bogus.address@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <34219c12.32429777@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186030

I have a small backyard, about 40' x40', what's the best external
antenna for my Sangean 803?
And for you automated e-mail spammers out there,
here's the e-mail addresses of the current board
of the Federal Communications Commission:

rhundt@fcc.gov
jquello@fcc.gov
sness@fcc.gov
rchong@fcc.gov

1504. AR8000 Trunk Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cflinn@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <cflinn-1609971201370001@selden17.access1.dh.i-2000.net>
Source record: 186031

Does any software for the AOR currently monitor the data freq of a trunked system to track certain channels ?

1505. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <not@home.here>
Message-ID: <341E9FA4.F58@home.here>
Source record: 186032

John Masters Jr. wrote:
>
> Evidently you MR.CB don`t know what really goes on in reality...Stop
> watching the tv shows like tj hooker and watch real police in
> action,like "COPS"then write back and see if you see any policeofficers
> plant anything on anyone..

Actually, watching COPS scares the hell out of me. They are forever pulling people over simply because they don't like the looks of them, then bullying the poor SOB into letting them search their car. What you don't see is the 98% of the people pulled over and put thru this who don't have drugs or open containers in their possession. That would make the show too boring.

1506. Re: BC 9000 XLT Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: wizard@baynet.net
Message-ID: <341ebe89.20000034@news.baynet.net>
Source record: 186033

On 14 Sep 1997 13:35:21 GMT, jtward01@aol.com (JTWard01) wrote:

>>>>Just recently received my BC9000XLT, great unit. However, I am unable
>to scan cellular frequencies. Does anyone have information on modifying the
>unit to open cellular bandwiths?>>>
>
>Tony,
> Since you asked this question on an open newsgroup using what is
>presumably your real name I can only assume that you are not aware that it
>is a violation of federal law to monitor cellular and cordless telephone
>conversations, as well as certain other protected communications.
> The 9000XLT was designed to prevent modification to open up the
>cellular bands, although it's well know that if you are close enough to a
>cellular tower you can receive cellular images in the 360.00 to 400.00MHz range.
> If you are aware that monitoring cordless and cellular telephones is
>illegal, and if you are aware of the pressure in Congress to expand the
>restrictions on scanners and radio monitoring, then you are either a
>Congressional aide deliberately planting this post to use later as evidence
>that "electronic stalking" is widespread, or you're a complete idiot.
> Oh, whoops! I made a mistake. I said you're either a Congressional aide
>OR an idiot. That's rather redundant, isn't it, with one being the
>definition of the other.
>
>John

Well John

We are all not from the USA and just because it maybe illegal in your country does not mean that it is illegal in any other country. That is why they make scanners for other countries. Unlike the gods (FCC) who cry every time that someone might hear something that they are not supposed too, Canada has Sience and Industry Canada (SIC) which regulates our frequencies. The policy as I understand it is I am allowed to listen to any frequency in the spectrum as long as I do not repeat anything I hear.

So before putting your foot in your mouth, please think before you type because the only one who looks like a idiot is YOU!!

Black Wizard

1507. Re: *** Transmit without license !! It's possible !! ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: amateur@vub.mcgill.ca.ham
Message-ID: <341e78c5.2883790@news.mcgill.ca>
Source record: 186034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 16 Sep 1997 00:51:02 -0800, John Mock - KD6PAG
<kd6pag@nospam.qsl.net> wrote:

>*** Transmit without license !! It's possible !!***
>
>Not a problem at 1750 KHz. People do it all the time.

> In the States,
>you can do 10W (if i recall correctly) but your transmitting antenna
>is limited in size.

everything is possible in Antarctica !!

You may transmit something in U.S. military band as well !!

U.S. does not have any jurisdiction over Antarctica !!

I strongly suggest you not to do this !!
I hope you are not going to be kicked out of your country !!

M@S@
Student @ McGill University Science
Montreal, Quebec, Canada
E-mail : amateur@vub.mcgill.ca.ham
URL : http://www.geocities.com/~masataka (General)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/main.htm (Radio)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/mcgill.htm (McGill University)

Remove ".ham" to reply.

WARNING: I will not buy/response any products from unsolicited e-mails !!

1508. antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Gross <jtg3592@ksu.edu>
Message-ID: <341EA249.5A49@ksu.edu>
Source record: 186035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Greetings all,

I love this newsgroup...lot's of good information. I'm sorry to say that I am now one who needs some advice. I am currently going to college in Salina, Kansas. I have a Pro-60 scanner with the original rubber ducky antennal and a $10 extendable antenna (nine extensions) from Radio Shack. The extendable seems to work better. I'm extremely interested in military aircraft. Smoky Hill Bombing Range, the largest in the U.S. is only 20-25 miles from here. While I normally don't have a problem listening to the aircraft, the tower becomes a problem. I never hear the tower part of the conservation. What I have right now is my antenna, with a 10-foot piece of wire wrapped around it and I hang it up towards the ceiling. I learned this from some scanner book and it really does help the signal, but I still can't receive the tower transmissions (if I hold my scanner in the air as high as i can, standing, i can hear faint conservation from the tower, but nothing readable). I also have an old UHF and an old VHF tv antenna, if anyone knows how I can use it to receive better. I live in the dorm, on the second floor. I thought I would for sure be able to receive the tower from here but I guess I was wrong. Does anyone have any suggestions on what I could do to receieve the tower and other frequencies better. I don't really have a place to attach another antenna (i can't attach anything on the roof or outside my window - no place to put something like that). Do any of you experts know how to rig something. I don't know anything about antennas so building one is out of the question. I'm tired to listening to only one side of the good stuff out there at the range. I'm in desperate need of help. Thank you in advance.

Jeff
jtg3592@ksu.edu
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/2622

1509. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341ECB66.FF7CF215@juno.com>
Source record: 186036

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Every time Cheeks' name comes up it is followed by 30-50 posts a week by
> > you.
>
> 30-50!!!!!! Come on now Glenn, BE REAL.

Unlike you John, I am. In 24 hours you have posted over 15 times. Do
the math.

> > The last 150 posts made by you on RRS break down this way.
> > 146 - profanity, tantrums and flames pointed at Cheek
>
> Glenn - GET A LIFE!!!! If you actually have the TIME that you could
> count & sort 150 of my posts then you HAVE NO LIFE or are OBSESSED.

Pretty easy actually. I go to a different news server, load RRS, hit
"name" on my news reader and 150 posts came up with you as the author.
Might have taken 3 minutes max.

> > Please tell us again how everyone else is a troublemaker and you are a
> > saint and RRS's salvation using the above.
>
> Due to the posts of SOME individuals (like YOU & Bill) it has
> caused others (like ME) to work harder to maintain order & keep
> this NG a nice place.

Yea right. Is profanity the way to make this a nice place? Please show
how your last 50 posts added to maintaining order John. Do you really
believe the trash you talk? I certainly hope not, because you would then
be a sicker dog than I ever imagined.

> > Why does everyone hate you?
>
> have you asked yourself this lately Glenn?

You still didn't answer the question John. Nobody has come to your
defense and all I see is hateful messages pointed at you. Why does
everyone hate you John? I know the answer but do you?

1510. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: avazq12345@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916165700.MAA15658@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186037

Looking For Pro-2004-05-06 Price Please e-mail me

1511. Re: US Military: Broadcast-Jamming-Tekbir's Qualification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tekbir <wbeachy@erols.com>
Message-ID: <341EBB19.2C8D@erols.com>
Source record: 186038

Joseph Feng wrote:
>
> In article <341b96b8.80624949@news.concentric.net>, on Sun, 14 Sep 1997 07:50:55 GMT,
> Tony Malesic <tmalesic@concentric.net> writes:
> >On Sat, 13 Sep 1997 19:44:31 -0400, Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Tekbir wrote:
> >>>
> >>> From Yahoo's Top News Stories:
> >>>
> >>> Thursday September 11 3:53 PM EDT
> >>>
> >>> Broadcast-Jamming Planes Heading for Bosnia
> >>>
> >>> By Charles Aldinger
> >>>
> >>> WASHINGTON (Reuter) - The U.S. military said on Thursday it was sending
> >>> to Bosnia three electronic warfare(yada, yada, yada)
> >>
> >>Please don't repost news stories to this group.
> >>
> >>Steve S
> >
> >If it's scanning related and properly attributed, why not? Certainly
> >much more interesting reading than the stupid flames that seem to
> >pervade this usegroup.
> >
> >Tony
> >
>
> I agree that it was more interesting than the stupid political garbage
> that gets posted. It was even radio-related, but not to scanners.
> Some of the airplanes may have carried transmitters that could be picked
> up by scanners, but this was not part of the story. There is no
> significant relationship in this story to scanners.
I should have qualified this post as it relates to scanning. My point
in posting this news story on this newsgroup was for one thing, people
here frequently listen to military air communications and two it
surprised me (at least) that the U.S. would have a division of aircraft
whose sole purpose would be to jam the radio waves. Interesting (and
scary).
--
Tekbir (William Matthew Beachy)

The Polytonic Harmony Home Page
http://www.erols.com/wbeachy
Three Notes, Two Keys, One Chord...
--
Tekbir (William Matthew Beachy)
The Polytonic Harmony Home Page
http://www.erols.com/wbeachy
Three Notes, Two Keys, One Chord...

1512. Re: FS: Icom R-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <341EA511.2400@accesscom.com>
Source record: 186039

TandKMoran wrote:
>
> In article <341C3145.28C7@accesscom.com>, Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
> writes:
>
> >This radio was NEVER blocked so why advertise that it is UNBLOCKED!
>
> I though it was marketed for a short while without the 800 Mhz band at
> all, no?

That was the 7100.

1513. Re: FS: Icom R-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shadow <shadow@ricochet.net>
Message-ID: <341EC974.27B@ricochet.net>
Source record: 186040

To clear up the ????
The R-7000 at the very end did come in a blocked < No Cell > verson
As well as the R-7100 after the change in the scanner law

I know this for a fact
I have serviced both units in both types many times
shadow

1514. FREQS FOR ALPHARETTA, GA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: deli-bear@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <5vmam6$b0r@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186041

looking for scanner frequencies for the Alpharetta, GA area, just North of Atlanta.

The only one I've found with any real activity is 877.437, which is a mix of EMS, police, and fire dispatch. There must be more...

Also interested in utility service frequencies for this area --- phone, electrical, etc....

1515. R10 - off freq in SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary McGill <mcgill@dale.cba.ufl.edu>
Message-ID: <341E9B1C.722F0913@dale.cba.ufl.edu>
Source record: 186042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I just started playing with my new Icom R10 and it is a nice wide-band receiver in a very small package. Everything seems to work as promised but I've noticed one abnormality (at least I think it is).

The Problem: When listening to SSB freqs., say 3.945 LSB, I have to tune to 3.9464 to hear the voices properly. I know the actual freq is 3945 because I checked it on my Drake SW8 (and these folks ALWAYS talk on 3945). I seem to have the same problem wherever I tune in SSB (upper or lower)...that is, I have to tune the R10 slightly off freq to hear properly. NOTE that once I tune slightly off freq, the reception is actually quite strong and clear--no problems.

I don't have any off freq problems in VHF or UHF (all in FM mode), and I don't have any problems in broadcast FM (WFM). It is hard to tell whether the problem exists in shortwave AM because the broadcasts are fairly wide band to begin with (and the reception in AM, shortwave is not the world's greatest--although it does work better with a 48" antenna).

Either there is something wrong with this particular R10 in SSB only, or there is something peculiar to the way the R10 tunes in USB or LSB relative to the freq actually shown on the readout. That is, maybe there is some sort of SSB offset that is usually adjusted for by most receivers but the R10 does not...thus the slightly off freq. display.

If any of you R10 owners out there would experiment with this SSB tuning and report back, I'd appreciate it. Thanks. And direct email in addition to usenet posting would be appreciated as well so I don't miss your response.

Thanks.

mcgill@dale.cba.ufl.edu

1516. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ck279@torfree.net
Message-ID: <EGLyyp.5CE.0.queen@torfree.net>
Source record: 186043

Thanks for all the people giving me various advice on this subject.
I strongly believe their opinion will be useful to others pursuing in
this area. Here are the conclusion I have so far:
1. RS Pro-26 is basically 25MHz - 1.3GHz LESS the cell. band
2. RS Pro-26 is an excellent scanner, comparable with BC-3000XLT from
uniden. Comparision resport is published in a magazine (Monitoring Times)
and can be reprinted for $3. (See "FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs" posted
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S on 15 Sep 1997)
3. It is advisable to buy a scanner from a reputable scanner/ham radio
store than from RS. They have more knowledgeable staff, more
variety to choose. Sometimes, they can have lower prices.
(Check your local yellow pages, and, of course, do a internet search
on keywords like "amateur radio retailer")
4. Someone advice me bad crystal is common in some models.
--

Philip Tong
hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA

1517. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc2c0$7ae3f380$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 186044

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<341E449D.25D@usa.net>...
> Glenn wrote:
> > So MR. Billy Thunder, just what the hell is your beef
>
> Glenn, look at the headers & compare to Bill Cheek's messages.
>
> Billy Thunder is Bill Cheek.

Who is he? And who are you? He doesn't
post. You do. Nonsense of coarse. The Bay
City guy said you are an idiot. Is he right?

1518. Re: FS Comtel 205 Scanner range 25 to 1300 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ben.lent@pi.net
Message-ID: <341ebe09.866102@news.pi.net>
Source record: 186045

On Fri, 12 Sep 1997 15:11:44 GMT, ben.lent@pi.net wrote:

>
>
>
> prijs Fl 450.-
>
> Tel 0654293969
>
looks like a realistic 2004 or 2006 ?????

1519. Re: Apartment Scanner Antenna Ideas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jemendenhall
Message-ID: <5vmg1h$5gf@phoenix.dse.beckman.com>
Source record: 186046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Bear <NOSPAMBear105@mindless.com> writes: > Will be moving into an apartment and am interested in anybodys ideas
> on scanner antennas. I have read a few books but am more interested in
> what is actually working for somebody.
> The Apt. has a couple of bedroom windows(metallic) and a big wood
> porch. Its located on the 2nd floor of a 3-story bldg, so there is
> somebody above me.
> Stealth is not as important as quality but I have no access to the
> roof.
>
> Please email me with your ideas and concepts.
>
> Cheers, Denis
> --
> --
> bear105@mindless.com
>
> "When virtue has slept, she will get up more refreshed."
> -Nietzsche
> --
>
>

1520. Re: Apartment Scanner Antenna Ideas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jemendenhall
Message-ID: <5vmg4h$5gf@phoenix.dse.beckman.com>
Source record: 186047
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

i have used just a regular mag mount antenna and have had no problems at all. cost is about $30.00 from radio shack.

Bear <NOSPAMBear105@mindless.com> writes: > Will be moving into an apartment and am interested in anybodys ideas
> on scanner antennas. I have read a few books but am more interested in
> what is actually working for somebody.
> The Apt. has a couple of bedroom windows(metallic) and a big wood
> porch. Its located on the 2nd floor of a 3-story bldg, so there is
> somebody above me.
> Stealth is not as important as quality but I have no access to the
> roof.
>
> Please email me with your ideas and concepts.
>
> Cheers, Denis
> --
> ---
> bear105@mindless.com
>
> "When virtue has slept, she will get up more refreshed."
> -Nietzsche
> ---
>
>

1521. John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc2d8$22a20820$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 186048

Mr. Mackiey,

You were overheard thith morning on a 2m repeater thpeaking in a lithp and telling thomeone that you "rode a ferry to work today". The problem ith that the voithe doeth not alwayth carry thyntath like writing.Quethtion ith did you mean "ferry" or "fairy"?

Pleathe clarify. Thank you, thir. You are a gentleman and a thcholar.

1522. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341EC882.77C8A025@juno.com>
Source record: 186049

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Glenn wrote:
> > So MR. Billy Thunder, just what the hell is your beef
>
> Glenn, look at the headers & compare to Bill Cheek's messages.
>
> Billy Thunder is Bill Cheek.

John, Who cares who posted it. Comparing you to vermin is a terrible thing to do to vermin. Nobody has the right to smear a species name with yours.

1523. Re: SportCatMODS NEEDED! DO they EXIST????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <341ee104.67270005@news-server>
Source record: 186050

On Tue, 16 Sep 1997 04:30:00 -0500, "Steve L. Haas" <slhaas@sprintmail.com> wrote:

> I am looking to modify my SportCat to get the full 800 band

Not possible.

> and maybe a few others that arent offered in the scanner.

Like what? The list of possibilities is endless.

> Can the SportCat be modified?

Sure. Start with the case, and paint on some racing stripes
and exhaust flames. Maybe add some drag slicks. Or read on...

> If so how or where do I go about doing it...PLEASE help...I
> would appreciate responses in email.

First you have to decide WHAT it is that you want the scanner
to do that it presently doesn't do or what you want done better
than it does at present.

+--+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+--+

1524. Re: FS: AOR-5000 Full Coverage Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Indresano <joei@emu.com>
Message-ID: <341EC815.C67C6570@emu.com>
Source record: 186051

My mistake.... Christ, this newsgroup is unforgiving....
And yes.... I do want computer control.

ScanSkip wrote:

> Subject: Re: FS: AOR-5000 Full Coverage Receiver
> From: Joe Indresano <joei@emu.com>
> Date: Mon, 15 Sep 1997 12:27:18 -0700
> Message-id: <341D8C16.BADBB9A6@emu.com>
>
> >Ouch... I just ordered one from Finland for $417.
>
> While you're at it, order a brain since you obviously have confused a
> stunningly wonderful base unit with an overpriced handheld. The only way to
> make the AOR8000 remotely useful is to get an interface and software, for
> an extra $200 or so. If you don't need or want computer control, you are
> better off with the Yupi MVT7100 for a hundred bucks less (it's a better
> performing receiver for the money). I know, I've own them both.

1525. Was the R7000 800Mhz Blocked? (was Re: FS: Icom R-7000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341EDB70.4EAF7E2A@juno.com>
Source record: 186052

Ed Tobiasz wrote:
>
> TandKMoran wrote:
> >
> > In article <341C3145.28C7@accesscom.com>, Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
> > writes:
> >
> > >This radio was NEVER blocked so why advertise that it is UNBLOCKED!
> >
> > I though it was marketed for a short while without the 800 Mhz band at
> > all, no?
>
> That was the 7100.

I don't think so Ed. I mean yes the 7100 was blocked but I also recall
the 7000 being marketed with 800 blocked too. Need somebody to go up on
CIS and ask the Icom rep there, he should know. :-)

Glenn

1526. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970916.131704.152@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 186053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.

In article <341E3379.2A38@flash.net>, on Tue, 16 Sep 1997 02:21:29 -0500,
"DavidA." <david084@flash.net> writes:
>bj wrote:
>>
>> Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com> wrote in article
>> <3419B3BE.6B6F@datasync.com>...
>> > Brad Cuppy wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Pooch wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > > > > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill (HR2369). We
>> will be posting a
>> > > > > > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > 73's
>> > > > > > Dan KB8UYZ
>> > > > > > dan@kb8uyz.com
>> > > >
>> >
>> Let the morons in Washington pass any law they want to. With Ms Reno
>> enforcing the law you
>> have nothing to fear. The Japanese will pay off Ms Reno and then flood the
>> country with cheap scanners.
>>
>> l
>
> Youve got a point here. If there is one thing that motivates the
>clinton admin, it is money!
> Maybe we should go to Al Gore and ask him how much it will cost to
>stop this bill! ahahahaha! He might say "oh, about $250,000, and it
>will go away! ahahaha! What a bunch of terds!
>--
>NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
>CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
>http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

Here is a fine example of how David A is a terdbrain. There is absolutely
no radio related content in this posting. Too bad nobody ever taught him
how to behave like an adult(or maybe he is a baby, so this criticism does
not apply). He certainly is immature and technologically incompetent.

1527. MODS Wanted for Opto R11 & Xplorer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: rah72550@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916184600.OAA15734@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186054

Need cell mods or any other mods for these radios.

1528. BANDERCOM????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: stephenn@dpg.devry.edu
Message-ID: <341EF48C.19BC@dpg.devry.edu>
Source record: 186055

Any in the US order from Bandercom lately?
I have been waiting for four weeks for a delivery?

1529. Re: Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Pariseau <tiger@rogerswave.ca>
Message-ID: <01bcc2db$a4582e00$01016f6f@pariseau.rogerswave.ca>
Source record: 186056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

To the best of my knowledge, it is not illegal in Canada.......but is
illegal in the U.S.

ROBERT PENNEYS <radio@copland.udel.edu> wrote in article
<5vkk4n$9hq$1@copland.udel.edu>...
>
>
> I had a debate with someone about scanning cellular frequencies.
>
> It is my impression that it has been illegal to import or manufacture
> for sale to the general public, since about 1994, scanners that covered
> the cellular frequencies. It has been legal for companies to sell
> inventories of such left over since before that date.
>
> Is this correct? What is the band of frequencies involved, and how
> many channels are contained?
>
> Thanks!
>
> Bob
>

1530. Re: US Military: Broadcast-Jamming-Tekbir's Qualification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: rnorton@unm.edu
Message-ID: <5vmuo5ivc1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 186057

The Coast Guard has a vessel used entirely for jamming, as well. I've forgotten her name now, but back in the Reagan years she was stationed off the coast of Nicaragua to jam police, fire and ems frquencies as part of the U.S.'s program of covert terrorism against Nicaragua.

> My point
>in posting this news story on this newsgroup was for one thing, people
>here frequently listen to military air communications and two it
>surprised me (at least) that the U.S. would have a division of aircraft
>whose sole purpose would be to jam the radio waves. Interesting (and
>scary).
>

1531. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Clayton E. Cramer" <cramer@dlcc.com>
Message-ID: <341F09FE.25F9@dlcc.com>
Source record: 186058
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

rick wrote:
>
> DAV... wrote:

> > Yes, most police are good people. But, some are very bad indeed.
> >
>
> very bad how? Won't let teens go around blowing up mail boxes, won't allow
> teens to destroy houses with hammers? Enforce curfew laws? Interupts teens
> driving around shooting people with paint guns?

No, using toilet plungers to brutalize suspects. Shooting and killing
people because they are serving the warrant at the wrong address.
Little
things like that.

You must be a liberal to be so blind to police abuse of power.

1532. Re: Manual for Pro 2026?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail.please
Message-ID: <341ef9dd.20366416@news.zippo.com>
Source record: 186059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 16 Sep 1997 16:45:01 -0400, radio@copland.udel.edu (ROBERT PENNEYS) wrote:

>
>
>Anyone got the manual for a Pro 2026? Let me know...tnx. Bob

I do...but it ain't for sale.

If you want me to look something up for you - I'll post it here.

1533. Manual for Pro 2026?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio@copland.udel.edu
Message-ID: <5vmr4d$6k4$1@copland.udel.edu>
Source record: 186060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone got the manual for a Pro 2026? Let me know...tnx. Bob

1534. Re: R10 - off freq in SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len Revelle <lenrev@wwa.com>
Message-ID: <5vn409$4iq$1@kirin.wwa.com>
Source record: 186061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I own neither radio but it's possible that the SW8 automatically offsets the frequency for SSB modes and the R-10 does not (or vice-versa). To actually be able to understand a SSB signal the receiver has to be tuned up/down from the actual operating frequency.

Len N9IJ

Gary McGill wrote in article <341E9B1C.722F0913@dale.cba.ufl.edu>...>The Problem: When listening to SSB freqs., say 3.945 LSB, I have to
>tune to 3.9464 to hear the voices properly. I know the actual freq is
>3945 because I checked it on my Drake SW8 (and these folks ALWAYS talk
>on 3945). I seem to have the same problem wherever I tune in SSB
>(upper or lower)...that is, I have to tune the R10 slightly off freq
>to hear properly. NOTE that once I tune slightly off freq, the
>reception is actually quite strong and clear--no problems.
>

1535. School Clubs & Ops. Annoucing HamEDU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa4pgm@moonstar.com
Message-ID: <NEWTNews.874426954.18014.wa4pgm@wa4pgm.moonstar.com>
Source record: 186062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
HamEDU Mailing List

HamEDU is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to
clubs and students of schools, colleges, etc...
with ham stations or operators.

* Upcoming Events
* Special Operating Locations
* Announcements
* Club Events
* Contest Results and Soapbox
* Anything Else Related

To join the mailing list, send email as follows:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe hamedu

To be removed from the mailing list, send email as
follows:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe hamedu

To post to the mailing list:

TO: hamedu@qth.net

This reflector is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: wa4pgm@moonstar.com

-----

-----
Submissions hamedu@qth.net
```

1536. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vmqss$dct@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186063

In <341ECB66.FF7CF215@juno.com> Glenn <GHansen@juno.com> writes:
>
>
>You still didn't answer the question John. Nobody has come to your
>defense and all I see is hateful messages pointed at you. Why does
>everyone hate you John? I know the answer but do you?

I think he's still trying to figure out what
a gordian knot is and how he tied himself
up on it ?

then hung himself intwined within

he's taking time out to "think"....

bill conduit
with attitude

1537. FAQ : New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <341F0071.7D1@ibm.net>
Source record: 186064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
*Picking A Scanner/Receiver
*Scanner Glossary
Scanner Models FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargable battery tips
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Newly added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM

Happy reading!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1538. Looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marty (Smiley)" <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <341F1C19.3A4B@ptd.net>
Source record: 186065

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------5372373B4954
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

LOOKING FOR INFO ON CARBON COUNTY, PA. POLICE UNITS 51 AND 52
--

@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
\___/ Email martyrip@ptd.net

Vist the Schuylkill Amateur Repeater Ass.
At http://home.ptd.net/~cakunk/

A good scanner radio page.
At http://www.li.net/~j4dice/stateidx.html

--------------5372373B4954
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; name="CARBON-.TXT"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="CARBON-.TXT"

CARBON COUNTY TELE-COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
POLICE CODES EFFECTIVE 12/01/82
10-1 SIGNAL WEAK - RECEIVING POORLY 10-50 STRUCTURE FIRE
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD - RECEIVING WELL 10-51 BRUSH FIRE
10-3 STOP TRANSMITTING - EXCEPT EMERENCY 10-52 CAR OR FLAMABLE FIRE
PEOPLE PRESENT 10-60 BURGLARY
10-4 OKAY 10-61 ROBBERY
10-5 RELAY TO _________ 10-62 SHOPLIFTING OR THEFT
10-6 BUSY 10-63 BANK OR AUTOMATIC ALARM
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE 10-64 VANDALISM
10-8 IN SERVICE 10-65 ASSAULT
10-9 REPEAT MESSAGE 10-66 BOMB THREAT
10-12 VISITORS PRESENT 10-67 PERSON WITH WEAPON
10-15 PRISONER IN CUSTODY 10-68 STOLEN VEHICLE
10-16 PICK UP PRISONER 10-70 DOG CASE
10-17 PICK UP __________ 10-71 DEAD ANIMAL IN ROAD
10-19 RETUNN TO YOUR STATION 10-72 FIGHT IN PROGRESS
10-20 WHAT IS YOUR LOCATION 10-73 REPORT OF PROWLER
10-21 CALL BY PHONE 10-74 DOMESTIC PROBLEM
10-22 DISREGARD - CANCELL 10-75 SUICIDE ATTEMPT
10-23 STAND - BY 10-76 CALL CORONER
```

10-25 CONTACT - REPORT OR MEET _____ 10-77 CORPSE - LOCATION
10-26 GENERAL BROADCAST 10-78 MISSING PERSON
10-27 OPERATOR'S LICENSE INFORMATION 10-79 DRUNK PERSON
10-28 OWERSHIP REGISTRATION CHECK 10-80 BUILDING CHECK
10-29 RECORDS - WANTED CHECK 10-81 STOPPING VEHICLE
10-30 DANGER - CAUTION 10-82 IN PURSUIT
10-33 HELP QUICK - ASSIST OFFICER 10-83 ASSISTING MOTORIST
10-36 TIME CHECK 10-84 TRAFFIC LIGHT PROBLEM
10-37 BREATHILIZER REQUEST 10-86 ASSIST
OFFICE ON DUTY 10-87 ESCORT
10-41 ON DUTY 10-88 MENTAL CASE
10-42 OFF DUTY 10-89 ____IS CALLING YOU
10-43 NATIONAL WEATER SERVICE BROADCAST 10-90 ARRIVED AT SCENE
10-44 HUMAN - HIT AND RUN 10-91 CALL HOME
10-45 AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENT 10-95 ODSCENE OR THREATENING CALL
10-46 AUTOMOBILE HIT AND RUN 10-97 RECEPTION OR RADIO CHECK
10-47 SEND AMBULANCE 10-98 REPORT TO DISPATCHER
10-48 SEND WRECKER
10-49 HOLDING SUSPECT - RUSH REPLY

CARBON COUNTY EMS CODES
CODE INCIDENT CODE INCIDENT
1 CARDIAC 12 UNKNOWN PROBLEM
2 STROKE 13 AT ONCE TRANSPORT
3 MATERNITY 14 INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT
4 FRACTURE 15 GUN SHOT
5 OVERDOSE 16 ELECTRICAL SHOCK
6 RESPIRATORY 17 UNCONSCIOUS
7 CODE BLUE 18 DROWNING
8 MENTAL PATIENT 19 POISONING
9 DIABETIC 20 LACERATION
10 EPILEPTIC 21 BURN
11 HEMMORRHAGE 22 HEAD INJURY
(A) ADULT (C) CHILD

CARBON COUNTY POLICE UNITS
1-2 LEHIGHTON 70-71 KIDDEN TWP.
11 WEISSPORT 80-82 JIM THORPE
20-21 EAST PENN TWP. 90-91 NESQUEHONING
30-31 MAHONING TWP. 101-102 LANSFORD
40-41 FRANKLING TWP. 103 SUMMIT HILL
51-52 ? ? ? 971-972 WEATHERY
57 COALDALE SCH. CO. 991 BEAVERR MEDOWS
60-62 PALMERTON

NEW UHF FIRE RADIO SYSTEM FREQUENCIES
DESCRIPTION MOB. & PL BASE & PL
F-1 FIRE DISPATCH 465.1750 127.3 460.1750 127.3
F-2 P.E.M.A.R.S. 458.5250 136.5 453.5250 136.5

F-3 CARBON GOV'T 458.7250 118.8 453.7250 103.5
F-4 CARBON TRANSPORTATION 457.7750 118.8 452.7750 103.5
F-5 CARBON FIRE GROUND NORTH 458.5875 127.3 458.5975 127.3
F-6 CARBON FIRE GROUND CENTRAL 453.5875 127.3 453.5875 127.3
F-7 CARBON FIRE GROUND SOUTH 458.1000 127.3 458.1000 127.3
F-8 CARBON SPLINTER - 1 453.8875 127.3 453.8875 127.3
F-9 CARBON SPLINTER - 2 458.8875 127.3 458.8875 127.3
F-10 CARBON SPLINTER - 3 453.6875 127.3 453.6875 127.3
F-11 NESQUEHONING SPLINTER - 4 453.1875 127.3 453.1875 127.3
F-12 NESQUEHONING SPLINTER - 5 453.6675 127.3 453.6675 127.3
CARBON COUNTY PAGING CH. 453.1000

CARBON CO. FIRE ZONES

FIRE GROUND - SOUTH 458.1000 127.3
DISTRICT 1 - AQUASHICOLA
OFFICERS 101,102,103,104,105,106,107,108,109,110
MOBILES 111,131,132,141,151
PORTABLES 191,192,193,194,195

DISTRICT 2 - BOWMANSTOWN
OFFICERS 201,202,203,204,205,206,207,208,209,210
MOBILES 211,231
PORTABLES 291,292,293,294,295

DISTRICT 3 - EAST PENN
OFFICERS 301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310
MOBILES 314,328,336,345,357
PORTABLES 391,392,393,394,395

DISTRICT 4 -FRANKLIN
OFFICERS 401,402,403,404,405,406,407,408,409,410
MOBILES 411,431,441,442
PORTABLES 491,492,493,494,495

DISTRICT 5 - LEHIGHTON
OFFICERS 501,502,503,504,505,506,507,508,509,510
MOBILES 512,514,523,551,575
PORTABLES 591,592,593,594,595

DISTRICT 6 - MAHONING
OFFICERS 601,602,603,604,605,606,607,608,609,610
MOBILES 611,615,631,632,641,642
PORTABLES 691,692,693,694,695

DISTRICT 7 - PALMERTON
OFFICERS 701,702,703,704,705,706,707,708,709,710
MOBILES 711,712,713,714,721,741,742
PORTABLES 791,792,793,794,795

DISTRICT 8 - PARRYVILLE
OFFICERS 801,802,803,804,805,806,807,808,809,810
MOBILES 814,831

PORTABLES 891,892,893,894,895

DISTRICT 9 - TRACHSVILLE
OFFICERS 901,902,903,904,905,906,907,908,909,910
MOBILES 911,912,932,941,942,951
PORTABLES 991,992,993,994,995

FIRE GROUND - CENTRAL 453.5875 127.3PL
DISTRICT 11 - JIM THORPE
OFFICERS 1101,1102,1103,1104,1105,1106,1107,1108,1109,1110
MOBILES 1112,1114,1115,1125,1134,1151,1152
PORTABLES 1191,1192,1193,1194,1195

DISTRICT 12 - PENN FOREST
OFFICERS 1201,1202,1203,1204,1205,1206,1207,1208,1209,1210
MOBILES 1211,1216,1231,1236,1245,1251
PORTABLES 1291,1292,1293,1294,1295

DISTRICT 13 - NESQUEHONING
OFFICERS 1301,1302,1303,1304,1305,1306,1307,1308,1309
MOBILES 1310,1312,1313,1321,1344,1351
PORTABLES 1391,1392,1393,1394,1395

DISTRICT 14 - SUMMIT HILL
OFFICERS 1401,1402,1403,1404,1405,1406,1407,1408,1409,1410
MOBILES 1411,1421,1431,1441,1451
PORTABLES 1491,1492,1493,1494,1495

DISTRICT 15 - LANSFORD
OFFICERS 1501,1502,1503,1504,1505,1506,1507,1508,1509
MOBILES 1510,1512,1521,1541,1551
PORTABLES 1591,1592,1593,1594,1595

DISTRICT 16 - ALBRIGHTSVILLE
OFFICERS 1601,1602,1603,1604,1605,1606,1607,1608,1609
MOBILES 1611,1632,1641
PORTABLES 1691,1692,1693,1694,1695

DISTRICT 17 - LAKE HARMONY
OFFICERS 1701,1702,1703,1704,1705,1706,1707,1708,1709,1710
MOBILES 1712,1713
PORTABLES 1791,1792,1793,1794,1795

FIRE GROUND - NORTH 458.5875 127.3PL
DISTRICT 18 - KIDDER
OFFICERS 1801,1802,1803,1804,1805,1806,1807,1808,1809,1810
MOBILES 1811,1831,1832
PORTABLES 1891,1892,1893,1894,1895

DISTRICT 19 - LEHIGH/LAUSANNE
OFFICERS 1901,1902,1903,1904,1905,1906,1907,1908,1909,1910
MOBILES 1911,1912,1931,1951
PORTABLES 1991,1992,1993,1994,1995

DISTRICT 20 - WEATHERLY
OFFICERS 2001,2002,2003,2004,2005,2006,2007,2008,2009,2010
MOBILES 2011,2012,2013,2031,2051
PORTABLES 2091,2092,2093,2094,2095

DISTRICT 21 - BEAVER MEADOWS
OFFICERS 2101,2102,2103,2104,2105,2106,2107,2108,2109,2110
MOBILES 2111,2112
PORTABLES 2191,2192,2193,2194,2195

DISTRICT 29 - TRESCKOW
OFFICERS 2901,2902,2903,2904,2905,2906,2907,2908,2909,2910
MOBILES 2911,2931
PORTABLES 2991,2992,2993,2994,2995

01 UNITS ARE FIRE CHIEFS NUNBER IN 60'S ARE AMB. UNITS
LEHIGHTON - 501 Fire Chief Lansford -1561 Amb.

--------------5372373B4954--

1539. Re: Digital PCS Phones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: lynros
Message-ID: <5vn2m6$52s@drn.zippo.com>
Source record: 186066

the owners manual of my phone says "in the range of 1.9GHZ". the Sprint operater says that yes it is encrypted and cannot be listened to (at least not yet)

In article <341cc9cd.2942711@news.atcon.com>, halifax_@rocketmail.com says...
>
>What frequencies do the new digital PCS phones operate on????
>They use an unencrypted digital signal,correct??
> Thanks
>
>
>
> ....may be purchasing one.

1540. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F2AFF.716@usa.net>
Source record: 186067

Bill, I see you can't come up with anything rea, so you
resort to simple name calling.

You are pathetic.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> tie yourself up on a gordian knot and hang yourself.
> bill conduit

1541. Re: BANDERCOM????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916220001.SAA05511@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186068

I ordered a MYT7100 on a Thursday. They replied promptly that they did not have the scanner but would have them the following Tuesday. They shipped the scanner on Thursday by airmail from Finland. It arrived 11 days later on Monday.

I am satisfied with my purchase. The price was very right; and they did pretty much what they said they were going to do.

1542. Re: AR8000 Trunk Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudolf Usselmann <rudi@logic1.com>
Message-ID: <341F2EDA.DD@logic1.com>
Source record: 186069

Charles Flinn wrote:
>
> Does any software for the AOR currently monitor the data freq of a trunked
> system to track certain channels ?

The only software I saw is at
http://www.optoelectronics.com/compcontrol.htm
And it's for the mac. Not sure it does what you want ...

rudi
-- ....
Rudolf Usselmann ::ASIC Design, Verification, Modeling, Synthesis::
-- Consultant -- ::650-525-0699 Cel: 415-828-6156 rudi@logic1.com::
Logic One, Inc. :::::::::::: URL:http://www.logic1.com ::::::::::::
..............::

1543. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F2A45.432D@usa.net>
Source record: 186070

The CB Guy wrote:

> Notice if you will folks the quick slight of hand.......The old idiot
> Mackey cannot defend this true statement, so he attacks the bringer of the

Hardly, I dsimply pointed the fact that he must have no life. But since
you want a response (HE DIDN'T ASK FOR A RESPONSE) I can only ask
Glenn to post each message he is referenceing so that I may review
for myself what he is finding. Without knowing exactly what he is
referencing, there is no way to respond with anything meaningful.

> Mackey, (you dolt), you asked for proof of your miserable past, and now
> when someone brings it forward, you call them obsessed???

I see that you are running of of arguements so you are resorting to
name calling. Anyway, he still has NOT posted ANY PROOF, only a
bunch of MEANINGLESS NUMBERS. He couldn't even post a sampling!!!

> I think Glenn spent the time hoping that if the TRUTH were presented to
> you, you might realize your total lack of useful contributions to this ng,
> (not to mention mankind).

Except he didn't post anything, just a bunch of numbers, no real
proof. For example, if I accuse someone of shooting & killing a
person, it means NOTHING for me to say he shot 5 times. I would
have to show the bullet that entered the body & how it killed. Glenn
didn't post ANY examples to support his claim, just gave numbers &
that means nothing. If you can't get that thru your thick head
then you are a bigger fool than I ever thought.

> However, what Glenn failed to realize was that you knew the truth already,

If I knew why would I ask.

Stick around, maybe you'll lern something.

1544. Re: Status of the HR bill on scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vn33o$25ro$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 186071

In article <341d3e91.6191366@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>, lcw999@ibm.net (Leonard Weldon) wrote:

>Anyone know just what the status of the House bill on
>restricting scanners? Or, what's the general opinion on
>its passing without some rewriting?

You can look it up online via Thomas.

>Should there be a massive e-mail campaign?

No. Email is a waste of time when dealing with the government. Some politicians still haven't gotten around to installing those new-fangled fax machines yet. Even if they have email, it is much easier to ignore than a real letter.

>If we just sit around will all scanners be restricted?

Yep. Not just scanners, either. When H.R. 2369 passes and the Communications Act says that it is illegal to "intercept or divulge" most radio traffic, it won't matter what radios are still available. Most uses will be outlawed anyway.

1545. new frequency site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Gross <jtg3592@ksu.edu>
Message-ID: <341F183F.31E0@ksu.edu>
Source record: 186072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

visit http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/1145 for see more
frequencies on milair and airports in kansas.

Jeff
jtg3592@ksu.edu

1546. Scanner Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: avazq12345@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916234401.TAA08054@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186073

Please call me at 201-754-0836 if it's possibel tonigt at 9:00 -10:00 pm
09-16-97 or 09-17-97. Thank You .Tony

1547. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F2DA5.4E20@usa.net>
Source record: 186074

Glenn wrote:

> Unlike you John, I am. In 24 hours you have posted over 15 times. Do
> the math.

Try averaging that out Glenn. I went 2 months without making any
posts.

> > Glenn - GET A LIFE!!!! If you actually have the TIME that you
could
> > count & sort 150 of my posts then you HAVE NO LIFE or are OBSESSED.
>
> Pretty easy actually. I go to a different news server, load RRS, hit
> "name" on my news reader and 150 posts came up with you as the author.
> Might have taken 3 minutes max.

That still means you have no life if that was a priority for you.

> Yea right. Is profanity the way to make this a nice place? Please show
> how your last 50 posts added to maintaining order John.

For example, about a week or 2 ago I made a post. I believe
it was called "attention all" on THIS NG. I pointed out that
KC7DIU had caused a lot of trouble & asked everyone to stop
posting & let the issue die to keep this NG a nice place. Hardly
anyone posted after I made that entry (except for a few idiots).
Regarding profanity, I rarely use it but sometimes certain
individuals require it to make a point clear.

Would you care to discuss all the trouble we had with you
in rec.radio.swap about 18 months ago?

> > have you asked yourself this lately Glenn?
>
> You still didn't answer the question John. Nobody has come to your
> defense and all I see is hateful messages pointed at you. Why does
> everyone hate you John? I know the answer but do you?

That is not at all the case. Infact, just a day or 2 ago I received
an e-mail from a person stating that they supported me on this issue
& like the style I use. Funny, all I ever see is people bitching
about you & Baycitvidgrp. (the post from Glenn Quarnstrom was
a real gut buster!!!!!)

1548. Do u collect ham vehicle tags? HAMTAGS Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa4pgm@moonstar.com
Message-ID: <NEWTNews.874427123.18566.wa4pgm@wa4pgm.moonstar.com>
Source record: 186075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

HAMTAGS Mailing List

HAMTAGS is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to
the discussion of the vehicle.ham tags.

* Wanted
* For Sale
* Events
* Nets
* Announcements
* Collections
* Anything Else Related

To join the mailing list, send email as follows:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe hamtags

To be removed from the mailing list, send email as
follows:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe hamtags

To post to the mailing list:

TO: hamtags@qth.net

This reflector is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: wa4pgm@moonstar.com

Submissions hamtags@qth.net

1549. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F2FDE.466D@usa.net>
Source record: 186076

Eric Oyen wrote:

> John
> Are you a practicing psychologist now?
> If not, you have no rauthority do say what you did (no medical

Eric, you better read the thread AGAIN. I didn't post that breakdown
of the posting with classifications. You need to direct that question
to Glenn Hanson.

> On another point, Glenn is also corect about the numbers of people
> that have posted telling you to "shut up".

Really??? What proof do you have to back that up?

> All told about 60 folks have advised you similarly, 5 have sided with
> you and 460 had no comment. (total: 525).

Again, please post examples. Your numbers mean NOTHING.

1550. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F30DA.585@usa.net>
Source record: 186077

The CB Guy wrote:

> In article <341E4328.4477@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF writes:
>
> >Typical CB'er big mouth.

> Can't argue a fact eh Mackey??

You have YET to give ANY FACTS to argue.

> Here's a challange you boob.......Post one relevant scanner article in
> this newsgroup.....I DARE YOU!!!
> Com'on, you gonnna take the challange or not?????

No Prob, I'll do it tonight.

1551. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F31B0.5D82@usa.net>
Source record: 186078

Typical Bill Cheek. We have asked him several times to identify himself
& all he does is side step the issue with fancy foot work. Notice
he never said who he is & only did the following.

Billy Thunder wrote:
> Who is he? And who are you? He doesn't
> post. You do. Nonsense of coarse. The Bay
> City guy said you are an idiot. Is he right?

1552. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <874460222.638523@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 186079

John you should really run for president, you could out side step clinton
anyday. :-)

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote in article <341F2DA5.4E20@usa.net>...

>
>Glenn wrote:
>
>
>> Unlike you John, I am. In 24 hours you have posted over 15 times. Do
>> the math.
>
>Try averaging that out Glenn. I went 2 months without making any
>posts.
>
>
> > > Glenn - GET A LIFE!!!! If you actually have the TIME that you
>could
>> > count & sort 150 of my posts then you HAVE NO LIFE or are OBSESSED.
>>
>> Pretty easy actually. I go to a different news server, load RRS, hit
>> "name" on my news reader and 150 posts came up with you as the author.
>> Might have taken 3 minutes max.
>
>That still means you have no life if that was a priority for you.
>
>
>> Yea right. Is profanity the way to make this a nice place? Please show
>> how your last 50 posts added to maintaining order John.
>
>For example, about a week or 2 ago I made a post. I believe
>it was called "attention all" on THIS NG. I pointed out that
>KC7DIU had caused a lot of trouble & asked everyone to stop
>posting & let the issue die to keep this NG a nice place. Hardly
>anyone posted after I made that entry (except for a few idiots).
>Regarding profanity, I rarely use it but sometimes certain
>individuals require it to make a point clear.
>
>Would you care to discuss all the trouble we had with you
>in rec.radio.swap about 18 months ago?
>

>
>> > have you asked yourself this lately Glenn?
>>
>> You still didn't answer the question John. Nobody has come to your
>> defense and all I see is hateful messages pointed at you. Why does
>> everyone hate you John? I know the answer but do you?
>
>That is not at all the case. Infact, just a day or 2 ago I received
>an e-mail from a person stating that they supported me on this issue
>& like the style I use. Funny, all I ever see is people bitching
>about you & Baycitvidgrp. (the post from Glenn Quarnstrom was
>a real gut buster!!!!!)

1553. Scanning Information (and for Az)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Short, B.K." <shortckt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <341F2FE6.40B6@primenet.com>
Source record: 186080

Please see my web page for a large collection of
information and general frequencies:
http://www.qsl.net/k7on/scan/scan.htm

There are also frequencies and other information specific
to Arizona and the SouthWest United States.

-Brian http://www.qsl.net/k7on

1554. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F33E7.5A29@usa.net>
Source record: 186081

Hello again Bill Cheek.

I wonder how you could hear a two meter repeater I was on when my
current
2 meter radio is broken awaiting parts?

I also wonder how you could hear me on a 2 meter repeater
when YOU are in San Diego according to the ISP you are using
& the NNTP posting host you are using?

2 meter FM does not carry more than say 60 miles unless VERY exotic
& unusual conditions exist, which have not happened recently.

As usual, Bill Cheek, you are once again using your old favorite of
gay accusations to try to incite trouble.

Anyone with any questions can (using netscape) click on "options",
then "show headers", & then "all". Look at the "posting host"
& "path" lines. They match EXACTLY between Billy Thunder &
Bill Cheek. "Thunder" is an old nick name of Bill's from his
childhood!

Billy Thunder wrote:
> Mr. Mackiey,
> You were overheard thith morning on a 2m repeater
> thpeaking in a lithp and telling thomeone that you

1555. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F34C9.490E@usa.net>
Source record: 186082

yea, but it isn't half as bad as I have heard them say about YOU.

Glenn wrote:
> John, Who cares who posted it. Comparing you to vermin is a terrible
> thing to do to vermin. Nobody has the right to smear a species name with

1556. New Yupiteru MVT-9000 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard <rav@in.net>
Message-ID: <341F3F95.B2977278@in.net>
Source record: 186083
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a brand new in the box never used Yupiteru MVT-9000 handheld scanner for sale. 531khz-2039 mhz no gaps. Org. box and manuals,acc.,receipt etc.

$500 shipped

E-mail if interested.

Richard

1557. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ma5ter@127.0.0.1" <ma5ter@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <341F3B52.4034@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 186084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Paul Flaherty wrote:
>
> Ike <ike@one.net> wrote in article <3418C1CC.633D@one.net>...
> > The only reason you are modifying the electronics
> > is to do something that is not legal ... it's the
> > same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
> > so when you transmit your signal, it causes
> > interference with nearby radios & tv's. You
> > do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
> > the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
> > that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
> > in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
> > big house ... why don't you spend your time
> > doing something constructive?
>
> The basis of all of this is the Communications Act of 1934, which replaced
> earlier and more arcane legislation that was found to be Unconstitutional.
> For starters, the Act explicitly covers most of the situations you mention;
> one is allowed to listen to the electromagnetic waves traversing one's
> body, so long as you don't repeat what you've heard to someone else,
> especially for profit. This has been the law for over six decades. It
> balances the rights of both parties to a degree that has stood the test of
> time. Given the ready availability of a technical solution (cryptography)
> to the problem you describe, I see no reason to unbalance that which has
> served so well.
>
> As an aside, any attempt to regulate radio reception is very likely to be
> found Unconstitutional, or not enforced, ala the Electronic Communications
> Privacy Act of 1986.
>
> Now, as to some of the minor bits:
>
> There are plenty of legitimate reasons to hack radios. 30-50MHz is prime
> spectrum for watching meteor trails, but not much in the way of gear is
> made to do this out of the box. I have a couple of Mocom 10s that I've
> "slid up" from 45 MHz to 51 for use as meteor radars. These are neither
> illegitimate nor illegal, since I have the proper ticket hanging on my
> wall.
>
> Tweaking the gain on a CB set usually results in splatter, which is only
> somewhat harmful, and not likely to attract much attention. The cause of
> much RFI tends to be tweaked gain *and* beefy linear amplifiers, which are
> easy to nail down.

>
> As to constructive use of my time, well, bouncing radio waves off of meteor
> trails happens to be one of my chosen forms of recreation, in addition to
> posting to net.ham-radio, which can be more or less productive.

Excellent post, very to the point and on the mark. Too bad the
"issued-gun-and-badge" crowd here will miss your relvance, and that of
your post.

1558. RELM SCANNERS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gary.saffer@channel1.com
Message-ID: <40.64970.2433@channel1.com>
Source record: 186085

j> Just wondering if anyone uses any of the base model units and if so
j>what do you think of it's performance?
j> Thank you for your help.

AFAIK, the base/mobile scanners have not been released yet. They were supposed to be out in June, but RELM has delayed production. At least that is what I heard from ACE Communications a couple of months ago.

OTOH, I do have a HS-200, and I can't say enough good about it. My review follows. You will note that there are a couple of things about the radio that I wish were different, but overall it is a great radio. If it had TT capabilities, it would be *almost* perfect.
I've lived with a RELM HS-200 for several months, and thought I would share some of my observations, since this seems there seems to be a bit of interest in reviews of the radio.

The Relm is the best handheld scanner that I have ever owned, and I have owned lots of them over the past 20 years. This includes HX 1000/1200/1500 radios, two BC 200XLTs, and numerous crystal handhelds. In addition to a very sensitive and selective receiver, it has lots of user friendly features.

The radio has 200 channels in 10 banks of 20 channels. The display is fairly large, and relatively easy to read. Programming is pretty easy, even without the manual. The scanner is fairly small in size, but has keys that are easy to see and use, with good spacing between them. Despite it's small size, the scanner has a solid feel, not cheap or toy like. The top controls are easy to use, and their are three of them, volume, squelch, and channel. The channel selector can be used to stop scanning, step to a channel, and program in the CTCSS or DCTCSS. This is useful if you know a particular tone frequency, but don't have the manual with the conversion chart. The radio has pretty good volume for a portable, and can drive an ear phone or external speaker quite easily. External power and speaker jacks are on the side so you can lay the radio down, or stand it up to use it without a cord getting in the way. The radio uses regular AA batteries or NiCads and can be recharged while it is running. Battery life seems good, again, as a subjective measure. It will also work from a cigarette lighter cord. To my ear sensitivity and selectivity are excellent. The stock rubber duck works well, and seems to be pretty rugged. Add an external antenna either in a car or at home, and the range is terrific.

There are a few of things that I don't like about the radio, some minor, some more important. Here they are.

1) You can't turn off the damned beep. Come on RELM, this is silly.

2) There is no way to put the display light on for more than three seconds. Again, a silly oversight.

3) The delay, following long established Regency/RELM practice, is all or nothing, not selectable by channel. In a radio of this type, this is almost inexcusable. No, it is inexcusable. After all, every other scanner manufacturer has this feature, why has Regency/RELM never done it?

4) No trunk following. Okay, who knew Uniden was going to do that? Maybe RELM will license the technology for future versions. That's if Uniden will sell it.

5) Perhaps the most annoying. No way to search for CTCSS or DCTCSS. You can search for frequency, but you can't search for tone. That makes no sense, especially when you consider that it is harder to find tone information than it is to find frequency information.

6) Display could be bigger.

7) Computer programming would be nice. And while we are at it, an Alpha display would be nice. At my advanced age, it's tough to remember almost 200 frequency assignments.

8) The channel selector knob tends to fall off, and should have a set screw instead of pressure fit. {Late note, they have a new one that fixes the problem, and will ship it out to you if you call customer service, 1-800-422-6281)

9) The power and earphone jacks are on the side. Not the worst place, but putting them on the top would be better.

None of these would make me not buy the radio. At the relatively cheap price of about $250.00, it's a heck of a scanner.

Gary

* CMPQwk 1.42 129 *Barfignugen: Car Sickness in a Volkswagen.

1559. Bearcat sportscat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Wragg <webmaster@tigdata.com>
Message-ID: <341F3BCE.4D2B@tigdata.com>
Source record: 186086

Does anybody know of any mods for this radio?

1560. Re: Digital PCS Phones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: halifax_@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <341e9957.34599203@news.atcon.com>
Source record: 186087

On 16 Sep 1997 15:53:58 -0700, lynros wrote:

>the owners manual of my phone says "in the range of 1.9GHZ". the Sprint
>operater says that yes it is encrypted and cannot be listened to (at least not
>yet)
>
>In article <341cc9cd.2942711@news.atcon.com>, halifax_@rocketmail.com says...
>>
>>What frequencies do the new digital PCS phones operate on????
>>They use an unencrypted digital signal,correct??
>> Thanks
>>
>>
>>
>> ....may be purchasing one.

..hmmmm???
Probably just digital,in which case
all that would be needed would be
a DSP scanner(digital)??????
Right?
I dunno for sure,that's why I asked.
Thanks for any input!

1561. Re: Was the R7000 800Mhz Blocked? (was Re: FS: Icom R-7000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoSpam.n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <NoSpam.n9jig-ya023080001609972204000001@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 186088

The R7000 was never blocked. It was pulled from the civilian market when the law required it, and the R7100 was cell blocked (actually the entire 800-900 band removed.

The R7000 was/is still sold to government and commercial users, as is unblocked R7100's.

When I was on CIS this same conversation was had and this was the end result of it.

In article <341EDB70.4EAF7E2A@juno.com>, Glenn <GHansen@juno.com> wrote:

> Ed Tobiasz wrote:
> >
> > TandKMoran wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <341C3145.28C7@accesscom.com>, Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >This radio was NEVER blocked so why advertise that it is UNBLOCKED!
> > >
> > > I though it was marketed for a short while without the 800 Mhz band at
> > > all, no?
> >
> > That was the 7100.
>
>
> I don't think so Ed. I mean yes the 7100 was blocked but I also recall
> the 7000 being marketed with 800 blocked too. Need somebody to go up on
> CIS and ask the Icom rep there, he should know. :-)
>
>
> Glenn

--
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
ScannerMaster Illinois Communications Guide
CARMA The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association
http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

1562. Re: Was the R7000 800Mhz Blocked? (was Re: FS: Icom R-7000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341F4AC6.AE25205F@juno.com>
Source record: 186089

Rich Carlson, N9JIG wrote:
>
> The R7000 was never blocked. It was pulled from the civilian market when
> the law required it, and the R7100 was cell blocked (actually the entire
> 800-900 band removed.
>
> The R7000 was/is still sold to government and commercial users, as is
> unblocked R7100's.
>
> When I was on CIS this same conversation was had and this was the end
> result of it.

All right Rich! At least there is one of us that hasn't lost their
memory.

Whoops make that two....sorry Ed! See, did it again. :-)

Glenn

1563. FS: Weltz 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: lwalker@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <341F5C5F.BFB28A5@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

For Sale: Weltz 1000 hand held scanner. 400 channel. Smallest
scanner in the world. .5MHz-1300MHz (no gaps). Uses 2 AA batterys.
Original antenna, manual & box. Many many programable features.
New condition. A review of this scanner can be seen at http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/WS1000.HTM

$430. E-Mail me if interested

1564. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341F4E0B.94C04F34@juno.com>
Source record: 186091

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Unlike you John, I am. In 24 hours you have posted over 15 times. Do
> > the math.
>
> Try averaging that out Glenn. I went 2 months without making any
> posts.
>
> > > Glenn - GET A LIFE!!!! If you actually have the TIME that you
> could
> > > count & sort 150 of my posts then you HAVE NO LIFE or are OBSESSED.
> >
> > Pretty easy actually. I go to a different news server, load RRS, hit
> > "name" on my news reader and 150 posts came up with you as the author.
> > Might have taken 3 minutes max.
>
> That still means you have no life if that was a priority for you.

OK John, you don't think I have a life. WOW! Like I care. The fact still
remains I posted the truth and all you can do is say I don't have a
life. Guilty as charged!

> > Yea right. Is profanity the way to make this a nice place? Please show
> > how your last 50 posts added to maintaining order John.
>
> For example, about a week or 2 ago I made a post. I believe
> it was called "attention all" on THIS NG. I pointed out that
> KC7DIU had caused a lot of trouble & asked everyone to stop
> posting & let the issue die to keep this NG a nice place. Hardly
> anyone posted after I made that entry (except for a few idiots).

Yea right John. You actually believe you drivel? Who are you to call
attention to anything? As your first sentence says, you started it.

> Regarding profanity, I rarely use it but sometimes certain
> individuals require it to make a point clear.

No ignorance and poor breeding is the excuse for profanity in a public
area, period! Please argue that one John.

> Would you care to discuss all the trouble we had with you
> in rec.radio.swap about 18 months ago?

When this thread die, I would love to but we aren't discussing me John.
Quit doing your little side step and trying to change the subject.

>
> > > have you asked yourself this lately Glenn?
> >
> > You still didn't answer the question John. Nobody has come to your
> > defense and all I see is hateful messages pointed at you. Why does
> > everyone hate you John? I know the answer but do you?
>
> That is not at all the case. Infact, just a day or 2 ago I received

Do you even know what day it is. A day or two ago, sounds like the start
of a story to me.

> an e-mail from a person stating that they supported me on this issue
> & like the style I use.

One message. HAHAHAHA! OTOH, that has to be overwhelming support
compared to what you are used to.

> Funny, all I ever see is people bitching
> about you & . (the post from Glenn Quarnstrom was
> a real gut buster!!!!!)

Please John show me ALL the posts bitching about me. How lame.

1565. Re: antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Feiler <feilerm@iea.com>
Message-ID: <341F681A.6CB2@iea.com>
Source record: 186092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

They might be running a duplex system. The tower part of the
conversation would then be on a different frequency. The military often
uses non-standard offsets (I guess it's a security thing) so I can't
advise you there. Scan around the frequency where you heard the planes,
you might get lucky.
Good luck.

Smoky Hill Bombing Range, the largest
> in the U.S. is only 20-25 miles from here. While I normally don't have
> a problem listening to the aircraft, the tower becomes a problem. I
> never hear the tower part of the conservation.

1566. ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mackey Poll Taker <John@denial.sickness.mental.illness>
Message-ID: <341F7A17.4EE1187F@denial.sickness.mental.illness>
Source record: 186093

This is the place to discuss the John Mackey Vote.

The ballot looked like this........

1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.

2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.

3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?

4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.

5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.

I think it would be best for all to exclude John Mackey from entering this discussion for obvious reasons. As we all know he can post all he wants but it would be best to skip reading John Mackey's messages and certainly don't reply to any of his accusations or flames. IOW, if he posts just ignore him. Leave his lies and flames unanswered and let him flap in the wind by himself, ignored by all.

Thanks and have fun!

1567. **VOTE HERE**JOHN MACKEY VOTE**VOTE NOW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mackey Poll Taker <John@denial.sickness.mental.illness>
Message-ID: <341F79F1.B30A64D5@denial.sickness.mental.illness>
Source record: 186094

This is a ballot not an essay test.

Answer TRUE or FALSE only please.

1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.

2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.

3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?

4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.

5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.

Please, reply with just five true or false replies as answers. Post you
discussions in another message.

Thanks

1568. Re: **VOTE HERE**JOHN MACKEY VOTE**VOTE NOW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F7F63.72E5@usa.net>
Source record: 186095

OBVIOUSLY, this person who is TOO CHICKEN to use his REAL
NAME is GLENN HANSON posting from his IBM internet account.

netscape users click on "options", then "show headers", then
"all". Compare the headers (like "path", "posting host", &
"X-Mailer". They are the same for Glenn & this poster.

How pathetic that people can't be real & honest.

Mackey Poll Taker wrote:
>
> This is a ballot not an essay test.
>
> Answer TRUE or FALSE only please.

1569. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F7E1C.E42@usa.net>
Source record: 186096

Glenn wrote:

> OK John, you don't think I have a life. WOW! Like I care. The fact still
> remains I posted the truth and all you can do is say I don't have a
> life. Guilty as charged!

NO, you didn't post truth, only a bunch of numbers. No facts to support
it, just numbers.

> > For example, about a week or 2 ago I made a post. I believe
> > it was called "attention all" on THIS NG. I pointed out that
> > KC7DIU had caused a lot of trouble & asked everyone to stop
> > posting & let the issue die to keep this NG a nice place. Hardly
> > anyone posted after I made that entry (except for a few idiots).
>
> Yea right John. You actually believe you drivel? Who are you to call
> attention to anything? As your first sentence says, you started it.

Yes, I started the post to put a end to it all. THat is more than could
be said for you as you want to fan the flames. It did end for a little
while until you & a couple other stirred it all up again.

You should be ashamed of your selves for your behavior.

> No ignorance and poor breeding is the excuse for profanity in a public
> area, period! Please argue that one John.

Doe to ignorance & poor breeding, some people only understand
profanity.

Perhaps you don't have enough experience it life or know people
well enough to understand that.

> > Would you care to discuss all the trouble we had with you
> > in rec.radio.swap about 18 months ago?
>
> When this thread die, I would love to but we aren't discussing me John.

Yea, I thought you would want to shuffle/slide out of that!

> One message. HAHAHAHA! OTOH, that has to be overwhelming support
> compared to what you are used to.

Just because I only give one example does not mean there is only
one. Besides, it is better than your submissions.

> > Funny, all I ever see is people bitching
> > about you & . (the post from Glenn Quarnstrom was

> > a real gut buster!!!!!)
>
> Please John show me ALL the posts bitching about me. How lame.

I'm sorry, my error. That was about "baycitvidgrp".

1570. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F7E77.220E@usa.net>
Source record: 186097

Go read the previous thread.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> Wow...are you losing it. Where is it here i am calling you
> names your mommy never considered "the real you"...?
> simple name calling" ... you say. I am at a loss for
> connection here. What is a mackey getting at here.

1571. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <Mikka@sle.com>
Message-ID: <341EFF86.78E7@sle.com>
Source record: 186098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.firefighter,alt.

Joseph Feng wrote:

> Here is a fine example of how David A is a terdbrain. There is absolutely
> no radio related content in this posting. Too bad nobody ever taught him
> how to behave like an adult(or maybe he is a baby, so this criticism does
> not apply). He certainly is immature and technologically incompetent.

Say listen Joseph. If you are going to play internet cop why don't you
try and obey your own rules and quit cross posting to
alt.law-enforcement. Take your radio geek stuff and that incredible ego
of yours and shove off.

M

1572. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916005401.UAA29507@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186183
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: Re: Bill
>Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF
>Date: Mon, 15 Sep 1997 16:33:42 -0700
>Message-id: <341DC5D6.5163@usa.net>
>
>
>Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
>
>> You got in here and opened your nig mouth and decided to badmouth Cheek
>> in your first sentence. Is that enough?
>
>NO it is not enough!! I simply stated the TRUTH & FACTS. Can you
>find ANYTHING I said that was NOT factual (& have proof to back it up)?

Mackey....you are an idiot.
My proof....the previous dozen or so posts by you....
You would argue the fact that you argue wouldn't you?
Poor little Mackey.....mommy won't pay any attention to him, so he must
play on the ng's...
(I'd rather you played in trafiic)

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1573. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <341dd2e2.13558368@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 186184

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

> let's here it for the IDIOT OF MACKERAL...the
> mackey....

<blah, blah, blah>

> bill conduit
> etc.

Knock it the hell off, Conduit. Anyone who can't write, spell,
punctuate, or format any better than you do has no room to call anyone
an idiot.

All I've seen you do here for the past year or so is kiss Bill Cheek's
ass and stir up flame wars. Go away. Nobody'll miss you, and maybe
this newsgroup will settle down to what it's designed to do; discuss
scanning and scanners.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1574. Re: Off Topic: Re: Radio Shack Con Job?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: shutton4@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916041801.AAA10950@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186185

I have shopped at the Shack for many years...have seen outright lies, blunders, and slick sales ptiches. Employed in the land mobile radio field, I get a kick out of inocenntly sharpshooting the clerks. Just got hired to work at the local Shack part time (radio money), I am especially sensitive to the image they have among us...any of you doing business in the Heidelburg, PA store, please rest assured I'll do my best, and if I don't know, will do what ever it takes. Most importantly, I shall set a good example for those I work with....I think RS is trying to move away from a work force that is only concerned with sales...Several years back I was told by a manager I wasn't "slick" enough, and wouldn't make a good salesman....I intend to prove him wrong, and dazzle those with technical competence and service excellence!!!
Sincerely,
Ed Hutton

1575. Re: Ham op.license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: shutton4@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916042300.AAA17201@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186186

Try telling that to the pigs.
Ed

1576. >>> ICOM R-100 RECEIVER <<< 9/16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfsam@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916043200.AAA11912@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186187

```
ICOM R-100 RECEIVER
MOBILE OR DESKTOP USE
0.1 - 1856 MHz COVERAGE WITH NO GAPS (receives cellular)
MODES - AM, FM, Wide FM (WFM)
WEIGHS ABOUT 3 POUNDS
EXCELLENT CONDITION
$575 E-MAIL RfSam@aol.com IF INTERESTED
```

1577. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vl1kl$824@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186188

In <01bcc250$31f2cfe0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com> "Billy Thunder"
<bthunder@san.rr.com> writes:
>
>What about this Mackie? He does more
>harm than the other guys. I did some searching and
>cant find anywhere that Mackie is friendly and
>helpfull to anybody. He always starts trouble.
>Do you like him?
>
>Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net> wrote in article
><341dd2e2.13558368@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>> myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>>
>> > let's here it for the IDIOT OF MACKERAL...the
>> > mackey....
>>
>> <blah, blah, blah>
>>
>> > bill conduit
>> > etc.
>>
>> Knock it the hell off, Conduit. Anyone who can't write, spell,
>> punctuate, or format any better than you do has no room to call
anyone
>> an idiot.
>>
>> All I've seen you do here for the past year or so is kiss Bill
Cheek's
>> ass and stir up flame wars. Go away. Nobody'll miss you, and maybe
>> this newsgroup will settle down to what it's designed to do; discuss
>> scanning and scanners.
>> --
>> glenq@cyberhighway.net
>> WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM
>>
>> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>>

a bit past tepid huh glen g

i guess you want things a certain way
and refuse to allow creative people
to have their unique way of say.

i guess you want things your way or else
refusing your brain new stimulation
makes you a grouch and verminated louse

quit trying to make people eat your smell
in your sock
quit trying to make me accept your banal
as improving the stock

allow the energy people bring
to here
allow your attitude to uplift
more than head
on a warm beer.

bill conduit
etc.

1578. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vl19p$dt@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186189

In <5vk9t3$f1j@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) writes:
>
>
>
> The NEW site.
>
> breaking news
>
> the idiot of mackeral has been promoted
> and will now be found in the following
> site:
>
> http://edge.edge.net/~lynns/stupid/stupid.html
>
>
> He is an Einstein amoungst the group he now
> has complete power over.....
>
> let's hear it for the IDIOT OF MACKERAL...the
> mackey....
>
>
> and to think we helped him get this edifice of
> justifiable edification noticed and confirmed.
>
> we are proud of you. Hear...Hear. .
>
>
>

now what is that you were complaining to
the mackey about....alias: idiot of mackeral.

since when do i stroke the Cheek's guy's boner.
hey, he makes sense if you allow it to happen.
He's helped more people than any other in this
current pod called: "we own this NG"....

the Cheeks guy has style, has gutz and he don't
take no shit from any of you....

....what is the question?

bill conduit
etc.

1579. Re: Michigan Scanner Laws and Amatuers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <341E0982.4FAB70C9@mich.com>
Source record: 186190

John Masters Jr. wrote:

> STOP TELLING EVERYONE LIES!!!!!!!!!!!!!NOONE CAN OPERATE A SCANNER IN
>
> THEIR VEHICLE UNLESS THEY GET A STATE PERMITE.YOUR NOT GETTING YOUR
> STORIES STRAIGHT

Your information is as bad as your grammar.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1580. NEW BCT 10 F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gaylakate@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916003601.UAA28012@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186191

Brand new in box- preprgrammed-great for travelers- has Highway Patrol, State Police, and local police. Why program when you can just turn it on and go. In original box with all the acc. $100.00 w/ shipping

1581. John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc235$69ec99a0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 186192

Who or what is this Mackey character? He seems
to be a vermin after observing his posts for a week.

1582. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916005701.UAA23791@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186193

>>Subject: John Mackey
>From: "Billy Thunder" <bthunder@san.rr.com>
>Date: 16 Sep 1997 00:13:52 GMT
>Message-id: <01bcc235$69ec99a0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
>
>Who or what is this Mackey character? He seems
>to be a vermin after observing his posts for a week.
>
>
>

SAVE THE VERMIN!!!!!!!

How DARE you compare fuzzy cute little vermin to that dispicable Mackey??
Vermin the world over are cringing because of that comparison....

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1583. FS:GE AM/FM/Scanner (collectable?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmiew@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <341dd6df.131358946@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 186194

For sale or trade:
GE Searcher. This is an AM/FM - Scanner portable radio. The AM/FM is standard stuff. But this radio has a 4 channel scanner built into it. It has 4 LED's for the scanner with 4 channel enable switches. Auto/manual scan switch. Manual scan button. Squelch slide pot. There are 4 seperate analog adjustment knobs to set channel frequency. There is a built in analog meter that shows 150 - 174 Mhz on the scale. This is used for setting the frequency. It is about 12"W x 10"D x 3"H. It is portable with a carry handle. It can run off AC or batterys. The model number is 7-2995A with a 1543 date code.

I'm offering this for sale for it's collectible value if any. The radio is kind of rough. It's dirty with a little paint splatter. The top of the telescoping antenna is broken off. There is some corrosion in the battery compartment. The AM/FM works okay. I played around with a channel and got some paging tones, but I couldn't get NWS. The one scan channel doesn't work (bad switch?).

Asking $20.00 plus shipping. Will consider trade for scanning stuff.

1584. AOR AR8000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: rush129584@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970916005700.UAA23818@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186195

AOR AR8000 handheld for sale. Only 6 months old in excellent condition. Receives 100khz-1950 MHZ.Includes all 800 MHZ with no gaps. U.S. Govt model. Includes Ni-Cad batteries and charger. $500 includes shipping within the U.S. If interested send an E-mail to RCappy1@aol.com

1585. new UPS installation has radio trailer and antenna mast with 2 220mhz antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris451@hotmail.com.net
Message-ID: <341ed9c5.74385381@netnews2.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186196

UPS is installing a new loading facility at Cedar Rapids, Iowa; it is
located at a highway interchange. They installed a radio trailer with
a large radio mast (6' diam at the bottom going to 3' diam at the top,
with a triangular radio antenna bay at the top. There were two long
antennas there which are either vhf or 220 but seem too big to be
800.. The facility is under constructoin and it is not active yet. I
have heard some 220 activity during tropo openings. If you have a UPS
facitity with one of the radio towers check 220-222 and see if its on
there. I expect them to finish construction in a couple of months or
less. The trailer must be a factory made thing. They just plop it
down at the bottom of the tower.
kill filter all spam domains

1586. Pro 43 sold!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <5vlaud$mao@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 186197

yes the pro 43 is sold thanks for all the replies

1587. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Birge <birge@colorado.edu>
Message-ID: <341E2E8D.7A35015B@colorado.edu>
Source record: 186198

Well, a large portion of radio hobbyists are zit faced introverts who never got past the second grade intellectually and spend all their time at home listening to the social lives of others. Clearly, a large portion of the people involved in the above playground "debate" fit this profile. That's what it has to do with scanners, I guess...

-Jonathan

Jon27787 wrote:
>
> This has to do with scanning how??????????????????????

--
Jonathan Birge <birge@colorado.edu>
Optoelectronic Computing Systems Center
University of Colorado, Campus Box 525
Boulder, Colorado 80309-0525

Office: ECEE 237
Voice: (303) 492-5605
Fax: (303) 492-3674

1588. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DavidA." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341E32FB.2CB5@flash.net>
Source record: 186199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Don or Darla Arnold wrote:
>
> Brad Cuppy wrote:
> >
> > Pooch wrote:
> >
> > > > >
> > > > > Please let us hear your comments on this new bill (HR2369). We will be posting a
> > > > > page of viewer comments within the next several days.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73's
> > > > > Dan KB8UYZ
> > > > > dan@kb8uyz.com
> > >
> > > I'll be glad to call and SUPPORT the bill. My conversations are none of
> > > your business!
> >
> > FLAME BAIT ALERT !!!!!!!!! I'll bite. If you keep it on a
> > landline phone or encrypt, otherwise, it is fair game.
>
> HELLO ALL
>
> this is not about being legal or not..this is not about someone having a
> life or not..THIS IS ABOUT 1 MORE WAY YOUR GOVT INTRUDES on your daily
> life...they should not do this this is a bad bill not thought out and
> not
> well written..go back an ACTULLY READ the bill and think about what it
> says an DO NOT REACT EMOTIONALLY...no the govt is not all bad however
> this particular bill is...I also believe that those out there that dont
> want conversations heard should get techonology oriented and encrypt
> or get freqq hopping phones and radios, they are out there.. you got
> locks for your houses and i could noame many more exampels..locks only
> keep honest people honest..however back basics..this is your govts way
> of trying one more time to CONTROL you
>
> DON
> nci-1081

Someone needs to get the bill, edit it a bit so that the important
areas are easy to find, and post it here! ASAP!
--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:

http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1589. Tanforan Mall Security San Bruno,CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: spade@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vlf51$srs@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 186200

Hi All,

I am looking for the mall security frequencies. They use to use
151.895 which was patrolled by Fox Security. They are no longer in
business. Any ideas???

TIA,

Tony

1590. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341E449D.25D@usa.net>
Source record: 186201

Glenn wrote:
> So MR. Billy Thunder, just what the hell is your beef

Glenn, look at the headers & compare to Bill Cheek's messages.

Billy Thunder is Bill Cheek.

1591. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341E42FC.5260@usa.net>
Source record: 186202

Glenn wrote:

> Every time Cheeks' name comes up it is followed by 30-50 posts a week by
> you.

30-50!!!!!! Come on now Glenn, BE REAL.

> The last 150 posts made by you on RRS break down this way.
> 146 - profanity, tantrums and flames pointed at Cheek

Glenn - GET A LIFE!!!! If you actually have the TIME that you could
count & sort 150 of my posts then you HAVE NO LIFE or are OBSESSED.

> Please tell us again how everyone else is a troublemaker and you are a
> saint and RRS's salvation using the above.

Due to the posts of SOME individuals (like YOU & Bill) it has
caused others (like ME) to work harder to maintain order & keep
this NG a nice place.

> Why does everyone hate you?

have you asked yourself this lately Glenn?

1592. MOTOROLA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ric Marshall <cfpa418@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <34206DB6.401B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.firefighters

I HAVE A MOTOROLA MTS2000 MODEL 3 HT, DOES ANYONE WITH THIS RADIO HAVE
IT PROGRAMED TO DO PAGING?
OR WILL IT RECIEVE PAGES?
WHAT VERSION OF SOFTWARE ARE YOU USING IF IT DOES?
E MAIL ME AT
cfpa418@ix.netcom.com

1593. FS: Pro 2006 with OS 456 upgrade - Chicago area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gregory <gregory@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <34207B21.107A@megsinet.net>
Source record: 185854

This great scanner has been neglected, and needs to find a home where it will be loved. Make me an offer. I'd prefer to sell locally.

-Greg

1594. * 6 New Mailing Lists: Sangean AOR GE Ramsey Tandy Uniden *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Short, B.K." <shortckt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <34207B01.FE3@primenet.com>
Source record: 185855

```
* 6 New Mailing Lists: Sangean AOR GE Ramsey Tandy Uniden *

1. Sangean
2. AOR
3. GE
4. Ramsey
5. Tandy
6. Uniden

Digests and Archives at QTH.Net

Further information: http://www.qth.net
Also, my home page: http://www.qsl.net/k7on

Also, http://www.qsl.net http://www.swl.net

--

>>>> info sangean
Sangean is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured or distributed
by Sangean.

* Enhancements, Modifications
* Collectors
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Sangean Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe sangean

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe sangean

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: sangean@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qsl.net

-----
```

>>>> info aor
AOR is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured or distributed
by AOR.

* Enhancements, Modifications
* Collectors
* Buy/Sell
* Anything AOR Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe aor

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe aor

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: aor@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qsl.net

>>>> info ge
GE is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured or distributed
by GE.

* Enhancements, Modifications
* Collectors
* Buy/Sell
* Anything GE Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe ge

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe ge

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: ge@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qsl.net

>>>> info ramsey
Ramsey is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured or distributed
by Ramsey.

* Enhancements, Modifications
* Collectors
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Ramsey Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe ramsey

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe ramsey

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: ramsey@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qsl.net

>>>> info tandy
Tandy is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured or distributed
by Tandy.

* Tandy, Realistic, Radio Shack, Archer, etc.
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Collectors
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Tandy Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe tandy

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe tandy

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: tandy@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qsl.net

>>>> info uniden
Uniden is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of equipment manufactured or distributed
by Uniden.

* Bearcat, Uniden, etc.
* Enhancements, Modifications
* Collectors
* Buy/Sell
* Anything Uniden Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe uniden

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe uniden

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: uniden@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: k7on@qsl.net

QTH.Net Digests and Archives (for subscribers)
(c) 1997 k7on@qth.net All Rights Reserved Worldwide

What about Digests and Archives?

Below are examples for the 'FooBar' list. You can substitute any valid
QTH.Net list name for 'FooBar' (it is a dummy place holder).

A. Digests

All lists at QTH.Net have digests available.

You can subscribe to a digest and periodically receive the compiled
messages rather than individual messages.

All list digests are configured for a size limit of 40,000 bytes.
However, most of the files are around 16k to 30k. This is because
majordomo will NOT send a digest larger than the limit. If a new
message pushes beyond the limit, it sends the accumulated, putting

the new message in the NEXT digest.

To subscribe to a digest, subscribe to listname-digest also or instead.

If you are switching from non-digest to digest, you must unsubscribe
listname and subscribe listname-digest.

It is an entirely seperate subscription, but both digest and non-digest
subscribers are permitted to post to the non-digest list.

Here is an example for the FooBar List:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe FooBar-digest
unsubscribe FooBar

B. Archives

All messages sent via reflector are archived and are available for
access through majordomo.

You can send a command to majordomo@qth.net and in the body type:

index FooBar-digest

and get a list of files that are available by request.

Here is an example:

>>>> index FooBar-digest
total 116
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 14169 Sep 2 18:09 v01.n042
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 18100 Sep 3 11:27 v01.n043
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 15322 Sep 4 14:11 v01.n044
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 13490 Sep 6 11:15 v01.n045
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 16382 Sep 9 18:39 v01.n046
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 14823 Sep 11 08:40 v01.n047
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 16872 Sep 12 01:04 v01.n048

To retrieve one of these files, send an email to majordomo@qth.net.

In the body of the message, type:

get FooBar-digest v01.n048

The file will be emailed to you, automagically!

73, Brian

1595. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34203F66.2B03@juno.com>
Source record: 185856

Matt Walker wrote:
> Mackey Poll Taker wrote:
> > This is the place to discuss the John Mackey Vote.
> >
> > The ballot looked like this........
> >
> > 1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.
> >
> > 2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.
> >
> > 3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?
> >
> > 4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.
> >
> > 5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.
> >
> > I think it would be best for all to exclude John Mackey from entering
> > this discussion for obvious reasons. As we all know he can post all he
> >
> > wants but it would be best to skip reading John Mackey's messages and
> > certainly don't reply to any of his accusations or flames. IOW, if he
> > posts just ignore him. Leave his lies and flames unanswered and let
> > him
> > flap in the wind by himself, ignored by all.
> >
> > Thanks and have fun!
>
> CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
> are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....
CONGRATULATIONS! We don't give a damn, Mr. Matt Walker! By announcing
this, you open yourself up to all kinds of flames. Of course, you
already KNEW this.

1596. Re: Any ideas on how to get school frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: eugened@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917235501.TAA04977@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185857

<<My children go to a public school in California. I work near enough to be able to receive the motorola handhelds that are common on campus. I would like to be able to keep abreast of school activities especially here in earthquake country. I don't want to spend the money on a Scout because I am not interested in collecting a lot of frequencies. The school is pretty stuffy so I don't think they would happily give up the frequencies. Help please?>>

The best freqs to try are in the 154 MHz range. A very popular freq in alot of schools (including my school) is 154.600 MHz. A simple search of 154-155 will bring something up. Also, if you can get hold of a transiver to look at, the freq will be printed on the label. Good Luck.

N3ZVM

1597. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: paschrome@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <342099A4.6E07@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 185858

Ron M wrote:
>
> Hi,
> If you have not purchased a Pro-26 yet I have a MINT, like new, one that
> was purchased in Europe with full 800mhz coverage, no gaps!, with a new
> leather case and manual. I will ship to canada for a total of $350.
> Email me if interested.
> Ron M
>
> H.Y. Tong wrote:
> >
> > I have been listening to shortwave for several years and this fall I want
> > to start listening in the VHF/UHF area. Last week I went to a local radio
> > shack to find a unit that have wide frequency coverage. I find out a
> > Pro-26 is on sale at Cdn$400, the sales told me it has continuous coverage
> > from 25MHz up to 1.3GHz!
> > Unfortunately, the model will be discountinued next year. I'm considering
> > buying it. Is it worth the money?
> > --
> > --
> > Philip Tong
> > hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
> > Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
IN Canada presently the 26 is at 399.00 your 350 with exchange became
530.00 so he is better to buy a new one,but it will be cheaper for
him to wait one month apprx. because they will be soon discontinued.
Andre

1598. Re: TO: Phil Gramm, Kay Bailey Hutchinson, and Bill Archer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael O. Silverthorne" <mosilver@netnitco.net>
Message-ID: <34208D05.2773DC2C@netnitco.net>
Source record: 185859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.boats.electronics

Chris you have to stop holding in all that stuff!! and stop holding back..TELL us how you really FEEL!!!
WD9EOU Mike S/V - No Se'

1599. Re: Mods for BC235XLT????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917215100.RAA25336@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185860

First you tout up the fact that you are selling BC235XLTs at your company's web site for a lot more than most companies are selling it.

Now, you want cell mod info for your customers?

Geez, thanks for letting me know that you have absolutely no clue about what you are selling. I'll be sure to take my business elsewhere.

1600. Re: Stop the BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: gnoza@gulftel.com
Message-ID: <342758bd.1730528@news.pen.net>
Source record: 185861

On 17 Sep 1997 19:27:03 GMT, bbrower103@aol.com (BBrower103) wrote:

> Enough is enough, this Mackey stuff has gone far enough. I'm getting
>tired of checking the NG just to find 3/4 of the posts are nothing but BS
>about some fool named Mackey. I'm sure I'm not alone. It's obvious that
>nobody likes him, so don't lower yourselves to his level. Ignore him and
>maybe he'll go away.Lets just get back to the subject at hand SCANNING!!!
I just activated the twit filter on my reader and no more Mackey stuff
appears from him or as subject matter. Thank you for filters.

Andrew Gnoza
WWWebologist

1601. Re: Q: Baltimore Gas & Electric trunked comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: user <ka3jjz@erols.com>
Message-ID: <34204E53.60DE@erols.com>
Source record: 185862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,clarknet.radio.scanner

Charlie Wilkinson wrote:
>
> I'm looking at getting a BC-235xlt TrunkTracker, but noted that it
> does not support anything besides Motorola trunked systems. So what
> I'm wondering specifically is -- Does BG&E use Motorolas? I thought
> I remembered something from waaay back that they are using a Johnson
> trunking system. :-(
>
> Related question. Does anyone know if there's anything else in the
> MD/VA/DC that _isn't_ Motorola-based trunking? Specifically the
> AA Co. area.
>
> Thanks for any info!
>
> -cw
>
> --
> ~~~~~~
> Charlie Wilkinson - cwilkins@boink.clark.net
> Parental Unit, UNIX Admin, Homebrewer, Cat Lover, Spam Fighter, Maintainer of
> Radio For Peace International Website: http://www.clark.net/pub/cwilkins/rfpi
> ~~~~~~
> CLOBBER INTERNET SPAM: See!! <http://spam.abuse.net/>
> Join!! <http://www.cauce.org/>
> ~~~~~~
> QOTD:
> The doctrine of human equality reposes on this: that there is no man
> really clever who has not found that he is stupid.
> -- Gilbert K. Chesterson
Yes, BG&E does indeed use Motorola systems, tho my net members haven't
dug into the groups and maps quite yet. As to things that aren't
Motorola there's only 1 public safety trunk system that isn't- Ocean
city which uses Ericcson/GE. 73s Mike

1602. NEW: Macintosh Amateur Radio Logging Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kmb@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <kmb-1709972231010001@user-2k7i91s.dialup.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185863

"HAMLOG 2.0" HAM RADIO LOGGING SOLUTION FOR THE MACINTOSH!

HamLog 2.0 is a full-feature, easy to use, Ham Radio logging template that works with Claris FileMaker Pro 2.0 & 3.0. If you use a Macintosh computer and are into Amateur Radio, check out the web page address below:

http://www.mindspring.com/~kmb/hamlog/hamlog.html

Download the fully functional HamLog demo or view actual screenshots of HamLog in action.

1603. FS: Pro-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joel Gallagher <joelkeri@america.com>
Message-ID: <342081E9.1080@america.com>
Source record: 185864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For sale:
Pro-2022: 200 channels/10 banks, VL-Air-VHF-UHF-800(no gaps in 800's)
$200

1604. Re: WTB: Scanner for cordless or cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <34208254.7DAA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 185865

And we wonder why Congress is passing laws on scanning.....

RG wrote:
>
> uh you want us to help you commit a federal offense?
>
> greg
>
> In article <341878CC.702D@dynanet.com>, msmeed@dynanet.com wrote:
>
> > WTB a scanner to pick up cordless phones.
> > Any suggestions?
> > Thanks,
> > Mike

1605. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <874549000.160792@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 185866

Does that include you?

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

Matt Walker wrote in article <3420044C.5D41FFCF@mail.com>...

>CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
>are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

1606. Re: Just Say No

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan <ks4hr@injersey.com>
Message-ID: <5vpsmi$h55$1@news.injersey.com>
Source record: 185867

Better than ignore, use the filter to block msg from those alias or about
the subject they always rehash!!

--
Bryan ks4hr@injersey.com

ScanSkip wrote in article <19970917231501.TAA26097@ladder01.news.aol.com>...

>Do not respond to any post from Mackey, Hansen and his various aliases,
>Cheek, who is passing himself off as Thunder, Mackey, Baycityguy, CB Guy,
>and that wacko who has responded to everyone of those guys' 1234 posts with
>a messages saying that he intends to ignore them.
>
>Ignore them. They start this crapola every 3-6 months and once they
>exhaust themselves, they'll go away.

1607. Re: Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <874549695.114428@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 185868

Birdies, Its a internally generated signals from within the scanner.Check
your owners manual,There should be a list.

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

Chris Poulier wrote in article <3420168B.CF035BA3@geocities.com>...

>Hi,
>
>When I scan the cordless phone freq's my scanner always seems to get
>stuck on 46.7300 and I can hear just a hissing noise. Does anyone know
>why?
>
>Thanks.
>
>Chris.
>

1608. Re: US Military: Broadcast-Jamming-Tekbir's Qualification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <342081CE.6C39@hotmail.com>
Source record: 185869

Coast Guard Jammer mission described below, yes; Rivet Fire or other
Psy-Ops planes, no. Jamming and replacing broadcasts in another country
really doesn't cut it. Scanner listeners like listening to things not
meant for public consumption, right?

Fascinating, but just one slice above the other trivial non-scanner
related crap on this ng.

Post to rec.aviation.military

Steve S

Bob Norton wrote:
>
> The Coast Guard has a vessel used entirely for jamming, as well. I've forgotten
> her name now, but back in the Reagan years she was stationed off the coast of
> Nicaragua to jam police, fire and ems frquencies as part of the U.S.'s
> program of covert terrorism against Nicaragua.
>
> > My point
> >in posting this news story on this newsgroup was for one thing, people
> >here frequently listen to military air communications and two it
> >surprised me (at least) that the U.S. would have a division of aircraft
> >whose sole purpose would be to jam the radio waves. Interesting (and
> >scary).
> >

1609. Re: Just Say No

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <34207CCF.2B4EF0C3@mail.com>
Source record: 185870

ScanSkip wrote:

> Do not respond to any post from Mackey, Hansen and his various
> aliases,
> Cheek, who is passing himself off as Thunder, Mackey, Baycityguy, CB
> Guy,
> and that wacko who has responded to everyone of those guys' 1234 posts
> with
> a messages saying that he intends to ignore them.
>
> Ignore them. They start this crapola every 3-6 months and once they
> exhaust themselves, they'll go away.

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in
thisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

1610. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34209FCF.3E49@usa.net>
Source record: 185871

I agree, it is a lame excuse. Sorta like letting the TV run all night because you were to tired to turn it off. But still, that is why the radio is not working. As I said, I rarely spend time on 2 meters so it is not a priority to me.

The CB Guy wrote:
> A MIC CORD??......a lame excuse at best.

1611. Trunk Tracker HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Todd A. Mc Govern" <TODDAMCGOVERN@WORLDNET.ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <34209CE6.757A61F7@WORLDNET.ATT.NET>
Source record: 185872

Just got my new Trunk Tracker and am having trouble programming it. I am trying to program the NJSP trunk system in and am having no luck.

Any help would be appreciated!!

1612. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420A493.13AC@usa.net>
Source record: 185873

Eric I give up, you don't understand what you are talking about, you can't even follow this thread correctly. Stick your head back in your ass where it belongs.

Eric Oyen wrote:
> Why, it wasn't quoted from him, it was in your own words.

1613. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420A39B.54C1@usa.net>
Source record: 185874

The CB Guy wrote:

> Very good Mackey, although lacking in content, it was a valid post.
snip
> It also has not occurred since I issued my challange to you.

I don't believe you asked for one AFTER your request, you just asked
for one. So I gave it to you as you requested. Now you are changing.

> The only weeping I'm doing is when I read this drivel of yours. You defend
> yourself only by accusing others.

No I use honest facts. Just like above, I presented you with a factual
post as you requested. Now you are trying to change the rules to give
yourself a point to bitch from.

> No-one has come to your defense, which
> one would THINK would give one a clue that he's not welcomed or supported

I have not needed or asked for others to come to my defense as MANY of
you have. (the voting thing that Glenn Hansen anonymously posted was
pathetically deperate)

> Unlike you, I will no longer be involved in this flamefest.
> I challenge you to shut up too.

I have been asking you guys to shut up & go away so thanks.

1614. Re: **VOTE HERE**JOHN MACKEY VOTE**VOTE NOW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpm3@NOSPAM.seanet.com
Message-ID: <dpm3.1611.0057BFF2@NOSPAM.seanet.com>
Source record: 185875

In article Mackey Poll Taker <John@denial.sickness.mental.illness> writes:

>Answer TRUE or FALSE only please.

>1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.

FALSE!!

>2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.

FALSE!!

>3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?

TRUE!!

>4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.

FALSE!!

>5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.

TRUE!!

==========
"I distrust a close-mouthed man. He generally picks the wrong time
to talk and says the wrong things. Talking is something you can't do
judiciously unless you keep in practice"
- Casper Gutman, The Maltese Falcon
========== This .sig exceeds Plate "C" specifications ==========

1615. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420A5A2.3EC4@usa.net>
Source record: 185876

Who is Kohn Mackey? I would like to find out if I
am related to Kohn Mackey.

1616. Re: Stop the BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420AA0E.578A@usa.net>
Source record: 185877

An OBVIOUSLY troll to try to stir up trouble again.

How sad.

BBrower103 wrote:
> Enough is enough, this Mackey stuff has gone far enough. I'm getting
> tired of checking the NG just to find 3/4 of the posts are nothing but BS
> about some fool named Mackey. I'm sure I'm not alone. It's obvious that

1617. ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420AB91.5CF8@usa.net>
Source record: 185878

A few weeks ago I posted a similar message. Perhaps a second
request is needed.

PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE people stop the bulshit type of
trouble making posts on this newsgroup, you allknow who you are.

PLEASE, help keep this NG a nice place.

1618. Re: Just Say No

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420AC27.4F2A@usa.net>
Source record: 185879

That's true. The same bunch of trouble makers seem to raise
their heads about that often.

ScanSkip wrote:
> Ignore them. They start this crapola every 3-6 months and once they
> exhaust themselves, they'll go away.

1619. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34209D86.A0D@usa.net>
Source record: 185880

NO, I did not launch a personal attack. However, I did respond to a personal attack with truth.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> Now here is an excellent example of John Mackey launching a MILD
> personal attack. Its still a personal attack, though.

1620. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420A1BD.1331@usa.net>
Source record: 185881

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> To John Mackey,
> I know Bill Cheek's E-mail address and his isp address, the path in this
> message does NOT match. Your assertion that Billy Thunder is, in fact,
> Bill Cheek is wholly proven to be false.
> Care to try again?

You obviously do NOT understand.

Go look at the path AGAIN, at the beginning (th FAR right hand side)
you will find this "newsfeed.san.rr.com!usenet".

You will also find this: "NNTP-Posting-Host: dt2h3n25.san.rr.com".

Check BOTH the above. You will find them the same for BOTH
Bill Cheek & Billy Thunder.

1621. Re: antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Ruark <nbruark@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <34209152.1E87@pacifier.com>
Source record: 185882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radar wrote:
>
> Your TV Antenna may work, 50/50, if reception is your problem. If it
> has the "older" 300 ohn wires you can purchase a transformer to 75 ohm coax
> type "F" connector. (the common cable connector). to connect to your rx buy an
> adaptor, Type F female to BNC male. All can be purchased at Radio-Shk. or its
> equal.

There IS no equal to Radio Shack....everything else is better!

1622. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420A86A.6DE2@usa.net>
Source record: 185883

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> "Brian Parry" writes:
> >I am new to this NG and I must say I am now very embarrassed by it.
> Reading
> >all the flames and character assualts makes wish I wasn't part of the
> >hobby. It is the behavior of immature posters that create negative
> >stereotypes for the hobby. Please, everyone, keep this NG an
> informative one and not a place for spoiled brat behavior.

Well said Brian, VERY well said.

The behavior that certain individuals have displayed on this NG
is VERY discouraging. Only it the last couple weeks this seriously
flared up. Please just hang on.

> to Brian:
SNIP
> as such, the idea festers and it's just a mad
> mad rush to the fun-part of the ng. After all
> when you see Mackey...you should know that it

MUCH MORE BULLSHIT SNIPPED

How sad that Bill needed to try to use this as a chance to
point fingers when he could have simply said, "yea that is
right, let's change". Bill has been one of the BIGGEST
starters of trouble here & he shouldn't point fingers.

1623. WS 1000 Yupiteru 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Ruark <nbruark@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <3420AA95.5240@pacifier.com>
Source record: 185884

Looking for good used Welz 1000 or Yupiteru 7100.....willing to pay up to $325.00 USD for 1000 and $375.00 USD for 7100....must be in top shape & working condition, please.....manuals would also be nice....

If you have one to sell, please e-mail with price and payment instructions....

Nick

1624. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3420B8A1.382D@juno.com>
Source record: 185885

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> NO, I did not launch a personal attack. However, I did respond to a
> personal attack with truth.
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > Now here is an excellent example of John Mackey launching a MILD
> > personal attack. Its still a personal attack, though.
Why didn't you quote it then, word for word?

All I could see in the exchange was a personal attack by you. There was
very little quaotble, and nothing even relavant to your remark.

1625. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3420B9F1.76F7@juno.com>
Source record: 185886

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > To John Mackey,
> > I know Bill Cheek's E-mail address and his isp address, the path in this
> > message does NOT match. Your assertion that Billy Thunder is, in fact,
> > Bill Cheek is wholly proven to be false.
> > Care to try again?
>
> You obviously do NOT understand.
>
> Go look at the path AGAIN, at the beginning (th FAR right hand side)
> you will find this "newsfeed.san.rr.com!usenet".
>
> You will also find this: "NNTP-Posting-Host: dt2h3n25.san.rr.com".
>
> Check BOTH the above. You will find them the same for BOTH
> Bill Cheek & Billy Thunder.
John,
there are 48,000 Users on that system. How can you absolutely prove it
to be Bill Cheek? In fact, everytime Bill even posts on here, you have
to make some kind of snide or rude commentary about his background,
technical expertise or some such. You may think I don't understand.
Contrary to that belief, I do understand very well. I didn't start
speaking up until recently because I got tired of your tirades.

All you would have to do to absolutely confirm that Bill is the guilty
party, is to get the message ID number, combine that with a path and
sent an e-mail to admin@san.rr.com requesting conformation of the
author's Identity. The post said letter (including all paths, posting
host, etc here where we can see it. Only then will you have credibility.

As far as I am concerned, this discussion is done.

Anything other than the information requested shall be deemed
unimportant and ignored.

1626. John Mackey errors again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art Wells <artwell@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3420D06D.F8D76D8D@ibm.net>
Source record: 185887

John Mackey--KA0SSF (Kilowatt Alpha Zero Super Stupid Fool) wrote.

> OBVIOUSLY, this person who is TOO CHICKEN to use his REAL

A name does nothing for the Poll. The poll speaks for itself John.
Have you voted yet?

> NAME is GLENN HANSON posting from his IBM internet account.

A big internet expert like you should know.

> netscape users click on "options", then "show headers", then
> "all". Compare the headers (like "path", "posting host", &
> "X-Mailer". They are the same for Glenn & this poster.

Ok, here are the paths.

Hanson
post=news1.ibm.net!newsm.ibm.net!ibm.net!news2.ibm.net!129.37.106.173
Poller post=news1.ibm.net!129.37.106.215

John, they look different to me. IBM offers 3 news servers. Hanson uses
the news2 server, the Poller uses the news1 server. Oh, my IBM account
is assigned news1. You seem to be in error here.

Now the posting host.

Hanson post=129.37.106.173
Poller post=129.37.106.215

Not the same John. You seem to be in error here.
I wonder what mine is?

The X-Mailer---

Hanson post=Mozilla 4.02 [en] (Win95; I)
Poller post=Mozilla 4.02 [en] (Win95; I)

You finally got one John. Wow. They both are using Netscape Communicator
4.02 and Win95. I bet there aren't more than a few million people using
that combo John. Oh, I use the same combo also.

Comes down to one of two things John.

1. You have to be the stupidest person on the net.
or
2. You don't know what you are talking about.

Both fit you, don't they?

Now, how do I get Hanson to pay for my account?

Art Wells

****
You lived here all your life?

Not yet.
****

1627. Wanted: AR-8000 W/ Mods...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vic DeVore <VictorD@Shadow.net>
Message-ID: <341F5FA4.52C72E50@Shadow.net>
Source record: 185888

I am seeking an AR8000 with full coverage. If you can help, call me at:

954.943.9700
or e-mail me:
VDeVore@ntwk.com
My name is Vic DeVore, thanks.

1628. Test -- Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Nelson <scottnel@iw.net>
Message-ID: <341FD9F7.120E@iw.net>
Source record: 185889

Test Test Test
--
http://scream.iw.net/~scottnel

1629. Scanner/Legal Mailing lists.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mtiernan@world.std.com
Message-ID: <EGnqIu.3F5@world.std.com>
Source record: 185903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Having heard enough rumblings from the direction of the
Capital, I decided that we need a centralized discussion area
for any legal action we wish to take regarding scanning.

So, I created this list (and it's digested counterpart).

To subscribe to this list, send a message like this:
To: scan-legal-request@nomad.n-reading.ma.us
Subject: subscribe
[Message Body] subscribe

The list is available in digested form too. It's address is:
To: scan-legal-digest-request@nomad.n-reading.ma.us
Subject: subscribe
[Message Body] subscribe

Digests go out once a week, Monday mornings.

Tell your friends and spread the word!

Items open for discussion are things like how we can educate
our legislators and the media.

--
<< MCT >> mtiernan@world.std.com
Michael C Tiernan. WWW = http://world.std.com/~mtiernan
Is God a performance artist? GEnie: M.Tiernan

1630. WACARS Version 0.2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Roberts <mike@mcmail.com>
Message-ID: <341FFD09.3DCBD391@mcmail.com>
Source record: 185904
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

WACARS version 0.2 has been released!!

ACARS Decoding using your sound card!!

Changes From Previous Version

1. Just About Everything!!
Better Fully Synchronous Decoder.
Built in Signal Strength and CPU Load Meter.
Multi-Document Interface.
Automatic Logging to Disk.
Automatic Sorted Lists of Flights and Aircraft Contacted.
Custom Logs for Specific Aircraft.
And Much More........

Check out THE WACARS PAGE

http://www.mike.mcmail.com/acars.html

M.Roberts

Content-Disposition: inline; filename="acars.html"
Content-Base: "http://www.mike.mcmail.com/acars.html"

WACARS Version 0.2

WACARS - ACARS Reception and Decoding Software For Windows

WACARS Version 0.2 is the latest version of the highly popular
ACARS decoder for Windows PCs.

So Far other people have been to
investigate WACARS too.

Changes From Previous Version

1. Just About Everything!!
Better Fully Synchronous Decoder.
Built in Signal Strength and CPU Load Meter.
Multi-Document Interface.
Automatic Logging to Disk.
Automatic Sorted Lists of Flights and Aircraft Contacted.
Custom Logs for Specific Aircraft.
And Much More........

System Requirements

1. A PC with Windows 3.1 or later,

The program needs quite a bit CPU of horsepower. It has only
run on my P150 so far, so I don't know the minimum CPU spec.
I have had a report of the previous version working on a DX2-66.

2. Soundcard capable of recording at 19.2 KB/sec.

This is a non-standard rate. It might not be possible on some
older soundcards. Nobody reported any trouble with the
previous version though.

3. Radio receiver capable of recieving ACARS data and an audio cable
for connection to soundcard.

LICENSE

WACARS v0.2 is FREEWARE. This software may be freely copied and
distributed providing that the program and accompanying files
are not modified in any way without prior written permission of the author.
Any charges made for distributing this software may not exceed that
of the cost of the distribution media. You are granted a license to use
this software providing you accept the disclaimer below.

DISCLAIMER

Although this product has been tested by the author, he accepts
no responsibility for any damages caused by the use or misuse of
this software. This software is distributed 'as is' with no warranty
expressed or implied. The author will not be responsible for any
losses incurred, either directly or indirectly, by the use of this software.
Use this software entirely at your own risk. If you do not agree
to these terms then you must not use this software.

INSTRUCTIONS

Unzip package into a new dirrectroy.

WACARS.INI needs to be then copied into your Windows directory.

Connect the output of your radio to the line-in/aux-in of your
sound card.

Adjust the recording level using your mixer utility to achieve
a signal level of about 50%-70% when an ACARS signal is present.
You also need to make sure that there is no 'pick-up' in the
background. I find that I get loads pick-up from my monitor.
I managed to get rid of it by adding some inductance to the audio
lead and moving the radio to the other side of the house.

All the last 10KB of decoded data is displayed in the raw data
log. Any packets which are of the correct format are then displayed
in the message log. The aircraft registration and flight number
are also loged in the appropriate lists. The message log shows

the last 10KB of message data but automatically logs all the data
to a date stamped file. The flight and aircraft logs can be saved
too.

A log for a specific aircraft can also be created. This log will
filter off all the messages for a specifed aircraft.

Sample Screen Shot

Click Here To Down Load

WACARS FAQ

Q.1. My Sound Card Setup only mentions 11Khz 22Khz and 44Khz..
WINDOWS 95 only mentions CD quality, radio quality....

A. Don't worry, the software attempts to setup the non-standard rate
when the program starts. If your soundcard can't do 19K2 it'll
tell you.

Q.2. What frequency should I use?

A. Europe 131.725
US. 131.550,130.025,129.125
Asia 131.450
Air Canada 131.475

Q.3. Where can I find more information about ACARS?

A. Click Here

Q.4. How do I make "Unknown Airlines" who's callsign is "UN" to the
system?

A. Add the line "UN=Unknown Airlines" to the [Airline] section of the
wacars.ini file. Only the first two characters are used though. ABC=ABC
Airlines will have to be shortened to AB=ABC Airlines.

Q.5. I want to make mode label "AC" expand to "My new message". How do I do
that?

A. Add the line "AC=My new message" to the [Modes] section of the wacars.ini
file.

More FAQ's as they come in........

Mike Roberts, mike@mcmail.com

1631. FS: BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: furtek@email.unc.edu
Message-ID: <furtek-1709971134240001@pc327.uncaa.unc.edu>
Source record: 185905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,triangle.forsale

Plain-jane 200xlt, good 200 channel portable scanner with 800MHz.

No mods, not cell-capable.

Asking $150 shipped, insured, or best offer.

Will consider all kinds of trades, mobile scanners, Mac s/w or h/w, stereo equip for home or car.

E-mail if interested.

Thanks,

Joel

--
Joel Furtek
919/962-8277 -- furtek@email.unc.edu
Head Coach, North Carolina Women's Rowing
America's Oldest Sport -- Carolina's Newest

1632. RS 2004, problem in WFM mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Huss <steven.e.huss@tek.com>
Message-ID: <3420079E.4001@tek.com>
Source record: 185906

Don't use WFM mode too often but I've noticed that when I first turn the scanner on and manually punch in a FM radio or TV frequency I get no sound at the normal volume level while in WFM mode. If I turn volume up to max I can just hear the station but it's very attenuated (all this with squelch in the zero position). I do get normal sound levels with the mode selected to AM or NFM with of course bad copy. If I search the FM radio spectrum with squelch set to zero the scanner never stops as would be expected and does in AM or NFM modes

At times the scanner works normally. Not sure if this is due to warm up or just some intermittent problem. Any words of advice before I might send it in for repair or just live with it.

Thanks,

Steve

1633. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970917.091828.059@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 185907
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <341F09FE.25F9@dlcc.com>, on Tue, 16 Sep 1997 15:36:46 -0700,
"Clayton E. Cramer" <cramer@dlcc.com> writes:

>
> (only political garbage....no radio content at all)
>
>

Ole Clayton Cramer is another person who is so dumb that he/she/it
insist on polluting newsgroups with postings that are totally irrelevant.
Proof positive that Clayton Cramer is stupid and has an overblown ego.

1634. Re: Manual for Pro 2026?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: prografx@teleport.com
Message-ID: <5vp31p$3n6$1@nadine.teleport.com>
Source record: 185908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

radio@copland.udel.edu (ROBERT PENNEYS) wrote:

>Anyone got the manual for a Pro 2026? Let me know...tnx. Bob

Can be ordered inexpensively from RS at 1-800-THE-SHACK

Steve

1635. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: patrick.mccraughtch@juno.com
Message-ID: <341b9dc6.6780601@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 185909
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> newsgroups. He is so self-absorbed in his mental masturbation that he does
> not have the competence, courtesy, or maturity to post his rantings and
> ravings only in the relevant areas. If he were not so selfish and childish,
> maybe we could feel sorry for him. Too bad he does not have any friends or
> a real life.

Are you the pot or the kettle? You should grow up; such childish
ranting as you posted above shows a lack of maturity, more than you
claim his posts show. It's amazing how omniscient you are to know so
much about him. Amateur psychologist are we?

1636. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Adair <adair@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <5vp5fs$ji1$1@news.usf.edu>
Source record: 185910

marc_k wrote in article <5vgff2$hf6$3@alice.walrus.com>...
...
>In fact, the above statement IS correct...only the call sign is
>needed...BUT it can be rough to convince a police officer that you are
>licensed without showing him/her something official, so I strongly suggest
>carrying your ham license at all times(or at least when you are in a motor
>vehicle with a scanner/broad-banded ham unit).

I keep a copy of the Florida law (which is similar) and my ham license
in the glove compartment.

--
Jonathan Adair, KF4LFG
kf4lfg@bigfoot.com
http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/7330/

1637. Re: **VOTE HERE**JOHN MACKEY VOTE**VOTE NOW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34200962.10C67AEB@juno.com>
Source record: 185911

Mackey Poll Taker wrote:
>
> This is a ballot not an essay test.
>
> Answer TRUE or FALSE only please.
>
> 1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.
FALSE
>
> 2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.
FALSE
>
> 3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?
TRUE
>
> 4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.
FALSE
>
> 5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.
TRUE

1638. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <342002E8.C58AA25C@mail.com>
Source record: 185912

Mackey Poll Taker wrote:

> This is the place to discuss the John Mackey Vote.
>
> The ballot looked like this........
>
> 1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.
>
> 2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.
>
> 3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?
>
> 4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.
>
> 5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.
>
> I think it would be best for all to exclude John Mackey from entering
> this discussion for obvious reasons. As we all know he can post all he
>
> wants but it would be best to skip reading John Mackey's messages and
> certainly don't reply to any of his accusations or flames. IOW, if he
> posts just ignore him. Leave his lies and flames unanswered and let
> him
> flap in the wind by himself, ignored by all.
>
> Thanks and have fun!

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

1639. Re: WTB: Scanner for cordless or cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: royalgala@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <royalgala-1709971137190001@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185913

uh you want us to help you commit a federal offense?

greg

In article <341878CC.702D@dynanet.com>, msmeed@dynanet.com wrote:

> WTB a scanner to pick up cordless phones.
> Any suggestions?
> Thanks,
> Mike

1640. Re: Any ideas on how to get school frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: royalgala@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <royalgala-1709971141030001@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185914

Have you tried searching the Percom database?

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

have fun

In article <19970912071201.DAA10148@ladder02.news.aol.com>,
yaesu50r@aol.com (Yaesu50R) wrote:

> My children go to a public school in California. I work near enough to be
> able to receive the motorola handhelds that are common on campus. I would
> like to be able to keep abreast of school activities especially here in
> earthquake country. I don't want to spend the money on a Scout because I
> am not interested in collecting a lot of frequencies. The school is pretty
> stuffy so I don't think they would happily give up the frequencies. Help
> please?
> NoUnsolicitedJunkMailYaesu50R@aol.com

1641. Re: Digital PCS Phones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "/\\ndroid"
Message-ID: <5vos1d$id8@drn.zippo.com>
Source record: 185915

In article , halifax_@rocketmail.com says...
>On 16 Sep 1997 15:53:58 -0700, lynros wrote:
>
>>the owners manual of my phone says "in the range of 1.9GHZ". the Sprint
>>operater says that yes it is encrypted and cannot be listened to (at least not
>>yet)
>>
>>In article <341cc9cd.2942711@news.atcon.com>, halifax_@rocketmail.com says...
>>>
>>>What frequencies do the new digital PCS phones operate on????
>>>They use an unencrypted digital signal,correct??
>>> Thanks
>
>
>..hmmmm???
>Probably just digital,in which case
>all that would be needed would be
>a DSP scanner(digital)??????
>Right?

>I dunno for sure,that's why I asked.
> Thanks for any input!

See the latest issue of 2600 magazine. They do use encryption,
and decypting looks to be non-trivial. (i.e. only Nasty Secret
Agents could do it.)

/\<.

1642. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vp96c$6fd@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185916

In <01bcc38c$7d0a5c40$6bb15ccf@#bjp> "Brian Parry"
<brian_parry@hotmail.com> writes:
>
>I am new to this NG and I must say I am now very embarrassed by it.
Reading
>all the flames and character assualts makes wish I wasn't part of the
>hobby. It is the behavior of immature posters that create negative
>stereotypes for the hobby. Please, everyone, keep this NG an
informative
>one and not a place for spoiled brat behavior.
>Thanks
>
>Brian Parry

to Brian:

your sentiments are excrutiatingly obvious

it's just that the opportunity arises because
a few people get the words flowing that don't
meet your high standards of "what is correct".

as such, the idea festers and it's just a mad
mad rush to the fun-part of the ng. After all
when you see Mackey...you should know that it
is going to be "tantrums and farcical logic and
innuendos and speech impediment and brain
challenged and stuporific and banal fecal
sounds from him....

people just enjoy the reality of "he can't say
that about me and ... "

brian...

mellow out. when you see mackey...don't fret,
just go on til it's smell goes away and see
what else there is on the group to net?

that's a double entendre word-phrase...

i really don't think anyone takes this group
that seriously ... at least to worry about
what first-timers would think.

Enjoy what you enjoy reading about and allow
the net ng to just "exist" ... in time the

IDIOT of Mackeral will quit...and then it will
end.

he may see the light.

" he may "....!

bill conduit
etc.

1643. Computer Control of Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Rosa <rlrosa1@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <34202A11.11AD@ibm.net>
Source record: 185917

Greetings:

For those interested in controlling radios with a computer, The
RadioCtl program can be downloaded from the following sites:

http://hobbes.nmsu.edu/pub/os2/apps/comm/rado2095.zip
RadioCtl for OS/2. Version 0.95

http://www.simtel.net/pub/simtelnet/win95/ham/radw5004.zip
RadioCtl for Windows 95. Version 0.4

http://www.winsite.com/info/pc/winnt/misc/radwn004.zip
RadioCtl for Windows NT Version 0.4

http://www.simtel.net/pub/simtelnet/win3/ham/radw3004.zip
RadioCtl for Windows 3.1.

This shareware program controls ICOM, KENWOOD, AOR3000, AOR8000 and
RadioShack radios (with the OPTO interface installed).

ENJOY

Richard Rosa

1644. Yupiteru MVT-7100 FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: desires@alleyofdesires.com
Message-ID: <34202cc2.1463425@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185918

FOR SALE: Yupiteru MVT-7100
1000 channels, no blockage
1-1649MHz, USB, LSB, AM, FM, WFM
Has some scratches but works fine. Comes with leather case, original box, instructions, and battery charger. Batteries are dead so I'll include a new set of Energizers.

I will pay insured certified shipping. $399

: xwing@mindspring.com

1645. CANBON CO. UNITS 51 & 52

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marty (Smiley)" <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <34200231.4380@ptd.net>
Source record: 185919

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------7DF85DC252E4
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

LOOKING FOR CARBON CO. POLICE UNITS 51 AND 52 INFO.
--
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
___/ Email martyrip@ptd.net

Vist the Schuylkill Amateur Repeater Ass.
At http://home.ptd.net/~cakunk/

A good scanner radio page.
At http://www.li.net/~j4dice/stateidx.html

--------------7DF85DC252E4
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; name="CARBON-.TXT"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="CARBON-.TXT"

CARBON COUNTY TELE-COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
POLICE CODES EFFECTIVE 12/01/82
10-1 SIGNAL WEAK - RECEIVING POORLY 10-50 STRUCTURE FIRE
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD - RECEIVING WELL 10-51 BRUSH FIRE
10-3 STOP TRANSMITTING - EXCEPT EMERENCY 10-52 CAR OR FLAMABLE FIRE
PEOPLE PRESENT 10-60 BURGLARY
10-4 OKAY 10-61 ROBBERY
10-5 RELAY TO _________ 10-62 SHOPLIFTING OR THEFT
10-6 BUSY 10-63 BANK OR AUTOMATIC ALARM
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE 10-64 VANDALISM
10-8 IN SERVICE 10-65 ASSAULT
10-9 REPEAT MESSAGE 10-66 BOMB THREAT
10-12 VISITORS PRESENT 10-67 PERSON WITH WEAPON
10-15 PRISONER IN CUSTODY 10-68 STOLEN VEHICLE
10-16 PICK UP PRISONER 10-70 DOG CASE
10-17 PICK UP __________ 10-71 DEAD ANIMAL IN ROAD
10-19 RETUNN TO YOUR STATION 10-72 FIGHT IN PROGRESS
10-20 WHAT IS YOUR LOCATION 10-73 REPORT OF PROWLER
10-21 CALL BY PHONE 10-74 DOMESTIC PROBLEM
10-22 DISREGARD - CANCELL 10-75 SUICIDE ATTEMPT
10-23 STAND - BY 10-76 CALL CORONER
10-25 CONTACT - REPORT OR MEET __________ 10-77 CORPSE - LOCATION

10-26 GENERAL BROADCAST 10-78 MISSING PERSON
10-27 OPERATOR'S LICENSE INFORMATION 10-79 DRUNK PERSON
10-28 OWERSHIP REGISTRATION CHECK 10-80 BUILDING CHECK
10-29 RECORDS - WANTED CHECK 10-81 STOPPING VEHICLE
10-30 DANGER - CAUTION 10-82 IN PURSUIT
10-33 HELP QUICK - ASSIST OFFICER 10-83 ASSISTING MOTORIST
10-36 TIME CHECK 10-84 TRAFFIC LIGHT PROBLEM
10-37 BREATHILIZER REQUEST 10-86 ASSIST
OFFICE ON DUTY 10-87 ESCORT
10-41 ON DUTY 10-88 MENTAL CASE
10-42 OFF DUTY 10-89 ____IS CALLING YOU
10-43 NATIONAL WEATER SERVICE BROADCAST 10-90 ARRIVED AT SCENE
10-44 HUMAN - HIT AND RUN 10-91 CALL HOME
10-45 AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENT 10-95 ODSCENE OR THREATENING CALL
10-46 AUTOMOBILE HIT AND RUN 10-97 RECEPTION OR RADIO CHECK
10-47 SEND AMBULANCE 10-98 REPORT TO DISPATCHER
10-48 SEND WRECKER
10-49 HOLDING SUSPECT - RUSH REPLY

CARBON COUNTY EMS CODES
CODE INCIDENT CODE INCIDENT
1 CARDIAC 12 UNKNOWN PROBLEM
2 STROKE 13 AT ONCE TRANSPORT
3 MATERNITY 14 INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT
4 FRACTURE 15 GUN SHOT
5 OVERDOSE 16 ELECTRICAL SHOCK
6 RESPIRATORY 17 UNCONSCIOUS
7 CODE BLUE 18 DROWNING
8 MENTAL PATIENT 19 POISONING
9 DIABETIC 20 LACERATION
10 EPILEPTIC 21 BURN
11 HEMMORRHAGE 22 HEAD INJURY
(A) ADULT (C) CHILD

CARBON COUNTY POLICE UNITS
1-2 LEHIGHTON 70-71 KIDDEN TWP.
11 WEISSPORT 80-82 JIM THORPE
20-21 EAST PENN TWP. 90-91 NESQUEHONING
30-31 MAHONING TWP. 101-102 LANSFORD
40-41 FRANKLING TWP. 103 SUMMIT HILL
51-52 ? ? ? 971-972 WEATHERY
57 COALDALE SCH. CO. 991 BEAVERR MEDOWS
60-62 PALMERTON

NEW UHF FIRE RADIO SYSTEM FREQUENCIES
DESCRIPTION MOB. & PL BASE & PL
F-1 FIRE DISPATCH 465.1750 127.3 460.1750 127.3
F-2 P.E.M.A.R.S. 458.5250 136.5 453.5250 136.5
F-3 CARBON GOV'T 458.7250 118.8 453.7250 103.5

F-4 CARBON TRANSPORTATION 457.7750 118.8 452.7750 103.5
F-5 CARBON FIRE GROUND NORTH 458.5875 127.3 458.5975 127.3
F-6 CARBON FIRE GROUND CENTRAL 453.5875 127.3 453.5875 127.3
F-7 CARBON FIRE GROUND SOUTH 458.1000 127.3 458.1000 127.3
F-8 CARBON SPLINTER - 1 453.8875 127.3 453.8875 127.3
F-9 CARBON SPLINTER - 2 458.8875 127.3 458.8875 127.3
F-10 CARBON SPLINTER - 3 453.6875 127.3 453.6875 127.3
F-11 NESQUEHONING SPLINTER - 4 453.1875 127.3 453.1875 127.3
F-12 NESQUEHONING SPLINTER - 5 453.6675 127.3 453.6675 127.3
CARBON COUNTY PAGING CH. 453.1000

CARBON CO. FIRE ZONES

FIRE GROUND - SOUTH 458.1000 127.3
DISTRICT 1 - AQUASHICOLA
OFFICERS 101,102,103,104,105,106,107,108,109,110
MOBILES 111,131,132,141,151
PORTABLES 191,192,193,194,195

DISTRICT 2 - BOWMANSTOWN
OFFICERS 201,202,203,204,205,206,207,208,209,210
MOBILES 211,231
PORTABLES 291,292,293,294,295

DISTRICT 3 - EAST PENN
OFFICERS 301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310
MOBILES 314,328,336,345,357
PORTABLES 391,392,393,394,395

DISTRICT 4 -FRANKLIN
OFFICERS 401,402,403,404,405,406,407,408,409,410
MOBILES 411,431,441,442
PORTABLES 491,492,493,494,495

DISTRICT 5 - LEHIGHTON
OFFICERS 501,502,503,504,505,506,507,508,509,510
MOBILES 512,514,523,551,575
PORTABLES 591,592,593,594,595

DISTRICT 6 - MAHONING
OFFICERS 601,602,603,604,605,606,607,608,609,610
MOBILES 611,615,631,632,641,642
PORTABLES 691,692,693,694,695

DISTRICT 7 - PALMERTON
OFFICERS 701,702,703,704,705,706,707,708,709,710
MOBILES 711,712,713,714,721,741,742
PORTABLES 791,792,793,794,795

DISTRICT 8 - PARRYVILLE
OFFICERS 801,802,803,804,805,806,807,808,809,810
MOBILES 814,831
PORTABLES 891,892,893,894,895

DISTRICT 9 - TRACHSVILLE
OFFICERS 901,902,903,904,905,906,907,908,909,910
MOBILES 911,912,932,941,942,951
PORTABLES 991,992,993,994,995

FIRE GROUND - CENTRAL 453.5875 127.3PL
DISTRICT 11 - JIM THORPE
OFFICERS 1101,1102,1103,1104,1105,1106,1107,1108,1109,1110
MOBILES 1112,1114,1115,1125,1134,1151,1152
PORTABLES 1191,1192,1193,1194,1195

DISTRICT 12 - PENN FOREST
OFFICERS 1201,1202,1203,1204,1205,1206,1207,1208,1209,1210
MOBILES 1211,1216,1231,1236,1245,1251
PORTABLES 1291,1292,1293,1294,1295

DISTRICT 13 - NESQUEHONING
OFFICERS 1301,1302,1303,1304,1305,1306,1307,1308,1309
MOBILES 1310,1312,1313,1321,1344,1351
PORTABLES 1391,1392,1393,1394,1395

DISTRICT 14 - SUMMIT HILL
OFFICERS 1401,1402,1403,1404,1405,1406,1407,1408,1409,1410
MOBILES 1411,1421,1431,1441,1451
PORTABLES 1491,1492,1493,1494,1495

DISTRICT 15 - LANSFORD
OFFICERS 1501,1502,1503,1504,1505,1506,1507,1508,1509
MOBILES 1510,1512,1521,1541,1551
PORTABLES 1591,1592,1593,1594,1595

DISTRICT 16 - ALBRIGHTSVILLE
OFFICERS 1601,1602,1603,1604,1605,1606,1607,1608,1609
MOBILES 1611,1632,1641
PORTABLES 1691,1692,1693,1694,1695

DISTRICT 17 - LAKE HARMONY
OFFICERS 1701,1702,1703,1704,1705,1706,1707,1708,1709,1710
MOBILES 1712,1713
PORTABLES 1791,1792,1793,1794,1795

FIRE GROUND - NORTH 458.5875 127.3PL
DISTRICT 18 - KIDDER
OFFICERS 1801,1802,1803,1804,1805,1806,1807,1808,1809,1810
MOBILES 1811,1831,1832
PORTABLES 1891,1892,1893,1894,1895

DISTRICT 19 - LEHIGH/LAUSANNE
OFFICERS 1901,1902,1903,1904,1905,1906,1907,1908,1909,1910
MOBILES 1911,1912,1931,1951
PORTABLES 1991,1992,1993,1994,1995

DISTRICT 20 - WEATHERLY
OFFICERS 2001,2002,2003,2004,2005,2006,2007,2008,2009,2010

MOBILES 2011,2012,2013,2031,2051
PORTABLES 2091,2092,2093,2094,2095

DISTRICT 21 - BEAVER MEADOWS
OFFICERS 2101,2102,2103,2104,2105,2106,2107,2108,2109,2110
MOBILES 2111,2112
PORTABLES 2191,2192,2193,2194,2195

DISTRICT 29 - TRESCKOW
OFFICERS 2901,2902,2903,2904,2905,2906,2907,2908,2909,2910
MOBILES 2911,2931
PORTABLES 2991,2992,2993,2994,2995

01 UNITS ARE FIRE CHIEFS NUNBER IN 60'S ARE AMB. UNITS
LEHIGHTON - 501 Fire Chief Lansford -1561 Amb.

--------------7DF85DC252E4--

1646. FS: Weltz 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: lwalker@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <5vomn0$j80@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scaanner

For Sale: Welz WS1000 hand held scanner. 400 channel. Smallest scanner in the world. .5MHz-1300MHz (no gaps). Uses 2 AA batterys. Original antenna, manual & box. Many many programable features. New condition. A review of this scanner can be seen at http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/WS1000.HTM

$430. E-Mail me if interested

1647. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: orcinus@netcom.com
Message-ID: <orcinusEGo4JJ.4C4@netcom.com>
Source record: 185921

marc_k (marc_k@walrus.com) wrote:

: For all practical purposes, none, but LEGALLY there IS a difference!
: In states which have probibitions on mobile scanners, the above radio is
: just as illegal.
: However, the FCC added a regulation that overrides local laws on ham
: radios with broad-band receivers
: (like the one mentioned above).....BUT......it does NOT legalize scanners!
: Of course, many states exempt hams-and the local laws usually don't treat
: scanners any differently than ham equipment.

Hmmm.... most new cars have a digital radio in them. These could be
considered scanners too... after all they do have a button labeled SCAN.

```
--
---
\|/ \|/
* *
\_ _____--------. .--------_____ _/
== __ o__| |__o __ ==
----//---' `---\\----
```

1648. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3420328E.CC9EF08C@mail.com>
Source record: 185922

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> In <01bcc38c$7d0a5c40$6bb15ccf@#bjp> "Brian Parry"
> <brian_parry@hotmail.com> writes:
> >
> >I am new to this NG and I must say I am now very embarrassed by it.
> Reading
> >all the flames and character assualts makes wish I wasn't part of the
>
> >hobby. It is the behavior of immature posters that create negative
> >stereotypes for the hobby. Please, everyone, keep this NG an
> informative
> >one and not a place for spoiled brat behavior.
> >Thanks
> >
> >Brian Parry
>
> to Brian:
>
> your sentiments are excrutiatingly obvious
>
> it's just that the opportunity arises because
> a few people get the words flowing that don't
> meet your high standards of "what is correct".
>
> as such, the idea festers and it's just a mad
> mad rush to the fun-part of the ng. After all
> when you see Mackey...you should know that it
> is going to be "tantrums and farcical logic and
> innuendos and speech impediment and brain
> challenged and stuporific and banal fecal
> sounds from him....
>
> people just enjoy the reality of "he can't say
> that about me and ... "
>
> brian...
>
> mellow out. when you see mackey...don't fret,
> just go on til it's smell goes away and see
> what else there is on the group to net?
>
> that's a double entendre word-phrase...
>

> i really don't think anyone takes this group
> that seriously ... at least to worry about
> what first-timers would think.
>
> Enjoy what you enjoy reading about and allow
> the net ng to just "exist" ... in time the
> IDIOT of Mackeral will quit...and then it will
> end.
>
> he may see the light.
>
> " he may "....!
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

1649. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tazdvls@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917202601.QAA18728@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185923

hello , can someone please tell me where the SCANNER NEWSGROUP has moved to?

1650. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vp9oo$dol@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185924

In <341F7E77.220E@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>Go read the previous thread.
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>> Wow...are you losing it. Where is it here i am calling you
>> names your mommy never considered "the real you"...?
>> simple name calling" ... you say. I am at a loss for
>> connection here. What is a mackey getting at here.

idiot of the smelly mackeral....

I did. Where is the name calling? To read is not
necesarily your forte ... there is something missing
in your daily transfusion before you wake....

again, before I vote and then vomet i need to hear
it from you "what names did you think I called you?"

the rest of the NG wants to know too...in the meantime they
will vote on a very placcid questionnaire...it sort
of glosses over the real issues.

will you give me your mommy's phone number so we can be
sure you have a reason to say your exist.

bill conduit
etc
I would have thought you would be more active with your
new presidency at the WWW site in honorarium of
your abysmal skills at living life to the
emptyness?

1651. Stop the BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbrower103@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917192701.PAA14687@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185925

Enough is enough, this Mackey stuff has gone far enough. I'm getting tired of checking the NG just to find 3/4 of the posts are nothing but BS about some fool named Mackey. I'm sure I'm not alone. It's obvious that nobody likes him, so don't lower yourselves to his level. Ignore him and maybe he'll go away.Lets just get back to the subject at hand SCANNING!!!

1652. Re: What you can listen to.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: pequiste@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <34201ed1.32480646@news.netrover.com>
Source record: 185926

I agree with you MIKE

On 14 Sep 1997 22:06:52 GMT, ekim233@aol.com (EKIM233) wrote:

>In 1986, Congress passed the controversial Electronic Communications
>Privacy Act (ECPA, 18 USC 2510-2511), designed to bring anti-wiretapping
>laws into the radio age, Under the ECPA, you can still listen to ships,
>aircraft, police, fire departments, civil defense, business mobile radios,
>hams, CBers, and the like. (authors note: yepee!!!)
>But you can't listen to communications that are intended to be private.
>SPECIFICALLY, YOU CAN NOT LISTEN TO CELL OR CORDLESS PHONES, SHIP TO SHORE
>PHONES, MICOWAVE OR SATELLITE TRANSMISSIONS, OR ANY TRANSMISSION THAT IS
>SCRAMBLED, ENCRYPTED, OR TRANSMITTED ON A SUBCARRIER. (authors note: yepee!).
>Another sticking point is the sub-carrier audio (SCA) signals on FM
>broadcast stations. According to the ECPA, these are now illegal to listen
>to without the permission of the sender. (authors note: SCA not SMR excuse me!)
>
>I hope everyone now can enjoy there scanner. Oh boy I think I will listen
>and wait the the fire department to use there radio. Got to go may be
>missing something!
>
>DDog

1653. FOR SALE:: Relm HS-200 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: skyquake@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917194600.PAA15946@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185927

```
FOR SALE:::
Relm HS-200 handheld
built in CTCSS decoder, 200 channels...26-960MHZ minus cellular, military
air, and the other usual holes
new in the box with all the accessories
asking $200.00 including shipping.

Thanx!!
```

1654. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <342032B9.ADE07BBD@mail.com>
Source record: 185928
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pat McCraughtch wrote:

> > newsgroups. He is so self-absorbed in his mental masturbation that
> he does
> > not have the competence, courtesy, or maturity to post his rantings
> and
> > ravings only in the relevant areas. If he were not so selfish and
> childish,
> > maybe we could feel sorry for him. Too bad he does not have any
> friends or
> > a real life.
>
> Are you the pot or the kettle? You should grow up; such childish
> ranting as you posted above shows a lack of maturity, more than you
> claim his posts show. It's amazing how omniscient you are to know so
> much about him. Amateur psychologist are we?

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in
thisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

1655. Re: Stop the BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3420330F.4BF53A60@mail.com>
Source record: 185929

BBrower103 wrote:

> Enough is enough, this Mackey stuff has gone far enough. I'm getting
> tired of checking the NG just to find 3/4 of the posts are nothing but
> BS
> about some fool named Mackey. I'm sure I'm not alone. It's obvious
> that
> nobody likes him, so don't lower yourselves to his level. Ignore him
> and
> maybe he'll go away.Lets just get back to the subject at hand
> SCANNING!!!

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in
thisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

1656. Re: BANDERCOM????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Viktor <holmberg@oden.se>
Message-ID: <341FE5DE.4D4@oden.se>
Source record: 185930

stephenn@dpg.devry.edu wrote:
>
> Any in the US order from Bandercom lately?
> I have been waiting for four weeks for a delivery?
I ordered a Yupiteru from bandercom. It took over 4 weeks.
And I m living in Sweden.

/Vacco

1657. AR8000 Control Software Demo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Moran <pmoran@globalnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <34203A43.5671DC41@globalnet.co.uk>
Source record: 185931
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Check out the following page for a copy of Scan-AR 8000 computer control software for the AOR AR8000

Scan-AR Home page

Check out the following page for a copy of Scan-AR 8000 computer control software for the AOR AR8000

Scan-AR
Home page

1658. Niagara Region Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: maverick@caninet.com
Message-ID: <34203e5a.77295684@news.caninet.com>
Source record: 185932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

For frequencies in the Niagara Region in Canada....visit:

http://www.vaxxxine.com/dgl/scan-net/

1659. Niagara Region Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: maverick@caninet.com
Message-ID: <34203e20.77237147@news.caninet.com>
Source record: 185933

For Niagara Region frequencies, visit:

http://www.vaxxine.com/dgl/scan-net/

1660. BC 200XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: pmayer7309@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917152701.LAA24807@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185934

LOOKING FOR INFORMATION REGARDING ANY KNOWN KEYPAD ENTRIES?

PMAYER
PM7309 @ A.O.L

1661. BCT-7 lost all memory!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ezwriter@netcom.com
Message-ID: <ezwriterEGo4sr.n4@netcom.com>
Source record: 185935

The other day my year old Beartracker BCT-7 lost ALL the freqs i had
in memory. This ever happen to u? i feel like my harrddrive crashed.
Why'd it do this?
i don't know if i can go on....
--
ezwriter@netcom.com
The gas station restroom on the Info Superhighway.
http://labiker.org

1662. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anthony Snow <tsnow@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <342021D0.4844@earthlink.net>
Source record: 185936
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Wow Mike,

You managed to come up with 5 examples nationwide that spans over the last six years. You've realy outdone yourself. In fact I think that might even beat the Post Office. No, they've had more shootings.

1663. RS-Pro-29 review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4ski@koala.scott.net
Message-ID: <5vpj37$5r0@news.scott.net>
Source record: 185937

Can someone who has a RadioShack Pro-29 hand held scanner give me a review of it, or point me to a published review? It's on sale this month for $130. Thanks!

1664. Re: HOUSTONs BIG SHOW!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <342003BA.FE5A6B2A@mail.com>
Source record: 185938
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David..... wrote:

> This weekend, Houston is throwing a party! The display of
> fireworks,lasers, and effects will be the largest in the history of
> North America! What a grand time we are going to have (wear your
> Kevlar
> vest however, bring your pistol, and be very careful, some of our
> citizens will still be upset about the comments of Lino Graglia!
> ahaha!)
>
> Does anyone have a list of useable frequencies for the event on both
>
> nights???
>

LD Systems is heading the Technical cordination of the event. See if
you can find there freq. A California company is heading the laser
production, with a Dallas and Conroe company providing some of the ariel
lights. LD Systems uses an 800MHz system, I believe. When I was there,
I had a Motorola Visar radio, and I think that they had approx. 10
channels. The clearcom intercom system is digital though.

> If you have any suggestions, please email me!
>
> --
> NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
> CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
> http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1665. Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Parry <brian_parry@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc38c$7d0a5c40$6bb15ccf@#bjp>
Source record: 185939

I am new to this NG and I must say I am now very embarrassed by it. Reading all the flames and character assualts makes wish I wasn't part of the hobby. It is the behavior of immature posters that create negative stereotypes for the hobby. Please, everyone, keep this NG an informative one and not a place for spoiled brat behavior.
Thanks

Brian Parry

1666. Re: Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Erickson <JDErickson@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc3b5$660790c0$9d1817cc@jim>
Source record: 185940

You could be picking up a baby monitor on that freq. This has happened to me on different freqs at different times.

Jim

Chris Poulier <cpoulier@geocities.com> wrote in article <3420168B.CF035BA3@geocities.com>...
>
> Hi,
>
> When I scan the cordless phone freq's my scanner always seems to get
> stuck on 46.7300 and I can hear just a hissing noise. Does anyone know
> why?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Chris.
>
>

1667. Regional Scanner Mailing lists.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mtiernan@world.std.com
Message-ID: <EGo5EB.H0I@world.std.com>
Source record: 185941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you live in the New England area and are interested in the
technology of scanning, come and join our new mailing list!

= =

New England Scanner Technology

Here is where you'll find people talking about equipment,
gadgets, and accessories related to Scanning. No chatter
about groovy things heard on the McDonald's drive through! :)
We'll keep the regional stuff on regional lists.

To subscribe to this list, send a message like this:
To: nest-request@nomad.n-reading.ma.us
Subject: subscribe
[Message Body] subscribe

The list is available in digested form too. It's address is:
To: nest-digest-request@nomad.n-reading.ma.us
Subject: subscribe
[Message Body] subscribe
Digests go out once a week, early Monday morning.

- -

If you have direct WWW access, you can get on (or off) of the
lists via the simple interface at:
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/nest/
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/scan-mass-east/
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/scan-mass-west/
or for the digested versions:
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/nest-digest/
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/scan-mass-east-digest/
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/scan-mass-west-digest/
depending on which list you're interested in.
- -

Tell your friends and spread the word!
--
<< MCT >> mtiernan@world.std.com
Michael C Tiernan. WWW = http://world.std.com/~mtiernan
Is God a performance artist? GEnie: M.Tiernan

1668. Re: **VOTE HERE**JOHN MACKEY VOTE**VOTE NOW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "jhayes3rd@nmaa.org" <jhayes3rd@nmaa.org>
Message-ID: <342023B2.4387@nmaa.org>
Source record: 185942

```
Mackey Poll Taker wrote:
>
> This is a ballot not an essay test.
>
> Answer TRUE or FALSE only please.
>
> 1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.
FALSE
>
> 2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.
FALSE
>
> 3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?
TRUE
>
> 4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.
FALSE
>
> 5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.
TRUE
>
> Please, reply with just five true or false replies as answers. Post you
> discussions in another message.
>
> Thanks

Joe
```

1669. Regional Scanner Mailing lists.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mtiernan@world.std.com
Message-ID: <EGo5E7.Gxw@world.std.com>
Source record: 185943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you live in Massachusetts, you can join the localized
mailing lists I've created. Both lists have gray areas around
them, so we do discuss areas outside of the primary regions.

(These lists are meant as regional alternatives to the)
("scan-l" mailing list which is nationwide.)

scan-mass-east

This list covers all of Eastern Mass from West of Worcester
to the East right into the water.

To subscribe to this list, send a message like this:
To: scan-mass-east-request@nomad.n-reading.ma.us
Subject: subscribe
[Message Body] subscribe

The list is available in digested form too. It's address is:
To: scan-mass-east-digest-request@nomad.n-reading.ma.us
Subject: subscribe
[Message Body] subscribe
Digests go out Monday and Thursday mornings.

- -

scan-mass-west

This list covers all of Western Mass from East of Worcester
to the West.

To subscribe to this list, send a message like this:
To: scan-mass-west-request@nomad.n-reading.ma.us
Subject: subscribe
[Message Body] subscribe

The list is available in digested form too. It's address is:
To: scan-mass-west-digest-request@nomad.n-reading.ma.us
Subject: subscribe
[Message Body] subscribe
Digests go out Monday and Thursday mornings.

- -

If you have direct WWW access, you can get on (or off) of the
lists via the simple interface at:
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/nest/

http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/scan-mass-east/
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/scan-mass-west/
or for the digested versions:
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/nest-digest/
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/scan-mass-east-digest/
http://www.netspace.org/cgi-bin/lwgate/scan-mass-west-digest/
depending on which list you're interested in.
- -

Tell your friends and spread the word!
--
<< MCT >> mtiernan@world.std.com
Michael C Tiernan. WWW = http://world.std.com/~mtiernan
Is God a performance artist? GEnie: M.Tiernan

1670. Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Poulier <cpoulier@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <3420168B.CF035BA3@geocities.com>
Source record: 185944

Hi,

When I scan the cordless phone freq's my scanner always seems to get stuck on 46.7300 and I can hear just a hissing noise. Does anyone know why?

Thanks.

Chris.

1671. Pro 43 Can't enter frequency after repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Navtech <navtech@oberon.ark.com>
Message-ID: <5vpl7sbtq1@news.ark.com>
Source record: 185945

I received a smoked Pro 43 as a gift that had excessive voltage applied. L304.L305,L306 were all smoked.
After I replaced these the radio works squelches scans etc. but I cant get it to take a frequency into
memory and store it. The radio scans through all channels and banks but wiht everything empty.
Any help is greatly appreciated. It looks like a nice radio but if I send it out to radio shack
the flat fee will be over $100. Also is there a schematic out there on line anywhere?

Thanks

Andy.

PS I have a full service manual for the 2020 model if anyone is looking for old info.

1672. Re: Q: Baltimore Gas & Electric trunked comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Wilkinson <cwilkins@boink.clark.net>
Message-ID: <5vpom2$db4$1@boink.clark.net>
Source record: 185946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,clarknet.radio.scanner

In clarknet.radio.scanner user <ka3jjz@erols.com> wrote:
> Charlie Wilkinson wrote:
> >
> > I'm looking at getting a BC-235xlt TrunkTracker, but noted that it
> > does not support anything besides Motorola trunked systems. So what
> > I'm wondering specifically is -- Does BG&E use Motorolas? I thought
> > I remembered something from waaay back that they are using a Johnson
> > trunking system. :-(
> >
> > Related question. Does anyone know if there's anything else in the
> > MD/VA/DC that _isn't_ Motorola-based trunking? Specifically the
> > AA Co. area.
> >
> > Thanks for any info!

> Yes, BG&E does indeed use Motorola systems, tho my net members haven't
> dug into the groups and maps quite yet. As to things that aren't
> Motorola there's only 1 public safety trunk system that isn't- Ocean
> city which uses Ericcson/GE. 73s Mike

Great, thanks for the info Mike! I ordered my 235xlt this afternoon
and should get it early next week. I'll report back here with anything
interesting. :)

-- Charlie (N3HAZ)

--
~~~~~~
Charlie Wilkinson - cwilkins@boink.clark.net
Parental Unit, UNIX Admin, Homebrewer, Cat Lover, Spam Fighter, Maintainer of
Radio For Peace International Website: http://www.clark.net/pub/cwilkins/rfpi
~~~~~~
CLOBBER INTERNET SPAM: See!! <http://spam.abuse.net/>
Join!! <http://www.cauce.org/>
~~~~~~
QOTD:
The doctrine of human equality reposes on this: that there is no man
really clever who has not found that he is stupid.
-- Gilbert K. Chesterson
~~~~~~

1673. Pro-26 or 235XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David A. Skerritt" <skerdavi@neonramp.com>
Message-ID: <3420627A.5834@neonramp.com>
Source record: 185947

Howdy: I'm considering purchasing a new handheld scanner
and am looking at either Pro-26 on sale from Grove for $250
or a 235XLT Trunktracker for the same price.

I live in Omaha, NE and most of the local departments have
not switched to an 800mhz trunker system as of yet
(i.e. police, fire, ambulance still use 460mhz band).

I'm am replacing an old Pro-32 that I purchased back around 1987.
As you can tell, I do not purchase scanners often and would want
one that will give me 7 or 8 years of functional use.

Opinions?

Dave Skerritt
skerdavi@neonramp.com

1674. Just Say No

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917231501.TAA26097@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185948

Do not respond to any post from Mackey, Hansen and his various aliases, Cheek, who is passing himself off as Thunder, Mackey, Baycityguy, CB Guy, and that wacko who has responded to everyone of those guys' 1234 posts with a messages saying that he intends to ignore them.

Ignore them. They start this crapola every 3-6 months and once they exhaust themselves, they'll go away.

1675. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <34200427.9B0FF78A@mail.com>
Source record: 185949

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> I counted up the number of postings by John Mackey over the last 24
> hours.
> It came to a whopping 41 (20 in the last 10 hours alone).
>
> I thought Eric Oyen shot his mouth off a lot, this guy mackey has him
> beat by 5000%!
>
> Take the average of 30 postings a day and that adds ip to 210 postings
>
> in 1 week (numbers may vary)!
>
> Give it up John! You've shoved your foot far enough in your mouth to
> give your vocal cords a bad case of atheletes mouth!

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in
thisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

1676. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3420044C.5D41FFCF@mail.com>
Source record: 185950

George L. Vetterle wrote:

> In <341F31B0.5D82@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
> writes:
> >
> >
> >Typical Bill Cheek. We have asked him several times to identify
> himself
> >& all he does is side step the issue with fancy foot work. Notice
> >he never said who he is & only did the following.
> >
> >Billy Thunder wrote:
> >> Who is he? And who are you? He doesn't
> >> post. You do. Nonsense of coarse. The Bay
> >> City guy said you are an idiot. Is he right?
>
> Come on John! When it comes to side stepping and backing up, you'd
> put a crawfish to shame. You've got the fanciest foot work since Fred
>
> Astaire. Hahahahaha. Nice try; as usual, you try to obfuscate the
> issue by pointing your finger at someone else.
>
> --
> George L. Vetterle

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

1677. Re: Q: Baltimore Gas & Electric trunked comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: along28@erols.com
Message-ID: <along28-ya02408000R1709972126190001@news.erols.com>
Source record: 185951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,clarknet.radio.scanner

Charlie,

I've monitored the BGE and they are definitely of Motorola Type II. They are quite a busy system. Unfortunately, I haven't monitored them so much as to give you any TT codes pertaining to talk groups. One word of advice, make sure you have ALL of their frequencies, because they have the standard 856.2875-860.2875 and they have numerous others from 853-855 MHz also, that are part of the same system. If you need them, I'll have to look them up as I'm far from receiving them here in Montgomery County and have the listings tucked away in my files somewhere.

Let me know how you make out.

Oh, yeah...I think that previous response was correct about the GE systems in MD. The only one I know of in the whold state is Ocean City, MD. I don't even know of an SMR that's GE in MD, anyone else care to comment?

Happy Monitoring,

Andy

In article <5vnlp7air1@boink.clark.net>, Charlie Wilkinson <cwilkins@boink.clark.net> wrote:

>I'm looking at getting a BC-235xlt TrunkTracker, but noted that it
>does not support anything besides Motorola trunked systems. So what
>I'm wondering specifically is -- Does BG&E use Motorolas? I thought
>I remembered something from waaay back that they are using a Johnson
>trunking system. :-(
>
>Related question. Does anyone know if there's anything else in the
>MD/VA/DC that _isn't_ Motorola-based trunking? Specifically the
>AA Co. area.
>
>Thanks for any info!
>
>-cw
>
>--
>~~~~~~
> Charlie Wilkinson - cwilkins@boink.clark.net
>Parental Unit, UNIX Admin, Homebrewer, Cat Lover, Spam Fighter, Maintainer of
>Radio For Peace International Website: http://www.clark.net/pub/cwilkins/rfpi
>~~~~~~
> CLOBBER INTERNET SPAM: See!! <http://spam.abuse.net/>

> Join!! <http://www.cauce.org/>
>~~~~~~
>QOTD:
>The doctrine of human equality reposes on this: that there is no man
>really clever who has not found that he is stupid.
> -- Gilbert K. Chesterson
--
Anti-Spam measures: Just remove the "28" from my name to reply.

1678. Re: wxsat rx mysteries/help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmgam@mail.telepac.pt
Message-ID: <34200fc4.6010387@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 185952

On Mon, 15 Sep 1997 22:31:35 +0200, "L.Mortensen"
<labmo@post3.tele.dk> wrote:

>Hi Philip get urself a
>tracking program, in order to find out when those "birds" are passing ur
>area....then u'll see (or rather:hear hi)

>Good luck my friend
>
>vy 73 de OZ4ui / Lars M.
>

Hi Mortensen

Would you gives us the name of the tracking program and where can be
d/l?
Thanks
Regards,

Carlos M. da Gama
cmgam@mail.telepac.pt

1679. Re: What happened to TV channel 37?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: no-one@no-one.com
Message-ID: <5vnb8c$akf@nntp1.erinet.com>
Source record: 185953

..no, it's used by radio astronomy for studying outerspace.

In article <341DDF21.55EE@hotmail.com>, Steve S <kf4nnq@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>Why is this blocked? Is this the one the US Gov't uses "secretly" for
>various LMRs?
>
>Steve S
>
>Wild Dog wrote:
>>
>> On 15 Sep 1997 09:55:54 GMT, F. Barry Mulligan wasted 52 lines
>> babbling about Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!:
>>
>> > No transmitters
>> > are allowed on 608-614 MHz (Ch 37).
>>
>> I mentioned that to him. Makes me wonder though... if they deleted
>> channel 1 for the same reason, why is channel 37 still on the dial?
>>
>> **********
>> Sean & Mona: a great tradition since 1996
>> Be nice to your kids. They'll choose your nursing home.
>> wild_dog@bconnex.net Barrie, Ontario Canada.
>> **********
>>
>> [Posted with Agent 1.5. For info, email agent-info@forteinc.com.]

1680. Re: FREQS FOR ALPHARETTA, GA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: peterjr@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <5vn7mh$i95@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185954

Alpharetta is on the Fulton County trunking system. I attempted to monitor them using a conventional scanner but it's really too much of a hassle. I just bought a Bearcat BC235 TrunkTracker and this works superbly. I got mine at Grove for $249. If you require additional info feel free to email me and I'll see what I can do.

Pete

On Tue, 16 Sep 1997 12:02:09 -0700, deli-bear@worldnet.att.net wrote:

>looking for scanner frequencies for the Alpharetta, GA area, just
>North of Atlanta.
>
>The only one I've found with any real activity is 877.437, which is
>a mix of EMS, police, and fire dispatch. There must be more...
>
>Also interested in utility service frequencies for this area --- phone,
>electrical, etc....

1681. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vnegd$pg9@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185955

In <341F2AFF.716@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> writes:

>
>Bill, I see you can't come up with anything rea, so you
>resort to simple name calling.
>
>You are pathetic.
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>>
>> tie yourself up on a gordian knot and hang yourself.
>> bill conduit

Wow...are you losing it. Where is it here i am calling you
names your mommy never considered "the real you"...?

simple name calling" ... you say. I am at a loss for
connection here. What is a mackey getting at here.

question mark?

please educate us. we need more to laugh about. I am
numbed by this. just way way too much "guffaw" building
within. Give me answer or give it up.

bill conduit
with an attitude

1682. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917015500.VAA19157@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185956

In article <341F2DA5.4E20@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>That is not at all the case. Infact, just a day or 2 ago I received
>an e-mail from a person stating that they supported me on this issue
>& like the style I use. Funny, all I ever see is people bitching
>about you & Baycitvidgrp. (the post from Glenn Quarnstrom was
>a real gut buster!!!!!)
>
>

They let folks in the mental hospitals send e-mails???

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1683. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341f3170.2985149@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185957
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS!

All it does is to take more time to download and for some people costs
more. The best thing to do is to boycott Netscape products until they
wake up to fact that the newsgroups are not the WWW and that HTML in
newsgroups has no useful function.

ZOT wrote:

:
:Sorry dude - join the modern world - you want text on paper - buy it at
:the local book store.
:
:
Or, you can poke your head in the ground and pretend it aint gonna happen.
:
:
Barry O'Grady wrote:
:
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WWW.
:

:

It is considered bad form to edit what you quote. I certainly did not
PUT all that useless HTML junk in there.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1684. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917014901.VAA24436@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185958

In article <341F2A45.432D@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>Except he didn't post anything, just a bunch of numbers, no real
>proof. For example, if I accuse someone of shooting & killing a
>person, it means NOTHING for me to say he shot 5 times. I would
>have to show the bullet that entered the body & how it killed. Glenn
>didn't post ANY examples to support his claim, just gave numbers &
>that means nothing. If you can't get that thru your thick head
>then you are a bigger fool than I ever thought.
>
>

This Folks, is yet another slight of hand by the amazing Mackey.
All of US have the ability to search Dejanews, however it would appear
that Mackey can't even find his own posts.
We've all seen the prove Mackey, numerous times.....And we don't need
you tricking someone into posting all that drivel again....
Isn't it strang, that even with the wild bunch on this ng, NOBODY has come
to your defense???
I challange you again......POST ANYTHING OF VALUE TO THIS NG.
I see you selectively ignored my previous post with that challange in it.

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1685. Re: What you can listen to.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341f3179.2993636@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185959

ekim233@aol.com (EKIM233) wrote:

:In 1986, Congress passed the controversial Electronic Communications
:Privacy Act (ECPA, 18 USC 2510-2511), designed to bring anti-wiretapping
:laws into the radio age, Under the ECPA, you can still listen to ships,
:aircraft, police, fire departments, civil defense, business mobile radios,
:hams, CBers, and the like. (authors note: yepee!!!)
:But you can't listen to communications that are intended to be private.
:SPECIFICALLY, YOU CAN NOT LISTEN TO CELL OR CORDLESS PHONES, SHIP TO SHORE
:PHONES, MICOWAVE OR SATELLITE TRANSMISSIONS, OR ANY TRANSMISSION THAT IS
:SCRAMBLED, ENCRYPTED, OR TRANSMITTED ON A SUBCARRIER. (authors note: yepee!).

(readers note: You can listen to anything that your receiver picks up,
but there are some signals you may not listen to)

:Another sticking point is the sub-carrier audio (SCA) signals on FM
:broadcast stations. According to the ECPA, these are now illegal to listen
:to without the permission of the sender. (authors note: SCA not SMR excuse me!)
:
:I hope everyone now can enjoy there scanner. Oh boy I think I will listen
:and wait the the fire department to use there radio. Got to go may be
:missing something!

(readers note: Should be their not there. Excuse you!)

:DDog

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1686. Re: ALERT!! No surprise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341f3189.3009430@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185960

Smoothguy@webtv.net wrote:

:These are ALL manifestations of the New World Order. Slowly limiting the
:personal liberties of the citizenry is a very big part of mass control.
:From the Gestapo like police tactics used on the motoring public, to FCC
:imposed equipment use mandates, we're being assaulted from all sides.
:This is only the beginning.

We don't need new and more represive laws to get us to behave. What is
needed is enforcement of the reasonable laws.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1687. Re: need help with MDTSTUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: dataranger@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917023101.WAA28080@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185961

if you get constant stream of stars, try inverting the signal
or turn off the command line switch. that worked for me.

remember that you'll need a clean signal and some CPU cycles
to read the data at a 4800bps. PD203 only goes up to 2400?

nrf
DataRanger
<dataranger@aol.com>

1688. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vnf0n$g61@sjx-ixn3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185962

In <341F33E7.5A29@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>Hello again Bill Cheek.
>
>
>
>
>Anyone with any questions can (using netscape) click on "options",
>then "show headers", & then "all". Look at the "posting host"
>& "path" lines. They match EXACTLY between Billy Thunder &
>Bill Cheek. "Thunder" is an old nick name of Bill's from his
>childhood!
>
>
>Billy Thunder wrote:
>> Mr. Mackiey,
>> You were overheard thith morning on a 2m repeater
>> thpeaking in a lithp and telling thomeone that you

the number you talk about is: 204.210.0.20

this does not match the id of the cheek guy whose
number has a 7 in it....

mackey...take the ferry and pretend the world is square.
fall off.

bill conduit
etc.

1689. FS: AOR AR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevel@_FIGHT_SPAM_voicenet.com
Message-ID: <341f5317.6616453@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 185963

I have an AOR AR-8000 scanner in excellent condition for sale. It includes FULL 800 MHz coverage, the Scout jack and all original accessories (AC adapter, car adapter, antenna, NiCd batteries, manual). Price is $600 which includes shipping. Email if interested, remember to remove the anti-spam text in the email address!!

Steve

NOTE: Anti-spam email address!! Please note reply address before sending email.

Steve Lukshides
Philadelphia, PA

1690. Re: Uniden crystals wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerry@null.net
Message-ID: <341f521c.28703092@news-s01.ca.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 185964

On Mon, 15 Sep 1997 16:50:55 GMT, lectrichead@bigfoot.com wrote:

> I'm looking for the following Uniden crystals. Not Radio Shack
>crystals.
>
>155.010
>154.400
>154.755

Just out of curiosity, why don't you want RS crystals? I believe they continue to sell crystals for about $5 each. I have purchased them and they work fine. They are available in 10.6 and 10.7 mhz IF.

Jerry

1691. MDT software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: lundd@mhd1.moorhead.msus.edu
Message-ID: <341f4f8c.984758@news.msus.edu>
Source record: 185965
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello all!

I have a copy of the MDT software. The one that I have I got a while back and I was wondering if anyone came out with a "better" version of it.

Thanks

Darin

1692. Re: where can i get a good dtmf decoder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: DELdschmidt@rain.org
Message-ID: <5vnpb4$shv@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185966
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you need one with RS232 output check out
http://www.rain.org/~dschmidt

Also available is a DTMF code activated relay.
Kits also available

Dave

On Mon, 08 Sep 1997 15:01:31 +0000, ~~ <a666l@hotmail.com> wrote:

>hi,
>Im looking for a place to buy a dtmf decoder, with an LED display
>preferably, for a reasonable price. Does anyone know where to buy some?

(DSchmidt Technologies, Ventura CA http://www.rain.org/~dschmidt)
(Freq selectors for FME/FMX/FM25, DTMF decoders.)
(To send E-mail, remove 'DEL' from the e-mail address)

1693. Q: Baltimore Gas & Electric trunked comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Wilkinson <cwilkins@boink.clark.net>
Message-ID: <5vnlp7air1@boink.clark.net>
Source record: 185967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,clarknet.radio.scanner

I'm looking at getting a BC-235xlt TrunkTracker, but noted that it
does not support anything besides Motorola trunked systems. So what
I'm wondering specifically is -- Does BG&E use Motorolas? I thought
I remembered something from waaay back that they are using a Johnson
trunking system. :-(

Related question. Does anyone know if there's anything else in the
MD/VA/DC that _isn't_ Motorola-based trunking? Specifically the
AA Co. area.

Thanks for any info!

-cw

--
~~~~~~
Charlie Wilkinson - cwilkins@boink.clark.net
Parental Unit, UNIX Admin, Homebrewer, Cat Lover, Spam Fighter, Maintainer of
Radio For Peace International Website: http://www.clark.net/pub/cwilkins/rfpi
~~~~~~
CLOBBER INTERNET SPAM: See!! <http://spam.abuse.net/>
Join!! <http://www.cauce.org/>
~~~~~~
QOTD:
The doctrine of human equality reposes on this: that there is no man
really clever who has not found that he is stupid.
-- Gilbert K. Chesterson
~~~~~~

1694. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vnof1$ho2@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185968

In <341F31B0.5D82@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>
>Typical Bill Cheek. We have asked him several times to identify
himself
>& all he does is side step the issue with fancy foot work. Notice
>he never said who he is & only did the following.
>
>Billy Thunder wrote:
>> Who is he? And who are you? He doesn't
>> post. You do. Nonsense of coarse. The Bay
>> City guy said you are an idiot. Is he right?

Come on John! When it comes to side stepping and backing up, you'd
put a crawfish to shame. You've got the fanciest foot work since Fred
Astaire. Hahahahaha. Nice try; as usual, you try to obfuscate the
issue by pointing your finger at someone else.

--
George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1695. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vnosr$oap@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185969

In <341F33E7.5A29@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>Hello again Bill Cheek.
>
>I wonder how you could hear a two meter repeater I was on when my
>current
>2 meter radio is broken awaiting parts?
>
>I also wonder how you could hear me on a 2 meter repeater
>when YOU are in San Diego according to the ISP you are using
>& the NNTP posting host you are using?
>
>2 meter FM does not carry more than say 60 miles unless VERY exotic
>& unusual conditions exist, which have not happened recently.
>
>As usual, Bill Cheek, you are once again using your old favorite of
>gay accusations to try to incite trouble.
>
>Anyone with any questions can (using netscape) click on "options",
>then "show headers", & then "all". Look at the "posting host"
>& "path" lines. They match EXACTLY between Billy Thunder &
>Bill Cheek. "Thunder" is an old nick name of Bill's from his
>childhood!
>
>
>Billy Thunder wrote:
>> Mr. Mackiey,
>> You were overheard thith morning on a 2m repeater
>> thpeaking in a lithp and telling thomeone that you

John, he merely said you were *overheard* not that *he* had overheard
you. You don't really expect anyone to believe that "my radio was
broken" alibi do you? I'm not even going to ask *how* it got broken.
Hahahahaha.

--
George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE

OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1696. Re: where can i get a good dtmf decoder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <341F7D93.23D0@scarlan.com>
Source record: 185970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

> >Im looking for a place to buy a dtmf decoder, with an LED display
> >preferably, for a reasonable price. Does anyone know where to buy some?

Content-Disposition: inline; filename="optoelec.htm"
Content-Base: "file:///C|/WINDOWS/Desktop/PATCH/optoe
lec.htm"

What's new?

Introducing Techtoyz, the new product line from
Optoelectronics

Micro DTMF Decoder

* Pager Style Case with belt clip

* 12 character LCD display

* Internal Microphone for radio speaker or tape recorder pickup

* Line audio input jack for direct connection

* 2000 character Non-Volatile Memory

* 200 hour operation from single AA Alkaline battery

* Auto blank insert function after 2 second delay

* Left and Right Scroll in recall data mode

* Memory clear requires simultaneous three button push

* Auto low battery shutdown and data save

Micro Counter

Optoelectronics pioneered the market of high quality and performance in a
small size with our revolutionary Handi-Counter line of portable, handheld
frequency counters. We are again ready to pioneer the market by proudly
introducing the latest technology for frequency counters, the Techtoyz
Micro-Counter. The new Techtoyz Micro-Counter, so small that is housed in a
beeper style case, yet still featuring the quality and performance customers
expect from an Optoelectronics product. At only 2.8" x 1.9" x 1.1",
the Techtoyz Micro-Counter is the smallest frequency counter in the world.

The
Techtoyz Micro-counter has a frequency range of 10MHz - 1.2GHz.

Copyright; 1997 Optoelectronics, Inc. www.optoelectronics.com

Last Updated 08/07/97

1697. HOUSTONs BIG SHOW!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David....." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <341F8333.490D@flash.net>
Source record: 185971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

This weekend, Houston is throwing a party! The display of
fireworks,lasers, and effects will be the largest in the history of
North America! What a grand time we are going to have (wear your Kevlar
vest however, bring your pistol, and be very careful, some of our
citizens will still be upset about the comments of Lino Graglia! ahaha!)

Does anyone have a list of useable frequencies for the event on both
nights???

If you have any suggestions, please email me!

--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1698. Re: WANT A FREE PAGER? LOOK HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <341F8179.123E@scarlan.com>
Source record: 185972

No thanks, "free" pagers are too expensive! (with all the strings and service contracts) I'd rather PAY for one and get it CHEAPER!

1699. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F811D.43DB@usa.net>
Source record: 185973

George L. Vetterle wrote:
> John, he merely said you were *overheard* not that *he* had overheard
> you. You don't really expect anyone to believe that "my radio was
> broken" alibi do you? I'm not even going to ask *how* it got broken.
> Hahahahaha.

BADLY intermittant mic cord.

I rarely use 2 meters so it isn't much of a priority to me.

1700. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F8899.34FF@usa.net>
Source record: 185974

The CB Guy wrote:
> I challange you again......POST ANYTHING OF VALUE TO THIS NG.
> I see you selectively ignored my previous post with that challange in it.

OK, big mouth, here is a recent TYPICAL post of mine on THIS newsgroup
responding to someone who posted a question to the group. See below,
confirm via Dejanews if you like. (Read it & WEEP!!!)

Subject: Re: ***MOTOROLA SPIRIT PAGERS***
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Date: 1997/09/01
Message-Id: <340B9195.5C@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

You can get them to 2 meters pretty easily.

A lot of people use paging on 2 meter repeaters.

They have to remain in the 140-174 MHz range, there is NO WAY
you could take them to 500 MHz. Motorola made a model of the
Spirit pager that was 406-512 MHz.

EugeneD wrote:
> I have found a pair of these radios (pagers). They are both set to
> 138.325 MHz which is a Air Force Base freq down in South Carolina. But
> here in PA, the freq isn't used. ANyway, can I somehow put the pager on a
> 2 meter repater? Can it go as high as 500 MHz? If anyone has any info or
> ideas, please e-mail me. Thanks in advance.

1701. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341F8D40.5A52@juno.com>
Source record: 185975

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> yea, but it isn't half as bad as I have heard them say about YOU.
Now here is an excellent example of John Mackey launching a MILD
personal attack. Its still a personal attack, though.

1702. ?: DO signal preamps work for HH scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Erik Fleming <efleming@cinenet.net>
Message-ID: <341F3161.54BA@cinenet.net>
Source record: 185976

I am thinking of buying a "signal preamp" for my hand held pro-39
scanner at radio shack for $65. do they really amplify the signal?
Can i head cordless phones from a further distance?

thanks -

1703. Re: SportCatMODS NEEDED! DO they EXIST????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <341F8114.7CC@scarlan.com>
Source record: 185977

> > I am looking to modify my SportCat to get the full 800 band
> > Can the SportCat be modified?
>
> First you have to decide WHAT it is that you want the scanner
> to do that it presently doesn't do or what you want done better
> than it does at present.

Read again, and you will see WHAT he wants... full 800 band!

The answer is no. Here is the general rule: If the scanner is
currently being sold in major chain stores, then it can NOT be modified
for 800 Mhz. The Sportcat is a current model.

1704. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <874479965.388020@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 185978

3

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

Mackey Poll Taker wrote in article
<341F7A17.4EE1187F@denial.sickness.mental.illness>...

1705. Re: **VOTE HERE**JOHN MACKEY VOTE**VOTE NOW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <874480209.572056@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 185979

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

Mackey Poll Taker wrote in article
<341F79F1.B30A64D5@denial.sickness.mental.illness>...

>
>This is a ballot not an essay test.
>
>Answer TRUE or FALSE only please.
>
>
>1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.
false
>
>2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.
false
>
>3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?
true
>
>4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.
false
>
>5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.
true
>
>
>Please, reply with just five true or false replies as answers. Post you
>discussions in another message.
>
>
>Thanks

1706. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <342183f2.46575949@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 185980

****** Poll Taker <John@denial.sickness.mental.illness> wrote:

>This is the place to discuss the **** ****** Vote.

<babbling deleted>

>certainly don't reply to any of his accusations or flames. IOW, if he
>posts just ignore him. Leave his lies and flames unanswered and let him
>flap in the wind by himself, ignored by all.

Yes, this is an excellent idea. You've chosen a very effective method
of ignoring him, too. I imagine we will have seen the last of him
very soon now, thanks to your good work here.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1707. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F82B1.DF8@usa.net>
Source record: 185981

hahahahahahaha, thanks George. I have always liked your style
of joking & easy going!!!

unfortunately, some people don't like honesty on this NG. :(

George L. Vetterle wrote:
> Come on John! When it comes to side stepping and backing up, you'd
> put a crawfish to shame. You've got the fanciest foot work since Fred
> Astaire. Hahahahaha.

1708. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F8569.2255@usa.net>
Source record: 185982

You did not follow directions & obviously do not know how to
read headers to confirm authenticity.

You looked in the wrong area. Look at the "NNTP posting host"
& the "path" origin. The path origin is "newsfeed.san.rr.com".

Compare Bill Cheek & Billy Thunder posts, they are the same.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> the number you talk about is: 204.210.0.20
> this does not match the id of the cheek guy whose
> number has a 7 in it....

1709. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <341F89C4.396C@usa.net>
Source record: 185983

Hi Glenn Hanson, I see by looking at your headers that you are
posting from your IBM account. Anyway, PLEASE DO IGNORE ME.

I have been trying to get you TROUBLE MAKERS to SHUT UP
& let this newsgroup be a nice place. So if you all would
do that I would appreciate it.

Mackey Poll Taker wrote:
> I think it would be best for all to exclude John Mackey from entering
> this discussion for obvious reasons. As we all know he can post all he
> wants but it would be best to skip reading John Mackey's messages and
> certainly don't reply to any of his accusations or flames. IOW, if he
> posts just ignore him. Leave his lies and flames unanswered and let him
> flap in the wind by himself, ignored by all.

1710. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341F8CA5.5151@juno.com>
Source record: 185984

Path:

news1.ispnews.com!moxy.rust.net!news2.dearborn.agis.net!agis!agis!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.internetmci.com!204.210.0.20!newsfeed.san.rr.com!usenet
From:
"Billy Thunder" <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.scanner
Subject:
John Mackey
Date:
16 Sep 1997 00:13:52 GMT
Organization:
Smackey Lips, Inc.
Lines:
4
Message-ID:
<01bcc235$69ec99a0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host:
dt2h3n25.san.rr.com
X-Newsreader:
Microsoft Internet News 4.70.1161
Xref:
news1.ispnews.com rec.radio.scanner:10488
Billy Thunder wrote:
>
> Who or what is this Mackey character? He seems
> to be a vermin after observing his posts for a week.
To John Mackey,
I know Bill Cheek's E-mail address and his isp address, the path in this
message does NOT match. Your assertion that Billy Thunder is, in fact,
Bill Cheek is wholly proven to be false.
Care to try again?

1711. # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <341F8F6E.3501@juno.com>
Source record: 185985

I counted up the number of postings by John Mackey over the last 24 hours.
It came to a whopping 41 (20 in the last 10 hours alone).

I thought Eric Oyen shot his mouth off a lot, this guy mackey has him beat by 5000%!

Take the average of 30 postings a day and that adds ip to 210 postings in 1 week (numbers may vary)!

Give it up John! You've shoved your foot far enough in your mouth to give your vocal cords a bad case of atheletes mouth!

1712. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <341fa70d.5711285@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185986

wild_dog@bconnex.net (Wild Dog) wrote:

:On Mon, 15 Sep 1997 13:24:41 GMT, Barry O'Grady wasted 48 lines
:babbling about Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!:
:
:>barryoXX@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady) wrote:
:
:>
:>I forgot to mention that some microwave pay TV is in the 2.3 Ghz range.
:
:Hm. How high does YOUR scanner go to?

Not that high, but I have a listing of frequencies from the SMA.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1713. Re: Busted by cops but.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott C. Zimmerman" <Xscott@earth.nexus.net>
Message-ID: <341FAFA4.7E10@earth.nexus.net>
Source record: 185987

Reverend Tweek wrote:
<snip>
> And a Cat License... heard all about it from Monty Python. ;-)
>

This is a *dog* license with the word 'dog' crossed out and the word
'cat' written on it in crayon...

> [Actually, I don't believe you need a TV license if you don't have
> a TV... and I think I heard once, that if your TV is only a black
> and white set, you don't need to apply for the color tv license.
> But when the TV Detector van drives around... on Tuesdays and
> Thursdays... it's doubles as the cat detector van on the other
> days of the week... if it sniffs out your TV set, and doesn't
> show you as having a license... well, I think they do something
> about it.]
>

The man told me their equipment could pinpoint a purr at 400 yards, and
with Eric being such a happy cat it was a piece of cake. &:-)

Scott
scott(a)earth.nexus.net
(my opinions only.)

> --
> Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
> DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com
> ---
> Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

1714. Re: AR8000 Trunk Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <5vob5ddsn2@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 185988

Rudolf Usselmann <rudi@logic1.com> wrote:

>Charles Flinn wrote:
>>
>> Does any software for the AOR currently monitor the data freq of a trunked
>> system to track certain channels ?

If your looking for software to decode & follow Motorola Trunked
systems check out:

TRUNKER V3.x Home Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/trnkv3x.htm
TRUNKER V3.x Email: trunkerv3x@mailexcite.com

TRUNKER V3.x Home Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/trnkv3x.htm
TRUNKER V3.x Email: trunkerv3x@mailexcite.com

My Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1715. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917113901.HAA15211@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185989

In article <341F8899.34FF@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>OK, big mouth, here is a recent TYPICAL post of mine on THIS newsgroup
>responding to someone who posted a question to the group. See below,
>confirm via Dejanews if you like. (Read it & WEEP!!!)

Very good Mackey, although lacking in content, it was a valid post.
It was however not a TYPICAL post of yours by any means.
It also has not occurred since I issued my challange to you.

The only weeping I'm doing is when I read this drivel of yours. You defend
yourself only by accusing others. No-one has come to your defense, which
one would THINK would give one a clue that he's not welcomed or supported
on a ng.

IDIOT OF MACKEY I will respond from this point out only to honest
requests by you for info or scanner related requests.
Unlike you, I will no longer be involved in this flamefest.
I challenge you to shut up too.

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1716. Re: **VOTE HERE**JOHN MACKEY VOTE**VOTE NOW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917113900.HAA21037@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185990

>1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.
FALSE
>
>2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.
FALSE
>
>3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?
TRUE
>
>4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.
FALSE
>
>5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.
TRUE
>
>
>Please, reply with just five true or false replies as answers. Post you
>discussions in another message.
>
>

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1717. Re: Manual for Pro 2026?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: berrin@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <342135be.8539299@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 185991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Go to the Radio Shack web page. They have online info form most of
their scanners.

radio@copland.udel.edu (ROBERT PENNEYS) wrote:

>
>
>Anyone got the manual for a Pro 2026? Let me know...tnx. Bob

1718. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917113900.HAA21036@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185992

In article <341F811D.43DB@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>BADLY intermittant mic cord.
>
>I rarely use 2 meters so it isn't much of a priority to me.

Yeah, I hate it when I have to wait for those parts.....
A MIC CORD??......a lame excuse at best.

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1719. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917113900.HAA15212@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185993

In article <341F89C4.396C@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>Hi Glenn Hanson, I see by looking at your headers that you are
>posting from your IBM account. Anyway, PLEASE DO IGNORE ME.
>
>I have been trying to get you TROUBLE MAKERS to SHUT UP
>& let this newsgroup be a nice place. So if you all would
>do that I would appreciate it.
>
>

Has it ever occurred to you John, that maybe, just maybe, if you SHUT THE
HELL UP, the rest would follow?

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

1720. fax-monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Hoehn <mike@clubnight.de>
Message-ID: <341FCC29.52@clubnight.de>
Source record: 185994

Hi!

i looking for a fax-monitoring software (not HF-FAX like JvFax!!!!)

Who know a software that work with a sound balster oder hamcom-modem
to decode the audio of a telefax??

thanks Mike

1721. Re: Was the R7000 800Mhz Blocked? (was Re: FS: Icom R-7000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: gfiber@news.cmc.net
Message-ID: <3424d109.2373640@news.cmc.net>
Source record: 185995

On Tue, 16 Sep 1997 22:04:00 -0500, NoSpam.n9jig@theramp.net (Rich Carlson, N9JIG) wrote:

>The R7000 was never blocked. It was pulled from the civilian market when
>the law required it, and the R7100 was cell blocked (actually the entire
>800-900 band removed.
>
>The R7000 was/is still sold to government and commercial users, as is
>unblocked R7100's.
>
>When I was on CIS this same conversation was had and this was the end
>result of it.

Rich is correct. The R-7000 and R-9000 were never changed by ICOM.
So ICOM removed those two receivers from the consumer market after the deadline in 1994 for selling existing stock passed.

ICOM HAD blocked and unblocked versions of the R1 and R-7100.

ICOM has blocked and unblocked versions of the IC-R-10 , R-100, and R-8500.

Gary
Copyright 1997 All Rights reserved
Gary Fiber

1722. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jocko <Power@russ.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc2a3$08bd1b00$8641accf@christopher>
Source record: 185996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

> It is amazing ... people get all ruffled when
> the air waves are in jeopardy ... but were is the
> same vigor in stopping BABBA from taking our
> hard earned $$$ ... if we limit the $$$ going
> to the federal government ... then they would
> not have the funds to waste on HR2369 ...
>

And who is this "bubba"? I am the kind of person that will go after him
with the same tenacity as a bulldog.

> It the same way people fuss on a $2 charge
> on their $20 ATM transaction ... but they will
> gladly help pay BABBA's largest tax increase
> in the history of our civilization ...

You wanna bet? First of all, these banks make too much money as it is, and
the government is filled with too many lazy fat slobs that cause it be a
text book item on how _not_ to run an organization. I will fight to keep
the costs down no matter who it is, whether it is the banks, or the gov.
>
> When HR2369 passes, I won't feel a thing ... but
> I do feel my paycheck getting smaller each time the
> government decides to do something ... and in most
> cases it's to fuel some socialist program or transfer
> more money to a bunch of lazy asses ...
>

It is just one more attempt to limit the people a little at a time. You may
think you wont feel a thing, now anyway, but you just wait. Later in the
future, you wish you made a stand *now*, and not sat on yer butt wimping
out saying "oh, it doesn't affect me, thats okay....". Well, if it doesn't
affect you and it is still wrong, when will you make a stand? Just because
it doesn't affect you is not a valid argument.

This country was founded on the fundamental principles that each person is
different, and we all have a right to live as we choose, and not be defined
by a social, government or religious order. I believe that we all should
stand up and fight for what we believe in, and stand behind those who
believe in something else, to do otherwise would destroy our freedoms and
our rights to choose as we see fit.

> Go figure ...
>

1723. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jocko <Power@russ.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc2a3$398c8720$8641accf@christopher>
Source record: 185997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

Richard,

> Then you should have filed a legal complaint in both criminal, and civil
> courts.

Thank you sir!

> Hate to burst your bubble of ignorance, but its been illegal to make use
of
> information heard in a private radio conversation since 1936. The laws
are
> fine the way they are now. But I doubt if you know much about radio or
> related laws...
>
> Ahhh, ignorance must be bliss.
>
> Rick
>

1724. Re: antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: dk3410@gnc.net
Message-ID: <5vomqe$3e1@niven.ksc.nasa.gov>
Source record: 185998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Your TV Antenna may work, 50/50, if reception is your problem. If it has the "older" 300 ohn wires you can purchase a transformer to 75 ohm coax type "F" connector. (the common cable connector). to connect to your rx buy an adaptor, Type F female to BNC male. All can be purchased at Radio-Shk. or its equal.

1725. FS: Regency HX-1000 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rb8611@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917131900.JAA18669@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185999

```
Regency handheld HX-1000 scanner, ac adapter, manual - $50
```

1726. Re: Was the R7000 800Mhz Blocked? (was Re: FS: Icom R-7000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mts@plix.com
Message-ID: <874507532.9913@dejanews.com>
Source record: 186000

In article <341EDB70.4EAF7E2A@juno.com>,
Glenn <GHansen@juno.com> wrote:
>
> Ed Tobiasz wrote:
> >
> > TandKMoran wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <341C3145.28C7@accesscom.com>, Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >This radio was NEVER blocked so why advertise that it is UNBLOCKED!
> > >
> > > I though it was marketed for a short while without the 800 Mhz band at
> > > all, no?
> > >
> > That was the 7100.
>
> I don't think so Ed. I mean yes the 7100 was blocked but I also recall
> the 7000 being marketed with 800 blocked too. Need somebody to go up on
> CIS and ask the Icom rep there, he should know. :-)
>
> Glenn

Hello ALL:

YES! the R7000 was blocked for it's last 2 years of production or so.
They also made a "government" version that was not blocked at the same
time. Same as the R7100.

Scott Malcom
MTS

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

1727. Re: FS : Pro 34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "E.Thompson" <max@zianet.com>
Message-ID: <341FF354.7C03@zianet.com>
Source record: 186001
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

The CB Guy wrote:
>
> >
Subject: FS : Pro 34
> >From: jcolson@cajunnet.com
> >Date: Sun Sep 14 00:04:48 1997
> >

>
> We all know what this scanner looks like......
> Keep the binaries off the ng please
>
> The CB Guy

TRY NOT TO BE TOO HARSH WITH THE GUY. I'M SURE IT DIDN'T WASTE TOO MUCH
OF YOUR TIME.

1728. Re: **VOTE HERE**JOHN MACKEY VOTE**VOTE NOW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: john gary ingram <ingramj@erols.com>
Message-ID: <341FF4EA.3BCF@erols.com>
Source record: 186002

Mackey Poll Taker wrote:
>
> This is a ballot not an essay test.
>
> Answer TRUE or FALSE only please.
>
> 1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.

false

>
> 2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.

false

>
> 3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?

true

>
> 4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.

false

>
> 5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.

true

>
> Please, reply with just five true or false replies as answers. Post you
> discussions in another message.
>
> Thanks

john
KA3LIE

1729. Re: Manual for Pro 2026?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb5rvv@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970917004701.UAA13395@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 186099

I can copy selected pages of interest and mail them to you. No charge for copies but SASE requested. Reply to kb5rvv@aol.com

1730. Re: Trunk Tracker 235 XLT Trouble,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: no-one@no-one.com
Message-ID: <5vnacc$akf@nntp1.erinet.com>
Source record: 186100

.once again someone has let the FCC in on some defective QA testing done by Uniden on a FEW select scanners......hopefully there won't be a full recall like some other manufacturers have suffered through recently.....c'mmon Uniden let's tighten up the QA on this equipment.....(sheeese!!! can't get good help anywhere these days....)....I hear tell the newer VCRs can tune the cellular band with no problem, and they are broad enough in the front end to almost track the cellular conversations.....

In article <01bcc148$c557c140$ac6d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>, "SD" <dout-sco@flash.net> wrote:
>I remembered where I heard about cell images on the 235. The 806-814 Mhz
>range is full of clear cell images from the 850-860 Mhz range. The source
>that pointed me in this direction was Rich Wells (Strong Signals). I
>checked it out, and it works.
>
>Here is his mini-review of the 235 with reference to these images. Check
>under the heading of VHF/UHF Performance for more informaiton
>
>http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/IMP235.HTM
>
>sd
>
snip
>> cellular phone calls.
>>

>>

1731. Re: Digital PCS Phones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1609972103220001@pm53-42.magicnet.net>
Source record: 186101

In article <5vjv15$hgb@panix3.panix.com>, schuster@panix.com (Michael Schuster) wrote:

> In article <341cc9cd.2942711@news.atcon.com>,
> halifax_@rocketmail.com <halifax_@rocketmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >What frequencies do the new digital PCS phones operate on????
>
> In the US, that depends.
>
> There are carriers using true PCS as defined by the FCC, which use 1900 Mz
> frequencies and GSM data encoding.
>
> There are also carriers (like AT&T) who are offering "PCS features" on
> special TDMA phones that use standard cellular frequencies.

There is also PCS with CDMA encoding. "Code Division Multiple Acces" breaks the digital signal up into thousands of coded pieces, and is put back together by the handset. It is pretty much impossible to scan or listen to.

1732. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: zarlenga@conan.ids.net
Message-ID: <5vnagc$7r9$5@paperboy.ids.net>
Source record: 186102
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

rick (RBORGMAN@worldnet.att.net) wrote:
: > Yes, most police are good people. But, some are very bad indeed.

: very bad how? Won't let teens go around blowing up mail boxes, won't allow
: teens to destroy houses with hammers? Enforce curfew laws? Interupts teens
: driving around shooting people with paint guns?

Raping people with plungers (NYC), beating the shit out of drunks
(Rodney King), killing innocent people (Iowa City), murderers (New
Orleans), gunning kids down for filing a brutality complaint (RI).

Need more examples of very bad cops, there, Sport?

--
-- Mike Zarlenga
finger zarlenga@conan.ids.net for PGP public key

1733. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3421623B.1C820334@mail.com>
Source record: 185753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Richard Evans wrote:

> John Moran (jamoran@mail.goodnet.com) wrote:
> : DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
>
> : patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy
>
> Even land lines don't garuntee privacy.
> What if you get a crossed line with somone who is using a
> mobile and you conversation gets transmitted that way.
>
> What if the call you are making has been diverted to a
> cell phone.
>
> What about other problems that I havn't thaught of yet.
>
> Richard.
>
> PS. No I'm not paranoid, just like to join in discussions
> form time to time.

Check out http://www.thecodex.com/

1734. Re: Pro 43 Can't enter frequency after repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Haynes <mhaynes@aristotle.net>
Message-ID: <34218FF4.4B07@aristotle.net>
Source record: 185754

You might check with G & G Communications in NY. I recently bought a 43 from them, and I have seen several post from people saying they have recieved good service from them. their address is.
http://www.iinc.com/ggcom/index.html

1735. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <342164B1.9941332C@mich.com>
Source record: 185755

If you think he's an idiot, what does that make you for trying to
reason with him?

The thing to do is complain to his ISP, asking them to join the
newsgroup & take a look at the postings in question.

And/or, if every person who thought his posting was irrelevent would
send him a response (to each irrelevent post) stating so, he might get
the hint, after a while.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> I counted up the number of postings by John Mackey over the last 24
> hours.
> It came to a whopping 41 (20 in the last 10 hours alone).
>
> I thought Eric Oyen shot his mouth off a lot, this guy mackey has him
> beat by 5000%!
>
> Take the average of 30 postings a day and that adds ip to 210 postings
>
> in 1 week (numbers may vary)!
>
> Give it up John! You've shoved your foot far enough in your mouth to
> give your vocal cords a bad case of atheletes mouth!

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1736. Re: Niagara Region Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Wyatt <kenw@col.hp.com>
Message-ID: <34213A27.6119@col.hp.com>
Source record: 185756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

maverick@canine.com wrote:
>
> For frequencies in the Niagara Region in Canada....visit:
>
> http://www.vaxxxine.com/dgl/scan-net/

There's one too many x's in the above URL...
--

Ken Wyatt WA6TTY
Colorado Springs, CO kenw@col.hp.com
Web: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/KenWyatt/Scan_PP

1737. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: skypilot@starlink.com
Message-ID: <MPG.e8b0f471b35521498969c@news.starlink.com>
Source record: 185757

In article <orcinusEGo4JJ.4C4@netcom.com>, orcinus@netcom.com says...
> marc_k (marc_k@walrus.com) wrote:
...
> Hmmm.... most new cars have a digital radio in them. These could be
> considered scanners too... after all they do have a button labeled SCAN.
>
And the definition of a Scanner *IS*:
"For the ourpose of this part (15, ed) this is a receiver that
automatically switches among four or more frequencies in the range of 30
to 900 MHz and which is capable of stopping and receiving a radio signal
detected on a frequency. Receivers designed solely for the reception of
the broadcast signals under part 73 of this chapter or for operation as
part of a licensed station are not included in this definition."
(CFR 47, part 15.3v)
This would specifically exclude your car's receiver.
Besides, the "scan" button is really a "search" button.
Bill

1738. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3421888E.5437@juno.com>
Source record: 185758

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> first you DENY the TRUTH which I pointed out to you. Then once
> the fact become OBVIOUS, you suddenly start to argue
> chances & odds. You just want to blindly argue in support
> of Cheek regardless of the facts.
You are a fine one to talk!
I did and found the following:
@ differences noted in the path of both Bill Cheek and Billy Thunder.
Care to tell me what is truth now?

If this weren't so serious, I would be ROFL for its silliness....

1739. FOR SALE*BCT-10**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: gaylakate@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970918193501.PAA29036@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185759

New BCT 10, preprogrammed scanner. Great from state to state-no need to reprogram. Has all original gear including a window mount/visor mount-two antenna-in original box. For sale at $100.00 or will swap for similar

1740. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jcq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970918200100.QAA06752@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185760

Brian, I agree 100%... Most of the stuff is sent by cowards anyway. They remain faceless and hide behind the safety of their PCs.

1741. Niagara Region Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: maverick@caninet.com
Message-ID: <34218aa9.80054413@news.caninet.com>
Source record: 185761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Sorry about the last post....I got the address wrong. Thanx for to
Ken Wyatt for pointing it out. The correct URL is:

http://www.vaxxine.com/dgl/scan-net/

1742. Newbie help please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: messier@cybernex.net
Message-ID: <EGq15r.G9B@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 185762

Where is the Faq?
Also how much is a 900mhz scanner from Radio Shack or Kmart?
Thank you very much in advance

1743. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail
Message-ID: <342278e2.5763418@news.zippo.com>
Source record: 185763
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Sep 1997 05:59:23 GMT, jamoran@mail.goodnet.com (John Moran) wrote:

>DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
>patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy

You mean us a land line for BETTER privacy. It's still easy to tap a hard wire,
but not as likely as being listened to on a cordless.

1744. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <342164EE.78A8C96B@mich.com>
Source record: 185764

If you think he's an idiot, what does that make you for trying to
reason with him?

The thing to do is complain to his ISP, asking them to join the
newsgroup & take a look at the postings in question.

And/or, if every person who thought his posting was irrelevent would
send him a response (to each irrelevent post) stating so, he might get
the hint, after a while.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> I counted up the number of postings by John Mackey over the last 24
> hours.
> It came to a whopping 41 (20 in the last 10 hours alone).
>
> I thought Eric Oyen shot his mouth off a lot, this guy mackey has him
> beat by 5000%!
>
> Take the average of 30 postings a day and that adds ip to 210 postings
>
> in 1 week (numbers may vary)!
>
> Give it up John! You've shoved your foot far enough in your mouth to
> give your vocal cords a bad case of atheletes mouth!

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1745. Re: Just Say No

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <34238696.5546911@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 185765

scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) wrote:

>
>>Yes, but will you?
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>
>Oh yeah, add this fool to the list.

You got it.

<PLONK!>
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1746. FS: Realistic PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: sound@nwlink.com
Message-ID: <5vs5uk$p3j$1@texas.nwlink.com>
Source record: 185766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale:

Realistic PRO-2026 scanner. NOT cell-capable. No manual. With
wall transformer and basic back-of-radio antenna. Works great.

$110 shipped lower 48, prepaid with USPO money order.

Thanks,

Dave WB7AWK
gekko95@ix.netcom.com

1747. 2 Questions for anyone with an R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: PeterGV@osr.com
Message-ID: <3421b563.0@news.osr.com>
Source record: 185767

I'm thinking of purchasing an ICOM R10. I haven't been able to actually get my HANDS on one to play with, though. I have two questions:

1) When it stops on a frequency in use, is the Alphanumeric channel ID displayed?? I thought I read somewhere that it WASn't, which would be pretty stupid.

2) I'm primarily interested in a scanner for my car. I'll put a rooftop antenna, of course. Anyone used their R10 this way? Good? Bad? Indifferent?

I'm hot for the R10, because of the alphanumeric display and its size.

Thanks for your help,

Peter

1748. Re: FS: Pro-2006 Ex Cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <5vs084$a73@nntpb.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 185768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

>>
>> Jim Wayda <jwayda@cogent.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >You can't compare a pro-2035 to a pro-2006. The 2006 is the best
>> >scanner ever made.
>> >
>> >Jim Wayda
>>
>> Jeepers Ma, I better get rid of my IC-7100 and buy a 2006 then,
>> right?? Fat chance!
>>
>> Jon

Jeepers Ma, I better get rid of my 94 Buick and buy a 2006 then
right?? Fat chance!

John

1749. bc 700a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy <ke4yzn@wwisp.com>
Message-ID: <3421C351.1AE5@wwisp.com>
Source record: 185769

HELP!!
I need some info on this scanner, a buddy of mine brought it to me with no book. It has no numbers on it. It has a program button. I need someone that knows about this rig to give me instructions on how to program it if it can be programed.
Thanks,
Randy

1750. Re: Q: Baltimore Gas & Electric trunked comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: user <ka3jjz@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3421CB46.3C0F@erols.com>
Source record: 185770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,clarknet.radio.scanner

Charlie Wilkinson wrote:
>
> In clarknet.radio.scanner ALong <along28@erols.com> wrote:
>
> > Charlie,
>
> > I've monitored the BGE and they are definitely of Motorola Type II. They
> > are quite a busy system. Unfortunately, I haven't monitored them so much
> > as to give you any TT codes pertaining to talk groups. One word of advice,
> > make sure you have ALL of their frequencies, because they have the standard
> > 856.2875-860.2875 and they have numerous others from 853-855 MHz also, that
> > are part of the same system.
>
> Looks like they initially got licensed for the 855-860 freqs and later
> realized they didn't have enough. That's my guess. Thanks for the info
> on what system they use. I feel better knowing I can follow progress
> when we have a power outage. :)
>
> > If you need them, I'll have to look them up
> > as I'm far from receiving them here in Montgomery County and have the
> > listings tucked away in my files somewhere.
>
> Thanks, but I think I've got the freqs. Here's what I
> have punched into my scanner for BG&E now (got these from
> http://www.li.net/~j4dice/scanli.html):
>
> 852.3375 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company
> 852.8875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company
> 853.7625 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
> 855.1875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
> 855.3625 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
> 855.4125 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
> 855.6125 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
> 855.6375 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
> 856.2875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
> 857.2875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
> 858.2875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
> 859.2875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
> 860.2875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
>
> Not sure why the first two aren't listed as trunked.
>
> > Let me know how you make out.

>
> Will do.
>
> > Oh, yeah...I think that previous response was correct about the GE systems
> > in MD. The only one I know of in the whold state is Ocean City, MD. I
> > don't even know of an SMR that's GE in MD, anyone else care to comment?
>
> Yeah, seems like everyone buys Motorola. Not sure where I picked up
> that someone had a Johnson system... (Glad I was wrong though!)
>
> Regards,
> Charlie
>
> --
> ~~~~~~
> Charlie Wilkinson - cwilkins@boink.clark.net
> Parental Unit, UNIX Admin, Homebrewer, Cat Lover, Spam Fighter, Maintainer of
> Radio For Peace International Website: http://www.clark.net/pub/cwilkins/rfpi
> ~~~~~~
> CLOBBER INTERNET SPAM: See!! <http://spam.abuse.net/>
> Join!! <http://www.cauce.org/>
> ~~~~~~
> QOTD:
> The doctrine of human equality reposes on this: that there is no man
> really clever who has not found that he is stupid.
> -- Gilbert K. Chesterson
All those freqs I have monitored, with the exception of the xxx.2875
are, as I understand it, conventional repeater types. The only ones I
know of that are truly part of the system are the .2875 freqs - I
think. If you listen to them closely, the conversations don't change
freqs on the conventional freqs....73s Mike ka3jjz@erols.com

1751. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: markw@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34258c02.75702779@news-server>
Source record: 185771

On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 02:39:47 GMT, ux365@freenet.Victoria.BC.CA
(Michael J. Shepherd) wrote:

>
> In a previous article, marc_k@walrus.com (marc_k) says:
>
> [snip]
> >
> >: It is NOT against the law to listen to CORDLESS phones, BUT it is
> >: against the law to repeat anything you hear on a cordless phone.
> >: (i.e. you would not be allowed to call police if you heard that
> >: someone was going to kill someone else.)
> >
> >BEFORE YOU GIVE INFO THAT CAN GET SOMEONE ARRESTED.....READ THE DAMM
> >LAW!!!!
> >It has been illegal to listen to cordless phones, on a federal level,
> >since 1994!!!!
> >
> >
> Depends on where you are. Here in Canada, we can listen to anything our
> scanners can pick up. We just can't divulge the information to anyone or
> use it for personal gain.

It used to be that way in the land of the free, etc... However, in
86 they passed a law to make it illegal to listen to it. Sort of an
unenforcable law, because unless you divulge information you heard,
who's to know who heard what you said on your cordless phone. My rule
is if you don't want it heard, don't put it on the air. If you do
put it on the air, encrypt it, not just invert it. I think the
whole idea of the law is kind of stupid, as it's just there to appease
the consumer to make them think that the new wireless device is
interception proof. It has also helped some older scanners (2006)
maintain a higher value than they normally would. Just like this new
bill they have going, complete waste of the paper it's written on.
Congress should spend time on other things than worrying about who's
listening to who. The law is unenforcable, and the only thing it
effects is limiting new equipment. I don't know about you, but I've
got a working bearcat 101, 250, TRS-80 model I, these are all 20 year
old or better pieces of equipment that work fine. Those 2006's will
be around a long time.

Cul,
Mark Wolfe

1752. Non public service trunked businesses in SF Bay area ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <monty55@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3421C427.21F1BBC9@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185772
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I asked this question before but perhaps I stated it wrong. Does anybody
have any frequency lists for non-public service trunked systems
that can be monitored in the SF Bay area ? And if so the business name
or names. I hear other data channels besides ALCO and others, so
there must be some SMR's out there. I just need a little help getting started.
Just looking for non public service systems now. Thanks in advance
for anyone who can help.

Monty

1753. Scanner advice wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald R. Hoenstine" <RickHoenstine@msn.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc496$a8841c20$29962399@donaldho>
Source record: 185773

Am looking to buy a new scanner. Can't decide between the

"Y" 9000 or the "I" R10 Any suggestions???

1754. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3421E1B8.27FF@usa.net>
Source record: 185774

Tim - The Phoenix Radio person is just trying to stir up trouble
by making an inciteful post.

In other words, he just wants to complain.

Tim Tyler wrote:
> If you think he's an idiot, what does that make you for trying to
> reason with him?

1755. Re: Realistic PRO-2021 manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Phipps <sphipps@clandjop.com>
Message-ID: <3421C3A4.1CDF@clandjop.com>
Source record: 185775

PLC wrote:
>
> Gregg wrote:
> >
> > Hello all;
> > I need an owner's manual for a Realistic PRO-2021 200 channel UHF-VHF
> > scanner. Radio Shack tells me that they can't replace it.

Call Radio Shack Unlimited at 1-800-THE-SHACK. I've called them for old manuals even when the stores say they are no longer available and they haven't failed me once. If they still have the very old COMP-100 scanner manual available which I bought just last week from them, then they definitely should have one for yours...

SP

1756. Re: Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: wafrank@erols.com
Message-ID: <34220A9B.1600@erols.com>
Source record: 185776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

LA wrote:

> Since the privacy law went into effect, cellular telephone users can
> rest assured that their telephone conversations will now be absolutely
> private.

So, just who are these "FCC approved customers" who can purchase a
"full coverage receiver" in the US, that allow them to monitor the phone
calls that everyone is so concerned about? Do we all feel happy and
safe that these radios are listening for our dirty little secrets just
because some federal bureaucrat says it's okay for "them" to
do so?

1757. Re: Pro 43 Can't enter frequency after repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Phipps <sphipps@clandjop.com>
Message-ID: <3421C550.6302@clandjop.com>
Source record: 185777

Navtech wrote:

> It looks like a nice radio but if I send it out to radio shack
> the flat fee will be over $100.

Check around with local CB and scanner repair shops. Chances are they will charge you only a small fraction of this price if they have the right diagnostic equipment and can do the job...

SP

1758. Re: Q: Baltimore Gas & Electric trunked comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: along28@erols.com
Message-ID: <along28-ya02408000R1809972106190001@news.erols.com>
Source record: 185778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,clarknet.radio.scanner

> All those freqs I have monitored, with the exception of the xxx.2875
>are, as I understand it, conventional repeater types. The only ones I
>know of that are truly part of the system are the .2875 freqs - I
>think. If you listen to them closely, the conversations don't change
>freqs on the conventional freqs....73s Mike ka3jjz@erols.com

Mike,

I'll have to check on that. I think that they are trunked. I just received my new BC 895 XLT and plugged in the BGE frequencies and what clarity! I'm receiving all of them with nearly full signal strength even here in central Montgomery County. I guess now I'll have to monitor them and post a report on the Talk Groups. Give me a couple of weeks and I'll post something here and on the TrunkCom listserve.

Andy
--
Anti-Spam measures: Just remove the "28" from my name to reply.

1759. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3421DE44.3E22@usa.net>
Source record: 185779

Are you saying that Bill Cheek's post WAS NOT meant to start trouble?

john gary ingram wrote:

> Come on John, don't you mean posts from John Mackey only incite trouble???

> Bill sent you a personal e-mail which you in turn posted to the group.

> > Received: from dt2h3n46.san.rr.com(204.210.11.70) by mail via smap (V2.0) id xma024335; Wed, 10 Sep 97 08:35:06 -0700
> > Received: by localhost with Microsoft MAPI; Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:48-0700
> > Message-ID: <01BCBDC4.66457940.bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > Reply-To: "bcheek@san.rr.com" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>
> > Subject: *.*
> > Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700
> > Return-Receipt-To: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > Importance: high
> > X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
> > Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
> > X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet E-mail/MAPI - 8.0.0.4211
> >
> > Hi Queenie! How's it going? You hanging in there doing okay?
> >
> > Word around the grapevine has it that you're acting a lot
> > like a housefly these days....eating shit and bothering people.
> >
> > Tsk.....tsk....tsk.

1760. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3421DFA8.1FA7@usa.net>
Source record: 185780

Hmmm, YOU, Baycitvidgrp, & a couple others seem to want to fan the
flames
regardless.

Perhaps YOU should follow YOUR OWN ADVICE.

The CB Guy wrote:
> John, If you would shut up for a week, I suspect all the trouble would
> disappear too.

1761. Re: Cheek & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3421E09D.7BCA@usa.net>
Source record: 185781

I also agree with you. These cowards hiding behind their PC
are becoming a real pain.

N9JCQ wrote:
> Most of the stuff is sent by cowards anyway. They
> remain faceless and hide behind the safety of their PCs.

1762. Re: ALERT!! HR2369 - To encrypt or not to encrypt?...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@iac.honeywell.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc47f$faf6cbe0$667391a4@PSmalley95.iac.honeywell.com>
Source record: 185782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

rick etter <retter@bright.net> wrote in article
<3419F29B.E01@bright.net>...
> Problem is, the government is making it illegal to securly encrypt any
> transmissions. If they don't have the 'key' you can't use it.

Very good point. Let me see if I have this right.. Our government wants to know how to decrypt email messages that could be sent back and forth between hostile nations and the U.S. THEREFORE, all encryption programs for this purpose must have no more than a 128 bit key, so that the CIA's Cray Supercomputer can crack your message by brute force in a matter of minutes. Hmmm... Now what if someone who pilfers credit cards from email messages wanted to do that? By the same method, your gold card could be in the hands of joe hacker in less than a day. I mean if you had to put your Pentium to work for a day, while you watch tv or go hang out or whatever, and when you got back you had someone else's $10,000 credit line at your disposal, wouldn't that be worth it? What if the process took a week, or even a month? I could live quite comfortably on $10,000 a month, couldn't you?

Meanwhile, Abdul the terrorist in southeast Iraq is hell bent on revenge for us blowing up the mercedes he stole in Kuwait, so he hires an Iraqi programmer to write him a custom 1024 BYTE, 10 key encryption program so he can communicate to his buddies in the U.S. via internet email. That's 1024 x 1024 x 1024 x 1024 x 1024 x 1024 x 1024 x 1024 x 256 possible key combinations FOR EACH KEY. Even a Cray would take days to check every possible combination of keys. And writing such a program is easier than you think. He and his "hit team" in the U.S. can receive and decode this email in seconds, and go do God knows what while we sit here with our pants around our ankles. So what is the big benefit of us giving the government the keys to our transmissions? None! And I'm sure that any terrorist countries are not about to hand "Tubby" Clinton such a key!

And now, to add injury to insult, we have HR2369, which will prevent law abiding citizens from listening to the police bands, while criminals with modified, stolen or custom built radios will have a field day. You can be sure that if such a law passes within a few years the police will once again be very lax in radio procedure, giving anyone with ears your name, address, social security and driver's license number, and simultaneously telling the world you are driving a $40,000 Lexus. (What am I saying? They do that NOW!!) What about MDT's you ask? Well, any seasoned reader of this NG will tell you that those things are FAR from secure, and can be monitored with a PC, a scanner and $12 worth of parts from Radio Shack! Add another $20 worth of parts and you can build a transmitter circuit and run anyone's name and license you want! Besides, while our scanners may become

illegal, any one of several old vcr's and tv's from the late 70's to the late 80's can be manually tuned to police frequencies in the UHF-T and 800mhz bands, complete with a "memory" of 20 or more "programmable" channels! Are they going to outlaw them too??

My point is while our government is giving us their Big Brother bullshit, anyone outside of this country could write and sell SuperNastyEncrypt v1.0 to anyone with enough cash regardless of intent. Meanwhile we, the most technologically advanced nation on earth have ourselves by the balls sending our credit card info via "secure" email over the world's most open public network, knowing full well that anyone could crack a 128 digit binary key in no time if they really wanted to. Nice to know that once again, bureacracy reigns supreme over common sense in the hallowed halls of Congress.

Ya know, Australia is looking better and better all the time.....

-Phil

1763. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3421E46A.5978@usa.net>
Source record: 185783

OK, if you know so much & are right, then
Why don't you post the differences you found?

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> I did and found the following:
> @ differences noted in the path of both Bill Cheek and Billy Thunder.
> Care to tell me what is truth now?

1764. Re: Baltimore Oriole Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3421D62B.558F@prodigy.net>
Source record: 185784

ESwoboda wrote:
>
> Going to baseball game this Sunday . Looking for freq in or near
> ballpark. Any help appreciated --including web sites.
> Ed Swoboda
Baltimore Orioles/Camden Yards
461.1375
461.1625
461.200
461.300
461.500
461.6375
464.3625
464.4375
464.6625
464.6375
469.6375
Check out my page at http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/home.html

1765. FS: PRO-2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Todd A. Mc Govern" <TODDAMCGOVERN@WORLDNET.ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <5vsqgs$e2p@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185785

PRO-2036, 200 Channel Base Scanner

Mint Condition
Optional CTCSS Tone Board Installed
Power Supply
Complete Owner's Manual

Purchased April of 1996, Very LOW Usage
This is an identical model to the BC-890XLT

Asking $218.00 plus Shipping Costs.

Contact via E-Mail: TODDAMCGOVERN@WORLDNET.ATT.NET

1766. Re: Look here for any frequency you've ever wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <874633775.690660@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 185786

Dennis, relax man it will be o.k. :-)

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

Dennis Eckert wrote in article <5vsgcbdla1@gte1.gte.net>...

> OK, I don't have quite the solution you're expecting right this very
>moment: finding that frequency you've always wanted.
>
>Could we, however, work towards improving this whole idea of helping each
>other out on needed frequencies?
>
>If you need a frequency, how about telling briefly what you've done to
>figure out for yourself? If you don't have any of the published frequency
>guides for your area (PoliceCall, et al), please pause a moment and why
>bother to ask the group? I am amazed at how many frequency requests don't
>tell us what you have done to try and find out yourself. If you've made no
>effort, many of us are ignoring you....I'd like to figure out when I see
>these broad general requests how I could program a certain combination of
>keystrokes that would respond to a query and send them Bob Parnass' FAQs or
>many other of the great FAQs our senior brethren have produced (someone
>expert in computer please suggest some areas for me to explore so I can
>figure this out -- BUT don't you dare tell me step-by-step how to do it!)
>
>If you have frequency guides for your area, then I think most of us are
more
>interested in helping out...there's quite a bit about scanning where
>experience is helpful about where to look, but it's tiring to hear from
>folks who want all the answers in an E-mail....I think one of my greatest
>attractions to this hobby has been trying to figure out mysteries...if
there
>no mysteries, why bother? If you know every answer about life, what's
left?
>
>Thanks for listening...
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>Dennis A. Eckert Snohomish, WA
>Flies Standard Cirrus sailplane (SV) &

>Amateur Radio op - KB7ST
>daeckert@seanet.com
>
>
>

1767. Re: pro2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3421F450.121D1458@juno.com>
Source record: 185787

Yes, but not as it comes from the factory.

Do you know how to do a WWW search????????

kenneth byron wrote:
>
> can this model receive cellular?

1768. Re: Realistic PRO-2021 manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: PLC <plcoy@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3421B578.73D2@pacbell.net>
Source record: 185788

Gregg wrote:
>
> Hello all;
> I need an owner's manual for a Realistic PRO-2021 200 channel UHF-VHF
> scanner. Radio Shack tells me that they can't replace it. If anyone out
> there is willing to copy it for me, please email me.
> Thanks
> Gregg
email me your add if your ser.

1769. Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mwojcik@ripco.com
Message-ID: <5vsf2m$n2i$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 185789

I live in Chicago and I am wondering what the exact scanner laws are.. I am always hearing what people THINK they are, and people usually disagree with eachother.. Can anyone tell me where to find the law (on the web), or tell me, to the best of their knowledge what the laws are?

1770. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3421FE45.428A1482@mail.com>
Source record: 185790

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:

> Are you saying that Bill Cheek's post WAS NOT meant to start trouble?
>
> john gary ingram wrote:
>
> > Come on John, don't you mean posts from John Mackey only incite
> trouble???
>
>
> > Bill sent you a personal e-mail which you in turn posted to the
> group.
>
> > > Received: from dt2h3n46.san.rr.com(204.210.11.70) by mail via smap
> (V2.0) id xma024335; Wed, 10 Sep 97 08:35:06 -0700
> > > Received: by localhost with Microsoft MAPI; Wed, 10 Sep 1997
> 08:34:48-0700
> > > Message-ID: <01BCBDC4.66457940.bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > > From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > > Reply-To: "bcheek@san.rr.com" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > > To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>
> > > Subject: *.*
> > > Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700
> > > Return-Receipt-To: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > > Importance: high
> > > X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
> > > Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
> > > X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet E-mail/MAPI - 8.0.0.4211
> > >
> > > Hi Queenie! How's it going? You hanging in there doing okay?
> > >
> > > Word around the grapevine has it that you're acting a lot
> > > like a housefly these days....eating shit and bothering people.
> > >
> > > Tsk.....tsk....tsk.

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate
inthisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

1771. BC895XLT user quiz <g>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3421E69A.7D30DF7F@cts.com>
Source record: 185791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Using BC895XLT, in the Trunk Tracking Mode, on a Type II system.

There are approximately 140 user groups identified via the internet web sites.

Question (1) Scan List. While in the trunk mode

If I program 30 user groups into list A,B,C and set the unit to scanning the scan list. Is it
scanning like a convention scanner or will it follow the conversation as it changes
frequencies? For example; user 123 starts his conversation on freq 860.025 and in the
middle of the conversation it gets switched to 862.050. Does the BC235 follow the user
group? or does it just scan to the next user in the scan list? That would be 123 to 124.

Question (2) User group numbers.

Aside from sitting here and manually getting the user group numbers how can I do it
automatically? I want to be able to leave the scanner on for a couple of hours and
somehow store all the user group numbers someplace so that I know what numbers have
been assigned. Assume that I have only bank A set up and the rest of the scanner is free.

I have a page of 140 user group numbers in front of me. I don't know if they are accurate,
complete, etc. How can I check this?

Question (3) Frequencies

Aside from the internet, how do I find what frequencies are being used? For example; I'm
looking at a system that has 20 freqs for the trunk system. Is that all there are? Is there one
freq in there that is not on the trunk system? Am I missing one? Can my scanner determine
this?

Thanks, in advance.

1772. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: john gary ingram <ingramj@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3421F018.2FE6@erols.com>
Source record: 185792

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Are you saying that Bill Cheek's post WAS NOT meant to start trouble?

John,

Bill Cheek's did not post this message to the group. You did!!!

This is a copy of the message that you posted on September 10th:

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up trouble again & hoping to start
> something.
>
> Below I have attached an e-mail from him I received this morning.
>
> I have repeated requested Bill not send me e-mail but he continues.
> For all of you that think Bill is so nice & can do no wrong, what
> do you say about this??
>
> Received: from dt2h3n46.san.rr.com(204.210.11.70) by mail via smap (V2.0) id xma024335;
Wed, 10 Sep 97 08:35:06 -0700
> Received: by localhost with Microsoft MAPI; Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:48-0700
> Message-ID: <01BCBDC4.66457940.bcheek@san.rr.com>
> From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> Reply-To: "bcheek@san.rr.com" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Subject: *.*
> Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700
> Return-Receipt-To: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> Importance: high
> X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
> Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
> X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet E-mail/MAPI - 8.0.0.4211
>
> Hi Queenie! How's it going? You hanging in there doing okay?
>
> Word around the grapevine has it that you're acting a lot
> like a housefly these days....eating shit and bothering people.
>
> Tsk.....tsk....tsk.

1773. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34222132.4591@juno.com>
Source record: 185793

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Tim - The Phoenix Radio person is just trying to stir up trouble
> by making an inciteful post.
> In other words, he just wants to complain.
And you don't???????
Did I say I was complaining? No. Did you say I was complaining? Yes.

Besides, inciteful is what I do best (which generally leaves you in the intellectual darkness trying to understand what it was I really said)

1774. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "jhayes3rd@nmaa.org" <jhayes3rd@nmaa.org>
Message-ID: <34220013.638C@nmaa.org>
Source record: 185794

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> YES!!!! Posts like Bill's only incite trouble.
>
> john gary ingram wrote:
>
> > You mean posts like this idiot made last week???
>
> > From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > Reply-To: "bcheek@san.rr.com" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>
> > Subject: *.*
> > Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700

You forgot to quote your original post in your reply John!

The archives at Deja News don't lie. This is a copy of
the article that you posted last week. I didn't see any
posts from Bill Cheek's.

Subject: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Date: 1997/09/10
Message-Id: <3416F13E.7F0D@usa.net>
Organization: Phantom's Amateur Radio Group International
Reply-To: jmackey@usa.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
[Fewer Headers]

I guess Bill Cheek is stirring up trouble again & hoping to start
something.

Below I have attached an e-mail from him I received this morning.

I have repeated requested Bill not send me e-mail but he continues.
For all of you that think Bill is so nice & can do no wrong, what
do you say about this??

Received: from dt2h3n46.san.rr.com(204.210.11.70) by mail via smap
(V2.0)
id xma024335; Wed, 10 Sep 97 08:35:06 -0700
Received: by localhost with Microsoft MAPI; Wed, 10 Sep 1997
08:34:48-0700
Message-ID: <01BCBDC4.66457940.bcheek@san.rr.com>
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Reply-To: "bcheek@san.rr.com" <bcheek@san.rr.com>

To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>
Subject: *.*
Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700
Return-Receipt-To: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Importance: high
X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet E-mail/MAPI - 8.0.0.4211

Hi Queenie! How's it going? You hanging in there doing okay?

Word around the grapevine has it that you're acting a lot
like a housefly these days....eating shit and bothering people.

Tsk.....tsk....tsk.

1775. Re: BC895XLT user quiz <g>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <342201FF.2D2D6D08@cts.com>
Source record: 185795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

B

> >Question (1) Scan List. While in the trunk mode
> >
> > Gee, after the idiot of mackey
> continuum into the 20th
> Century...
>
> I've forgotten how to post about scanning?
>
> Any directions to refresh one's memory?

I doubt it for you. You're a terminal asshole.

1776. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342221F5.651B@juno.com>
Source record: 185796

Tim Tyler wrote:
> If you think he's an idiot, what does that make you for trying to
> reason with him?
Stupid question???

Why do you like to post the same thing more than once, as well as to my e-mail with the same number of duplicates? Posting once is more than enough to get your point across. Posting twice may be considered accidental, but doing so in e-mail appears more like forcing the dead issue..... Oh well. This ship has sunk anyway.

1777. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342222AB.3275@juno.com>
Source record: 185797

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> OK, if you know so much & are right, then
> Why don't you post the differences you found?
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > I did and found the following:
> > @ differences noted in the path of both Bill Cheek and Billy Thunder.
> > Care to tell me what is truth now?
John,
It is incumbant upon you to prove that I am wrong (since you started this line of thought). You made the assertion that both path statements wer "IDENTICAL". I pointed out that there were minor differences and also indicated that the system in question had many users.

All you could do was prove me wrong by posting without reservation the entire path statement of BOTH messages as a comparison. You did not and decided to make the rest of us prove otherwise. You presented the accusation, it is up to you to prove it.

1778. Re: Cheek & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34222014.4A0F@juno.com>
Source record: 185798

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> I also agree with you. These cowards hiding behind their PC
> are becoming a real pain.
Funny, you seem to be part of the problem.......

You offer no real solutions, barate others whoes opinions don't coincide with yours, and generally make a nuisance of yourself. Then, you have the audacity to call others cowards when you yourself have played the same games?????

Something doesn't add up here.

1779. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Harr <bjharr@destin.gulfnet.com>
Message-ID: <5vsfhjscj1@tourist.gnt.net>
Source record: 185799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Group,
I own an HB-232 (the forerunner of the CE-232) and I have the latest version of the Cheek software from Commtronics. I was unaware of the 'Easter Egg' one can display by pressing the <Shift> and ? keys during startup. I tried it. A window with the following message displayed:

The REAL STORY: The HB232 was conceived, designed and developed by Bill Bond<hardware/driving force>, Jason Hillyard <hardware>, George Steidley <hardware/software>, Rick Brown <minded the till>. Personal and professional circumstances beyond our control require us to license the sale and support of this product. It's fate is in the hands of others. We wish the product well. < Press Any Key >

This hardly speaks of terrible atrocities that Cheek did to the developers of the product and the software. However, it does indicate that there was some hard feeling over the product somewhere. I'm not sure we have the information or the right to rush to judgement to decide who did what to whom! It may well be that the developers were bitter for unwarranted reasons. Besides, what business is it of ours? Legal and business disputes occur each and every day, and there are lawyers to sort it all out. Let it go and get a life!

John

1780. Sacramento City Police freq.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Maxman <Myoption@ask.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc471$19077940$662c15d1@maxman>
Source record: 185800
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi everyone,
It seems as if my local City Police Dept. has followed our County Sheriffs and switched to the 800mhz frequencies. I have the County freq.'s but does anyone have the new City Police Department Frequencies for Sacramento, California?
I have searched the old messages and some links and haven't found anything.
Private E-mail is O.K.
TIA!

Paul
E-mail=Maxman@2xtreme.net
Web Page=http://www.2xtreme.net/maxman

1781. Re: BANDERCOM????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: berlin@solaris1.mysolution.com
Message-ID: <34209013.21300899@news.mysolution.com>
Source record: 185803

I ordered a radio and it took 5 days to get to the us.....

Did you guys order online? I called in and made sue the unit was in stock....

I had several questions and they where very good about a prompt response........

73's

>stephenn@dpg.devry.edu wrote:
>>
>> Any in the US order from Bandercom lately?
>> I have been waiting for four weeks for a delivery?
>I ordered a Yupiteru from bandercom. It took over 4 weeks.
>And I m living in Sweden.
>
>/Vacco

1782. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geoxvet@worldnest.att.net
Message-ID: <3420b1ac.11581869@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185804

tazdvls@aol.com (TAZ DVLS) wrote:

>hello , can someone please tell me where the SCANNER NEWSGROUP has
>moved to?

Try comp.periphs.scanner, that's where the *real* scanner buffs hang
out.

1783. WTD: VC-20 VHF Conv., VS-1, YK-88SN, YK-88C.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: deacon@art2000.com
Message-ID: <874563055.8031@dejanews.com>
Source record: 185805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for these items for my Kenwood 5000 receiver:
VC-20 VHF Converter, VS-1 Voice Synthesizer, YK-88SN, and YK-88C Filters.
If you have these items, please e-mail me at: deacon@art2000.com

Thank you,
Douglas Deacon

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

1784. Cobra Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: deecee@exit109.com
Message-ID: <34209bb5.94612163@news1.exit109.com>
Source record: 185806

I have an older Cobra SR-15 scanner. Does anyone know who I could sent
it to for repairs? Did Uniden take over Cobra?

Don

1785. Re: Icom R-9000 Premium Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Norton <rnorton@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc3e2$b17fcfe0$d8613cd1@rnorton.thegrid.net>
Source record: 185807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This also has been sold. Thanks for all of the interest, especially those of you who were serious!

Rick Norton <rnorton@sprynet.com> wrote in article <5vgtli$rt7$1@zinger.callamer.com>...
> From the estate of a silent key, I have an Icom R-9000 receiver for sale.
> Those who would be interested know what it is! Located in Southern
> California. Asking $3500, with serious offers considered. Please, serious
> interest only, as I am only trying to help the widow.
>
> Rick Norton, WB2JIO
> rnorton@sprynet.com
>
>
>
>

1786. Re: Pro 43 Can't enter frequency after repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <5vq7u3$3og$1@grandprime.binc.net>
Source record: 185808

Navtech <navtech@oberon.ark.com> wrote:

>I received a smoked Pro 43 as a gift that had excessive voltage applied. L304.L305,L306 were all smoked.
> After I replaced these the radio works squelches scans etc. but I cant get it to take a frequency into
>memory and store it. The radio scans through all channels and banks but wiht everything empty.
>Any help is greatly appreciated. It looks like a nice radio but if I send it out to radio shack
>the flat fee will be over $100. Also is there a schematic out there on line anywhere?

This may be a silly question, but....

Is the keyboard lock turned off?

Mark

The truth as I perceive it to be.
Your perception may be different.

check the address

1787. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpitts@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3420a496.53127379@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185809

dan9125@aol.com (Dan9125) wrote:

>
> Our local police department (Lockport New York) Recently got some
>sort of computers in their patrol cars . They are able to send and recieve
>messages from the dispatcher. Is there any way to recieve that signal and
>see what those messages are? Any one knowing anything about this please
>e-mail : Dan9125@aol.com Thank-you in advance

Yep, I think I know what's up. They're running a derivitive of the ham radio software called PacketCluster. You can visit their web page at http://www.cerulean.com/.

All you'd need to decode this stuff is a TNC or terminal node controller which is commonly available at amateur radio stores and outlets everywhere. Get a decent/cheap one for around $100. MFJ is the defacto cheap standard. Works just fine.

Good luck!

Gary - Nashville gpitts@ix.netcom.com
** 95 VFR - "A Gentleman's Sportbike" - AA4DO - ARRL Life - NRA Life **

1788. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ux365@freenet.Victoria.BC.CA
Message-ID: <EGonEB.G03@freenet.victoria.bc.ca>
Source record: 185810

In a previous article, marc_k@walrus.com (marc_k) says:

[snip]
>
>: It is NOT against the law to listen to CORDLESS phones, BUT it is
>: against the law to repeat anything you hear on a cordless phone.
>: (i.e. you would not be allowed to call police if you heard that
>: someone was going to kill someone else.)
>
>BEFORE YOU GIVE INFO THAT CAN GET SOMEONE ARRESTED.....READ THE DAMM
>LAW!!!!
>It has been illegal to listen to cordless phones, on a federal level,
>since 1994!!!!
>
>
Depends on where you are. Here in Canada, we can listen to anything our
scanners can pick up. We just can't divulge the information to anyone or
use it for personal gain.
--
Mike Shepherd (aka: the Sheepster)
If you're ever in trouble on the water, the first thing you'll
see is a bunch of maniacs in a rubber boat, comin' for to carry
you home.

1789. Re: need help with MDTSTUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: source@netcom.com
Message-ID: <34249dd6.123117728@10.0.2.1>
Source record: 185811

On Tue, 16 Sep 1997 05:46:39 -0700, R Williams <rwilliam@rpnet.net>
wrote:
>however when i run MDTSTUFF with and without the "/x" switch, all i get
>on the screen is this:
>
>*************** BUFFER 0% full

I don't know what the cause of your trouble is, but I would ask: what
DOS version are you using? And, could you possibly look at the output
of your interface circuit with an oscilloscope to verify that it is
working.

1790. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpitts@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3420a890.54145206@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185812

dan9125@aol.com (Dan9125) wrote:

>
> Our local police department (Lockport New York) Recently got some
>sort of computers in their patrol cars . They are able to send and recieve
>messages from the dispatcher. Is there any way to recieve that signal and
>see what those messages are? Any one knowing anything about this please
>e-mail : Dan9125@aol.com Thank-you in advance

Oops.... Just checked said web page and they say that they use encrypted transmissions. Might be a bit harder than I thought! The TNC will still show you the data, you'll just have to figure out how to decrypt it!

Gary - Nashville gpitts@ix.netcom.com
** 95 VFR - "A Gentleman's Sportbike" - AA4DO - ARRL Life - NRA Life **

1791. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <874559089.4686@dejanews.com>
Source record: 185813

In article <341D7553.4586@juno.com>,

> You said it, I didn't. I mentioned that others wer forging messages, not
> specifically about you (more you you were doing it to others, like Eric
> Oyen, Bill Cheek Blake bowers and a few others). Next, you'll probably
> try me.....

You know, I will admit most anything I have done... But this is bull.

I have never posted a message trying to mask my identity on
the newsgroups. Never.

Always been real straightforward about that, and never
chose to hide who I am. I challenge you to prove otherwise!

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

1792. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geoxvet@worldnest.att.net
Message-ID: <3420ae23.10676760@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185814

Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com> wrote:

>Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
>
>> I counted up the number of postings by John Mackey over the last 24
>> hours.
>> It came to a whopping 41 (20 in the last 10 hours alone).
>>
>> I thought Eric Oyen shot his mouth off a lot, this guy mackey has him
>> beat by 5000%!
>>
>> Take the average of 30 postings a day and that adds ip to 210 postings
>>
>> in 1 week (numbers may vary)!
>>
>> Give it up John! You've shoved your foot far enough in your mouth to
>> give your vocal cords a bad case of atheletes mouth!
>
>CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in
>thisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....
>

Plonk!

1793. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geoxvet@worldnest.att.net
Message-ID: <3420aef1.10883223@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185815

Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com> wrote:

>George L. Vetterle wrote:
<snip>
>> --
>> George L. Vetterle
>
>CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
>are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....
>
Congratulations to you for recognizing my idiocy *and* for ignoring
me; I wouldn't want it any other way. Now shut up and go away.

Plonk!

1794. Re: Stop the BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geoxvet@worldnest.att.net
Message-ID: <3420b26f.11777183@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185816

gnoza@gulftel.com (Andrew Gnoza - WWWebologist&#65533;) wrote:

>On 17 Sep 1997 19:27:03 GMT, bbrower103@aol.com (BBrower103) wrote:
>
>> Enough is enough, this Mackey stuff has gone far enough. I'm getting
>>tired of checking the NG just to find 3/4 of the posts are nothing but BS
>>about some fool named Mackey. I'm sure I'm not alone. It's obvious that
>>nobody likes him, so don't lower yourselves to his level. Ignore him and
>>maybe he'll go away.Lets just get back to the subject at hand SCANNING!!!
>I just activated the twit filter on my reader and no more Mackey stuff
>appears from him or as subject matter. Thank you for filters.
>
>
>Andrew Gnoza
>WWWebologist&#65533;

```
Amen to that, brother.
Plonk!
```

1795. Re: Stop the BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geoxvet@worldnest.att.net
Message-ID: <3420b23b.11724674@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185817

Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com> wrote:

>BBrower103 wrote:
>
>> Enough is enough, this Mackey stuff has gone far enough. I'm getting
>> tired of checking the NG just to find 3/4 of the posts are nothing but
>> BS
>> about some fool named Mackey. I'm sure I'm not alone. It's obvious
>> that
>> nobody likes him, so don't lower yourselves to his level. Ignore him
>> and
>> maybe he'll go away.Lets just get back to the subject at hand
>> SCANNING!!!
>
>CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in
>thisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....
>

Plonk!

1796. Re: IRS CID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ResQ551 <ResQ551@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3420BCCB.2B20@juno.com>
Source record: 185818

Cliff Pearson wrote:
>
> Folks,
>
> A friend of mine who worked for the IRS CID tells me that the
> entire IRS is converting to a new computer system. I've been
> monitoring them on 418.1 MHz in the Dallas area and I hear systems
> tests and diagnostic type stuff. Sounds like the are creating a
> system that allows a handie-talkie to also function as a phone,
> and to be able to link to voice mail. I know it sounds weird,
> but this is what it sounds like.
>
> Anyone know more about this?
>
> Cliff P

>>> In Huntsville, Al their office uses a repeater on 418.225.
It has a phone-patch on it.

1797. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geoxvet@worldnest.att.net
Message-ID: <3420b063.11253528@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185819

"Brian Parry" <brian_parry@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I am new to this NG and I must say I am now very embarrassed by it. Reading
>all the flames and character assualts makes wish I wasn't part of the
>hobby. It is the behavior of immature posters that create negative
>stereotypes for the hobby. Please, everyone, keep this NG an informative
>one and not a place for spoiled brat behavior.
>Thanks
>
>Brian Parry

Why should you be embarassed by someone else's behavior? If you don't
like some of the subject matter and/or individuals in the NG then
ignore them or kill file them. Nobody's forcing you to read it. Be
your own censor!

1798. Sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: avazq12345@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970918045701.AAA29944@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185820

My freind wants to buy the scanner amp. His name is Oswaldo Martinez His address is 42-22Nd Ave Paterson New Jersey 07513 His phone # is 201-754-0836 call day time any question Thank you.....

1799. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: markw@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3421bda0.22860612@news-server>
Source record: 185821

On Tue, 16 Sep 1997 23:35:03 -0700, Mackey Poll Taker
<John@denial.sickness.mental.illness> wrote:

> This is the place to discuss the John Mackey Vote.
>
> The ballot looked like this........
>
> 1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.
>
> 2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.
>
> 3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?
>
> 4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.
>
> 5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.
>
>
> I think it would be best for all to exclude John Mackey from entering
> this discussion for obvious reasons. As we all know he can post all he
> wants but it would be best to skip reading John Mackey's messages and
> certainly don't reply to any of his accusations or flames. IOW, if he
> posts just ignore him. Leave his lies and flames unanswered and let him
> flap in the wind by himself, ignored by all.

Already did a Mackey filter.
73's

1800. CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3423bb44.40288905@news-server>
Source record: 185822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

This article is copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek. Permission to reprint for FREE access and FREE use by others is automatically granted so long as this entire article, including signature box at the end are retained intact and unaltered.

A TUTORIAL ON
THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE'S
REMOTE KEYBOARD CONTROL FUNCTION

INTRODUCTION
============
The CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface is a 2.5" x 3" electronic board that goes between an IBM-PC/compatible computer and a scanner for a host of very powerful capabilities.

The CE-232 is a -smart- RS-232 Interface controller and data acquisition system for some scanners and at least a drudgery-free automatic programmer and remote controller for all supported scanners.

The CE-232 eliminates a lot of the drudgery and labor in scanning by its ability to "AutoProgram" up to 999 memory channels from a plain ASCII text file in a short time, error-free and exactly the way you want the scanner configured.

The CE-232 also allows REMOTE control of the scanner from the computer keyboard; a strong +plus+ for handheld scanners and those with hard-to-operate keyboards like the PRO-43, PRO-2035 and PRO-2042!

The CE-232 is a one-way controller and AutoProgrammer like described above for MANY scanners, including the PRO-2042, PRO-2035, PRO-2022, PRO-43, PRO-39, PRO-37, PRO-34, PRO-26, etc.

The CE-232 is a TWO-WAY controller, AutoProgrammer and data acquisition system for the time-honored PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006 scanners which have unique circuitry that allows the CE-232 to do MUCH more than just AutoProgram and Remote Control, including AutoLog data on "hits", REJECT undesired signals, LOOKUP data about a certain frequency, and much more.

REMOTE KEYBOARD CONTROL OVERVIEW
================================

** Operate the scanner from the Computer's Keyboard! **

REMOTE CONTROL FROM COMPUTER'S KEYBOARD:

Virtually all functions of supported scanners can be operated from the PC keyboard. If you have club fingers like I do, this is almost a vital necessity. I just love my PRO-43 but I loathe operating its keyboard.

The CE-232 displays a replica of the scanner's front panel on your monitor along with a keypad emulator to show the keys to be pressed as if you were operating the scanner in a normal manner. The Figure just below is a reasonable facsimile of the main operating screen of the CE-232:

```
================================================================================
| -SCANNER INTERFACE PROGRAM- |
|_____________________________________________________________________________|
| ________ Search ^ Monitor 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 _________ |
| OUTPUT | X | INPUT |
| STATUS | 3 138.0750 Mhz | STATUS |
| 0100 | nfm 5 khz | 100110 |
|_____________________________________________________________________________|
| |
| +---------------------------------------------------------------------------+|
| ◆ Manual ◆ pri <!> ◆ limIt ◆ 1 ◆ 2 ◆ 3 ◆|
| +---------------+---------------+---------------+----------+------+---------◆|
| ◆ Scan ◆ speEd ◆ srch up <-> ◆ 4 ◆ 5 ◆ 6 ◆|
| +---------------+---------------+---------------+----------+------+---------◆|
| ◆ Delay ◆ mOde ◆ srch dn <+> ◆ 7 ◆ 8 ◆ 9 ◆|
| +---------------+---------------+---------------+----------+------+---------◆|
| ◆ Lock-out ◆ sTep ◆ direct <*> ◆ 0 ◆ . ◆clr<bksp>◆|
| +---------------+---------------+---------------+----------+----------------◆|
| ◆lockout reView ◆ Reset ◆ monitor<space>◆ Program ◆ <enter> ◆|
| +---------------------------------------------------------------------------+|
| |
|__F1_____F2______F3______F4_______F5_______F6_______F7______F8_____F9_____F10__|
| HELP MISC LOOKUP APRGM SCRIPT CONFIG REJECT TOOL ALOG PAGE |
================================================================================
```

This Figure doesn't really do justice because of the difficulties of showing screen graphics in an ASCII text message like this newsgroup article. But you get the idea? Well, just in case you don't, in the above example, to operate the scanner from the PC, you'd press <M> for MANUAL; <E> to change SPEED; <O> to change MODE; and <T> to change the STEP increment, etc. For Remote Keyboard Control, the above screen is mostly just a guide to indicate which PC keys control which scanner functions.

That's really all there is to Remote Keyboard Control of a scanner using the CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface. If you can operate the scanner from its own keyboard, then you can be more efficient and relaxed operating it from the PC's keyboard.

NOTE: The CE-232 does not slow down the scanner nor alter its peformance in any manner! Not only can you operate the scanner from the computer's keyboard, you can also operate normally from the scanner as well. You can even "mix" operations alternatingly between the scanner's keyboard and the PC's.

NOTE FOR PRO-2004/5/6 ONLY: As the scanner operates, the computer monitor displays EVERYTHING that the scanner shows in its LCD display. For all other scanners, that part of the monitor display is just blank. Remote Control is as described, however.

+--+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+--+

Copyright (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek, September 17, 1997
==========[End of Article]==========

1801. Re: Manual for Pro 2026?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ZOT <ZOT@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <3420C658.4EB76E8C@sprynet.com>
Source record: 185823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check out support.tandy.com - bet you can find one there.

ROBERT PENNEYS wrote:

> Anyone got the manual for a Pro 2026? Let me know...tnx. Bob

1802. Re: R10 - off freq in SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimm@teleport.com
Message-ID: <3420c95c.1032919@news.teleport.com>
Source record: 185824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 16 Sep 1997 10:43:40 -0400, Gary McGill
<mcgill@dale.cba.ufl.edu> wrote:

>The Problem: When listening to SSB freqs., say 3.945 LSB, I have to
>tune to 3.9464 to hear the voices properly. I know the actual freq is

Whaddaya want for the bux? a cesium timebase?

1803. Re: ! What are the school bus frequencies for the Katy ISD in Katy Texas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David....." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3420C6D5.13D2@flash.net>
Source record: 185825

joe d wrote:
>
> DavidA. wrote:
> >
> > I was at a KATY football game a few nights ago, and while riding on
> > the bus, I noticed all the drivers were talking on radios to each other.
> > As we passed an area with many fast food resturants, one of the headsets
> > came over the radio in the bus, but we passed through its range so
> > quickly, I could not find out if the person was ordering a Big Mac, or
> > something from Wendys.NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
> >
> > IF you have an idea of what Katy ISD bus frequencies are, please post
> > them! AT http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm
> >
> So what does this person want with schoolbus freqs? This is just the
> kind of stuff that gives the kiddyporn newsgroups a bad name.
> does prop 209 allow these school bus stalkers to stalk openly.
> I say call your congrease person and get PoP 209 repealed before
> we loose our full page scanner rights.
>
> LETZ GET TEXAS OUTA TH u.s. AND TH u.s. OUTA TEXAS FREE THIS REPUIBICK

Idiot! Prop 209 is not about scanners! Call your congressman about
HR 2369! And, pay attention! The bus freqs are needed so I can hear
what the drivers are saying to each other! How in Hell would bus freqs
have anything to do with stalking????? Man, you are weird!
--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1804. Re: ?: DO signal preamps work for HH scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David....." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3420C9A2.7ED5@flash.net>
Source record: 185826

Erik Fleming wrote:
>
> I am thinking of buying a "signal preamp" for my hand held pro-39
> scanner at radio shack for $65. do they really amplify the signal?
> Can i head cordless phones from a further distance?
>
> thanks -

Yes, you probably could, but the best thing to do is buy a TV antenna. Turn it on its side and connect very good quality cable to it with a BNC connector on the scanner end. It will cost you less and will give you the directional ability. It will also have a high enough gain that you will not believe how far you can hear if you purchase a medium to large antenna.
So, if you have the room, start with a better antenna. If you do not have the room for a med. to large antenna, I think you still should buy a small TV antenna for 20 to 30 dollars and see what you think of its performance. You can always add a recieve amp to it later, but just dont swamp your scanner with too much signal. If you do, almost all of the frequencies you regularly listen to will be weak, and distant.

TV antennas come with a matching transformer for 75 ohn cable. Be sure to use it and match it to 75 ohm cable. Also, make sure that the plastic weather boot is used. It covers the connection point between the transformer and the cable. I use plastic electrical tape to cover the boot if it does not appear that it will keep out moisture.

TV antennas work great!

Have fun!
--
NEED TO CONTACT YOUR CONGRESSMAN?
CONGRESSIONAL E-MAIL ADDRESSES ARE LOCATED AT:
http://www.jbs.org/cgmail.htm

1805. Re: **VOTE HERE**JOHN MACKEY VOTE**VOTE NOW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art Wells <artwell@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3420D1DA.F55E3A2A@ibm.net>
Source record: 185827

> 1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.
FALSE

> 2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.
FALSE

> 3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing?
TRUE

> 4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.
FALSE

> 5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.
TRUE

1806. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420E7DE.287@usa.net>
Source record: 185828

Well said George!

George Vetterle wrote:
> Why should you be embarassed by someone else's behavior? If you don't
> like some of the subject matter and/or individuals in the NG then
> ignore them or kill file them. Nobody's forcing you to read it. Be
> your own censor!

1807. police scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: cogo@telusplanet.net
Message-ID: <3420D8F4.3323@telusplanet.net>
Source record: 185829

I'm new to scanning and have a question. When police go coded in my town, their signal changes so that all that you hear is strong static. What type of scrambling is that and is it possible to decode it?

1808. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420E638.68CA@usa.net>
Source record: 185830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Bill Cheek wrote:
> This article is copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek. Permission to
> reprint for FREE access and FREE use by others is automatically
> granted so long as this entire article, including signature box at
> the end are retained intact and unaltered.
>
> A TUTORIAL ON
> THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE'S
> REMOTE KEYBOARD CONTROL FUNCTION
>
> INTRODUCTION

SNIP

The message below was sent to me by a (shy) friend:

"There's some guy hounding Cheek about his CE232 software. He was
referring to a secret hidden Keyboard trick, that Cheek denied, on
his
software. Cheek is well aware of the trick. The trick is to hold
<shift><?> while the programme is firing up. It basically claims th
the programmer was fucked over by Cheek. It's encrypted into the
programme so it can't be hacked out by Cheek!"

Does anyone know the exact wording of this message?

Any other details about it?

Perhaps if I get on the fidonet "Scanradio' echo someone there will
know about it if I have no luck here.

1809. Re: DO signal preamps work for HH scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Douglas V, Brown" <dvbrown@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc40b$334e8700$48b9b1cc@default>
Source record: 185831

Not if it's the one I'm thinking of made by GRE, it's only good between
100-1000Mhz

Erik Fleming <efleming@cinenet.net> wrote in article
<341F3161.54BA@cinenet.net>...
> I am thinking of buying a "signal preamp" for my hand held pro-39
> scanner at radio shack for $65. do they really amplify the signal?
> Can i head cordless phones from a further distance?
>
> thanks -
>

1810. Request: Mod. for Yaesu FT-10R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Atle Nordlien <atnordli@sn.no>
Message-ID: <34213CE8.4CD4@sn.no>
Source record: 185832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can anyone please send me modification info. for
my Yaesu FT-10R, in order to extent the bandwith.

Thanks.

Oystein Sorensen

1811. Scanning In Cleveland Ohio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: W8RON <w8ron@stratos.net>
Message-ID: <342109CD.32AA@stratos.net>
Source record: 185833

Is there a way to currently use an AOR 8000 with external interface/computer .... to get back to listening to the police in Cleveland Ohio??? I understand that the city has gone to a digital trunked system. Any help would be.....helpful.

Ron

1812. Digital scanners -any available yet on the consumer market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mwiens@SPAMMAILcallisto.uwinnipeg.ca
Message-ID: <34208484.52191871@news.uwinnipeg.ca>
Source record: 185834

As in affordable ones?

Thanks.
Remove 'SPAMMAIL' from address to reply

1813. WTB Trident TR2 mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <5vr6g7$8qs@nntpb.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 185835

looking for an old trident tr2 mobile scanner
i think they were on the market around 1994 or so.

got one?

bill h.

1814. Re: BANDERCOM????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kg5un@swconnect.net
Message-ID: <342148e3.2044583@news.swconnect.net>
Source record: 185836

I ordered from them over the telephone and it took 29 days to get to Texas. Pete was very helpful and stayed in touch by e-mail untill delivery. It was a long wait but I love the scanner and the price was right.
Ed

>I ordered a radio and it took 5 days to get to the us.....
>
>Did you guys order online? I called in and made sue the unit was in
>stock....
>
>I had several questions and they where very good about a prompt
>response.....
>
>73's
>
>
>>stephenn@dpg.devry.edu wrote:
>>>
>>> Any in the US order from Bandercom lately?
>>> I have been waiting for four weeks for a delivery?
>>I ordered a Yupiteru from bandercom. It took over 4 weeks.
>>And I m living in Sweden.
>>
>>/Vacco
>

1815. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: evansr@callisto.lif.icnet.uk
Message-ID: <5vral5$g5v$1@charlie.lif.icnet.uk>
Source record: 185837

Wild Dog (wild_dog@bconnex.net) wrote:
: On 15 Sep 1997 09:55:54 GMT, F. Barry Mulligan wasted 52 lines
: babbling about Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!:

: > No transmitters
: > are allowed on 608-614 MHz (Ch 37).

There is one now in parts of the UK.
A new channel called Channel 5 launched earlier this year,
uses this channel in many areas. However in most areas it
is transmitted with relativly low power compared to the
Standard TV channels.

Richard.

1816. Re: Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: evansr@callisto.lif.icnet.uk
Message-ID: <5vrbjj$g5v$3@charlie.lif.icnet.uk>
Source record: 185838

: >Hi,
: >
: >When I scan the cordless phone freq's my scanner always seems to get
: >stuck on 46.7300 and I can hear just a hissing noise. Does anyone know
: >why?

I often find the same but not necessarily on any particular frequency.
If I carry on listening I can often hear faint sounds or talking
in the background. I recon it is somebody nearby who for whatever
reason likes to leave their cordless phone 'Off the hook'. Perhaps
to avoid being distermed by incomming calls.

I wonder if they realise that they are effectivly placing a bug
in their home that anyone nearby with the rite equipment can use
to eavesdrop on them.

Richard.

1817. 4 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbjr@itol.com
Message-ID: <3420C74B.6B19@itol.com>
Source record: 185839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Radio Shack Pro 2006,in mint condition with cell and speed mod.$350.00 Firm.

1818. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamoran@mail.goodnet.com
Message-ID: <5vqfvrlfo1@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 185840
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy

--

JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
vi..my favorite text editor (:
>Don't send junk/spam mail, I get that stuff in duplicate. When I see<
>two or more messages from the same, They go straight to bit bucket! <
if responding to a usenet post send e-mail also so I will check the group
---

1819. Re: Trunk Tracker HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <34214A19.25AE@accesscom.com>
Source record: 185841

Todd A. Mc Govern wrote:
>
> Just got my new Trunk Tracker and am having trouble programming it. I
> am trying to program the NJSP trunk system in and am having no luck.
>
> Any help would be appreciated!!

As I remember, there was a discussion about this on this newsgroup a
little while ago. Try going to Deja News to retreive the discussion.

BTW I think the NJSP system is a type I system.

If all else fails RTFM. Has always worked for me.

Regards

Ed

1820. Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34213a98.4452248@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185842

wild_dog@bconnex.net (Wild Dog) wrote:

:On Mon, 15 Sep 1997 05:41:52 GMT, Barry O'Grady wasted 30 lines
:babbling about Re: TV Frequencies Needed!!:
:
:>heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) wrote:
:>
:>:I'm intersted in knowing of a place where I can get a listing of the TV
:>:voice frequencies listed by channel. Please e-mail me if you have any
:>:information requarding this. Thanks a lot for you time and effort in
:>:advance!!
:>
:>VHF sound channels are as follows;
:>
:>ch 0 - 51.75
:>ch 1 - 62.75
:>ch 2 - 69.75
:>ch 3 - 91.75
:>ch 4 - 100.75
:>ch 5 - 107.75
:>ch 5A - 143.75
:>ch 6 - 180.75
:>ch 7 - 187.75
:>ch 8 - 194.75
:>ch 9 - 201.75
:>ch 10 - 214.75
:>ch 11 - 221.75
:
:Cute. That would be for those of you in Australia. I mentioned that
:to him in email... we can all give him frequencies, but it doesn't
:mean diddly squat without knowing what country he's in. Channel
:zero.... here in North America it would probably be used for sci fi or
:comedy :)

Notice the frequency for channel 0, in the 6 metre amateur band.
Actually, because of channel 0 the 6 metre band in Australia is
52 to 54 Mhz. Amateurs more than 100 Kms from a channel 0 transmitter
are permitted to use 50.0 to 50.3 as well.

Also note that channels 3, 4, and 5 are in the FM broadcast band, and
5A is just below the 2 metre amateur band.

470 to 512 Mhz is the top end of the UHF commercial band.

Barry

```
====================================
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.
```

1821. Re: new UPS installation has radio trailer and antenna mast with 2 220mhz antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34213a8e.4441701@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185843

chris451@hotmail.com.net (Chris says To reply take off the net) wrote:

:
:UPS is installing a new loading facility at Cedar Rapids, Iowa; it is
:located at a highway interchange. They installed a radio trailer with
:a large radio mast (6' diam at the bottom going to 3' diam at the top,
:with a triangular radio antenna bay at the top. There were two long
:antennas there which are either vhf or 220 but seem too big to be
:800.. The facility is under constructoin and it is not active yet. I
:have heard some 220 activity during tropo openings. If you have a UPS
:facitity with one of the radio towers check 220-222 and see if its on
:there. I expect them to finish construction in a couple of months or
:less. The trailer must be a factory made thing. They just plop it
:down at the bottom of the tower.
:kill filter all spam domains

What are you on about?

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

1822. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: evansr@callisto.lif.icnet.uk
Message-ID: <5vrium$i76$1@charlie.lif.icnet.uk>
Source record: 185844
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John Moran (jamoran@mail.goodnet.com) wrote:
: DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
: patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy

Even land lines don't garuntee privacy.
What if you get a crossed line with somone who is using a
mobile and you conversation gets transmitted that way.

What if the call you are making has been diverted to a
cell phone.

What about other problems that I havn't thaught of yet.

Richard.

PS. No I'm not paranoid, just like to join in discussions
form time to time.

1823. Scanner and concerts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: clisotn@utkux.utcc.utk.edu
Message-ID: <34214F90.4D20@utkux.utcc.utk.edu>
Source record: 185845

Does anyone know anything about picking up concert broadcasts.
I know you used to be able to pick up a signal from the Grateful Dead
that was directly from the soundboard. I am curious about taping the
Rollling Stones and I am wondering if I can pick up their signal.
If anyone can help me out please respond to me privately.
thank you
Colin

1824. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vrjd2$laf@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185846

In <3420EB97.57DD@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>first you DENY the TRUTH which I pointed out to you. Then once
>the fact become OBVIOUS, you suddenly start to argue
>chances & odds. You just want to blindly argue in support
>of Cheek regardless of the facts.
>
>Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
>> John,
>> there are 48,000 Users on that system. How can you absolutely prove
it
>> to be Bill Cheek? In fact, everytime Bill even posts on here,
>
> Just had someone do a complete trace and
the two don't match...Billy Thunder is
not the same as Bill Cheek.

Do a trace yourself ... whoever?

Two distinct numbers found.

bill

1825. Regency MX-5000 Instructions or Manual?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: See_Below_For@Address.com
Message-ID: <5vrlkonop1@monet.op.net>
Source record: 185847
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

I just got an old Regency MX-5000 out of the closet after a couple of decades, and to my chagrin cannot find the manual. To my further chagrin, I cannot figure out how to program frequencies into its 20 channels.

If anyone happens to know how to do this and could advise, it would be most appreciated. Better yet if anyone out there has a manual for this scanner who might part with the contents for a few bucks, please contact me.

Email preferred, as I am likely to miss a newsgroup post. Thanks in advance for helpful replies which may be directed to me at Fair4us which, in the spirit of defeating spam addressers, you may append with the infamous domain "@aol.com". Thank you for your time.

1826. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3420E781.10B6@usa.net>
Source record: 185848

YES!!!! Posts like Bill's only incite trouble.

john gary ingram wrote:

> You mean posts like this idiot made last week???

> From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> Reply-To: "bcheek@san.rr.com" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> To: "'jmackey@usa.net'" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Subject: *.*
> Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 08:34:43 -0700

1827. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <342161E1.EBDEC426@mail.com>
Source record: 185849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Bill wrote:

> On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 01:28:41 -0700, John Mackey--KA0SSF
> <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>
> >Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> >> A TUTORIAL ON
> >> THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE'S
> >> REMOTE KEYBOARD CONTROL FUNCTION
> >>
> >> INTRODUCTION
>
> >SNIP
> >
> >The message below was sent to me by a (shy) friend:
>
> That's not possible, John has NO friends.
> >
> >"There's some guy hounding Cheek about his CE232 software. He was
> >referring to a secret hidden Keyboard trick, that Cheek denied, on
> >his
> >software. Cheek is well aware of the trick. The trick is to hold
> ><shift><?> while the programme is firing up. It basically claims th
> >the programmer was fucked over by Cheek. It's encrypted into the
> >programme so it can't be hacked out by Cheek!"
> >
> >Does anyone know the exact wording of this message?
>
> As usual, John's message is meaningless drivel.
>
> Bill

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in
thisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

1828. Re: Asthma attack in flite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mik@PROBLEM_WITH_INEWS_GATEWAY_FILE
Message-ID: <5vrio5$t90@hpwin055.uksr.hp.com>
Source record: 185850
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

It was asked :
>anyone ever been on a flight when ther ewas an in-flight medical emergency

Yes, last July.
I was upstairs on a Virgin 747-200 flying from San Francisco to Heathrow, looking out over the wing at the setting sun. Fuel then started to flow out of the port jettison nozzle. A couple of minutes later, one of the flightdeck crew announced that we were going to have to land at Winnipeg, as we had a medical emergency on board, and that we were dumping fuel to reduce our landing weight.

A short while later we started to descend VERY rapidly, touched down gently on the numbers, used a lot of Winnipeg's long runway to slow down in before taking the last taxiway off the runway. We then slowly came to a halt, the aircraft was chocked, and the person who had been taken ill was taken away in an ambulance which arrived about five minutes later.

Then a Winnipeg airport police officer came on board along with a senior airport official with some paperwork to be filled out. While the paperwork was being sorted, I chatted with the police officer. He'd recently changed guns from a Smith & Wesson model 10 (.38) revolver to a Glock 20 semi (10mm) which he was carrying. That was the first time I'd seen a loaded firearm on a passenger aircraft...

After we took off (quite a few hours later) I spoke with the flight engineer, and got the following info from him:
We dumped 20 tons of fuel before starting our descent.
The descent from 33,000 ft to touchdown took exactly eight minutes. (Its amazing how fast a 747 will descend with speedbrakes out, gear down, engines at idle.)
Our landing weight was 280 tons, which is the maximum certified landing weight for that particular 747-200. I think the normal landing weight at Heathrow is usually around the 230 ton mark.
Our approach speed (Vref+5) was 165 knots, as it was calm and hot in Winnipeg that evening and we were very heavy. At Heathrow, Vref+10 is usually around 140-145 knots. The additional weight and additional speed caused our brakes to reach over 500C which is hot enough for them to smoke a bit and for a firecrew to be sent over to keep an eye on the wheels for an hour or so until they'd cooled down and the wheels could be inspected. Billy Boeing puts good brakes on his 747s - neither the wheels, brakes or tyres had been damaged. Incidentally, the Captain's decision to let the aircraft gently roll off the runway onto the taxiway and stop without using brakes meant that the brakes hadn't welded on, which can happen!

After the brakes had cooled off, the aircraft was refueled, and we took off. We then cruised at M.86-.87 instead of the usual M.82-.84 so that the flight crew didn't run out of hours.

And we heard that the passenger who had been taken off was out of danger, and was expected to continue his trip to England later that week.

All in all an interesting flight.

Mik
email: mik@DelThis.pwd.hp.com.killspam (You know what I mean!)

1829. Developer Info for CE-232 Interface Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34215e6f.82065842@news-server>
Source record: 185851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

This article is copyright (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek
and All Rights Are Reserved as set forth under
TERMS AND CONDITIONS below.

Thursday, September 18, 1997

THE HB-232 & CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE UNIT

- SOFTWARE DEVELOPER INFORMATION -

Brought to you by

Bill Cheek
COMMtronics Engineering
PO Box 262478
San Diego, CA 92196-2478 USA
FAX: (619) 578-9247 5:30pm-1:30pm, PST
E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com
WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek
Mirror: http://204.210.11.126
FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek
Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126

The HB-232/CE-232 Scanner Interface Unit - Developer Information

TERMS/CONDITIONS: Information in this document is subject to change without notice and is not a commitment on the part of COMMtronics Engineering or its representatives. This document could contain technical inaccuracies and/or typographical errors. Changes will be periodically made without liability incurred to previous editions. The information in this document is copyrighted and all rights are reserved except that this article or file may be freely distributed so long as there is NO CHARGE made for its access or retrieval. This document is solely for the use of individual hobbyists and may not be used or applied in a commercial or business environment, nor for commercial or business purposes without the expressed permission of the author. Permission will usually be *freely* given to developers of software for the CE-232. Use of this information is at the sole and exclusive risk of the reader. There are no guarantees or warranties and the author does not accept liability for the results or consequences of the use of this information.

"Realistic", "PRO-2004", "PRO-2005" and "PRO-2006" are registered trademarks of the Tandy Corporation. MS-DOS is a registered trademark of the MicroSoft Corporation. IBM, PC, XT and AT are trademarks of the International Business Machines Corporation. MC68HC11F1FN is a

registered part number of Motorola, Inc.

Companies, names and data used in examples herein are fictitious
unless otherwise noted.

- TABLE OF CONTENTS -

1.0 INTRODUCTION
1.1 The 'HC11' Microcomputer
1.2 The Interface Unit Program

2.0 FILES AND UTILITIES

3.0 INITIALIZATION

4.0 COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE INTERFACE

5.0 HINTS
5.1 Timing
5.2 Test a Variety of Scanners
5.3 Don't Change Speed of the Interface Unit
5.4 Correct Binary in the 'HC11' RAM
5.5 Initialize As Soon As Possible
5.6 Checksum & Data Validity
5.7 Update Rate

A1 COMMAND LIST
A2 UPDATE FORMATS
A3 CODES
A4 PERSONALITY FILE
A5 HBOOT (PROGRAMMER NOTES & PUNCHLIST)
A6 HB-232/CE-232 UPDATE CODES (Contributed by others)
A7 HBIODEMO.BAS (demonstrates interfacing with the CE-232
scanner interface unit

~ FOREWORD ~

Recognizing the unqualified success of the "open architecture" IBM/PC
and compatible personal computers, we at COMMtronics Engineering are
striving to emulate the mechanics of that awesome success. Like the
IBM-PC, our CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface represents, not so much
a the first of its kind, but clearly a major break-through in
automated and computer-controlled hobby radio! The CE-232 is the
first to offer a wide spectrum of features and benefits, including
automated programming up to 999 memory channels at a time from a plain
ASCII text file; automatic logging of "events" or transmissions to a
plain text file; intelligence to prevent the scanner from locking on
"birdies" & other undesirable signals; automated data LookUp of scanner
"hits" from a specified ASCII text file for scanner stops & pauses; AND
a Script language from which all the above and more features can
be implemented, hands-off.

The CE-232 is the first and ONLY of its kind to implement these powerful
features (and more) without altering the factory-specified performance

of the scanner!

The CE-232 is a system of hardware, software and firmware which, when retrofitted to the Realistic PRO-2004, PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 and other supported scanners, offers an unparalleled measure of computer control and automation of virtually all factory-provided features and controls. Other amenities of the CE-232 take up where factory features leave off to allow the User virtually unlimited variations of operating conditions and control of after-market or retrofit enhancements. In a word, the CE-232, operated with most IBM-PC/compatible computers, not only reads and displays whatever the scanner reads and displays, but also controls any function that can be manually controlled at the Keyboard of the scanner! Many other user-added modifications can also be controlled and monitored by the CE-232!

Perhaps little of this would be so remarkable except that a complete system, including computer, can easily cost less than $1000.00. Here is a real-life example: PRO-2006 @ $400; CE-232 Kit @ $150 and an XT/clone w/40-Mb hard drive @ $100, for a total of $650. Even with incidentals and hidden costs, the total investment is well under a grand! I know, because I just described my developmental system! That's right, in 1991, the CE-232 was prototyped and developed on an XT/clone.

Frankly, it is virtually impossible to accomplish what can be offered by the CE-232 with any other system for under $2000, and THAT might not include the cost of the computer! On top of that, other systems with comparable power will include a radio that can be termed only as "world class", which by definition, is in a very limited market! It is conservatively estimated that between 75,000 and 150,000 PRO-2004/5/6's have been sold since the Fall of 1986. It is not likely that the sum-total of all "world class" radios can approach a fraction of this, and we're talking about the likes of the ICOM R-7000, R-7100; R-9000, R-100; Yaesu FRG-9600; AOR's AR-3000 and AR-2515.

At this point, I have not so much extoled the virtues of the CE-232 as I have iterated a list of motivations for 3rd Party Developers to take a long, close look at it and the potential market which it represents. You are cordially invited to review the enclosed documentation on both the software and the hardware with an eye to developing either a successor to the CE-232 Program or, at the very least, supporting software and utilities. Developers could find such a venture to be very worthwhile.

The CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface was fully beta & gamma tested in the summer of 1992 and has been marketed, field tested, and used by the public ever since. Bugs have been worked out and resolved (1 minor bug is known) and a solid User Base is in place. The learning curve for documentation and technical support has been experienced and flattened. Its impact on the Hobby Radio Scene and Market seems solid and assured for a continued healthy lifespan.

It is my intent to make the CE-232 as much of an "open architecture" product as possible. The electronic design and construction details of

the CE-232 were published for the benefit of the hobby in my 3rd book, THE ULTIMATE SCANNER (Cheek3). The control software for the CE-232 project is available at nominal cost, but it's from the MS-DOS era and I think it's time for some Windows programmers to concoct a new version now!
.
You are cordially invited to review the enclosed documentation and consider the opportunity to develop Software for the CE-232. If this document does not answer all of your questions, or if it leaves anything up in the air, feel free to contact me by e-mail.

We're on an adventure and welcome you to join.....if you dare.....

Bill Cheek

THE CE-232 SCANNER INTERFACE UNIT
- DEVELOPER INFORMATION -

The information contained in this document is provided for third party developers to assist in the preparation of programs that take advantage of the CE-232 Scanner Interface Unit. This data is subject to change with out notice. We accept no liability for the impact of future changes. The developer assumes all risks associated with the use of this data. At this time it appears that any changes will be in the nature of additions to, rather than modification of, existing data; however, we will not guarantee this to be the case.

- 1.0 INTRODUCTION -

The CE-232 Scanner Interface System has three parts: the scanner, the Interface Unit, and a program in a host computer. Opportunities for the developer are in the area of the host computer's control program. This document provides information needed to access the services provided by the Interface Unit.

The CE-232 Interface Unit consists of a MC68HC11F1 microcomputer, RS232 level converter, four quad bilateral switches, and miscellaneous electronic components. The Interface is connected to the target scanner in such a way as to be able to monitor the data stream between the scanner's CPU and LCD display driver chips. The data (representing the state of the scanner) is reformatted and sent out when requested by the host computer. Input to the scanner is accomplished by activating (via commands from the host) the bilateral switches to simulate pressing the scanner's keys.

1.1 THE 'HC11 MICROCOMPUTER

The MC68HC11F1 is a single chip microcomputer made by Motorola and intended for embedded controller applications. The chip has many capabilities that are not used (yet) in the CE-232 (such as an 8 channel A/D converter). On board the chip are 512 bytes of EEPROM and 1024 bytes of RAM. The chip is configured for the "bootstrap" mode of operation in the Interface Unit. This chip was chosen because of its bootstrap capability (avoids the need for burning the program into ROM) and it's relatively large internal memory (avoids the need for external memory). Changes to the system software are

very easily accomplished. The end user is provided with a utility (EPROMV10.EXE) which will install a portion of the program into the EEPROM. The balance of the program is downloaded into RAM upon start up.

1.2 THE INTERFACE UNIT'S PROGRAM

The program for the Interface Unit is in two parts. The EEPROM is programmed one time and contains the portion of the code that emulates the scanner's LCD driver as well as code to receive commands from the host computer. The RAM, when properly loaded, contains the routines which format the scanner's data for transmission to the host, timing values for the type of scanner being used, and a unique identification byte (SigByte).

- 2.0 FILES AND UTILITIES -

Each CE-232 Interface Kit is shipped with various files. The developer may access (but not distribute) these files as required. A description of these files follows:

CE232V10.EXE The main PC program.

EPROMV10.EXE A utility for the user to install the core routines into EEPROM. Needs to be run at least once by user.

HBOOTV13.EXE A utility (hereafter referred to as HBoot) which initializes the Interface Unit. If required, it will download the second part of the Interface Unit's program into the 'HC11 RAM. The main CE-232 program shells to HBoot to perform this task. The developer is free to call this program for the same purpose. Enter a ? command line switch and HBoot will show the command line switches available. Should the developer desire to "roll his own" a brief flow description is provided in section A5 (HBOOT).

PER.IDX An index to the personality files. This file is a plain ASCII text file. A description of the personality file is followed by its filename within brackets ({}) spaced to the right such that the user does not see it. In the main program and the HBoot utility, the filename is parsed out when a line is selected.

HELPV13.IDX An index to the help files for the main program (CE232V10.EXE) in the same format as the personality file index. (Unlikely to be of much use to the developer)

*.PER Various scanner personality files. These are plain text files from which the main program and HBoot extract information needed to customize their operation to the type of scanner. There is data from which arrays are constructed to decode the bytes returned from update requests. The Binary data required for download to the 'HC11 RAM is also contained within this file. See section A4 (PERSONALITY FILE) for a breakdown of this data. Viewing the personality

files with a text editor will also help understand the
format used.

*.HLP Various help files for the main program.
(Unlikely to be of much use for the developer)

NOTE: The current version numbers of the software are:

HBOOTV13.EXE (v1.3)
EPROMV10.EXE (v1.0) (same as EEPUTV13.EXE)
CE232V10.EXE (v1.0) (same as HB232V13.EXE)

We intend to keep this convention. In order for your application to remain current, you could do wild card searches and choose the highest numeric version. An alternate method could be the use of a config file. The CE-232 main program creates a config file named "HB232.CFG" so stay away from this name.

- 3.0 INITIALIZATION -

Two utilities are provided with the CE-232, EPROMV10.EXE and HBOOTV13.EXE. The names of these programs may vary slightly with updates but their purpose will remain the same. EPROMV10.EXE installs the core program into EEPROM. HBOOTV13.EXE is a utility which will initialize the program and load the HC11's RAM with the appropriate code from a personality file.

The HBoot program is provided so that the developer does not have to deal with the intricacies of initializing the Interface Unit. It may be called from a batch file prior to running your program or from within your program. Once initialized the Interface Unit remains running regardless of the state of the host program. It stays current with the state of the scanner on its own. The CE-232's PC program makes use of a "/R" switch to bypass calling HBoot and save a little time when the user knows that the Interface has been previously initialized. Once the Interface Unit is turned off, the initialization process must be repeated.

A limitation of the HBoot utility is lack of support for COMports 3 and 4. If you want to support these ports you will have to handle the initialization of the Interface Unit. Section A5 (HBOOT) is a guide.

- 4.0 COMMUNICATING WITH THE INTERFACE -

The Interface is setup by the initialization process to communicate at 9600 baud (N,8,1). The Command List included with this document shows the available commands and their actions. When an update is requested, the data is sent back in the format described in the Update Formats section of this document. When receiving updates, keep in mind that there may be some delays during the update transmission. This occurs because the Interface's LCD driver emulation is interrupt driven. The scanner's status is given priority and must be handled immediately. Once the data is sent from the scanner's CPU to it's LCD driver, there is no way of retrieving it. It must be monitored as it is sent, otherwise the Interface Unit will not have current information. We recommend use of a time-out scheme for each byte

to avoid the possibility of your program hanging. Experimentation will provide the best value (we use approximately 50 milliseconds).

Another timing consideration is the transmission rate of data to the Interface. One or two byte commands are not usually a problem since the HC11 chip will buffer one byte while processing the previous byte. In general, some form of repeatback or response is provided with each command. We recommend waiting for the appropriate response before sending the next byte (again with a time-out to avoid hanging). Scanner key press commands have a longer delay associated with them. The scanner uses a keypad polling technique. A key must be held closed for a definite time interval and held open for the same interval for the scanner to recognize it. The scanner can be easily overran, resulting in missed keystrokes. The timing interval is a fixed value in the portion of the program downloaded to RAM. A default Time Constant is provided as part of the personality file for the use of the host program. This constant represents the total on time and off time of a key press plus a little margin. Adjustment of the Time Constant does not affect the fixed internal time value. The purpose of the Time Constant is to pace the transmission of key presses when attempting to send multiple key closures. We have seen some model differences with PRO2006 scanners in which the fixed internal timing is too quick to properly handle rapid key presses. In these cases we recommend the user utilize the personality file for the PRO2004/5 scanners. The major difference between these files is the fixed timing value.

- 5.0 HINTS -

The CE-232 software for the PC was developed using QuickBasic 4.5 and third party tool boxes. Some of the following hints may not apply to other languages. These hints do not represent the only way to do something but rather are based on the way we did it. Experimentation will provide the best solutions to your situation.

5.1 - Timing

Use a time-out scheme when waiting for data to be returned from the Interface. When doing any action (such as a keypress) that causes the scanner's display to change, a delay in response from the Interface Unit will occur while it monitors the scanner's display data. This will also happen routinely when the scanner is in a scan or search mode of operation. A combination of waiting for the expected repeatback/response and a time-out scheme appears to work best. Flushing the receive buffer before each command will get rid of responses received after a previous time-out. Timing problems show up most often when trying to rapidly press the scanner's keys (AutoPrograming).

5.2 - Test on a variety of scanners

The biggest differences between the PRO2004/5/6 series of scanners is in internal timing.

5.3 - Don't change the Interface Unit's speed

The software for the HC11 assumes an 8 Mhz crystal. Using a different crystal will result in different baud rates as well as different internal time values for key press control. Don't try to initialize the HC11 with the 0 byte (as in HBoot) at 9600 baud. This is faster then the default bootstrap baud rate and will not be recognized as a 0. 1200 baud is recommended here. Once initialized, the Interface Unit sets itself up for 9600 baud. NOTE: A continuous break longer then one byte time at 1200 baud should work.

5.4 - Make sure correct Binary is in the HC11 RAM

Use the commands for checking the sigbyte and the checksum of RAM. If the RAM is not loaded with the proper program you will lose control of the Interface when you ask for an update! An update request transfers control to RAM. Internal keypress timing also depends on finding a timing value in RAM.

5.5 - Initialize as soon as possible

When the scanner is turned on, it's CPU will initialize the LCD driver. If the Interface Unit is not initialized yet, it will miss some of this data. The ERROR & BATT indications will be set and blinking will not be enabled. The original CE-232 system used the bootstrap features to download the entire program upon startup which took about 10 seconds. The only way to catch the scanner's initialization stream was to perform a back panel RESTART. The program was split up and the LCD driver emulation moved to EEPROM. The program in EEPROM can be started by sending only one byte (0). HBoot essentially waits for something to show up on the serial port (a clue that the HC11 is powering up) and after a short pause (to give a chance to finish powering up) will send a 0 byte. This causes the EEPROM's program to start in time to catch that first initialization stream. The HC11 powers up quicker than the scanner and is ready to go when the scanner starts.

5.6 - Checksum and data validity

The RS232 driver in the Interface Unit does not provide full 12 volt swings. Normally this is not a problem, but we have seen one serial card that picked up a lot of noise. The checksum was added to help with this situation. An invalid checksum indicates that the data was corrupted between the Interface Unit and the PC. Data corruption between the scanner and the Interface Unit is not detectable. One particular type of error pops up intermittently, a bogus frequency digit due to the scanner's display data changing during an update request. It usually appears as an asterisk. When the scanner locks up in response to a squelch break, the data stabilizes quickly. It wouldn't hurt to make sure it remains stable for several updates before trusting the value.

5.7 - Update rate

If you continuously request updates keep in mind that you may not see every change in scanner status. On a slow computer you will miss some data because the scanner is scanning faster than you can get updates. This is not always obvious. One implication is that you should not look for exact

values during a scan or search. For instance, if your program waits for the scanner to reach 150.0000 MHz then performs some action it will not happen if the update occurs just before and just after that frequency occurs. You will get better performance by looking for an equal to or greater match. You may be using a 386/33 but your customer may be using a 4.77 MHz XT.

- A1. COMMAND LIST -

Cmnd (hex) Description

00 Initialize or check comm's. The Interface will respond with FF (hex) if the program in EEPROM is running.

0E The Interface will download the following 768 bytes to it's RAM (this is the personality's binary data and must be 768 bytes exactly). The command (0E) and each of the download bytes are echoed back to the PC.

1E Check signature byte (sigbyte). This command looks at ram and reports back the contents of the sigbyte memory location. The sigbyte is a unique id for each personality file and can be used to verify that the proper binary has been downloaded. Only the sigbyte is returned (the command is not echoed back).

2E RAM Checksum. This command adds up the bytes in the 768 byte personality binary area in RAM and reports back the lowest eight bits (low byte) of that sum to the PC. Used to verify the integrity of the downloaded data. Only the checksum is returned (the command is not echoed back).

6E Output Byte (outbyte) follows. This command signals the Interface that the next byte sent is to be placed on the hardware output port. The command (6E) and the outbyte are echoed back to the PC.

7E Output User Switch (OUTSW) follows. This command is similar to the previous except that the data is placed in a buffer which is OR'd with each keyboard command in order to open, close or maintain a User Switch position. The User Switch setting should be in the upper nibble of the data byte. The command (7E) and the OUTSW byte are echoed back to the PC. NOTE: Unlike the Output Byte command, the user switches are not changed directly by this command but are activated with the next keypad command. Activation can be forced by sending a keypad command which does nothing such as 01 00. Sending 00 00 will not work since this is an Interface command (actually command 00 twice).

8E,9E,AE These commands provide different levels of scanner data (updates) to the PC. The last
BE,CE,DE byte sent to the PC is always a checksum (low byte) of the data provided in response to
EE,FE the command. The command is not echoed back.

KEY CMDS Any other command in which the lower nybble (of the first byte sent) is not hex E or hex F (ie; xE or xF) is treated as a key pad command. The byte is passed to the keypad matrix and the interface waits for a second byte which is passed to the matrix to complete the command. After a preset time the interface clears the command from the matrix (releases the key). Refer to section A3 (CODES) for valid Key Pad commands. Both bytes are echoed back.

- A2. UPDATE FORMATS - (Also see pages 15-16)

Byte 1 -4 bit opmode code- , Squelch(not), Pri, Delay, Lockout
<8E (hex) returns Byte 1 and a checksum>

Byte 2 Freq (LSD)
thru Decimal point, -7 bit ASCII code-
Byte 9 Freq (MSD)
<9E (hex) returns Bytes 1 thru 9 and a checksum>

Byte 10 Channel (LSD)
thru Decimal point, -7 bit ASCII code-
Byte 12 Channel (MSD)
<AE (hex) returns Bytes 1 thru 12 and a checksum>

Byte 13 Blink On, Blink Step, Blink Rcvmode, P, Ch, Mhz, Err, Batt
<BE (hex) returns Bytes 1 thru 13 and a checksum>

Byte 14 - 4 bit Step code - , - 4 bit Rcvmode code -
<CE (hex) returns Bytes 1 thru 14 and a checksum>

Byte 15 Input Switches (insw)- lower six bits valid.

Byte 16 Input Byte (inbyte)- 8 bit hardware input.
<DE (hex) returns Bytes 1 thru 16 and a checksum>

Byte 17 0, Monitor, m1, m2, 0, Bank, b1, b2

Byte 18 m3, m4, m5, m6, m7, m8, m9, m10

Byte 19 b3, b4, b5, b6, b7, b8, b9, b10
<EE (hex) returns Bytes 1 thru 19 and a checksum>

Byte 20
thru Same as Byte 17 - 19 except indicates blinking
Byte 22

Byte 23 Checksum (low byte)
<FE (hex) returns Bytes 1 thru 23 - full update>

- A3. CODES -

Opmode Codes Step Codes Rcvmode Codes
0000 -
0001 - Scan 5 nfm
0010 - Search Up 12.5 wfm
0011 - Search Down 30 am
0100 - Manual 50

0101 - Program

KeyPad Codes
1st byte 2nd byte Scanner Key
(hex) (hex)
01 01 7
01 02 9
01 04 Enter
01 08 8
01 10 Delay
01 20 (down arrow)
01 40 Reset
01 80 Mode
02 01 1
02 02 3
02 04 not used
02 08 2
02 10 Manual
02 20 Limit
02 40 not used
02 80 Pri
04 01 0
04 02 not used
04 04 Clear
04 08 . (decimal pt)
04 10 Lock-out
04 20 Direct
04 40 Monitor
04 80 Step
08 01 4
08 02 6
08 04 Program
08 08 5
08 10 Scan
08 20 (up arrow)
08 40 Lock-out Review
08 80 Speed

- A4. PERSONALITY FILE -

/ Header - title, copyright, description, etc.
/ Sections of the per file are identified with <section name>
/ Additional data follow the section name.
/ "C" means coded. C4 = 4 bit coded data (ie; 16 possible values)
/ "D" means discrete. D4 = 4 bits discrete data (ie; 4 possible values)
/ "ASCII7" represents a 7 bit ascii code (ie; 128 possible ascii values)
/ Other types of data are described below following section name.
/ The term "offset" refers to where the data is displayed on the screen of the
/ CE-232 main program. An offset value of 0 means that the data is not
displayed.
/ NOTE: All values are DECIMAL values in the per file

```
<BEGINPER> /marks start of per file
<BYTE1> C4,D4 /data below section name in C4,D4 order (msb - lsb)
:
(sixteen entries --> data, offset)
:
(followed immediately by)
:
(four entries --> data, offset)
:
<BYTE2-9> D1,ASCII7,281 /D1 = decimal point, frequency, offset
<BYTE10-12> D1,ASCII7,265 /D1 = decimal point, channel, offset
<BYTE13> D8
:
(eight entries --> data, offset)
:
<BYTE14> C4,C4
:
(sixteen entries --> data, offset)
:
(followed immediately by)
:
(sixteen entries --> data, offset)
:
<BYTE15> INSW
<BYTE16> INBYTE
<BYTE17> D8
:
(eight entries --> data, offset)
:
<BYTE18> D8
:
(eight entries --> data, offset)
:

- A4. PERSONALITY FILE -(continued) -

<BYTE19> D8
:
(eight entries --> data, offset)
:
<BYTE20-22> BYTE17-19BLINK /these bytes indicate blinking for bytes 17-19

<BYTE23> CHKSUM /indicates that this is a checksum byte
<SCANCODE> 41 /indicates there are 41 entries in the scancode table
8,4,4
:
(41 entries --> 7 bit ascii, 1st keypad code , 2nd keypad code)
:
:
118,8,64
<BINARY> 17, 156, 105 / SigByte, Checksum, Time Constant
:
```

:
768 bytes of data in decimal organized as
48 rows of 16 bytes each separated by commas
:
:
<ENDPER>

NOTE: The SigByte value following the <BINARY> section name is provided so that comparisons can be made to values returned by the check sigbyte command. The sigbyte that is loaded into the HC11's RAM is contained within the 768 bytes of binary that follows. The Checksum is the low byte of the sum of the 768 bytes that follow and should equal the value returned by the Checksum command if this per file binary is resident in RAM. The Time Constant is a reference value for the PC program to pace it's transmission rate to the Interface Unit. The internal timing value for the Interface Unit is contained in the binary data.

- A5. HBOOT -

The following is essentially a description of the internal workings of the HBoot utility provided with the CE-232 Kit ($=HEX):

START
Setup for 9600 baud
GOSUB CommCheck
IF Comm's bad THEN
PROMPT user to turn on Interface (or, if on, turn off and back on)
WAIT for anything to show up on serial port (break from 'HC11)
PAUSE for about 100 ms
SEND $0 at 1200 baud (only place 1200 baud used)
switch back to 9600 baud
GOSUB CommCheck
IF Comm's bad THEN
END with error message
ENDIF
ENDIF
GET SigByte, Checksum, and Binary from Per file
GOSUB CheckDownLoad
IF Download bad THEN
SEND $0E + 768 bytes of Binary from Per file
ENDIF
GOSUB CheckDownLoad
IF Download bad THEN
END with error message
ENDIF
END

****
SUB CommCheck
SEND $0 at 9600 baud
IF $FF returned THEN
Comm's good

```
ELSE
Comm's bad
ENDIF
RETURN

SUB CheckDownLoad
SEND $1E at 9600 baud and get back RAMsigbyte
IF RAMsigbyte = SigByte form Per file THEN
SEND $2E at 9600 baud and get back RAMchecksum
IF RAMchecksum = Checksum form Per file THEN
Download good
ELSE
Download bad
ENDIF
ELSE
Download bad
ENDIF
RETURN

================================================================================

- A6. HB-232 & CE-232 UPDATE CODES -
(Contributed by a 3rd Party Developer who felt
this layout was more meaningful)

Bit Status = 1 = True
0 = Not True

Upper Byte Lower Byte

-------------- "Squelch Not Broken
Byte 1 0001 Scan | ----------- "PRIORITY
0101 Search Up | | ------- "DELAY
0011 Search Down | | | --- "LOCK-OUT
0100 Manual | | | |
0101 Program 0 0 0 0

Byte 2 Frequency LSD Digit (7 Bit ASCII Code) 100 Hz
Byte 3 Frequency Digit
Byte 4 Frequency Digit
Byte 5 Frequency Digit
Byte 6 Frequency Digit (High Bit Set - indicates Decimal point Location)
Byte 7 Frequency Digit
Byte 8 Frequency Digit
Byte 9 Frequency MSD Digit (1 GHz)

NOT LIMIT MODE
Byte 10 Channel LSD Digit (7 Bit ASCII Code)
Byte 11 Channel Digit
Byte 12 Channel MSD Digit

LIMIT MODE
Byte 10 Channel LSD Digit (7 Bit ASCII Code)
```

Byte 11 Channel MSD
Byte 12 Limit "L" or "H" (7 Bit ASCII Code)

```
---------------- Blink On --------------"CH"
| ------------ Blink Step | -----------"MHz"
| | ------- Blink Receive Mode | | --------"ERROR"
| | | ---- "P" | | | -----"BATTERY"
| | | | | | | |
```
Byte 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Byte 14 0000 "15 KHz" 0001 "NFM"
0010 "12.5 KHz" 0010 "WFM"
0011 "30 KHz" 0011 "AM"
0100 "50 KHz"

Byte 15 xx00 0000 First Six Bits = Input Switch Status (Insw)

~ Concluded Next Page ~

Byte 16 0000 0000 8 Bit Hardware Input (Input Byte / Inbyte)

```
-------------- "MONITOR" -------------- "BANK"
| ----------- Bank Number "1" | ----------- Scan Bank 1 Mark
| | -------- Bank Number "2" | | -------- Scan Bank 2 Mark
| | | | | |
```
Byte 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

```
--------------- Bank No 3 -------------- Bank No 7
| ------------ Bank No 4 | ----------- Bank No 8
| | -------- Bank No 5 | | -------- Bank No 9
| | | ----- Bank No 6 | | | ----- Bank No 10
| | | | | | | |
```
Byte 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

```
------------- Scan Bank 3 Mark ------------- Scan Bank 7 Mark
| ---------- Scan Bank 4 Mark | ---------- Scan Bank 8 Mark
| | ------ Scan Bank 5 Mark | | ------- Scan Bank 9 Mark
| | | ---- Scan Bank 6 Mark | | | ---- Scan Bank 10 Mark
| | | | | | | |
```
Byte 19 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Byte 20 Same As Byte 17 Except Indicates Blinking

Byte 21 Same As Byte 18 Except Indicates Blinking

Byte 22 Same As Byte 19 Except Indicates Blinking

Byte 23 Checksum

NOTES:

Copyrighted (c) 1992-97 COMMtronics Engineering <All rights reserved>

```
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
==================[End of Copyrighted File]=========================
```

- A6. HBIODEMO.BAS -

HBIODEMO.BAS is a program demonstrating interfacing with the CE-232 scanner interface unit. It is a text file that may be viewed or printed. It may be run under DOS 5.0's QBasic Interpreter or nder QuickBasic 4.5.

The HBIODEMO.BAS file and this description text may be freely distributed without any restrictions.

Bill Cheek
September 18, 1997

```
================================================================================
'
' A demostration program of communication with the
' CE-232 Scanner Interface Unit. This does not
' represent the only (or best) way of doing things
' (it was thrown together rather quickly).
'
' This Demo can be run under MSDOS 5.0's QBasic
' interpreter or in the QuickBasic environment.
'
' ********** RUN HBOOTV13.EXE FIRST !!!!! **********
'
DEFINT A-Z
DECLARE FUNCTION h2d$ (h$)
DECLARE FUNCTION decval% (hexin$)
DECLARE SUB usercmnd (ureqbyte%)
DECLARE SUB updatereq (ureqbyte%)
DECLARE SUB setcommtimectr ()
DECLARE SUB displaydata (updatereq%, scandata AS ANY)
DECLARE FUNCTION bit$ (num%)
DECLARE SUB sndcmnd (key$)
DECLARE SUB flushrcvbuffer ()
TYPE iospecs
byte1 AS INTEGER
byte2 AS INTEGER
byte3 AS INTEGER
byte4 AS INTEGER
byte5 AS INTEGER
byte6 AS INTEGER
```

```
byte7 AS INTEGER
byte8 AS INTEGER
byte9 AS INTEGER
byte10 AS INTEGER
byte11 AS INTEGER
byte12 AS INTEGER
byte13 AS INTEGER
byte14 AS INTEGER
byte15 AS INTEGER
byte16 AS INTEGER
byte17 AS INTEGER
byte18 AS INTEGER
byte19 AS INTEGER
byte20 AS INTEGER
byte21 AS INTEGER
byte22 AS INTEGER
byte23 AS INTEGER
calcksum AS INTEGER
END TYPE
DIM scandata AS iospecs
commtimectr% = 0
try = 0
CONST no = 0
CONST yes = NOT no
'************************Start of Program ****************************
CALL setcommtimectr
CLS
LINE INPUT ; "Enter Comm Port (1 or 2): "; portnum$
portnum$ = LTRIM$(RTRIM$(portnum$))
port$ = "com" + portnum$
OPEN port$ + ":9600,n,8,1,cd0,cs0,ds0,rs,rb1024,tb1024" FOR RANDOM AS #1
CLS
DO
CALL usercmnd(ureqbyte%)
CALL updatereq(ureqbyte%)
CALL displaydata(ureqbyte%, scandata)

LOOP
END
'************************END**************************************

'**** error handler for quickbasic's serial routines *******

booboo:
IF try > 5 THEN
RESUME NEXT
ELSE
try = try + 1
RESUME
END IF
END
```

```
FUNCTION bit$ (num%)
binstr$ = SPACE$(8)
FOR i = 1 TO 8
digit$ = "0"
SELECT CASE i
CASE 1
test% = num% AND &H80
IF test% THEN
digit$ = "1"
END IF
CASE 2
test% = num% AND &H40
IF test% THEN
digit$ = "1"
END IF

CASE 3
test% = num% AND &H20
IF test% THEN
digit$ = "1"
END IF

CASE 4
test% = num% AND &H10
IF test% THEN
digit$ = "1"
END IF

CASE 5
test% = num% AND &H8
IF test% THEN
digit$ = "1"
END IF

CASE 6
test% = num% AND &H4
IF test% THEN
digit$ = "1"
END IF

CASE 7
test% = num% AND &H2
IF test% THEN
digit$ = "1"
END IF

CASE 8
test% = num% AND &H1
IF test% THEN
digit$ = "1"
END IF
```

```
CASE ELSE
'oops
END SELECT
MID$(binstr$, i, 1) = digit$
NEXT i
bit$ = binstr$
END FUNCTION

FUNCTION decval% (hexin$)
length = LEN(hexin$)
IF length > 2 THEN
decval% = 0
EXIT FUNCTION
END IF
lo$ = RIGHT$(hexin$, 1)
IF length = 1 THEN
hi$ = "0"
ELSE
hi$ = LEFT$(hexin$, 1)
END IF
lod$ = h2d$(lo$)
hid$ = h2d$(hi$)
loval = VAL(lod$)
hival = VAL(hid$)
decval% = loval + (hival * 16)

END FUNCTION

SUB displaydata (ureqbyte%, scandata AS iospecs)
LOCATE 1, 57
PRINT "Hex Binary ASCII";
LOCATE 2, 57
FOR i% = 1 TO 24
LOCATE i% + 1, 42
PRINT SPACE$(37);
LOCATE i% + 1, 42
SELECT CASE i%
CASE 1
byte% = scandata.byte1
CASE 2
byte% = scandata.byte2
CASE 3
byte% = scandata.byte3
CASE 4
byte% = scandata.byte4
CASE 5
byte% = scandata.byte5
CASE 6
byte% = scandata.byte6
CASE 7
byte% = scandata.byte7
CASE 8
```

```
byte% = scandata.byte8
CASE 9
byte% = scandata.byte9
CASE 10
byte% = scandata.byte10
CASE 11
byte% = scandata.byte11
CASE 12
byte% = scandata.byte12
CASE 13
byte% = scandata.byte13
CASE 14
byte% = scandata.byte14
CASE 15
byte% = scandata.byte15
CASE 16
byte% = scandata.byte16
CASE 17
byte% = scandata.byte17
CASE 18
byte% = scandata.byte18
CASE 19
byte% = scandata.byte19
CASE 20
byte% = scandata.byte20
CASE 21
byte% = scandata.byte21
CASE 22
byte% = scandata.byte22
CASE 23
byte% = scandata.byte23
CASE 24
byte% = scandata.calcksum
CASE ELSE
END SELECT
IF i% >= 2 AND i% <= 12 THEN 'lets strip high bit and
ascstr$ = CHR$(byte% AND &H7F) 'add the decimal point if
IF byte% AND &H80 THEN 'high bit was set - but
ascstr$ = ascstr$ + "." 'only for freq and channel
END IF 'parts of the update.
ELSE
ascstr$ = CHR$(byte%)
END IF
firstchar$ = LEFT$(ascstr$, 1) 'do this in case a dec pt got added
IF firstchar$ < CHR$(32) THEN 'some cntrl chr's mess up screen so
ascstr$ = "^" + CHR$(ASC(firstchar$) + 64) 'we convert them to
END IF 'useable form.
SELECT CASE i%
CASE 23
PRINT TAB(42); "Checksum Byte "; "~";
```

```
CASE 24
PRINT TAB(42); "Calc'd Cksum "; "~";
CASE ELSE
PRINT TAB(47); "Byte"; i%; "~";
END SELECT
IF ureqbyte% <> &HFE THEN 'clear screen of n/a stuff
SELECT CASE ureqbyte%
CASE &H8E
clrlow% = 2
clrhi% = 22
CASE &H9E
clrlow% = 10
clrhi% = 22
CASE &HAE
clrlow% = 13
clrhi% = 22
CASE &HBE
clrlow% = 14
clrhi% = 22
CASE &HCE
clrlow% = 15
clrhi% = 22
CASE &HDE
clrlow% = 17
clrhi% = 22
CASE &HEE
clrlow% = 20
clrhi% = 22
CASE ELSE
clrlow% = 2
clrhi% = 23
END SELECT
ELSE
clrlow% = 50 'will not happen - lets full screen be printed
clrhi% = 55
END IF
IF i% >= clrlow% AND i% <= clrhi% THEN
cursorpos% = POS(0)
PRINT SPACE$(80 - cursorpos%);
ELSE
PRINT TAB(58); HEX$(byte%);
PRINT TAB(63); bit$(byte%);
PRINT TAB(76); ascstr$;
END IF
NEXT i%
END SUB

SUB flushrcvbuffer STATIC
DO WHILE LOC(1) > 0
b$ = INPUT$(1, #1)
```

```
LOOP
END SUB

FUNCTION h2d$ (hexltr$)
h$ = UCASE$(hexltr$)
SELECT CASE h$
CASE "A"
h2d$ = "10"
CASE "B"
h2d$ = "11"
CASE "C"
h2d$ = "12"
CASE "D"
h2d$ = "13"
CASE "E"
h2d$ = "14"
CASE "F"
h2d$ = "15"
CASE ELSE
h2d$ = h$
END SELECT
END FUNCTION

SUB setcommtimectr
SHARED commtimectr%

s! = TIMER
i% = 0
DO
i% = i% + 1
LOOP UNTIL TIMER - s! >= 2!
commtimectr% = i% \ 20

END SUB

SUB sndcmnd (key$)
SHARED commtimectr%
ON ERROR GOTO booboo
try = 0
PRINT #1, key$;
count = commtimectr%
DO UNTIL LOC(1) > 0 OR count = 1
count = count - 1
LOOP
IF LOC(1) > 0 THEN
rcv$ = INPUT$(1, #1)
IF rcv$ = key$ THEN
good% = yes
ELSE
good% = no
END IF
END IF
```

```
ON ERROR GOTO 0

END SUB

SUB updatereq (ureqbyte%) STATIC
SHARED commtimectr%, try, scandata AS iospecs
cksumtotal& = 0
ON ERROR GOTO booboo
CALL flushrcvbuffer
try = 0
PRINT #1, CHR$(ureqbyte%);
FOR j% = 1 TO 23
count = commtimectr%
DO UNTIL LOC(1) > 0 OR count = 1
count = count - 1
LOOP
try = 0
IF LOC(1) > 0 THEN
k% = ASC(INPUT$(1, #1))
ELSE
j% = 24
END IF
SELECT CASE j%
CASE 1
scandata.byte1 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
SELECT CASE ureqbyte%
CASE &H8E
j% = 22
CASE &H9E, &HAE, &HBE, &HCE, &HDE, &HEE, &HFE
'just carry on
CASE ELSE
j% = 23 'jump out (one byte only rcvd)
END SELECT

CASE 2
scandata.byte2 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%

CASE 3

scandata.byte3 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%

CASE 4
scandata.byte4 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%

CASE 5
scandata.byte5 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
```

```
CASE 6
scandata.byte6 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%

CASE 7
scandata.byte7 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%

CASE 8
scandata.byte8 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%

CASE 9
scandata.byte9 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
IF ureqbyte% = &H9E THEN
j% = 22
END IF

CASE 10
scandata.byte10 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%

CASE 11
scandata.byte11 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%

CASE 12
scandata.byte12 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
IF ureqbyte% = &HAE THEN
j% = 22
END IF

CASE 13
scandata.byte13 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
IF ureqbyte% = &HBE THEN
j% = 22
END IF

CASE 14
scandata.byte14 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
IF ureqbyte% = &HCE THEN
j% = 22
END IF

CASE 15
scandata.byte15 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%

CASE 16
scandata.byte16 = k%
```

```
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
IF ureqbyte% = &HDE THEN
j% = 22
END IF

CASE 17
scandata.byte17 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
CASE 18
scandata.byte18 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
CASE 19
scandata.byte19 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
IF ureqbyte% = &HEE THEN
j% = 22
END IF

CASE 20
scandata.byte20 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
CASE 21
scandata.byte21 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
CASE 22
scandata.byte22 = k%
cksumtotal& = cksumtotal& + k%
CASE 23
scandata.byte23 = k%

CASE ELSE
try = 0
END SELECT
NEXT j%
ON ERROR GOTO 0
scandata.calcksum = cksumtotal& MOD 256
END SUB

SUB usercmnd (ureqbyte%) STATIC
LOCATE 1, 1
PRINT " HB232 I/0 DEMO";
LOCATE 2, 1
PRINT "========================================";
LOCATE 3, 1
PRINT "Press: C to enter command, Q to quit.";
LOCATE 4, 1
PRINT " <space> to re-send last command.";
LOCATE 5, 1
PRINT "----------------------------------------";
wait4validinput:
DO
```

```
A$ = INKEY$
LOOP UNTIL A$ <> ""
SELECT CASE A$
CASE "c", "C"
LOCATE 6, 1
PRINT SPACE$(30);
LOCATE 6, 1
LINE INPUT ; "Enter byte to send: "; byte2snd$
ureqbyte% = decval%(byte2snd$)
LOCATE 6, 1
PRINT SPACE$(30);
LOCATE 6, 1
PRINT "Last command: "; byte2snd$;
CASE CHR$(32)
'let it leave sub and resend last command
CASE "q", "Q"
END
CASE ELSE
GOTO wait4validinput
END SELECT

END SUB
```

1830. Re: Q: Baltimore Gas & Electric trunked comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Wilkinson <cwilkins@boink.clark.net>
Message-ID: <5vrias$9bn$1@boink.clark.net>
Source record: 185852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,clarknet.radio.scanner

In clarknet.radio.scanner ALong <along28@erols.com> wrote:

> Charlie,

> I've monitored the BGE and they are definitely of Motorola Type II. They
> are quite a busy system. Unfortunately, I haven't monitored them so much
> as to give you any TT codes pertaining to talk groups. One word of advice,
> make sure you have ALL of their frequencies, because they have the standard
> 856.2875-860.2875 and they have numerous others from 853-855 MHz also, that
> are part of the same system.

Looks like they initially got licensed for the 855-860 freqs and later
realized they didn't have enough. That's my guess. Thanks for the info
on what system they use. I feel better knowing I can follow progress
when we have a power outage. :)

> If you need them, I'll have to look them up
> as I'm far from receiving them here in Montgomery County and have the
> listings tucked away in my files somewhere.

Thanks, but I think I've got the freqs. Here's what I
have punched into my scanner for BG&E now (got these from
http://www.li.net/~j4dice/scanli.html):

852.3375 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company
852.8875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company
853.7625 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
855.1875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
855.3625 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
855.4125 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
855.6125 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
855.6375 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
856.2875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
857.2875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
858.2875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
859.2875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked
860.2875 - Baltimore Gas & Electric Company - Trunked

Not sure why the first two aren't listed as trunked.

> Let me know how you make out.

Will do.

> Oh, yeah...I think that previous response was correct about the GE systems
> in MD. The only one I know of in the whold state is Ocean City, MD. I

> don't even know of an SMR that's GE in MD, anyone else care to comment?

Yeah, seems like everyone buys Motorola. Not sure where I picked up
that someone had a Johnson system... (Glad I was wrong though!)

Regards,
Charlie

--
~~~~~~
Charlie Wilkinson - cwilkins@boink.clark.net
Parental Unit, UNIX Admin, Homebrewer, Cat Lover, Spam Fighter, Maintainer of
Radio For Peace International Website: http://www.clark.net/pub/cwilkins/rfpi
~~~~~~
CLOBBER INTERNET SPAM: See!! <http://spam.abuse.net/>
Join!! <http://www.cauce.org/>
~~~~~~
QOTD:
The doctrine of human equality reposes on this: that there is no man
really clever who has not found that he is stupid.
-- Gilbert K. Chesterson
~~~~~~

1831. Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <342955c9.13874789@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 185890
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

ZOT wrote:

>

The nice thing about my newsreader is that it lets me ignore all the
trash written by users of HTML in UseNet.

I have yet to see anything worth reading posted in HTML format in a
newsgroup. I suspect the next version of Agent will include an option
to kill the stuff completely, so I won't even see the little HTML logo
on my screen.

I can hardly wait.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1832. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: pdhall@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <34207b3d.13054285@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185891

Test 1

1833. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: pdhall@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <34207e71.13874363@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185892

Test>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

1834. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: pdhall@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <34207f94.14165897@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185893

Test>>>>>>>>>

1835. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <342a56ee.14167696@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 185894

Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com> wrote:

>CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
>are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

Good idea.

<PLONK!>
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1836. Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dan9125@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970918010500.VAA05075@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185895

Our local police department (Lockport New York) Recently got some
sort of computers in their patrol cars . They are able to send and recieve
messages from the dispatcher. Is there any way to recieve that signal and
see what those messages are? Any one knowing anything about this please
e-mail : Dan9125@aol.com Thank-you in advance

1837. Railroad Scanning/Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: noah824@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970918000800.UAA00316@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185896

Hi Folks, I just recently became interested in scanning and have a SportCat 150. I'm also a railfan and as such spend time at local rail lines. I have obtained the primary frequencies used by CSX, GT, and Conrail in my area and have been able to receive communications. I have an all-band basic mobile antenna mounted to my car and that is what I primarily use for monitoring railroad traffic, although range is not particularly great. The dispatch is generally fair, only slightly distorted, but the actual train traffic doesn't go more than 3 to 5 miles I've estimated. Would it be more advantageous for me to get a high VHF mobile antenna tuned exclusively to 160-161mhz and simply stick on the roof while at the train yards, or would an amplifier (as seen in the ScannerWorld catalog, for example) be a better bet? btw, the terrain in my area is generally flat, no large hills.

For those of you involved with railroad scanning please email me any tidbits, advice, tips, stories, etc. you may have.

Also, I've been contemplating setting up an all band base antenna on the roof of my 2 story home. My intentions are to monitor the police/fire freqs, but with the primary railroad in my area approximately 15-20 miles away, would I be receiving any of the train traffic as well?

Thanks for your time!

~Noah ;-)

1838. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dave.hultberg@paonline.com
Message-ID: <34208f66.13159451@news3.paonline.com>
Source record: 185897

On Wed, 17 Sep 1997 14:42:06 -0500, Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com> wrote:

<snip>

>CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
>are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....
>
PLONK
If you can kick it, it's hardware. If all you can do
is curse at it, then it's software.

1839. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <5vptdk$2agk$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 185898

In article <orcinusEGo4JJ.4C4@netcom.com>, orcinus@netcom.com (Orca) wrote:

>Hmmm.... most new cars have a digital radio in them. These could be
>considered scanners too... after all they do have a button labeled SCAN.

The laws in question regulate the use of police frequency receivers,
not "scanners".

1840. Re: Just Say No

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <342e81b7.25123058@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 185899

scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) wrote:

<another banner bulletin drawing attention to those seeking it>

>Ignore them. They start this crapola every 3-6 months and once they
>exhaust themselves, they'll go away.

Yes, but will you?
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1841. AutoLog Feature of the CE-232 Interface; a tutorial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3420a0ea.33541291@news-server>
Source record: 185900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

This article is copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek. Permission to
reprint for FREE access and FREE use by others is automatically
granted so long as this entire article, including signature box at
the end are retained intact and unaltered.

A TUTORIAL ON
THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE'S
AUTOLOGGER FUNCTION

INTRODUCTION
============
The CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface is a 2.5" x 3" electronic
board that goes between an IBM-PC/compatible computer and a scanner,
for a host of very powerful capabilities.

The CE-232 is a -smart- RS-232 Interface controller and data
acquisition system for some scanners and at least a drudgery-free
automatic programmer and remote controller for all supported scanners.

The CE-232 eliminates a lot of the drudgery and labor in scanning by
its ability to "AutoProgram" up to 999 memory channels from a plain
ASCII text file in a short time, error-free and exactly the way you
want the scanner configured.

The CE-232 also allows control of the scanner from the computer's
keyboard; a strong plus for handheld scanners and those with hard-to-
operate keyboards like the PRO-43, PRO-2035 and PRO-2042!

The CE-232 is a one-way controller and AutoProgrammer like described
above for MANY scanners, including the PRO-2042, PRO-2035, PRO-2022,
PRO-43, PRO-39, PRO-37, PRO-34, PRO-26, etc.

The CE-232 is a TWO-WAY controller and data acquisition system for the
time-honored PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006 scanners which have unique
circuitry that allows the CE-232 to do MUCH more than just AutoProgram
and Remote Control, including AutoLog data on "hits", REJECT undesired
signals, LOOKUP data about a certain frequency, and much more.

AUTOLOGGER OVERVIEW
==================
The CE-232 can AutoLog for virtually unlimited periods of time, any
and all activities encountered by the scanner, regardless of mode.
Each -event- (started by a squelch break) is logged to a plain ASCII
text file as a line of data containing the following information
at a minimum:

CHAN/SRCH BANK: a number
FREQUENCY: in MHz
MODE: AM, NFM, or WFM
DELAY: status, (D) set or (blank) not
LOCKOUT: status, (L) set or (blank) not
FUNCTION: Prgm, Man, Scan, Search Up, Search Down
STEP: Search increment, kHz, if applicable
DATE: of event (YYMMDD)
START TIME: of event (HHMMSS)
DURATION: of event (HHMMSS)
(and more): several *user-definable* parameters including
but not limited to S-metering; Extended Memory
Block usage; on/off status of the User Switches,
and much more.

Autologging can be turned on or off at will, manually or even by
automated means with logical operators using the Script function.
Autologged files are automatically opened and named with a date/time
logo, similar to:

96070812.LOG meaning: 1996, July 8, 12th hour (noon).LOG.

Each hour, a new log file is opened and the old one closed & saved.

Autolog files can be AutoProgrammed back into the scanner's memory
at will with no manipulation or changes; just the press of a couple
of keys! This means that you can do a SEARCH function all night or
day while you're away and then at your leisure, AutoProgram in each
new found frequency quite simply, hands-off, in a matter of minutes!

The AutoLogger is a feature exclusive to the PRO-2004/5/6.

DETAILS
=======
The CE-232 AutoLogger feature allows the user to automatically log
all activity detected and processed by the scanner during a period
that starts when SQuelch breaks and ends when SQuelch resets. The F9
key controls the AutoLogger function. The Setup utility (F6) lets
you to specify a drive and path for AutoLog (*.LOG) files.

FILENAME: The AutoLogger composes its filename at the start of a Log
session, using current year, month, date and hour, in this format:

YYMMDDHH.LOG (eg: 97091622.LOG)

where: YY = Year (1997)
MM = Month (09 = September)
DD = Day (16th)
HH = Hour (22 for 2200) (22nd hour of the day or 10:00pm)
.LOG = Fixed default extension - you can't alter it. A new
*.LOG file is created and opened at the start of
each hour! The previous *.LOG file is then closed.

AutoLog entries are not written to the file until complete (ie; activity stops). If start and stop times are in different hours then the entry is recorded based on the start time of the entry. Turning the AutoLogger off before activity stops results in the entry being recorded with the current time as the stop time. This is handy for making quick notes about an interesting signal - just turn the AutoLogger on then off to record data concerning that signal to the current AutoLog file.

NOTE: The AutoLogger does not recognize activity unless it lasts longer than five internal updates or CPU counts from the scanner (just a fraction of a second). This is to allow the display to settle and avoid incorrectly formatted entries. It also allows time for CE-232's AutoReject feature to reject a signal before attempting to log it. Script files that call the IDLE command to control the AutoLog function should use the form:

IDLE UNTIL COUNT = n {where n is the desired number of counts}

Six (6) is a good Count number (5 or lower can result in inaccuracy)

An option is available to log Extended data. Extended data consists of the auxiliary hardware inputs and outputs (OUTSW,INSW,OUTBYTE,INBYTE). This could be useful if you have extra channels via a bank switch memory expansion scheme controlled by the CE-232 Interface's hardware ports. S-Meter readings can also be logged in this fashion. The Extended data can then be used to tell you which bank the frequency was in when detected.

AutoLog entries are recorded in a text file, one line per detected event, usually based on squelch opening for starts and squelch resets for end of record. The following format is used:

Standard Logging Format: (Essential data desired by most scannists)

A,BBB,CCCC.CCCC,DDD,E,F,GGGG,HHHH,IIIIII,JJJJJJ,KKKKKK, <--Format
A| B | C | D |E|F| G | H | I | J | K | <--Field
1, 3 , 9 , 3 ,1,1, 4 , 4 , 6 , 6 , 6 , <--# chars

Example STANDARD AutoLogged lines in a file:

A B C D E F G H I J K
, 3, 142.0250,nfm, , ,SrUp, 5,970916,221230,000003,
, 3, 142.3250,nfm, , ,SrUp, 5,970916,221238,000005,

Explanation

A - Reserved field consisting of one character which is always logged blank by the AutoLogger; used by the AutoPrograming function only and can be up to 12 characters. Retained in the AutoLogger file solely for compatibility with AutoProgrammer files.

B - This field consists of three characters and represents the channel number or search bank number. (See Field G)

C - This field is the frequency and consists of nine characters
(including the decimal point).

eg: 1234.6755 (MHz)

D - Receive Mode (wfm, nfm, am); three characters

E - Delay: (blank = delay off , D = delay on); one character

F - LockOut: (blank = LockOut off , L = LockOut on); one character

G - Op Mode: Scanner Operating Mode: three or four characters as
shown below:

SrUp: Search up
SrDn: Search down
Prgm: Program
Man: Manual
Scan: Scan

H - Search Step (kHz): one, two, or four characters, including decimal:

5 12.5 50 or 30

I - Date: six characters: YYMMDD

J - Start Time of signal: six characters; 24 hour format (HHMMSS)

K - Duration of signal: six characters; 24 hour format (HHMMSS)

L-O Extended Logging Format Option: (Optionally added to end of
above AutoLog format)

| Standard Log |<-----Extended Log Data----->|
| Data from above ,LLLL,MMMMMM,NNNNNNNN,OOOOOOOO,
| A thru K | L | M | N | O |
| 44 , 4 , 6 , 8 , 8 ,<----# of chars

Example of Standard plus Extended AutoLogged lines in a file:

<----------------Standard---------------------------->|<---------Extended---------->|
A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O
, 3, 142.0250,nfm, , ,SrUp, 5,970916,221230,000003,0001,100101,00100100,01100110,
, 3, 142.3250,nfm, , ,SrUp, 5,970916,221238,000005,0110,100111,00011101,01001010,

Explanation

L - OUTSW: Status of the four User Switches; 4-chrs; 0=off; 1=on

M - INSW: Status of the 6 user Status Inputs; 6-chrs; 0=low; 1=high

N - OUTBYTE: Status of the 8-bit Output port; 8-chrs; 0=low; 1=high

O - INBYTE: Status of the 8-bit Input port; 8-chrs; 0=low; 1=high

Note: It is easy to "export" AutoLog files to a database manager or
spreadhseet. Database and spreadsheet programs have the ability to
import and export comma-delimited ASCII text files in the formats used

by the CE-232's AutoProgrammer and AutoLogger.

The AutoLogger can also print its output to paper; a feature useful primarily with dot-matrix printers since one line at a time is printed with a carriage return <CR> and a line feed <LF>, (but not a form feed) immediately after an event is recorded. Pro-shops and control rooms find this a useful feature; hobbyists may or may not.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION
======================

The CE-232's AutoLogger function creates comma-delimited databases "on the fly" that can be immediately used as AutoProgrammer files, or can be imported by a database or spreadsheet of choice. Database and spreadsheet programs readily accept comma-delimited files. This affords superb opportunity for labor-free data processing and other record keeping to support hobbyist and commercial scanning applications. It's a cinch to evaluate days or even weeks' long search results and spectrum studies.

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

Copyright (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek, September 17, 1997
======================[End of Article]================================

1842. Brookhaven LI NY Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith@unix.asb.comm
Message-ID: <EGoM3y.9qM@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 185901

Does anyone know the Fleet Map for
Brookhaven Public Safety

They use Type I Trunk system on:
861 - 865.9125 Mhz

Enjoy,
Keith

|===>
| Keith@unix.asb.com == SLIP-PPP Internet Address
| Keith@asb.com == BBS Internet Address
| Http://www.asb.com/usr/keith == WWW Page URL Address
| Knipper@compuserve.com == Compuserve Internet Address
| Fknipsch@suffolk.lib.ny.us == My Free Internet Shell Account
| N2NJS@amsat.org == HAM Radio AMSAT EMail
| N2NJS@KC2FD.NY.USA.NA == Ham Radio AX25 Packet Address
|===>

1843. Re: SportCatMODS NEEDED! DO they EXIST????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim233@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970918030701.XAA21280@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185902

There is a keypad modification-not sure what exactly it opens up-but it does exist. The only problem is you can only use the radio in either or mode. IF you have frequnecies in memory and you do the modification it will wipe your memory out.

DDog

1844. Pro 46. Help anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Jensen <JasDJensen@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <3422837F.1243@sisna.com>
Source record: 185603

I have a Radio Shack Pro-46. Anyone have any information about it?
Anyone else have one? Good? Bad?

Jason

P.S. I'm always looking to hook up with others in the Salt Lake City
area.

1845. Re: Bearcat 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Short, B.K." <shortckt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <3422936A.17F6@primenet.com>
Source record: 185604

You could try the Uniden mailing list at QTH.Net.
More information on this and another 100 mailing lists
is available at: http://www.qth.net

> Anyone know how to program this scanner ? Its A barecat 101 16 channel
> base scanner.

1846. Re: Non public service trunked businesses in SF Bay area ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <34229C4D.2EED@accesscom.com>
Source record: 185605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Montgomery wrote:
>
> I asked this question before but perhaps I stated it wrong. Does
> anybody have any frequency lists for non-public service trunked
> systems that can be monitored in the SF Bay area ? And if so the
> business name or names. I hear other data channels besides ALCO and
> others, so there must be some SMR's out there. I just need a little
> help getting started. Just looking for non public service systems
> now. Thanks in advance for anyone who can help.
>
> Monty
>

Tom,

Try Lockheed Martin in Sunnyvale.

Bob Kelty, author of Government Radio Systems, has a new book out called
"Trunked Radio Systems".

Bob can be reached at kelty@tcomeng.com

Try these systems out

Millbrae Aeronautical Radio Incorporated Mot 5-channel 2I
ARINC trunk channel 1 T1 856.8875
ARINC trunk channel 2 T2 857.8875
ARINC trunk channel 3 T3 858.8875
ARINC trunk channel 4 T4 859.8875
ARINC trunk channel 5 T5 860.8875

Sunnyvale Lockheed-Martin MSD Mot 7-channel
Lockheed-Martin trunk channel 1 T1 852.3875
Lockheed-Martin trunk channel 2 T2 853.6875
Lockheed-Martin trunk channel 3 T3 856.3875
Lockheed-Martin trunk channel 4 T4 857.3875
Lockheed-Martin trunk channel 5 T5 858.3875
Lockheed-Martin trunk channel 6 T6 859.3875
Lockheed-Martin trunk channel 7 T7 860.3875

Richmond Chevron USA Mot 6-channel
Chevron USA trunk channel 1 T1 856.3375
Chevron USA trunk channel 2 T2 857.3375
Chevron USA trunk channel 3 T3 858.3375
Chevron USA trunk channel 4 T4 859.3375
Chevron USA trunk channel 5 T5 860.3375

Chevron USA trunk channel 6 T6 857.3625

San Francisco Pacific Gas & Electric Headquarters Mot 3-channel
San Francisco HQ trunk channel 1 T1 852.5625
San Francisco HQ trunk channel 2 T2 854.9125
San Francisco HQ trunk channel 3 T3 855.9125
San Francisco HQ logistics 4 855.3625
San Francisco HQ data channel 5 856.3625

Chico Pacific Gas & Electric Northern Region Mot 19-channel

Colusa Sutter Buttes trunk channel 1 T1 856.4125 none
Sutter Buttes trunk channel 2 T2 857.4125 none
Sutter Buttes trunk channel 3 T3 858.4125 none
Sutter Buttes trunk channel 4 T4 859.4125 none
Sutter Buttes trunk channel 5 T5 860.4125 none

Red Bluff
Tuscan Buttes trunk channel 1 T1 856.3375 none
Tuscan Buttes trunk channel 2 T2 857.3375 none
Tuscan Buttes trunk channel 3 T3 858.3375 none
Tuscan Buttes trunk channel 4 T4 859.3375 none
Tuscan Buttes trunk channel 5 T5 860.3375 none

Placerville
Placerville trunk channel 1 T1 856.3875 none
Placerville trunk channel 2 T2 857.3875 none
Placerville trunk channel 3 T3 858.3875 none
Placerville trunk channel 4 T4 859.4125 none
Placerville trunk channel 5 T5 860.4125 none

Vacaville
Mount Vaca trunk channel 1 T1 856.2875 none
Mount Vaca trunk channel 2 T2 857.2875 none
Mount Vaca trunk channel 3 T3 858.2875 none
Mount Vaca trunk channel 4 T4 859.2875 none

San Rafael Pacific Gas & Electric Bay Region Mot 4-channel
Mount Tamalpais trunk channel 1 T1 855.4125 none
Mount Tamalpais trunk channel 2 T2 856.4125 none
Mount Tamalpais trunk channel 3 T3 857.4125 none
Mount Tamalpais trunk channel 4 T4 858.4125 none

San Jose Pacific Gas & Electric Mission Trail Region Mot 5-channel
Loma Prieta trunk channel 1 T1 858.3625 none
Loma Prieta trunk channel 2 T2 859.3625 none
Loma Prieta trunk channel 3 T3 860.3625 none
Sunol Ridge trunk channel 1 T4 859.3625 none
Sunol Ridge trunk channel 2 T5 860.3625 none

1847. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3422869F.DF2FF130@mail.com>
Source record: 185606
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thalia@ix.netcom.com wrote:

> On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 12:17:48 -0500, Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
> wrote:
> > Check out http://www.thecodex.com/

Me??? Parinoid??? Nah..... Shhhhhh... Think the lines tapped, oh
wait... It's just a bug in my computer.... :)

>

1848. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3422A304.4991@juno.com>
Source record: 185607

Glenn wrote:
> You really need to ignore the Idiot Mackey, Phoenix. He thrives on any
> attention and will never quit. He accused me of posting the Mackey Poll
> which another user posted the headers showing John to be keeping his
> record intact. The headers never matched up with mine and surprise, John
> doesn't respond after being proven wrong. The guy is a mental case,
> ignore him.
>
Yes,
I am beginning to get that impression.

Oh well, the longer he keeps it up, the more people aren't going to like him. I'd sure like to know where his employment is, though. It would be interesting to fax all these pieces of garbage to his boss. Perhaps he'll shut up then.

1849. Opto boards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <34229A16.5BAF@accesscom.com>
Source record: 185608

I have an opto board installed in my Pro 2006 and love the covenience of just hooking up the serial cable to the computer.

I also have an Icom 7100 and run it with an Icom CI-V and also have an Opto tone counter hooked up to it. Everything runs on Scanstar.

The Pro 2006 has a convienent hook up but sensitivity and selectivity are not too good.

The Icom 7100 has excellent sensitivity and selectivity but is a bitch to hook up.

Has anyone out there ever experimented with trying to adapt a Opto board to the 7100?

Regards

Ed

1850. RC Pocket-size Frequency...any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Warren Donworth <donworth@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3422B880.5667@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185609

Hi Folks,

Can anyone recommend the new Radio Shack Pocket-size Frequency Counter?
I got their ad flyer in the mail the other day, which says:

"Great for scanner hobbyists, Hams and service work. New. $79.99.
Save $20.00. What's the frequency? Find out! Pocket-size frequency
counter shows frequency of a nearby in-use transmitter or RF source,1 to
1300MHz. Instantly confirms output from cordless phones,
walkie-talkies, RC-car remotes, radio/TV circuits. Push-button
backlight. Reg. $99.99. #22-306TJ. Add 4 "AA" batteries."

The ad photo shows it looking similar to a hand-held scanner, with a
rubber duck antenna on top, black over gray, a few buttons, a digital
display above for frequencies, but no speaker grill below like a
hand-held scanner. It looks roughly the height of the needle-nose
pliers in the photo background.

Whatchathink?

Warren Donworth

1851. Re: ALERT!! HR2369 - To encrypt or not to encrypt?...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: CharlesPrinceOfWhales@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vte74$ov0@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

On 18 Sep 1997 22:07:22 GMT, "Phil Smalley"
<psmalley@iac.honeywell.com> wrote:

>
>
>rick etter <retter@bright.net> wrote in article
><3419F29B.E01@bright.net>...
>> Problem is, the government is making it illegal to securly encrypt any
>> transmissions. If they don't have the 'key' you can't use it.
>
>Very good point. Let me see if I have this right.. Our government wants to
>know how to decrypt email messages that could be sent back and forth
>between hostile nations and the U.S. THEREFORE, all encryption programs for
>this purpose must have no more than a 128 bit key, so that the CIA's Cray
>Supercomputer can crack your message by brute force in a matter of minutes.
>Hmmm...

surprise, a true 128 byte key as used in PGP would take a cray working
24 hours a day 40 weeks to break.

> Now what if someone who pilfers credit cards from email messages
>wanted to do that? By the same method, your gold card could be in the hands
>of joe hacker in less than a day. I mean if you had to put your Pentium to
>work for a day, while you watch tv or go hang out or whatever, and when you
>got back you had someone else's $10,000 credit line at your disposal,
>wouldn't that be worth it? What if the process took a week, or even a
>month? I could live quite comfortably on $10,000 a month, couldn't you?
>
>Meanwhile, Abdul the terrorist in southeast Iraq is hell bent on revenge
>for us blowing up the mercedes he stole in Kuwait, so he hires an Iraqi
>programmer to write him a custom 1024 BYTE, 10 key encryption program so he
>can communicate to his buddies in the U.S. via internet email. That's 1024
>x 1024 x 1024 x 1024 x 1024 x 1024 x 1024 x 256 possible key
>combinations FOR EACH KEY. Even a Cray would take days to check every
>possible combination of keys. And writing such a program is easier than you
>think. He and his "hit team" in the U.S. can receive and decode this email
>in seconds, and go do God knows what while we sit here with our pants
>around our ankles. So what is the big benefit of us giving the government
>the keys to our transmissions? None! And I'm sure that any terrorist
>countries are not about to hand "Tubby" Clinton such a key!

excellent point, just like gun control, the bottom feeders will always
have guns, so why take them away from honest citizens?
>

>And now, to add injury to insult, we have HR2369, which will prevent law
>abiding citizens from listening to the police bands, while criminals with
>modified, stolen or custom built radios will have a field day. You can be
>sure that if such a law passes within a few years the police will once
>again be very lax in radio procedure, giving anyone with ears your name,
>address, social security and driver's license number, and simultaneously
>telling the world you are driving a $40,000 Lexus. (What am I saying? They
>do that NOW!!) What about MDT's you ask? Well, any seasoned reader of this
>NG will tell you that those things are FAR from secure, and can be
>monitored with a PC, a scanner and $12 worth of parts from Radio Shack! Add
>another $20 worth of parts and you can build a transmitter circuit and run
>anyone's name and license you want!

I think that you are WAY oversimplifying this whole procedure a bit...

>Besides, while our scanners may become
>illegal, any one of several old vcr's and tv's from the late 70's to the
>late 80's can be manually tuned to police frequencies in the UHF-T and
>800mhz bands, complete with a "memory" of 20 or more "programmable"
>channels! Are they going to outlaw them too??
>
>My point is while our government is giving us their Big Brother bullshit,
>anyone outside of this country could write and sell SuperNastyEncrypt v1.0
>to anyone with enough cash regardless of intent. Meanwhile we, the most
>technologically advanced nation on earth have ourselves by the balls
>sending our credit card info via "secure" email over the world's most open
>public network, knowing full well that anyone could crack a 128 digit
>binary key in no time if they really wanted to. Nice to know that once
>again, bureacracy reigns supreme over common sense in the hallowed halls of
>Congress.
>
>Ya know, Australia is looking better and better all the time.....

I don't think so.
>
>-Phil

1852. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34229867.51223D5B@juno.com>
Source record: 185611

You really need to ignore the Idiot Mackey, Phoenix. He thrives on any attention and will never quit. He accused me of posting the Mackey Poll which another user posted the headers showing John to be keeping his record intact. The headers never matched up with mine and surprise, John doesn't respond after being proven wrong. The guy is a mental case, ignore him.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
>
> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > OK, if you know so much & are right, then
> > Why don't you post the differences you found?
> > Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > > I did and found the following:
> > > @ differences noted in the path of both Bill Cheek and Billy Thunder.
> > > Care to tell me what is truth now?
> John,
> It is incumbant upon you to prove that I am wrong (since you started
> this line of thought). You made the assertion that both path statements
> wer "IDENTICAL". I pointed out that there were minor differences and
> also indicated that the system in question had many users.
>
> All you could do was prove me wrong by posting without reservation the
> entire path statement of BOTH messages as a comparison. You did not and
> decided to make the rest of us prove otherwise. You presented the
> accusation, it is up to you to prove it.

1853. freq list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amy <amyw@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <5vu6mgfop1@axe.netdoor.com>
Source record: 185612

I'm looking for a freq list for MS including law enforcement (high and low band) and Airplanes. E-mail me at amyw@netdoor.com or Halfpint23@aol.com

1854. Re: BC895XLT user quiz <g>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@tivoli.com>
Message-ID: <342298F1.1616@tivoli.com>
Source record: 185613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bob wrote:
> Question (1) Scan List. While in the trunk mode
>
> If I program 30 user groups into list A,B,C and set the unit to scanning
> the scan list. Is it
snip
>

It will follow the current talk group as long as that talk group is
active. If you have delay turned on, it will wait another 5 seconds
before going to another active talk group.

>
> Question (2) User group numbers.
>
> Aside from sitting here and manually getting the user group numbers how
> can I do it
> automatically? I want to be able to leave the scanner on for a couple of
> hours and
> somehow store all the user group numbers someplace so that I know what
> numbers have
> been assigned. Assume that I have only bank A set up and the rest of the
> scanner is free.
>
> I have a page of 140 user group numbers in front of me. I don't know if
> they are accurate,
> complete, etc. How can I check this?

I don't know an easy way to do this currently. The Scanner Master
software does not do any type of trunking, only conventional
scanning. Uniden could not give me information on the BC895 XLT
command set. When I get a chance, I am going to see if I can
hack into the 895 and see what I can do.

>
> Question (3) Frequencies
>
> Aside from the internet, how do I find what frequencies are being used?
> For example; I'm
> looking at a system that has 20 freqs for the trunk system. Is that all
> there are? Is there one
> freq in there that is not on the trunk system? Am I missing one? Can my
> scanner determine
> this?

If you program a frequency that is on the trunked system, it won't cause any problems, unless it happens to be the data channel for another trunked system. In this case, the 895 will use the first data channel that it encounters when you go into trunked mode, and this may or may not be the correct one.

If you are missing a frequency, I think you will see the talk group ID, but will not hear the transmission if it happens to be on the missing frequency. Someone correct me if I am wrong on this one.

Happy scanning,
Jeff

1855. Re: can Bc235xlt get cell images anywhere?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <3422AF46.2781@vnet.com>
Source record: 185614

EavesDropper#1 wrote:
> my new trunktracker 235xlt will not get the cell images on 900-916
> that my 230xlt did. 230 was as good as my old pro43 at receiving cell
> calls it just moved them up to the 900 mhz range to work around that
> silly law. The 235 traker is a superior radio in all other regards
> espeacially the ability to finally know what the cops in just my area
> of a large city are working on.

The 230 was double conversion while the 235 is not only triple
conversion but has superior adjacent channel attenuation for
better selectivity.

> Do have one gripe when I want to
> monitor cordless tele 46.mhz

Not too swift to admit to breaking federal law on a public forum.
We can argue all day as to whether or not such a law is really
sensible or constitutional but it doesn't really matter seeing as
how it is a law.

Anything you say can, and will, be used against you in a court of
law...

> if the computer moniter is on you get
> hordes of noise. The 230 also did not have this odd problem.

The 235 is a bit more sensitive than the 230 but your problem is
more of a problem with poor shielding allowing RFI to effect
radio reception.

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1856. Re: good (reputation) mail order co for scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <3422B059.446B@vnet.com>
Source record: 185615

m.sato@popmail.csuohio.edu wrote:
> I'm hoping to get a 3000XLT & was wondering if people had good or bad
> experience w/Communications Electronics. I saw them in the MT & their
> prices were cheaper than Groves, for example. I buy computers mail
> order for work & I bought my camcorder mail order for home so I'm
> thinking of getting the scanner mail order but I don't know a/b which
> companies have a good reputation.

Perfectly dependable. I have a 'few' Unidens and most came from
Ken and his gang at CEI. Very good prices too.

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1857. Re: **VOTE HERE**JOHN MACKEY VOTE**VOTE NOW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ywd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919172501.NAA10837@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185616

1. I feel John Mackey is truthful and honest.

FALSE

2. John Mackey has helped make rec.radio.scanner a nice place.

FALSE

3. If John Mackey never posted here again it would be a good thing

TRUE

4. I will remember John Mackey for his contributions on r.r.s.

FALSE

5. I will remember John Mackey for the trouble he has caused on r.r.s.

TRUE !

1858. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3422A14E.5591@juno.com>
Source record: 185617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Matt Walker wrote:
> CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in
> thisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....
MAtt Walker,
Why don't you SHUT UP?

.. It is better to remain a fool and be silent than to open your mouth
and remove all doubts

1859. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3422a9ea.166937232@news-server>
Source record: 185618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 19:04:24 -0500, "John Harr" <bjharr(NoSpam)@destin.gulfnet.com> wrote:

> Group,
> I own an HB-232 (the forerunner of the CE-232) and I have the latest version
> of the Cheek software from Commtronics. I was unaware of the 'Easter Egg'
> one can display by pressing the <Shift> and ? keys during startup.

I wasn't aware of it either until maybe a year or two ago. The
programmer obviously had a reason, but never discussed it with
me nor even mentioned it. Interesting that the version 1.3 was
released long before there were any "problems" or "internal disputes".
The file date is 1/11/93, a day long before any "issues" popped up.

A very curious thing, as we shall see.....

> I tried it. A window with the following message displayed:
>
> The REAL STORY: The HB232 was conceived, designed and developed by
> Bill Bond<hardware/driving force>, Jason Hillyard <hardware>,
> George Steidley <hardware/software>, Rick Brown <minded the
> till>. Personal and professional circumstances beyond our control
> require us to license the sale and support of this product. It's
> fate is in the hands of others. We wish the product well. < Press
> Any Key >

"The REAL STORY"? Crazy! Again, this was before there ever
was a "story".....at least to my conscious awareness, anyway.
In retrospect, it speaks of a "story" known to the "Gang Of Four",
and not to me. Even more curious is the FACT that Messrs. Hillyard,
and Brown had virtually nothing to do with the development of the
HB-232/CE-232. Mr. Bond, was in on the groundwork of the early days
of 1992 and then was transferred to Japan, FAR out of the action.
Mr. Brown was on a ship at sea in those days, and Mr. Hillyard was
a student at the University of California in Santa Barbara, CA.
Mr. Steidley and I, alone, were together in San Diego, where the
HB-232 was REALLY pulled together, developed, and marketed. He and I.
No one else.

Bond, Hillyard, and Brown bailed out and left the whole
mess to Steidley and me......who worked arm in arm and hand in
hand for MONTHS getting the HB-232 off the drawing boards and
into hardware and software.

Bond, Hillyard, and Brown were long gone before v1.0 ever hit
the streets. In fact, their roles were diminished to zilch

even before we took the product to beta and gamma testing.

So in a word, I can't even now understand the underlying
meaning of that Easter Egg in v1.3. Obviously something lurked
under the surface, but I was not privvy to it and didn't even
suspect anything amiss or unusual until much later, like late
1993 or early 1994. By that time, v1.3 had been out a year or
longer.

> This hardly speaks of terrible atrocities that Cheek did to the developers
> of the product and the software.

Right. There were no atrocities. Steidley tried to put the
pinch on me over internal business issues, and when I'd had
enough and pinched back, he walked. End of story..... except
that happened LONG after v1.3 of the software that has that
Easter Egg.

Which tells me now that Steidley had something up his sleeve....

In retrospect and hindsight, I see where the Gang of Four had
me set up to take a hike and a fall after I got the HB-232
marketed, advertised, and on a roll. To their chagrin, it just
didn't work out that way..........

> However, it does indicate that there was
> some hard feeling over the product somewhere.

Not in the early days and not at the time of release of v1.3 !
The hard feelings came later. Maybe after I woke up and smelled
the coffee and had tens of thousands of dollars invested in the
operation and promotion. <groan> ...and saw the handwriting....

> I'm not sure we have the information or the right to rush to
> judgement to decide who did what to whom!

Right. It was an internal matter.....and not all that interesting.

> It may well be that the developers were bitter for unwarranted
> reasons. Besides, what business is it of ours? Legal and business disputes
> occur each and every day, and there are lawyers to sort it all out. Let it
> go and get a life!

That's exactly the score and status quo. The matter was
settled years ago.....meanwhile, the CE-232 LIVES and is still
competitive with anything else on the market that resembles it.

And what's more, there is a boatload of untapped potential in the
CE-232 that just begs to be "discovered".....things a lot more
important and useful than sniveling Easter Eggs.

<grin>

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |

| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+--+

1860. Re: 2 Questions for anyone with an R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <3422ABED.167E@vnet.com>
Source record: 185619

Karl Paxton wrote:
> RE R-10: Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a
> combination of banks.
>
> Does this really mean that you can't scan just banks 1 and 2? Its
> 1, 2, or all of them?

Hi Karl,
Your above comments are in reference to Bob Parnass' comments about
the R10. And it's true. You can only scan one bank or all banks.
Have you read my R10 review?

> Also is there anyone out there that can comment on the quality
> and ease of use of an AR8000?

Have you read my AR8000 review?

If no and you're interested, go to the web page below, follow
the radio monitoring link and look for my list of receivers.
On each radio's own page is a review.

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1861. Re: BC895XLT user quiz <g>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@eat_spam.fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <3422A946.446B@eat_spam.fnal.gov>
Source record: 185620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bob wrote:
>
> Using BC895XLT, in the Trunk Tracking Mode, on a Type II system.
>
> Question (1) Scan List. While in the trunk mode
> If I program 30 user groups into list A,B,C and set the unit to >scanning the scan list. Is it
> scanning like a convention scanner or will it follow the conversation >as it changes frequencies?

It follows a conversation regardless of the freq used. You may need to
use delay or stop the scanning with the manual button if you want to
listen for any length of time. Actually, you have no idea what freq is
being used at any given time.

> Question (2) User group numbers.
> Aside from sitting here and manually getting the user group numbers >how can I do it automatically?

I don't know if the 895 is different than the 235, but there is no
automatic gathering of groups on my 325.

> I have a page of 140 user group numbers in front of me. I don't know >if they are accurate, complete, etc. How can I check this?

I would listen in the search mode were you don't need to know anything
about group ID's. Then listen to the ones you get hits on and compare
them to your list.

>
> Question (3) Frequencies
>
> Aside from the internet, how do I find what frequencies are being >used? For example; I'm >looking at a system that has 20 freqs for the trunk system. Is that all
> there are? Is there one
> freq in there that is not on the trunk system? Am I missing one? Can >my scanner determine this?

The scanner can't tell if you give it bogus freqs, but if it can't find
the control channel, then it's not going to go into the trunking mode
and you won't hear anything, not even if there are conversations going
on. The scanner itself can't tell if you have all the freqs programmed
in or if you made any mistakes in keying them in. Similarly, if you
don't have all the freqs for a particular trunking system, then the day
the control channel changed to the missing freq, your scanner won't

work. Of course you'd be missing conversations all along, but you'd never know.

--

(Remove "eat_spam." to reply or use the address below)
(Rick) Colombo@fnal.gov

1862. Re: police scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Rahn <srahn@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc51c$77343e80$a49794cc@srahn>
Source record: 185621

If you hear just static, it's probably digital encoding - no way to decode
with consumer type equipment.

cogo@telusplanet.net wrote in article <3420D8F4.3323@telusplanet.net>...
>
> I'm new to scanning and have a question. When police go coded in my town,
> their signal changes so that all that you hear is strong static. What
> type of scrambling is that and is it possible to decode it?
>

1863. Re: Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Rahn <srahn@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc51c$4e8ab2c0$a49794cc@srahn>
Source record: 185622

This is also a frequency used by "Baby Monitors", which are cheap cordless devices made to listen for the babies cry. Since it works almost like an intercom, it transmits continuous.

Richard Evans <evansr@callisto.lif.icnet.uk> wrote in article <5vrbjj$g5v$3@charlie.lif.icnet.uk>...
> : >Hi,
> : >
> : >When I scan the cordless phone freq's my scanner always seems to get
> : >stuck on 46.7300 and I can hear just a hissing noise. Does anyone know
> : >why?
>
>

1864. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: go@away.now
Message-ID: <3423a429.18437075@news.zippo.com>
Source record: 185623
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 19 Sep 1997 03:17:45 GMT, tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek)
wrote:

>In article <342278e2.5763418@news.zippo.com>, Gwen <no@mail> wrote:
>>On 18 Sep 1997 05:59:23 GMT, jamoran@mail.goodnet.com (John Moran) wrote:
>>
>>>DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
>>>patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy
>>
>>You mean us a land line for BETTER privacy. It's still easy to tap a hard wire,
>>but not as likely as being listened to on a cordless.
>
>Here's something to ponder...
>
>Does anyone want to explain to me, the difference between using a
>cell/cordless telephone and being overheard, and placing your trash
>can out on the curb and having soeone go through it?
>

Depends on what frequency the trash cans are on.

>
>
>How about: The Supreme Court ruled that you can't do anything about
> the person going through your trash, because you don't
> have a reasonable expectation of privacy.

You forgot one MAJOR point here. This only applies if you put your trash on the
curb or sidewalk - OFF YOUR PROPERTY. If the trash sits on the edge of your
property and is 100% on your property, nobody can go through it.

If they do, they are then trespassing and committing larceny.

Post the facts as they are, not as you want to see them.

1865. Frequencies at South Boston VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: onif@ecsvax.uncecs.edu
Message-ID: <EGrLxz.33H.B.ias@ecsvax.uncecs.edu>
Source record: 185624

I will be at the Hooters Jackeroo Cup Series NASCAR-type race in South Boston, Virginia, on Saturday 20 September.

As my son will be accompanying me, I would like to keep him entertained.

Does anyone out there have a listing of the frequencies likely to be used there on raceday?

Regards,

Mike Oniffrey, PTV

_____
P I C K T W O V I D E O
p r o d u c t i o n s e r v i c e s
camera - sound - lighting - editing
919/929-3929 voice --- 919/507-1515 pager
onif@ga.unc.edu --- internet

[end]

1866. FS Bearcat 200XLT Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: N6AX
Message-ID: <5vub74$g3m@drn.zippo.com>
Source record: 185625

For Sale: Bearcat 200XLT handheld scanner, covers beyond 900 MHz, with case, charger, antenna. In excellent condition.

$175.00/offer

Email John at
n6ax@juno.com

1867. Yupiteru MVT 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Renate Warta <ifex001@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <3422DEEF.230D@wxs.nl>
Source record: 185626

This scanner sucks!!!!!! I have one and it is an KUT scanner!

1868. Help with BC 300 THANKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <scannr1@sierra.net>
Message-ID: <3422883E.5F866509@sierra.net>
Source record: 185627

To all those that provided me with help on my (Electra) BC 300 THANKS a bunch
She's purring like a well oiled machine now.

Also are there any mods for tis scanner?

Thanks agian for the help
Steve (scannr1@sierra.net)

1869. Scanners on the Web

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mbv@ti.com
Message-ID: <5vum17$4sv$1@tilde.csc.ti.com>
Source record: 185628

I found some URLs on the RealAudio
page that are interesting: LAPD, Dallas PD, and
DFW ATC.
Does anyone know of other "scanner to Web" URLs?
(The Dallas PD scanner seems to be disabled now.)
Ken Durham

1870. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <34420bb6.39616347@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 185629

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>Another bogus post from Bill Cheek.
>
>If I start trouble, then HOW COME BILL CHEEK is always THE COMMON
>THREAD in all the FLAMES on this NG?

Maybe if you'd stop rattling his cage he'd leave you alone.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1871. Antenna Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2006_1111@ridgecrest.ca.us
Message-ID: <3422e1b3.20091334@news.ridgecrest.ca.us>
Source record: 185630

Could anyone tell me what an antenna I recently aquired is for? It is
a 3 piece, screw together vertical whip, each element is about 5'10"
long, fiberglass and is brand name "SolarCon" with a SO 239 connector.
Thanks,
GW

1872. Customs fee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: aellery@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919201300.QAA26084@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185631

I'm considering ordering a radio from a company outside the U.S. For those of you who have purchased a radio from a firm outside the U.S., what was the customs fee you paid (in %)?

Allen

1873. Re: Scanner advice wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919211100.RAA00238@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185632

Wells said:
Also, the R10 is sold in the US because it has
the cellular region blocked. The 9000 does not
have FCC certification because their is no version
which blocks the cellular frequencies. Therefore,
if you want a 9000, you'll have to risk importing
one from outside the US. Problem is when it crosses
the border, if detected by US Customs, it will be
impounded(and possible destoyed) and you will be
out a radio and some money!

I say:
That's true but more so if you use FedX or UPS. The risk is very small,
maybe nil, if you use regular airmail.

1874. Re: Customs fee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3422E264.8C61BE29@cts.com>
Source record: 185633

None.

AEllery wrote:

> I'm considering ordering a radio from a company outside the U.S. For
> those of you who have purchased a radio from a firm outside the U.S.,
> what
> was the customs fee you paid (in %)?
>
> Allen

1875. FS: AR8K and PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: millerg@cstool.com
Message-ID: <874702206.1165@dejanews.com>
Source record: 185634

My curiosity is satisfied so I am selling my scanning equipment
to make room and time for some other hobby. I would prefer to sell
it as a package (less hassle for me).

AR-8000 with CU8232 and all original packaging
RM-100 POCSAG Decoder interface and software and spare kit
PRO-2006 in very good condition
1 car mount and two portable RS antenna

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

1876. Re: Opto boards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919210200.RAA29465@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185635

How is the Icom a bitch to hook up? Once it's connected, what's the problem?

1877. Re: good (reputation) mail order co for scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919210400.RAA29606@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185636

Grove is as reputable as any other. A bit higher on the price than some, however.

Have you checked out www.uniden.com? They have "like new" factory refurbished scanners for sale. The 3000 is $280, the last time I looked.

1878. Re: trade frg-7000 for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: kg5un@swconnect.net
Message-ID: <34230499.1807691@news.swconnect.net>
Source record: 185637

How about an AOR AR-2700?
Ed
9 GMT, pequiste@hotmail.com wrote:

>let-me know

1879. Re: Customs fee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919210900.RAA24310@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185638

Subject: Customs fee
From: aellery@aol.com (AEllery)
Date: 19 Sep 1997 20:13:42 GMT
Message-id: <19970919201300.QAA26084@ladder02.news.aol.com>

He wrote:

I'm considering ordering a radio from a company outside the U.S. For
those of you who have purchased a radio from a firm outside the U.S., what
was the customs fee you paid (in %)?

I write:

Never mind the customs fee. If it gets stopped by customs and it's an
unblocked radio, the fee will be zero and you won't have the scanner
either. That's my guess. That said, a couple of years ago, I paid about $45
for a scanner that was priced at $600. You want the scanner shipped by
registered airmail to reduce the possibility that that customs will
intercept it. That's how I got my latest scanner. A Yupi--unblocked and no fees.

1880. www.bander.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919211501.RAA24743@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185639

Have gotten a few messages from scannists asking about Bandercom's URL. It's www.bander.com and not www.bandercom.com, which I typed in haste in a posting.

Geez fellas, don't write me asking for URLs if I screw up--use a search-engine!

1881. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919210001.RAA23625@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185640

Subject: Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners
From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 18:05:52 GMT
Message-id: <3422a9ea.166937232@news-server>

> It may well be that the developers were bitter for unwarranted
> reasons. Besides, what business is it of ours? Legal and business disputes occur each and every day, and there are lawyers to sort it all out. Let it go and get a life!

>That's exactly the score and status quo. The matter was
>settled years ago.....meanwhile, the CE-232 LIVES and is still
>competitive with anything else on the market that resembles it.

>And what's more, there is a boatload of untapped potential in the
>CE-232 that just begs to be "discovered".....things a lot more
>important and useful than sniveling Easter Eggs.

Well, I might believe all of this stuff if it weren't for your recent Billy Thunder shennigans. You've proven yourself to be a forger, so why not a liar?

In any case, I'm more interested in the "untapped potential" of this interface? I don't anyone who has one, or speaks well of it? From what I see from the docs, it does not offer the same bang for buck as the Opto, and has no software support from third-party developers. With the advent of the Program It interface, why would anyone consider the Cheekatronics? It costs more, and does less.

I'm too lazy to do a feature by feature comparison to prove my point. Anyway, that's something you oughta do in addition to posting press releases if you want the buying public to decide. Or did you develop it for the glory and not the money?

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

1882. Re: Filter to block 152.48 Pager?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billc@rust.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc543$bd461a20$924445d1@mcsppro200>
Source record: 185641

Grove carries one.

Bill Crocker

Tom <trinit69@idt.net.nospam> wrote in article
<trinit69-1909970646350001@ip164.lafayette.la.pub-ip.psi.net>...
> Call anyone recommend a notch filter to wipe out the 152.48 Mhz paging
> freq?
[clipped]

1883. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGrv75.30t@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 185642
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3423a429.18437075@news.zippo.com>, The Man <go@away.now> wrote:
>tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com (Reverend Tweek) wrote:
>
>>
>>Here's something to ponder...
>>
>>Does anyone want to explain to me, the difference between using a
>>cell/cordless telephone and being overheard, and placing your trash
>>can out on the curb and having soeone go through it?
>
>Depends on what frequency the trash cans are on.

Tell me, what does "Frequency" have to do with it, other than being
the medium MOVING the data "desired" to be private, OFF of one's
own private property. Looks like you do have a handle on this
ponderable.

>>How about: The Supreme Court ruled that you can't do anything about
>> the person going through your trash, because you don't
>> have a reasonable expectation of privacy.
>
>You forgot one MAJOR point here. This only applies if you put your trash
>on the
>curb or sidewalk - OFF YOUR PROPERTY. If the trash sits on the edge of your
>property and is 100% on your property, nobody can go through it.

Whoops! I thought you had a handle on this... Guess not... Besides
stating "curb", which in the opinion of many implies being on public
property (or the public easement of private property... in most areas
of California that I am familiar with, the curb is between the sidewalk
and the street.)... And while I don't have handy a copy of Greenwood v.
California... and law.cornell.edu is not responding, I do believe that
the case did not consider whether the can was placed on private property
adjacent to public property or not...

>
>If they do, they are then trespassing and committing larceny.

But you seemed to miss the point of the ponderable... since I'm
not suggesting that one tresspass onto someone's property in order
monitor their wireless telephone conversations... in fact, if one
were to bring this analogy full circle, it is the equiv of the neighbor
placing his garbage can in my yard and then complaining when I snoop
through it.

>Post the facts as they are, not as you want to see them.

That one, as I stated to someone in another group who said:

In <ba.broadcast> On 19 Sep 1997 15:33:54 GMT,
<mattc@rescomp.Stanford.EDU (Matthew William Clarke)> said:
>
>Get off usenet if you aren't going to add anything valuable, or at least
>factual.

Earns you a hearty "Fuck You", and a prayer that your sphincter will
one day be able to loosen its grip on the far end of that 40 meter
dipole element stuck up your ass.

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

1884. Re: Was the R7000 800Mhz Blocked? (was Re: FS: Icom R-7000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mfraser@obelix.helix.net
Message-ID: <5vusgn$anq@van1s03.cyberion.com>
Source record: 185643

Only partly correct. A 7000A was introduced. Also 7100a, maybe?
/mark

Glenn (GHansen@juno.com) wrote:
: Rich Carlson, N9JIG wrote:
: >
: > The R7000 was never blocked. It was pulled from the civilian market when
: > the law required it, and the R7100 was cell blocked (actually the entire
: > 800-900 band removed.
: >
: > The R7000 was/is still sold to government and commercial users, as is
: > unblocked R7100's.
: >
: > When I was on CIS this same conversation was had and this was the end
: > result of it.

: All right Rich! At least there is one of us that hasn't lost their
: memory.

: Whoops make that two....sorry Ed! See, did it again. :-)

: Glenn

1885. Re: Off Topic: Re: Radio Shack Con Job?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: greere@mail5.evangel.edu
Message-ID: <874683499.10765@dejanews.com>
Source record: 185644

In article <01bcbe68$827df3e0$354de0c7@holmes>,
"Squeeze the Weeze" <none@spammer.now> wrote:
>
> I guess you STILL drive that old Model T, too.....
> Wake up..... This is 1997..... In computer years.....etc....
>

I'm glad that you only read the part of my post that suited you. If you had read the entire post, you would have learned that I gave the old 486 to my dad, just for him to have email(Juno). But thanks anyway for your input. You have enlightened us all.

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

1886. Love Connection at AJ's and on KSJO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Naomi <naomi@ajsgirls.com>
Message-ID: <342287FE.73E@ajsgirls.com>
Source record: 185645
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,alt.music.prince,sbay.hams,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,alt.sex.exhibitionism,alt.binaries.pictures.girlfriends,alt.sex.pictures,alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.amateur.female,alt.binaries.lingerie,alt.binaries.pictures.girlfriend,alt.binaries.pictures.lingerie,alt.binaries.pictures.teen-starlets,alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.female.anal,alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.oral,alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.blondes,alt.binaries.pictures.erotica,alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.amateur.female,alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.breasts,alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.female,alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.pornstar,alt.binaries.pictures.girls,alt.binaries.pictures.groupsex,alt.binaries.lingerie.bigbutts,alt.binaries.pictures.lolita.misc,alt.sex.breasts,alt.sex.girls,alt.sex.masturbation,alt.sex,alt.sex.erotica.marketplace,alt.sex.sounds,alt.sex.wanted,alt.sex.super-size,alt.picture.nude,alt.binaries.pictures,uw.alt.sex.bondage,alt.romance.teen

Check it out. THE MOST HOTTEST EVENT.
There is Love Connection, this coming Tuesday at AJ's bar in San Jose.
And the girls of your dreams is NADIA, check her out at
http://www.ajsgirls.com

The date will be picked that morning on KSJO 92.3

CHECK US OUT< AND DON"T MISS THE EVENT

1887. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3422FBF9.41CF@usa.net>
Source record: 185646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Mr. John Harr -

THANK YOU!

I agree with your assessment of the situatition.

Since I do not have a CE-232 & DO NOT intend on getting one, I needed someone such as yourself to give an answer to this as I wanted to know if it was real or someone spreading BS.

I don't know why so many other people couldn't understand this SIMPLE request. (other than they wanted to start trouble & saw it as a nice chance).

John Harr wrote:

> I own an HB-232 (the forerunner of the CE-232) and I have the latest version
SNIP
> it. A window with the following message displayed:

> The REAL STORY: The HB232 was conceived, designed and developed by
> Bill Bond<hardware/driving force>, Jason Hillyard <hardware>,
> George Steidley <hardware/software>, Rick Brown <minded the
> till>. Personal and professional circumstances beyond our control
> require us to license the sale and support of this product. It's
> fate is in the hands of others. We wish the product well. < Press
> Any Key >

> This hardly speaks of terrible atrocities that Cheek did to the developers
> of the product and the software. However, it does indicate that there was
> some hard feeling over the product somewhere. I'm not sure we have the
> information or the right to rush to judgement to decide who did what to
SNIP

1888. Re: Cheek & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3422FF26.57DF@usa.net>
Source record: 185647

Well, YOU certainly are the POT calling the KETTLE Black!

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> You offer no real solutions, barate others whoes opinions don't coincide
> with yours, and generally make a nuisance of yourself. Then, you have

1889. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3422FF9A.1A50@usa.net>
Source record: 185648

Instead, lets believe in TRUTH. Would you like me to show you some
posts of BILL CHEEK (courtesy of Dejanews) of Bill starting trouble
here & people stating their displeasure at him for it?

Put up or shut up.

Tom Jones wrote:
> Bill Cheek hasn't started any trouble hereabouts that I've seen.
> It has ALL been started and re-started, and stirred-up, and re-hashed,
> by you, JOHN MACKEY,KAOSSF!
> Shit man, ya don't hafta believe me! Why don't you just take a poll?

1890. Re: Railroad Scanning/Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: devans4465@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919221400.SAA28953@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185649

Well, personally I prefer a 1/4 wave antenna on the roof of my truck or even just a scanner antenna mounted on the outside of my vehicle. I get very good reception and can monitor several railroads in my area.

I use both a RS Pro-51 handheld hooked to an outside antenna or a Bearcat BC760XLT that is mounted in my vehicle. They both work equally well and I have no problems listening to anyone, even when they are using portables when doing switching.

As far as getting frequencies I find several sources to be of value. On the computer I use ScannerBase CD from CSP technologies (http://www.csp-tech.com). I have also used Police Call from RS but, have found it to be very general and not location specific. My preferred reference book is "The Compedium of AMERICAN RAILROAD RADIO FREQUENCIES", 14th edition. This is published by Kalmbach Books ($16.95).

>Hi Folks, I just recently became interested in scanning and have a
>SportCat 150. I'm also a railfan and as such spend time at local rail lines.
>I have obtained the primary frequencies used by CSX, GT, and Conrail in my
>area and have been able to receive communications. I have an all-band
>basic mobile antenna mounted to my car and that is what I primarily use for
>monitoring railroad traffic, although range is not particularly great. The
>dispatch is generally fair, only slightly distorted, but the actual train
>traffic doesn't go more than 3 to 5 miles I've estimated. Would it be more
>advantageous for me to get a high VHF mobile antenna tuned exclusively to
>160-161mhz and simply stick on the roof while at the train yards, or would
>an amplifier (as seen in the ScannerWorld catalog, for example) be a better
>bet? btw, the terrain in my area is generally flat, no large hills.
>
>For those of you involved with railroad scanning please email me any
>tidbits, advice, tips, stories, etc. you may have.

>From: noah824@aol.com (Noah 824)

1891. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3422FE2F.6150@usa.net>
Source record: 185650

So what is your point???

Perhaps you don't understand.

I posted that message, I NEVER denied that. The POST was of a e-mail
Bill Cheek wrote. I did not write the context of the message, Bill
Cheek did. If you don't like it, talk to Bill Cheek.

jhayes3rd@nmaa.org wrote:
> The archives at Deja News don't lie. This is a copy of
> the article that you posted last week. I didn't see any
> posts from Bill Cheek's.

1892. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34230063.3190@usa.net>
Source record: 185651

hehehehehe, try learning proper spelling & grammar & MAYBE you
can be intellectual. Until then, don't bother trying.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> Besides, inciteful is what I do best (which generally leaves you in the
> intellectual darkness trying to understand what it was I really said)

1893. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3423014A.1D4B@usa.net>
Source record: 185652

Oh but I seem to make a LARGE contribution for you. I give you
something
to do as all I ever see you post is bitching about me. (when you aren't
making your racist remarks on other newsgroups).

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> Whoever said that Mackey has nothing
> to say or contribute is right. Then, you answer

1894. Re: John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34230369.668@usa.net>
Source record: 185653

Funny, I posted a reply showing how your headers DID match
with the bogus post & you & your buddy suddenly
became quiet. YOU were the one who didn't respond after
being proven wrong (again).

Glenn wrote:
> You really need to ignore Phoenix. He thrives on any
> attention and will never quit. He accused me of posting the Mackey Poll
> which another user posted the headers showing John to be keeping his
> record intact. The headers never matched up with mine and surprise, John
> doesn't respond after being proven wrong.

1895. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3423041D.2942@usa.net>
Source record: 185654

That is really pretty chicken shit on YOUR part. Sorta like
running to mommy. What a little baby you are.

(like my boss would care or could do anything anyway!!!!)

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> I'd sure like to know where his employment is, though. It would be
> interesting to fax all these pieces of garbage to his boss. Perhaps
> he'll shut up then.

1896. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3422FCC8.67C5@usa.net>
Source record: 185655

Apparently you don't understand. I DID NOT write that, it was written
by Bill Cheek.

john gary ingram wrote:

> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > Are you saying that Bill Cheek's post WAS NOT meant to start trouble?

> John,
> Bill Cheek's did not post this message to the group. You did!!!

1897. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3423025E.1E17@usa.net>
Source record: 185656

If it were only me having the problem with him then maybe
that would be a factor but there is more than me.

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
> Maybe if you'd stop rattling his cage he'd leave you alone.

1898. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bry@REMOVE.mnsinc.com
Message-ID: <3422ff55.6227341@news1.mnsinc.com>
Source record: 185657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 14 Sep 1997 17:56:11 -0400, N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>Joe Pillera wrote:
>>
>> Hello,
>> I've heard mention of "micro-broadcasting" while listening to my
>> shortwave radio. Apparently, it has something to do with ordinary
>> people who legally use very low power to transmit some sort of voice
>> (narrow FM?) signal in their local area.
>>
>> Do any of you have a list of common frequencies for this? Is it indeed
>> narrow FM - or is another modulation used?
>>
>> Just curious. Thanks in advance.
>>
>> Joe
>> --
>> Joe Pillera
>
>Nope! thats not it! What they mean is transmitting on the AM/FM
>broadcast band at low power levels. This is NOT legal. But its done
>all the time by a good many people. Check out alt.radio.pirate for the
>real information on the subject.

Your answer is VERY misleading here!
Micro-broadcasting is done LEGALLY in many cases.

There is provision for folks to transmit at 100mW into a five foot
antenna legally - and in the broadcast bands. RAMSEY ELECTRONICS and
SEVERAL other manufacturers sell a variety of transmitters for this
purpose. Everyone knows Radio Shack and other toy companies sell
"wireless microphones" and "wireless intercoms" etc. etc. that all use
100 mW or so on the medium wave, as well as 49 MHz, VHF-FM broadcast
bands etc. etc. and they are NOT illegal to use!!

You can broadcast JUST LIKE a regular FM station if you want, and ON
the FM band with a 100 mW stereo quality station. You can spend
anything from $30-3000 on such a station.

For more details and FACTS (instead of opinions) see:

http://www.mnsinc.com/bry/piralynx.htm

Regards - Bry

(remove the word REMOVE from my e-mail address to respond!)

1899. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vv2r8$9u4@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185658

In <3423014A.1D4B@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>Oh but I seem to make a LARGE contribution for you. I give you
>something
>to do as all I ever see you post is bitching about me. (when you
aren't
>making your racist remarks on other newsgroups).
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>> Whoever said that Mackey has nothing
>> to say or contribute is right. Then, you answer

again...what is your definition of racist?
then "racist remarks" and then "reality"

you life is your puzzle Mackeral, not ours, go figure
it out on "non-read" time.

bill

1900. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vv317$hh9@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185659

In <3423025E.1E17@usa.net> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:
>
>If it were only me having the problem with him then maybe
>that would be a factor but there is more than me.
>
Of course, why did it now come to me before"

question mark.

Notice his sentence: there is more than me.

right. There are two him's....

i wonder which HIM or HE we speak to
when we speak. Who is answering?

How many "him's are there"?

bill

1901. Looking for a Scanner interface program for the AR 8K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: moorerl@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <34230ac2.40317179@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185660

I am trying to locate a really good user friendly program that will allow computer programing of the AR 8000. I have tried a few but none seem to do it all. What programs are available that would allow me to use Excel as my database and cut and paste it into the Scanner program?
I will appreciate any suggestions.
e-mail me at: moorerl@ix.netcom.com

1902. Re: R10 - off freq in SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: blombard@bogusity.iu.net
Message-ID: <5vv1u0$9c2$1@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 185661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <341E9B1C.722F0913@dale.cba.ufl.edu>, mcgill@dale.cba.ufl.edu says...
>
>I just started playing with my new Icom R10 and it is a nice wide-band
>receiver in a very small package. Everything seems to work as
>promised but I've noticed one abnormality (at least I think it is).
>

Likewise. It's an impressive little radio, although it does have the rather common shortcoming of being crunched in the presence of a strong signal nearby. I work within a mile or two of an FM broadcast tower and when I connected my R10 to the outside antenna, it overloaded the radio badly. OTOH, on another antenna, it was fine.

>The Problem: When listening to SSB freqs., say 3.945 LSB, I have to
>tune to 3.9464 to hear the voices properly. I know the actual freq is
>3945 because I checked it on my Drake SW8 (and these folks ALWAYS talk
>on 3945). I seem to have the same problem wherever I tune in SSB
>(upper or lower)...that is, I have to tune the R10 slightly off freq
>to hear properly. NOTE that once I tune slightly off freq, the
>reception is actually quite strong and clear--no problems.

I checked mine on a good HP signal generator, and it was "close enough" to the marked frequency that I didn't have any problems finding the generator. I'm pretty sure that the radio shows the frequency of the carrier; in SSB, it's gone and the mode is typically LSB. That would put your display at above 3945, probably 3946. I think the extra couple of hundred hertz could be differeneces in the way people set their radios, and possibly some calibration of your master oscillator. It certainly isn't a TCXO, or something fancy, which means a 25 to 50 PPM oscillator.

At 1296.1 MHz, I think I was about 2 kHz off, but it's not uncommon. But I wouldn't swear that the generator was at 1296.1 +/- a couple of Hz, either.

>
>Either there is something wrong with this particular R10 in SSB only,
>or there is something peculiar to the way the R10 tunes in USB or LSB
>relative to the freq actually shown on the readout. That is, maybe
>there is some sort of SSB offset that is usually adjusted for by most
>receivers but the R10 does not...thus the slightly off freq. display.

As I said, I think this could be it.

>
>If any of you R10 owners out there would experiment with this SSB
>tuning and report back, I'd appreciate it. Thanks. And direct email
>in addition to usenet posting would be appreciated as well so I don't
>miss your response.
>
>Thanks.
>
>mcgill@dale.cba.ufl.edu

UF, eh? I'm down the east coast a few miles.

73,
Bob

Bob Lombardi W4ATM in Melbourne, FL (ex-WB4EHS) \---\---\
blombard@iu.net or blombard@freenet.fsu.edu __@ |
Bicycling, telescope making, optics, astronomy, _`\<_ |
Radio Design, piano, SW and ham radio (*)/ (*) |
Visit the ATM Resource List at http://www.freenet.tlh.fl.us/~blombard

1903. Re: Customs fee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: eaw@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <5vv4l0$1ks@camel1.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185662

No customs fees I have recieved five radios from Javiation with out
any problems and counting friends who have recieved over ten radios
none have been stopped by customs. Takes seven days to recieve your
radio from the UK.
Eric
aellery@aol.com (AEllery) wrote:

>I'm considering ordering a radio from a company outside the U.S. For
>those of you who have purchased a radio from a firm outside the U.S., what
>was the customs fee you paid (in %)?

> Allen

1904. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: frisbie@flying-disk.spamblock.com
Message-ID: <1997Sep19.160309.1194@flying-disk.spamblock.com>
Source record: 185663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

> I own an HB-232 (the forerunner of the CE-232) and I have the
> latest version of the Cheek software from Commtronics. I was
> unaware of the 'Easter Egg' one can display by pressing the
> <Shift> and ? keys during startup.

If it is indeed the latest version available from Commtronics,
that would imply that they do not have the source code (or lack
the ability to modify it). Has anyone attempted to contact
any of the people named in the Easter Egg?

--
-- "From:" line deliberatly munged to prevent harvesting by spambots.

-- Alan E. Frisbie Frisbie "@" Flying-Disk.Com
-- Flying Disk Systems, Inc. (Remove quotes before replying)

1905. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <34232D5E.A15@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bry wrote:
>
> On Sun, 14 Sep 1997 17:56:11 -0400, N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Joe Pillera wrote:
> >>
> >> Hello,
> >> I've heard mention of "micro-broadcasting" while listening to my
> >> shortwave radio. Apparently, it has something to do with ordinary
> >> people who legally use very low power to transmit some sort of voice
> >> (narrow FM?) signal in their local area.
> >>
> >> Do any of you have a list of common frequencies for this? Is it indeed
> >> narrow FM - or is another modulation used?
> >>
> >> Just curious. Thanks in advance.
> >>
> >> Joe
> >> --
> >> Joe Pillera
> >
> >Nope! thats not it! What they mean is transmitting on the AM/FM
> >broadcast band at low power levels. This is NOT legal. But its done
> >all the time by a good many people. Check out alt.radio.pirate for the
> >real information on the subject.
>
> Your answer is VERY misleading here!
> Micro-broadcasting is done LEGALLY in many cases.
>
> There is provision for folks to transmit at 100mW into a five foot
> antenna legally - and in the broadcast bands. RAMSEY ELECTRONICS and
> SEVERAL other manufacturers sell a variety of transmitters for this
> purpose. Everyone knows Radio Shack and other toy companies sell
> "wireless microphones" and "wireless intercoms" etc. etc. that all use
> 100 mW or so on the medium wave, as well as 49 MHz, VHF-FM broadcast
> bands etc. etc. and they are NOT illegal to use!!
>
> You can broadcast JUST LIKE a regular FM station if you want, and ON
> the FM band with a 100 mW stereo quality station. You can spend
> anything from $30-3000 on such a station.
>
> For more details and FACTS (instead of opinions) see:

>
> http://www.mnsinc.com/bry/piralynx.htm
>
> Regards - Bry
>
> (remove the word REMOVE from my e-mail address to respond!)

I'll stick by my first statement (Its a matter of field strength). If you stay legal you'll be lucky to hear your own signal at the end of your house...not Mw but generated strength. I've played this game before and 100 Mw into a 5 foot antenna will be heard for at least 1 block. Play at your own risk...73

1906. A Tennelec Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: stealth <stealth@netnitco.net>
Message-ID: <34234D79.9302234B@netnitco.net>
Source record: 185665

I wonder if anyone in this newsgroup has ever heard of a Tennelec scanner? I was given this scanner by a friend at the workplace. The scanners model is MCP-1. The scanner is vintage 1976. It was made in Oak ridge, Tenn. The user manual claims that this is the first scanning reciever that one can enter the freqs from a keypad. Normally, since the reciever isn't working, I would just discard it because of it's age. Iv'e decided to try and do something with it because I'm VERY impressed with it's construction. It was really made to be serviced as it has plug -in boards like the bus slots on a computer. My friend told me it cost nearly $300 dollars in 1976, and looking at it I believe him.Unfortunately, the user manual doesn't have a schematic, so troubleshooting will be difficult. Anyone out there know something about this fine piece of gear?

Stealth from Indiana

1907. Newbie: Need Freq's...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: FABIO32@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5vv81bquj1@newsd-2.alma.webtv.net>
Source record: 185666

I need the frequencies used by cordless phones.

in what MHZ range is it 49MHZ?

1908. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpm3@NOSPAM.seanet.com
Message-ID: <dpm3.1617.002793F5@NOSPAM.seanet.com>
Source record: 185667

In article John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> writes:

>You did not follow directions & obviously do not know how to
>read headers to confirm authenticity.

>You looked in the wrong area. Look at the "NNTP posting host"
>& the "path" origin. The path origin is "newsfeed.san.rr.com".

>Compare Bill Cheek & Billy Thunder posts, they are the same.

John,

Look. We DON'T care!! Just give it a rest, PLEASE!

==========
"I distrust a close-mouthed man. He generally picks the wrong time
to talk and says the wrong things. Talking is something you can't do
judiciously unless you keep in practice"
- Casper Gutman, The Maltese Falcon
========== This .sig exceeds Plate "C" specifications ==========

1909. Re: Business Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex Bischoff <abischof@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <3423477E.AE2BE315@vt.edu>
Source record: 185668

RDK wrote:
> Hi all, is there a way to search for business frequencies? I have been
You can search for business frequencies (by city or call-sign) at:

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

> mountain view california. also would like to purchase on disc the FCC
> master database, which version or vendor would be best to seek out?
I've never bought one, so I'm not sure which one's best. But, the company
at the URL listed above sells one.

Alex
- -
mailto:abischof@vt.edu http://www.vt.edu:10021/A/abischof/

| export-a-crypto-system-sig RSA-3-lines-PERL |
| #!/bin/perl -sp0777i<X+d*lMLa^*lN%0]dsXx++lMlN/dsM0<j]dsj |
| $/=unpack('H*',$_);$_=`echo 16dio\U$k"SK$/SM$n\EsN0p[lN*1 |
| lK[d2%Sa2/d0$^Ixp"|dc`;s/\W//g;$_=pack('H*',/((..)*)$/) |

Help Crack RC5 Now! ----> http://rc5.distributed.net/

1910. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lyle Koehler <k0lr@emily.net>
Message-ID: <342350AF.5791@emily.net>
Source record: 185669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Here are some excerpts from the FCC Part 15 rules on low-power communications devices. See the Longwave Home Page at http://members.aol.com/lwcanews/index.html and follow the links to "Part 15 Experimental Operation" for more information. There are no restrictions that prevent using such devices for "micro-broadcasting" in the 510 to 1705 kHz range as long as there is no interference to licensed radio services.

I want to emphasize, however, that 100 milliwatts is *not* legal in the FM band.

15.23 Home-built devices.

(a) Equipment authorization is not required for devices that are not marketed, are not constructed from a kit, and are built in quantities of five or less for personal use.

15.217 Operation in the band 160-190 kHz.

(a) The total input power to the final radio frequency stage (exclusive of filament or heater power) shall not exceed one watt.

(b) The total length of the transmission line, antenna, and ground lead (if used) shall not exceed 15 meters.

(c) All emissions below 160 kHz or above 190 kHz shall be attenuated at least 20 dB below the level of the unmodulated carrier. Determination of compliance with the 20 dB attenuation specification may be based on measurements at the intentional radiator's antenna output terminal unless the intentional radiator uses a permanently attached antenna, in which case compliance shall be demonstrated by measuring the radiated emissions.

15.218 Operation in the band 510-1705 kHz.

(a) The total input power to the final radio frequency stage (exclusive of filament or heater power) shall not exceed 100 milliwatts.

(b) The total length of the transmission line, antenna and ground lead (if used) shall not exceed 3 meters.

(c) All emissions below 510 kHz or above 1705 kHz shall be attenuated at least 20 dB below the level of the unmodulated carrier. Determination of compliance with the 20 dB attenuation specification may be based on measurements at the intentional radiator's antenna output terminal unless the intentional radiator uses a permanently attached antenna, in which case compliance shall be demonstrated by measuring the radiated

emissions.

Lyle, K0LR

1911. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: john gary ingram <ingramj@erols.com>
Message-ID: <34234B21.25F3@erols.com>
Source record: 185670

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Apparently you don't understand. I DID NOT write that, it was written
> by Bill Cheek.
>
> john gary ingram wrote:
>
> > John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > > Are you saying that Bill Cheek's post WAS NOT meant to start trouble?
>
> > John,
> > Bill Cheek's did not post this message to the group. You did!!!

Then why did you post it to the group? It was a private e-mail
between you and Bill.

1912. CANCEL ALERT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: john gary ingram <ingramj@erols.com>
Message-ID: <342352EF.51A7@erols.com>
Source record: 185671

Hi All,

A user at Len-Net has issued a series of Rogue Cancels on several
articles the were posted in rec.radio.scanner today!

I've counted about 14 cancels so far!

The Headers of Rogue cancels can be view below:

Path: news-ihm.lri.fr!u-psud.fr!jussieu.fr!eerie.fr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-peer.sprintl
ink.net!news-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!20
5.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970920011900.VAA18515@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970920011900.VAA18515@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:26:40 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236CA0.6463@aol.com>
References: <19970920011900.VAA18515@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: news-ihm.lri.fr!u-psud.fr!jussieu.fr!oleane!newsxfer3.itd.umich.edu!news-peer.sprint
link.net!news-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!2
05.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970920011901.VAA12843@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970920011901.VAA12843@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:26:58 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1

Message-ID: <34236CB2.7FBB@aol.com>
References: <19970920011901.VAA12843@ladder01.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: news-ihm.lri.fr!u-psud.fr!jussieu.fr!oleane!newsxfer3.itd.umich.edu!news-peer.sprint
link.net!news-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!2
05.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970920011900.VAA18520@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970920011900.VAA18520@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:27:11 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236CBF.529A@aol.com>
References: <19970920011900.VAA18520@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: news-ihm.lri.fr!u-psud.fr!jussieu.fr!oleane!newsxfer3.itd.umich.edu!news-peer.sprint
link.net!news-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!2
05.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970917113900.HAA15212@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970917113900.HAA15212@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:28:09 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236CF9.2FBB@aol.com>
References: <19970917113900.HAA15212@ladder01.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

1913. Re: Yupiteru MVT 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob9000 <bob9000@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <342342B5.1219@concentric.net>
Source record: 185672

Renate Warta wrote:
>
> This scanner sucks!!!!!! I have one and it is an KUT scanner!

Could you give us some details?

1914. Re: Cheek & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34235C06.68AA@usa.net>
Source record: 185673

Then you have NOT been paying attention. Just the other
day someone who made a similar statement to yours
had to EAT their words. It was either CB radio guy or
Phoenix, I forget which now.

David Starr wrote:
> Not to mention, I've NEvER seen a scanner related post from him.

1915. Re: RC Pocket-size Frequency...any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ross@aimnet.com
Message-ID: <ross-ya02408000R1909972133580001@news2.aimnet.com>
Source record: 185674

In article <3422B880.5667@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>, Warren Donworth
<donworth@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Hi Folks,
>
> Can anyone recommend the new Radio Shack Pocket-size Frequency Counter?
> I got their ad flyer in the mail the other day, which says:
>
> "Great for scanner hobbyists, Hams and service work. New. $79.99.
> Save $20.00. What's the frequency? Find out! Pocket-size frequency
> counter shows frequency of a nearby in-use transmitter or RF source,1 to
> 1300MHz. Instantly confirms output from cordless phones,
> walkie-talkies, RC-car remotes, radio/TV circuits. Push-button
> backlight. Reg. $99.99. #22-306TJ. Add 4 "AA" batteries."
>
> The ad photo shows it looking similar to a hand-held scanner, with a
> rubber duck antenna on top, black over gray, a few buttons, a digital
> display above for frequencies, but no speaker grill below like a
> hand-held scanner. It looks roughly the height of the needle-nose
> pliers in the photo background.
>
> Whatchathink?

I went for the new Optoelectronics Techtoys freq counter for $99 (optional
external antenna is $9). Shipping was $15 - ouch. They started shipping
them on 9/15.

From their ad:

<The new Techtoyz Micro-Counter, so small that is housed in a beeper style
case, yet still featuring the quality and performance customers expect from
an Optoelectronics product. At only 2.8" x 1.9" x 1.1", the Techtoyz
Micro-Counter is the smallest frequency counter in the world.
The Techtoyz Micro-counter has a frequency range of 10MHz - 1.2GHz.>

It has three memories and three gate speeds. The Radio Shaft unit has no
memories.

Check it out at www.optoelectronics.com.

Gary

--
Gary Ross
ross@aimnet.com
rossman@aol.com
ross4@llnl.gov

1916. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34235B93.7102@usa.net>
Source record: 185675

You OBVIOUSLY are not paying attention. I WAS ASKED TO POST
THE MESSAGE BY PEOPLE WHO DID NOT BELIEVE CHEEK WOULD WRITE
SUCH AN E-MAIL. tHEY ASKED ME TO POST IT FOR THEIR REVEW. CHEEK
SHOULD NOT HAVE STARTED IT to begin with & you should complain
at those who asked me to post it, NOT ME.

The CB Guy wrote:
> If we had received that message from Cheek, we would complain to
> him......HOWEVER we did not receive it from Bill.....it was sent to you in
> PRIVATE e-mail, and YOU foolishly felt it needed to be published.
> SO...if we have a problem with the context of what was posted to the ng,
> we talk to the idiotic poster......YOU!!!

1917. Re: BC895XLT user quiz <g>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <342356D8.580385BA@cts.com>
Source record: 185676

>

> As to question 2: I don't know about the 895, but the 235 manual,
> page
> 44-45 describes how to store ID's in the scan lists. You will need to
> have
>

How can you store ID's if you don't know what they are? I know I can
store ID's that I have. I want to be able to find the ID's that I don't
have. The only way I know of is to actually sit there and write them
down as they are displayed.

AND-- suppose you get ID #27334 off the internet. How do you know if it
a valid number unless you see it displayed. If it's an odd number for
-say the dog catchers assistant -- you may never see it.

> the correct frequencies and a good fleet map to ensure all ID's are
> found.
> Leaving the 235 in it's power-up state(as far as fleet maps are
> concerned)
> will result in all ID's being displayed as they become active, however
> they
>

But as I understand it, they are not stored anywhere. If I want to note
all the ID's I still have to write them down and then program them into
the scanner list bank.

I don't believe that I can turn the scanner on and then come back
several hours later for a read out of the ID's that have been
displayed/used.

> may not be sequenced correctly if Type I radios are in use. If you
> begin
> getting ID's such as 57899, 48787, etc then you know that type I's are
>
> there. You will then need to experiment with different fleet maps
> until
>

I already said that I'm using a type II system.

> you begin getting useful ID's. Take a look at www.trunktracker.com
> for
> additional hints and frequencies.

I did before I posted. While very informative they did not address
this question of how to find the ID's to begin with.

1918. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34235CAE.1638@usa.net>
Source record: 185677

The CB Guy wrote:

> The truth is MAckey, that YOU have been the trouble in the last 2 or 3
> weeks. If you're having a problem in e-mail.....KEEP IT THERE.
> We don't need you posting anything at all. I can almost predict your
> idiotic replies.

People ASKED me to post that message to the NG because they did
NOT believe Cheek would write such a message. I DID NOT send the other
messages he wrote to me. WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO YOU STUPID ASS??

> About time for that Prozac refill again?

It DEFINATELY sounds like you are low on your
PROZAC so please DO.

1919. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34236251.292F@usa.net>
Source record: 185678

YES, PLEASE go take YOUR Prozac.

The CB Guy wrote:
Time for the Prozac refill again?

1920. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34235AE5.67F5@usa.net>
Source record: 185679

Because there were SEVERAL people on this NG that stated they could
not believe that Bill Cheek would send such harassing e-mail &
told me to post it for review. I **DID NOT** send the others
he sent me.

Don't you hate it!

john gary ingram wrote:
> Then why did you post it to the group? It was a private e-mail
> between you and Bill.

1921. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3423639B.51D3@usa.net>
Source record: 185680

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> again...what is your definition of racist?
> then "racist remarks" and then "reality"

I can best show you a definitation of "racist remarks" by giving
YOUR internet post as an example. Please feel free to confirm
authenticity via Dejanews if you like.

>Subject: Re: Nassau CO Beaches ?
>From: Baycitvidgrp <Myway@ix.netcom.com>
>Date: 1997/01/13
>Message-Id: <32DAE747.32EE@ix.netcom.com>
>Newsgroups: rec.nude
>[More Headers]

>KKim127376 wrote:
>> There is a long stretch of beach just past the Club Med and Yoga Center on
> Paradise Island that usually became CO each day that my wife and I were
> there.
>I've been there before & DID NOT care for it. There a lot of creepy
>individuals there & several interracial couples. Definetely NOT
>a wholesome place to take children.

1922. Re: John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34236428.55FD@usa.net>
Source record: 185681

The CB Guy wrote:
> The headers you showed DID NOT MATCH EXACTLY.
> so therefore, they mean nothing.

Then YOU don't know how to read headers.

> Time for that Prozac refill again?

Yea, go take YOUR prozac.

1923. Help Identifying A Freq. Counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: wiggie
Message-ID: <5vvh6r$ldu@drn.zippo.com>
Source record: 185682

I just picked up a frequency counter a while back at the local pawnshop. I ended up shelling out $9.95 for it so it won't be a major loss if it does not have outstanding capabilities.
It is a TRISTAR frequency counter MODEL F-250. Small 4.75" x 3" x 1.25" black metal case with small rubber footpads. Seems to be made for desktop use but one of the previous owners had some holes drilled in the top for a possible mount in an auto. Two wires run out the back of the counter through a hole labeled "13.8V". Has two SO-239 connectors on the back, one labeled "TX" and the other labeled "ANT". Also has female RCA phono jack labeled "SIGNAL". All connectors on the back are essentially wired up parallel. Two pushbutton switches, one Power ON/OFF and the other one is a FREQ. HIGH/LOW. Main IC is a Toshiba TC5032P chip along with four Hitachi chips, HD14020BP, HD14518BP, HD14069UBP and HD14511BP.
Unit works fine and picks up a cordless phone with just using an drill bit stuck in one of the SO-239's. Unit appears right on the mark with the freq. Anyone with some info on this please let me know.

Thanks...

Wiggie

1924. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34236562.272F@usa.net>
Source record: 185683

Dan, if people don't care then why were they talking about it &
asking questions?

Dan Morisseau wrote:
> Look. We DON'T care!! Just give it a rest, PLEASE!

1925. New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Amor Powers, CB Radio" <power@CB.Radio.net>
Message-ID: <34236727.2A52@CB.Radio.net>
Source record: 185684

```
The following has been PROPOSED for International 27MHz:

>>The new FM CALLING channel will be
>>CHANNEL 63 (27.635).
>>The new FM PACKET channel will be
>>CHANNEL 68 (27.635).
>>The FM channels are CHANNEL 63 through 68.

>>=============================================
>>PROPOSED INTERNATIONAL 27MHz FM CHANNEL PLAN:
>>27.635 CH 63 FM CALLING ONLY
>>27.645 CH 64 FM
>>27.655 CH 65 FM
>>27.665 CH 66 FM
>>27.675 CH 67 FM
>>27.685 CH 68 FM PACKET RADIO
>>=============================================

END OF POST
```

1926. Improting scanner to the USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ted@homemail.com
Message-ID: <3422bbde.208874615@192.0.2.3>
Source record: 185685

Hello,

I mail order a scanner from Canada. I live in the USA.

Anybody has similar experience ? Will I have to pay custom fee?
Do the custom checks the scanner to be 'approved' or 'complying'
with USA regulations ? What is the best way to ship it (Fedex, UPS.
etc.).

Any help will be greatly appreciated.

Thanks,

Ted

1927. Re: All hail King Mackey the Idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: john_mackey@idiots.org
Message-ID: <3423715C.2FBE@idiots.org>
Source record: 185686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mackey the Idiot wrote:
>
> Behold brothers and sisters, I bring you the profound story
> of how King Mackey the Great became so. It is an exciting
> tale filled with wonder, awe, and heroic deeds. Come closer,
> as I relate this grand history.
>
> In the land of Scannerdom, the county of Power Supply was
> plagued with a terrible injustice. The evil mercenary force
> from Capacitor held the people of Smoke prisoner. Repeater,
> the ruler in exile of Power Supply, offered a rare and unused
> Radio Shack battery card to anyone who could free the people
> of Smoke from their Capacitor prison. A young and fearless
> warrior stepped forward to take up the gauntlet. Repeater
> told him of the dangers but the young man was undaunted and
> resolutely set off to free them.
>
> When he arrived at the gate, Mackey was challenged by the
> fearsome guardians, Positive and Negative. So terrible were
> these mighty sentinels that even the renowned guardian Cerebus
> trembled when faced with Positive and Negative. Armed with
> his trusty screwdriver he didst battle with them. In the hands
> of a true warrior the screwdriver is no common weapon. Our
> hero, battle tested and proven in the labs and urinals of
> Technical School, was much more than a professional. He was
> a Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade.
>
> The guards fired spinning hex nuts and sliced with the dreaded
> razor sharp quartertwenty threads. Again and again, using
> every feint, lunge, and parry the guardians had learned in
> centuries of defending the cabinet, but to no avail. Mackey
> maneuvered himself and with one swift strike, he ended it. He
> had touched both guardians in the only way to kill them. He
> bridged the gap between them in a move only a Master Bladesman
> could execute, the Short.
>
> The Capacitor force exploded from the cataclysm releasing the
> Smoke from their electrolytic bondage. Afterward, the Smoke
> rewarded Mackey with their highest honor, the Diddler of the
> Spark.
>
> Mackey, hoisted by his own petard, began the journey home. In
> the land of Recdotradiodotscanner, he was attacked by the

> Legions of Ennui. These were no ordinary warriors, but elite
> shock troops. Armed with the latest in Apathy, Disdain, and
> Contempt, they lay seige to our hero.
>
> For months, a battle of attrition was waged. One after another,
> mighty warriors all, they fell. Even I, your humble scribe was
> a soldier in the Legion of Ennui. Alas, I too, fell before the
> great Mackey. No one could understand how a single man could
> withstand such an onslaught as we heaped upon him. Then we
> learned the source of his great strength. He was the most
> favored disciple of the malevolent gods, Fraud and Liar. They
> had taught him the secret fighting languages of Turpitude,
> Innuendo, and the most deadly of them all, Obscenity. Under
> their smutty tutelage, he repeatedly repeled the attacks with
> adroit displays of oratorical onanism.
>
> There was a point in the war when we thought we were going to
> prevail. We had Mackey surrounded, he was in everybodys kill
> file, and his ISPs were terminating his accounts as fast as
> he could login. He had been beaten back to where he stood alone,
> armed only with a 300 baud modem and a Commodore 64.
>
> Sadly, we all were victim to his great strategy and now he sprang
> his most devastating weapon. Surrounding him with our keyboards
> poised for the final death blow, he hoisted his hands above him.
> Like Moses bestowing the Ten Commandments to the chosen people,
> in his hands his mail-order diploma proclaming him Master of
> Communication Technology smote us down.
>
> Cowered by the supreme Divinity before us, a hush fell upon the
> surrounding army. The only sound was a muffled cough from one of
> the younger soldiers. We lay prostrate on the ground before him,
> fearful of his wrath. Who were we to show the temerity to
> challenge his divine turgescence. We waited. Slowly, he walked
> through the defeated masses, and went home.
>
> Upon returning to Scannerdom, he found word of his great
> deeds had preceded him. He was declared Supreme Grand Master
> Fool of the Universe and the people clamored for his ordination
> as King. In response, the Church of Scanner Mods proclaimed him
> King and the great sage and visionary Pope Bcheek presided
> over the coronation. As he placed the Discone Crown upon his
> head, he spoke the sacred papal blessing, "This boy reeks."
>
> So there you have it, brothers and sisters. Behold the saga of
> his fetidness, King Mackey the Great, Ruler of Torpor, Supreme
> Grand Master Fool of the Universe, Grand Master of the Number
> Two Phillips Blade, holder of the Diddler of the Spark, Divine
> Master of Communication Technology, High Priest of the sect of
> Fraud and Liar, and Orational Master of Turpitude, Innuendo,

> and Obscenity.
>
> All hail King Mackey the Great Idiot.

1928. John Mackey's new book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@iac.honeywell.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc499$79c49ce0$667391a4@PSmalley95.iac.honeywell.com>
Source record: 185703

News Flash! News Flash!

This just in: In a heated attempt to further humiliate scanner expert Bill Cheek, John Mackey has released a modification book of his own: "I know more than Bill Cheeeeeeeeek!". The book details how to annoy newsgroups by claiming that you are the subject of Bill's flames. Also detailed is the emphasizing power of the letter 'e' and how to post fake email and say it came from him. The last chapter deals with how to change batteries in your remote control using only your toes. The book is said to hit shelves sometime after Hell freezes over.

-Phil

1929. pro2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: kenneth byron <kbyron@ibl.bm>
Message-ID: <01bcc49e$4eb394c0$2bfbacc7@kbyron>
Source record: 185704

can this model receive cellular?

1930. Re: Manual for Pro 2026?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: srquigley@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919011701.VAA25620@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185705

Remember, there are two versions of the 2026, be sure that you get the right manual.

If memory serves, the first version was modifiable via some line cutting, while the second version was firmware controlled.

>Anyone got the manual for a Pro 2026? Let me know...tnx. Bob
>
>

>From: radio@copland.udel.edu (ROBERT PENNEYS)
>Date: 16 Sep 1997 16:45:01 -0400
>Message-id: <5vmr4d$6k4$1@copland.udel.edu>

1931. Let's Argue About Interfaces

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919011900.VAA25734@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185706

Cheek has been posting lengthy articles about his interface and its capabilities. Fact is, I don't recall anyone ever saying that he or she bought one and was satisfied with the purchase. For $300, it seems awful expensive. Add to that there is only one software package available for it--one that requires you do all of the scripting to make it functional.

Meanwhile, I'm running an Opto 535, which costs $200, and there are quite a few software packages that support it, including Probe, the best by far. The only thing I'm lacking is the ability to autoprogram the scanner and I've got my hands on instructions to do that for less than $20. Building that this weekend.

So I gotta ask: Why in the heck would anyone want a Cheek interface? What can you do with the Cheekatronics that I can't do for at least $100 less?

1932. One more time "What is it worth?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: canon@netins.net
Message-ID: <3421de44.364475@news.netins.net>
Source record: 185707

I have a Realistic 2001 Scanner. Can someone tell me what it is worth.

Pat

1933. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: skj9 <skj9@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc498$5b7998e0$17242299@default>
Source record: 185708
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_01BCC45D.AF1AC0E0

your first statement is not all together true. If you buy a Pro -26 from
Durham radio in Canada - http://www.osha.igs.net/~durhamradio/pro26.htm -
it will not be blocked and will recieve all Cell freq's.

H.Y. Tong wrote in article
...
>
> Thanks for all the people giving me various advice on this subject.
> I strongly believe their opinion will be useful to others pursuing in
> this area. Here are the conclusion I have so far:
> 1. RS Pro-26 is basically 25MHz - 1.3GHz LESS the cell. band
> 2. RS Pro-26 is an excellent scanner, comparable with BC-3000XLT from
> uniden. Comparision resport is published in a magazine (Monitoring
Times)
> and can be reprinted for $3. (See "FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs"
posted
> by Bob Parnass, AJ9S on 15 Sep 1997)
> 3. It is advisable to buy a scanner from a reputable scanner/ham radio
> store than from RS. They have more knowledgeable staff, more
> variety to choose. Sometimes, they can have lower prices.
> (Check your local yellow pages, and, of course, do a internet search
> on keywords like "amateur radio retailer")
> 4. Someone advice me bad crystal is common in some models.
> --
>

--
> Philip Tong
> hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
> Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
>
------=_NextPart_000_01BCC45D.AF1AC0E0

your first statement is not all =
together true. If you buy a Pro -26 from Durham radio in Canada - =
http://www.osha.igs.net/~durhamradio/pro26.htm - it will not be blocked and will recieve
all Cell =
freq's.

H.Y. Tong <ck279@torfree.net> =
wrote in article <EGLyyp.5CE.0.queen@torfree.net>...

>
> Thanks for all the people =
giving me various advice on this subject.
> I strongly believe =
their opinion will be useful to others pursuing in
> this area. =
Here are the conclusion I have so far:
> 1. RS Pro-26 is basically =
25MHz - 1.3GHz LESS the cell. band
> 2. RS Pro-26 is an excellent =
scanner, comparable with BC-3000XLT from
> =
uniden. Comparision resport is published in a magazine =
(Monitoring Times)
> and can be reprinted for =
$3. (See "FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs" posted
> =
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S on 15 Sep 1997)
> 3. It is =
advisable to buy a scanner from a reputable scanner/ham radio
> =
store than from RS. They have more knowledgeable =
staff, more
> variety to choose. Sometimes, they =
can have lower prices.
> (Check your local =
yellow pages, and, of course, do a internet search
> =
on keywords like "amateur radio =
retailer")
> 4. Someone advice me bad crystal is common in =
some models.
> --
> =

> Philip Tong
> hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
> Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
>

------=_NextPart_000_01BCC45D.AF1AC0E0--

1934. Re: pro2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919030001.XAA04514@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185709

Yes, if you cut a wire inside the case. I did a long time ago and don't remember, but I'm sure this newsgroup can help.

Bill

1935. Re: Scanner advice wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billc@rust.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc4a9$b3cffa00$8e4445d1@mcsppro200>
Source record: 185710

Don:

I have the IC-R10. Aside from the slow scan rate, (which really isn't a problem, the way I use it), I think it's a super scanner.

Bill Crocker

Donald R. Hoenstine <RickHoenstine@msn.com> wrote in article <01bcc496$a8841c20$29962399@donaldho>...
> Am looking to buy a new scanner. Can't decide between the
>
> "Y" 9000 or the "I" R10 Any suggestions???
>

1936. Re: BC895XLT user quiz <g>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vsq8v$1lo@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <3421E69A.7D30DF7F@cts.com> Bob <bst@cts.com> writes:
>
>
>Using BC895XLT, in the Trunk Tracking Mode, on a Type II system.
>
>There are approximately 140 user groups identified via the internet
web
>sites.
>
>Question (1) Scan List. While in the trunk mode
>
> Gee, after the idiot of mackey
continuum into the 20th
Century...

I've forgotten how to post about scanning?

Any directions to refresh one's memory?

bill conduit
etc.

1937. AutoReject Feature of CE-232 Scanner / Computer Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3421e974.117659919@news-server>
Source record: 185712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

This article is copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek. Permission to
reprint for FREE access and FREE use by others is automatically
granted so long as this entire article, including signature box at
the end are retained intact and unaltered.

A TUTORIAL ON
THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE'S
AUTO-REJECT FUNCTION

INTRODUCTION
============
The CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface is a 2.5" x 3" electronic
board that goes between an IBM-PC/compatible computer and a VHF-UHF
scanning receiver for a host of powerful capabilities.

The CE-232 is a -smart- RS-232 Interface controller and data
acquisition system for some scanners and at least a drudgery-free
automatic programmer and remote controller for all supported scanners.

The CE-232 eliminates a lot of the drudgery and labor in scanning by
its ability to "AutoProgram" up to 999 memory channels from a plain
ASCII text file in a short time, error-free and exactly the way you
want the scanner configured.

The CE-232 also allows REMOTE control of the scanner from the computer
keyboard; a strong +plus+ for handheld scanners and those with hard-to-
operate keyboards like the PRO-43, PRO-2035 and PRO-2042!

The CE-232 is a one-way controller and AutoProgrammer like described
above for MANY scanners, including the PRO-2042, PRO-2035, PRO-2022,
PRO-43, PRO-39, PRO-37, PRO-34, PRO-26, etc.

The CE-232 is a TWO-WAY controller, AutoProgrammer and data acquisition
system for the time-honored PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006 scanners
which have unique circuitry that allows the CE-232 to do MUCH more than
just AutoProgram and Remote Control, including AutoLog data on "hits",
AUTO-REJECT undesired signals, LOOKUP data about a certain frequency,
and much more.

AUTO-REJECT OVERVIEW
====================

** CE-232 rejects "birdies" and other undesired signals! **

The CE-232 can AutoReject "birdies" and other undesired signals when
the scanner stops on them, say during a SEARCH. Birdies, pagers,

computer data, IMTS tones, and dozens of other kinds of obnoxious non-voice signals infiltrate every nook and cranny of the RF spectrum. The CE-232, however, can do something about those signals that disrupt your scans and searches!

The AUTO-REJECT function "rejects" (prevents the scanner from locking on) any frequency in a "Reject List". The List is initially empty, but can be loaded from a file or added while on-line (or both). Press F7 to pop up the Reject Menu. The available controls are as follows:

Reject listed activity - toggles Reject checking on and off; must be ON to enable rejection of unwanted signals, however, it does not have to be on to perform any other Reject List functions.

Add freq - Select this item to add the currently displayed scanner frequency to the Reject List.

Clear freq - Clears the last freq on the Reject List.

Save - Saves Reject List to a file. The user is asked for a filename.

Load - Loads the Reject List from a file.

Erase list - Erases the entire list.

View Reject List - This item pops up a window showing the Reject List. Selected frequencies may be deleted and the List saved while viewing the List.

The Reject List file format is described in DETAILS below.

Auto-Reject is a feature available only to the PRO-2004/5/6.

AUTO-REJECT DETAILS
==========

You can do like I did.....disconnect the antenna; set the ATT switch to the -10 dB position and do a SEARCH from 25 MHz - 1300 MHz with the AutoLog feature ON. (Or, you can automate that task with a Script called BIRDFIND.SCR). About two hours later, you'll have a file that contains just the BIRDIES in your scanner! Now you edit and rename this file to something like BIRDIE.REJ, and the next time you do a frequency or band Search, you can specify the CE-232 function called AUTO-REJECT, that prevents the scanner from locking or stopping on "birdies".

But that's not all! You can easily insert other undesirable frequencies into the BIRDIE.REJ or another *.REJ file, so not only can you EASILY make the scanner forever reject birdies, but also pagers, data channels and other obnoxious signals that you don't want to monitor or even log!!!

The Reject function automatically "rejects" (resumes scanning or searching) when activity is detected on a frequency that is on the Reject List. The List is initially empty and may be loaded from a file and/or added to while the program is running.

NOTE: A quick and easy way to add a specific frequency to the Reject List is to pick a channel in Program mode and enter the desired freq. Then select the Add Freq item above. After adding the freq to the list, another freq may be entered into the same channel and the process repeated. An entire list can be developed this way if desired. Don't forget to save the list if you want to keep it. The program will NOT prompt you to save the list.

The AutoReject feature is most applicable in the Search mode. It is also operable in Scan mode (somewhat silly unless the user is in the peculiar habit of programing birdie frequencies). The file format is simple: one frequency per line. The following is an example of a valid *.REJ file:

80.0200
84.0200
87.2500
101.0000
104.0500
108.0250
112.0250

Piece of cake, actually.

Once a *.REJ file is loaded, the AutoReject function can be turned on and off at will from the main menu. The loaded reject frequencies will remain in memory until it is erased or a new Reject File is loaded. The Reject File must be a text file with one frequency per line and no comments or other text. The frequency must be in a form identical to the way the scanner shows it (ie; padded to four decimal places with trailing zeros).

The user may lock-out over 32,000 (depending upon available memory) unwanted frequencies. When the scanner stops on an undesired frequency, press CTRL+L to add that frequency to the active Reject List.

Reject must be enabled for the program to skip over locked out frequencies in Scan or Search modes. The Reject List may be viewed by pressing CTRL+V or selecting from Reject Menu. A highlighted frequency may be removed from the list by pressing CTRL+C. When the list is not being viewed, Ctrl+C deletes the last frequency on the list. The list can be saved by pressing CTRL+S. You will be asked for a path/filename for the list.

AutoReject is easily used in a hands-off, automated mode controlled by the Script function. The syntax for AutoReject in a Script is as follows:

REJECT {ON|OFF|ADD|CLEAR|ERASE|LOAD [filename]|SAVE [filename]}

If a filename is not specified for the LOAD or SAVE versions of the command, then the reject function will ask for it.

Example Script Commands:

REJECT ON / turns ON AutoReject
REJECT OFF / turns OFF AutoReject
REJECT ADD / add current freq to Reject List
REJECT CLEAR / clear last item from list
REJECT ERASE / erase entire list

REJECT LOAD birdlist.rej / load Reject List from file
REJECT SAVE newbirds.rej / save Reject List to a file

AutoReject Limitations
======================

The maximum Reject List size loaded from file is 32,511 entries. Hint: After starting load, press F7 once, the reject menu will pop up when the loading is finished. The program will not respond to any keys while loading is underway, however, they are stored in the keyboard buffer and will execute when loading is finished. The program performs no updates while loading the Reject File, therefore, the display does not change (blinking continues though) even though the scanner continues to do it's thing.

The Reject List must be an ASCII text file with one frequency per line. The actual file size in bytes is not important, but the number of lines (entries) is. Attempting to load a file with too many entries will crash the program (subscript out of range error). The maximum number of entries that can be entered while on line is 128 more than the 32,511 entry file limit. When loading the file, the program throws in additional space for 128 entries. If running a Script that uses the AutoReject function such as our old "LogNlok.SCR", the program will crash when this limit is exceeded.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION
======================

The CE-232's AutoReject helps the scanner Search and find new and interesting frequencies by giving the operator full control over which signals are to be excluded from being found, AutoLogged, and saved. You can have as many *.REJ files as you want, and each file can hold as many as 32,511 frequencies. Chances are, you'll never need that many, but a typical *.REJ file will start with the nearly 100 birdies that are resident in the PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006. 500 or so reject frequencies would be a rather large list.

The CE-232 comes with a Script called BIRDFIND.SCR that, with only a little operator startup assistance, finds and AutoLogs all birdies in the scanner. It is then a simple matter to edit the *.LOG file to remove everything but the frequency column and resave it in the \REJ subdirectory as a *.REJ file. You can then add to it, as described above, all the worthless pager, tone, and data frequencies that are encountered in your Searches. The AutoReject function alone can make scanning much more productive and less of a drudgery than ever.

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

Copyright (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek, September 18, 1997
==========[End of Article]==========

1938. Re: R10 - off freq in SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: brat@#interlog.com
Message-ID: <5vsq5r$2cs$2@news.interlog.com>
Source record: 185713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <341E9B1C.722F0913@dale.cba.ufl.edu>, Gary McGill <mcgill@dale.cba.ufl.edu>
wrote:
>I just started playing with my new Icom R10 and it is a nice wide-band
>receiver in a very small package. Everything seems to work as
>promised but I've noticed one abnormality (at least I think it is).
>
>The Problem: When listening to SSB freqs., say 3.945 LSB, I have to
>tune to 3.9464 to hear the voices properly.

Seems the R10 is not alone. My AR8000 is the same. The manual includes this
excuse:

blah, blah, blah. However being such a compact wide band receiver, it will not
be unusual for the SSB display to be a few hundred Hz off frequency when
listening to known frequencies such as VOLMET.

I've noticed that the "few hundred Hz" vary in magnitude depending on the
frequency band being received.

Bernie

--
Bernie Rataj brat@interlog.com qth://toronto.on.ca
Member: Ontario DX Association, Tsuruoka Canadian Budokai
** Remove the # from my address if replying to Usenet post!
--

1939. Motorola Astro Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbrians@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919035300.XAA08752@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185714

Anybody have any schematics or tech guides on this radio. I am interested in the models which use Digital Voice Systems. Any service book or guide would be appreciated.

1940. Pittsburgh Monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: shutton4@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919041100.AAA10003@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185715

Hello,
I would like to correspond with serious monitors in the Pittsburgh area
with the objective of sharing frequencies and other information.
Ed Hutton N3KEX

1941. Re: Pro 43 Can't enter frequency after repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bcc4c1$07beac80$274de0c7@holmes>
Source record: 185716

Check IC2 and IC3 on CPU board. Both are smd memory chips.
It's kind of a hairy fix, but cheaper than a new CPU. You might get
lucky. I would think the CPU AND the Mem chips would have survived
an overvoltage since they are somewhat protected by several voltage
regulators.

BTW I have a PRO 2020.... Whaddyouwant for the manual?

--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

1942. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vspsa$718@sjx-ixn7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185717

In <342164B1.9941332C@mich.com> Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> writes:
>
>
> If you think he's an idiot, what does that make you for trying to
>reason with him?
>
> The thing to do is complain to his ISP, asking them to join the
>newsgroup & take a look at the postings in question.
>
>
I don't think President "Clinton" would agree
with this tactic. He would be very upset with the ISP
] for usurping the whole body from posting what is on the
tips or tops of their mind's....

It is not an answer, but a more serious problem.

The best answer would be to ask yourself:

Why am I allowing the IDIOT of MACKEY control me?
Why am I allowing the above non-plue make my life
a living hell?
Why am I allowing the above (who?) give me a
case of prickly heat upon my damaged sense of
"he can't do that to me"....

People: why?

What counts is that the ability of one to read
is not wasted on anything which has to do with
the IDIOT OF MACKEY.

Is his idiocy a matter of "genetic" disgust or
is it "our fault for encouraging his genes to
affect us"?

Whoever said that the Idiot of Mackey has nothing
to say or contribute is right. Then, you answer
the problem yourself: what is the question that
makes you livid with Mackeral, the think stink
kine?

Fill in your own answers and then go to sleep.

bill conduit
with an attitude

etc.

1943. fs: full coverage military receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: skynite@classic.msn.com
Message-ID: <874642785.16599@dejanews.com>
Source record: 185718

for sale: astro-com sr-212-c military surveillance receiver, continous coverage from 30 MHz to 1.0 GHz. am/fm/cw, has built in signal display unit (sdu), runs on 110vac, good condition $275.00. e-mail if interested

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

1944. RS Pro 2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: one7th@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919034101.XAA13474@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185719

Is this a good scanner? I'm thinking of buying
one, but don't know much about this model...
any words of wisdom?

thank you.
one7th@aol.com

1945. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vt5sn$57l@dfw-ixnews12.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

In <342146ce.81814598@news.flash.net> billbobbobbill@flash.net (Bill)
writes:
>
>On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 01:28:41 -0700, John Mackey--KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>
>>Bill Cheek wrote:
>
>>> A TUTORIAL ON
>>> THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE'S
>>> REMOTE KEYBOARD CONTROL FUNCTION
>>>
>>> INTRODUCTION
>
>>SNIP
>>
>>The message below was sent to me by a (shy) friend:
>
> That's not possible, John has NO friends.
>>
>>"There's some guy hounding Cheek about his CE232 software. He was
>>referring to a secret hidden Keyboard trick, that Cheek denied, on
>>his
>>software. Cheek is well aware of the trick. The trick is to hold
>><shift><?> while the programme is firing up. It basically claims th
>>the programmer was fucked over by Cheek. It's encrypted into the
>>programme so it can't be hacked out by Cheek!"
>>
>>Does anyone know the exact wording of this message?
>
> As usual, John's message is meaningless drivel.
>
>Bill

No, I know it's true because a guy in a black helicopter told me about
it and I tried it for my self. Sure enough, if you hold down <shift>
<E> when the program is loading, the following message appears "Bill
Cheek is Mackey's Maker and Master, Read this and Believe it for it
doth be the Truth".

--
George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1946. Re: How to adjust PRO-43 sensibility

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: scasko@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919061300.CAA17202@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185721

>:
>>
>> Hiya
>>
>> I have the tech plans for the 46.. but someone can tell me HOW to
>> adjust the PRO-43? Thanks
>>
>> mageron.antispam@game-master.com
>>
>> (remove anti-spam)
>what is sensibility in radio?

I guess a very sensible radio is one that believes you should be able to listen to any radio waves that are coming through your house. Seems sensible to me...

1947. Re: Uniden Bearcat BC 855 XLT (Request Information)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <34221854.5EC2@scarlan.com>
Source record: 185722

```
UNIDEN BC-855XLT MODIFICATIONS
Scope of modifications:
[1] Allow continuous 800 MHz receive.
[2] Increase channel capacity from 50 to 100 ch.

0 1 2 3 4
:-----------------------------------------:
| I p p p p p o o o o o o |
:----------| I | | | | | |
| [I] | | | X X <-- CUT |
| [I] D201 | | | | | |
| [I] oooooo | | | | | |
| I d d d d d |
| I------/\/\/\--------|--| |
| |
| ADD 4.7K - 22K Ohm (10K works) |
| 1/4 watt carbon resistor between |
| diode D201 and lower connection |
| of Jumpers 3 & 4 |
| Jumper 3 - 100 ch. Jumper 4 - 800 MHz |
|-------------------------------------------------|
1. Remove 5 screws from bottom of scanner case.
2. Carefully separate case top and bottom.
3. Unplug connector to the internal speaker.
4. Locate diode D201 and 4 jumpers near edge of circuit board.
5. Cut the 3rd and 4th jumpers near the middle and fold
downwards, insulate leads, if necessary.
6. Connect the lower part of jumper 3 & 4 to one end of a 10K
Ohm 1/4 watt carbon resistor, insulate all exposed leads.
7. Connect the other resistor lead to the lower connection of
diode D201, DO NOT cut any leads on D201.
8. Make sure all exposed leads aren't touching any other
connections, insulate as required, tack in place
with a dab of RTV silicone, or low temp hot glue.
9. Reassemble scanner, make sure to plug the speaker back in.
You should now have a scanner with 100 chs. numbered 01-00,
in 5 banks of 20 ch. and continuous 800 MHz coverage.
=00
```

1948. Government gone facist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: IisLost@noWhere.net
Message-ID: <5vt3ft$362@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185723

funny how the powers that be want to rave about elctronic privacy and how they do not want an average joe to know what vanatter and lange and furhman are up to. Then in the same breath they do not want to allow security where it really counts...on your hard drive. There afraid privacy software for the amercan tax payer is to powerful a tool to possess. Wake up folks they (the governments local state, federal will not here of us knowing what kind of shit there pulling but want to be able to seize your computer and look at all your important and personal files. BULLCRAP!!! The firts time one of these geeks shows up at my door I developed a way to format good old drive C: in about 20 seconds of course I keep a removable backup hard disk in a location thats is not owned by me and is up dated on regular intervals. I for one have a pretty boring hard disk, but I would do this just to piss- em off and deprive them of knowing that there really was'nt anything of use to them on it in the first place. Stop facism vote only for folks of either party that swear to never violate and rape our civil libertys such as scanning and computer privacy. If they lie and do it anyway YOU GOT to vote again to throw them out.

1949. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <342217CC.51A7@scarlan.com>
Source record: 185724

Mike Wojcik wrote:
>
> I live in Chicago and I am wondering what the exact scanner laws are.. I
> am always hearing what people THINK they are, and people usually disagree with
> eachother.. Can anyone tell me where to find the law (on the web), or tell
> me, to the best of their knowledge what the laws are?

Call your local Police department and ask them, as they are the ones who
would be harrassing you in the first place. If the officer says it's
ok, ask his name, and write it down along with the date and time. A
recording of your conversation will be kept for several years.

1950. Re: John Mackey's new book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3422233C.5FF1@juno.com>
Source record: 185725

Phil Smalley wrote:
> News Flash! News Flash!
> This just in: In a heated attempt to further humiliate scanner expert Bill
> Cheek, John Mackey has released a modification book of his own: "I know
> more than Bill Cheeeeeeeeek!". The book details how to annoy newsgroups by
> claiming that you are the subject of Bill's flames. Also detailed is the
> emphasizing power of the letter 'e' and how to post fake email and say it
> came from him. The last chapter deals with how to change batteries in your
> remote control using only your toes. The book is said to hit shelves
> sometime after Hell freezes over.
>
> -Phil
hahahaha!!!!!!

Good one. Now this took a little thought!
Phil, a good cut in any case. Good humor and all that!!!! :)

I think it'll be a LOOOOOOONG time before hell developes a coat of ice,
though.

1951. Bearcat 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <874655183.52484@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 185726

Anyone know how to program this scanner ? Its A barecat 101 16 channel
base scanner.

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

1952. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: thalia@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <5vtdou$ov0@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 12:17:48 -0500, Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
wrote:

>Richard Evans wrote:
>
>> John Moran (jamoran@mail.goodnet.com) wrote:
>> : DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
>>
>> : patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy
>>
>> Even land lines don't garuntee privacy.
>> What if you get a crossed line with somone who is using a
>> mobile and you conversation gets transmitted that way.
>>
>> What if the call you are making has been diverted to a
>> cell phone.
>>
>> What about other problems that I havn't thaught of yet.
>>
>> Richard.
>>
>> PS. No I'm not paranoid, just like to join in discussions
>> form time to time.
>
AND you're paranoid too...

> Check out http://www.thecodex.com/
>

1953. Pardon the duplicat postings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3422281B.530D@juno.com>
Source record: 185728

Due to a news server error, my messages were inadvertantly duplicated. I spent 10 minutes on the phone with these guys trying to alert them to the problem.

1954. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John D. Griffin" <jgriffin@telepath.com>
Message-ID: <5vt5un$88o$1@e3000.supernews.com>
Source record: 185729

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
>Think you could steal a handle that I used on the radio about 10 years ago, huh? Think again!

Ummmm, OK!!!!!

> hahahahahahahahaahhehehehehehehheehhehe

1955. Yaesu FT-10R modification? anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Atle Nordlien <atnordli@sn.no>
Message-ID: <3422AD88.2F0F@sn.no>
Source record: 185730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Yaesu FT-10R ranging from 144 to 146 MHz.
Does anyone know where I can get the modification procedure to
extend the bandwidth.

Thanks.
--
- Oystein Sorensen
- (from Atle Nordlien's account)

1956. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ux365@freenet.Victoria.BC.CA
Message-ID: <EGqq8o.7Ho@freenet.victoria.bc.ca>
Source record: 185731

In a previous article, markw@san.rr.com (mark wolfe) says:

>It used to be that way in the land of the free, etc... However, in
>86 they passed a law to make it illegal to listen to it. Sort of an
>unenforcable law, because unless you divulge information you heard,
>who's to know who heard what you said on your cordless phone. My rule
>is if you don't want it heard, don't put it on the air. If you do
>put it on the air, encrypt it, not just invert it. I think the
>whole idea of the law is kind of stupid, as it's just there to appease
>the consumer to make them think that the new wireless device is
>interception proof. It has also helped some older scanners (2006)
>maintain a higher value than they normally would. Just like this new
>bill they have going, complete waste of the paper it's written on.
>Congress should spend time on other things than worrying about who's
>listening to who. The law is unenforcable, and the only thing it
>effects is limiting new equipment. I don't know about you, but I've
>got a working bearcat 101, 250, TRS-80 model I, these are all 20 year
>old or better pieces of equipment that work fine. Those 2006's will
>be around a long time.
>
Hmm, I didn't know about that law. 'Course, I was only 10 yrs old at the time. But yes, trying to limit scanners as to what they can receive is pretty stupid. I do know that in Canada, if your scanner can pick up the weather and Ham bands, you can listen to anything on any frequency your scanner is capable of receiving. Again, you just can't tell anyone else about it. It is kinda interesting listening to those baby monitors, tho'. There's one in my neighborhood, probably about 4 houses away.

I currently use a Pro-2020 as my base scanner, and a Pro-50 as my portable. Both scanners, both having a 20 channel memory, have the same freqs programmed in from the marine VHF section. I listen exclusively in this area as I am a Canadian Coast Guard Auxiliary member, and when on duty, I can listen to the marine traffic, and know what's happening on the wter BEFORE we get our calls.

>Cul,
>Mark Wolfe
>

--
Mike Shepherd (aka: the Sheepster)
If you're ever in trouble on the water, the first thing you'll
see is a bunch of maniacs in a rubber boat, comin' for to carry
you home.

1957. TE KOOP AOR3000A / F.S. AOR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: boxers@free.com
Message-ID: <34222128.7707990@news.euro.net>
Source record: 185732
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Te koop: AOR3000A scanner, ivm aanschaf AOR5000.
16 maanden gebruikt. In perfecte staat.
Specificaties:
Ontvangstbereik: 100 Khz - 2036 Mhz
Modulatiesoorten: USB, LSB, CW, AM, NFM, WFM
Kanalen: 400 kanalen, 100 per bank
Nieuwprijs: fl. 2298,--
vaste prijs: fl. 1398,-- Email-to: pjcarels@concepts.nl
++++++++++++++++
FS. AOR 3000A Price: fl. 1398,--
More info:
pjcarels@concepts.nl
++++++++++++++++++++++++
Also see:
http://www.sunridge.net/index.phtml?id=21469

1958. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34222797.65CA@juno.com>
Source record: 185733

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Are you saying that Bill Cheek's post WAS NOT meant to start trouble?
Are you saying that yours didn't???????

Even though you WON'T (or can't) admit it, you did cause trouble by posting such anon back to the group. Had you simply left it in e-mail, you wouldn't be getting so much heat for it right now.

Sadly, you are a lot like Eric Oyen (another hopeless wonder of the internet). He let one little message get under his skin and faced down MONTHS of retaliation for it (even though he thought he was right). The problem here is, john, your own postings can be used against you because your mouth IS your own worst enemy.

1959. Re: Scanner advice wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919102801.GAA23583@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185734

Subject: Scanner advice wanted
From: "Donald R. Hoenstine" <RickHoenstine@msn.com>
Date: Thu, 18 Sep 1997 17:58:22 -0700
Message-id: <01bcc496$a8841c20$29962399@donaldho>

>Am looking to buy a new scanner. Can't decide between the

>"Y" 9000 or the "I" R10 Any suggestions???

I'd be happy with either one, I think (I have the MVT7100). However, when all else is pretty equal, I would give the nod to any scanner that fetaures computer control and autoprogramming, especially a portable. I'd consider the Icom and the AOR 8000 too, for that reason.

1960. Re: 2 Questions for anyone with an R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <342256BC.41C6@vnet.com>
Source record: 185735

Peter Viscarola wrote:
> I'm thinking of purchasing an ICOM R10. I haven't been able to actually get
> my HANDS on one to play with, though. I have two questions:
>
> 1) When it stops on a frequency in use, is the Alphanumeric channel
> ID displayed?? I thought I read somewhere that it WASn't, which would be
> pretty stupid.

Alphanumeric is not displayed because that's also where the S-meter is
displayed which gets top priority. iCOM should have at least made this
an option under SET allowing you to choose whether you wanted to see
the S-meter or alpha-tag.

> 2) I'm primarily interested in a scanner for my car. I'll put a rooftop
> antenna, of course. Anyone used their R10 this way? Good? Bad?
> Indifferent?

Haven't really tried it. Should do fine as long as you look into
mounting an amplified speaker or run it through your cassette
player using an adaptor.

> I'm hot for the R10, because of the alphanumeric display and its size.

Then you should also check out the new Alinco DJ-X10. You may not
be so "hot" for them when you find out how slow both scan! ;-)

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1961. Re: Newbie help please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <34225811.167E@vnet.com>
Source record: 185736

The Enforcer wrote:
> Where is the Faq?

I have a number of FAQs and links to others at my site below.
Go there and follow the radio monitoring link.

> Also how much is a 900mhz scanner from Radio Shack or Kmart?

You can get them from $120 or so on up to $500. But like
most things, you can save considerable money by buying
mail order.

Good luck!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1962. 2M KDK 10W trade for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: new call VE2QUE <vo2apl@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <34227DE6.3164@netrover.com>
Source record: 185737

led display,shift,mike,floor bracket with speaker

1963. Re: Pittsburgh Monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <5vtlgd$9nl$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 185738

shutton4@aol.com (SHutton4) wrote:

> I would like to correspond with serious monitors in the Pittsburgh area
>with the objective of sharing frequencies and other information.
> Ed Hutton N3KEX

I would be interested in exchaning information too!

You can find my web page, URL below, which covers the Western PA area
from Pittsburgh & surrounding counties, to counties in bordering
states too!

Looking to have a regular scanner chat for the area, and may be even a
"big" incident notification net. Not just fires, but any really major
incidents.

May even get pgh.scanner newsgroup in the future.

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1964. Filter to block 152.48 Pager?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: trinit69@idt.net.nospam
Message-ID: <trinit69-1909970646350001@ip164.lafayette.la.pub-ip.psi.net>
Source record: 185739

Call anyone recommend a notch filter to wipe out the 152.48 Mhz paging freq?

I am one mile away from a strong pager. I can read the signal directly on my freq counter. It gets into everything.

Anyone know of a vendor for selective filters?

I'm not too concerned if the filter wipes out 4 mhz or so around the offending freq in the 118-138 and 225-400 Mhz band on a BC-900XLT.

73 Tom

--
Remove the ".nospam" from address for replies.

Thanks

Tom N5OFF

1965. good (reputation) mail order co for scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: m.sato@popmail.csuohio.edu
Message-ID: <34225ee3.22689415@news.csuohio.edu>
Source record: 185740

Hi!

I'm hoping to get a 3000XLT & was wondering if people had good or bad experience w/Communications Electronics. I saw them in the MT & their prices were cheaper than Groves, for example. I buy computers mail order for work & I bought my camcorder mail order for home so I'm thinking of getting the scanner mail order but I don't know a/b which companies have a good reputation.

TIA.

1966. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Crozier <ka9qjg@tsrcom.com>
Message-ID: <3422440C.1689@tsrcom.com>
Source record: 185741

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------3A9C249550AB
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lord Nymon wrote:
>
> Mike Wojcik wrote:
> >
> > I live in Chicago and I am wondering what the exact scanner laws are.. I
> > am always hearing what people THINK they are, and people usually disagree with
> > eachother.. Can anyone tell me where to find the law (on the web), or tell
> > me, to the best of their knowledge what the laws are?
>
> Call your local Police department and ask them, as they are the ones who
> would be harrassing you in the first place. If the officer says it's
> ok, ask his name, and write it down along with the date and time. A
> recording of your conversation will be kept for several years.
ILL HAS NO SCANNER LAW , WITH THE EXECPTION IF YOU GET CAUGHT USING ONE
IN THE COMMISSION OF A CRIME IT A FELONY ,IND AND MICH HAS SCANNER LAWS
HAPPY SCANNING FROM DON KA9QJG

--------------3A9C249550AB
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; name="SCANNER LAWS.txt"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="SCANNER LAWS.txt"

An Overview of State Scanner Laws

==========
Mobile Scanners and Radar Detectors following states. (IPB (WB9ULS)
(For Smaller vehicles, not commercial vehicles) (Sep. 06, 1996)
(If any changes that you know about.. E-mail--> folson@prairienet.org
==========
LEGAL LEGAL LEGAL-HAM LEGAL-HAM-NOVICE
RADAR MOBILE MOBILE MOBILE
UNITED STATES DETECTORS SCANNERS SCANNERS SCANNERS
-------------------- --------- --------- --------- ---------
ALABAMA..... YES..... YES..... YES..... .....
ALASKA..... YES..... YES..... YES..... .....
ARIZONA..... YES..... YES..... YES..... .....
ARKANSAS..... YES..... YES..... YES..... .....
CALIFORNIA..... YES..... YES..... YES..... .....

```
COLORADO.............. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
CONNECTICUT.......... NO....... YES...... YES...... ......... 08/26/96
DELAWARE.............. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
DIST. OF COLUMBIA.... NO ...... NO ...... .......... .........
FLORIDA.............. YES...... NO ...... YES...... .........
GEORGIA.............. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
HAWAII............... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
IDAHO................ YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
ILLINOIS............. YES...... YES...... YES...... YES......
INDIANA.............. YES...... NO ...... YES...... .........
IOWA................. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
KANSAS............... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
KENTUCKY............. YES...... NO........ YES...... YES...... 05/16/96
LOUISIANA............ YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
MAINE................ YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
MARYLAND............. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
MASSACHUSETTS........ YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
MICHIGAN............. YES...... NO ...... YES...... NO.......
MINNESOTA............ YES...... NO ...... YES...... .........
MISSISSIPPI.......... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
MISSOURI............. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
MONTANA.............. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
NEBRASKA............. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
NEVADA............... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
NEW HAMPSHIRE........ YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
NEW JERSEY........... YES...... NO....... NO....... NO.......
NEW MEXICO........... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
NEW YORK............. NO....... *YES...... YES...... .........
NORTH CAROLINA....... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
NORTH DAKOTA......... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
OHIO................. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
OKLAHOMA............. YES...... *YES...... ......... .........
OREGON............... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
PENNSYLVANIA......... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
RHODE ISLAND......... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
SOUTH CAROLINA....... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
SOUTH DAKOTA......... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
TENNESSEE............ YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
TEXAS................ YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
UTAH................. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
VERMONT.............. YES...... *YES...... ......... .........
VIRGINIA............. NO ...... YES...... YES...... .........
WASHINGTON........... YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
WEST VIRGINIA........ YES...... *YES...... YES...... .........
WISCONSIN............ YES...... YES...... YES...... .........
WYOMING.............. YES...... YES...... YES...... .........

* Denotes that it might be controlled by local government. (permit needed)
================================================================================
```

Copyright 1994,1995,1996 by Fran Olson, WB9ULS

Do not repost this anywhere else, unless that you contact the author above for permission to do so. Otherwise, this is for your personal use only.

This is compiled on heresay only. Check with your state police before you travel with a radio capable of receiving police or emergency type transmissions.

NOTE: Some states won't allow Novice Class hams to have radios capable of receiving police or emergency transmissions.

Some states and cities require that you have a special permit to have receivers such as these in your vehicle.

There also are other laws banning receivers such as these in commercial type vehicles. Again, check with your state police before you travel.

Leave me a message if you know of any changes. folson@prairienet.org

---

[Image][Image][Image]

Scanning Information|Scanning Homepage|Jim's Homepage

This page maintained by Jim Fordyce (j4dice@li.net).
Comments, corrections and additions are welcomed.

--------------3A9C249550AB--

1967. trade frg-7000 for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pequiste@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3422561a.5240737@news.netrover.com>
Source record: 185742

let-me know

1968. Re: Scanner advice wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <34225977.2781@vnet.com>
Source record: 185743

Donald R. Hoenstine wrote:
> Am looking to buy a new scanner. Can't decide between the
> "Y" 9000 or the "I" R10 Any suggestions???

Donald,
Have a look at my web site below. Follow the link for
radio monitoring. Down a bit and you'll find my list
of receivers which include the MVT-9000 and IC-R10
of which I have written reviews located on their
respective radio pages.
My suggestions are to read these reviews, then
look over other info on my site to determine what
features you do and don't want to help in deciding
which one would be best for you.
Also, the R10 is sold in the US because it has
the cellular region blocked. The 9000 does not
have FCC certification because their is no version
which blocks the cellular frequencies. Therefore,
if you want a 9000, you'll have to risk importing
one from outside the US. Problem is when it crosses
the border, if detected by US Customs, it will be
impounded(and possible destoyed) and you will be
out a radio and some money!
Good luck!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1969. Re: BC895XLT user quiz <g>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919102401.GAA29175@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185744

Subject: Re: BC895XLT user quiz <g>
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Date: Thu, 18 Sep 1997 21:39:27 -0700
Message-id: <342201FF.2D2D6D08@cts.com>

Baycity wrote:
> >Question (1) Scan List. While in the trunk mode
> >
> > Gee, after the idiot of mackey
> continuum into the 20th
> Century...
>
> Any directions to refresh one's memory?

>I doubt it for you. You're a terminal asshole.

Yeah he is. And so are you. Instead of responding to some very legitimate
questions about scanning the two of you are engaging in this pissing contest.

I'd answer him if I knew more about TT scanners. Unfortunately, I don't
and opened this thread hoping to learn something.

What about you clowns? Do you know anything about scanning or are you both
just professional morons?

1970. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EGqJtn.1pr@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 185745
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <342278e2.5763418@news.zippo.com>, Gwen <no@mail> wrote:
>On 18 Sep 1997 05:59:23 GMT, jamoran@mail.goodnet.com (John Moran) wrote:
>
>>DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
>>patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy
>
>You mean us a land line for BETTER privacy. It's still easy to tap a hard wire,
>but not as likely as being listened to on a cordless.

Here's something to ponder...

Does anyone want to explain to me, the difference between using a
cell/cordless telephone and being overheard, and placing your trash
can out on the curb and having soeone go through it?

How about: The Supreme Court ruled that you can't do anything about
the person going through your trash, because you don't
have a reasonable expectation of privacy.

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

1971. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970919123901.IAA27178@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185746

In article <5vqakg$iut@nntp02.primenet.com>, CrACKeD
<cracked@primenet.com> writes:

>>
>: > Our local police department (Lockport New York) Recently got some
>: >sort of computers in their patrol cars . They are able to send and recieve
>: >messages from the dispatcher. Is there any way to recieve that signal and
>: >see what those messages are? Any one knowing anything about this please
>: >e-mail : Dan9125@aol.com Thank-you in advance
>
>
>: Oops.... Just checked said web page and they say that they use encrypted
>: transmissions. Might be a bit harder than I thought! The TNC will still
>show
>: you the data, you'll just have to figure out how to decrypt it!
>
>These "computers" in the police cruisers are called MDTs. You can't
>listen to them with an amateur radio TNC and an AX.25 packet app.
>
>

But you can listen to them, at least if they are motorola MDT's. Search
on MDTstuff.
H Higgins

1972. Re: Your rights are already GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Farris <beat@me.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc46c$6151e3c0$5541accf@christopher>
Source record: 185747
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Even though I enjoy Steven Speilburgs films a great deal, I must admit that he, Barbara Streisand and Bill Clinton are real cozy together, and we all know Bills stand on firearm ownership.

The sad thing is, the liberals are quick to denounce guns, but ask them if they would give up *their* guns. 90% will never admit to gun ownership, but most of them do indeed have their own guns, but yet they expect the rest of the population to give up their guns. As you stated in your quote, only the police (in a police/socialism state) and government will have guns. As a subservient populace, they will not only expect you to behave, you will be powerless to defend yourself against the tyranny.

All of our founding fathers all agreed that the people should not be denied the right to own firearms, the very least, as a citizen, we should be *required* to have our own guns. Thomas Jefferson, George Washington, James Madison, Ben Franklin- all have said it is our right, and it cannot be taken away from us, it is our way to stand up against tyranny in any form.

As a result of the terrible holocaust, the Jewish people have formed a group called Jewish People for Firearm Ownership (JPFO- I believe or close enough!) just for the purpose of preventing what tragically happened earlier this century. Why the liberals do not target them as they do the NRA is wimpy. They know that if they did, the JPFO would state publicly their stand, and once the people of this nation heard this plea, would rally behind ALL gun ownership and stand united against any and all tyranny in any form.

What the government does not realize or want to realize is that most of the armed forces, with many of the police forces, would never allow this tyranny to materialize, and a new revolutionary or civil war will erupt. However, the think tank dorks in DC have deduced that once the people have been disarmed, the Bill of Rights supporters can be filtered out accordingly.

The New World Order, governed by the UN, will be born. The UN have already spoken to Bill and he has agreed with them that firearms must be restricted of prohibited in our fine democracy. Non of our treaties or promises to the other government supersedes the Bill of Rights or any other laws our nation has passed. Only after your guns have been confiscated will the UN have the power and authority to change this to us.

Presently, it is before congress to make it a felony to own a pistol that is unregistered. This law would certainly turn half of the law abiding citizens in this country into convicted felons, and once convicted, would never again be allowed to own a firearm, which is what they desire.

Ruby Ridge, was a classic example of the Jack Booted Thug policy of the present administration. The government fears and understands that many states, especially western states, are already upset at how the government imposes itself upon them, with the various so-called agencies, like the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the Fish and Wildlife Admin (FWA). Only recently, did the FWA gain or enhanced enforcement authority regarding "endangered" species and plants, thus giving them the power to incarcerate honest law abiding citizens when they "feel" that they have damaged or killed one of those aforementioned species.

Fortunately, hope is there, and many of congress have opposed such policies of Janet Reno- the puppet of Uncle Bill. The Republican Congress finally has the power to oppose this fascist style regime. And in 2000, we will elect a new president to replace Bill and defeat his other puppet and idealist Gore, who would continue to promote the New World Order and destroy all our rights as Americans.

America the Beautiful, Land of the Free, the time is now to keep it free! Vote!

1973. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Hogerty <thogerty@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <34227D5F.2004@arrl.org>
Source record: 185748

Mike Wojcik wrote:
>
> I live in Chicago and I am wondering what the exact scanner laws are.. I
> am always hearing what people THINK they are, and people usually disagree with
> eachother.. Can anyone tell me where to find the law (on the web), or tell
> me, to the best of their knowledge what the laws are?

Mike:
Here's a web site you might want to check out:
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

73,
Tom Hogerty, KC1J
ARRL Regulatory Information

1974. 900 Mhz Cordless Frequencies? What are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: cadplex@bcl.net
Message-ID: <34228d20.1590657@news.bcl.net>
Source record: 185749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I just bought a 900 Mhz Digital phone, AT&T Model 9110. Can anybody e-mail the frequencies to scan to find this phone? I would just like to hear what the digital transmission sounds like. Please reply via e-mail as I don't watch this newsgroup.

Thanks,
Bill

1975. Re: Scanning In Cleveland Ohio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Castellani Jr <cfipaul@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <342283EA.E12DA763@concentric.net>
Source record: 185750

W8RON wrote:

> Is there a way to currently use an AOR 8000 with external
> interface/computer .... to get back to listening to the police in
> Cleveland Ohio??? I understand that the city has gone to a digital
> trunked system. Any help would be.............helpful.
>

Although I think this will be less than......helpful to
you........Unfortunetly as they have gone full digital, you have no way
to listen to them until someone developes a proprietary decoder for
Mororola ASTRO stuff....Oh well..

1976. can Bc235xlt get cell images anywhere?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: KeepAmericaFree@nota.com
Message-ID: <5vu23e$4r@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185751

my new trunktracker 235xlt will not get the cell images on 900-916 that my 230xlt did. 230 was as good as my old pro43 at receiving cell calls it just moved them up to the 900 mhz range to work around that silly law. The 235 traker is a superior radio in all other regards espeacially the ability to finally know what the cops in just my area of a large city are working on. Do have one gripe when I want to monitor cordless tele 46.mhz if the computer moniter is on you get hordes of noise. The 230 also did not have this odd problem. But I still think its well worth the sacrifice of the cell part for the ability to track the john law and the criminals in just my neighborhood with out here the damn bus fleet and highway crews at the same time. Thanks for any clues on this

1977. Re: 2 Questions for anyone with an R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: kpaxton@gargoyle.res.wpi.edu
Message-ID: <5vts87$o4i$1@bigboote.WPI.EDU>
Source record: 185752

Rich,

I too am thinking of purchasing an ICOM R-10 or an AOR AR8000.
I read the following line on your web page
(http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/SCANRBRF.HTM) that seems like a pretty
big limitation to the R-10.

RE R-10: Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a
combination of banks.

Does this really mean that you can't scan just banks 1 and 2? Its
1, 2, or all of them?

Also is there anyone out there that can comment on the quality
and ease of use of an AR8000?

Thanks,
Karl

Rich Wells (wells@vnet.com) wrote:
: Peter Viscarola wrote:
: > I'm thinking of purchasing an ICOM R10. I haven't been able to actually get
: > my HANDS on one to play with, though. I have two questions:
: >
: > 1) When it stops on a frequency in use, is the Alphanumeric channel
: > ID displayed?? I thought I read somewhere that it WASn't, which would be
: > pretty stupid.

: Alphanumeric is not displayed because that's also where the S-meter is
: displayed which gets top priority. iCOM should have at least made this
: an option under SET allowing you to choose whether you wanted to see
: the S-meter or alpha-tag.

: > 2) I'm primarily interested in a scanner for my car. I'll put a rooftop
: > antenna, of course. Anyone used their R10 this way? Good? Bad?
: > Indifferent?

: Haven't really tried it. Should do fine as long as you look into
: mounting an amplified speaker or run it through your cassette
: player using an adaptor.
:
: > I'm hot for the R10, because of the alphanumeric display and its size.

: Then you should also check out the new Alinco DJ-X10. You may not
: be so "hot" for them when you find out how slow both scan! ;-)

: Strong signals,
: Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/

: New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
: North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

1978. Look here for any frequency you've ever wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Eckert <deckert7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <5vsgcbdla1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 185801

OK, I don't have quite the solution you're expecting right this very moment: finding that frequency you've always wanted.

Could we, however, work towards improving this whole idea of helping each other out on needed frequencies?

If you need a frequency, how about telling briefly what you've done to figure out for yourself? If you don't have any of the published frequency guides for your area (PoliceCall, et al), please pause a moment and why bother to ask the group? I am amazed at how many frequency requests don't tell us what you have done to try and find out yourself. If you've made no effort, many of us are ignoring you....I'd like to figure out when I see these broad general requests how I could program a certain combination of keystrokes that would respond to a query and send them Bob Parnass' FAQs or many other of the great FAQs our senior brethren have produced (someone expert in computer please suggest some areas for me to explore so I can figure this out -- BUT don't you dare tell me step-by-step how to do it!)

If you have frequency guides for your area, then I think most of us are more interested in helping out...there's quite a bit about scanning where experience is helpful about where to look, but it's tiring to hear from folks who want all the answers in an E-mail....I think one of my greatest attractions to this hobby has been trying to figure out mysteries...if there no mysteries, why bother? If you know every answer about life, what's left?

Thanks for listening...

--
Dennis A. Eckert Snohomish, WA
Flies Standard Cirrus sailplane (SV) &
Amateur Radio op - KB7ST
daeckert@seanet.com

1979. Re: Newbie help please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <5vshvi$qtj@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 185802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <EGq15r.G9B@nonexistent.com>,
The Enforcer <messier@cybernex.net> wrote:

>Where is the Faq?

The Usenet FAQ library =

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner
--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1980. Free Frequency Guide, also includes Las Vegas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: 70473.1567@compuserve.com
Message-ID: <874796621.17417@dejanews.com>
Source record: 185503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.vacation.las-vegas

I've tried posting this once recently before , but I can't confirm if it worked. If I somehow double-posted, I'm sorry. But I do have a frequency guide on my web site that you can choose from. Go to: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/berton_corson And pick where it says to choose here if you own a frequency scanner. There's other fun stuff to look at too. But what I have is a basic guide showing where things are usually in the radio spectrum. And the bottom half is a general frequency guide for Las Vegas, Nevada. Some if the information may be a bit old, but still is quite useful. Enjoy

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

1981. arizona med radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Rounds Family <BonR@getnet.com>
Message-ID: <34245808.3C4E@getnet.com>
Source record: 185504

dose anybody know what the REAL numbers to arizonas med radio system is?
i got a list but can not hear the field medics, just the hospital. and
also not med 9. (i also know they sometimes use cell phones)

thanks in advance!! you can send info to Kevin Rounds at
BonR@getnet.com

1982. AR8000 Software & Interface?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Kirksey <tkirksey@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <34244D03.4AD9@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 185505

What all is available in the way of software & interfaces for the
AR8000? Any mods? I am about to buy one.

Tom
KD4FTQ

1983. For Sale: 2M Alinco 50 W Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lou Roy <lour@esslink.com>
Message-ID: <34246C87.5122@esslink.com>
Source record: 185506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Alinco DR-112 very small 50 watt mobile rig measuring 5 1/2 x 6 1/4 x
11/2. It also comes with a 1/4 mag mount antenna. Unable to locate
manual, however, it should be easy to get a copy. It has all the normal
features - 10 memories; high/low power; etc, etc.
$150 for everything +shipping (from CT)
email
Lou
lour@esslink.com

1984. Rogue Cancels from LNI.NET via TDI.NET NNTP Server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: john gary ingram <ingramj@erols.com>
Message-ID: <34246E6A.58A5@erols.com>
Source record: 185507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All,

Someone from Len-Net (http://www.lni.net) has been issuing
Rogue Cancels via Technology Dimensions, Inc. NNTP Server
(http://www.tdi.net) in the rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.cb
and rec.radio.amateur.policy news group the last two days.

John
KA3LIE

Rogue Cancel issued Today in rec.radio.amateur.policy

==========
==========
==========

Path: news-ihm.lri.fr!u-psud.fr!jussieu.fr!eerie.fr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-peer.sprintl
ink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!su-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.bbnplanet.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: cancel <874759070.16326@dejanews.com>
Control: cancel <874759070.16326@dejanews.com>
Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 15:32:20 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34244EF4.6901@aol.com>
References: <874759070.16326@dejanews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port19.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

==========
==========
==========

14 Rogue Cancels issued on 9/19/97 in rec.radio.scanner and rec.radio.cb

==========
==========
==========
Cancel 1

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-peer.gsl.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!news-pe
er.sprintlink.net!news-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net
!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970920011900.VAA18515@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970920011900.VAA18515@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:26:40 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236CA0.6463@aol.com>
References: <19970920011900.VAA18515@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890636

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 2

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-peer.gsl.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!news-pe
er.sprintlink.net!news-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net
!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970920011901.VAA12843@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970920011901.VAA12843@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:26:58 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236CB2.7FBB@aol.com>
References: <19970920011901.VAA12843@ladder01.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890637

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 3

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-ber1.dfn.de!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-was.dfn
.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-pull.spr

intlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970920011900.VAA18520@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970920011900.VAA18520@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:27:11 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236CBF.529A@aol.com>
References: <19970920011900.VAA18520@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890638

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 4

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-ber1.dfn.de!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-was.dfn.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.bbnplanet.com!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970917113900.HAA15212@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970917113900.HAA15212@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:28:09 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236CF9.2FBB@aol.com>
References: <19970917113900.HAA15212@ladder01.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890639

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 5

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-ber1.dfn.de!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-was.dfn
.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-pull.spr
intlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monm
outh.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970916005401.UAA29507@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970916005401.UAA29507@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:28:42 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236D1A.9D0@aol.com>
References: <19970916005401.UAA29507@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890656

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

==========
==========
==========
Cancel 6

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-ber1.dfn.de!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-was.dfn
.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-pull.spr
intlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monm
outh.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970916113001.HAA01526@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970916113001.HAA01526@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:29:10 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236D36.F14@aol.com>
References: <19970916113001.HAA01526@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890657

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 7

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-ber1.dfn.de!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-was.dfn
.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-pull.spr
intlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monm
outh.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970916113000.HAA01529@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970916113000.HAA01529@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:29:39 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236D53.4CED@aol.com>
References: <19970916113000.HAA01529@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890658

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 8

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!newsfeed.nacamar.de!news-peer.sprintlink.net!n
ews-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220
.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970917113901.HAA15211@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970917113901.HAA15211@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:30:10 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236D72.51E9@aol.com>
References: <19970917113901.HAA15211@ladder01.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890659

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 9

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-ber1.dfn.de!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-was.dfn
.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-pull.spr
intlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monm
outh.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: cancel <19970917013901.VAA23492@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970917013901.VAA23492@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:31:47 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236DD3.5110@aol.com>
References: <19970917013901.VAA23492@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890660

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 10

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!newsfeed.nacamar.de!news-peer.sprintlink.net!n
ews-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220
.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: cancel <19970917223401.SAA28740@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970917223401.SAA28740@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:32:01 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236DE1.C38@aol.com>
References: <19970917223401.SAA28740@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890661

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 11

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-ber1.dfn.de!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-was.dfn
.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-pull.spr
intlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monm
outh.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: cancel <19970918210701.RAA05666@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970918210701.RAA05666@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:32:25 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236DF9.481A@aol.com>
References: <19970918210701.RAA05666@ladder01.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890662

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 12

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-peer.gsl.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!news-pe
er.sprintlink.net!news-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net
!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: cancel <19970917223401.SAA23061@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970917223401.SAA23061@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:32:38 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236E06.46D0@aol.com>
References: <19970917223401.SAA23061@ladder01.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890663

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 13

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-peer.gsl.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: cancel <19970917113901.HAA21032@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970917113901.HAA21032@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:33:11 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236E27.449@aol.com>
References: <19970917113901.HAA21032@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890665

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========
Cancel 14

Path: marvin.orgatech.de!uniol!fu-berlin.de!news-peer.gsl.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-pull.sprintlink.net!news-in-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!Sprint!205.164.220.8!news.monmouth.com!newsin.agis.net!agis!adonis.tdi.net!not-for-mail
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <19970917113900.HAA21037@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Control: cancel <19970917113900.HAA21037@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 23:33:58 -0700
Organization: Technology Dimensions Inc. 114 E Elm Ave Monroe,MI 48162
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <34236E56.6CFD@aol.com>
References: <19970917113900.HAA21037@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usrp1-port39.lni.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
Xref: marvin.orgatech.de control:890664

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
==========
==========
==========

1985. SCREW THE LAWS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: KISSASS@net.com
Message-ID: <342435dc.9484769@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185508

I feel if its a stupid law as are scanner laws, there supposed to be broken. And anyone who says its wrong to fracture a stupid law that hurts no one is a moron who has no life.

1986. cellular on locked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Sizemore <lightv@nfdc.net>
Message-ID: <34247696.AD3B5E66@nfdc.net>
Source record: 185509
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Which handhelds in the $300 or less range will receive cellular (images) well in the non-blocked frequencies. I am not interested (or qualified) to do micro soldering of components to restore missing range. I have heard that non triple het designs do a better job than the more expensive triple het models. I was looking at the pro26. Any insight on this or a similar product? Thanks in advance.

--
Regards,
Larry Sizemore
http://enterpriseplaza.com/lightvisions
Nature Photography On-line Magazine

1987. Spectrum charts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Douglas V, Brown" <dvbrown@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc62c$c17285e0$0eb9b1cc@default>
Source record: 185510

Does anyone know where to get those nice color radio spectrum chart
posters?
The ones that show FCC allocation and band range and all that.
I would appreciate it

D.B.

1988. Re: Filter to block 152.48 Pager?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <601fkp$ii3$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 185511

trinit69@idt.net.nospam (Tom) wrote:

>Call anyone recommend a notch filter to wipe out the 152.48 Mhz paging
>freq?
>I am one mile away from a strong pager. I can read the signal directly
>on my freq counter. It gets into everything.
>Anyone know of a vendor for selective filters?
>I'm not too concerned if the filter wipes out 4 mhz or so around the
>offending freq in the 118-138 and 225-400 Mhz band on a BC-900XLT.

You may find the information your looking for at my web page on the
Filter Section.

Check URL below.

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1989. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342489CA.6B9A@usa.net>
Source record: 185512

The CB Guy wrote:

> I see no-one other than you(s) complaining about Bill.

Would you like me to re-post such messages for you to see?

> Time for that Prozac refill again?

Yea, you probably do need your prozac, you are acting a little dazed.

1990. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3424896E.5C0B@usa.net>
Source record: 185513

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> And you call yourself intellectual?

i doubt you know what that word means.

> Oh well, no sense arguing with a person who obviously suffers from
> cranial/rectal inversion.

Are you looking in a mirror again?

1991. Re: Spectrum charts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Brien G. McCrea" <brienm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <601v94$afm@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185514

Douglas V, Brown wrote:
>
> Does anyone know where to get those nice color radio spectrum chart
> posters?
> The ones that show FCC allocation and band range and all that.
> I would appreciate it
>
> D.B.

Douglas,

The cheapest place to get them is a Federal Government bookstore. A few years back I purchased them for $2.95 apiece. If you buy them from someone else you pay more. See if the federal building in your closest city has a bookstore. I was able to get them from the federal building in Seattle.

Brien
KE7WB

1992. Memphis, TN frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@freds.com
Message-ID: <fred-2009972159460001@demeter-38.magibox.net>
Source record: 185515

Anyone in the Memphis, TN area interested in trading frequencies? I'm just getting started, but have "discovered" quite a few interesting freqs.

Please send email as well as post to the group.

Thanks, John

reply to:

johnsoloNOSPAM@magibox.net (remove NOSPAM)

1993. Re: To John Mackey.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34248352.6F40@usa.net>
Source record: 185516

Hi Bill Cheek,

If you want to communicate with me, do it using your real name & ID
not some silly alias. I don't like forgery things.

Billy Thunder wrote:
> Knock.....knock....?

1994. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34248786.3B45@usa.net>
Source record: 185517

The list would be long, but most recently would be
forged postings on this NG.

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Just exactly what "antics" am I pulling off here, pray tell?
> Inquiring minds wish to know. Curiosity utterly overwhelms me.

1995. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34248B13.2AB3@usa.net>
Source record: 185518

As typical, senseless rambling from you.

I see that posting the racist post from you made you DROP
that issue.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> Of course, why did it now come to me before"
> question mark.

1996. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34248BFF.3863@usa.net>
Source record: 185519

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> Funny! You've been known to pull the same stunt (judging by your
> reaction, I'd say you were caught at it too).

What do you have to back that up?

> Fact of the matter is, John, most companies are now starting to examine
> their employees "off company time" and a few of those employees have
> been fired for some of the things they did.

Fine, go for it. I have nothing to hide, tell the guy who
signs my paycheck.

> I think the best thing you can do is shut up and go away.

You should follow your own advice.

1997. BC895XLT software Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3424A828.BAE5123F@cts.com>
Source record: 185520
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Did anyone buy the software and interface card for the BC895XLT? I see
the ad that comes with the unit but for a 100 bucks it sounds expensive.
Is it worth it?

Thanks.

1998. nuked NiCd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. E" <ANTISPAMalaskanrick@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <601aku$pn7$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 185521

I Live around Dallas, but does anyone know anywhere I can nuke my bc3000xlt batteries? Location doesn't matter, but Dallas is prefered.

NOSPAMalaskanrick@hotmail.com
"Criminals fear armed citizens."

1999. FS Icom R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Parry <brian_parry@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc600$6011a500$a6705ecf@#bjp>
Source record: 185522

New Icom R10 with all original material (box, manual, blank warrenty card, etc) plus PC programming/cloning software and serial cable! This unit is NOT unblocked but can be modified to recieve cellular.

Prefer local S.F. bay area sale.
$400 firm
brian@globes.com or brian_parry@hotmail.com

2000. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: louis h morong <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc60c$7a655620$cc738bce@zmbwf.somtel.com>
Source record: 185523

Joe_Bob <joe_bob_briggs@flash.net> wrote in article
<34252fff.77358175@news.flash.net>...
> On Sat, 20 Sep 1997 11:38:05 -0700, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
wrote:
>
> >I am getting TIRED of having to show you everything the
> >second & third time. If you want to argue that fact then
> >find the posts YOURSELF that negate my statement. You are
> >the ONLY one argueing this one, little boy. I see NO ONE
> >else supporting you on this one.
> >
> >
> >The CB Guy wrote:
> >> Who asked you this??????
>
> John,
> You are a lying cocksucker. No one asked you to post what you received in
> private e-mail and you know it.
>
>
>
Not only that, but the supposed "e-mail message" is BOGUS. What he failed
to realize is that Cheek CHANGED HIS EMAIL ADDRESS PRIOR TO THIS SUPPOSED
MESSAGE. The header that appears in the supposed "e-mail message" that he
posted has NOT BEEN Cheek`s address for THREE WEEKS!

2001. Can't Find it? Maybe this will help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: teamwin01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920235501.TAA26244@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185524

CITIZEN BAND RADIO EQUIPMENT DEALERS!!

Citizen Band Radio Dealers are somtimes hard to locate, and you may not have or want to spend hours, even days, trying to locate the one CB Radio Shop to meet your needs. In this day and age there are many ways for someone to find whatever he/she needs or wants, like CB radio equipment, and you could make alot of expensive phone calls to places that are out of your area, and still not accomplish your goal in finding the CB Radio shop or shops to meet your needs......

I often thought," wouldn't it be great if someone put together a list of CB Radio Shops, with addresses, phone/fax numbers, so I could find the closest or best CB Shop to fit my hobby needs?"

WELL........ Someone has, and
that someone is me.

The list of CB Radio Shops Nationwide is finally available for your shopping and repair needs all over the nation. CB Radio Shops from east to west and north to south are available and ready to address your needs. Many carry hard to find equipment, and many carry wholesale parts and equipment. Whether it's and brand new radio or an old tube type amplifier, your chances of finding what you need are much greater and faster with this brochure.

Using this brochure to shop and compare will enable you to buy, sell, trade, and receive repair information & rates for CB Radio equipment, more effectively than most other sources.

This brochure is LOADED with names, addresses, phone/fax numbers of different CB shops, shacks, and even CB shops on wheels located all over the nation. There could be one in your area that you may not know about for one reason or another, most of the CB shops don't do very much or any advertising, due to the cost of advertising or lack of knowledge on effective advertising. And these CB shops are most likely to be the ones to offer you the best deal. That may be something to think about the next time your searching for new or used CB Radio equipment. The more we feed to the bigger dealers will put the little shops out of business and you guessed it, DRIVE THE PRICES OF CB RADIO EQUIPMENT HIGHER AND HIGHER.

Saving time and money is everyone's concern these days, and that's what this brochure can do for you, as it has for many other people. You won't want to miss out on the next great deal before we all are forced to pay the prices of the bigger companies, when it comes time to buy CB Radio equipment.

Here is how to receive your personal copy of this fast selling, popular brochure....

�Please send $2.00 and a self addressed, stamped envelope to:

�TEAMWIN 01

P.O. Box 0583
Loveland, CO. 80539-0583

Thank you and 73's from Colorado........

2002. Uniden crystals wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: lectrichead@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <3423d1ff.864742@news.imcnet.net>
Source record: 185525

I'm looking for the following Uniden crystals. Not Radio Shack
crystals.

155.010
154.400
154.755

I have some miscellaneous crystals I'd trade (mostly Radio Shack
crystals) or I'd buy them.

I could get the crystals from one of the various places which sell
them, but thought I'd try saving myself a little $$$ and see if I
could trade or buy them here.

Marc
lectrichead@bigfoot.com

```
/-----------------------------------------------------------\
|HMI Enterprises - Web page design, upgrades, computer |
|instruction of all types, miscellaneous computer work |
| E-mail: lectrichead@bigfoot.com ICQ #: 1386142 |
|Webpage: http://www.imcnet.net/~lectrich/hmi.htm |
|Monitor Station Registry: KNY2ADC |
|my scanning website - |
| http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/8145 |
|my satellite tracking website- |
| http://www.imcnet.net/~lectrich/space.htm |
|my trail/mountain bike/hiking website - |
| http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/8145/bhindex.htm |
\-----------------------------------------------------------/
```

2003. Re: How Johnny Boy started it all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342484E4.28B5@usa.net>
Source record: 185526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

You don't know what you are talking about. Notice the LAST thing I say
in your qoute of me is in reference to those who had asked questions.

"> For all of you that think Bill is so nice & can do no wrong, what
> do you say about this??"

YOU seem to be the one who wants to incite trouble with your less
than honest and misleading post.

Joe_Bob wrote:
> Here's the message that Johnny Boy posted to start all this trouble.
> Proof of his lie, you'll see that this message was NOT in reply to some one
> wanting proof that Bill Cheek was "causing trouble". It's an original post from
> Johnny Boy with no intent other than to incite anger.
SNIP

> Begin quote:
> Subject: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!
> From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
> Date: 1997/09/10
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
SNIP
> I have repeated requested Bill not send me e-mail but he continues.
> For all of you that think Bill is so nice & can do no wrong, what
> do you say about this??

2004. Re: arizona med radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <danr@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <34246B8A.A8D6EAA4@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 185527

The Rounds Family wrote:

> dose anybody know what the REAL numbers to arizonas med radio system is?
> i got a list but can not hear the field medics, just the hospital. and
> also not med 9. (i also know they sometimes use cell phones)
>
> thanks in advance!! you can send info to Kevin Rounds at
> BonR@getnet.com

Kevin:

Med1-8 is 463.000 through 463.175 with .025 spacing for hospitals, and each is exactly 5 MHz higher (468.000-468.175) for field units.

Med9 is 462.950, Med10 is 462.975. Med9 is repeated in Phoenix, and Med10 is repeated in Tucson. Other med channels are repeated is "districts" throughout the state. Those not repeated around Tucson and Phoenix are used as telemetry patches with area hospitals. Cellphones are utilized almost solely in Phoenix, while the Med (EMSCOM) radio system is still used primarily in Tucson. Med5 (463.100) is repeated state-wide (with a few holes), and is called EMSCOM, and is used by DPS's Ranger helicopters.

Also see the Southwest Frequency Directory (Arizona's scanner frequency & information guide) webpage at:
http://www.azstarnet.com/~kc7anq/swfd.htm

And Incident Command Page (Arizona's scanner club) at:
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp/

Hope that helps!

Dan

2005. 870 - 890 Megacycles Receive !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <342444D1.4F81@planetc.com>
Source record: 185528

I have a couple OLD NOS BC-760's left and a couple BC-200xlt's left, both can RECEIVE (not intercept) car telie Radios! These are BRAND NEW NOS NON-Converted units. $300 ea or offer. E mail me ! Dave truth@planetc.com

When dey is gone, dey is gowne!

2006. Re: Let's Argue About Interfaces

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34248736.1F9@usa.net>
Source record: 185529

I have never owned a CE-232, but according to friends of mine that have,
they have also said what you are in essence saying that the CE-232 is
an antiquated or "has been" type of device that has outlived it's
usefullness.

wiggie wrote:
> CE-232 had its place in time...and was a big advancement in what was currently
> available at that time, but if you read Bill Cheek's book THE ULTIMATE SCANNER,
> IMO, Bill tends to feel that the interface has come to the end of the road.

2007. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3424882A.1085@usa.net>
Source record: 185530

If you TRULY believe that then you have NOT been following the
threads on this NG very well. You really should try to stay
on top of things if you want to take on these issues.

The CB Guy wrote:
> I had to ask because it never was as you say. You just posted it out of
> the blue.

2008. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Kirksey <tkirksey@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <342404CC.65@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 185531

Davion29 wrote:
>
> On 12 Sep 1997 20:25:53 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>
> >In <5vc1ksbsm1@nntp.ucs.ubc.ca> shoppa@alph02.triumf.ca (Tim Shoppa)
> >writes:
> >>
> >>In article <3418C79A.4B05@usa.net>,
> >>John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
> >>>Phoenix-
> >>>Look at the "organization" line.
> >>>That should answer your question.
> >>
> >>It answers no question. It's trivial to forge just about any part
> >>of a Usenet header, with the exception of the "first part" of the
> >>"Path:" line and the "Posting host". Even these fields can be forged
> >>by someone who has the ability to inject news at intermediate sites.
> >>Take a look at my "Organization:" line if you believe it's hard to
> >>fake that line.
> >>
> >>Tim. (shoppa@triumf.a)
> >>
> > Question: does this idea then hold that anyone can say "I did not
> > post that" and be truthful?"
> >
> > based on that statement...cannot the originator be found out
> > based on where they posted from using their address to get the
> > initial stages ready for posting...?
> >
> > back to the source...cannot that be found in light of
> > the forgers work?
> >
> > bill conduit
> > etc
> WHO CARES!!!

If you don't want any fake posts in your name, use a PGP encrypted
signature. That CAN'T be faked.

2009. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34248B83.1787@usa.net>
Source record: 185532

OK Glen, I'll change it FOR Phoenix. It will make you look like a
BIGGER fool.

Glenn wrote:

> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > Ignoring a fact does not make it go away, it just makes you look like a
> > fool.

> Shouldn't that have been looks like a bigger?

2010. Re: Antenna Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John D. Griffin" <jgriffin@telepath.com>
Message-ID: <6016gv$m0j$2@e3000.supernews.com>
Source record: 185533

2006_1111@ridgecrest.ca.us wrote:
>
> Could anyone tell me what an antenna I recently aquired is for? It is
> a 3 piece, screw together vertical whip, each element is about 5'10"
> long, fiberglass and is brand name "SolarCon" with a SO 239 connector.
> Thanks,
> GW

Its more than likely a solarcon Antron-99 antenna. You can use it on 10
meter ham or 11 meter CB with a pretty good swr across the bands.

2011. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mzenier@netcom.com
Message-ID: <mzenierEGvDK1.9Ep@netcom.com>
Source record: 185534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3422ff55.6227341@news1.mnsinc.com>,
Bry <bry@REMOVE.mnsinc.com> wrote:
>Your answer is VERY misleading here!
>Micro-broadcasting is done LEGALLY in many cases.
>
>There is provision for folks to transmit at 100mW into a five foot
>antenna legally - and in the broadcast bands. RAMSEY ELECTRONICS and
>SEVERAL other manufacturers sell a variety of transmitters for this
>purpose. Everyone knows Radio Shack and other toy companies sell
>"wireless microphones" and "wireless intercoms" etc. etc. that all use
>100 mW or so on the medium wave, as well as 49 MHz, VHF-FM broadcast
>bands etc. etc. and they are NOT illegal to use!!
>
>You can broadcast JUST LIKE a regular FM station if you want, and ON
>the FM band with a 100 mW stereo quality station. You can spend
>anything from $30-3000 on such a station.

This is SERIOUSLY WRONG. The relevant paragraphs, last I looked,
were 47 CFR 15.219 and 47 CFR 15.239. The Code of Federal regulations
is at http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/index.html if you want to check.

First a minor quibble, AM broadcast band allows 100 milliwatts input
power with a 3 meter (10 foot) antenna/ground system.

FM broadcast band is severely restricted to a field strength of of
250 microvolts per meter at a distance of 3 meters. You plug this
into various antenna equations and you get a radidiated power of
around 30 NANOWATTS with an omni directional antenna. Enough to
get you about 100 feet.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com mzenier@netcom.com

2012. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Headrick <no@spam.com>
Message-ID: <3424A2D7.5828B4DD@proaxis.com>
Source record: 185535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bry wrote:

> You can broadcast JUST LIKE a regular FM station if you want, and ON
> the FM band with a 100 mW stereo quality station. You can spend
> anything from $30-3000 on such a station.

Not in the US without a licence.

2013. Re: LOCAL POLICE SEIZING RADIOS, Consumer Equipment at Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com
Message-ID: <970920200023284@thekat.cts.com>
Source record: 185536

-> From: "Amor Powers, CB Radio" <power@CB.Radio.net>
-> Subject: LOCAL POLICE SEIZING RADIOS, Consumer Equipment at Fault
->
-> POLICE WILL SEIZE YOUR RADIOS
-> Recently proposed USA legislation would permit local authorities to
-> march into your home and seize your radios.

Bullshit. They'd still need a warrant. The Constitution has NOT been
suspended.

Not to mention it's JUST 'pending', and has already been taken out of
committtee to be changed.

2014. FS: Sony ICF Pro-80 SW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Kirksey <tkirksey@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3424070D.6F7B@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 185537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap

I have a Sony ICF Pro-80 Shortwave for sale.
All Mode: AM (narrow & wide) - FM (narrow & wide) - SSB - CW
Coverage: 150 Khz - 108 Mhz Continuous

$200 or best offer

Tom
KD4FTQ
tkirksey@bellsouth.net

2015. Re: Pro 46. Help anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmkarse@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <34235d05.7636322@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 185553

On Fri, 19 Sep 1997 07:51:59 -0600, Jason Jensen
<JasDJensen@sisna.com> wrote:

>I have a Radio Shack Pro-46. Anyone have any information about it?
>Anyone else have one? Good? Bad?
>
>Jason
>
>P.S. I'm always looking to hook up with others in the Salt Lake City
>area.

Jason,
The PRO-46 is a decent scanner, not top line but by no means cheap. Bought mine when it first hit market at a discount 'intro' price at a RatSchack that was about to close (odd that they had new product, but why complain?) and have been happy with it since. I don't use rechargeables in it and it does not 'eat batteries', it is can be modified for cell if you like, but it does receive cell images if that is your cup of tea.

Overall, it does all but one thing I would like; Military air coverage. In some regards, better than my BC895 'base/mobile' scanner (which does have Military air and a tape recorder activation mode) which seems plagued by bad images (what sometimes gets called 'intermod', myself often guilty) in a metropolitan area.

Howard

2016. Re: Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dave..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <342373CA.8813A37C@flash.net>
Source record: 185554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

wafrank@erols.com wrote:

> So, just who are these "FCC approved customers" who can purchase a
> "full coverage receiver" in the US, that allow them to monitor the phone
> calls that everyone is so concerned about? Do we all feel happy and
> safe that these radios are listening for our dirty little secrets just
> because some federal bureaucrat says it's okay for "them" to
> do so?

Damn! Dont you get it yet??? If you are using a Handy Talkie called a cell phone, your probably in the clear and many people could hear you, some on their TV! If you dont want it heard, dont say it unless youre encrypted, or wired!!! Damn! Do you understand yet???

wafrank@erols.com wrote:

So, just who are these "FCC approved customers" who
can purchase a

"full coverage receiver" in the US, that allow them to monitor the
phone

calls that everyone is so concerned about? Do we all feel happy
and

safe that these radios are listening for our dirty little secrets just

because some federal bureaucrat says it's okay for "them" to

do so?

Damn! Dont you get it yet???
If you are using a Handy Talkie called a cell phone, your probably in the clear and many people could hear you, some on their TV! If you dont want it heard, dont say it unless youre encrypted, or wired!!! Damn! Do you understand yet???

2017. GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dave..." <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <342374AA.7F1D196@flash.net>
Source record: 185555
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

BILL CLINTON SUCKS!

Joe Farris wrote:

> Even though I enjoy Steven Speilburgs films a great deal, I must admit that
> he, Barbara Streisand and Bill Clinton are real cozy together, and we all
> know Bills stand on firearm ownership.
>
> The sad thing is, the liberals are quick to denounce guns, but ask them if
> they would give up *their* guns. 90% will never admit to gun ownership, but
> most of them do indeed have their own guns, but yet they expect the rest of
> the population to give up their guns. As you stated in your quote, only the
> police (in a police/socialism state) and government will have guns. As a
> subservient populace, they will not only expect you to behave, you will be
> powerless to defend yourself against the tyranny.
>
> All of our founding fathers all agreed that the people should not be denied
> the right to own firearms, the very least, as a citizen, we should be
> *required* to have our own guns. Thomas Jefferson, George Washington, James
> Madison, Ben Franklin- all have said it is our right, and it cannot be
> taken away from us, it is our way to stand up against tyranny in any form.
>
> As a result of the terrible holocaust, the Jewish people have formed a
> group called Jewish People for Firearm Ownership (JPFO- I believe or close
> enough!) just for the purpose of preventing what tragically happened
> earlier this century. Why the liberals do not target them as they do the
> NRA is wimpy. They know that if they did, the JPFO would state publicly
> their stand, and once the people of this nation heard this plea, would
> rally behind ALL gun ownership and stand united against any and all tyranny
> in any form.
>
> What the government does not realize or want to realize is that most of the
> armed forces, with many of the police forces, would never allow this
> tyranny to materialize, and a new revolutionary or civil war will erupt.
> However, the think tank dorks in DC have deduced that once the people have
> been disarmed, the Bill of Rights supporters can be filtered out
> accordingly.
>
> The New World Order, governed by the UN, will be born. The UN have already
> spoken to Bill and he has agreed with them that firearms must be restricted
> of prohibited in our fine democracy. Non of our treaties or promises to the
> other government supersedes the Bill of Rights or any other laws our nation
> has passed. Only after your guns have been confiscated will the UN have the

> power and authority to change this to us.
>
> Presently, it is before congress to make it a felony to own a pistol that
> is unregistered. This law would certainly turn half of the law abiding
> citizens in this country into convicted felons, and once convicted, would
> never again be allowed to own a firearm, which is what they desire.
>
> Ruby Ridge, was a classic example of the Jack Booted Thug policy of the
> present administration. The government fears and understands that many
> states, especially western states, are already upset at how the government
> imposes itself upon them, with the various so-called agencies, like the
> Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the Fish and Wildlife Admin (FWA). Only
> recently, did the FWA gain or enhanced enforcement authority regarding
> "endangered" species and plants, thus giving them the power to incarcerate
> honest law abiding citizens when they "feel" that they have damaged or
> killed one of those aforementioned species.
>
> Fortunately, hope is there, and many of congress have opposed such policies
> of Janet Reno- the puppet of Uncle Bill. The Republican Congress finally
> has the power to oppose this fascist style regime. And in 2000, we will
> elect a new president to replace Bill and defeat his other puppet and
> idealist Gore, who would continue to promote the New World Order and
> destroy all our rights as Americans.
>
> America the Beautiful, Land of the Free, the time is now to keep it free!
> Vote!

Thankyou for taking the time to post this vital information. Many Americans
know about the NWO, but it seems that none of us are trying to do anything to
stop it from becoming reality!

Will it remain America, or will it become Amerika? It is up to you!

BILL CLINTON SUCKS!

Joe Farris wrote:

Even though I enjoy Steven Speilburgs films a great
deal, I must admit that

he, Barbara Streisand and Bill Clinton are real cozy together, and
we all

know Bills stand on firearm ownership.

The sad thing is, the liberals are quick to denounce guns, but ask them
if

they would give up *their* guns. 90% will never admit to gun ownership,
but

most of them do indeed have their own guns, but yet they expect the
rest of

the population to give up their guns. As you stated in your quote, only the

police (in a police/socialism state) and government will have guns. As a

subservient populace, they will not only expect you to behave, you will be

powerless to defend yourself against the tyranny.

All of our founding fathers all agreed that the people should not be denied

the right to own firearms, the very least, as a citizen, we should be

required to have our own guns. Thomas Jefferson, George Washington, James

Madison, Ben Franklin- all have said it is our right, and it cannot be

taken away from us, it is our way to stand up against tyranny in any form.

As a result of the terrible holocaust, the Jewish people have formed a

group called Jewish People for Firearm Ownership (JPFO- I believe or close

enough!) just for the purpose of preventing what tragically happened

earlier this century. Why the liberals do not target them as they do the

NRA is wimpy. They know that if they did, the JPFO would state publicly

their stand, and once the people of this nation heard this plea, would

rally behind ALL gun ownership and stand united against any and all tyranny

in any form.

What the government does not realize or want to realize is that most of the

armed forces, with many of the police forces, would never allow this

tyranny to materialize, and a new revolutionary or civil war will erupt.

However, the think tank dorks in DC have deduced that once the people have

been disarmed, the Bill of Rights supporters can be filtered out

accordingly.

The New World Order, governed by the UN, will be born. The UN have already

spoken to Bill and he has agreed with them that firearms must be restricted

of prohibited in our fine democracy. Non of our treaties or promises to the

other government supersedes the Bill of Rights or any other laws our nation

has passed. Only after your guns have been confiscated will the UN have the

power and authority to change this to us.

Presently, it is before congress to make it a felony to own a pistol that

is unregistered. This law would certainly turn half of the law abiding

citizens in this country into convicted felons, and once convicted, would

never again be allowed to own a firearm, which is what they desire.

Ruby Ridge, was a classic example of the Jack Booted Thug policy of the

present administration. The government fears and understands that many

states, especially western states, are already upset at how the government

imposes itself upon them, with the various so-called agencies, like the

Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the Fish and Wildlife Admin (FWA). Only

recently, did the FWA gain or enhanced enforcement authority regarding

"endangered" species and plants, thus giving them the power to incarcerate

honest law abiding citizens when they "feel" that they have damaged or

killed one of those aforementioned species.

Fortunately, hope is there, and many of congress have opposed such policies

of Janet Reno- the puppet of Uncle Bill. The Republican Congress finally

has the power to oppose this fascist style regime. And in 2000, we will

elect a new president to replace Bill and defeat his other puppet and

idealist Gore, who would continue to promote the New World Order and

destroy all our rights as Americans.

America the Beautiful, Land of the Free, the time is now to keep it free!

Vote!

Thankyou for taking the time to post this vital information.
Many Americans know about the NWO, but it seems that none of us are trying to do anything to stop it from becoming reality!

Will it remain America, or will it become Amerika?
It is up to you!

2018. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342383CE.72FD@juno.com>
Source record: 185556

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Dan, if people don't care then why were they talking about it &
> asking questions?
Why aren't you answering? Someone posted a side by side comparison and
you failed to refute it. In fact, you failed to acknowlege it at all.
Ignoring a fact does not make it go away, it just makes you look like a
fool.

2019. Re: Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34238437.28F7@juno.com>
Source record: 185557

Steve Rahn wrote:
> This is also a frequency used by "Baby Monitors", which are cheap cordless
> devices made to listen for the babies cry. Since it works almost like an
> intercom, it transmits continuous.
>
> Richard Evans <evansr@callisto.lif.icnet.uk> wrote in article
> <5vrbjj$g5v$3@charlie.lif.icnet.uk>...
> > : >Hi,
> > : >
> > : >When I scan the cordless phone freq's my scanner always seems to get
> > : >stuck on 46.7300 and I can hear just a hissing noise. Does anyone
> know
> > : >why?
> > >
> > >
Another possibility may be a "birdie" with that particular model of
radio. I have the same thing here in Phoenix, only it turns out to be a
data signal from one of those "upper line" cordless phones trying to
seek a quiet frequency.

2020. Re: Was the R7000 800Mhz Blocked? (was Re: FS: Icom R-7000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shadow <shadow@ricochet.net>
Message-ID: <34238010.4069@ricochet.net>
Source record: 185558

There were R-7000 That were blocked
I have had them on my TEST BENCH
Get your facts correct

2021. Re: Digital PCS Phones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: *** <rfeng@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <600575$fqp@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185559

In article <5vjv15$hgb@panix3.panix.com>, schuster@panix.com (Michael Schuster) wrote:
>
>In article <341cc9cd.2942711@news.atcon.com>,
>halifax_@rocketmail.com <halifax_@rocketmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>What frequencies do the new digital PCS phones operate on????
>
>In the US, that depends.
>
>There are carriers using true PCS as defined by the FCC, which use 1900 Mz
>frequencies and GSM data encoding.
>
>There are also carriers (like AT&T) who are offering "PCS features" on
>special TDMA phones that use standard cellular frequencies.
>

The AT&T TDMA IS136 standard is a DIGITAL system that is used at 1900mhz PCS &
800mhz Cellular.... digital same as GSM & CDMA....

GSm is not the only digital format out there. PCS only defines the 1900 Mhz
band in the US

2022. Re: John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342377DC.4FA3AE99@juno.com>
Source record: 185560

The CB Guy wrote:
>
> In article <34230369.668@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
> writes:
>
> >Funny, I posted a reply showing how your headers DID match
> >with the bogus post & you & your buddy suddenly
> >became quiet. YOU were the one who didn't respond after
> >being proven wrong (again).
>
> Once again, Mackeys a legend in his own mind.
> The headers you showed DID NOT MATCH EXACTLY.
> so therefore, they mean nothing.

CB Guy,
Give up. John is caught in lie after lie and all he can do is call people name and fabricate the next lie. Somebody posted a side by side comparison of the headers and John refused to reply to it because it proved him to be clue less about headers. What a joke, his whole proof was they both used Netscape and Win95. The John Mackey Poll says it all. Not a single vote in John's favor. NOT A SINGLE ONE! The news group also has shown not a single post in defense of Mackey. NOT A SINGLE POST saying John is right or honest. What more proof can you ask for?

Best to ignore the Idiot of Mackey and let him run our of steam.

OTOH, it is pretty funny the way a few of you make him dance like a trained bear (troll).

2023. Re: Antenna Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342384C3.5605@juno.com>
Source record: 185561

2006_1111@ridgecrest.ca.us wrote:
> Could anyone tell me what an antenna I recently aquired is for? It is
> a 3 piece, screw together vertical whip, each element is about 5'10"
> long, fiberglass and is brand name "SolarCon" with a SO 239 connector.
> Thanks,
> GW
Thats the Antron-99 (if it has the tuning rings on the bottom section,
it is). Thats the basic model for 10/11 meter operation (though it can
be tuned over a much broader range with a tuner).

A reasonably decent antenna for the money.

2024. Re: John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34238355.2AA0@juno.com>
Source record: 185562

Glenn wrote:
> OTOH, it is pretty funny the way a few of you make him dance like a
> trained bear (troll).
Glenn,
I assure you, its a well oiled art in here! Good laugh though.... :)

2025. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3423852E.2D56@juno.com>
Source record: 185563

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> hehehehehe, try learning proper spelling & grammar & MAYBE you
> can be intellectual. Until then, don't bother trying.
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > Besides, inciteful is what I do best (which generally leaves you in the
> > intellectual darkness trying to understand what it was I really said)
And you call yourself intellectual?
Oh well, no sense arguing with a person who obviously suffers from
cranial/rectal inversion.

2026. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342382B5.46A6@juno.com>
Source record: 185564

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> That is really pretty chicken shit on YOUR part. Sorta like
> running to mommy. What a little baby you are.
> (like my boss would care or could do anything anyway!!!!)
>
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > I'd sure like to know where his employment is, though. It would be
> > interesting to fax all these pieces of garbage to his boss. Perhaps
> > he'll shut up then.
Funny! You've been known to pull the same stunt (judging by your reaction, I'd say you were caught at it too).

Fact of the matter is, John, most companies are now starting to examine their employees "off company time" and a few of those employees have been fired for some of the things they did.

I think the best thing you can do is shut up and go away. Let the issue die, John. Its the only way to insure that peace and quiet will return.

2027. LOCAL POLICE SEIZING RADIOS, Consumer Equipment at Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Amor Powers, CB Radio" <power@CB.Radio.net>
Message-ID: <34239DF9.153F@CB.Radio.net>
Source record: 185565

POLICE WILL SEIZE YOUR RADIOS
Recently proposed USA legislation would permit local authorities to march into your home and seize your radios. Other proposed legislation deals with restricting which frequencies we can listen to! Combined with local antenna restrictions and covenants, this legislation (if passed) will be the death knell for radio as we know it.

WHO IS AT FAULT?
Consumer electronic (stereos, TVs, VCRs, telephones) companies flood the market with audio equipment that is designed to sell cheap. This usually means that the equipment is so poorly designed that it easily picks up Radio Frequencies by mistake. So, whenever a nearby transmitter is operating (CB, Ham, HF), "Interference" occurs. The problem mainly occurs below about 60MHz, but is most severe below about 30MHz. This is due to state-of-the-art consumer IC design using silicon structures which have about a 50MHz range.

RFI IN OUR SOCIETY
This RF "Interference" causes problems which used to be resolved in a neighborly way in the old days. In modern society, people do not know their neighbors. So, the police are called to eliminate the "disturbance". Instead of recommending that the consumer electronics user fix the faulty equipment, the police attempt to solve the "crime" by taking out the "perpetrator" (Radio Operator).

FCC DOESN'T CARE... WHY SHOULD THEY?
The FCC has no testing verification program for consumer electronic equipment RF susceptability. Only emmissions (thank Goddess for little favors). This is good because they have traditionally not made rules on what frequencies can be received... If a stereo is also a "shortwave receiver"(by accident), the FCC doesn't care. And they shouldn't.

Buyer Beware!

Amor Powers
One of The-Powers-That-Be
Lots of hot air

2028. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920103201.GAA11042@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185566

In article <5vsf2m$n2i$1@gail.ripco.com>, mwojcik@ripco.com (Mike Wojcik) writes:

> I live in Chicago and I am wondering what the exact scanner laws are.. I >am always hearing what people THINK they are, and people usually disagree >with
>eachother.. Can anyone tell me where to find the law (on the web), or tell >me, to the best of their knowledge what the laws are?

City of Chicago has a separate ordinance which makes it a cime to use a scanner in the commission of any other crime. No other mobile scanner restrictions that I know of in the State. Are you any relation to the alderman who proposed the first Chicago anti-scanner law?? Are you familiar with the Communications Act of 1934 and the ammended version of the ECPA? (Both Federal). Happy Scanning - Ted

2029. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920103201.GAA16660@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185567

>Call your local Police department and ask them, as they are the ones who
>would be harrassing you in the first place. If the officer says it's
>ok, ask his name, and write it down along with the date and time. A
>recording of your conversation will be kept for several years.

More anti-police drivel from Lord Nymon. You think police departments keep tapes of non-emergency traffic around for "years"?. You should try your sarcastic attitude out with a Chicago Police Officer in person, preferably in an alley, at night. You'd still need a court order or subpoena to get a transcipt or copy. What you are trying to say is that it's okay to break laws you disagree with, just get a foundation for your criminal defense before you've been arrested. No wonder you appear to have problems with the Police harrassing you. Happy Scanning.

2030. Re: Let's Argue About Interfaces

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920103200.GAA11043@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185568

In article <19970919011900.VAA25734@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) writes:

>So I gotta ask: Why in the heck would anyone want a Cheek interface? What
>can you do with the Cheekatronics that I can't do for at least $100 less?

Upload and download memory info, very useful. Also the CE-232 can be mated
with the Vader Board for audio recording. Also, a large support base of
software, add-ons and other related mods. I prefer the opto interface
myself, though for somebody who does a lot of travelling, the ce-232
certainly has it's attractions. Happy Scanning.

2031. Quest: Channel Master Monitenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920103200.GAA16662@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185569

I recently replaced a broken Scantenna with a Channel Master Monitenna. I works well, but I noticed a dead short between braid and center conductor at the impedance transformer. Is this normal? I checked some other 75/300 Ohm transformers, some were shorted, others were not. What gives? This sure looks like a multi-element dipole to me. Wouldn't it work better if the lower set of elements were connected soley to braid/ground and the upper half connected soley to the coax center conductor?

Brief, un-scientific review:

My long serving scantenna was recently torn to shreds by a windstorm. I replaced it with a Channel Master Monitenna. The new one seems to have an edge over the scantenna on VHF and UHF Public safety frequencies. The scantenna was better on VHF/UHF Aero. They appear about the same on low band and on 800 Mhz. Both are made from hollow TV-Antenna elements, not very durable in harsh climates like Chicago.

The channel master comes in two versions, one with just the antenna, another with a mast, stand-off, "tripod" and coax. There is only a 10 dollar price difference. AES in Milwaukee had the one with the mast in stock, the other they did not. I did not use the tripod, and it's not much of a tripod at that. But it does come with good quality RG-6 coax. I used Belden RG-8 for the lead in and the RG-6 for some inside patch work. I got conflicting answers from the AES staff as to whether or not the cheaper version includes the stand-off bar, required if you are installing it on a mast. I don't know if channel master is going to continue to produce this antenna, AES shows it as a discontinued item in their catalog, if I'm not mistaken.

I used outdoor quality cable ties (long ones) to reinforce the snap-in-place element joints in an attempt to improve it's wind handling ability. We'll see how it does in a Chicago winter.

Overall, I'm quite happy with the monitenna, and, I was similarly pleased with the Scantenna. Both leave something to be desired in the sturdiness department, so take that into consideration if roof / mast access is problematical for you. Another sharp antenna to consider is the Grove Off-Center Fed Vertical Dipole. They came out with a new version of this old-timer not too long ago, though I have trouble locating it now in their on-line catalog. I have seen some amazing performance from that unit on UHF Military Air Freqs, nothing like an off-center fed dipole for lots of matches across a wide range of freqs. Happy Scanning.

2032. Ohare Airport Updated ATC List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920103200.GAA11045@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185570

OHARE AIRPORT AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL:

000.350 DEANNA NDB & TWEB - ME
000.368 LEAMA NDB - OH
000.394 ROAMY NDB - OR
000.414 TAFFS NDB - IA
108.950 ILS/DME-RVG Runway 32L
109.750 ILS/DME-MED Runway 9R
110.100 ILS-LQQ Runway 22L / ILS-FJU Runway 4R
110.500 ILS-JAV Runway 9L / ILS-IAC Runway 27R
110.750 ILS-IDN Runway 32R
110.900 ILS/DME-OHA Runway 14L
111.100 ILS/DME-TSL Runway 27L
111.300 ILS-RXZ Runway 22R / ILS-HNA Ruway 4L Localizer Only
113.900 VOR / DME & HIWAS Weather
118.100 1960's era Tower Frequency, long out of service
119.000 East Approach VHF, w/393.100 UHF Pair
119.250 Aux. Arv/Dep & Tower Emergency
119.350 Former Aux. Approach Frequency, inactive
119.575 Former ATIS relay, inactive
120.550 Class B / VFR Advisory North, inactive? / Chgo Radar
120.750 South Tower
121.150 Aux. Approach
121.500 Emergency Guard VHF, w/243.000 Pair
121.600 Clearance Delivery
121.675 Ground Metering
121.750 Outbound Ground Control
121.900 Inbound Ground Control
121.975 Private FAA Flightwatch Service
122.000 Commercial FAA Flightwatch Service
122.950 UNICOM (Signature Services)
123.050 OHare TCA Helicopter Unicom / CTAF
124.350 Aux. Approach, once fed into 135.075 for West Approach
124.700 Aux. Approach
125.000 Northeast Departure VHF, w/337.400 UHF Pair
125.400 West Departure VHF, w/307.200 UHF Pair
125.700 Aux. Approach
126.200 USAF Pilot Dispatch VHF / Tower Aux. Channel
126.800 Tower Aux. Channel
126.900 North Tower, currently out of service as per NOTAM
127.400 South Departure VHF, w/269.500 UHF Pair
127.925 New Tower / Ground Outbound feeder to 120.750
128.450 West Approach VHF, w/284.000 UHF Pair, former feeder for 119.000
128.575 Former North Approach Aux. Frequency, inactive

129.050 International Terminal Gate Control
132.700 Tower Overload, currently North Tower
133.100 Chgo Radar Arv/Dep IFR Clearance Delivery via ARTCC
133.500 Class B / VFR Advisor South, inactive?
133.750 Former VFR Advisory service
135.075 Former West Approach feeder frequency, inactive
135.400 VHF ATIS, w/269.900 UHF Pair
143.800 USAF Pilot Dispatch Military AM
243.000 Emergency Guard UGF, w/121.500 VHF Pair
252.100 Former USAF Command Post for C-130 aircraft
269.500 South Departure, w/127.400 VHF Pair
269.900 UHF ATIS, w/135.400 VHF Pair
284.000 West Approach UHF, w/128.450 VHF Pair
307.200 West Departure UHF, w/125.400 VHF Pair
337.400 Northeast Departure UHF, w/125.000 VHF Pair
348.600 UHF Ground Control Frequency
372.200 USAF Pilot Dispatch UHF
384.900 USAF Operations for KC-135 aircraft
390.900 UHF Tower Frequency
393.100 East Approach UHF, w/119.000 VHF Pair

2033. REQ: PRO-2045 Discriminator / S-Meter Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920103200.GAA11044@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185571

My wife, sweetie that she is, gave me a Radio Shack PRO-2045 for my birthday. Yow! Nice radio, way hot on 800 Mhz. Many cool features.

My question is - Does anybody have the test points / pin outs for picking off the discriminator output (for hook up to a CTCSS reader). I am also looking for the pin connections for an external S-Meter. I tried some search engines and got some great lists of pin-outs, but not for this radio.

Note: She paid full price but threw a fit and got them to issue her a 90 day price guarantee, as it's being discontinued and should be discounted soon. Her search indicated that there were only 3 stores left in the Chicago area with these radios in stock, so if you want one better act soon. See the Feb-97 issue of Monitoring Times Magazine for Mr.Parnass's excellent review.

Thanks in advance and Happy Scanning!

2034. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920114001.HAA17738@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185572

Subject: The Mackey & Cheek Crap
From: mm505@mindspring.com (Michael and Jeannie Martin)
Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 00:55:49 GMT
Message-id: <5vv6uk$a1r@camel1.mindspring.com>

He Said:
Why can't someone just get the owner of this news group to ban the two
of them from this newsgroup and we can get back to scanner talk?
Jesus, If I wanted to listen to children play, I'd find me a CB to
listen to!

/\/\|k.e

I said:

Let me see if I can beat out the other 85 people who are going to tell that there is no "owner" of this or virutally any other group. Some groups have moderators. This one does not and despite some interest in about a year ago, no one really got behind the idea. As I recall, the people stirring up all of the trouble back there are the same who are doing it now. They also were the ones who were most vocal against a moderated newsgropup.

Mackey's beef with Cheek is that Mackey got kicked off a discussion group moderated by Cheek--basically for the same antics that Mackey is pulling here. Ironically, the antics that Cheek condemned in the other discussion groups are the same as the ones that he is also pulling off here.

The good thing is that despite the hypocrisy, all of this proves the First Admendment is alive and well and I am happy to take the bad and good about it together.

2035. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920130900.JAA15295@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185573

In article <34235CAE.1638@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>People ASKED me to post that message to the NG because they did
>NOT believe Cheek would write such a message. I DID NOT send the other
>messages he wrote to me. WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO YOU STUPID ASS??

Please post whom requested this info......
I would like to talk to them also.

Your lithium running out too?

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2036. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920130900.JAA20914@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185574

In article <3423639B.51D3@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>>KKim127376 wrote:
>>> There is a long stretch of beach just past the Club Med and Yoga Center on
>> Paradise Island that usually became CO each day that my wife and I were
>> there.
>>I've been there before & DID NOT care for it. There a lot of creepy
>>individuals there & several interracial couples. Definetely NOT
>>a wholesome place to take children.
>
>

Boy, a nude place not a beach for children???..you've certainly got a
racism case there mackey.
Mentioning interacial couples may be needless, but it is VERY far from racist.
He was merely stating a fact, in politically correct terms.

NOW SHUT UP!!!...you have no proof, or brain cells.

Mackey, did you finally beat that child porn accusation?

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2037. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920130901.JAA20913@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185575

In article <34235B93.7102@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>You OBVIOUSLY are not paying attention. I WAS ASKED TO POST
>THE MESSAGE BY PEOPLE WHO DID NOT BELIEVE CHEEK WOULD WRITE
>SUCH AN E-MAIL. tHEY ASKED ME TO POST IT FOR THEIR REVEW. CHEEK
>SHOULD NOT HAVE STARTED IT to begin with & you should complain
>at those who asked me to post it, NOT ME.

Who asked you this?????? (other than the voices in your head?)

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2038. Re: Filter to block 152.48 Pager?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tazdvls@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920132501.JAA21731@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185576

absolutly. try PAR ELECTRONICS 407 586 6278
they make a nice filter that does a good job on that pager signal. i
have one. it is a quality made product.

2039. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. E" <ANTISPAMalaskanrick@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <600jfq$a8s$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 185577

test-Y won't this work? Ahhh..

2040. ***Visit This New Scanner Related Website!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: crbbooks@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920131901.JAA21410@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185578

Check out the great new CRB Research Books home page: www.crbbooks.com

2041. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Erwin Thurlow <geosynq@antispam.trader.com>
Message-ID: <3423EF11.7970@antispam.trader.com>
Source record: 185579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> I'll stick by my first statement (Its a matter of field strength). If
> you stay legal you'll be lucky to hear your own signal at the end of
> your house...not Mw but generated strength. I've played this game
> before and 100 Mw into a 5 foot antenna will be heard for at least 1
> block. Play at your own risk...73

N8XYN,
I don't understand what you're saying here, but Bry _is_ correct.
The old RS 12-in-1 kit had a 1 tube xmitr. The instructions for that
experiment included the CFR47 regs on the circuit (Probably required by law).

Ya' know...a 1/2 wave dipole for 100MHz(Commerial FM) is under 5' in length.
Ask a QRP guy the best way to feed 100mW to a dipole, but I'll bet the
range is in _kilometers_, not rooms or blocks. I've done 5 miles on 2m@500mW.
--
Spam Defense: Click <A HREF="mailto:geosynq@trader.com">HERE</A> to reply.
Elder - Westminster Presbyterian
TRA #2693
N9VEM

2042. www.potg.com = Free comedy radio, Unique and Award-Winning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobciz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920151400.LAA27845@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185580

www.potg.com = Free comedy radio, Unique and Award-Winning

http://www.potg.com/
See: Why everyone on the internet is talking about this progressive new website; why up until now, this site has grown simply by word-of-mouth.
Hear: The show that is breaking boundaries on the radio, making it an entertaining medium once more, and why certain sites have already heralded it as "the show that will redefine radio and will usher in a new style of comedy for the 21st century."
Taste: The difference between Planet of the Grapes' potent style of humor and the type of humor that makes you turn off your television or radio in disgust. The show's hosts guarantee that whether you like it or not, it is impossible to deny that it has a unique, fresh style.

The Hosts: Deaze Beans, Yahdderman, and U.B. Jackson
The Show : Planet of the Grapes
The Site: http://www.potg.com/
The Reason: Join the bandwagon that is headed into the future of comedy!

2043. Re: Asthma attack in flite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: roy@popmail.med.nyu.edu
Message-ID: <roy-ya023680002009970738130001@netnews.nyu.edu>
Source record: 185581
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

mik@hppwdj56.pwd.hp.com.DelThisBit wrote:
> And we heard that the passenger who had been taken off was out of danger,
> and was expected to continue his trip to England later that week.

Just out of curiosity, who reimburses the airline for the costs incurred when something like this happens? A lot of fuel was dumped, and a lot of extra fuel had to have been burned in the unscheduled descent and climb, not to mention the higher speed cruise segment used to make up for lost time. Had the brakes, or wheels been damaged during the high-speed high-weight landing, the costs would have been significantly higher.

Does the airline just eat this, or does the passanger's medical insurance carrier typically pick up the bill?

2044. FS PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: baron@primenet.com
Message-ID: <600tb0$4u2@nntp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 185582

For Sale
PRO-2004 scanner. Mint condition modded for speed, freq range and more.
Includes an extra keypad, manual, service manual, scanner mod handbooks
1 and 2 and extras. $225.00 + shipping. E-Mail for info.

Peace...
Kevin Nelson

2045. Re: John Mackey and the Ferry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34240572.A63DAA5C@juno.com>
Source record: 185583

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
>
> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > Dan, if people don't care then why were they talking about it &
> > asking questions?
> Why aren't you answering? Someone posted a side by side comparison and
> you failed to refute it. In fact, you failed to acknowlege it at all.

He was wrong, no mystery. If he can't fabricate a story or lie he
ignores the facts.

> Ignoring a fact does not make it go away, it just makes you look like a
> fool.

Shouldn't that have been looks like a bigger?

2046. Re: Filter to block 152.48 Pager?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34240FEA.2671@usa.net>
Source record: 185584

The BEST thing you could do it so get a "band-reject" filter made by
Motorola, Wacom, TX-RX, or Cellwave. Go the the newsgroup
"rec.radio.swap" & look for one, I see them go used there quite often.
Have the person selling it to you to tune it up for reject
on 152.48. It will reject that freq (+ or - about .5 MHz) and
let everything else pass.

Good luck.

Tom wrote:
> Call anyone recommend a notch filter to wipe out the 152.48 Mhz paging
> freq?
> I am one mile away from a strong pager. I can read the signal directly
> on my freq counter. It gets into everything.
> Anyone know of a vendor for selective filters?

2047. Re: Yaesu FT-10R modification? anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: DR Electronics <drele@hol.gr>
Message-ID: <01bcc5ef$04a35580$27d81ec2@drele.hol.gr>
Source record: 185585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Remove diode labeled "M" under the keyboard. Do a reset.

Atle Nordlien <atnordli@sn.no> wrote in article <3422AD88.2F0F@sn.no>...
>
> I have a Yaesu FT-10R ranging from 144 to 146 MHz.
> Does anyone know where I can get the modification procedure to
> extend the bandwidth.
>
> Thanks.
> --
> - Oystein Sorensen
> - (from Atle Nordlien's account)
>

2048. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34240BF9.F4928430@juno.com>
Source record: 185586

So why the new identity Mikey? Collected too many skeletons in the
closet?

ScanSkip wrote:
> Let me see if I can beat out the other 85 people who are going to tell
> that there is no "owner" of this or virutally any other group. Some groups
> have moderators. This one does not and despite some interest in about a
> year ago, no one really got behind the idea. As I recall, the people
> stirring up all of the trouble back there are the same who are doing it
> now. They also were the ones who were most vocal against a moderated
> newsgropup.

Ah yes, moderation, the tool for those that don't have the God given
sense to see a name or topic and not read it if it causes them
displeasure. Don't use you brain, don't use a filter have somebody else
make the decision as to what you should read.

>
> Mackey's beef with Cheek is that Mackey got kicked off a discussion group
> moderated by Cheek--basically for the same antics that Mackey is pulling
> here. Ironically, the antics that Cheek condemned in the other discussion
> groups are the same as the ones that he is also pulling off here.

What is Cheek pulling off here Mikey? I have seen nothing but post
pertaining to scanning from Cheek lately. I actually am impressed that
Cheek has ignored your pal John for so long. Six month ago Cheek would
have replied to most of Mackey's messages but instead has kept silent.
Sounds to me you are jealous of Cheek (how many books have you written?
What magazine do you write for?) or just trying to flush him out into
the flamefest. Which one is it Mikey?

> The good thing is that despite the hypocrisy, all of this proves the First
> Admendment is alive and well and I am happy to take the bad and good about
> it together.

Moving words Mikey. I have to go and wipe the tears away now. God bless
America and you!

2049. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3424180D.1D17@usa.net>
Source record: 185587

I am getting TIRED of having to show you everything the second & third time. If you want to argue that fact then find the posts YOURSELF that negate my statement. You are the ONLY one argueing this one, little boy. I see NO ONE else supporting you on this one.

The CB Guy wrote:
> Who asked you this??????

2050. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3424116E.7803@usa.net>
Source record: 185588

ScanSkip wrote:

> Let me see if I can beat out the other 85 people who are going to tell
> that there is no "owner" of this or virutally any other group. Some groups

OBVIOUSLY, the originator of this thread is new here.

> have moderators. This one does not and despite some interest in about a
> year ago, no one really got behind the idea. As I recall, the people
> stirring up all of the trouble back there are the same who are doing it
> now. They also were the ones who were most vocal against a moderated
> newsgropup.

It is interesting that Bill Cheek was vocal against having a moderated
NG yet he was running for election of the moderator position of THIS
NG at the very same time!

> Mackey's beef with Cheek is that Mackey got kicked off a discussion group
> moderated by Cheek--basically for the same antics that Mackey is pulling
> here.

Not quite, I was not doing anywhere near the stuff there that I do
hear. Bill Cheek started became the new moderator &
started a new policy called "zero tolorance", if you did something
wrong you got kicked off. I spoke against that policy, & I got kicked
off. Interesting to point out that a few months ago Bill Cheek left
the moderator position of that group & held an election process for
a new moderator. I was nominated by TWO people for the moderator
election & Bill Cheek REFUSED to accept me into the election!

> Ironically, the antics that Cheek condemned in the other discussion
> groups are the same as the ones that he is also pulling off here.

That is VERY TRUE.

2051. New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6014ie$5p7$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 185589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I know that Europe has FM CB already. But this is becoming to much like Amateur Radio. The only way I would support this is to allow hams to have access using their callsigns and having higher power. I is sort of like the GMRS folks having access to FRS (they can use higher power).

Amor Powers, CB Radio wrote:
>
> The following has been PROPOSED for International 27MHz:
>
> >>The new FM CALLING channel will be
> >>CHANNEL 63 (27.635).
> >>The new FM PACKET channel will be
> >>CHANNEL 68 (27.635).
> >>The FM channels are CHANNEL 63 through 68.
>
> >>===
> >>PROPOSED INTERNATIONAL 27MHz FM CHANNEL PLAN:
> >>27.635 CH 63 FM CALLING ONLY
> >>27.645 CH 64 FM
> >>27.655 CH 65 FM
> >>27.665 CH 66 FM
> >>27.675 CH 67 FM
> >>27.685 CH 68 FM PACKET RADIO
> >>===
>
> END OF POST

2052. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34241757.4D78@usa.net>
Source record: 185590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Bill wrote:

> That's not possible, John has NO friends.

As usual, Bill's message is meaningless drivel & only meant to
start trouble.

2053. Re: Was the R7000 800Mhz Blocked? (was Re: FS: Icom R-7000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Gasta <tomgasta@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6016mt$li6@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185591

Gary Fiber wrote:
>
> On Tue, 16 Sep 1997 22:04:00 -0500, NoSpam.n9jig@theramp.net (Rich
> Carlson, N9JIG) wrote:
>
> >The R7000 was never blocked. It was pulled from the civilian market when
> >the law required it, and the R7100 was cell blocked (actually the entire
> >800-900 band removed.
> >
> >The R7000 was/is still sold to government and commercial users, as is
> >unblocked R7100's.
> >
> >When I was on CIS this same conversation was had and this was the end
> >result of it.
>
> Rich is correct. The R-7000 and R-9000 were never changed by ICOM.
> So ICOM removed those two receivers from the consumer market after the
> deadline in 1994 for selling existing stock passed.
>
> ICOM HAD blocked and unblocked versions of the R1 and R-7100.
>
> ICOM has blocked and unblocked versions of the IC-R-10 , R-100, and
> R-8500.
>
> Gary
> Copyright 1997 All Rights reserved
> Gary Fiber

I have had an unblocked R7000 for about 8 years. I also had a new R7000
as part of a recent trade that was most certainly cell blocked. For the
last couple of years most of the amateur stores have been selling
blocked r7000s while advertising to all the "government agencies"
reading their catalogs, unblocked versions. With the development of the
R8500, the r7000 is beginning to die out in the stores, but there were
blocked versions sold after 1994.

Tom

2054. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3424166F.2049@usa.net>
Source record: 185592

ScanSkip wrote:

> Subject: Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners
> From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
> Date: Fri, 19 Sep 1997 18:05:52 GMT
> > It may well be that the developers were bitter for unwarranted
> > reasons. Besides, what business is it of ours? Legal and business
> disputes occur each and every day, and there are lawyers to sort it all
> out. Let it go and get a life!
SNIP
> >And what's more, there is a boatload of untapped potential in the
> >CE-232 that just begs to be "discovered".....things a lot more
> >important and useful than sniveling Easter Eggs.

Well Bill it would seem to me that the buying public that
are purchasing the product are essentially supporting YOU &
the CE232. As the public supporting your product they would have
a right to know more about this issue. If (as you put it above)
a "legal and business dispute" did occur then the supporters
of the product have a right to decide if they want to support
the business practice that you displayed that resulted in
this.

> Well, I might believe all of this stuff if it weren't for your recent
> Billy Thunder shennigans. You've proven yourself to be a forger, so why not
> a liar?

That is an excellent point, good sound thinking there!

> Or did you develop it for the glory and not the money?

Glory seems to be the ony thing Cheek cares about.

2055. Re: ICOM R10 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gfiber@news.cmc.net
Message-ID: <3424e5d6.8777759@news.cmc.net>
Source record: 185593

On Mon, 15 Sep 1997 05:52:05 -0700, David F <david@avsc.com> wrote:

>Is there any that can read a radio,
>edit information,
>then download back to radio.
>Any help would be great!
Yes purchase the ICOM CSR10 software from your dealer, also you will
need the OPC-478 cloning cable.

Gary
Copyright 1997 All Rights reserved
Gary Fiber

2056. Re: Does anyone know what scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920194001.PAA09504@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185594

basically any scanner can pick up the 46 - 49 mhz band cordless, but you need a 800 mhz scanner to pick up the newer cordless phones
---josh

2057. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3423E4A4.147A@prodigy.net>
Source record: 185595
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> The sad thing is, the liberals are quick to denounce guns,
> but ask them if
> they would give up *their* guns. 90% will never admit to gun
> ownership, but
> most of them do indeed have their own guns, but yet they
> expect the rest of
> the population to give up their guns. As you stated in your
> quote, only the
> police (in a police/socialism state) and government will
> have guns. As a
> subservient populace, they will not only expect you to
> behave, you will be
> powerless to defend yourself against the tyranny.
>
All pigs are created equal but some are more equal than others
--Animal Farm

2058. off topic-anti liberal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. E" <ANTISPAMalaskanrick@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <601bllfih2@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 185596

In the spirit of things at this NG, my anti-liberal entertainment center can be found at http://home1.gte.net/cyberick/home.htm Contributions of any kind are widly excepted, anti liberal and pro, since it just got started. I look foward to having you there. I apoligize for the off topic post.
NOSPAMalaskanrick@hotmail.com
"Criminals fear armed citizens."

2059. What Frequency CD to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: aellery@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920214201.RAA23105@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185597

I'm am planning to buy the PerCon Spectrum Frequency CD ($30 + shipping). So far I've know of two other ones, the one from Grove (+$70 compared to Spectrum) and one called Scanner Master (about $15) that I saw at a ham fest. I would like to be able to enter a freq and location and have the TX station ID'd. Any thoughts or experience on which one to buy or other ones to consider?

Allen

2060. PD 2.04 POCSAG Decoder Release

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete Baston <pete@bearnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8Nqy3YA2JAJ0EwGI@bearnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 185598
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

** Announcing the release of PD (POCSAG Decoder) Version 2.04 **

PD 2.04 may be downloaded from the following sites:-

http://www.bearnet.demon.co.uk/pocsag/

http://www.keplens.co.uk/pager/

http://www.pervisell.com/ham/

ftp://ftp.demon.co.uk/pub/ham/scanners/pd-204.zip

The following is a summary of the improvements made to PD 2.04, over
version 2.03:-

VERSION 2.04 September 1997

* Made language features configurable by means of .LNG files.
* Re - introduced short single-line display and log formats.
* Added TextScan facility, allowing up to 10 text strings to be searched
for in received messages.
* Serial output enabled in shareware version - allows evaluation with
Dave Evans' P_Base.
* Highlighting and beeping for selected pages now work in the shareware
version
* Added options for date and time on serial output.
* Added the "filter" facility to restrict decoding to only those RIC's
listed in PAGERS.INI or messages containing matching text strings.
* Added the ability to globally reject tone-only pages.
* PAGERS.INI and REJECT.INI may now each contain up to 1000 entries.

--

******* Pete Baston, Buckley, Flintshire, U.K. *******
******* http://www.bearnet.demon.co.uk/ *******

2061. FS: Radio Shack Scanner Model PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug <ko6tx@discover.net>
Message-ID: <34245334.1BA1@discover.net>
Source record: 185599

400 Memory Channels
receives from 25-520 Mhz 760-1300 Mhz AM FM WBFM
Back Light LCD
117 v. AC or 12v. DC
Memory Back up battery
Audio Tape out
Hyperscan rate of 30 channels per second
All other kinds of Bells and Whistles

Unit is in Perfect Condition
Comes with Box, Manual, and telescoping antenna

Price $350.00 Shipped in Cont. USA

Doug
ko6tx@discover.net
(909) 797-8644 (please no calls after 9pm PST)

posted Sept. 20, 97 @ 1500

2062. Re: Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tomcat121356@webtv.net
Message-ID: <600sll$qo1$1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185600
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

--WebTV-Mail-822677554-388

If you have any neighbors with a baby monitor around you are probally hearing the background noise in it also there may be someone with a wireless intercom around you. I know that baby monitors and intercoms are in the 48mhz range but I have picked up baby monitors and intercoms as well as kids talking on walkie talkies on my scanner. Hope this helps.

--WebTV-Mail-822677554-388
Content-Description: signature

--WebTV-Mail-822677554-388--

2063. Alinco 2m HT-$175

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. E" <ANTISPAMalaskanrick@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <601d8efih5@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 185601

Alinco DJ 191-HT handheld 2m with NiCd, lighter cord, etc. Solid $175, Not even a few months old. Bought brand new for more thn $200. Mint cond. has been in leather carrying case the whole time. CTCSS encode, no decode. decode kit can be purchased and installs in a slot smaller than a quarter. Has Largest frequency display of ANY HANDHELD ON THE MARKET TODAY. Backlight lights up display and number pad. Extended scanning to 170.00 mhz Used very few times.

NOSPAMalaskanrick@hotmail.com
(remove NOSPAM
from address)

2064. To John Mackey.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc612$9fdcf420$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 185602

Knock.....knock....?

2065. Re: John Mackey's new book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <5vv735$a1r@camel1.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185687

Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com> wrote:

>Phil Smalley wrote:
>> News Flash! News Flash!
>> This just in: In a heated attempt to further humiliate scanner expert Bill
>> Cheek, John Mackey has released a modification book of his own: "I know
>> more than Bill Cheeeeeeeeek!". The book details how to annoy newsgroups by
>> claiming that you are the subject of Bill's flames. Also detailed is the
>> emphasizing power of the letter 'e' and how to post fake email and say it
>> came from him. The last chapter deals with how to change batteries in your
>> remote control using only your toes. The book is said to hit shelves
>> sometime after Hell freezes over.
>>
>> -Phil
>hahahaha!!!!!!

>Good one. Now this took a little thought!
>Phil, a good cut in any case. Good humor and all that!!!! :)

>I think it'll be a LOOOOOOONG time before hell developes a coat of ice,
>though.

Unfortunately, there is a HELL, MICHIGAN!

/\/\|k.e

2066. The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <5vv6uk$a1r@camel1.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185688

Why can't someone just get the owner of this news group to ban the two of them from this newsgroup and we can get back to scanner talk? Jesus, If I wanted to listen to children play, I'd find me a CB to listen to!

/\/\|k.e

2067. AutoLookUp Feature; CE-232; a tutorial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3423122c.193631605@news-server>
Source record: 185689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

This article is copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek. Permission to reprint for FREE access and FREE use by others is automatically granted so long as this entire article, including signature box at the end are retained intact and unaltered.

A TUTORIAL ON
THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE'S
AUTO-LOOKUP FUNCTION

INTRODUCTION
============

The CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface is a 2.5" x 3" electronic board that goes between an IBM-PC/compatible computer and a VHF-UHF scanning receiver for a host of powerful capabilities.

The CE-232 is a -smart- RS-232 Interface controller and data acquisition system for some scanners and at least a drudgery-free automatic programmer and remote controller for all supported scanners.

The CE-232 eliminates a lot of the drudgery and labor in scanning by its ability to "AutoProgram" up to 999 memory channels from a plain ASCII text file in a short time, error-free and exactly the way you want the scanner configured.

The CE-232 also allows REMOTE control of the scanner from the computer keyboard; a strong +plus+ for handheld scanners and those with hard-to- operate keyboards like the PRO-43, PRO-2035 and PRO-2042!

The CE-232 is a one-way controller and AutoProgrammer like described above for MANY scanners, including the PRO-2042, PRO-2035, PRO-2022, PRO-43, PRO-39, PRO-37, PRO-34, PRO-26, etc.

The CE-232 is a TWO-WAY controller, AutoProgrammer and data acquisition system for the time-honored PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006 scanners which have unique circuitry that allows the CE-232 to do MUCH more than just AutoProgram and Remote Control, including AutoLog data on "hits", AUTO-REJECT undesired signals, AUTO-LOOKUP data about a certain frequency, and much more.

AUTO-LOOKUP OVERVIEW
====================

** CE-232 offers a LOOKUP feature for ID of signals! **

The CE-232 sports a LOOKUP function to display data in a screen table for the active frequency every time the scanner STOPS on a

signal! Your previously prepared AutoProgram (*.APF) File can do
nicely for this file, if it contains the kind of information useful
for a LookUp function. It will display whatever is in that file for
that frequency! Great for instant identifications of the signals
received by your scanner!

AutoLookUp is PRO-2004/5/6 feature only.

AUTO-LOOKUP DETAILS
==========

The AutoLookUp function searches a LookUp file (when SQuelch breaks)
for the frequency in the scanner's LCD display at that moment and
shows a section of the LookUp file including the line that contains
THAT frequency. The line containing the active frequency is high-
lighted for maximum visibility.

The below Figure is a reasonable facsimile of the LookUp screen of the
CE-232's display when the scanner stops on a frequency found in the
LookUp file:

```
================================================================================
| * CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE PROGRAM * |
|_______________________________________________________________________________|
| __________ Scan 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 _________ |
|| OUTPUT | Bank X _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ | INPUT ||
|| STATUS | 26 ch 47.5000 Mhz | STATUS ||
|| 0100 | Delay nfm | 101001 ||
|_______________________________________________________________________________|
| -------------- LOOKUP SCREEN -------------- |
| |
|,023, 37.9000,,D,,,,,,EMRG,CIVD,SDG,Civil Defense, F-2, secondary; input to 37.9 |
|,024, 37.9800,,D,,,,,,EMRG,CIVD,SDG,Civil Defense, F-1, primary,,, |
|,025, 47.4200,,D,,,,,,EMRG,EMRG,NAT,Red Cross; F-1; pri; Ops/Disasters; KGB-223; |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|,026, 47.5000,,D,,,,,,EMRG,EMRG,NAT,Red Cross,,, |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|,027,153.7400,,D,,,,,,STAT,EMRG,SDG,Calif Disaster Preparedness Office; Statewi |
|,028,153.7550,,D,,,,,,STAT,PRIS,SDG,Calif Dept of Corrections; Security; Fallbr |
|,029,154.9800,,D,,,,,,STAT,EMRG,SDG,Calif Disaster Preparedness Office; Rptr IN |
| |
| Use Ctrl + Arrow Keys to Scroll Left and Right |
|_______________________________________________________________________________|
| __F1__ __F2__ ___F3___ __F4___ ___F5___ ___F6___ ___F7___ __F8__ __F9__ __F10__ |
| HELP MISC LOOKUP APRGM SCRIPT CONFIG REJECT TOOL ALOG PAGE |
| on |
================================================================================
```

Obviously, the LookUp feature is not especially useful for SEARCHing
unless either you have one monstrous database/LookUp file (the RF
spectrum is huge!), or you do small and narrow searches that can be
covered more easily by a LookUp file. Frankly, no one of whom I'm

aware uses the AutoLookUp function for Searches, but we use the heck out of it for scans.

AutoLookUp is ideally suited for SCANs when the frequencies are first AutoProgrammed into the scanner from a *.APF file. In this case, the *.APF file can also serve as the LookUp file.

Remember that an AutoProgrammer file consists of a plain ASCII comma-delimited text file and the CE-232 requires only the first six fields (commas), so you are free to add amore fields to suit your heart's content. The Figure above shows a display of seven records from my AutoProgrammer file. The scanner had stopped on Channel 26, at 47.5000 MHz, and the AutoLookUp function called up the file and positioned it so that the entry for 47.5000 MHz is in the middle of the screen and highlighted by a contrasting color (not shown here.) We see that 47.5000 MHz is what I call an EMERGency frequency and is used NATionwide by the Red Cross.

As soon as the scanner takes off and stops on a new channel, the scenario is repeated with a display for that new signal. It happens very quickly, usually faster than you can think about it. The only time-delay in LookUps that I ever noticed were when I ran my CE-232 on an old PC-XT/clone running at 4.77 MHz. Even so, it was still pretty fast. 386 computers and better perform like lightning on AutoLookUps, so you're probably well fixed in that department.

Pressing F3 pops up the LookUp Menu. Available selections include:

Enable LookUp - Toggles the LookUp feature on and off. A LookUp file must be designated before enabling this function. If no file is designated you will be asked to pick one.

Designate File - Allows the user to pick a file in which to perform LookUps. If a file is already designated, a new file may be chosen with this selection.

Option: ignore trailing 0's - This option, if enabled, strips the trailing zeros from the scanner's frequency & search the file again if no match was found the first time. This is useful if your LookUp file lists frequencies without trailing zeros (eg: 120.5Mhz instead of 120.5000 Mhz).

The LookUp Page is automatically displayed whenever a match in a file is found. For starters, an AutoProgram File (*.APF) can serve admirably as a LookUp File, too! Just make sure it has all the desired data that you need, organized however you like, AFTER the 6th comma (everything before the 6th comma belongs to the CE-232).

Any text file may be designated as a LookUp file and enabled from the LookUp menu (F3). This feature works best with a hard drive, small

LookUp files, and frequencies exactly like the scanner displays, (padded to 4-decimal places with trailing zeros).

If the "ignore trailing zeros" option is enabled, the function searches the file for an exact match with the freq in the scanner's format then searches the file again with the trailing zeros removed. If the searched frequency is 156.750 then this option will find 156.755 if it occurs in the file before 156.750 since it is actually looking for 156.75.

Note: The file must be a text file. Other formats, including databases, contain embedded control characters that cause unpredictable results and probable errors.

AutoLookUp Limitations

The LookUp file must be a plain text file (no embedded control characters other than CR, LF, & Tab). It has been tested up to a file size of 280K. It took approx 3 seconds to find the last entry and display it, using an old Amiga computer running in MS-DOS mode. The larger the file the longer it will take to find freqs near the end of the file. The test file was an AutoLog file, block copied, over and over, to the largest possible size and a unique entry put in last. Squelch was broken on the last freq in the file and an approximation made on how long it took to find it. Slow computers (XT's) will take longer; fast ones (386SX & up) are like lightning. It is also possible to create a RAM disk in Extended Memory and run your LookUp, Reject & *.APF files from there for somewhat better performance, especially compared to floppy & hard disks. There is no need to use a RAM disk with 386DX and faster computers.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION
======================

The CE-232's AutoLookUp function will quickly search and display from your frequency database a record for the active frequency in the scanner's LCD display. A quick glance at the highlighted finding on the monitor lets you see whatever information is associated with that "event", "hit", or stop by the scanner.

EPILOGUE
========

The utilitarian functionality of the AutoLookUp feature is largely dependent on the quality of your frequency databases. If you don't have any information, then the computer can't find what isn't there.

Therefore, it is incumbent on the operator to prepare good files, and fortunately it's not the difficult task it may once have been. The PerCon Corporation sells the FCC Database on CD-ROM and they include a utility to convert desired data to the CE-232's *.APF format, lightning quick, no fuss, no muss.

There are a number of other tools to make life easier when it comes to preparing and compiling your own frequency databases. Two of which come to mind include Reid Drummond's APFTOOLS and Computer Aided Technologies' MAGIC. Either of these programs will zip through a disorganized text file of frequency information and output a neat, clean, and ready-to-go *.APF file for the CE-232. The shareware APFTOOLS and a demo of the commercial MAGIC are available on my FTP site at: ftp.204.210.11.126 in the \pub\montimes directory. You can also e-mail to: Reid.Drummond@jpl.nasa.gov for info about APFTOOLS, and for MAGIC, contact: scancat@scancat.com

Another great tool for database work is Microsoft's WORKS for Windows, now in v4.0. WORKS comes with an integrated word processor, database manager, and spreadsheet, just the prime ticket for working with larger volumes of data. WORKS is very inexpensive, too, and has the power to run a small business from stem to stern, so it has plenty of power for the hobbyist.

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

Copyright (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek, September 19, 1997
==========[End of Article]==========

2068. FS: Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: dwhowell@iamerica.net
Message-ID: <3423124d.431946@nntp.iamerica.net>
Source record: 185690

Hello,

I have a PRO 2004 available. It is in fair condition and needs battery backup. There is a minor blenish on the "0" number on the keypad. Also, there is no box but I have a copy of the manual. It covers 25-1300 MHZ in AM, NFM, and WFM. Price is $185 + shipping.

Email me for info:
dwhowell@iamerica.net

2069. Re: Monroe County (Rochester NY) Jail Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: lok4you104@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920010401.VAA17330@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185691

have you tried 155.490

2070. Re: John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920011900.VAA12848@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185692

In article <34230369.668@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>Funny, I posted a reply showing how your headers DID match
>with the bogus post & you & your buddy suddenly
>became quiet. YOU were the one who didn't respond after
>being proven wrong (again).

Once again, Mackeys a legend in his own mind.
The headers you showed DID NOT MATCH EXACTLY.
so therefore, they mean nothing.

Time for that Prozac refill again?

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2071. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920011901.VAA18513@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185693

In article <3422FE2F.6150@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>So what is your point???
>
>Perhaps you don't understand.
>
>I posted that message, I NEVER denied that. The POST was of a e-mail
>Bill Cheek wrote. I did not write the context of the message, Bill
>Cheek did. If you don't like it, talk to Bill Cheek.
>
>

Again the idiot Mackey speaks.
If we had received that message from Cheek, we would complain to
him......HOWEVER we did not receive it from Bill.....it was sent to you in
PRIVATE e-mail, and YOU foolishly felt it needed to be published.
SO...if we have a problem with the context of what was posted to the ng,
we talk to the idiotic poster......YOU!!!

about time for the Prozac refill isn't it Mackey?

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2072. Ramsey Scanner Converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Heavy Breather"@The
Message-ID: <34234a1c.195784879@news.wworld.com>
Source record: 185694

If any one has a Ramsey Electronics scanner converter please post how well it works and if it has any problems. The unit is advertised to convert the 800-and 900 mhz basds down to 400-and 500 mhz.. Thanks.

2073. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: msgsports@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920013601.VAA19896@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185695

Hi

Pro 2022 scanner in mint condtion asking $175.00

Thanks

2074. Re: BC895XLT user quiz <g>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: daleeh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970920022101.WAA23545@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185696

As to question 2: I don't know about the 895, but the 235 manual, page 44-45 describes how to store ID's in the scan lists. You will need to have the correct frequencies and a good fleet map to ensure all ID's are found. Leaving the 235 in it's power-up state(as far as fleet maps are concerned) will result in all ID's being displayed as they become active, however they may not be sequenced correctly if Type I radios are in use. If you begin getting ID's such as 57899, 48787, etc then you know that type I's are there. You will then need to experiment with different fleet maps until you begin getting useful ID's. Take a look at www.trunktracker.com for additional hints and frequencies.

2075. Re: Ramsey Scanner Converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <sllewd@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc576$34c575a0$cfb496ce@crown.crown.icongrp.com>
Source record: 185697

A friend bought one at Dayton Hamfest (New). The thing sucks. It picks up

a tower only 2 or 3 blocks. Don't wast your money - they are junk!

Heavy Breather @ The Hospital wrote in article
<34234a1c.195784879@news.wworld.com>...
> If any one has a Ramsey Electronics scanner converter please
> post how well it works and if it has any problems. The unit is
> advertised to convert the 800-and 900 mhz basds down to 400-and 500
> mhz.. Thanks.
>

2076. Re: Cheek & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: destarr@tir.com
Message-ID: <34261830.4533836@news.tir.com>
Source record: 185698

On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 23:47:48 -0700, Phoenix Radio Man
<Phx_radio_man@juno.com> wrote:

}John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
}> I also agree with you. These cowards hiding behind their PC
}> are becoming a real pain.
}Funny, you seem to be part of the problem.......
}
}You offer no real solutions, barate others whoes opinions don't
coincide
}with yours, and generally make a nuisance of yourself. Then, you have
}the audacity to call others cowards when you yourself have played the
}same games?????
}
}Something doesn't add up here.

Not to mention, I've NEvER seen a scanner related post from him.

++
Dave Starr

Professional Shop Rat - 32 years in an Auto Plant

2077. Re: Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles W. Doolittle, N1SPX" <n1spx@snet.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc57c$a2ab8ea0$511c3ccc@n1spx.snet.net>
Source record: 185699

Probably somebodys baby room monitor or similar device. Try taking the antenna off, if it goes away, it is a room monitor or similar. If not, it is a birdie in the radio.

73,
N1SPX

Chris Poulier <cpoulier@geocities.com> wrote in article <3420168B.CF035BA3@geocities.com>...
> Hi,
>
> When I scan the cordless phone freq's my scanner always seems to get
> stuck on 46.7300 and I can hear just a hissing noise. Does anyone know
> why?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Chris.
>
>

2078. FS: Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike McKeehan <bf3rpt2nospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <34234F30.5ED5@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 185700

Opto Scout with pouch, AC charger/adaptor, manual, original box.
Will reaction tune AR8000 or Opto 456/535 equipped scanners. In
excellent condition; I haven't used it much due to school constraints.
Make an offer....
Remove "nospam" from address to reply.
Mike

2079. REQ: Freqs for RPS (Roadway Package System)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex Bischoff <abischof@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <3423500A.F8078F8F@vt.edu>
Source record: 185701

I'm looking for frequencies for RPS (Roadway Package System), a small-package delivery company based in Coraopolis/Moon, PA. If you have any, I'd appreciate it if you could reply.

Thanks,
Alex
- -
mailto:abischof@vt.edu http://www.vt.edu:10021/A/abischof/

```
---------------------------------------------------------------
| export-a-crypto-system-sig RSA-3-lines-PERL |
| #!/bin/perl -sp0777i<X+d*lMLa^*lN%0]dsXx++lMlN/dsM0<j]dsj |
| $/=unpack('H*',$_);$_=`echo 16dio\U$k"SK$/SM$n\EsN0p[lN*1 |
| lK[d2%Sa2/d0$^Ixp"|dc`;s/\W//g;$_=pack('H*',/((..)*)$/) |
---------------------------------------------------------------
```

Help Crack RC5 Now! ----> http://rc5.distributed.net/

2080. YUPITERU MVT-7100 FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: desires@alleyofdesires.com
Message-ID: <342354c0.1356006@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185702

For Sale: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Full coverage from 1-1649 MHZ, NO BLOCKAGE! 1000 channels!

Comes with leather case, battery charger, a new set of
Energizers, and original box and instructions. Has some scratches,
but everything works fine.

$399 includes certified, insured shipping.

: xwing@mindspring.com

2081. Re: WTB MVT 9200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: schuster@panix.com
Message-ID: <604asa$clu@panix3.panix.com>
Source record: 185304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <19970921212801.RAA07574@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
SHutton4 <shutton4@aol.com> wrote:
>Looking to buy a clean MVT 9200...if you are selling one, I would
>appreciate talking with you about it.

If we're talking Yupiteru, AFAIK there is no such model as MVT-9200.

There is a 7200 and a 9000.

--
Mike Schuster | 70346.1745@CompuServe.COM_nospam
schuster@panix.com_nospam | schuster@pol.net_nospam

2082. FS: PRO 41 Hand Held Scanner $30.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcs
Message-ID: <604ale$8pp@drn.zippo.com>
Source record: 185305

For Sale : Radio Shake PRO41 hand held scanner... $30.00
Email : jcs4us@cosmoaccess.net

2083. Re: Bearcat 150 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <3425D077.2A02@scarlan.com>
Source record: 185306

Livio Cariello wrote:
>
> Looking for schematic for the Bearcat 150 Scanner, need to make some
> repairs.
>
> Thanks,
> Livio

Why make repairs? The 150 is still sold in stores! Just purchase a new one, then at home put the broken one in the box, and return it the next day. You will have a brand new one that works, and it won't cost you anything.

2084. Re: cellular on locked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <3425CCF0.2632@scarlan.com>
Source record: 185307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

L Sizemore wrote:
>
> Which handhelds in the $300 or less range will receive cellular (images)
> well in the non-blocked frequencies. I am not interested (or qualified)
> to do micro soldering of components to restore missing range. I have
> heard that non triple het designs do a better job than the more
> expensive triple het models. I was looking at the pro26. Any insight on
> this or a similar product? Thanks in advance.

ALL scanners with 800 Mhz are capable of receiving cellular phone calls
WITHOUT any modifications. And it is NOT because of "image"
frequencies, it is because cell phones are not limited to the
"blocked-out" range on scanners... The area just above the blocked out
section is for cellular as well. (This is why the whole law about
blocking that narrow section of the 800 band is so stupid and
meaningless. Anyone with an 800Mhz scanner can pick up cell calls
straight out of the box without any modifications!!!

2085. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <874896244.552666@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 185308

AMEN !

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

ScanSkip wrote in article <19970920114001.HAA17738@ladder02.news.aol.com>...

>Subject: The Mackey & Cheek Crap
>From: mm505@mindspring.com (Michael and Jeannie Martin)
>Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 00:55:49 GMT
>Message-id: <5vv6uk$a1r@camel1.mindspring.com>

(Snip)

>The good thing is that despite the hypocrisy, all of this proves the First
>Admendment is alive and well and I am happy to take the bad and good about
>it together.
>

2086. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <billharr@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3425FAB0.23CD@flash.net>
Source record: 185309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Chris Hurd wrote:
>
> Howdy from Texas,
>
> Our microstation here in San Marcos
> has been up nearly six months. The
> only entity trying to shut us down
> is the city, and they're not doing
> a very good job... their case thrown
> out of municipal court (for "operating
> a bus. out of a res." the station is
> not a bus., entirely non-commercial).
>
> Broadcasting at 35 watts, reaching maybe
> five mile radius, can be heard along I-35
> between Austin/San Antonio. It is perfectly
> legal, mainly because it doesn't bleed on
> any lisc. stations. In fact, a new Houston
> station had to turn down their power so
> they wouldn't bleed us US (we're 105.9 FM).
>
> Invite all to listen live over the net
> via Real Audio, station is up 24/7, programming
> is extremely diverse in nature.
>
> Hear us at http://www.mediadesign.net
>
> Thanks,
> Chris

If you are not licensed, you are not legal in any sense of the word.

2087. Re: Old Regency 4-channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com
Message-ID: <970922040002307@thekat.cts.com>
Source record: 185310

-> From: chesler@world.std.com (David S Chesler)
-> Subject: Old Regency 4-channel
->
-> I just picked up a Regency model ACT-C-4HLU scanner at a "ham fest".
-> It has four crystal-controlled channels, and all seem to be in
-> the VHF-Lo.

The letters after the number say it all. The 4 is for 4 channels, the HLU indicate capability for High VHF, Low VHF, and UHF. There may be jumpers to switch inside if you change bands on a channel, I don't remember for sure, but it does all '3' bands.

2088. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3425694C.205@usa.net>
Source record: 185403

You have given yourself away due to a few small errors you made.

You had us going for a while, but obviously, YOU ARE ERIC OYEN.

Did you know that PSN net does not approve of their users making
bogus posts?

2089. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34256335.2F07@usa.net>
Source record: 185404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> Then why is it that so many people hate you John?

Funny, I have YET to see ANYONE here say they "hate" me.

> You have almost ceased posting on rec.radio.cb, now you start it

NO, I RARELY post on rec.radio.cb anyway, only occasionally. Look at
Dejanews if you don't believe me.

2090. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34256451.DB3@usa.net>
Source record: 185405

JRShattuck wrote:

> So far, all I've seen on these threads is your sniping with three or four
> other posters about how you are trying to keep this newsgroup clean by
> using abusive language to tell them they are idiots and don't know anything.

Why don't you tell this to those who are causeing the problems???

> So, why don't you STOP the irrelevant nonsense postings, post information
> concerning scanning and/or scanners to this newsgroups, and take the
> abusive E-mails and/or newsgroup postings back to the posters ISP?

funny, I often post articles relevant to scanning & radio-electronics
issues on this NG, you must not follow this NG very well.

2091. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34256508.3E7@usa.net>
Source record: 185406

thanks for your concern & support!

TandKMoran wrote:
> Ya know John, I just can't believe that a soft spoken, easy going,
> un-abrassive person like you could provoke anyone to send you some hate

2092. Frequencies for San Leandro, CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Kepler <tomtater@aol.com>
Message-ID: <34253CF2.5468@aol.com>
Source record: 185407

Help! I have tried every source to get codes for San Leandro police but to no avail. The Police Code book from Radio Shack lists 821-823 but it's dead as a doornail! Any help would be appreciated. Please respond to email, as I am not here that often. Thanks in advance...and best wishes to all.

Tom Kepler

2093. The Story of John Mackey "The Idiot"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: fan_of_mackey@idiots.org
Message-ID: <9157cd$e2327.250@NEWS.BROOK.EDU>
Source record: 185408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Mackey the Idiot wrote:
>
> Behold brothers and sisters, I bring you the profound story
> of how King Mackey the Great became so. It is an exciting
> tale filled with wonder, awe, and heroic deeds. Come closer,
> as I relate this grand history.
>
> In the land of Scannerdom, the county of Power Supply was
> plagued with a terrible injustice. The evil mercenary force
> from Capacitor held the people of Smoke prisoner. Repeater,
> the ruler in exile of Power Supply, offered a rare and unused
> Radio Shack battery card to anyone who could free the people
> of Smoke from their Capacitor prison. A young and fearless
> warrior stepped forward to take up the gauntlet. Repeater
> told him of the dangers but the young man was undaunted and
> resolutely set off to free them.
>
> When he arrived at the gate, Mackey was challenged by the
> fearsome guardians, Positive and Negative. So terrible were
> these mighty sentinels that even the renowned guardian Cerebus
> trembled when faced with Positive and Negative. Armed with
> his trusty screwdriver he didst battle with them. In the hands
> of a true warrior the screwdriver is no common weapon. Our
> hero, battle tested and proven in the labs and urinals of
> Technical School, was much more than a professional. He was
> a Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade.
>
> The guards fired spinning hex nuts and sliced with the dreaded
> razor sharp quartertwenty threads. Again and again, using
> every feint, lunge, and parry the guardians had learned in
> centuries of defending the cabinet, but to no avail. Mackey
> maneuvered himself and with one swift strike, he ended it. He
> had touched both guardians in the only way to kill them. He
> bridged the gap between them in a move only a Master Bladesman
> could execute, the Short.
>
> The Capacitor force exploded from the cataclysm releasing the
> Smoke from their electrolytic bondage. Afterward, the Smoke
> rewarded Mackey with their highest honor, the Diddler of the
> Spark.
>
> Mackey, hoisted by his own petard, began the journey home. In
> the land of Recdotradiodotscanner, he was attacked by the

> Legions of Ennui. These were no ordinary warriors, but elite
> shock troops. Armed with the latest in Apathy, Disdain, and
> Contempt, they lay seige to our hero.
>
> For months, a battle of attrition was waged. One after another,
> mighty warriors all, they fell. Even I, your humble scribe was
> a soldier in the Legion of Ennui. Alas, I too, fell before the
> great Mackey. No one could understand how a single man could
> withstand such an onslaught as we heaped upon him. Then we
> learned the source of his great strength. He was the most
> favored disciple of the malevolent gods, Fraud and Liar. They
> had taught him the secret fighting languages of Turpitude,
> Innuendo, and the most deadly of them all, Obscenity. Under
> their smutty tutelage, he repeatedly repeled the attacks with
> adroit displays of oratorical onanism.
>
> There was a point in the war when we thought we were going to
> prevail. We had Mackey surrounded, he was in everybodys kill
> file, and his ISPs were terminating his accounts as fast as
> he could login. He had been beaten back to where he stood alone,
> armed only with a 300 baud modem and a Commodore 64.
>
> Sadly, we all were victim to his great strategy and now he sprang
> his most devastating weapon. Surrounding him with our keyboards
> poised for the final death blow, he hoisted his hands above him.
> Like Moses bestowing the Ten Commandments to the chosen people,
> in his hands his mail-order diploma proclaming him Master of
> Communication Technology smote us down.
>
> Cowered by the supreme Divinity before us, a hush fell upon the
> surrounding army. The only sound was a muffled cough from one of
> the younger soldiers. We lay prostrate on the ground before him,
> fearful of his wrath. Who were we to show the temerity to
> challenge his divine turgescence. We waited. Slowly, he walked
> through the defeated masses, and went home.
>
> Upon returning to Scannerdom, he found word of his great
> deeds had preceded him. He was declared Supreme Grand Master
> Fool of the Universe and the people clamored for his ordination
> as King. In response, the Church of Scanner Mods proclaimed him
> King and the great sage and visionary Pope Bcheek presided
> over the coronation. As he placed the Discone Crown upon his
> head, he spoke the sacred papal blessing, "This boy reeks."
>
> So there you have it, brothers and sisters. Behold the saga of
> his fetidness, King Mackey the Great, Ruler of Torpor, Supreme
> Grand Master Fool of the Universe, Grand Master of the Number
> Two Phillips Blade, holder of the Diddler of the Spark, Divine
> Master of Communication Technology, High Priest of the sect of
> Fraud and Liar, and Orational Master of Turpitude, Innuendo,

> and Obscenity.
>
> All hail King Mackey the Great Idiot.

2094. FS: RS-Pro51 Radio Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: turnage@cc.gatech.edu
Message-ID: <603sqa$1bu@solaria.cc.gatech.edu>
Source record: 185409

FOR SALE:

RS-Pro51 Handheld Radio Scanner
Excellent condition, only selling to upgrade to bigger model.
200 Storage channels
10 Monitor channels
31MHz - 956MHz
Lockout and Delay features
Fast scanning
Priority Channel feature
Fast range scanning
Builtin light
Special ranged bands for Police, Fire, Marine, and Weather
Rubber duckie antenna

Including accessories:

Leather protective sheath with clip
Leather carrying case
Mono->Stereo adapter plug for stereo headphones
Police Call and Police Call Plus national guides to frequencies

Still have box and manual.

Radio itself lists for $299, accessories add up to around $40
Asking $185 + shipping

email at turnage@cc.gatech.edu (Jason Turnage)
thanks

jason

--
Jason Turnage
Georgia Tech
www.prism.gatech.edu/~gt8678a

2095. Bearcat 890XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: msgsports@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921185001.OAA01849@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185410

Hi

I have a mint Bearcat 890XLT with CTCSS board. With box and papers.

$225.00

Thanks

2096. Re: police scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <34256dfd.26155589@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185411

nf2g@ggw.org (D Stark) wrote:

>In article <3420D8F4.3323@telusplanet.net>, cogo@telusplanet.net wrote:
>>I'm new to scanning and have a question. When police go coded in my town,
>>their signal changes so that all that you hear is strong static. What
>>type of scrambling is that and is it possible to decode it?
>
>It's digital, it is not possible to decode with consumer-grade tools,
>and it is a violation of Federal law to even try.
>

Uncle Joe Stalin would be so proud.

.
helmsman@mindspring.com
http://helmsman.home.mindspring.com/
Amateur Radio,Hubble Telescope,Web Cams

2097. Re: Filter to block 152.48 Pager?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <Hynde@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34259A68.87E@flash.net>
Source record: 185412

Tom wrote:
>
> Call anyone recommend a notch filter to wipe out the 152.48 Mhz paging
> freq?
>
> I am one mile away from a strong pager. I can read the signal directly
> on my freq counter. It gets into everything.
>
> Anyone know of a vendor for selective filters?
>
> I'm not too concerned if the filter wipes out 4 mhz or so around the
> offending freq in the 118-138 and 225-400 Mhz band on a BC-900XLT.
>
> 73 Tom
>
> --
> Remove the ".nospam" from address for replies.
>
> Thanks
>
> Tom N5OFF

Tom, a helical resonator will do the trick, I built one for the HT but it's been years ago. Check the ARRL handbook, Im sure there are plans or mention of one. They are a band pass not a notch but you could switch in different caps to tune the band you want.

Tim

2098. Re: Uniden SC150 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: iLUVpix_NOT_SPAM@super.zippo.com
Message-ID: <6035c6$d4@snews3.zippo.com>
Source record: 185413

In article <874818836.5234@dejanews.com>, NOsdunkle@bedford.netSPAM wrote:
> If it helps any, the Sportcat was manufactured in 1994, according to the
>little sticker inside mine.
..same here, inside mine
> Heck, I had no idea there was anything locked out on it until last
>night! Is there a lot of stuff I'm missing?
Neither did I. I picked up my Sportcat from a pawn shop...practically new out of the box. I pick up all kinds of stuff <grin>, and had no idea that freqz were locked out, until reading this NG. Are these "images" were receiving in the unblocked area or what? I don't really feel cheated since I still pick up the good stuff, but if there are any mods to get complete access to all freqz, I'd try it anyway.

How much does a "new" Sportcat go for? I wanna know if I really got a deal at the pawn shop.

==========
EDIT E-MAIL ADDRESS FOR LEGIT MAIL...NO SPAM!!!!
==========

2099. Yupiteru Web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clark Rennie <cerenniexxx@gte.net>
Message-ID: <603rsl$9bh$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 185414

Does know if Yupiteru has a web site and what is the correct name of the company that manufactures the Ypiteru line of radios.

Thanks, Clark

2100. Re: Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <Hynde@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34259E4A.345B@flash.net>
Source record: 185415

Chris Poulier wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> When I scan the cordless phone freq's my scanner always seems to get
> stuck on 46.7300 and I can hear just a hissing noise. Does anyone know
> why?

As many have already mentioned, if it's a 'birdy' or a signal generated internally by the radio, there is nothing to be done.

OTOH, you could perform a simple test by connecting your scanner to a rotatable antenna, e.g TV antenna and see if the signal changes strength at all when you move it. If it does you'll know for sure it's comming from somewhere OUTSIDE the radio.

Either way, once you know, what do you do then? :)

Tim

2101. Old Bearcat (private labled Wards) freq help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <Hynde@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3425A1B4.3E4B@flash.net>
Source record: 185416

I want to receive 50 - 54 MHz on an old Bearcat scanner, I wish I had
their model number but I don't so heres the best description I have.

Private labled by Wards #GED 836A (possibly the same Bearcat #?)

18 Channel, with keypad on left and funtion keys on right from top to
bottom.
with green flourecent display.

7 8 9 Limit Delay
4 5 6 Search Lockout
1 2 3 Speed Scan
0 . E Hold Manual

Anyone know of any programming tricks with this one? I'd really like to
get the 6m ham band on it but it's locked out as a standard unit.

Thanks for any assistance.

Tim, ka8ddz

2102. Re: type II setting on a type I system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <603mrl$1nle$4@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 185417

In article <3424B10A.B6A@txcyber.com>, cy12346@txcyber.com wrote:

>Anyway--what is actually being displayed?

Junk. Those numbers MIGHT help you to determine which Fleet is in use
so you can properly program a Type I fleetmap, but as actual
"trackable" user numbers, they are garbage.

2103. Austin TX or USA POCSAG Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bruces@qsl.austx.tandem.com
Message-ID: <603ngf$4ej@qsl.austx.tandem.com>
Source record: 185418

Does anyone have any one have POCSAG based pager frequencies, primariliy in the VHF/UHF ranges? I've been trying PD 2.03 (POCSAG Decoder V2.03) and can't seem to copy anything. It shows data at 1200 or 2400 on the status line, but nothing comes out on the screen. Have the 4 functions set up for "auto" per the default. Have only tried a couple of frequencies and wanted to see if there were any "standard" frequencies that major cities use.

Got any info?
--
Bruce R. Sawtelle Internet : bruces@austx.tandem.com
Development Program Mgr Compuserve : w3nj@compuserve.com
Tandem Computers, Inc. AOL : w3nj@aol.com
Austin, TX AMPR IP Addr : 44.76.1.42 (w3nj.ampr.org)

2104. St. Mary's Co., Md.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeffery R. Smith" <jrsmith@eaglenet.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc6c6$cff20420$5d1843ce@JefferyR.Smith>
Source record: 185419
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for anyone living in St. Mary's Co., Md. that would be
interested in joining forces with myself and a couple of others to search
and
identify frequencies in the county and also for NAWCAD.
We already have a database with about 1,000 frequencies identified but
there is always room for improvement.
If we get enough people together we may from a club and put up a website.
Anyway, any interested people can contact me via E-mail at
jrsmith@eaglenet.com.
Looking forward to hearing from you.
--
Jeffery R Smith

2105. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: vorlin@mail.geocities.com
Message-ID: <vorlin-ya02408000R2109971451440001@snews.zippo.com>
Source record: 185420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

somebody (attribution lost) wrote:

> >You can broadcast JUST LIKE a regular FM station if you want, and ON
> >the FM band with a 100 mW stereo quality station. You can spend
> >anything from $30-3000 on such a station.

From title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 15, subpart C:

Sec. 15.239 Operation in the band 88-108 MHz.

(a) Emissions from the intentional radiator shall be confined within a band 200 kHz wide centered on the operating frequency. The 200 kHz band shall lie wholly within the frequency range of 88-108 MHz.

(b) The field strength of any emissions within the permitted 200 kHz band shall not exceed 250 microvolts/meter at 3 meters. The emission limit in this paragraph is based on measurement instrumentation employing an average detector. The provisions in Sec. 15.35 for limiting peak emissions apply.

(c) The field strength of any emissions radiated on any frequency outside of the specified 200 kHz band shall not exceed the general radiated emission limits in Sec. 15.209.

(d) A custom built telemetry intentional radiator operating in the frequency band 88-108 MHz and used for experimentation by an educational institute need not be certified provided the device complies with the standards in this part and the educational institution notifies the Engineer in Charge of the local FCC office, in writing, in advance of operation, providing the following information:
(1) The dates and places where the device will be operated;
(2) The purpose for which the device will be used;
(3) A description of the device, including the operating frequency, RF power output, and antenna; and,
(4) A statement that the device complies with the technical provisions of this part.

2106. Re: Uniden SC150 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: postmaster@nospam.org
Message-ID: <6044ecvh1@news.usit.net>
Source record: 185421

On Sun, 21 Sep 1997 13:04:26 GMT, I just LOVE pix!!!
<iLUVpix_NOT_SPAM@super.zippo.com> wrote:

>How much does a "new" Sportcat go for? I wanna know if I really got a deal at
>the pawn shop.

I paid $178 for mine, but I think I paid too much.

---
* Fake Reply-To in use * Email lew1@usit.net to send me a reply *

2107. Re: WS1000 handheld scanner...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <34259E3D.387E@ibm.net>
Source record: 185422

Smalley wrote:
> WS1000...
> Any owners out there with opinions on this scanner?

For a review of this radio I wrote, point your browser
to the page listed below. Follow the radio monitoring
link and page down until you see the link for the WS1000.
Go there and you'll find the reveiw.

> I think I want one. :)

Then perhaps you're willing to wait for the WS-2000?

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

2108. Re: Frequencies for San Leandro, CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <34259F65.673C@accesscom.com>
Source record: 185423

Tom Kepler wrote:
>
> Help! I have tried every source to get codes for San Leandro police
> but to no avail. The Police Code book from Radio Shack lists 821-823
> but it's dead as a doornail! Any help would be appreciated. Please
> respond to email, as I am not here that often. Thanks in advance...and
> best wishes to all.
>
> Tom Kepler

They are on the Alameda County (ALCO) trunked system. You will need a
Trunktracker to hear them.

2109. Re: HOUSTONs BIG SHOW!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nospam.net
Message-ID: <3426a0bc.6605500@news>
Source record: 185424

On Wed, 17 Sep 1997 02:13:55 -0500, "David....." <david084@flash.net>
wrote:

>This weekend, Houston is throwing a party! The display of
>fireworks,lasers, and effects will be the largest in the history of
>North America! What a grand time we are going to have (wear your Kevlar
>vest however, bring your pistol, and be very careful, some of our
>citizens will still be upset about the comments of Lino Graglia! ahaha!)
>
> Does anyone have a list of useable frequencies for the event on both
>nights???
>

The Houston Police Command Post freq of 460.425 was the best. Lots of
activity going on behind the scenes.

2110. Re: Cheek & Mackey & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342566DE.12DF@usa.net>
Source record: 185425

Tom Jones wrote:

> Truth is- NO!

That is pretty SAD when you come to the pooint you say "NO" to the
truth. I only care to comunicate with honest people.

> If you have a bitch with Cheek-take it to email...

Sorry, I can't do that. Cheek has invited me several times
to do that but then he makes complaints to who ever he can &
won't answer the e-mail.

> WE DON"T CARE ABOUT IT! THAT is the message everyone is hoping you'll
> somehow glom onto.

That's funny. It sure seems like EVERYONE cares about it. You all
are posting 10 times the messages here about this issue compared
to Bill Cheek. Using that, you all are your own worst enemies.

2111. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3425a512.40258875@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185426

Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net> wrote:

>Electro149 wrote:
>> A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't
>> give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They cooperate
>> with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of information as
>> to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.
>
>Nice...the blind trying to lead the blind...

Radio Shack IS a sorry company, no guts!
Do they stand and fight even for there right to sell radios, hell no,
they bend like the wind.

Your name and address is NOT there concern!

.
helmsman@mindspring.com
http://helmsman.home.mindspring.com/
Amateur Radio,Hubble Telescope,Web Cams

2112. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3425A56D.2C39@juno.com>
Source record: 185427

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> You have given yourself away due to a few small errors you made.
> You had us going for a while, but obviously, YOU ARE ERIC OYEN.
>
> Did you know that PSN net does not approve of their users making
> bogus posts?
huh? what are you getting at, John?

Pardon if I seem a little ighnorant of your logic here, but you are in error.
Sure, I use psn.net as my nntp posting host, so what? So do 23,000 others in here (including Eric Oyen). Whats your point? I know what the TOS is here. I have yet to be abusive (unlike a few others in here) and I don't post bogus messages.

However, My e-mail is at juno.com. So, as far as this goes, you prove that I am on an isp. big deal. itherwise, you prove nothing else.

Got any more gutless anon?

2113. Re: Let's Argue About Interfaces

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EGvsHG.ExF@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 185428

wiggie wrote:

> Just don't take my comments out of context and use it for something
>that is so senseless...which is this ongoing flamefest that is currently
>going on in this newsgroup. Why doesn't everyone devote take the time/energy
>to help advance the hobby of scanning instead of tearing it down? If you
>think that you can build a better interface THEN DO SO and then the
>bandwidth that you are taking up would actually go to a good use!

Hello Wiggie,

Well said. I've posted something along similar lines too.
It only shows that a point can be made in a civilized manner...
Now back to the CE-232: I haven't seen anyone mention one of the
CE-232's unique features: monitoring external h/w events within the
scripts (a very powerfull feature if used). Has anyone been using this
feature?

Note: when Replying, please email me directly in addition
to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to
remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam
counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno

2114. Re: Yupiteru Web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: schuster@panix.com
Message-ID: <604b0p$cps@panix3.panix.com>
Source record: 185429

In article <603rsl$9bh$1@gte1.gte.net>,
Clark Rennie <cerenniexxx@gte.net> wrote:
>Does know if Yupiteru has a web site and what is the correct name of the
>company that manufactures the Ypiteru line of radios.

Yes, the name is Yupiteru ("Jupiter" translated phonetically into Japanese
and then back into English) and there is no web site.

--
Mike Schuster | 70346.1745@CompuServe.COM_nospam
schuster@panix.com_nospam | schuster@pol.net_nospam

2115. Re: Yupiteru Web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clark Rennie <cerenniexxx@gte.net>
Message-ID: <604c9m$6ru$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 185430

Sorry to reply to my own post, just correct it

Clark Rennie wrote:
>
> Does anyone know if Yupiteru has a web site and what is the correct name of the company that manufactures the Ypiteru line of radios.
>
> Thanks, Clark

2116. Re: police scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tailwind@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <tailwind-2109971959050001@dyn17.tc1.riverhead.i-2000.net>
Source record: 185431

In article <603mmj$1nle$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>, nf2g@ggw.org (D Stark) wrote:

> In article <3420D8F4.3323@telusplanet.net>, cogo@telusplanet.net wrote:
> >I'm new to scanning and have a question. When police go coded in my town,
> >their signal changes so that all that you hear is strong static. What
> >type of scrambling is that and is it possible to decode it?
>
> It's digital, it is not possible to decode with consumer-grade tools,
> and it is a violation of Federal law to even try.

What about the scramble when it sounds garbled or slow sounding??

2117. BC-9000 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: danderso@nyx10.nyx.net
Message-ID: <604ck7$qmu@nyx10.nyx.net>
Source record: 185432

What are the mods for this scanner? I heard there is a few.. if anyone has any info on this scanner, mods or just opinioons on this scanner i would be happy to hear from you, email me at dva@ssds.com or post here, but i rarely get a chance to check NG's very often.. anyway thanks ahead of time.. :)

David

2118. *** Modifying a AR-8000 or BC-3000XLT for wider IF bandwidth ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EGvvA1.L9z@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 185433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello fellow Usenetters,

I need to modify a AR-8000 or BC-3000XLT for wider bandwidth IF (30-40Khz), for receiving 10-20KHz data transmissions. Has anyone done this already and can save me some time?

Thank's in advance for any help offered.

Note: when Replying, please email me directly in addition to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno

2119. I am looking to buy a used AOR or RS/BearCat Scanner + 800MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: slink <slink@gdn.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.3.95.970921202641.4573B-100000@gdn.net>
Source record: 185434

I am looking to buy two additional reciever units capable of recieving the 800/900 MHz band. I like AOR for functionality, and RS for low price. If you know of a place where I can find such items or have them yourself, e-mail me at slink@gdn.net - I am not willing to pay more than $200 each.

slink

slink@gdn.net

2120. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bubba <sanchez@theoffice.net.NOSPAM>
Message-ID: <3425C22E.5C4B@theoffice.net.NOSPAM>
Source record: 185435

helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net> wrote:
>
> >Electro149 wrote:
> >> A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't
> >> give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They cooperate
> >> with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of information as
> >> to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.
> > >
> > >Nice...the blind trying to lead the blind...
> >
> > Radio Shack IS a sorry company, no guts!
> > Do they stand and fight even for there right to sell radios, hell no,
> > they bend like the wind.
> >
> > Your name and address is NOT there concern!
> >
> > .
> > helmsman@mindspring.com
> > http://helmsman.home.mindspring.com/
> > Amateur Radio,Hubble Telescope,Web Cams

Who said you have to give them your own address, hell make one up. Better yet give them the police stations address.

"I tremble for my country when I reflect that God is just"
Thomas Jefferson

2121. Re: BC895XLT software Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3425C2AF.E6542A4F@cts.com>
Source record: 185436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thomas A. Gray wrote:

> I ordered the software and the cable and was told it would be one to
> four
> weeks delivery!
> Waiting!
>
> --
> Thanks. When you get it coould you post something about it? And if
> possible keep my address so I know what you think of the software?

2122. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <3425D2D3.730D@scarlan.com>
Source record: 185437

TandKMoran wrote:
>
> >Call your local Police department and ask them, as they are the ones who
> >would be harrassing you in the first place. If the officer says it's
> >ok, ask his name, and write it down along with the date and time. A
> >recording of your conversation will be kept for several years.
>
> More anti-police drivel from Lord Nymon. You think police departments keep
> tapes of non-emergency traffic around for "years"?.

I don't "think" that they do this, I "KNOW"! Why in the hell would I say it if I didn't know the facts?! I happen to HAVE an entire recording system from a Police department, along with tapes going back 3 years! Each reel holds around 8 channels (it's not right in front of me at the moment) EACH capable of 24 hours on a single reel of tape. I can punch in a specific date and time, and it will search to that point in seconds, playing any phone calls made to the department at that time.

Don't be so quick to tell people that they are wrong when you yourself have NO EXPERIENCE in the subject at hand!! Do what I do: DON'T REPLY TO ANY QUESTION unless you are 100% sure that what you are saying is CORRECT!!! If I read a question that I "think" I know the answer to, but am not sure... I skip over it and DON'T ANSWER IT!!! I wish everyone else could do the same thing!!!

2123. Any wacars users?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Kralicky <jkralicky@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3425E13D.CB76C68F@usa.net>
Source record: 185438

Anyone out there use wacars? I just downloaded it and I'm getting fairly poor performance. I'm using a Pro-60, and I can hear all the transmissions very clearly. I only get about 10% (or less) of transmissions decoded, and the message section seems garbled. Anyone having similar results? better results? Any hints on making it better? I've tried adjusting the volume like it said, but it doesn't help much.

Thanks!

Joe Kralicky

2124. Trade: Icom R10 for R71!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Gasta <tomgasta@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3425E488.2A67@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have a two month old Icom R-10 portable receiver in mint condition I would like to trade for an Icom R71 HF receiver in like condition.

Tom

2125. MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Crozier <ka9qjg@tsrcom.com>
Message-ID: <3425F935.4EDE@tsrcom.com>
Source record: 185440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,alt.radio.digital,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.internet

Hi From Don, KA9QJG Here is a little better copy of the orignal MDT, we still need to share info and get it better let me know if it works for you ??E-MAIL KA9QJG@TSRCOM.COM We also need to know what the legality of monitoring this type of communications??Its not in the CELL portion of the band,Its not SCRAMBLED or ENCRYPTED but Clear ASCI TEXT 4800 BAUD like Ham Radio pkt
HAPPY SCANNING TO ALL AND ENJOY

2126. the Ramsey 400-550 to 800-950 mhz converor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: ~~ <a666l@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3425AE99.36E5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 185441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hey,
has anyone bought this and used it? I have that band on my scanner but
it dosnt get cellular phone. Will this kit get cell phones? Give me your
opinion if youve tried it. I have a radio shack pro-2037 if it helps.

2127. FS: RS PRO-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: sharonrm@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921213500.RAA08110@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185442

Radio Shack PRO-2035 programmable 1000 Channel Scanner
Like New, in box, with manual and antenna. $275 o.b.o.
25 Mhz - 1300 Mhz, less cellular, upper uhf tv(520-760)
Questions ? contact David at SharonRM@aol.com

2128. 10 codes for Ga

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: gself2341@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921212601.RAA12917@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185443

I am interested in getting 10 codes for the Athens region of Georgia
Greg
Madison,Ga
GOOOOO DAWGS

2129. Bearcat 150 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Livio Cariello <livio1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <34259AE5.715@earthlink.net>
Source record: 185444

Looking for schematic for the Bearcat 150 Scanner, need to make some repairs.

Thanks,
Livio

2130. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <34259EB0.5A2@ibm.net>
Source record: 185445

Electro149 wrote:
> A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't
> give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They cooperate
> with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of information as
> to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.

Nice...the blind trying to lead the blind...

2131. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dummykiller@spam.com
Message-ID: <3425E881.2810@spam.com>
Source record: 185446

D Stark wrote:
>
> In article <3425588C.34A0@msg.ti.com>, C-Porter3@msg.ti.com wrote:
>
> > Not all the computers in police cars are MDTs. The Richardson Police
> >force
> >(TX) has just put a bunch of lap tops in theirs. I don't know the method
> >of
> >communication butthe news article showed them remotely accessing dept
> >data files.
>
> Duh. A computer used in a Mobile unit, acting as a Terminal which has
> to connect back to a central computer via radio Data network is a
> Mobile Data Terminal or MDT.

DUH YOURSELF!!!!
A computer used in a mobile is an MCT - a mobile computing terminal.
The distinction lies in the fact that an MDT is a dumb terminal whicle
an MCT is a full functional computer.

2132. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3425A3E7.49B5@juno.com>
Source record: 185447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > Then why is it that so many people hate you John?
>
> Funny, I have YET to see ANYONE here say they "hate" me.
Well, the opposite could also be said to be true. No one has come out and say they like you either. I have paid attention to the opinion poll that went around though. 99% of all the returns on that indicate that people just don't like you. You can't get much better than that.

>
> > You have almost ceased posting on rec.radio.cb, now you start it
>
> NO, I RARELY post on rec.radio.cb anyway, only occasionally. Look at
> Dejanews if you don't believe me.
Already have, before 4 days ago, you posted a total of 68 times in rec.radio.cb in the course of 3 weeks.

2133. rs pro 2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: one7th@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921231900.TAA15750@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185448

I'm looking for a picture of a RS Pro 2022 base scanner...
can anyone point me in the right direction?

thanks.

2134. FS: Relm HS-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: daryll1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921234101.TAA17326@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185449

For sale: Relm HS-200 handheld scanner. Brand new, it has less than 2 hours running time on it. $200 + shipping. No trades. EMail if interested.

Daryll

2135. Re: BC895XLT software Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas A. Gray" <tagger@indy.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc6e1$61547f00$6b5b03c7@tagger.indy.net>
Source record: 185450
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I ordered the software and the cable and was told it would be one to four
weeks delivery!
Waiting!

--
Thomas A. Gray
KB9OCX
tagger@indy.net
Indianapolis Indiana

Bob <bst@cts.com> wrote in article <3424A828.BAE5123F@cts.com>...
> Did anyone buy the software and interface card for the BC895XLT? I see
> the ad that comes with the unit but for a 100 bucks it sounds expensive.
> Is it worth it?
>
> Thanks.
>
>
>

2136. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3425A600.1243@juno.com>
Source record: 185451

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > You aren't worth my time
> > (which is about $62.00 an hour right now).
>
> Your Psychiatrists are charging you $62 per hour. Gosh, I hope you have
> good health insurance.
>
> Eric, did you know that PSN net does not approve of their users making
> bogus posts?
>
> Good bye Eric Oyen.
Boy, you are obseeed with this aren't you?

Prove to me (and others) that I am Eric Oyen. Go ahead, PROVE IT!
For all you know, I could be his Brother-in-law (which he does have
one).

Poor John, trying to show us things that aren't really there......

2137. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EGvrJq.CLo@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 185452

Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com> wrote:

Please don't flame me too for my comment...:-)

IMHO, this flaming of John Mackey has gone TOO FAR.
Too much unproductive hate, too much foul language.
I followed the long threads, and it seems that at least John tries to keep his language clean. PLEASE continue to do so, John.
I hope others too will try too, to keep this newsgroup a nice place to visit. It would be a shame if this newsgroup became a place full of hatred, as many have.
AFAIK, this whole flaming started because John has raised an interesting question regarding an easter egg in the HB-232 s/w.
We should all treat it as an interesting gimmick. Not let all our hatred pour out.
I have talked with Bill in the past about his CE-232 s/w, when I wanted to use it for a BC-3000XLT. He didn't hide the fact that he had a dispute with the guy that wrote the s/w and said that the guy even left him "high and dry" by taking the sources with him. When I asked why he didn't sue him he said that it wasn't worth the effort (which I can understand). This "easter egg" is probably one of the rechoshets of that dispute. All I can say is that disputes often happen. It is part of life. I guess both bill and that programmer got over it. We shouldn't be wasting our time on that any more either.
I've known bill for some time now (just from usenet, no personal acquaintance. I haven't even bought anything from him yet, but would defenitely do so if I find something appropriate) and trully appreceate his contribution to the scanner community. I've benefited from his postings and personal email replies many times. And there's nothing wrong with making a living of it either, if you're spending so much time on your hobby.
On the other hand, I think John Mackey had every right to post his query. Maybe he was sympathetic about those poor programmers...
The problem was all those FOUL LANGUAGE responses, as if Bill doesn't know how to defend himself. Indeed, I think Bill DOES (I've seen some sharp answers of his on usenet in the past...:-) And regarding this thread, IMHO, Bill indeed answered to the point.
So I suggest we all count to ten before shooting another flame, and practice restraint. Am I THE ONLY ONE?...

Cheers (and PEACE...).

techno

2138. Need operating instructions for BC3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: randyb@oz.net
Message-ID: <6029kr$og2$1@hourglass.oz.net>
Source record: 185453

Hi

I have a used BC3000XLT that works just fine. However, it did not come with a user's manual. Can someone email me or direct me to where I can get a copy of the manual.

I would just like to know the specifics of the non-obvious operating features of this scanner.

Thanks
Randy

2139. Re: Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: teacher@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3426b23b.9883069@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 20 Sep 1997 01:57:14 -0500, "Dave..." <david084@flash.net>
wrote:

>
>
>wafrank@erols.com wrote:
>
>> So, just who are these "FCC approved customers" who can purchase a
>> "full coverage receiver" in the US, that allow them to monitor the phone
>> calls that everyone is so concerned about? Do we all feel happy and
>> safe that these radios are listening for our dirty little secrets just
>> because some federal bureaucrat says it's okay for "them" to
>> do so?
>
> Damn! Dont you get it yet??? If you are using a Handy Talkie called a
>cell phone, your probably in the clear and many people could hear you, some
>on their TV! If you dont want it heard, dont say it unless youre encrypted,
>or wired!!! Damn! Do you understand yet???

grammar 101: "your" means it is yours, you own it

"you're" is the contraction for "you are"

You're not very bright, and your grammar is bad too!

2140. Re: A Tennelec Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: EndUser@no.net
Message-ID: <60295jfmd1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 185455

Mine had a few cold solder joints, other than that it's been working
great.
Paul in Northern Indiana

On Fri, 19 Sep 1997 21:13:45 -0700, stealth <stealth@netnitco.net>
wrote:

>I wonder if anyone in this newsgroup has ever heard of a Tennelec
>scanner? I was given this scanner by a friend at the workplace. The
>scanners model is MCP-1. The scanner is vintage 1976. It was made in Oak
>ridge, Tenn. The user manual claims that this is the first scanning
>reciever that one can enter the freqs from a keypad. Normally, since the
>reciever isn't working, I would just discard it because of it's age.
>Iv'e decided to try and do something with it because I'm VERY impressed
>with it's construction. It was really made to be serviced as it has plug
>-in boards like the bus slots on a computer. My friend told me it cost
>nearly $300 dollars in 1976, and looking at it I believe
>him.Unfortunately, the user manual doesn't have a schematic, so
>troubleshooting will be difficult. Anyone out there know something about
>this fine piece of gear?
>
>
>Stealth from Indiana

2141. Re: Uniden SC150 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: sdunkle@bedford.net
Message-ID: <874818836.5234@dejanews.com>
Source record: 185456

In article <3418F019.5173@scarlan.com>,
Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:

>
> Any radio currently being sold in retail stores (such as the SC150
> Sportcat) can NOT be modified. (in a simple manner as older units
can)

If it helps any, the Sportcat was manufactured in 1994, according to the little sticker inside mine. While browsing over mod papers from other scanner models, I thought I seen it mentioned that '94 was the first year that the "simple mod-able" scanners we're banned, and that it is possible that a few from that year made right before the law change are modifiable.

Please, correct me if I'm wrong; I'm going by memory, and I could be WAY off! :-) Additionaly, I just got the idea to look for info about my Sportcat scanner on the Internet last night, and am just now learning the big stuff.

Regarding the locked out frequencies on the Sportcat... Aren't they listenable on 895-920MHZ anyway? Stuff comes through most in that range on mine, and I read somewhere that it's like this on another Uniden model that has certain 800 band frequencies locked out. Again, I could be WAY off! :-)

Heck, I had no idea there was anything locked out on it until last night! Is there a lot of stuff I'm missing?

To reply by e-mail, remove the capitol letters from my reply-to address.

S. Joe Dunkle
http://www.bedford.net/sdunkle

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

2142. type II setting on a type I system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sly Kapchinski <cy12346@txcyber.com>
Message-ID: <3424B10A.B6A@txcyber.com>
Source record: 185457

When I force my trunktracker into type II mode when I'm listening to type I system, it seems like the scanner is dislaying actual individuAL radio ID codes. Different fleets (businesses) will seem to have been assigned a set of numbers. For example -- Joe's wrecker service may have 3 trucks and a base unit. While in type II mode,I might see id numbers ranging from 24152 thru 24155 when they are talking back and forth. Whlie another user within the same block may have id ranges from 18301 thru 18323. I guess it depends on how many radios they have assigned to them. (These numbers are made up but it gets the point across)

Anyway--what is actually being displayed?

I currently cannot receive e-mail ---please leave a reply on this newsgroup. thanks Sly

2143. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jrshattuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921060501.CAA22440@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185458

I will ask you, Mr. Mackey. BEFORE you posted it, who ASKED you to post
the "purported" Bill Cheeks E-mail to this newsgroup?
So far, all I've seen on these threads is your sniping with three or four
other posters about how you are trying to keep this newsgroup clean by
using abusive language to tell them they are idiots and don't know anything.
So, why don't you STOP the irrelevant nonsense postings, post information
concerning scanning and/or scanners to this newsgroups, and take the
abusive E-mails and/or newsgroup postings back to the posters ISP?

2144. Re: REQ:Dallas PD Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lindsay Blanton <lblant1@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <AB0CE9130B030387.95197BC2C11BE3F3.61B2EA1DEC274935@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 185459

Chris,

You can see my homepage (The Dallas Ft. Worth Scanner Listeners Page)
at:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/scanner_frames.htm

It has lots of information for the City of Dallas ...etc.

Thanks!
-Lb

2145. PRO-38 manual?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Millis <randy_millis@nt.com>
Message-ID: <3424BE01.12BC575D@nt.com>
Source record: 185460

Anyone know where I can get a photocopy of a PRO-38 manual?

2146. FS: Xplorer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris@sublime.com
Message-ID: <602cqf$d52$0@tofu.alt.net>
Source record: 185461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Optoelectronics Xplorer - UNBLOCKED

Excellent condition w/ latest firware update from Opto
In original box w/ manual, antenna, rapid charger, serial cable

I'm also including:
GPS cable
Percon FCC database cdrom
Percon Geo-DB for Xplorer cdrom

$800

(note: this is a full 30MHz to 2GHz unit, the current normal Xplorer model is
cell blocked and is not restorable)

2147. Computer Interference-Sportcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Stacy J. Dunkle" <sdunkle@bedford.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc657$43dde040$149163ce@sdunkle.bedford.net>
Source record: 185462

I rarely have my scanner on when my computer is on (which is most of the time), as it interferes badly with the scanner's operation, making it stop on certain frequencies, with nothing but static. Even if I have my scanner the whole way across the room, it still gets the interference from the computer.

Is there any way to eliminate this, with a mod or some kind of shield or filter?

My scanner is a SC150 Sportcat. I'd also like to know about any other types of mods that can be done to this model.

To reply by e-mail, remove the capitol letters from my reply-to address.
--
Stacy J. Dunkle
http://www.bedford.net/sdunkle

Support the anti-SPAM amendment
Join at http://www.cauce.org

2148. Re: New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Ellers <kd4awq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <602bk5$8b8@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net> wrote:

"I is sort of like the GMRS folks having access to FRS (they can use higher power)."

They don't. GMRS can only use seven of the fourteen FRS channels -- the ones already allocated to simplex GMRS use before FRS was made legal -- and GMRS radios don't interoperate well with FRS radios because of the different deviation.

2149. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: KISSASS@net.com
Message-ID: <6028fi$iv1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185464

On Mon, 08 Sep 1997 19:54:14 -0400, Dean <DLambo55@tir.com> wrote:

>ScanSkip wrote:
>>
>> >Subject: Re: BC235 Mod.
>> >From: "SD" <dout-sco@flash.net>
>> >Date: 7 Sep 1997 00:08:43 GMT
>> >Message-id: <01bcbb22$c204ade0$ca6d1ed1@doutsco.flash.net>
>>
>> >UUUUUUhhh.....No! Is there something that I should know or something?
>>
>> Yeah, you should know that listening to cell phones is against the law and
>> that everyone who posts messages here asking for information on how to
>> modify unmodifiable scanners are investigated by the US Secret Service.
>>
>> Oh, oh who's that pounding on your door?
>
>
> Oh give me a break!.....preach elsewhere. Like the Secret Service has
>agents perusing the newsgroups investigating people seeking
>information. Logic dictates the SS has much better things to do.
I do not beleive that its illegal in the usa to just discuss
modification yet. If it is were truly a nazi nation already. Freedom
of speech and just talking in a usenet group about mods is not illegal
yet. I think those bastards in the house though are just trying to
figure out which they want the USA to be Communist or Nazi.

2150. Re: pro2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <602k7d$d3h$1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 185465

kenneth byron (kbyron@ibl.bm) wrote:
: can this model receive cellular?

Yes, but you would have to remove a diode first...most 2004's out there have already been modded, so in most cases you would not have to worry about it.

2151. Re: How Johnny Boy started it all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3424EA16.344@usa.net>
Source record: 185466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Joe_Bob wrote:

> Produce the posts where people asked you to post that e-mail.
> There are none, you're a lying POS. The only question posted about
> Cheek was when some one asked for his current e-mail address, is that the
> question that set your warped mind off this time?

I am getting tired of proving thing after thing to you &
Baycitvidgrp & a couple other dummies.

Follow the threads & find it yourself, FOOL.

> What of my post was "less than honest"? The part where I quoted you?

No, you take things & turn them around to something different.

2152. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921105800.GAA00254@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185467

In article <34235B93.7102@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>
>You OBVIOUSLY are not paying attention. I WAS ASKED TO POST
>THE MESSAGE BY PEOPLE WHO DID NOT BELIEVE CHEEK WOULD WRITE
>SUCH AN E-MAIL. tHEY ASKED ME TO POST IT FOR THEIR REVEW. CHEEK
>SHOULD NOT HAVE STARTED IT to begin with & you should complain
>at those who asked me to post it, NOT ME.

Ya know John, I just can't believe that a soft spoken, easy going,
un-abrassive person like you could provoke anyone to send you some hate
mail. If you think we care you are sadly mistaken. Happy Scanning.

2153. Re: FS: Weltz 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3424fcde.12535421@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!

lwalker@worldnet.att.net wrote:

:
:For Sale: Weltz 1000 hand held scanner. 400 channel. Smallest
:scanner in the world. .5MHz-1300MHz (no gaps). Uses 2 AA batterys.
:Original antenna, manual & box. Many many programable features.
:New condition. A review of this scanner can be seen at
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/WS1000.HTM
:
:
$430. E-Mail me if interested
:

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2154. Re: New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34250074.13454038@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185469

"Amor Powers, CB Radio" <power@CB.Radio.net> wrote:

:The following has been PROPOSED for International 27MHz:

Proposed by who?

BTW 27.680 is already an AM marine frequency.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2155. Re: New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342502e6.14079926@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185470

"Amor Powers, CB Radio" <power@CB.Radio.net> wrote:

:The following has been PROPOSED for International 27MHz:

Proposed by who?

BTW 27.680 is already an AM marine frequency.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2156. Re: Spectrum charts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342509db.15860948@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185471

"Douglas V, Brown" <dvbrown@iserv.net> wrote:

:Does anyone know where to get those nice color radio spectrum chart
:posters?
:The ones that show FCC allocation and band range and all that.
:I would appreciate it
:
:D.B.

I know where to get a nice colour spectrum chart poster that shows
DOTAC allocation and band range and all that.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2157. Re: Quest: Channel Master Monitenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <342985a0.288738171@news-server>
Source record: 185472

On 20 Sep 1997 10:32:46 GMT, tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote:

> I recently replaced a broken Scantenna with a Channel Master Monitenna. I
> works well, but I noticed a dead short between braid and center conductor
> at the impedance transformer. Is this normal? I checked some other 75/300
> Ohm transformers, some were shorted, others were not. What gives?

Transformers, by definition, use the principle of inductance
and mutual inductance. Not to get too technical, but inductance
implies a "coil". In fact, that "coil" certainly connects
between the braid and the center conductor on the 50 or 75 ohm
end. It is fundamentally a DC short circuit, but at a value of
many microhenries to a millihenry or so, it will exhibit a higher
impedance to RF.

> This sure
> looks like a multi-element dipole to me. Wouldn't it work better if the
> lower set of elements were connected soley to braid/ground and the upper
> half connected soley to the coax center conductor?

No, because the impedance of a center-fed dipole is about
300-ohms. It needs to be "transformed" down to 75 or 50
ohms for an unbalanced coaxial feed. The inherent loss of a
1.5 :1 VSWR is only 4%, rather tolerable. But the loss of
a 4:1 to 6:1 VSWR approaches 50%. An impedance matching
transformer (balun) cuts that loss appreciably. 50% loss is
3 dB, a rather healthy loss when 1 dB can make the difference
between hearing and not hearing sometimes.

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

2158. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34251986.19872775@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

no@mail (Gwen) wrote:

:On 18 Sep 1997 05:59:23 GMT, jamoran@mail.goodnet.com (John Moran) wrote:
:
:>DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
:>patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy
:
:You mean us a land line for BETTER privacy. It's still easy to tap a hard wire,
:but not as likely as being listened to on a cordless.

One of the banks over here has a tv advert showing a person accessing their
telephone banking service using a cordless phone.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2159. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3425194a.19812389@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

jamoran@mail.goodnet.com (John Moran) wrote:

:
:DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
:patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy

I'll just use my GSM digital mobile phone.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2160. Re: Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34251c84.20638951@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

teacher@hotmail.com wrote:

:On Sat, 20 Sep 1997 01:57:14 -0500, "Dave..." <david084@flash.net>
:wrote:
:> Damn! Dont you get it yet??? If you are using a Handy Talkie called a
:>cell phone, your probably in the clear and many people could hear you, some
:>on their TV! If you dont want it heard, dont say it unless youre encrypted,
:>or wired!!! Damn! Do you understand yet???
:
:grammar 101: "your" means it is yours, you own it
:
: "you're" is the contraction for "you are"
:
: You're not very bright, and your grammar is bad too!

To top it off he posted using html code, which is a big no no in
newsgroups.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2161. Re: Uniden Bearcat BC 855 XLT (Request Information) - 855mod.txt (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: f@t.is_where_its_at.com
Message-ID: <342721ef.2495477@news.erols.com>
Source record: 185476

The attached mod worked for me.

On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 03:48:59 GMT, chesler@world.std.com (David S
Chesler) wrote:

>
>In article <5va64f$dm8$1@newsd-104.bryant.webtv.net>,
>Rodney Wilson <wil-son@webtv.net> wrote:
>>My father has this scanner and I would like to ask the following: Is it
>>hard to do the cellular modification?
>
> No, it is very easy. There is one modification floating around the
>net, but for later releases (model PH-120AF -- that code is on the
>board that has the front panel display) it's a different modification,
>involving removing a jumper, and soldering a resistor between two
>traces on the printed circuit board.
>
> I have a copy of a page from a book by ArtSci. The diagram
>made it extremely clear what to do. (Note -- Rodney didn't ask
>what to do, and I didn't tell him.)
>
>> Is this a good scanner?
>
> Others have spoken well of it. It does OK by me. My biggest problems
>have been with the antenna connection. I wish I had more channels -- I
>see there is a 50-to-100 channel modification (does this make it
>a BC 860 XLT, which is for sale in Damark? Is the 860 cellular
>modifiable?) In my lifestyle, a handheld unit would have been
>better, but it's a lifestyle choice. (My toddler loves playing
>with the radio, and, sometimes, sucking on the power supply.)
>
> Related question: The modification for making it have 100 channels
>tells me to disconnect pin 9 from the board, and short it to
>pin 10. How are the pins numbered?
>
> The chip looks like this (square, 20 pins per side):
>
> +------------------+
> | O |
> | uniden |
> | UC-1376 |
> | 4808A37H |
> | 3L1 JAPAN |
> | |
> | O | <--- O represents circular depression

```
> \_________________+
>
> ^ Notched corner
```

2162. Re: Uniden Bearcat BC 855 XLT (Request Information) - 855mod.txt (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: f@t.is_where_its_at.com
Message-ID: <34282229.2554519@news.erols.com>
Source record: 185477
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: 855mod.txt

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: 855mod.txt]

2163. Re: John Mackey's new book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jrshattuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921062100.CAA28725@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185478

Hate to burst your bubble, but, according to Dante's INFERNO, in the ninth level of Hell are people frozen in (guess what) a lake of ice.

2164. Re: WS1000 handheld scanner...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342521a3.21949863@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185479

msmalley@alpha.delta.edu (Smalley) wrote:

:WS1000...
:Any owners out there with opinions on this scanner?
:I think I want one. :)
:
:E-mail...

It has it's good and bad points.
The good points are;
Size, frequency coverage, good sensitivity accross the frequency range,
good range of menu settings, good audio.
The bad points are;
Very slow scan, fiddly and slow to do things like lock out channels.

Email me for more opinions if you like.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2165. Sacramento Sherriffs Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: sullengirl@mailexcite.com
Message-ID: <874849711.19334@dejanews.com>
Source record: 185480

Anybody have the freqs. for Sacramento County Sherriff? I used to listen
to them on the scanner, but it seems they have changed frequencies?
Appreciate any help!

----------------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------------------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

2166. PRO-38 manual?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Millis <randy_millis@nt.com>
Message-ID: <3424BCB1.5B757ABA@nt.com>
Source record: 185481

Anyone know where I can get a photocopy of a PRO-38 manual?

2167. PRO-38 manual?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Millis <randy_millis@nt.com>
Message-ID: <3424BE8A.87777F0E@nt.com>
Source record: 185482

Anyone know where I can get a photocopy of a PRO-38 manual?

2168. Re: 2 Questions for anyone with an R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve@swssec.com
Message-ID: <3425a21a.21668629@news2.ibm.net>
Source record: 185483

Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com> wrote:

>> 2) I'm primarily interested in a scanner for my car. I'll put a rooftop
>> antenna, of course. Anyone used their R10 this way? Good? Bad?
>> Indifferent?

The R10 is designed to work properly with the amount of signal picked
up by the rubber antenna.

When you put an outside antenna on it (or any other handheld) you are
cramming a lot more RF down the receiver's throat than it was designed
to deal with. You likely will experience intermod and probably desense
from off frequency strong signals which you will not know are there
but will overload the receiver and have the effect of reducing its
sensitivity on your desired frequency.

Then you'll dis the receiver, when actually the receiver is not at
fault since you are operating it outside its design parameters.

If you want decent performance in a mobile, get a receiver designed
for mobile operation. They have a lot more real estate for filters
needed to deal with strong signals on frequencies other than the one
you are tuned to. The ICOM R100 is a superb mobile receiver. It has
features to do an excellent job but is not a video game.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland USA
Manufacturers of electronic surveillance and commo gear
tel +1+410-879-4035 fax +1+410-836-1190
mailto:Steve@swssec.com
website: http://www.swssec.com
Celebrating our 25th anniversary in 1997
"In God We Trust, All Others We Monitor"
****

2169. Not Again? - Knock it Off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jackwy@clark.net
Message-ID: <603b8b$95d@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 185484

Not again. Let's knock off the Cheek/Mackey crap. There is
so much to discuss without this bs taking up bandwidth. If you
want to score it the score is Cheek 3 books, Mackey none. Game over.
Let's get on with more useful stuff.

Jack

2170. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <34252C09.552A@wwd.net>
Source record: 185485

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> ScanSkip wrote:
>
John,

I am not new to this NG and along with others here we are tired of seeing precious bandwidth wasted on petty bickering. If you could just ignore anything that relates to Bill Cheek you would be the bigger man.

Refusal to cooperate and keep this "Hatfield-McCoy" fracas alive is degenerating your status as an amateur, you should be above it all.

Come on John, help us all out. Your post on the filter for 152.480 pagers indicates resonable intelligence and I would expect a person with reasonable intelligence to be above these childish antics.

Thanks
--
73
Nick Marsh
WB4SQI

****
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
****

2171. Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921032000.XAA11549@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185486

>Subject: Re: The Idiot of Mackeral has moved and ascends the presidency of
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 19:43:22 -0700
>Message-id: <342489CA.6B9A@usa.net>
>
>The CB Guy wrote:
>
>> I see no-one other than you(s) complaining about Bill.
>
>Would you like me to re-post such messages for you to see?
>
>

YES, I would like you to e-mail me the posts, so I can verify them with
Dejanews, and IF YOU ARE RIGHT, I will publicly announce it here.

Jackass!!

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2172. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmort@opman.com
Message-ID: <342cd90d.42868770@snews.zippo.com>
Source record: 185487
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

On 17 Sep 1997 12:46:53 -0400, Andrew Hall <ahall@remus.cs.uml.edu>
wrote:

>Can you name a liberal that supports any of the items on your
>list?

I can name three who PRETEND to be liberals: Dahlman, Kennemur and
Smith. But of course they're only pretending to be liberals, having a
lot more in common with David Duke and Tom Metzger than George
McGovern.

Gun control, the theory that Black people will be
better off when only Mark Fuhrman has a gun.

Check out:

http://super.zippo.com/~cmorton/home.htm
http://www.firstnethou.com/gunsite/moore.html

2173. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3425471C.184A@juno.com>
Source record: 185488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Bill wrote:
> > That's not possible, John has NO friends.
>
> As usual, Bill's message is meaningless drivel & only meant to
> start trouble.
Then why is it that so many people hate you John?
You have almost ceased posting on rec.radio.cb, now you start it all in
here.

Give it up John, you are fighting a losing battle.

2174. Re: # of postings by Kohn MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3425485E.5653@juno.com>
Source record: 185489

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > And you call yourself intellectual?
>
> i doubt you know what that word means.
Feeble attempt, John. Very unbecomming of you.....

>
> > Oh well, no sense arguing with a person who obviously suffers from
> > cranial/rectal inversion.
>
> Are you looking in a mirror again?
Nope, Just saying what appears to be the truth about you...

2175. Re: John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34254928.DC1@juno.com>
Source record: 185490

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > Funny! You've been known to pull the same stunt (judging by your
> > reaction, I'd say you were caught at it too).
>
> What do you have to back that up?
Your own reaction. You reacted far to vigorously to the comment. I think thou doth protest too much.

> Fine, go for it. I have nothing to hide, tell the guy who
> signs my paycheck.
No, I'll let someone more qualified do that.... You aren't worth my time (which is about $62.00 an hour right now).
>
>
> > I think the best thing you can do is shut up and go away.
>
> You should follow your own advice.
You first.

2176. wtb: used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Sizemore <lightv@nfdc.net>
Message-ID: <342550BE.6146D52@nfdc.net>
Source record: 185491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

pro2006 or similar from reputable used dealer

Do you know of any reputable dealers offering warranties on used
equipment?
--
Regards,
Larry Sizemore
http://enterpriseplaza.com/lightvisions
Nature Photography On-line Magazine

2177. wtb: used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Sizemore <lightv@nfdc.net>
Message-ID: <34255045.2805B098@nfdc.net>
Source record: 185492
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

pro2006 or similar from reputable used dealer

Do you know of any reputable dealers offering warranties on used
equipment?
--
Regards,
Larry Sizemore
http://enterpriseplaza.com/lightvisions
Nature Photography On-line Magazine

2178. wtb: used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Sizemore <lightv@nfdc.net>
Message-ID: <3425502D.80669F8B@nfdc.net>
Source record: 185493
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

pro2006 or similar from reputable used dealer

Do you know of any reputable dealers offering warranties on used
equipment?
--
Regards,
Larry Sizemore
http://enterpriseplaza.com/lightvisions
Nature Photography On-line Magazine

2179. wtb: used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Sizemore <lightv@nfdc.net>
Message-ID: <34255083.3CC26058@nfdc.net>
Source record: 185494
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

pro2006 or similar from reputable used dealer

Do you know of any reputable dealers offering warranties on used
equipment?
--
Regards,
Larry Sizemore
http://enterpriseplaza.com/lightvisions
Nature Photography On-line Magazine

2180. Scanner choosing help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Guy Ben-Betzalel <guyber@netvision.net.il>
Message-ID: <34254E8F.6EDE5434@netvision.net.il>
Source record: 185495

I'm in the market for buying a scanner. I'm being flooded with all the models out there, could anyone please tell me what the best scanner (for upto $300) is?

Thx

2181. Re: Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34251bdc.20470675@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!
NO HTML IN NEWSGROUPS! THIS IS NOT THE WORLD WIDE WEB!

==================================
Here is how to turn HTML off in Netscape 4.0:

1) Start Netscape 4.0
2) Select menu "Edit, Preferences, Mail and News Preferences"
3) Select tab "Compositions"
4) Remove the check mark for "Use HTML Composition Window"

And at same time check...

1) That "Allow 8-bit" is checked
2) That "MIME compliant" is unchecked

========================================

"Dave..." wrote:

:
:--------------3CE4B85D031D49496352455A
:Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
:--------------3CE4B85D031D49496352455A
:Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
:
:
:
:
:
wafrank@erols.com wrote:
:
So, just who are these "FCC approved customers" who
:can purchase a
:
"full coverage receiver" in the US, that allow them to monitor the
```

```
====================================
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.
```

2182. RE. Uniden BC 178 XLT Modifications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Heavy Breather"@The
Message-ID: <34286ee2.336293035@news.wworld.com>
Source record: 185497

Are there any modifications that will improve the performance
of the BC 178XLT that I picked up.? Thanks

2183. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carl Porter <C-Porter3@msg.ti.com>
Message-ID: <3425588C.34A0@msg.ti.com>
Source record: 185498

Someone else wrote:
> >
> >These "computers" in the police cruisers are called MDTs. You can't
> >listen to them with an amateur radio TNC and an AX.25 packet app.
> >

H Higgins wrote:
>
> But you can listen to them, at least if they are motorola MDT's. Search
> on MDTstuff.
> H Higgins

Not all the computers in police cars are MDTs. The Richardson Police
force
(TX) has just put a bunch of lap tops in theirs. I don't know the method
of
communication butthe news article showed them remotely accessing dept
data files.

Carl

2184. Re: PRO-38 manual?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Phipps <sphipps@clandjop.com>
Message-ID: <3425448C.EA5@clandjop.com>
Source record: 185499

Randy Millis wrote:
>
> Anyone know where I can get a photocopy of a PRO-38 manual?

Call Radio Shack at 1-800-THE-SHACK. You'll have it in 4 to 5 days.

SP

2185. Re: Scanners on the Web

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <603mo2$1nle$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 185500

In article <5vum17$4sv$1@tilde.csc.ti.com>, mbv@ti.com (Ken Durham) wrote:
> I found some URLs on the RealAudio
>page that are interesting: LAPD, Dallas PD, and
>DFW ATC.
> Does anyone know of other "scanner to Web" URLs?
>(The Dallas PD scanner seems to be disabled now.)
>Ken Durham

I have an extensive list on my Sounds page:

www.ggw.org/nf2g/sounds.shtml

2186. Re: police scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <603mmj$1nle$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 185501

In article <3420D8F4.3323@telusplanet.net>, cogo@telusplanet.net wrote:
>I'm new to scanning and have a question. When police go coded in my town,
>their signal changes so that all that you hear is strong static. What
>type of scrambling is that and is it possible to decode it?

It's digital, it is not possible to decode with consumer-grade tools,
and it is a violation of Federal law to even try.

2187. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342568D3.2A74@usa.net>
Source record: 185502

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> You aren't worth my time
> (which is about $62.00 an hour right now).

Your Psychiatrists are charging you $62 per hour. Gosh, I hope you have good health insurance.

Eric, did you know that PSN net does not approve of their users making bogus posts?

Good bye Eric Oyen.

2188. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921003901.UAA05063@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185538

In article <3424180D.1D17@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>I am getting TIRED of having to show you everything the
>second & third time. If you want to argue that fact then
>find the posts YOURSELF that negate my statement. You are
>the ONLY one argueing this one, little boy. I see NO ONE
>else supporting you on this one.
>
>
>The CB Guy wrote:
>> Who asked you this??????

I had to ask because it never was as you say. You just posted it out of
the blue. Another poster posted your original message with the e-mail in
it, and the truth is obvious.
When we ask you for proof, you tell us we should have seen it already, yet
when we tell you what we saw, you ask for proof.

You are a moron, loser, and cry baby.
The End

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2189. Re: Let's Argue About Interfaces

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34267aed.285999076@news-server>
Source record: 185539

On 20 Sep 1997 10:32:42 GMT, tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote:

In article <19970919011900.VAA25734@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
scanskip@aol.com (ScanPrick) writes:

> So I gotta ask: Why in the heck would anyone want a Cheek interface? What
> can you do with the Cheekatronics that I can't do for at least $100 less?

What exactly can you do for $100 less, pray tell?
Inquiring minds want to know. Curiosity overwhelms me.

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

2190. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34257a39.285818770@news-server>
Source record: 185540

On 20 Sep 1997 11:40:14 GMT, scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) wrote:

> Ironically, the antics that Cheek condemned in the other discussion
> groups are the same as the ones that he is also pulling off here.

Just exactly what "antics" am I pulling off here, pray tell?
Inquiring minds wish to know. Curiosity utterly overwhelms me.

+--+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+--+

2191. Re: cellular on locked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34247921.285538035@news-server>
Source record: 185541
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 20 Sep 1997 21:21:26 -0400, L Sizemore <lightv@nfdc.net> wrote:

> Which handhelds in the $300 or less range will receive cellular (images)
> well in the non-blocked frequencies. I am not interested (or qualified)
> to do micro soldering of components to restore missing range. I have
> heard that non triple het designs do a better job than the more
> expensive triple het models. I was looking at the pro26. Any insight on
> this or a similar product? Thanks in advance.

The cheaper the scanner, the more susceptible it will be
to "image frequency interference". Certainly triple conversion
scanners are least susceptible, and dual conversion more so.

I can't tell you which is "best" in this category since
image interference is a deplorable, nasty side effect and
I pay attention only to the better models. The PRO-26 is
one of the better, so it's not likely to be on your target
list.

Just go for the cheapest, sleaziest, funkiest scanner you
can find and it will be rife with interference of all sorts,
including images.

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

2192. Re: Spectrum charts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mayermh <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc633$ed2ccfe0$LocalHost@mayernec>
Source record: 185542

I know of three.

1. A while ago, I got one from Motorola's marketing department. It was free. It is about 16" x 32", and is black with large white letters across the top "USA Frequency Allocations 10KHz - 4GHz". The various radio bands are color coded. Quite nice to give an overall view of the whole FCC radio spectrum. The number printed at the bottom is 1-800-521-6274. I do not know if it is still in print, or if it is still free. Great marketing piece, anyway.

2. Edmund Scientific. They have one that is called the Electromagnetic Spectrum. It is a square poster, but the information is circular by frequency/wavelength, and radial by allocation or description. Goes from DC to gamma rays, including FCC radio/TV. 1-800-728-6999

3. The one you see every so often in the Amateur Radio and scanner rags. In QST sometimes you see it too. It is a square poster with I think a map of the US, plus all sorts of info on the Amateur bands, around the outside edge. Sorry, I do not have a number for you on that one.

Mike

Douglas V, Brown <dvbrown@iserv.net> wrote in article
<01bcc62c$c17285e0$0eb9b1cc@default>...
> Does anyone know where to get those nice color radio spectrum chart
> posters?
> The ones that show FCC allocation and band range and all that.
> I would appreciate it
>
> D.B.
>

2193. Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: electro149@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921020901.WAA06147@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185543

A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They cooperate with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of information as to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.

2194. Re: Rogue Cancels from LNI.NET via TDI.NET NNTP Server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921031500.XAA11146@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185544

>Subject: Rogue Cancels from LNI.NET via TDI.NET NNTP Server
>From: john gary ingram <ingramj@erols.com>
>Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 17:46:34 -0700
>Message-id: <34246E6A.58A5@erols.com>
>
>Hi All,
>
>Someone from Len-Net (http://www.lni.net) has been issuing
>Rogue Cancels via Technology Dimensions, Inc. NNTP Server
>(http://www.tdi.net) in the rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.cb
>and rec.radio.amateur.policy news group the last two days.
>
>John
>KA3LIE
>
>
>
>Rogue Cancel issued Today in rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh geee.....I have soo many fans....
:::::::::blush:::::::::

LOL....too bad this idiot id too coward to face me...
Of coursewho do I know from lni.net?.....hhhmmmmm
dALe?...awww he'd never do that

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2195. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921032401.XAA17325@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185545

>>Subject: Re: The Cheek Crap
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 19:33:42 -0700
>Message-id: <34248786.3B45@usa.net>
>
>The list would be long, but most recently would be
>forged postings on this NG.
>
>

This is a good one MAckey...I suggest you take your "proof" to his ISP....since it has already been disproved here.

Jackass!!

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2196. Re: To John Mackey.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921031600.XAA16717@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185546

>Subject: Re: To John Mackey.....
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 19:15:46 -0700
>Message-id: <34248352.6F40@usa.net>
>
>Hi Bill Cheek,
>
>If you want to communicate with me, do it using your real name & ID
>not some silly alias. I don't like forgery things.
>
>Billy Thunder wrote:
>> Knock.....knock....?

LOOK OUT MACKEY!!!! I think the black helicopters are surrounding you...

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2197. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970921031800.XAA11428@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185547

>Subject: Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 19:36:26 -0700

>If you TRULY believe that then you have NOT been following the
>threads on this NG very well. You really should try to stay
>on top of things if you want to take on these issues.

I don't believe it Mackey, I know it.
It was posted AS PROOF today, however, you never respond to the ones you know are true....you just like to argue little points.

Jackass!!

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2198. Re: To John Mackey.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc641$c2c07d20$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 185548

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<34248352.6F40@usa.net>...
> Hi Bill Cheek,
>
> If you want to communicate with me, do it using your real name & ID
> not some silly alias. I don't like forgery things.
>
> Billy Thunder wrote:
> > Knock.....knock....?
>

I don't know if you are being silly, stupid or just
trying to start something. I'm not him. I think I know
why you are suspicius but you should know better
by now. I was going to mess with your head a couple
weeks ago and changed my mind

but anyhow

Knock-knock?

2199. test post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tx@mindless.com
Message-ID: <874817194.2786@dejanews.com>
Source record: 185549

test posting, one, two,three........

^^^^^
Woody
~~~~~

--------------------==== Posted via Deja News ====-----------------------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet
~~~~~

2200. Re: HELP with scanner dates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam.jarrett.smith@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6026nt$gl5@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185550

On Sun, 14 Sep 1997 17:46:12 GMT, jf73441 (Duracell) wrote:

>Can anyone explain the following dates??
>
>I've located what I think are some good scanner buys. But confused
>about the dates. Here goes...
>
>BC 860XLT with a date of OKIE

11/95

>BC100XLT with a date of OJHH

10/88
>BC 230XLT with a date of OHIE

8/96

-
Jerry Smith...spam filter enabled
**Reply @ jarrett.smith@worldnet.att.net
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. (CARMA)
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

2201. HELP!: Pro-51 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barry nichols <bmn@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <342496C8.5BE1@iglou.com>
Source record: 185551

I haven't seen my instruction manual in a year. For some reason, after carrying my pro-51 in a bag and finding it on, it displays the msg "Key Lock" and I can't do anything with it. Can some kind soul help ease my idiocy?

2202. Re: Was the R7000 800Mhz Blocked? (was Re: FS: Icom R-7000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve@swssec.com
Message-ID: <3424a144.21454640@news2.ibm.net>
Source record: 185552

mfraser@obelix.helix.net (Mark fraser) wrote:

>Only partly correct. A 7000A was introduced. Also 7100a, maybe?

The A suffix means American frequency ranges as opposed to E version
for Europe which are different ranges. Also 110 VAC (A) instead of 220
VAC (E).

Steve (ICOM sales and service. No amateur)

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland USA
Manufacturers of electronic surveillance and commo gear
tel +1+410-879-4035 fax +1+410-836-1190
mailto:Steve@swssec.com
website: http://www.swssec.com
Celebrating our 25th anniversary in 1997
"In God We Trust, All Others We Monitor"
****

2203. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: MARK MYERS <memyers@erols.com>
Message-ID: <34271677.2962@erols.com>
Source record: 185254

Muddskip wrote:
>
> If you purchased it when it was legal I don't see how they could track you
> down and confiscate it. I know I wouldn't give mine up without a fight.
>
> /\/\A/\/
> http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm
What kind of a gun are you going to shoot them with:?
AK-47
FN-FAL
AR-15
SKS
M1 Garand
HK-91
or a bolt action *sniper rifle*?
Yours in Liberty
Mark

2204. Re: How Bill Cheek started it all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3427008D.9B092811@mail.com>
Source record: 185255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:

> Go back & follow the threads. If you find something stating otherwise
>
> post it. In other words, put up or shut up.
>
> You seem to like to stir up trouble & fan the flames.
>
> Bill- wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 21 Sep 1997 02:34:14 -0700, John Mackey--KA0SSF
> wrote:
> > >I am getting tired of proving thing after thing to you &
> > >Baycitvidgrp & a couple other dummies.
> > >Follow the threads & find it yourself, FOOL.
>
> > I already posted the beginning of the thread and it shows that you
> started the
> > whole thing yourself.

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:

Go back & follow the threads. If you find
something stating otherwise

post it. In other words, put up or shut up.

You seem to like to stir up trouble & fan the flames.

Bill- wrote:

> On Sun, 21 Sep 1997 02:34:14 -0700, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
wrote:

> >I am getting tired of proving thing after thing to you &

> >Baycitvidgrp & a couple other dummies.

> >Follow the threads & find it yourself, FOOL.

> I already posted the beginning of the thread and it shows that you
started the

> whole thing yourself.

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and
all idiots who participate in this

are being ignored!!! Congratulations
on the new status....

2205. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <34270144.16436AEE@mail.com>
Source record: 185256

Grhansen1 wrote:

> >Subject: RE: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The
> Kill
> Filters!
> From: nospamgrpark@mindspring.com(George)
> >Date: Sun, 21 Sep 1997 23:10:18 GMT
>
> >I've put up with all the sniveling, crying, bitching, whining,
> >complaining, fighting, attacking, backstabbing and childish behavior
> I
> >can stand.
>
> How come you didn't add my name to the list? I've forged news
> postings,
> started disagreements with people who never said two words to me,
> attacked
> everyone in sight like a snarling dog, and acted like the spoiled,
> snot-nosed brat that I am. I'll probably straighten up after I become
> potty
> trained, but you should also add me to the list of people that you
> want to
> kill. I mean add me to your kill list. Oh, gosh, I mean just filter me
> out.
> That's what my mommy does.

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in
thisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

2206. Re: John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3427027B.B85FE33D@mail.com>
Source record: 185257

Billy Thunder wrote:

> John Mackey?
>
> Knock-knock?

Stirring up trouble again Cheek???

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

2207. Re: John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <342702B4.38F36D1E@mail.com>
Source record: 185258

Billy Thunder wrote:

> John Mackey?
>
> Knock-knock?

Stirring up trouble again Cheek???

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in this
are being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

2208. AOR 900 help (no manual)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dennis F. Terribile" <wr4i@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <342746D2.6F27@mindspring.com>
Source record: 185259

Hi All,
recently acquired the AOR 900 scanner and could figure out most of the commands except how to program the search limits. I actually did one but could not replicate it next time I tried. :)

Also, the battery will not charge using the '9v' socket. I had to scrap away the insulation on the battery pack (just replaced) and use alligator clips to charge the crazy thing!

Thanks!
73,Dennis/WR4i

2209. Re: FM PACKET CH 68 International CB Packet Radio Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Amor Powers, CB Radio" <power@CB.Radio.net>
Message-ID: <34270E70.5FF1@CB.Radio.net>
Source record: 185260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Joe Cusimano sez:

> The proposed North American frequency plan for FM and Packet Radio for
> which there appears to be general agreement is still as previously
> posted. 27.635 (calling), 645, 655, 665, 675 and 685 (packet).

> The posted frequency plan has already gone out to many
> people world wide including some publications.

Which publications has it gone to?

We could look at this as a shared frequency...
Maybe some marine vessels will join in the Packet nets!
There is already quite a lot of activity happening with email gateways
via digital modes on HF marine frequencies.

Amor Powers

2210. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3427010C.5D8B3814@mail.com>
Source record: 185261

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:

> Are you trying to start something with someone else Glenn?
>
> Glenn wrote:
> > So why the new identity Mikey? Collected too many skeletons in the
> > closet?
>
> MUCH OTHER STUFF CUT

CONGRATULATIONS!!!! This thread and all idiots who participate in
thisare being ignored!!! Congratulations on the new status....

2211. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34271EC6.68AA@usa.net>
Source record: 185262

You really are the group FOOL, aren't you. Look at the headers, specifically the "path" & "NNTP posting host" & it OBVIOUSLY could NOT be from the system I am on. He is on AOL, which is very unique & not at all like my system.

But then you are probably TO DAMM STUPID to understand as you still argue that Bill Cheek is not forging under the name Billy Thunder even though everyone else knows better.

The CB Guy wrote:
> Whats this reference line?...could this be a forgery?????
> my my, would MACKEY do such a thing?

2212. * Spooks Mailing List *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Short, B.K." <shortckt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <342721E2.697A@primenet.com>
Source record: 185263

```
* Spooks Mailing List *

>>>> info spooks
Spooks is a mailing list (reflector) devoted to the
discussion of spy numbers stations and amateur
cryptography.

* Numbers Stations
* Embassy and Utility Transmissions
* Codes, Ciphers, and Cryptography
* Espionage Radio, Surveillance
* Anything Spooks Related

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe spooks

To be removed from the mailing list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: unsubscribe spooks

To post information/questions to the list:

TO: spooks@qth.net

This mailing list is sponsored by Al Waller (K3TKJ).

Please address any questions to: cps@access.digex.net

-----
QTH.Net Digests and Archives (for subscribers)
k7on@qth.net

What about Digests and Archives?

A. Digests

All lists at QTH.Net have digests available.

You can subscribe to a digest and periodically receive the compiled
messages rather than individual messages.

All list digests are configured for a size limit of 40,000 bytes.
However, most of the files are around 16k to 30k. This is because
majordomo will NOT send a digest larger than the limit. If a new
message pushes beyond the limit, it sends the accumulated, putting
the new message in the NEXT digest.
```

If you are switching from non-digest to digest, you must unsubscribe
spooks and subscribe spooks-digest.

It is an entirely seperate subscription, but both digest and non-digest
subscribers are permitted to post to the non-digest list.

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT:
BODY: subscribe spooks-digest
unsubscribe spooks

B. Archives

All messages sent via reflector are archived and are available for
access through majordomo.

You can send a command to majordomo@qth.net and in the body type:

index spooks-digest

and get a list of files that are available by request.

Here is an example:

>>>> index spooks-digest
total 116
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 14169 Sep 2 18:09 v01.n042
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 18100 Sep 3 11:27 v01.n043
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 15322 Sep 4 14:11 v01.n044
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 13490 Sep 6 11:15 v01.n045
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 16382 Sep 9 18:39 v01.n046
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 14823 Sep 11 08:40 v01.n047
-rw-rw---- 1 majordom 45 16872 Sep 12 01:04 v01.n048

To retrieve one of these files, send an email to majordomo@qth.net.

In the body of the message, type:

get spooks-digest v01.n048

The file will be emailed to you, automagically!

C. Archives on WWW

You may view the archives online.

Point your web browser to: http://www.qth.net/spooks-digest.archive

-----

>>>>

2213. Re: FM PACKET CH 67 International CB Packet Radio Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Cusimano <webdesign@cusimano.com>
Message-ID: <3426F35C.73B5@cusimano.com>
Source record: 185264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Amor Powers, wrote:
>
> CHANNEL 68 (27.685), although it gets little use in North America,
> Is close to an active marine AM frequency 27.680 which is in common use
> in coastal areas, on the high seas, and especially the South Pacific.
> I have only heard 4 or 5 QSOs by fishing vessels (California coastal
> waters) between 1991 and 1995 on 27.680 AM, mostly with rather X-rated
> conversations... and you thought Channel 19 was bad... :-)
>
> It appears that there is still some genuine marine use on 27.680, even
> though it is very seldom lately, due to most activity of similar radio
> range being on VHF. The small fishing vessel types must have liked it
> for the relative lack of Coast Guard and the others who listen on VHF,
> and being able simultaneously use their HF radio for chit-chat while
> keeping their VHF monitoring other channels.
>
> Given that the 27 MHz propagation is getting quite good on the South
> Pacific to North America route, we should probably look at
> CHANNEL 67 FM PACKET (27.675) instead.
>
> Amor Powers

Joe Cusimano replies -

The proposed North American frequency plan for FM and Packet Radio for which there appears to be general agreement is still as previously posted. 27.635 (calling), 645, 655, 665, 675 and 685 (packet).

This is a very small number of channels in the bigger picture of CB Radio. All other users have lots of spectrum to turn to. The posted frequency plan has already gone out to many people world wide including some publications. I am not in favour of making changes at this late stage in order to accomodate the casual chit chat of a few small fishing vessels who at some time in the past used 27.680 AM. I�ve frequently heard these small fishing vessels chatting for hours at a time smack in the middle of bands assigned to trans-oseanic airliners. If anyone should choose their frequencies carefully it is these fishermen with their X-rated language. Am I right ?

Fire up those TNCs on 27.685 and enjoy computer communications like you do on the Internet.

73 from Joe in Toronto Ontario Canada

2214. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34271FEE.3E8C@usa.net>
Source record: 185265

The both of them are trying to stir up MORE trouble.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> Well said both of you.

2215. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3427205F.6FAA@usa.net>
Source record: 185266

NO ONE had DISAGREED either (except the two guilty parties).

2216. Re: Asthma attack in flite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpm3@NOSPAM.seanet.com
Message-ID: <dpm3.1623.00363CFF@NOSPAM.seanet.com>
Source record: 185267
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

In article roy@popmail.med.nyu.edu (Roy Smith) writes:
>From: roy@popmail.med.nyu.edu (Roy Smith)
>Subject: Re: Asthma attack in flite
>Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 07:38:13 -0400

>Just out of curiosity, who reimburses the airline for the costs incurred
>when something like this happens?
>Does the airline just eat this, or does the passanger's medical insurance
>carrier typically pick up the bill?

It would be a cold airline indeed who presented a bill for responding to
an emergency. Their common law common carrier obligations, if nothing else,
would oblige them to do anything within their power to assist. Now, if the guy
had a known condition that would cause an emergency in flight and he chose not
to take his meds and expected the airline to bail him out it might
THEORETUCALLY be another matter. You can bet, however, that in therms of press
coverage and p.r. they'd end up looking too bad to challenge the guy. But this
is an extreme case and I doubt it would ever arise.

==========
"I distrust a close-mouthed man. He generally picks the wrong time
to talk and says the wrong things. Talking is something you can't do
judiciously unless you keep in practice"
- Casper Gutman, The Maltese Falcon
========== This .sig exceeds Plate "C" specifications ==========

2217. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34271DF7.551B@usa.net>
Source record: 185268

thanks George, I knew you would know better.

George L. Vetterle wrote:
> It's a forge but the culprit isn't Mackey; It's one of the other

2218. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3426AAF0.577A@juno.com>
Source record: 185269

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > However, My e-mail is at juno.com. So, as far as this goes, you prove
> > that I am on an isp. big deal. itherwise, you prove nothing else.
>
> OK, then what is your psn.net e-mail address? (Put up or shut up)
I don't use one through psn.net. I pay a small fee for access to the web
for news purposes ONLY. My official e-mail is at juno.com. If you don't
like it, thats tough.

I will not argue with you anymore as it tends to serve no useful
purpose.

Be warned, continued inquiries from you will be dealt with in an
appropriate manner.

2219. Tacoma WA Trunked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: It's Me <nospam4snakeman@frugal.com>
Message-ID: <34272849.FB52E2A4@frugal.com>
Source record: 185270

I'm new to scanning, and planning my first scanner, does anyone know if Tacoma, WA is using a trunked system for their police, and fire.

Thanks for the help.

2220. Re: H.R. 2369 Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <606jd2$fq8$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 185271

In article <19970922151600.LAA01125@ladder01.news.aol.com>, frg9600@aol.com (FRG9600) wrote:
>http://www.popcomm.com/

Bad URL.

Try again?

2221. SCANMORE home page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanmore@webtv.net
Message-ID: <607a3g$d9a$1@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185272
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
--WebTV-Mail-806048307-34554

Free scanner database, mods, laws, and links. There will be more to come
so visit often. Click on (Scanmore's Page)

--WebTV-Mail-806048307-34554
Content-Description: signature

Scanmore's Page

--WebTV-Mail-806048307-34554--
```

2222. Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <gregw@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <607i3g$2c$1@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 185273

I have a Uniden BC220XLT, that I bought from a pawn shop so no manual, I need to know what the data buttom on it is for, Uniden Web Site does not have any info on this model, I have called Uniden and were told that I could buy the manual from them, this is no problem, but I have to send them a check and they will ship it within 3 weeks, still no problem, but as I live 2 miles from them I ask if I could pick it up, Problem, NO, they have to mail it.

2223. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <874993251.39484@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 185274

o.k. John, Explain to me how I am trying to stir up trouble by agreeing
with the freedom of speech?

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote in article <34271FEE.3E8C@usa.net>...

>The both of them are trying to stir up MORE trouble.
>
>Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
>> Well said both of you.

2224. The Same Old Bozos, Spewing the Same Old Garbage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnmaniac@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922235201.TAA09539@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185275

yawn

I leave this newsgroup for a few weeks so that I might move myself and my belongings across the country to embark on a fresh opportunity and begin employment with a new company. Upon signing on here and checking messages for the first time in 20 days, I soon notice that the newsgroup is as polluted as always. The same tired old voices, preaching the same old nonsense...hate, discontent, named-calling, false accusations, etc. What an amazing waste of bandwidth. Someone wake me up if and when anything intelligent or relevant is ever posted here.

(going back to sleep now)

-Drew in Windy Western Kansas-
Coming soon...VERY soon...to a radio station near you ;-)

2225. Pro-34 Mods wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy <randy@vvm.com>
Message-ID: <3426FFD9.43F56424@vvm.com>
Source record: 185276

Hello, i am looking for any mods for the pro-34 i just bought thru the mail... any help is appreciated please post here, or email to randy@vvm.com thanks
--
Please visit our site at http://www.vvm.com/~tcarc/tcarc.htm
Have a nice day !

2226. Latest attack by Congress on monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: C Riecke <criecke@charleston.net>
Message-ID: <3427143C.56B9@charleston.net>
Source record: 185277

Have you done your part and contacted your congressman or woman to
state your views?
See latest issue of Pop comm. magazine for details. It.
is better to light one candle than to curse the darkness .

2227. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Ruark <nbruark@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <3427352A.3C8B@pacifier.com>
Source record: 185278

D Stark wrote:
>
> In article <3425588C.34A0@msg.ti.com>, C-Porter3@msg.ti.com wrote:
>
> > Not all the computers in police cars are MDTs. The Richardson Police
> >force
> >(TX) has just put a bunch of lap tops in theirs. I don't know the method
> >of
> >communication butthe news article showed them remotely accessing dept
> >data files.
>
> Duh. A computer used in a Mobile unit, acting as a Terminal which has
> to connect back to a central computer via radio Data network is a
> Mobile Data Terminal or MDT.

Duh. Duh. The method of communication is via rf (aka radio).....

2228. MODS for 230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pedro.Braz@gameover.alce.pt
Message-ID: <wgcid16g16000$h41$j34266057@alce.pt>
Source record: 185279

Hello All.

HI.. I bought a Uniden Bearcat 230XLT .. but don't have any mods for it...
does anyone have???

e-mail: pedro.braz@gameover.alce.pt

thks

Pedro

2229. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <604ht1$1i5o$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 185311

In article <3425588C.34A0@msg.ti.com>, C-Porter3@msg.ti.com wrote:

> Not all the computers in police cars are MDTs. The Richardson Police
>force
>(TX) has just put a bunch of lap tops in theirs. I don't know the method
>of
>communication butthe news article showed them remotely accessing dept
>data files.

Duh. A computer used in a Mobile unit, acting as a Terminal which has
to connect back to a central computer via radio Data network is a
Mobile Data Terminal or MDT.

2230. Re: police scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <604i1s$1i5o$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 185312

In article <tailwind-2109971959050001@dyn17.tc1.riverhead.i-2000.net>, tailwind@i-2000.com wrote:
>In article <603mmj$1nle$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>, nf2g@ggw.org (D
>Stark) wrote:
>
>> In article <3420D8F4.3323@telusplanet.net>, cogo@telusplanet.net wrote:
>> >I'm new to scanning and have a question. When police go coded in my town,
>> >their signal changes so that all that you hear is strong static. What
>> >type of scrambling is that and is it possible to decode it?
>>
>> It's digital, it is not possible to decode with consumer-grade tools,
>> and it is a violation of Federal law to even try.
>
>What about the scramble when it sounds garbled or slow sounding??

That sounds like speech inversion scrambling. That can be descrambled with available (not legally, but they were widely available before the scrambler ban) consumer-grade equipment. In fact, it is possible to build your own circuit. However, it is still a violation of U.S. Federal law to unscramble any encrypted radio signal, regardless of the type of encryption used.

2231. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922032801.XAA10940@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185313

If you purchased it when it was legal I don't see how they could track you down and confiscate it. I know I wouldn't give mine up without a fight.

/\/\A/\/
http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm

2232. Re: HELP!: Pro-51 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: barry nichols <bmn@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <3425DD3F.6253@iglou.com>
Source record: 185314

barry nichols wrote:
>
> I haven't seen my instruction manual in a year. For some reason, after
> carrying my pro-51 in a bag and finding it on, it displays the msg "Key
> Lock" and I can't do anything with it. Can some kind soul help ease my
> idiocy?

FOLKS: Thanks for the help. I have it now. The normal method using keylock didn't work. I basically had to hold down keylock and go over the entire panel until I found it.

2233. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: KISSASS@net.com
Message-ID: <604roi$a67@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185315

On 22 Sep 1997 03:28:29 GMT, muddskip@aol.com (Muddskip) wrote:

>If you purchased it when it was legal I don't see how they could track you
>down and confiscate it. I know I wouldn't give mine up without a fight.
>
>
>
>/\/\A/\/
>http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm
the so called authorities can kiss my big.....ss any way, I will
do what ever I damn well please with my radio receivers. Kiss off
Goverments state local and fed. Crawl up a cows butt their might be
tax money in there.

2234. Re: Westchester, NY MEDEVAC Chopper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rob110178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922040001.AAA13249@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185316

>Does anyone know the frequencies used for the MEDEVAC helicopters used in
>Westchester Co., NY?

Wchester County Medevac Known as STATFlight is dispatched by a split
frequency repeater system on frequencys of 463.0000 and 468.0000 They are
handled by 60 Control so you may want to keep an ear on the 60 Control Frequency

Robert A. Wukich
Electric Railroaders Association Member 6608
2820 Coddington Avenue Second Floor
Bronx,N.Y. 10461
Ph: 718-829-1058
Pager: 917.694.0355

2235. Re: Uniden SC150 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Stacy J. Dunkle" <sdunkle@bedford.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc712$d40ea6c0$189163ce@sdunkle.bedford.net>
Source record: 185317

postmaster@nospam.org wrote in article <6044ecvh1@news.usit.net>...
> On Sun, 21 Sep 1997 13:04:26 GMT, I just LOVE pix!!!
> <iLUVpix_NOT_SPAM@super.zippo.com> wrote:
>
> >How much does a "new" Sportcat go for? I wanna know if I really got a
deal at
> >the pawn shop.
>
> I paid $178 for mine, but I think I paid too much.
>

That's exactly what I paid for mine. I thought it was OK, considering that about 10 or more years ago, this is about how much a 16-channel home unit was going for.

As for the mod thing from earlier in this thread... Did you notice that seemingly oddly placed resistor on that little square circuit board above the main board? Could it be this simple? Hmmm... I'm about half tempted to clip it and see wha t happens, but it looks pretty cramped in there to work on anything... :-(

--
Stacy J. Dunkle
http://www.bedford.net/sdunkle
(To reply via e-mail, remove the caps from my reply-to address)
Support the anti-SPAM amendment
Join at http://www.cauce.org

2236. Enhanced User Tools for CE-232 Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3425fe9b.385259689@news-server>
Source record: 185318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,ALT.RADIO.SCANNER,REC.RADIO.CB,REC.RADIO.AMATEUR

This article is copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek. Permission to reprint for FREE access and FREE use by others is automatically granted so long as this entire article, including signature box at the end are retained intact and unaltered.

A TUTORIAL ON
THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE'S
ENHANCED USER FEATURES & FACILITIES

INTRODUCTION
============

The CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface is a 2.5" x 3" electronic board that goes between an IBM-PC/compatible computer and a VHF-UHF scanning receiver for a host of powerful capabilities.

The CE-232 is a -smart- RS-232 Interface controller and data acquisition system for some scanners and at least a drudgery-free automatic programmer and remote controller for all supported scanners.

The CE-232 eliminates a lot of the drudgery and labor in scanning by its ability to "AutoProgram" up to 999 memory channels from a plain ASCII text file in a short time, error-free and exactly the way you want the scanner configured.

The CE-232 also allows REMOTE control of the scanner from the computer keyboard; a strong +plus+ for handheld scanners and those with hard-to- operate keyboards like the PRO-43, PRO-2035 and PRO-2042!

The CE-232 is a one-way controller and AutoProgrammer like described above for MANY scanners, including the PRO-2042, PRO-2035, PRO-2022, PRO-43, PRO-39, PRO-37, PRO-34, PRO-26, etc.

The CE-232 is a TWO-WAY controller, AutoProgrammer and data acquisition system for the time-honored PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006 scanners which have unique circuitry that allows the CE-232 to do MUCH more than just AutoProgram and Remote Control, including AutoLog data on "hits", AUTO-REJECT undesired signals, AUTO-LOOKUP data about a certain frequency, and much more.

The CE-232 also has a number of non-specific, user-definable features and facilities that can be used to greatly enhance the rewards of scanning, monitoring, and processing the data used in and acquired from radio activities.

CE-232 USER FACILITIES OVERVIEW
==============================

The CE-232 offers some very powerful resources in addition to the more or less "standard" features of AutoProgramming, AutoLogging, AutoReject, AutoLookUp, Scripting, and Remote Keyboard Control.

These standard features are extremely powerful in their own right, but the CE-232 goes the extra mile with some non-standard features to keep scanner life interesting and right up there on the bleeding edge. These special features are "user" definable; that is, they don't do anything until the operator has a use for them and makes the necessary connections and motions to use them. These User Facilities include:

User Switches: a CMOS 74HC4066 Quad Bilateral Switch chip on the CE-232 board with accessible "contacts" at Points AA-DD. Otherwise referred to as SW1, SW2, SW3, and SW4, the function of these solid-state switches is identical to that of four individual SPST switches. The CE-232 User can apply these switches however he wants, from turning the BEEP on and off, to control of tape recorders, DTMF and CTCSS decoders, to.... limitless possibilities. The CE-232 software can also control these switches via automation.

Status Inputs: six ports on the CPU of the CE-232 are available to the User much like "idiot lights" in a car. These ports are seen as the INPUT STATUS on the main operating screen of the CE-232 program, and are useful for displaying binary information, 1's and 0's, just like six "lights" in a row, on or off.



The information to be displayed here is strictly up to the User and does not have to be used. These ports are on the CE-232 board as Points EE-JJ. The User can apply 0v and +5v signals to these ports for display on screen of the results and other uses as described later.

InBytes: eight more ports on the CPU of the CE-232 are available to the User in exactly the same fashion as the STATUS INPUTS described above with the sole difference that they are not displayed on the main operating screen. These eight INBYTE ports are on the CE-232 board as Points KK-RR.

OutBytes: eight ports on the CPU of the CE-232 are available to the User as output signals or controls. Vaguely

similar to the User Switches described above, the
OUTBYTES are logic level ports with 0v (low) and +5v
(high) available to control user-defined external
devices or circuits that can work with CMOS logic.
See ahead for a more detailed description. The
OUTBYTES are available on the CE-232 board as Points
SS-ZZ.

Program Screens: If you run the CE-232 with its supplied control
program, there are four viewing screens, selectable
with the right/left cursor keys and/or the <F10>
pulldown menu:

```
+---------------------------------+
| *** Page Selection Menu *** |
| Keypad display |
| Status display |
| script Msg display |
| Lookup display |
+---------------------------------+
```

These screens are self-evident and little else needs
said about them in this section.

CE-232 USER FACILITIES DETAILS
==========

USER SWITCHES

A 74HC4066 CMOS Quad Bilateral Switch (IC-7)controlled by the CPU on
the CE-232 board is dedicated to User definable applications. The four
bilateral (either way) switches are SPST and completely independent
from each other. Points AA-DD on the CE-232 board are the switch
"contacts" for SW-1, SW2, SW3, and SW4, respectively. Status of these
switches (open = 0, closed = 1) is indicated on the main screen.

The User Switches are controllable by any of three means:

1. Keyboard control from the PC: CTRL+F1 through CTRL+F4 for
SW1-SW4, respectively.

```
AA BB CC DD
o o o o
| | | | This diagram is
| | | | symbolic of the
SW1 \ SW2 \ SW3 \ SW4 \ four User Switches.
\ \ \ \ The "contacts" are
| | | | non-polarized. The
| | | | switches are SPST.
o o o o
AA BB CC DD
```

2. <F2 - Misc> Pulldown Menu Control from the PC keyboard:

```
+-----------------------+
| *** Misc Menu *** |
| SW1 ..Ctrl+F1 |
| SW2 ..Ctrl+F2 |
| SW3 ..Ctrl+F3 |
| SW4 ..Ctrl+F4 |
| Quit |
+-----------------------+
```

3. Program (automated) control via a Script using either of two commands:

OUTSW = NNNN where N = 0|1|X
0 = off
1 = on
X = don't care/leave as is

SWx = ON|OFF where (x) is a number, 1-4.

Please see the Script Tutorial for details of these two Script commands that control the User Switches.

The User Switches are true bilateral switches in the sense that the "contacts" of each switch are non-polarized, and can pass either DC or AC or both. Unlike electromechanical switches, CMOS switches are solid-state and have certain limitations. See CAVEAT next.

CAVEAT: CMOS switches have their limitations: maximum current that can be passed through a single switch is about 25-mA, and the maximum applied voltage to either contact is roughly 10% more than the Vcc (power supply voltage), or in this case, 5.5 volts. The 4066 chip will definitely destruct if you apply signals that exceed these limits. This may seem a serious limitation, but it isn't. A User Switch can be used to bias the base of a transistor switch to turn it on and off. If the transistor can't handle the load, then it can control a relay to do the heavy duty switching. Following is a graphic example:

```
+5v +--|<----> +8v
^ | LED
| 5 volt | +----------->
| Relay C |
| Coil C o Relay
AA o CE-232 C - - - - \ Contacts
\ User | o \ for heavy
AA o \ Switch | | duty switching
| SW1 / |
| 10k |/ NPN +----------->
+----------/\/\/\-------|\ Transistor
| \ 2N2222A, etc
|
|
Gnd
```

The above example of how a CE-232 User Switch can be used for heavy duty switching needs is not designed for consumption as shown - it certainly needs a few refinements like a suppressor diode across the coil of the relay, and the 10-k resistor might need to be "tweaked" for a more desirable value. But you get the idea now......

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION OF THE CE-232 USER SWITCHES
==

Four low power SPST CMOS switches are provided on the CE-232 board with contacts at Points AA-DD for the User to do with as he sees fit. The status or states of the User Switches are Autologgable.

These switches can be manually controlled by the operator from the PC keyboard or by automated means from a Script. The User Switches are capable of directly controlling CMOS and other low power circuits including Extended Memory modifications, DTMF and CTCSS decoders; and a host of other possibilities.

Where higher current is needed, like for tape recorders, etc, a simple transistor switch can be controlled by a User Switch for the same effect or result. The User Switches are for the User's purposes and are not limited to any particular role or function.

STATUS INPUTS OR INPUT STATUS

The INPUT STATUS registers are among the least understood and utilized features of the CE-232, probably because we have not touted or pushed them to any serious extent. For that, we apologize, because the Status Inputs are a very powerful feature, indeed!

Say you're driving along the highway and your car's oil or temperature light comes on? If you see it in time, you might avert disaster.

Well, the INPUT STATUS registers are a little like "idiot lights" in your car. They come ON and go OFF. You can make them come ON and OFF. All it takes for OFF is no signal at all; i.e. a logic low or 0-volts. ON requires something over +3 volts, and not over +5.5 volts. Any voltage in this range will make a Status Input bit go from 0 to 1. There are 6 Status Input bits for you to allocate at your discretion.

The six Status Input bits are physically located on the CE-232 board as Points EE, FF, GG, HH, II, and JJ. And they are displayed on the main operating screen by the CE-232 program as six binary bits:

```
________ 100110
| INPUT | The location and ^^^^^^
| STATUS | significance of each ||||||
| 100110 | bit can be determined JJ-+|||||
|________| by the figure to the HH--+||||
right. The actual GG---+|||
display is similar to FF----+||
that shown on the left. EE-----+|
```

II------+

Here is an example use of a Status Input bit. See the left-most bit? That comes from the status at Point JJ on the CE-232 board. Now suppose we connected a Squelch signal to Point JJ, where the voltage was 0-volts when Squelch is set and about 5 volts when Squelch breaks?

If we can do that, then whenever you see a "0" in the first bit, the scanner's Squelch is set (no signals coming in). When that bit shows as a "1", then a signal is coming in to break the Squelch. No problem if your Volume is turned up, but what if it's down? Then you can still tell when a signal comes in, see?

It's easy. Let's take the PRO-2006 for example. If you solder a wire to Pin 1 of IC-3 (+5v Squelch signal) and the other end of the wire to Point JJ on the CE-232 board, presto! There's your Squelch Indicator!

The INPUT STATUS bits are not related to each other. That is, what ever goes on with one bit does not affect the other five. Therefore, each of the six bits can serve different purposes, just like a row of "idiot lights". Or....you can combine two or more to a source of binary signals for a combinational display.....say an S-METER, for instance!

Take my LED S-Meter modification featured in Vol-2 of my SCANNER MODIFICTION HANDBOOK, as an example. Up to ten LED's light up to offer eleven total levels of signal strength: 0 through 10. A slight adaptation of the LED S-Meter circuit makes a great source of signal for the CE-232's STATUS INPUT registers. Suppose we used the right four STATUS bits....(The left most one is for Squelch, remember.)

Okay, like I said, my LED S-METER circuit can be slightly modified to produce its eleven indications in BINARY form like this:

BINARY S-METER STATUS INPUT
OUTPUTS DISPLAY
0 = 0 0 0 0 0 = 100000
1 = 0 0 0 1 1 = 100001
2 = 0 0 1 0 2 = 100010
3 = 0 0 1 1 3 = 100011
4 = 0 1 0 0 4 = 100100
5 = 0 1 0 1 5 = 100101
6 = 0 1 1 0 6 = 100110
7 = 0 1 1 1 7 = 100111
8 = 1 0 0 0 8 = 101000
9 = 1 0 0 1 9 = 101001
10 = 1 0 1 0 10 = 101010

So when the modified LED S-Meter mod's four binary outputs are connected to the STATUS INPUTS at Points GG, FF, EE, and II, then we instantly have a visible S-Meter in the CE-232's Display and also an S-Meter signal that can be logged with other important data by the AutoLogger! Remember, we tied the Squelch to the left bit (JJ) and

haven't allocated anything to the one right of it (HH). It can still be used for something else.

One of the hottest and most powerful aspects of the STATUS INPUTS is that they're AutoLoggable! If you have an S-Meter hooked up as described above, then you can choose Extended AutoLogging to acquire and store that data, as well. Signal strength is a very potent parameter of signal identification and analysis. In many areas, frequencies are shared among a variety of users, so strength is a strong tool for identifying who's who and what's where. Following are a few lines of a recent AutoLog file that shows S-meter readings:

```
START DURATION
BANK FREQ MODE STEP DATE TIME OF SIGNL S-METER
, 2, 862.5250,nfm, , ,SrUp,12.5,970921,123646,000008, 0000,100011, 3
, 2, 856.0500,nfm, , ,SrUp,12.5,970921,124728,000004, 0000,101010,10
, 2, 856.6000,nfm, , ,SrUp,12.5,970921,124739,000010, 0000,101010,10
, 2, 856.8250,nfm, , ,SrUp,12.5,970921,124754,000005, 0000,101000, 8
, 2, 857.3875,nfm, , ,SrUp,12.5,970921,124804,000009, 0000,100101, 5
, 2, 857.4625,nfm, , ,SrUp,12.5,970921,124814,000004, 0000,100010, 2
, 2, 857.5250,nfm, , ,SrUp,12.5,970921,124819,000023, 0000,100001, 1
, 2, 857.7375,nfm, , ,SrUp,12.5,970921,124843,000007, 0000,101000, 8
, 2, 857.7750,nfm, , ,SrUp,12.5,970921,124851,000021, 0000,101000, 8
, 2, 857.7875,nfm, , ,SrUp,12.5,970921,124913,000008, 0000,100111, 7
```

I added the decimal equivalent of the binary S-meter readins to the far right column just to make it easier for you to see how signal strengths can be important in computerized monitoring. Suppose, for instance, that I was a DX hunter. Well, I could write a Script or adjust my database to disregard signal strengths greater than "5", thereby affording me the luxury of not having to sort through a bunch of strong local signals.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION OF THE STATUS INPUT BITS
==========

The Status Inputs are undefined, unallocated input ports for the User to do with as he pleases (within reason). These inputs require 0v and +5v logic with 0 being 0v +/-0.5v and 5v being +5v +/-0.5v, except that high indications (1) may display with as little as +3.5v. Anything more than about +5.5v is likely to destroy the CE-232's CPU so caution is advised.

These ports will sink 1.0 to 2.5-mA so take that into accout when picking the logic signals to be displayed/logged. If you know Ohm's Law, you can use this information to calculate a dropping resistor so that other voltages can be plugged into the Status Inputs. For example, say you wanted to display an 8-volt status. Well, you'd need a resistor to drop 3 volts leaving 5 volts to the Status bit. Ohms Law says R = E/I, so we calculate: 3v/.0025 = 1200 ohms. But let's calculate for the lower current range, too: R = 3v/.001 = 3000 ohms. Therefore, start with a 4700-ohm resistor in series between the 8v

source and the Status Input bit. Be sure to measure the voltage at the Status bit point to ensure less than +5.5v, and preferably a trifle under +5.0v.

The INPUT STATUS bits will indicate whatever you want them to, and the results are AutoLoggable for great power in signal and results analysis. Now do you see the light? If so, read on, because it gets better. If not, read on anyway, because the next discussion might bring it all together for you.

INBYTES

There are eight INBYTE registers that do exactly the same thing as described above for the INPUT STATUS bits. There is no difference between them other than the STATUS INPUTS are displayed on the main program screen while the INBYTES are not. THAT is the ONLY difference.

Therefore, if the six STATUS INPUT bits aren't enough for your needs, relax....eight more exist as the INBYTE bits.

The INBYTES are AutoLoggable, and may be viewed from the Status Screen of the CE-232 program. It appears something like this:

```
================================================================================
| -CE-232 SCANNER INTERFACE PROGRAM- |
|____________________________________________________________________________|
| | Search ^ Monitor 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | |
|OUTPUT | X | INPUT |
|STATUS | 2 860.0250 Mhz | STATUS|
| 0100 | nfm 12.5 khz | 101010|
|________|_____________________________________________________|_______|
|\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ Last Log Entry \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\|
|///,CH , FREQ ,MODE,DELAY,LK-OUT,OP MODE,STEP, DATE , TIME ,DURATION/////|
|\\\\ 2\ 857.7875\\nfm\\\\ \\\\\\ \\\\SrUp\\12.5\970921\123810\\000000\\\\\\|
|////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////|
|\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\,OUTSW, INSW , OUTBYTE, INBYTE\\\\\\\\\\\\\|
|\\\\\\\\\\\Extended Log Data \\\ 0100 100111 01100000 11011101\\\\\\\\\\\\\\|
|////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////|
|\\\\\\\\\\\ Current Ext Data \\\ 0100 101010 01101000 11000101\\\\\\\\\\\\\\|
|////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////|
| AutoLogger Path: D:\HBOPS\LOG\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\|
|Lookup File Name: D:\HBOPS\APF\SDG400.APF ////////////////////////////////|
|Reject File Name: birdies.rej \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\|
|Script File Name: -none- //////////////////////////////////////////////|
|\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\|
|____________________________________________________________________________|
|HELP | MISC | LOOKUP | APRGM | SCRIPT | CONFIG | REJECT | TOOL | ALOG | PAGE|
| on on on |
================================================================================
```

So, with a little getting used to the several CE-232 viewing screens, you can view your Extended data, even if the AutoLogger isn't on at the time. It's really a no-brainer, even if the above screen doesn't make any sense at the moment.

The INBYTES are available to the user as Points KK, LL, MM, NN, OO, PP, QQ, and RR on the CE-232 board.

OUTBYTES

I said above somewhere that the OUTBYTES were similar to the User Switches. That isn't really "right" except that in some applications, you really can't tell the difference. But first and foremost, the User Switches really are switches.

The OUTBYTES, however, are logic level outputs of 0v and +5v, controllable from the Script function. In the case of my Extended Memory mods of 1600, 3200, 6400 and 25600 channels that require Address Block switching using 0v and +5v, it doesn't matter whether you use a switch or logic signals for control. In my books, I called for toggle or DIP switches to control the memory Blocks by applying low and high logic as needed to switch the Blocks.

The OUTBYTES can do that, too. Whereas the User Switches have several methods of control, one from the PC keyboard, the OUTBYTES can be controlled only from within a running Script, so see the Script Tutorial for exactly how to make them work for you. In a word, the OUTBYTE Script command is:

```
OUTBYTE = nnnnnnnn
^^^^^^^^
||||||||
|||||||\---<Bit 0
||||||\----<Bit 1
|||||\-----<Bit 2
||||\------<Bit 3
|||\-------<Bit 4
||\--------<Bit 5
|\---------<Bit 6
\----------<Bit 7
```

where n = 0, 1, or X and
0 means off or low
1 means on or high
X means don't change it (leaves the bit set unchanged)

Example: Say the current state of the OUTBYTE is: 00110011
and you want to change it to 11001100, then the Script
command should be:

OUTBYTE = 11001100

However, suppose you don't care about bits 0,3,and 7,
then:

OUTBYTE = 1X001X0X

The OUTBYTES can source a couple of milliamps, if pressed to do so, but you should remember that these are not power supplies and should not be tasked with powering anything. The OUTBYTES are logic gates controlled by the CE-232's CPU and you don't want to risk blowing it up. At $35 or so a pop, CPU's are expensive. Use the OUTBYTES as control signals all you want, but if you're smart, make them feed high impedance loads, at least 5000 ohms or greater, preferably greater. Under no circumstances, even for a moment, let the OUTBYTES feed impedances lower than 4000 ohms. 10-k and up is preferred.

There is another slick application for the OUTBYTES since they can be controlled by a Script and since Scripts can be used to make logical, intelligent decisions. MEMORY! Sure, why not? A Script can "read" or test for OUTBYTE settings as well as change them, so therein is a wonderful source of 8-bits of memory or 256 possibilities. A running Script using IF - THEN - ELSE logic could temporarily store numbers or codes into the OUTBYTES and then retrieve or change them later, or make other decisions based on the contents. One example that comes to mind is as a COUNTER for how many times a given frequency is active. There are many possibilities, limited only by the imagination. I'll leave it to your ingenuity to find other uses for the OUTBYTES.

CE-232 USER FACILITIES SUMMARY & CONCLUSION
==========

The four User Switches, eight OUTBYTES, eight INBYTES and six STATUS INPUTS offer the CE-232 User an immense array of generic tools and resources by which to automate, simplify, and expand on the arts and sciences of radio monitoring. In a sense, these "extras" offer the User a variety of ways to attach external and unrelated devices to the CE-232 and the scanner for unattended operation and control. Anything that outputs a digital code.....from DTMF and CTCSS decoders to pager decoders to other esoteric devices.....can be controlled by or accept input to the CE-232 User Facilities.

Four bits of the OUTBYTES can control the 16 Block 6400 channel Extended Memory mods; six bits of the OUTBYTES can control the 64 Block 25600 channel Extended Memory mod. Five bits of the OUTBYTE can control the Communications Specialists' TS-32P CTCSS Decoder. The INBYTES and STATUS INPUTS can accept inputs from these devices and much more. A Script can be written to decode the binary data and make decisions accordingly.

Possibilities are absolutely endless, limited only by the User's imagination and experience in electronic hacking.

On the other hand, even the greenest neophyte can benefit from the CE-232's standard features and never employ the enhanced User Facilities. They are simply there for use as desired....or not, and

in no way do they get in the way of the powerful basic/standard functions. The CE-232 enhanced User Facilities just add to the power of scanning if and when the User has an "idea".....

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

Copyright (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek, September 19, 1997
==========[End of Article]==========

2237. FS: Icom R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Parry <brian_parry@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc718$ba3c7c80$4eeb1fcc@#bjp>
Source record: 185319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

New Icom R10 w serial cable and PC software. Great little unit with all
oroginal materials. Perfect condition. $400 + S&H

brian_parry@hotmail.com

2238. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimrubin@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <jimrubin-2209970053220001@ip41.an3-new-york4.ny.pub-ip.psi.net>
Source record: 185320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

In article <01bcc0ce$feca5480$0aa00110@vinland.viking.org>, "Paul Flaherty" <paulf@w6yx.stanford.edu> wrote:

Wish I had been as eloquent and accurate in my posting as Mr. Flaherty. I even had the title and year of The Act wrong! But suffice to say that his posting sums it all up beautifully.

Cheers,
Jim

>Ike <ike@one.net> wrote in article <3418C1CC.633D@one.net>...
>> The only reason you are modifying the electronics
>> is to do something that is not legal ... it's the
>> same thing when you get a CB and modify the gain
>> so when you transmit your signal, it causes
>> interference with nearby radios & tv's. You
>> do not the right to transmit & receive beyond
>> the limits set by the laws ... the innocent couple
>> that intercepted Newt's conversation, should
>> in fact pay the fine and serve some time in the
>> big house ... why don't you spend your time
>> doing something constructive?
>
>The basis of all of this is the Communications Act of 1934, which replaced
>earlier and more arcane legislation that was found to be Unconstitutional.
>For starters, the Act explicitly covers most of the situations you mention;
>one is allowed to listen to the electromagnetic waves traversing one's
>body, so long as you don't repeat what you've heard to someone else,
>especially for profit. This has been the law for over six decades. It
>balances the rights of both parties to a degree that has stood the test of
>time. Given the ready availability of a technical solution (cryptography)
>to the problem you describe, I see no reason to unbalance that which has
>served so well.
>
>As an aside, any attempt to regulate radio reception is very likely to be
>found Unconstitutional, or not enforced, ala the Electronic Communications
>Privacy Act of 1986.
>
>Now, as to some of the minor bits:
>
>There are plenty of legitimate reasons to hack radios. 30-50MHz is prime
>spectrum for watching meteor trails, but not much in the way of gear is
>made to do this out of the box. I have a couple of Mocom 10s that I've
>"slid up" from 45 MHz to 51 for use as meteor radars. These are neither

>illegitimate nor illegal, since I have the proper ticket hanging on my
>wall.
>
>Tweaking the gain on a CB set usually results in splatter, which is only
>somewhat harmful, and not likely to attract much attention. The cause of
>much RFI tends to be tweaked gain *and* beefy linear amplifiers, which are
>easy to nail down.
>
>As to constructive use of my time, well, bouncing radio waves off of meteor
>trails happens to be one of my chosen forms of recreation, in addition to
>posting to net.ham-radio, which can be more or less productive.

2239. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimrubin@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <jimrubin-2209970046550001@ip41.an3-new-york4.ny.pub-ip.psi.net>
Source record: 185321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

In article <01bcc22b$975f03a0$e327abcc@fsd2.slip.lm.com>, "Richard Bossard" <rbossard@nauticom.net> wrote:

>Hate to burst your bubble of ignorance, but its been illegal to make use of
>information heard in a private radio conversation since 1936. The laws are
>fine the way they are now. But I doubt if you know much about radio or
>related laws...
>
>>

>Rick

Rick,

Correct, the Radio Act of 1936 states that anyone using information from a non-broadcast radio transmission for thier own personal gain was subject to criminal penalties. That is ALL of the legislation ever needed in this country and covers every type of transmission. It is only pure greed that has fostered this latest round of tyranny (as well as the ECPA).

Cheers,

Jim

2240. Re: Cheek & the immature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: grhansen1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922103501.GAA26659@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185322

Who cares about being mature? I get to make rude remarks (I especially like to go over to rec.birds and give the gays a hard time), spout off about of smart I think I am and generally act like the paranoid, delusional schizo that I think I am (I say "I think, I am" but I'm not sure. I haven't heard the voices yet today). I never talk about scanning here. My sole purpose is to cause trouble. Gee, I'm having a lot of fun being a jerk!

2241. Re: The Story of John Mackey "The Idiot"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: grhansen1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922104100.GAA21329@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185323

Please write a story about me, Glenn the Idiot. I know that I'm a bigger idiot than Mackey.

2242. RE: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: grhansen1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922104001.GAA21306@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185324

>Subject: RE: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!
From: nospamgrpark@mindspring.com(George)
>Date: Sun, 21 Sep 1997 23:10:18 GMT

>I've put up with all the sniveling, crying, bitching, whining,
>complaining, fighting, attacking, backstabbing and childish behavior I
>can stand.

How come you didn't add my name to the list? I've forged news postings, started disagreements with people who never said two words to me, attacked everyone in sight like a snarling dog, and acted like the spoiled, snot-nosed brat that I am. I'll probably straighten up after I become potty trained, but you should also add me to the list of people that you want to kill. I mean add me to your kill list. Oh, gosh, I mean just filter me out. That's what my mommy does.

2243. Re: Enhanced User Tools for CE-232 Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: grhansen1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922104500.GAA26869@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185325

Way to go Bill. I love everything Bill says and does. I don't have a mind of my own, so I act as a faithful companion to Bill. Everything Bill says, I think is wonderful, even if it's about this interface that works for scanners that no one makes any more. I lie at the feet of the old master and when anyone says anything bad about him, I bark and yip like a raging shit-zu. When Bill wants me, I come begging. Arf, arf.

2244. Re: FM PACKET CH 67 International CB Packet Radio Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Amor Powers, CB Radio" <power@CB.Radio.net>
Message-ID: <34264718.2DF4@CB.Radio.net>
Source record: 185326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

CHANNEL 68 (27.685), although it gets little use in North America,
Is close to an active marine AM frequency 27.680 which is in common use
in coastal areas, on the high seas, and especially the South Pacific.
I have only heard 4 or 5 QSOs by fishing vessels (California coastal
waters) between 1991 and 1995 on 27.680 AM, mostly with rather X-rated
conversations... and you thought Channel 19 was bad... :-)

It appears that there is still some genuine marine use on 27.680, even
though it is very seldom lately, due to most activity of similar radio
range being on VHF. The small fishing vessel types must have liked it
for the relative lack of Coast Guard and the others who listen on VHF,
and being able simultaneously use their HF radio for chit-chat while
keeping their VHF monitoring other channels.

Given that the 27 MHz propagation is getting quite good on the South
Pacific to North America route, we should probably look at
CHANNEL 67 FM PACKET (27.675) instead.

Amor Powers

2245. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922113100.HAA22419@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185327

In article <342623B5.4360@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>Funny, pretty much everyone has agreed that it is true,
>you are the only fool thinking otherwise. It was not
>"disproved" here as you say.

Mackey, I have read ALL the threads on this, and I know ONCE AGAIN that
you are lying.
NOBODY has agreed to this assinine accusation you made, NOBODY!!!
PROVE ME WRONG...no blanket statements, PROVE ME WRONG!

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2246. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922113700.HAA22534@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185328

In article <3425E881.2810@spam.com>, dummykiller@spam.com writes:

>DUH YOURSELF!!!!
>A computer used in a mobile is an MCT - a mobile computing terminal.
>The distinction lies in the fact that an MDT is a dumb terminal whicle
>an MCT is a full functional computer.

I know I caught a Glimpse of one in an LAPD vehicle, while watching LAPD.
It was a Grid 1660..(discontinued now) a 386 25 mhz laptop with a B & W
screen. I have one of these...quit the dinosaur.

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2247. MM3B Micro-Mag Tri-Band Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Brubaker <bob@belvoir.army.mil>
Message-ID: <605l7h$q7t$1@nozzle.tacom.army.mil>
Source record: 185329

Was looking through the Tech America Catalog (page 300) and noticed the
MM3B Micro-Mag Tri-Band Antenna

is tranmit capable on 2M 440 and Cellular.

Seemed like it might be an interesting Scanner antenna, but at 13.5" it
sure doesnt have much capture area

Anyone have any thoughts on it.

2248. Re: BCT-7 lost all memory!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Brubaker <bob@belvoir.army.mil>
Message-ID: <605kltpmg1@nozzle.tacom.army.mil>
Source record: 185330

Don't have a BCT-7 but I've had many ham rigs that use battery back-up do it.

I've had an Asden 2 mtr rig that lost it often when left in the car but has been completely stable in the house.

I've never had a problem with a rig that uses EEROM

kEN Colburn wrote in article ...

>The other day my year old Beartracker BCT-7 lost ALL the freqs i had
>in memory. This ever happen to u? i feel like my harrddrive crashed.
>Why'd it do this?
>i don't know if i can go on....
>--
>ezwriter@netcom.com
>The gas station restroom on the Info Superhighway.
>http://labiker.org
>

2249. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922113100.HAA27886@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185331

In article <19970922104001.GAA21306@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
grhansen1@aol.com (Grhansen1) writes:

>How come you didn't add my name to the list? I've forged news postings,
>started disagreements with people who never said two words to me, attacked
>everyone in sight like a snarling dog, and acted like the spoiled,
>snot-nosed brat that I am. I'll probably straighten up after I become potty
>trained, but you should also add me to the list of people that you want to
>kill. I mean add me to your kill list. Oh, gosh, I mean just filter me out.
>That's what my mommy does.

Path:
lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!audrey01.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
From: grhansen1@aol.com (Grhansen1)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: RE: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill
Filters!
Date: 22 Sep 1997 10:40:28 GMT
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <19970922104001.GAA21306@ladder01.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ladder01.news.aol.com
X-Admin: news@aol.com
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
References: <342aa446.182601557@news.mindspring.com>
SnewsLanguage: English

Whats this reference line?...could this be a forgery?????
my my, would MACKEY do such a thing?

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2250. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922113100.HAA27881@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185332

In article <604roi$a67@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>, KISSASS@net.com (paganism) writes:

>the so called authorities can kiss my big........ss any way, I will
>do what ever I damn well please with my radio receivers. Kiss off
>Goverments state local and fed. Crawl up a cows butt their might be
>tax money in there.

Theres a pretty brave ANONYMOUS statement....
Whats a matter?, afraid of the Gov'ment?

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2251. Re: FOR SALE: PRO-2038

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <605lfu$e3@nntpb.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 185333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3411B8CC.60A9@cybernex.net>,
Joshua Kaufman <jkaufman@cybernex.net> wrote:
>***FOR SALE***
>Brand new Radio Shack PRO-2038, 800 MHz
>Excellent condition, still in original box, with all manuals.
>Preprogrammed. Receives some cell images and portables. Great scanner,
>I just don't have enough time to sit and listen to it.
>
>Asking $175 including shipping. (price somewhat negotiable)
>Emails only please.

i hate to break the news like this but . . .
Radio Shack closed those out @ $99 earlier this year
->bh

2252. Trident tr2c MOBILE Scanner got one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <605ln9$ed@nntpb.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 185334

I'm looking for one of these older mobile scanners
trident tr2 or tr2c mobile scanners.

->bh

2253. Scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: drunyon@abcs.com
Message-ID: <342680dc.0@news.abcs.com>
Source record: 185335
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have the following scanners for immediate sale:

Uniden Bearcat 800 xlt (good condition except for very dim display)
$75

Uniden Bearcat 235xlt TrunkTracker (mint condition) $210

Uniden Bearcat 8500xlt (mint condition-with PL tone board) $175

If interested, e-mail drunyon@abcs.com...do not leave a reply in the
newsgroup.

Thanks.

2254. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342628FA.5545@usa.net>
Source record: 185336

OK, you are on PSN.NET, but using a Juno mail address. What
is your PSN e-mail address?

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> Prove to me (and others) that I am Eric Oyen. Go ahead, PROVE IT!
> For all you know, I could be his Brother-in-law (which he does have

2255. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34262773.3D20@usa.net>
Source record: 185337

Eric Oyen wrote:

John, Why do you think we are the same when we use different
> new readers? Why the same when our writing styles are dissimilar? What
> trouble are you trying to start now?

Different news readers does not mean anything. Bill Cheek uses a
different reader when he makes his bogus posts yet every one knows
it is him because of other things he did. (Except for CB Guy who
can't understand)

> Read my complete path and compare it to others in this newsgroup.

I did, that is PART of what clinched PRM's identity.

2256. Re: cellular on locked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex Bischoff <abischof@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <34266FC0.EC18E11F@vt.edu>
Source record: 185338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lord Nymon wrote:
> ALL scanners with 800 Mhz are capable of receiving cellular phone calls
> WITHOUT any modifications. And it is NOT because of "image"
> frequencies, it is because cell phones are not limited to the
> "blocked-out" range on scanners... The area just above the blocked out
> section is for cellular as well. (This is why the whole law about
Could you give some examples of these just-out-of-blocked-range
frequencies?

Thanks,

Alex
- -
mailto:abischof@vt.edu http://www.vt.edu:10021/A/abischof/

| export-a-crypto-system-sig RSA-3-lines-PERL |
| #!/bin/perl -sp0777i<X+d*lMLa^*lN%0]dsXx++lMlN/dsM0<j]dsj |
| $/=unpack('H*',$_);$_=`echo 16dio\U$k"SK$/SM$n\EsN0p[lN*1 |
| lK[d2%Sa2/d0$^Ixp"|dc`;s/\W//g;$_=pack('H*',/((..)*)$/) |

Help Crack RC5! ----> http://rc5.distributed.net/

2257. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Hurd <chris@mediadesign.net>
Message-ID: <34267127.2AD8@mediadesign.net>
Source record: 185339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Howdy from Texas,

Our microstation here in San Marcos
has been up nearly six months. The
only entity trying to shut us down
is the city, and they're not doing
a very good job... their case thrown
out of municipal court (for "operating
a bus. out of a res." the station is
not a bus., entirely non-commercial).

Broadcasting at 35 watts, reaching maybe
five mile radius, can be heard along I-35
between Austin/San Antonio. It is perfectly
legal, mainly because it doesn't bleed on
any lisc. stations. In fact, a new Houston
station had to turn down their power so
they wouldn't bleed us US (we're 105.9 FM).

Invite all to listen live over the net
via Real Audio, station is up 24/7, programming
is extremely diverse in nature.

Hear us at http://www.mediadesign.net

Thanks,
Chris

N8XYN wrote:
>
> > Your answer is VERY misleading here!
> > Micro-broadcasting is done LEGALLY in many cases.
> >
>
> I'll stick by my first statement (Its a matter of field strength). If
> you stay legal you'll be lucky to hear your own signal at the end of
> your house...not Mw but generated strength. I've played this game
> before and 100 Mw into a 5 foot antenna will be heard for at least 1
> block. Play at your own risk...73

2258. BC9000XLT WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dayizback@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922021901.WAA05429@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185340

REPLY TO DAYIZBACK@AOL.COM TO MAKE A DEAL

2259. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <342677AF.3777@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dennis Erwin Thurlow wrote:
>
> > I'll stick by my first statement (Its a matter of field strength). If
> > you stay legal you'll be lucky to hear your own signal at the end of
> > your house...not Mw but generated strength. I've played this game
> > before and 100 Mw into a 5 foot antenna will be heard for at least 1
> > block. Play at your own risk...73
>
> N8XYN,
> I don't understand what you're saying here, but Bry _is_ correct.
> The old RS 12-in-1 kit had a 1 tube xmitr. The instructions for that
> experiment included the CFR47 regs on the circuit (Probably required by law).
>
> Ya' know...a 1/2 wave dipole for 100MHz(Commerial FM) is under 5' in length.
> Ask a QRP guy the best way to feed 100mW to a dipole, but I'll bet the
> range is in _kilometers_, not rooms or blocks. I've done 5 miles on 2m@500mW.
> --
> Spam Defense: Click <A HREF="mailto:geosynq@trader.com">HERE</A> to reply.
> Elder - Westminster Presbyterian
> TRA #2693
> N9VEM

Ah! were talking apples and oranges! US (FCC) reqs wont allow field
strength levels to exceed a certain level. The FM10 with its tiny 10 Mw
output will create too high a field strength with a proper antenna.
What I was saying is that an "UNMODIFIED" FM10 cant be heard for more
than a hundred feet (AND IS LEGAL)...73

2260. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: N8XYN <jim.N8XYN@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3426782E.633E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Chris Hurd wrote:
>
> Howdy from Texas,
>
> Our microstation here in San Marcos
> has been up nearly six months. The
> only entity trying to shut us down
> is the city, and they're not doing
> a very good job... their case thrown
> out of municipal court (for "operating
> a bus. out of a res." the station is
> not a bus., entirely non-commercial).
>
> Broadcasting at 35 watts, reaching maybe
> five mile radius, can be heard along I-35
> between Austin/San Antonio. It is perfectly
> legal, mainly because it doesn't bleed on
> any lisc. stations. In fact, a new Houston
> station had to turn down their power so
> they wouldn't bleed us US (we're 105.9 FM).
>
> Invite all to listen live over the net
> via Real Audio, station is up 24/7, programming
> is extremely diverse in nature.
>
> Hear us at http://www.mediadesign.net
>
> Thanks,
> Chris
>
> N8XYN wrote:
> >
> > > Your answer is VERY misleading here!
> > > Micro-broadcasting is done LEGALLY in many cases.
> > >
> >
> > I'll stick by my first statement (Its a matter of field strength). If
> > you stay legal you'll be lucky to hear your own signal at the end of
> > your house...not Mw but generated strength. I've played this game
> > before and 100 Mw into a 5 foot antenna will be heard for at least 1
> > block. Play at your own risk...73

Like I said "Lots of folks do it". But it is at your own risk...

2261. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342625E8.4234@usa.net>
Source record: 185343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:

> Well, the opposite could also be said to be true. No one has come out

You're talking in circles again Eric.

> > NO, I RARELY post on rec.radio.cb anyway, only occasionally. Look at
> > Dejanews if you don't believe me.
> Already have, before 4 days ago, you posted a total of 68 times in
> rec.radio.cb in the course of 3 weeks.

That may be true IF you ONLY went back 3 weeks. You need to check more
history than than to be accurate. Hell Eric, you were out of town for
2 weeks visition family & weren't online. See what I mean Eric?

2262. Re: good (reputation) mail order co for scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex Bischoff <abischof@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <34266A53.A2F81A5A@vt.edu>
Source record: 185344

m.sato@popmail.csuohio.edu wrote:
> experience w/Communications Electronics. I saw them in the MT & their
Do they have an URL?

Alex
- -
mailto:abischof@vt.edu http://www.vt.edu:10021/A/abischof/

| export-a-crypto-system-sig RSA-3-lines-PERL |
| #!/bin/perl -sp0777i<X+d*lMLa^*lN%0]dsXx++lMlN/dsM0<j]dsj |
| $/=unpack('H*',$_);$_=`echo 16dio\U$k"SK$/SM$n\EsN0p[lN*1 |
| lK[d2%Sa2/d0$^Ixp"|dc`;s/\W//g;$_=pack('H*',/((..)*)$/) |

Help Crack RC5 Now! ----> http://rc5.distributed.net/

2263. Re: What Frequency CD to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Crabtree <ctree@nltc.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc6f9$79e15c60$a1ab8bcd@default>
Source record: 185345

I have PerCon's Spectrum and it is a good deal for $30.

AEllery <aellery@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970920214201.RAA23105@ladder02.news.aol.com>...
> I'm am planning to buy the PerCon Spectrum Frequency CD ($30 + shipping).

> So far I've know of two other ones, the one from Grove (+$70 compared to
> Spectrum) and one called Scanner Master (about $15) that I saw at a ham
> fest. I would like to be able to enter a freq and location and have the
TX
> station ID'd. Any thoughts or experience on which one to buy or other
ones
> to consider?
>
> Allen
>

2264. Re: Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34268608.5969159@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185346
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

You are using a psuedo newsreader that puts out html code.
Please find out how to turn off html or better yet get a
real newsreader.

Tomcat121356@webtv.net (Thomas Beard) wrote:

:
:--WebTV-Mail-822677554-388
:Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7BIT
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7BIT
:
:
:--WebTV-Mail-822677554-388--

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2265. H.R. 2369 Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: frg9600@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922151600.LAA01125@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185347

http://www.popcomm.com/

2266. FS: RS PRO-2042 w/OS-535 Installed $450

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bay <jbay@brooklyn>
Message-ID: <6061ijbjd1@broadway.interport.net>
Source record: 185348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS: 2-month old Pro-2042 with OS-535 installed. $450 plus shipping
(preferred: fedex 2-day COD - I've had great luck with them).
Comes with demo versions of software, ready for plug & play
computer-controlled scanning. Physical condition is mint, comes
with original box, manuals, etc.

This is an excellent scanning combo...I'm selling because I
bought an Icom 7100...

Please respond via EMail.

jbay@brooklyn[remove this to mail me].com

2267. Re: MX Suitcase Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty Blei <jmap@idt.net>
Message-ID: <34268FBE.6E17@idt.net>
Source record: 185349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,nyc.forsale,li.forsale,ny.forsale

Marty Blei wrote:
>
> I have a Motorola MX Suitcase Repeater For Sale I am asking $ 300.00
> plus shipping (very heavy) "E" Mail me if interested.
Great Buy!!

2268. Re: Yupiteru Web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Short, B.K." <shortckt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <34268F69.7C91@primenet.com>
Source record: 185350

Please try the Yupi mailing list at QTH.Net.
For information: http://www.qth.net

I also have some info on my web page, try:
http://www.qsl.net/mods/radmods.htm

Clark Rennie wrote:
>
> Does know if Yupiteru has a web site and what is the correct name of the
> company that manufactures the Ypiteru line of radios.
>
> Thanks, Clark

2269. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick <midnight/dot/rambler@/nospam/asu.edu>
Message-ID: <6065bc$qpv@news.asu.edu>
Source record: 185351

Techno wrote:
>
> Please don't flame me too for my comment...:-)

Well, this is more of a history lesson than a flame...

> Too much unproductive hate, too much foul language.

Yep.

> I followed the long threads, and it seems that at least John tries to
> keep his language clean. PLEASE continue to do so, John.

I have to disagree with you there. He only does so when he is acting
the victim.

> I hope others too will try too, to keep this newsgroup a nice place to
> visit. It would be a shame if this newsgroup became a place full of
> hatred, as many have.

Are you new here? It's already been a place of hatred for a year and 3
months or so.....

> AFAIK, this whole flaming started because John has raised an
> interesting question regarding an easter egg in the HB-232 s/w.

Nope. Goes **WAY** back farther than that. What you are seeing is only
the latest round.

> - stuff about B.Cheek deleted -

> On the other hand, I think John Mackey had every right to post his
> query. Maybe he was sympathetic about those poor programmers...

I seriously doubt that. Any reason to attack Cheek, and Mackey will
jump on it.

> The problem was all those FOUL LANGUAGE responses, as if Bill doesn't
> know how to defend himself. Indeed, I think Bill DOES (I've seen some
> sharp answers of his on usenet in the past...:-) And regarding this
> thread, IMHO, Bill indeed answered to the point.

Bill also refuses to bandy about with Mackey since about 10 months ago.
He realized the futility of attempting to reason with Mackey, and simply
kill filed anything about or by Mackey. Unfortunately, others
(including myself, now) keep feeding the lion. Mackey tends to go away
when no one replies to him, or his name is no longer mentioned in any
posts (hint, hint).....

--
--Patrick

2270. Re: BC235 Mod.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: clint.bradford@atdbbs.com
Message-ID: <970922093737282O1@atdbbs.com>
Source record: 185352

>> Freedom of speech...

Is a freedom guaranteed in the U.S. Constitution...and
prohibits Congress from abridging your freedom of speech.

The Usenet is NOT a state-run telecommunications service.
Therefore, you have no such constitutional protections.

2271. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4zv@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <342699f0.12812102@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 185353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

On 22 Sep 1997 04:46:09 GMT, jimrubin@mindspring.com (James Rubin) wrote:
> Correct, the Radio Act of 1936 states that anyone using information from
>a non-broadcast radio transmission for thier own personal gain was subject
>to criminal penalties. That is ALL of the legislation ever needed in this
>country and covers every type of transmission. It is only pure greed that
>has fostered this latest round of tyranny (as well as the ECPA).

Actually, it is the Communications Act of 1934. Not only is personal gain precluded, but it is also illegal to divulge what was heard to a third party. And I agree that it is all the regulation needed. What is needed is vigorous *enforcement*. The couple who allegedly casually taped Newt's conference call, for example, should have had the book thrown at them for divulging what they heard. But they only got a slap on the wrist. (Note that I'm very doubtful that the taping occurred the way they say it did, but that's another story.)

If 20 year jail terms started being handed out for violations of CA'34, there'd be a quick end to this nonsense. Of course that'll never happen because the news media depends on such intercepts to further their daily activities, and they'd scream bloody murder if their assignment editors, photographers, and reporters started getting 20 year jail terms for monitoring the scanners and then profiting from the information gained.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You Make It | Email:
Destructive Testing Systems | We Break It | ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | Guaranteed | or
Lawrenceville, GA 30244 | | ke4zv@radio.org

2272. Re: Yupiteru MVT 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clark Rennie <cerenniexxx@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6066lu$go3$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 185354

Renate Warta wrote:
>
> This scanner sucks!!!!!! I have one and it is an KUT scanner!

I am considering purchasing a Yupiteru MVT 9000 and would like the details as to why you have a low opinion of this scanner. Maybe I'll change my mind.
Thanks, Clark

2273. Re: Let's Argue About Interfaces

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: scottr@iquest.net
Message-ID: <604q5p$b6h$1@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 185355

In article <19970919011900.VAA25734@ladder01.news.aol.com>, scanskip@aol.com (ScanSkip) wrote:
>Cheek has been posting lengthy articles about his interface and its
>capabilities. Fact is, I don't recall anyone ever saying that he or she
>bought one and was satisfied with the purchase. For $300, it seems awful
>expensive. Add to that there is only one software package available for
>it--one that requires you do all of the scripting to make it functional.
{...snippity...]

I've had a CE-232 for about 3 1/2 years. I wasn't satisfied with the software that came with it so I wrote my own. My software contains a freq database which let's me keep all my freqs for downloading via the CE-232. The program also contains frequency logging, not just date, time, signal strength, duration, etc., but the AUDIO as well. The freq events are perfectly synchronized with the event audio, so I can play loggings back whenever I please (seems like all the good stuff happens while I'm at work). And I don't need an expensive (and no longer available VADER card, just a Soundblaster sound card. Logging the audio in digital form opens up all kinds of neat stuff with signal processing to clean up noisy signals.

I added the signal strength mod to my 2006, and that data gets logged via the CE-232 hardware ports as well. I'm just beginning to explore the possibilites for other hardware control via the Ce-232.

I also own a PRO-43 which I take with me on travel quite a bit. I modified it to interface to the CE-232 so I can program all the freqs I need in just a couple of minutes. The software allows grouping freqs by user-defined groups and subgroups. So say I'm going to travel to Panama City, FL (which I do quite often), I have my Panama City freqs already defined, so I just select that group and tell the software to load the PRO-43 with those freqs. No muss, no fuss and quick as hell. That's one handy dandy thing that the Opto interfaces won't do.

I also planning on doing the 25,600 channel mod to my 2006 when I get the guts. It will be a fairly simple matter to build the bank select interface and modify the control software to automatically select channel banks. Does the Opto support this???

Ok, that's one more vote for the CE-232.

{Flame shield on}

Fire away!

Scott Richardson
scottr@iquest.net

2274. RE:Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: clint.bradford@atdbbs.com
Message-ID: <970922093738282O2@atdbbs.com>
Source record: 185356

>>A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal,
>>don't give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They
>>cooperate with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of
>>information as to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner
>>from Radio Shack.

TOTAL bullshit, of course. Unsubstantiated silliness. No proof
to offer us, of course - because there isn't any.

Take your paranoid rants to alt.paranoid.morons, please.

Clint Bradford, KE6LCS/KAF3359 909.681.6210 Voice sysop@atdbbs.com
ATTENTION to Details 909.681.6221 BBS ftp@atdbbs.com

2275. Pro 39 scanner with cellular for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bryan@prodistributors.com
Message-ID: <6068dq$6p$1@news.nts-online.net>
Source record: 185357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Shack PRO-39 scanner for sale. This is a 200 channel
scanner that covers VHF/UHF and 800 Mhz including cellular. It is a
great performing scanner, I live near "intermod alley" and I have no
problems from intermod on the PRO-39. It is an excellent performer.

It comes complete with rechargeable battery pack and charger.
Will sell for $190 plus UPS.

Bryan

2276. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3426AA5A.72DE@juno.com>
Source record: 185358

Patrick wrote:
> Bill also refuses to bandy about with Mackey since about 10 months ago.
> He realized the futility of attempting to reason with Mackey, and simply
> kill filed anything about or by Mackey. Unfortunately, others
> (including myself, now) keep feeding the lion. Mackey tends to go away
> when no one replies to him, or his name is no longer mentioned in any
> posts (hint, hint).....
>
> --
> --Patrick
Patrick,
A well written response.
Perhaps it is time we all take this sage advice and just ignore mackey.
I for one am game. Any other takers?

2277. F.S. CB Equipment...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: panth18251@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922180700.OAA15554@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185359

I have the following equipment for sale...

Fluke 8600A digital multimeter $45.00
Test-- AC, DC, Ohms, up to 1200 volts
5 digit L.E.D. readout.
Pushbutton function controls.
Supplied with:
New power cord
Test leads
Owner/operator manual
Cosmetics (case) is in fair condition.
Good operating condition
Note: DC volt reading, reads approx. 1 volt higher
than actual received voltage.

SENCORE Model TC 109 TUBE TESTER $40.00
Unit is in good working order and is in good shape (cosmetic)
Complete with tube chart and TC 109 adapter

PALOMAR POWER/SWR METER Model 500 $35.00
Watt settings of 10, 100, 1000
Forward/Reverse swing switch
Am monitor headphone plug (lets you hear yourself without feed back)
Nice 4 1/2" long X 3 1/2" tall analog meter
Unit is in excellent working order (accurate readings)
Cosmetics are in good shape
Complete with 3' coax jumper

ROYCE Model 607 40 channel mobile radio $30.00
P/A & ANL switch
Mic gain control
RF gain control
Fine tuning control (not a SSB unit)
Unit has been peaked and tuned for max performance
Unit looks & works excellent
Complete with Mic., power cord & mounting bracket
Sorry, no operating manual

All prices are firm and units will be shipped at my expense
in the USA only. I will ship COD at your expense.

Interested?? Contact PANTH18251@aol.com
Thank you...

2278. MDT.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Crozier <ka9qjg@tsrcom.com>
Message-ID: <3425FB70.66D5@tsrcom.com>
Source record: 185360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.digital,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.internetrec.radio.info

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------629448F73781
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi all from Don KA9QJG yes I know I Forgot the ATTACHMENT

SORRY ENJOY
DON KA9QJG

--------------629448F73781
Content-Type: application/octet-stream; name="Mdt.exe"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="Mdt.exe"

<encoded_portion_removed>
YnVmZmVyAGN1cnAAaXN0ZW1wAHRva2VuAA==
--------------629448F73781--
```

2279. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3426A847.632B@juno.com>
Source record: 185361

Tom Kirksey wrote:
> If you don't want any fake posts in your name, use a PGP encrypted
> signature. That CAN'T be faked.
Do you have a pgp shell that will work with netscape (or do you know where one can be aquired?)????????

Since there seems to be all kinds of flack going around about fake postings, etc, I thought I'd ask.

2280. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3426A8D4.45B8@juno.com>
Source record: 185362

Jack wrote:
> AMEN !
> --
>
> "Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"
>
> ScanSkip wrote in article <19970920114001.HAA17738@ladder02.news.aol.com>...
>
> >Subject: The Mackey & Cheek Crap
> >From: mm505@mindspring.com (Michael and Jeannie Martin)
> >Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 00:55:49 GMT
> >Message-id: <5vv6uk$a1r@camel1.mindspring.com>
>
> (Snip)
>
> >The good thing is that despite the hypocrisy, all of this proves the First
> >Admendment is alive and well and I am happy to take the bad and good about
> >it together.
> >
HERE HERE!

Well said both of you.

2281. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3426A998.1948@juno.com>
Source record: 185363

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> Funny, pretty much everyone has agreed that it is true,
> you are the only fool thinking otherwise. It was not
> "disproved" here as you say.
WHO said it John? We want names, dates, posting ID's, etc.
If you cannot even produce these, then you cannot prove your position.

Also, with reference to another matter, Accusations without sufficient proof are considered bad form. You want to make a big production out of it, be my guest. I just hope you got money to burn m(because you are going to need it).
>
>
> The CB Guy wrote:
> > This is a good one MAckey...I suggest you take your "proof" to his
> > ISP....since it has already been disproved here.

2282. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3426AC49.6C2E@juno.com>
Source record: 185364

George wrote:
> I've put up with all the sniveling, crying, bitching, whining,
> complaining, fighting, attacking, backstabbing and childish behavior I
> can stand.
>
> I held off adding these people to my kill filter because I figured
> that eventually they would have something worthwhile to contribute.
> Just goes to show how wrong a person can be. 'Nuff said.
>
> George
You failed to add me too!

Oh well, I have work to do....

2283. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: FRG9600 <SONAI@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc776$e3cf1900$2eafbacd@mikey>
Source record: 185365

This guy must be blind too he has touble spelling !!
Could they be the same ?

Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com> wrote in article
<3425A56D.2C39@juno.com>...
> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > You have given yourself away due to a few small errors you made.
> > You had us going for a while, but obviously, YOU ARE ERIC OYEN.
> >
> > Did you know that PSN net does not approve of their users making
> > bogus posts?
> huh? what are you getting at, John?
>
> Pardon if I seem a little ighnorant of your logic here, but you are in
> error.
> Sure, I use psn.net as my nntp posting host, so what? So do 23,000
> others in here (including Eric Oyen). Whats your point? I know what the
> TOS is here. I have yet to be abusive (unlike a few others in here) and
> I don't post bogus messages.
>
> However, My e-mail is at juno.com. So, as far as this goes, you prove
> that I am on an isp. big deal. itherwise, you prove nothing else.
>
> Got any more gutless anon?
>

2284. FS - Pro 2022 w/ cell mod, antenna, and coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tuco@mesadev.com
Message-ID: <3426baf7.9878072@news.indra.com>
Source record: 185366
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
For sale:
Radia Shack Pro-2022 scanner with cell mod, indoor/outdoor antenna and
20' coax cable w/connectors. Also has manual.
$200 + shipping
```

2285. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: FRG9600 <frg9600@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc778$3c72f120$2eafbacd@mikey>
Source record: 185367

This guy must be blind too he has trouble spelling!
Could they be the same ?

Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com> wrote in article
<3425A56D.2C39@juno.com>...
> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > You have given yourself away due to a few small errors you made.
> > You had us going for a while, but obviously, YOU ARE ERIC OYEN.
> >
> > Did you know that PSN net does not approve of their users making
> > bogus posts?
> huh? what are you getting at, John?
>
> Pardon if I seem a little ighnorant of your logic here, but you are in
> error.
> Sure, I use psn.net as my nntp posting host, so what? So do 23,000
> others in here (including Eric Oyen). Whats your point? I know what the
> TOS is here. I have yet to be abusive (unlike a few others in here) and
> I don't post bogus messages.
>
> However, My e-mail is at juno.com. So, as far as this goes, you prove
> that I am on an isp. big deal. itherwise, you prove nothing else.
>
> Got any more gutless anon?
>

2286. John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc786$8ddac0c0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 185368

John Mackey?

Knock-knock?

2287. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3426ABBA.4AFA@juno.com>
Source record: 185369

FRG9600 wrote:
> This guy must be blind too he has touble spelling !!
> Could they be the same ?
You are going to nitpick too, huh?

Spelling errors are common to everyone (including you). The same could be said about some of your commentary.

This conversation can no longer serve any useful purpose.

Such petty bickering on serves to highlight your foolish intentions.

2288. Re: Ramsey Scanner Converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: grohe@galaxy.nsc.com
Message-ID: <3428183c.3051557@139.187.81.1>
Source record: 185370

On Sat, 20 Sep 1997 04:06:39 GMT,
in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
Heavy Breather @ The Hospital
from thoughtfully posted:

> If any one has a Ramsey Electronics scanner converter please
> post how well it works and if it has any problems. The unit is
> advertised to convert the 800-and 900 mhz basds down to 400-and 500
> mhz.. Thanks.

The average 440 to 512MHz UHF scanner will be able to receive 840
to 912MHz.

Another poster mentioned it's poor sensitivity. As mentioned
below, sensitivity is very dependant on supply voltage. You will
need an adjustable supply to find the optimum voltage (somewhere
between 8V and 10V).

I posted this a few times...Anyone wish to add this to a FAQ?

=== A few notes on the Ramsey SCN-1 800MHz converter =====

The circuit is very basic with "okay" sensitivity, but I wouldn't
recommend it for DX'ing the 800MHz band! However, it is fine for
local reception. An old cellular phone antenna works great. Be
warned: The output contains a very large (about -3dBm) 400MHz LO
signal that may overload, or cause images in, or even "de-sens"
some receivers. (Note: the input and output are not isolated, so
the 40-400MHz LO RF energy will make it's way out to the
receiving antenna)

Some Tips:

1. Buy some PCB mount BNC connectors. The kit comes with RCA
phono connectors!

2. The oscillator that comes with it may cause some problems. The
oscillator is a standard 40MHz TTL crystal oscillator module. The
square wave output is multiplied 10 times to obtain the 400MHz
L.O. The module I received was 1KHz off frequency, which shifted
the output signals over 10KHz, enough to cause scanning problems.
I replaced it with a *80MHz* module and some socket pins. I
bought a handfull of 80MHz oscillators and hand picked the one
closest to 80MHz. Now the error is less than 2KHz. The 80MHz
module seemed to give better results (a cleaner LO signal) and a
more accurate LO signal than the 40MHz one.

3. The power supply to the multiplier & mixer circuit is not regulated and perfomance/sensitivity varies with supply voltage. You will want to adjust the supply voltage for best reception. This will make the biggest difference in performance. Try adjusting the supply voltage while receiving a signal. Mine seems to work best on 8.35V. A simple LM317 regulator circuit works fine.

4. I also placed some 0.1uF ceramic chip bypass caps at some strategic places. This cleaned up the LO signal. The specific spots were:

a. The supply side of L5 to top ground plane (you will have to scratch away the green solder mask).

b. At the +5V supply pin of the oscillator to bottom ground plane.

c. The supply side of R1 to top ground plane (cleaned up LO).

d. Solder all leads that pass through both ground planes on each side.

5. Clean up the multiplier signal. If you have access to a wide bandwidth scope, connect the "antenna" output to the scope input with a 50 ohm input. The signal level should be around 200mVp-p. *Gently* spread apart, or squeeze, L6,7,8 & 9 and adjust them for the cleanest looking 400MHz signal. They will interact, but just adjust them for the nicest looking 400MHz signal (there will be "lumps" every 5-10 cycles). The actual signal level is not too critical.

6. The board is rather big for the size of the circuit it contains (10.5cm x 12cm). It definitely should be built in a shielded case (it does generate a lot of RFI). I would recommend one of those cast aluminum cases for good RF shielding.

Wadda' ya expect for $50!

Cheers,
Paul Grohe

Paul Grohe National Semiconductor Corp.
Sr. Electronics Technician 2900 Semiconductor Drive
Standard Products Apps Group Mail Stop C2693
grohe@galaxy.nsc.com Santa Clara, CA. 95052-8090 USA
(408) 721-7389 Tel (408) 721-2513 Fax

2289. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342623B5.4360@usa.net>
Source record: 185371

Funny, pretty much everyone has agreed that it is true,
you are the only fool thinking otherwise. It was not
"disproved" here as you say.

The CB Guy wrote:
> This is a good one MAckey...I suggest you take your "proof" to his
> ISP....since it has already been disproved here.

2290. Re: How Johnny Boy started it all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342622BF.5035@usa.net>
Source record: 185372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Tom Jones wrote:

> When you said Cheek was "...stirring things up here...", that was a lie.

Perhaps you & I are talking about two different things. I was speaking
in respect to the newsgroup of "rec.radio.scanner". Sometime someone
added about 4 more newsgroups to this thread. Depending on where
you came into this thread from what ever NG you are on you may
very well be correct FROM YOUR VIEW, because you did not see the
previous messages.

> He didn't post anything here.

Perhaps that didn't happen where YOU were, it did happen on the
NG I am on.

> That means YOU decided to violate the unwritten law concerning,"Thou shalt
> not post private email without the authors permission." Ergo, it is YOU

Don't give me that CRAP.

> Personally, I feel that your abuse of the rest of us (by pressing this
> forward) is FAR worse than any knick-knack, patty-cake BS you seem to feel

Don't click on the messages if you don't like it.

2291. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34262687.6ECB@usa.net>
Source record: 185373

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> However, My e-mail is at juno.com. So, as far as this goes, you prove
> that I am on an isp. big deal. itherwise, you prove nothing else.

OK, then what is your psn.net e-mail address? (Put up or shut up)

2292. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342624A9.36D6@usa.net>
Source record: 185374

Are you trying to start something with someone else Glenn?

Glenn wrote:
> So why the new identity Mikey? Collected too many skeletons in the
> closet?

MUCH OTHER STUFF CUT

2293. Re: How Bill Cheek started it all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34262354.4CDE@usa.net>
Source record: 185375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Go back & follow the threads. If you find something stating otherwise
post it. In other words, put up or shut up.

You seem to like to stir up trouble & fan the flames.

Bill- wrote:

> On Sun, 21 Sep 1997 02:34:14 -0700, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
> >I am getting tired of proving thing after thing to you &
> >Baycitvidgrp & a couple other dummies.
> >Follow the threads & find it yourself, FOOL.

> I already posted the beginning of the thread and it shows that you started the
> whole thing yourself.

2294. Re: Any wacars users?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: grohe@galaxy.nsc.com
Message-ID: <34291b9e.3918182@139.187.81.1>
Source record: 185376

On Sun, 21 Sep 1997 23:08:45 -0400,
in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
Joseph Kralicky <jkralicky@usa.net>
from ISPNews thoughtfully posted:

> Anyone out there use wacars? I just downloaded it and I'm getting
> fairly poor performance. I'm using a Pro-60, and I can hear all the
> transmissions very clearly. I only get about 10% (or less) of
> transmissions decoded, and the message section seems garbled. Anyone
> having similar results? better results? Any hints on making it better?
> I've tried adjusting the volume like it said, but it doesn't help much.

I briefly "tinkered" with it, just to see if it worked. I saw
similar results.

I tried it on various radios (Pro-60, BC680xlt, BC300 & HX-1500)
but no radio was really "better" than another (although the
PRO-60 was poorest). Volume level was more important.

It looked like some of the "garbled" transmissions may have been
encoded, as the headers were valid but the "body" was junk.

I don't know if it makes much difference, but make sure that the
audio is applied to both the left and right line-in jacks.

I also doubt that a direct connection to the AM detector would
make any difference. The signal is basically a modem tone, as
opposed to a zero-crossing type like POSCAG.

I had a little better luck with the DOS KRACARS. The
documentation is much more helpful.

http://www.tardis.ed.ac.uk/~kr/kracars/index.html

Cheers,
Paul Grohe

Paul Grohe National Semiconductor Corp.
Sr. Electronics Technician 2900 Semiconductor Drive
Standard Products Apps Group Mail Stop C2693
grohe@galaxy.nsc.com Santa Clara, CA. 95052-8090 USA
(408) 721-7389 Tel (408) 721-2513 Fax

2295. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Farris <America@theFree.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc792$c507b2e0$e341accf@christopher>
Source record: 185377
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Thankyou for taking the time to post this vital information. Many
Americans
> know about the NWO, but it seems that none of us are trying to do
anything to
> stop it from becoming reality!
>
> Will it remain America, or will it become Amerika? It is up to you!
>

Thats right. We alll must stand up to this new threat to our freedom. Sad thing is, not too many people are doing anything about it, or are simply looking for more proof. I wish I had more proof on it, I have downloaded info from the Anti NWO sites and stuff, but wish I had some hard info. I heard that Newsweek published an article related to this withing the last few years, wish I knew when, I'd like to read that one.

All of us need to make our stand regarding this new threat to democracy. I have taken many active parts to try to prevent our Constitution from being destroyed. I am not sure how much voting and contacting reps does, but right now it seems the best thing to do at the moment.

Most of my friends and active to a degree, and many of them need additional proof first. My biggest concern is that when they have all the proof they need, it will be too late.

We all need to pursuade our friends to take a stand, no matter how trivial new laws are, whether they are against our guns, scanners, speech, etc. Keep our eyes open, vote, make phone calls, and anything else we can to prevent our freedom from being compromised.
As stated, Keep America from becoming Amerika, comrade!

2296. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <606j8f$fq8$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 185378

In article <3425E881.2810@spam.com>, ditto wrote:

>A computer used in a mobile is an MCT - a mobile computing terminal.
>The distinction lies in the fact that an MDT is a dumb terminal whicle
>an MCT is a full functional computer.

Never heard that term before. It really isn't relevant to the question of how to monitor them, though. As someone else correctly pointed out, the protocol is not related to ham packet and there is software called MDTSTUFF.EXE that will demodulate their data. The same communication protocol is used whether they are Terminals or Computers-Acting-Like-Terminals.

2297. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Farris <America@theFree.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc793$da3a3920$e341accf@christopher>
Source record: 185379

Electro149 <electro149@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970921020901.WAA06147@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't
> give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They cooperate
> with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of information as
> to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.
>

Question- you said "laws" Did these bills pass????? Christ! I hope not!!!!!!

2298. Re: Against the law to listen to cordless phones & everything else

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rollo@trib.com
Message-ID: <606jra$mt@news.trib.com>
Source record: 185380

The USA was a nice place till the legislators decided to give us our monies worth of legislation. Take a break guys, while we still have a country.

ux365@freenet.Victoria.BC.CA (Michael J. Shepherd) wrote:

>In a previous article, marc_k@walrus.com (marc_k) says:

>[snip]
>>
>>: It is NOT against the law to listen to CORDLESS phones, BUT it is
>>: against the law to repeat anything you hear on a cordless phone.
>>: (i.e. you would not be allowed to call police if you heard that
>>: someone was going to kill someone else.)
>>
>>BEFORE YOU GIVE INFO THAT CAN GET SOMEONE ARRESTED.....READ THE DAMM
>>LAW!!!!
>>It has been illegal to listen to cordless phones, on a federal level,
>>since 1994!!!!
>>
>>
>Depends on where you are. Here in Canada, we can listen to anything our
>scanners can pick up. We just can't divulge the information to anyone or
>use it for personal gain.
>--
>Mike Shepherd (aka: the Sheepster)
>If you're ever in trouble on the water, the first thing you'll
>see is a bunch of maniacs in a rubber boat, comin' for to carry
>you home.

my source of schematic diagrams: http://w3.trib.com/~rollo/bomcat.htm

2299. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: FRG9600 <frg9600@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc787$6f477e40$38afbacd@mikey>
Source record: 185381

FRG9600 <frg9600@ix.netcom.com> wrote in article
<01bcc785$c3208f40$38afbacd@mikey>...
>
>
> Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com> wrote in article
> <3426AAF0.577A@juno.com>...
> > John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > > Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > > > However, My e-mail is at juno.com. So, as far as this goes, you
prove
> > > > that I am on an isp. big deal. itherwise, you prove nothing else.
> > >
> > > OK, then what is your psn.net e-mail address? (Put up or shut up)
> > I don't use one through psn.net. I pay a small fee for access to the
web
> > for news purposes ONLY. My official e-mail is at juno.com. If you don't
> > like it, thats tough.
> >
> > I will not argue with you anymore as it tends to serve no useful
> > purpose.
> >
> > Be warned, continued inquiries from you will be dealt with in an
> > appropriate manner.
> >
> Wasnt Eric's handle Pheonix Radio Man ?
>
Hey Mackey I did a search of the two on Dejanews and they both post to the
same exact newsgroups !
Can they both be blind ?
Maybe you were right all along

2300. FS: UHF SPECTRA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Frank C. D'Amato" <fdnyfish@aol.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc12e$ce896b20$250ec998@desktop>
Source record: 185382

FOR SALE A5 UHF SPECTRA 45WATTS.

VERRY CLEAN

128 CHANNELS

COMES WITH 2 HAND MIKES, 1 DESK MIKE, SPEAKER, POWER CABLES, EXTRA CONTROL HEAD.

$750

E-MAIL IF INTERESTED

2301. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: FRG9600 <frg9600@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc785$c3208f40$38afbacd@mikey>
Source record: 185383

Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com> wrote in article
<3426AAF0.577A@juno.com>...
> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> > > However, My e-mail is at juno.com. So, as far as this goes, you prove
> > > that I am on an isp. big deal. itherwise, you prove nothing else.
> >
> > OK, then what is your psn.net e-mail address? (Put up or shut up)
> I don't use one through psn.net. I pay a small fee for access to the web
> for news purposes ONLY. My official e-mail is at juno.com. If you don't
> like it, thats tough.
>
> I will not argue with you anymore as it tends to serve no useful
> purpose.
>
> Be warned, continued inquiries from you will be dealt with in an
> appropriate manner.
>
Wasnt Eric's handle Pheonix Radio Man ?

2302. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimrubin@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <jimrubin-2209970034110001@ip41.an3-new-york4.ny.pub-ip.psi.net>
Source record: 185384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

In article <3419B3BE.6B6F@datasync.com>, DArnold@datasync.com wrote:

>HELLO ALL
>
>this is not about being legal or not..this is not about someone having a
>life or not..THIS IS ABOUT 1 MORE WAY YOUR GOVT INTRUDES on your daily
>life...they should not do this this is a bad bill not thought out and
>not
>well written..go back an ACTULLY READ the bill and think about what it
>says an DO NOT REACT EMOTIONALLY...no the govt is not all bad however
>this particular bill is...I also believe that those out there that dont
>want conversations heard should get techonology oriented and encrypt
>or get freqq hopping phones and radios, they are out there.. you got
>locks for your houses and i could noame many more exampels..locks only
>keep honest people honest..however back basics..this is your govts way
>of trying one more time to CONTROL you
>
>DON
>nci-1081

I agree with Don...this is not about cell phones or cordless phones. That is really just a smoke screen. The issue is control of the airwaves!! This bill is about eliminating scanners altogether. read it and you will see. It is impossible to build a scanner that will recieve only the "allowed" freq's recommended. Scanner manufacturers will simply throw in the towel.
These freq's are also in or close to the same bands we listen to the PD, FD and other public safety agencies. So don't cloud the issue with cell phones and such, this bill will eliminate scanners..PERIOD!! ALong with our right to know as citizens how or gov't is working and providing for our own safety.

Cheers,

Jim

2303. Re: John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: grhansen1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922205900.QAA26197@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185385

Well hello Bill Cheek, er, I mean Billy Thunder! Is there anything I can do for you? Is there anyone you would like me to bite?

I didn't go to work today. The voices said I should stay home and build an altar to you.

Keep up the good work! You really got them fooled!

2304. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <606hej$t37@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185386

In <19970922113100.HAA27886@ladder02.news.aol.com> thecbguy@aol.com
(The CB Guy) writes:
>
>In article <19970922104001.GAA21306@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
>grhansen1@aol.com (Grhansen1) writes:
>
>>How come you didn't add my name to the list? I've forged news
postings,
>>started disagreements with people who never said two words to me,
attacked
>>everyone in sight like a snarling dog, and acted like the spoiled,
>>snot-nosed brat that I am. I'll probably straighten up after I become
potty
>>trained, but you should also add me to the list of people that you
want to
>>kill. I mean add me to your kill list. Oh, gosh, I mean just filter
me out.
>>That's what my mommy does.
>
>Path:
>lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!audrey01.news.aol.com!not-f
r-mail
>From: grhansen1@aol.com (Grhansen1)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Subject: RE: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The
Kill
>Filters!
>Date: 22 Sep 1997 10:40:28 GMT
>Lines: 16
>Message-ID: <19970922104001.GAA21306@ladder01.news.aol.com>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: ladder01.news.aol.com
>X-Admin: news@aol.com
>Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
>References: <342aa446.182601557@news.mindspring.com>
>SnewsLanguage: English
>
>Whats this reference line?...could this be a forgery?????
>my my, would MACKEY do such a thing?
>
>The CB Guy
>
>http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio
>

It's a forge but the culprit isn't Mackey; It's one of the other resident marsupials. He'll be dealt with in due time when it's time for the *big* payback that hes got coming from me. Hehehehehe.

--
George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

2305. Where is good place to shop for good scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Farris <America@theFree.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc793$3492ff20$e341accf@christopher>
Source record: 185387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I heard Radio Shack is good, but what about other brands? Who makes the AOR and where can it be bought at?

Thanks for your assistance!

2306. Q. Scanners with PC interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Kozin <gene@itron-ca.com>
Message-ID: <606nli$a6e$1@gate.itron-ca.com>
Source record: 185388

Hey,

Could anyone advise me on scanners with PC interface?

Thanks in advance.

2307. Re: Where is good place to shop for good scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922212801.RAA22644@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185389

>Subject: Where is good place to shop for good scanners?
>From: "Joe Farris" <America@theFree.com>

>I heard Radio Shack is good, but what about other brands? Who makes the AOR
>and where can it be bought at?

There are lots of places to buy scanners but I would only by by mail
order. You get the best price that way and if you buy it from a dealer
overseas, you get an unblocked scanner. I bought an AOR from Javiation
(www.demon.co.uk/javiation) and I have been more than happy with my
purchase. Lately, I've seen other places selling AORs such as
Multicomm2000, Nevada, Bandercom and some others at very attractive prices
but I don't know anything about them. Do a web search and you'll find
plenty of places.
Michael Alexander

2308. FS/FT: Black Jaguar BJ*200 Scanner - 225/2m/70cm/10m Ham bands!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobzito@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <3427c0f8.132180895@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 185390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS/FT: Black Jaguar BJ*200 Pocket Scanner - Unique!! Never heard of it? Well I hadn't either until I got one! Let me know if it is more common than I think. It comes with rechargeable batteries and a wall charger. It is a thin (a little over an inch) keyboard 7 digit programmable hand-held scanner. It has an LCD display that shows the mode (AM/FM) Channel, frequency. It also shows if the channel is locked out, the priority channel and if delay is active. The scanner has 16 channels. The frequency range is kinda different and is:

26-29.995 Mhz (Includes 10M)
60-88 Mhz (RC Airplanes?)
115-178 Mhz (includes 2 M)
210-260 Mhz (includes 225)
410-520 Mhz (includes 70cm)

$59.00 + shipping or Amateur Radio Equipment trade
All trades considered!
Here some of the stuff I and looking for

Rotor and control box
2m/440 antenna yagi or vertical
225 Mhz antenna Vertical or yagi
wire antenna components insulators
Good coax like LMR-400 etc.
Lander/window line
Antenna tuners
SWR meters
1.2 gig equipment
Other
<bobzito@wolfenet.com>(Bob Zito) KI7EV MDing WA. State

2309. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Phipps <sphipps@clandjop.com>
Message-ID: <3426C15B.1FF4@clandjop.com>
Source record: 185391

> Electro149 wrote:
> > A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't
> > give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them.

Funny that the original post was here yesterday and gone today. Sounds
like someone is trying to get everyone upset just for a good laugh...

SP

2310. Re: Scanner choosing help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922213501.RAA28682@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185392

>Subject: Re: Scanner choosing help!
>From: wiggie
>Date: 21 Sep 1997 10:46:47 -0700
>Message-id: <603mi8$ss1@drn.zippo.com>

I've owned the UBC3000XLT and the MVT-7100. Sold both of them and now I regret it. I'd go with the MVT-7100 mainly for the 1000 channel capability. I did not find the UBC3000's 300 cps to be a particular advantage...

Lots of places selling the BC3000 (no cellular) stateside. Try www.uniden.com to get specs.
Michael Alexander

2311. Re: ***John Mackey Vote Discussion***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922215401.RAA00113@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185393

In article <3426AA5A.72DE@juno.com>, Phoenix Radio Man
<Phx_radio_man@juno.com> writes:

>Patrick,
>A well written response.
>Perhaps it is time we all take this sage advice and just ignore mackey.
>I for one am game. Any other takers?
>
>

Count me in too......

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2312. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34267f41.4233677@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185394

Pick me! Pick ne! I don't want to be read by lazy people.

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2313. Re: John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970922215801.RAA24718@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185395

In article <19970922205900.QAA26197@ladder02.news.aol.com>,
grhansen1@aol.com (Grhansen1) writes:

>Subject: Re: John Mackey!
>From: grhansen1@aol.com (Grhansen1)
>Date: 22 Sep 1997 20:59:39 GMT
>
>Well hello Bill Cheek, er, I mean Billy Thunder! Is there anything I can
>do for you? Is there anyone you would like me to bite?
>
>I didn't go to work today. The voices said I should stay home and build an
>altar to you.
>
>Keep up the good work! You really got them fooled!
>
>------------------- Headers --------------------
>Path:
>lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!audrey02.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
>From: grhansen1@aol.com (Grhansen1)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Subject: Re: John Mackey!
>Date: 22 Sep 1997 20:59:39 GMT
>Lines: 7
>Message-ID: <19970922205900.QAA26197@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: ladder02.news.aol.com
>X-Admin: news@aol.com
>Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
>References: <01bcc786$8ddac0c0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
>SnewsLanguage: English
>
>

The following problems occurred while processing your request:

grhansen1 - This is not a known AOL member.

OOPs...looks like the forger can't even use a valid members name.
And there are 3 million of us.

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2314. FS: Fire sale on my R10!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Parry <brian_parry@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc7a7$36bf3de0$34c69cc0@beechbox>
Source record: 185396
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ICOM R10 new in box with registration card. Plus PC software and
programming/cloning cable.
$300 - yes $300 cash to S.F bay area buyer. Will not ship.
Perfect condition!
Need the cash else I would hold onto it. Take advantage of my bad luck.
PLease.

2315. f.s. pro-42 programmable scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jack <cmb@mc.net>
Message-ID: <3426F02A.3961@mc.net>
Source record: 185397

have a realistic pro-42 10 channel direct entry programmable scanner
covers 30-54 138-174 380-512 asking $40.00 thanks jack

2316. Re: Filter to block 152.48 Pager?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: frog207lillypads@juno.com
Message-ID: <606skd$i3o$1@news.wco.com>
Source record: 185398

In article <trinit69-1909970646350001@ip164.lafayette.la.pub-ip.psi.net>,
trinit69@idt.net.nospam (Tom) wrote:
>Call anyone recommend a notch filter to wipe out the 152.48 Mhz paging
>freq?
>
>I am one mile away from a strong pager. I can read the signal directly
>on my freq counter. It gets into everything.

Tom, band reject filters will do the job but are expensive. A cheap way to get some reject at a particular frequencly is to "T" in a 1/2 wave unshorted coax to your antenna lead. Put a BNC on one end of a piece of coax and cut the other end at a 1/2 wave length. Use a BNC T connector to couple this piece in to your antenna line (usually at the scanner input). You must figure in the velocity factor of the particular coax you are using, though.... a figure such as 66% or 80%. Hopefully your source of coax can advise you on the specific velocity factor of your coax. Take that percentage of the total length of your coax when you are calculating 1/2 wave length. You can get the same effect by using a 1/4 wave length if the end is shorted. A source for information on doing this should be found in the Amateur Radio Handbook available in most public libraries.

Ed W7DXZ

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "lillypads" from my return address.
==========

2317. Re: MX Suitcase Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty Blei <jmap@idt.net>
Message-ID: <34268973.73E5@idt.net>
Source record: 185399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,nyc.forsale,li.forsale,ny.forsale

Marty Blei wrote:
>
> I have a Motorola MX Suitcase Repeater For Sale I am asking $ 300.00
> plus shipping (very heavy) "E" Mail me if interested.

The Repeater is on V.H.F. and the Model is 1851A.

2318. Atlanta Area Traffic Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: peterjr@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <342704db.6200253@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185400

Does anyone have a list of freqs used by traffic control for the local media? I found that 455.250 is used by Cap'n Herb Emery with WSB Radio. This appears to be a link to his crew of "traffic troopers". Has anyone found his downlink to the studio? Does anyone know of any other frequencies used by the media?

Thanks,

Pete

2319. FS: PRO-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@istar.ca>
Message-ID: <3426DB01.68C38C25@istar.ca>
Source record: 185401

Very clean - manual included.

Cell capable.

E-mail offers. Will reply to all.

Vince
charv@istar.net

2320. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: deli-bear@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <607322$3p4@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185402
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Too late! Enjoy your borscht and have another glass of vodka, this is
the promised land!

2321. Re: Old Regency 4-channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Szalay <john.szalay@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <34287E2A.4582@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185154

Bud Jamison wrote:
>
> -> From: chesler@world.std.com (David S Chesler)
> -> Subject: Old Regency 4-channel
> ->
> -> I just picked up a Regency model ACT-C-4HLU scanner at a "ham fest".
> -> It has four crystal-controlled channels, and all seem to be in
> -> the VHF-Lo.
>
> The letters after the number say it all. The 4 is for 4 channels, the
> HLU indicate capability for High VHF, Low VHF, and UHF. There may be
> jumpers to switch inside if you change bands on a channel, I don't
> remember for sure, but it does all '3' bands.

IIRC: the crystals plugged into 1 of 3 locations depending on the band
wanted, same row but different spot. the chart for band and
crystal
location was glued to the crystal access door. been a while since I,ve
seen that model. middle 70's era scanner. not a bad pocket model,
but the antenna mount was a weak point, being mounted in thin plastic.

2322. Re: Bearcat 230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Szalay <john.szalay@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <34287F0A.443D@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185155

r&c wrote:
>
> Does anybody know if the 230xlt will pick up trunked frequencies. like
> 808.6625 & 853.6625. I have one on order and I heard things about a
> trunktracker and I was wondering what that meant. I believe the
> trunktracker is the 235xlt. besides the number of channels what is the
> difference between the two?

Yes it will pickup the trunked freqs BUT it will NOT track like the
235xlt
and neither model will track on the GE or Ericson system..

2323. CE-232 Scanner Interface: INTRO & OVERVIEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <342c35df.2243577@news-server>
Source record: 185156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

```
AN OVERVIEW OF THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE
====================================================

This article is copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek. Permission to
reprint for FREE access and FREE use by others is automatically
granted so long as this entire article, including signature box at the
end are retained intact and unaltered.

WHAT IS THE CE-232?
===================

The CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface is a 2.5" x 3" electronic board
with a socketed 68HC11 microprocessor and seven IC's that goes between
the COM1 or COM2 port of an IBM-PC/XT/AT/386/486/Pentium-compatible
computer and a supported scanner.

The CE-232 is a -smart- RS-232 Interface controller AND powerful data
acquisition system for some scanners and at least a drudgery-free
automatic programmer and remote controller for all supported scanners.

FEATURES AND BENEFITS for all supported scanners
================================================

The CE-232 offers some very powerful capabilities described below:

AUTOPROGRAMMER (all supported scanners)
---------------------------------------

The CE-232 eliminates the drudgery and hard labor of scanning by
its ability to "AutoProgram" up to 999 memory channels from a
plain ASCII text file in a short time, error-free and exactly the
way you want it.

For more information on the AutoProgrammer, see:

Article Title Text filename
========================== =============
CE-232 AUTOPROGRAMMER autoprgm.txt

REMOTE KEYBOARD CONTROL (all supported scanners)
------------------------------------------------

The CE-232 also allows control of the scanner from the computer's
keyboard; a strong plus for handheld scanners and those with
hard-to-operate keyboards, like the PRO-43, PRO-2035 and PRO-2042.
```

The CE-232 is a one-way controller and AutoProgrammer like
described above for MANY scanners, including the PRO-2042,
PRO-2035, PRO-2022, PRO-43, PRO-39, PRO-37, PRO-34, PRO-26, etc.

For more information on the Remote Control feature see:

Article Title Text filename
==================== =============
CE-232 REMOTE CONTROL remctrl.txt

FEATURES AND BENEFITS for the PRO-2004/5/6 Scanners
==

The CE-232 is a TWO-WAY controller and data acquisition system for the
time-honored PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006 scanners which have unique
circuitry that allows the CE-232 to do a LOT more than just AutoProgram and
Remote Control, including log data on "hits", reject undesirable signals,
look up data about a certain frequency, and much more described below.

AUTOLOGGER (PRO-2004, PRO-2005, PRO-2006 only)

The CE-232 acquires and logs data to a file with the AutoLogger
feature. The AutoLogger creates plain ASCII text files that are
easy to export to a database or just to use in original form.
Most every aspect of the scanner's operation can be AutoLogged,
notably including channel, frequency, date, time, and duration of
the transmission; but much more, too.

For more information on the AutoLogger, see:

Article Title Text filename
==================== =============
CE-232 AUTOLOGGER autolog.txt

AUTOREJECT (PRO-2004, PRO-2005, PRO-2006 only)

The CE-232 can AutoReject "birdies" and any other undesired signal
so that the Search and Scan functions won't be disrupted by the
scanner locking onto signals that don't interest you.

For more information on the AutoReject feature, see:

Article Title Text filename
==================== =============
CE-232 AUTOREJECT reject.txt

AUTOLOOKUP (PRO-2004, PRO-2005, PRO-2006 only)

The CE-232 can LookUp and display information about the
frequencies that are programmed into the memory channels. If you
have all 400-channels programmed, or even a hundred, there's no
way to remember right off what each frequency is assigned to. The
AutoLookUp feature can lookup the information and show it to you

on screen, within an instant of the scanner stopping on that signal.

For more information on the AutoLookUp, see:

Article Title	Text filename
CE-232 AUTOLOOKUP	lookup.txt

SCRIPT AUTOMATION (primarily for the PRO-2004/5/6, but can work to a limited extent with other supported scanners)

The CE-232 comes with a Script language of about 40 commands, six operators and a handful of parameters that let you automate the entire process of scanning and searching!

A Script resembles a DOS batch file or a simple BASIC program, but it's easier than either. Automation of scanning and searching doesn't take the fun out of it; to the contrary, it opens up a world of radio that you may never have had the time for in the past.

Scripts can make logical decisions based on IF - THEN - ELSE - AND - OR logic, so "IF this happens, THEN do that; ELSE do something different". There is no real limit as to what you can accomplish by scanning with a Script.

For more information on Script Automation, see:

Article Title	Text filename
CE-232 SCRIPTS	scripts.txt

ENHANCED USER FACILITIES (primarily for the PRO-2004/5/6, but can work to a limited extent with supported scanners)

The CE-232 offers several special features that can't be described very well because they are reserved for the User to decide how and for what they are used. Here is a brief explanation, though:

USER SWITCHES: Four solid state switches are on the CE-232 board for the User's pleasure. These are SPST switches that can be controlled from the PC keyboard, or by automation from a Script. In other words, the User Switches can control a host of other mods and unique circuits. If you want something to be turned on at 3:00AM on September 30, 1998, so be it - a script can do it for you! The status of each User Switch is AutoLoggable for a permanent record.

INPUT STATUS: Six input binary registers or ports are available
on the CE-232 for the User's purposes. The Status
Input bits accept 0v and +5v, and thus, serve a
lot like "idiot lights" in a car. These six bits
are displayed in the main screen of the CE-232
control program. If you need an indicator that can
also be recorded and AutoLogged (like for an
S-meter!), then the Input Status bits will do
nicely!

INBYTE BITS: Eight more input binary bits or ports, exactly
like the STATUS INPUT bits described above, are
available for the User's purposes. The only
difference is that the INBYTES are not displayed
on the main operating screen, though there is
another screen on which their status can be
viewed. Like the Status Input bits, the INBYTES
can be AutoLogged, tested and read by a Script;
and decisions made in an automated manner.

OUTBYTE BITS: Eight output binary bits or ports on the CE-232
board are available for the User's purposes. Just
the opposite of (B) and (C) above, the OUTBYTES
are user-controllable logic-level outputs of 0v
and +5v. If you need a logic bit to turn something
on or off, the OUTBYTES just might be the ticket!

NOTE: each bit of the Status Inputs, InBytes, and Outbytes is
independent of all the rest. No one bit will affect the status of any
other. Therefore, there are 14 Input bits and eight output bits that
are completely available to the User for his purposes. The four User
Switches can be used independently as four separate switches, or
together to create a 4-bit binary "byte" for sixteen combinations of
switches or whatever.

For more information on the User Facilities, see:

Article Title Text filename
==================== =============
CE-232 USER FACILITIES usertool.txt

WHAT ARE THE MINIMUM HARDWARE REQUIREMENTS?

The CE-232 is supported only for the IBM-PC/compatible, however we
know a gentleman who supports it for the Macintosh platform.

The PC platform requires MS-DOS 3.1 or higher, with 512-k RAM (min), a
free COM1/IRQ4 or COM2/IRQ3 port, and a hard disk drive with about
3-Mb of free space. The CE-232 also runs fine in a DOS box under
Windows 3.1, 3.11, and Windows 95. The CE-232 can be configured to run
from a floppy disk for old XT/AT's and old laptops that don't have a
hard drive or not enough free space. Floppy disk operation isn't
especially recommended, but there are situations where nothing else

will work.

The scanner must not have been speeded up by any crystal replacement means. However, diode speedups are okay, as are all other mods that come to mind right off. We do not support "other mods" to work with the CE-232, but only because we have no control over what the User does and doesn't do. But frankly, the only mod we've found over the years that's incompatible with the CE-232 is the crystal-swap speedup.

WHO CAN BUILD AND INSTALL THE CE-232?

Virtually anyone not unduly physically handicapped. Soldering skills are certainly helpful as is a basic savvy of electronics. I have, however, guided many a non-technical hobbyist to success at assembling and installing the CE-232 Interface. The main thing here is PATIENCE and the ability to read and follow instructions in English. Depending on your skill level, free time, and patience, the CE-232 is available in several different ways, one of which will suit most people.

AVAILABILITY

The CE-232 is available in four different ways:

1. Roll your own, by yourself, totally from scratch. The complete plans, schematic diagrams, and PCB layout, including parts list, are presented in my third book, THE ULTIMATE SCANNER (Cheek3) by Bill Cheek, ISBN: 1-56866-058-8. This book is sold by mail order radio dealers around the world, and I carry it, too. (autographed)

2. Roll your own from a professional Kit of all essential parts, including PCB, detailed instruction manual, and program disk. I can supply this Kit.

3. Roll your own from an Assembled and Tested Board, with detailed manual, and program disk. I can supply this Kit, as well.

4. I can do everything, from build the CE-232 to install it in your scanner and test it for proper operation.

For more information on availability and prices, see:

Article Title	Text filename
CE-232 PRICES/OPTIONS/AVAIL	ceprices.txt

OTHER INFORMATION

Inquire by e-mail, postal mail, web, or FTP as shown below for more detailed information about the CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface, including prices and specs.

A series of tutorials and detailed explanations of all the features
and aspects of the CE-232 is available under the following article
titles and/or text files that can be downloaded from our FTP sites in
the \pub\interfaces directory, or as periodically posted Usenet
newsgroup articles from rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
rec.radio.cb, or rec.radio.amateur:

ARTICLE TITLE	FTP FILENAME	COMMENTS
CE-232 INTRO & OVERVIEW	0_oview.txt	This file
CE-232 AUTOPROGRAMMER	1_autpgm.txt	AutoProgrammer Tutorial
CE-232 REMOTE CONTROL	2_rmctrl.txt	Rem Control Discussion
CE-232 AUTOLOGGER	3_autlog.txt	AutoLogger Tutorial
CE-232 AUTOLOOKUP	4_lookup.txt	AutoLookUp Tutorial
CE-232 AUTOREJECT	5_reject.txt	AutoReject Tutorial
CE-232 USER FACILITIES	6_tools.txt	User Features Intro
CE-232 SCRIPTS	7_script.txt	Script Tutorial
CE-232 TECHNICAL SUPPORT	8_suppt.txt	Tech Support Info
CE-232 PRICES/OPTIONS/AVAIL	9_prices.txt	Prices & Availability
CE-232 DEVELOPER'S INFORMATION	devdocs.txt	Programmer's Information
CE-232 WARRANTY & FINE PRINT	warranty.txt	Warranties & disclaimers
CE-232 PRODUCT BROCHURE	ce_broch.zip	All the above, Zipped

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

Copyright (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek, September 23, 1997
==========[End of Article]==========

2324. Re: Does A Discone Need To Be Grounded?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342831AB.2E45@phoenix.net>
Source record: 185157

Tony Heatwole wrote:
>
> I'm thinking about buying a Discone scanner/HAM antenna. I was
> wondering...does it need to be grounded? It will be mounted on the eves of
> my house on the side about 50-55 feet off the ground. The closest metal
> around is an 18 foot 10-12 meter antenna and that is about 15 feet away.
> The 18 foot anteanns is propertally grounded. My house is sourrounded by
> trees but directaly above where I want to mount the antenna there are no
> trees or branches. And help would be appreciated. Thanks a lot in advance
> and for reading.
>
> Nat Heatwole
The design of any antenna determines whether or not it should be
grounded or not. My rat-shack discone seems to work better for scanner
use when mounted on a metal pole which has the other end on mother
earth. A rule of wire antennas such as the G5RV is that the wires be
as parellel to earth as possiable and about 32 feet high, (which is
about a quarter wave at 40 meters and so on)any lower and the SWR starts
to change. But this antenna is normally used with a tuner. Most discone
antennas are broad in frequency range as well as matching impedence.
They are great receive ants. but make poor transmit ants. over their
entire range. I don't think it makes much difference with discones
grounded or not. I think grounding the antennas support member or not
grounding it for transmitting should be determined with a SWR bridge
at the freqs(bands) that you intend to transmit on. which ever way gives
the lowest SWR is the way to go.
joe

2325. Scanner computer programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <342862BD.169C9D93@cts.com>
Source record: 185158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi.

I was wondering if I could do the following: I have a BC895XLT and would like to build my own interface card and write my own software for controlling the scanner. I imagine that the card is a simple item to make and I already program (for a hobby).

Where can I go to find out this info? A sort of 'How to' guide is what I'm looking for.

Thanks, in advance.

2326. Re: Does A Discone Need To Be Grounded?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <875062878.53178@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 185159

Only if it gets hit by lighting. :-)

--

"Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"

Tony Heatwole wrote in article <6093g8$o3e@clarknet.clark.net>...

>I'm thinking about buying a Discone scanner/HAM antenna. I was
>wondering...does it need to be grounded? It will be mounted on the eves of
>my house on the side about 50-55 feet off the ground. The closest metal
>around is an 18 foot 10-12 meter antenna and that is about 15 feet away.
>The 18 foot anteanns is propertally grounded. My house is sourrounded by
>trees but directaly above where I want to mount the antenna there are no
>trees or branches. And help would be appreciated. Thanks a lot in advance
>and for reading.
>
>Nat Heatwole

2327. Uniden BCT-7 lcd backlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin M Goodman <s0208540@cc.ysu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.A32.3.95.970923201229.45098B-100000@unix1.cc.ysu.edu>
Source record: 185160

Hello,
Does anyone know if there is a way to turn the light behind the
lcd on and off while the BCT-7 is on? I have talked ot the Uniden reps
and they are not sure at this time.
Thanks,
Kevin Goodman
s0208540@cc.ysu.edu

2328. Re: A comment about our ever shrinking scanner freedoms....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Rooney <n2nkb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <609sgf$448@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185161

Clint Bradford wrote:
>
> >> I sure hope that not too many more restrictions on what
> >> we can listen to go into effect...
>
> So - tell us, then, what you wrote to the key Congressional
> representatives this past week on this topic!

Good point. One way to help out is to join the ARRL (Amateur Radio Relay League). I believe that part of your membership goes to the magazine QST as well as other things, including staff that lobby the laws.

2329. MOD: Radioshack Realistic PRO-2028

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <34287A3D.ACF9377B@mail.com>
Source record: 185162
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I am looking for ANY
modifications for this scanner. Thanks,

Matt

Model Number: 20-403

Serial Number: 25003513

FCC ID: AA020-403

2330. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Rooney <n2nkb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <609sq0$448@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185163

N2YWD wrote:
> ... I know I'm gonna get flamed, but......I work PT for the local
> RS store - FYI - ... It is a revenue booster for Tandy Corp....
> Some radios are pretty good, others not. At regular price I would not buy
> one, even w/ employee "discount". When they go on sale though, hard to
> beat features, functions, value. Depositing my $0.02...................

I wouldn't flame your response. Nice response. Good 2 cents.
Al, N2NKB

2331. FREQS FOR LIVINGSTON COUNTY, MICHIGAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: wdf60@webtv.net
Message-ID: <609rob$819$1@newsd-106.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185164

Can anyone help me find the new 800MHz freqs for my area. I've tried
everything and am not able to find them.
Thanks for any help.

2332. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Goldman <75162.2371@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <ecnOl2Fy8GA.47@nih2naac.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 185165

RS stores in the US don't carry the Pro26 any more. Javiation in the UK carries the UNCUT version of the Pro26.

Jeff

2333. FREQ: Wanted- Corpus Christi Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <34287ABF.1E186BDC@mail.com>
Source record: 185166
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I know that I could go get a Police Call, or another book, but I was hoping someone could look these up and post `em for me. I am mainly interrested in the Freq. used by

Texas A&M University-
Corpus Christi

2334. 20 pg's of phone freq's,etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommFreq@webtv.net
Message-ID: <609tgr$ao3$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185167
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
--WebTV-Mail-1895456519-92182

I just found this site at:
http://www.plato-net.or.jp/usr/vladimir/ugtxt/ugelectronics/radio_waves

--WebTV-Mail-1895456519-92182
Content-Description: signature

--WebTV-Mail-1895456519-92182--
```

2335. Re: Uniden SC150 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: w@w.w
Message-ID: <3428AF35.2141@w.w>
Source record: 185168

I just LOVE pix!!! wrote:
> ...
> ALSO...has anyone figured out the date coding on Uniden products? It's
> confusing as hell. The manual in my box was dated 1994, and had in the

Simple. The first letter is always 'O', the second is month, and the
last two are year. To decode, substitute A with 1, B with 2, ... I with
9, etc. The first O happen to be the 15th letter, shorthand for SC150.

2336. Re: Tacoma WA Trunked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpm3@NOSPAM.seanet.com
Message-ID: <dpm3.1628.0027A7A6@NOSPAM.seanet.com>
Source record: 185169

In article It's Me <nospam4snakeman@frugal.com> writes:

>I'm new to scanning, and planning my first scanner, does anyone know if
>Tacoma, WA is using a trunked system for their police, and fire.

No. They currently use a small UHF system. There is a 800 mHz trunked
system licensed but I've never heard it on. Seattle, King County and the East
side are trunked, however and I'd assume that Tacoma and Pierce County is just
a matter of time.

==========
"I distrust a close-mouthed man. He generally picks the wrong time
to talk and says the wrong things. Talking is something you can't do
judiciously unless you keep in practice"
- Casper Gutman, The Maltese Falcon
========== This .sig exceeds Plate "C" specifications ==========

2337. Re: Marine VHF - in a car???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Ruark <nbruark@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <34288D23.6702@pacifier.com>
Source record: 185170

Frederick Flintstone wrote:
>
> The other day I was picking up what sounded like 2 guys in cars chit-
> chatting on Marine VHF freqs. They definitely were not in boats!
> What's up with that?

In some areas of the US the FCC has authorized use of VHF marine channels for use by licensees in what was once called the business radio service....this might be what you heard; but, in all likelihood, it was probably some illiterates blatently abusing FCC rules prohibiting this type of chit chat good buddy communications on these frequencies..... and life goes on.

2338. Re: AOR 900 help (no manual)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald L. Tomkinson" <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3428B3BA.1650@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185171

Dennis F. Terribile wrote:
>
> Hi All,
> recently acquired the AOR 900 scanner and could figure out most of the
> commands except how to program the search limits. I actually did one but
> could not replicate it next time I tried. :)
>
> Also, the battery will not charge using the '9v' socket. I had to scrap
> away the insulation on the battery pack (just replaced) and use
> alligator clips to charge the crazy thing!
>
> Thanks!
> 73,Dennis/WR4i

Hi Dennis,
My AR900 reads Chg 6v. If it won't charge, must be a bad component
between the jack and battery. The original charger was 6v/200ma (for
12hrs).

To search;
1. Manually select bank to enter search range (A/B/C/D/E).
2. Press Search Progm.
3. Select recieve mode (AM/FMN/FMW).
4. Press PRY LL/UL to make LL appear in the display (Lower Limit).
5. Enter the freq.
6. Press ENT.
7. Press PRY LL/UL until UL appears in the display (Upper Limit).
8. Enter the freq.
9. Press ENT.
10.Select the increment (step) by pressing LOCKOUT INC.
11.Press ENT
12.Press the letter key again (A/B/C/D/E). This stores this search
range in that bank. In other words u can have 5 search ranges.
Finally to search (whew!), press SEARCH followed by the letter for the
bank where u have stored the range!!! No wonder AOR products are so
popular!!

Anyway hope this has been of help!!
Good Monitoring,
Don :-)

2339. Re: Bearcat 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald L. Tomkinson" <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3428B4F5.17A0@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185172

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------46615EA9370A
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jack wrote:
>
> Anyone know how to program this scanner ? Its A barecat 101 16 channel base scanner.
>

Hi Jack,
I am attaching a formula to program the BC101. I also have written a
QBasic program to do the same thing.
Good Monitoring,
Don :-)

--------------46615EA9370A
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1; name="Bc101pgm.txt"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="Bc101pgm.txt"

<encoded_portion_removed>
X19fX19fX19fX19fX19fX19fX19fX19fCgo=
--------------46615EA9370A--

2340. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald L. Tomkinson" <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3428B9E1.3EE@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185173

skj9 wrote:
>
> There is no need to modify the Pro-26 when you can buy it new with
> cell unblocked. <http://www.osha.igs.net/~durhamradio/pro26.htm>.
>
> Andr&#65533; <belier@microtec.net> wrote in article
> <34280F5E.51F@microtec.net>...
> > H.Y. Tong wrote:
> > >
> > > Thanks for all the people giving me various advice on this
> subject.
> > > I strongly believe their opinion will be useful to others pursuing
> in
> > > this area. Here are the conclusion I have so far:
> > > 1. RS Pro-26 is basically 25MHz - 1.3GHz LESS the cell. band
> > > 2. RS Pro-26 is an excellent scanner, comparable with BC-3000XLT
> from
> > > uniden. Comparision resport is published in a magazine
> (Monitoring Times)
> > > and can be reprinted for $3. (See "FAQ - Scanner Radio Review
> Briefs" posted
> > > by Bob Parnass, AJ9S on 15 Sep 1997)
> > > 3. It is advisable to buy a scanner from a reputable scanner/ham
> radio
> > > store than from RS. They have more knowledgeable staff, more
> > > variety to choose. Sometimes, they can have lower prices.
> > > (Check your local yellow pages, and, of course, do a internet
> search
> > > on keywords like "amateur radio retailer")
> > > 4. Someone advice me bad crystal is common in some models.
> > > --
> > >
> ----------
> > > Philip Tong
> > > hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net
> ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
> > > Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
> > Can we modified the pro 26 ? Tank-you for the answer Andr&#65533;
> >

```
The "mod" is replacing the "cpu chip". About $75 US.
Don :-)
```

2341. Re: Computer Interference-Sportcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald L. Tomkinson" <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3428B666.62EA@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185174

Stacy J. Dunkle wrote:
>
> I rarely have my scanner on when my computer is on (which is most of the
> time), as it interferes badly with the scanner's operation, making it stop
> on certain frequencies, with nothing but static. Even if I have my scanner
> the whole way across the room, it still gets the interference from the
> computer.
>
> Is there any way to eliminate this, with a mod or some kind of shield or
> filter?
>
> My scanner is a SC150 Sportcat. I'd also like to know about any other
> types of mods that can be done to this model.
>
> To reply by e-mail, remove the capitol letters from my reply-to address.
> --
> Stacy J. Dunkle
> http://www.bedford.net/sdunkle
>
> Support the anti-SPAM amendment
> Join at http://www.cauce.org

Hi Stacy,
Well you can try changing the affected freqs by 5khz up or down. After
that you can put some chokes on the monitor signal/power cables, then on
the other cables coming out of the computer. The best thing I found was
to get a NEW monitor that has reduced EM (won't fry u while using it).
But as u have a handheld you are better off to use an antenna that is
outside and as far away as possible from the computer.
Don :-)

2342. Re: Scanner choosing help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: l42767@alfa.ist.utl.pt
Message-ID: <3429a09d.3514869@news.ist.utl.pt>
Source record: 185175

wiggie wrote:

Hi wiggie!
Can I make you some questions ?

> Do you want a handheld model or a desktop model?

Are desktop models cheapper than handhelp ?

> Are you thinking about having a computerized setup later?

What's the purpose of this ?

Thanks for your help. I'm very new to this field.

l8r!

****
* Vertigo -> l42767@alfa.ist.utl.pt * Tied to the machines that
* Vertigo@TheVortex.com * make me be ...
* http://www.thegym.net/Vertigo *
****

2343. Re: Bearcat 230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Kozin <gene@itron-ca.com>
Message-ID: <609b9i$8c9$1@gate.itron-ca.com>
Source record: 185176

r&c wrote in article <01bcc7e3$ff9527c0$96a4fecd@rickcamr>...

>Does anybody know if the 230xlt will pick up trunked frequencies. like
>808.6625 & 853.6625. I have one on order and I heard things about a
>trunktracker and I was wondering what that meant. I believe the
>trunktracker is the 235xlt. besides the number of channels what is the
>difference between the two?
>

Yes, it will. However, following the conversation will be difficult, as
trunked system allows many users to share few frequencies and therefore each
user may be allocated new frequency every time they push 'transmit' button.
235XLT is smart enough to keep track of users for you.

For more information see http://www.trunktracker.com/

2344. Looking To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: MG <realty@aros.net>
Message-ID: <342885B5.6D61@aros.net>
Source record: 185177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking to purchase a scanner that scans *everything*
and not a radio shack *blocked chip* one.
Not for illegal purposes just to see what's going on without
blockage. What do you have to sell?

2345. Going price for HTX-202 & Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Larsons <vct@gomontana.com>
Message-ID: <60a2rc$ln81@news.gomontana.com>
Source record: 185178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Realistic HTX-202 2m handheld and a Pro-43 scanner that I am considering selling. Can anybody tell me what fair prices would be for these?

The HTX-202 is as it came out of the box. The Pro-43 has the cell mod, low band coverage mod, upgraded internal speaker and the service manual. Both include all accessories that they came with including the manual and box.

Thanks for your advice,

Don Larson
dlarson@virtualmt.com

2346. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34288DBF.7E6D@usa.net>
Source record: 185179

Truth hurts some people.

Eric Oyen wrote:
> You didn't search far enough. I also post to several Arizona ONLY

2347. Re: Does A Discone Need To Be Grounded?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34288640.37CA@usa.net>
Source record: 185180

YES!

All antennas should be grounded. Plus, national electric code
recommends it & local code probably requires it.

Tony Heatwole wrote:
> I'm thinking about buying a Discone scanner/HAM antenna. I was
> wondering...does it need to be grounded? It will be mounted on the eves of
> my house on the side about 50-55 feet off the ground. The closest metal

2348. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34288FB8.6C31@usa.net>
Source record: 185181

> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> > OK, then what is your psn.net e-mail address? (Put up or shut up)

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> I don't use one through psn.net. I pay a small fee for access to the web
> for news purposes ONLY. My official e-mail is at juno.com. If you don't

BULLSHIT!!!! PSN net gives everyone an e-mail address, partly due
to billing issues. If you have an account with PSSN net, you have
an e-mail address. You are lying again ERIC OYEN.

> Be warned, continued inquiries from you will be dealt with in an
> appropriate manner.

Typical Eric Oyen type of meaningless threats.

2349. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34288E5D.3EC9@usa.net>
Source record: 185182

Thanks!!!

yea, Eric likes to make bogus posts using alias names. He's been
caught before.

FRG9600 wrote:
> Hey Mackey I did a search of the two on Dejanews and they both post to the
> same exact newsgroups !
> Can they both be blind ?
> Maybe you were right all along

2350. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34288AB1.3B22@usa.net>
Source record: 185183

Typical Eric Oyen type of response.

Hey Eric, you gonna tell us again about how you sued all those people &
won all that money for sending you junk e-mail?

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> Also, with reference to another matter, Accusations without sufficient
> proof are considered bad form. You want to make a big production out of
> it, be my guest. I just hope you got money to burn m(because you are
> going to need it).

2351. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34288C60.67B0@usa.net>
Source record: 185184

JRShattuck wrote:

> I thought I did.

No you didn't, you were replying to me (not them). Get with it!

> So far, in all of the articles I've read on this newsgroup, I have only
> seen your name on these gripe sessions. Based on that, I can only conclude
> that the articles you write are either removed before I can read them, or
> of some subject not interesting.

Then you are not paying attention.

For example, just today I answered someone's question about antenna
grounding. A week or 2 ago I answered someone's questions about
Motorola Spirit pagers, the list goes on.

Again, Get with it!

2352. Re: Eric Oyen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34289012.63F3@usa.net>
Source record: 185185

Yes, isn't it FUNNY how all the coincedences between
Eric Oyen & Phoenix Radio Man keep popping up!!!

FRG9600 wrote:
> This guy must be blind too he has trouble spelling!
> Could they be the same ?

2353. Fresno State University freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hendrik Susanto <hs036@csufresno.edu>
Message-ID: <342859F1.A71A3255@csufresno.edu>
Source record: 185186

I'm looking for frequencies used by the campus police at California State University, Fresno. I'm also interested in any military, land or aviation, frequencies in the Fresno area. Thanks.

2354. Re: John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970923102401.GAA12804@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185204

>Subject: Re: John Mackey!
>From: thecbguy@aol.com (The CB Guy)

>And there are 3 million of us.
>
>

Before the Compuserve acquisition, AOL counted 8 million subscribers.
Don't know what it is at the moment.
Michael Alexander

2355. Re: New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Amor Powers, CB Radio" <power@CB.Radio.net>
Message-ID: <34279D63.2685@CB.Radio.net>
Source record: 185205

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> BTW 27.680 is already an AM marine frequency.

This has been discussed.
The result has been suggested shared use land/marine.
There has been an increase in digital modes in marine HF.
See news:rec.radio.cb for more info.

Marine use of 27.680 in the Northern Hemisphere Region 2 has fallen off
in the past 5 years to occasional use. Prior to that it was mainly used
for X-rated fishermen chit-chats and talk they prefered not to be
overheard by the coast guard or other vessels on VHF.
Australian coastal marine system is channel 60 through channel 99.
Supposedly there is a "F EMA" channel on 27.650 also.

Amor Powers

END OF POST

2356. Trade for BC-890

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <3427AE12.2172@wwd.net>
Source record: 185206
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I have a Uniden HR-2510 that covers 26 - 30 mhz, good condition, with mic, manual and mounting bracket. I'd like to trade to a BC-890XLT, preferrably with CTCSS tone board.
--
73
Nick Marsh
WB4SQI

****
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227, any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
****

2357. FCC FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS - fccalloc.txt (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave
Message-ID: <34274bfd.34119013@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185207

```
This is a list of FCC allocations from June 1996,it should still be
fairly accurate...................
```

2358. Re: Where is good place to shop for good scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <3427A0A4.446B@vnet.com>
Source record: 185208
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Joe Farris wrote:
> I heard Radio Shack is good, but what about other brands? Who makes the AOR
> and where can it be bought at?

Try my web page http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIO.HTM

There's a number of FAQs there for advising you on the current
crop of manufacturers, models and which dealers carry what.

Good luck!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

2359. Pro 34....How do you clear the memory??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alistair S Ross <asr@eng.cam.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <34279AAA.B03C9539@eng.cam.ac.uk>
Source record: 185209

I do not seem to be able to change or clear the frequencys that hve been put into 'memory', The 'key lock' is off,.....so would some kind soul please tell me how to do it...cheers

ttfn......Alistair
--
: Alistair S Ross
: Room W7b, Instrument Shop, C.U.E.D.
: phone - UK(01223)332853
: email - asr@eng.cam.ac.uk

2360. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ywd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970923142701.KAA15185@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185210

In article <19970921020901.WAA06147@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
electro149@aol.com (Electro149) writes:

>don't give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They
cooperate with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of
information as
to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.>

I know I'm gonna get flamed, but......I work PT for the local
RS store - FYI - your name/address is used for our mailing list, so we can
send you our sales flyers and other junk mail (money off coupons, etc.).
Your name and address is also sold to others so you can get more junk mail
from them ! (Like Tech-America)
It is a revenue booster for Tandy Corp. I think that we are
going a bit overboard in the paranoia dept. here. If you don't want to
give your name / address fine, don't give it ! Pay cash, and that's it.
Do you really think that we at the store give a flying f**k what
your name or address are ? At my store, we have "dummy" customer listings
in the computer for Mr. and/or Mrs. "Cash" (Johnny Cash actually).
Unfortunately, if you pay by check, you will need to give the
info - no way around it. If you give credit card, well, we all know that
the CC companies are selling your name and address, so it's no difference.
I have NEVER given anyone a problem that did not want to give their name or
address, because we "salesmakers" just don't care one way or
another......as far as not buying radios from RS, that's a personal choice.
Some radios are pretty good, others not. At regular price I would not buy
one, even w/ employee "discount". When they go on sale though, hard to
beat features, functions, value. Depositing my $0.02.....

2361. Re: Trade for BC-890 --NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <3427E290.5EB4@wwd.net>
Source record: 185211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Nick Marsh wrote:
>
> I have a Uniden HR-2510 that covers 26 - 30 mhz, good condition, with
> mic, manual and mounting bracket. I'd like to trade to a BC-890XLT,
> preferrably with CTCSS tone board.

Uhh, belay that. Maybe a PRo-2045 scanner or equivilent. Seems like the
890's have birdie, image and selectivity problems.

Will consider $200 cash also in the form of a money orrder or bank
check. I'll ship it in the 48 states for that price.

2362. Marine VHF - in a car???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: fflintst@ncb.com
Message-ID: <3427e679.14124477@news.alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 185212

The other day I was picking up what sounded like 2 guys in cars chit-chatting on Marine VHF freqs. They definitely were not in boats!
What's up with that?

2363. RE: A comment about our ever shrinking scanner freedoms....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: clint.bradford@atdbbs.com
Message-ID: <970923075638282233@atdbbs.com>
Source record: 185213

>> I sure hope that not too many more restrictions on what
>> we can listen to go into effect...

So - tell us, then, what you wrote to the key Congressional
representatives this past week on this topic!

Clint Bradford, KE6LCS/KAF3359 909.681.6210 Voice sysop@atdbbs.com
ATTENTION to Details 909.681.6221 BBS ftp@atdbbs.com

2364. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "/\\ndroid"
Message-ID: <608k77$lvb@drn.zippo.com>
Source record: 185214

In article , "Joe says...
>
>Electro149 <electro149@aol.com> wrote in article
><19970921020901.WAA06147@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>> A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't
>> give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They cooperate
>> with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of information as
>> to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.
>>
>
>Question- you said "laws" Did these bills pass????? Christ! I hope not!!!!!!

In his head, in his head...

Mind you, I didn't give my name when I bought my 2006, 'cause I
hate busybodies.

/\<.

2365. Frequency for San Jose Air One?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ric Mackie <ric_mackie@hp.com>
Message-ID: <3427DC3E.2FCB@hp.com>
Source record: 185215

I get Air One when it's communicating with the different beats, but I would like to find the frequency it uses to communicate with the airfield and/or the dispatch/control unit.

Thanks.

Ric

--
Go Chargers! Beat the Ravens!

2366. ar2700 Popping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curbman@webtv.net
Message-ID: <608kmc$8l0$1@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185216

Have ar2700 that makes this popping noise while scanning. Anybody know how to fix this anoying problem? I posted this on
a nother news group, and ten other people said they had the same problem. curbman@webtv.net

THANKS IN ADVANCE! Gary D.

2367. Re: Pro 34....How do you clear the memory??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Yanchuck <rony58@erols.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc83a$994c3ce0$0cc9accf@rony58.erols.com>
Source record: 185217

To clear Channel's, press MANUAL, enter the channel #, then PGM. Put in a new freq. or 0's to clear channel, then ENTER. If for some reason that doesn't do it, you will need to do a master reset. To do master reset, in the battery compartmaent on the right side is a reset button, push it while the power is on.

Hope this helps,

Ron

Alistair S Ross <asr@eng.cam.ac.uk> wrote in article
<34279AAA.B03C9539@eng.cam.ac.uk>...
> I do not seem to be able to change or clear the frequencys that hve been
> put into 'memory', The 'key lock' is off,.....so would some kind soul
> please tell me how to do it...cheers
>
> ttfn......Alistair
> --
> : Alistair S Ross
> : Room W7b, Instrument Shop, C.U.E.D.
> : phone - UK(01223)332853
> : email - asr@eng.cam.ac.uk
>

2368. Ontario Web Page -lots of trunk stuff :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ronkatz@interlog.com
Message-ID: <342854b6.43818053@testnews.interlog.com>
Source record: 185218

Still alive and plugging away at my web pages

check out

http://www.interlog.com/~ronkatz

Ontario Emergency Services Scanner Guide
http://www.interlog.com/~ronkatz

Ron Katz

2369. Radio-Shack Website ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gillard@club.innet.be
Message-ID: <3427f4fd.13468222@news.innet.be>
Source record: 185219

Hi,
Does somebody know the website of Radioshack ?
Thanks
Paul

2370. Re: Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: skypilot@starlink.com
Message-ID: <MPG.e91a3de79fd60609896a0@news.starlink.com>
Source record: 185220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3421eb67.2546213@news.ott.net>,
Excalibur1@mailexcite.com says...
> On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 09:37:42 -0400, LA <artz@ll.mit.edu> posted
> something like this:
>
> ><snippet>
> >The FCC will soon establish the Federal Radio Police, in which their
> >sole job is to drive up and down the streets of America, with a van full
> >of very sensitive receiving equipment, and a dipole outside sniffing
> >around for people illegally tuned in to the band (by listening to the LO
> >spurs coming from every receiver. The same technology that the
> >Television Police catch illegal television sets in Europe.
>
> Federal Radio Police?????
> Isn't big brother getting out of hand? Next it will be armed guards in
> the town square and in your nieghborhood looking for trouble. Before
> long we'll be prisoners in our own homes.........
>
>
This would probably be found to be unconstitutional. It
has already been determined that simply using radar to
search each vehicle for speeding is illegal (on a Federal
level, not local)(and, no, I don't have a cite).
Searching each house for an illegal radio is no different
from searching each house for illegal drugs. The Fourth
Ammendment doesn't allow it. Something about needing a
search warrant.
We are not in England. We do still have certain rights.
Bill

2371. Re: Radio-Shack Website ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.G. Foster" <"rgfoster@"@anl.gov>
Message-ID: <608vgg$3es@milo.mcs.anl.gov>
Source record: 185221

http://www.radioshack.com/

Paul Gillard wrote:
>
> Hi,
> Does somebody know the website of Radioshack ?
> Thanks
> Paul

2372. Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Egnite@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <874620643.27729@dejanews.com>
Source record: 185222

I think we should have computers in our cars too.

----------------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------------------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

2373. Re: John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Thunder <bthunder@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc851$79eccec0$250bd2cc@bench.san.rr.com>
Source record: 185223

Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com> wrote in article
<342702B4.38F36D1E@mail.com>...
> Billy Thunder wrote:
>
> > John Mackey?
> >
> > Knock-knock?
>
> Stirring up trouble again Cheek???

I dont know what you mean. I'm trying to do
a knock-knock joke with John Mackey. Not Cheek.

2374. Re: Uniden SC150 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: CD <cdnospam@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <342813A4.3403@ameritech.net>
Source record: 185224

I haven't taken mine apart yet, but the outer sticker gives a code for
the date as OBIF. It's able to recieve the 806 - 956 MHz just fine.
Oops, I didn't say that ;->

2375. ACK! what's all this other crap?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: winter03 <winter03@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc7e9$963515a0$2f7566a6@default>
Source record: 185225

scanners.....remember?!
~S~ ang

2376. MAKE $$

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Sheppard <sheppard@together.net>
Message-ID: <34282648.56A4@together.net>
Source record: 185226

hello,
I am RiCk sheppard, and i have a program that makes money for you. and its easy. go to my homepage and download it, http://homepages.together.net/~sheppard/ it is no bullshit hassel it really does werk, thats how i am on a ISDN connection, its not even a meg. for anyother details please e-mail me at sheppard@together.net , it is so easy i should have done it before, thanx for your time and everything.

later, RiCk

2377. New Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <gregw@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <6096mb$5je$1@nnrp3.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 185227

I just e-mailed Uniden, asking for info on the amount of scanners sold in the last year, and also the $$$ impact on the Fort Worth area, if I receive a reply I will post it to the scanner groups, if the numbers a good this may be a tool for fighting this.
Barry Adair
gregw@swbell.net

2378. RS 2035 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald R. Hoenstine" <RickHoenstine@msn.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc859$c19f0500$07962399@donaldho>
Source record: 185228

Does anyone know if cellular can be restored to this radio?

2379. Freq database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gillard@club.innet.be
Message-ID: <34281c74.23573046@news.innet.be>
Source record: 185229

Hi,
I'am looking for a good Win-database for scannerfreq.
Thanks
Paul

2380. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andr&#65533; <belier@microtec.net>
Message-ID: <34280F5E.51F@microtec.net>
Source record: 185230

H.Y. Tong wrote:
>
> Thanks for all the people giving me various advice on this subject.
> I strongly believe their opinion will be useful to others pursuing in
> this area. Here are the conclusion I have so far:
> 1. RS Pro-26 is basically 25MHz - 1.3GHz LESS the cell. band
> 2. RS Pro-26 is an excellent scanner, comparable with BC-3000XLT from
> uniden. Comparision resport is published in a magazine (Monitoring Times)
> and can be reprinted for $3. (See "FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs" posted
> by Bob Parnass, AJ9S on 15 Sep 1997)
> 3. It is advisable to buy a scanner from a reputable scanner/ham radio
> store than from RS. They have more knowledgeable staff, more
> variety to choose. Sometimes, they can have lower prices.
> (Check your local yellow pages, and, of course, do a internet search
> on keywords like "amateur radio retailer")
> 4. Someone advice me bad crystal is common in some models.
> --
> ---
> Philip Tong
> hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
> Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
Can we modified the pro 26 ? Tank-you for the answer Andr&#65533;

2381. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34281101.2D92@juno.com>
Source record: 185231

Jack wrote:
> o.k. John, Explain to me how I am trying to stir up trouble by agreeing
> with the freedom of speech?
> --
>
> "Everybody wants to go to Heven, But nobody wants to die!"
>
> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote in article <34271FEE.3E8C@usa.net>...
>
> >The both of them are trying to stir up MORE trouble.
> >
> >Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> >> Well said both of you.Thats an answer I'd like to know too.....

However, I guess one won't be forthcomming anytime soon as THAT person
has a bad habit of sidestepping the issues.

2382. Re: Marine VHF - in a car???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <609a57$c4$1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 185232

Frederick Flintstone (fflintst@ncb.com) wrote:
: The other day I was picking up what sounded like 2 guys in cars chit-
: chatting on Marine VHF freqs. They definitely were not in boats!
: What's up with that?

What's up?-simple...in some areas, particularly inland ones, people often
use marine transievers, illegally, of course, for local communications.

2383. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <34282DA9.6F3764A5@juno.com>
Source record: 185233

George L. Vetterle wrote:

> In <19970922113100.HAA27886@ladder02.news.aol.com> thecbguy@aol.com
> (The CB Guy) writes:
> >
> >In article <19970922104001.GAA21306@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
> >grhansen1@aol.com (Grhansen1) writes:

(snip)

> >Whats this reference line?...could this be a forgery?????
> >my my, would MACKEY do such a thing?
>

> It's a forge but the culprit isn't Mackey; It's one of the other
> resident marsupials. He'll be dealt with in due time when it's time
> for the *big* payback that hes got coming from me. Hehehehehe.
>

Yea George, I know who it is too. I stepped on this little twerps toes
awhile back. Guess it is time to step on his head.

He can run but.....paybacks can be a real bitch!

Glenn

2384. MX Suitcase (Sold)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty Blei <jmap@idt.net>
Message-ID: <34280D1B.1E5F@idt.net>
Source record: 185234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks to everyone, but the Suitcase MX repeater has been sold.

2385. Re: Tacoma WA Trunked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3428305F.2A5C9F93@juno.com>
Source record: 185235

Not yet...there have been rumors but I don't see it happening for some time. Seattle and King County are trunked though.

Glenn

It's Me wrote:
>
> I'm new to scanning, and planning my first scanner, does anyone know if
> Tacoma, WA is using a trunked system for their police, and fire.
>
> Thanks for the help.

2386. REQ: University of Oklahoma Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yohei@OU.edu
Message-ID: <6091jajbp1@artemis.backbone.ou.edu>
Source record: 185236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for any frequencies used by University of Oklahoma in Norman. I already have the campus police frequencies and I am looking for the maintenance frequencies.

Also, if you know of any "neat" frequencies around OU, please send those to me as well.

I can be reached at Yohei@OU.edu

Thanks.

2387. Does A Discone Need To Be Grounded?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <6093g8$o3e@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 185237

I'm thinking about buying a Discone scanner/HAM antenna. I was wondering...does it need to be grounded? It will be mounted on the eves of my house on the side about 50-55 feet off the ground. The closest metal around is an 18 foot 10-12 meter antenna and that is about 15 feet away. The 18 foot anteanns is propertally grounded. My house is sourrounded by trees but directaly above where I want to mount the antenna there are no trees or branches. And help would be appreciated. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.

Nat Heatwole

2388. Re: Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342833B7.759C@phoenix.net>
Source record: 185238

Egnite@Hotmail.com wrote:
>
> I think we should have computers in our cars too.
>
> --------------------==== Posted via Deja News ====-----------------------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet
I do Egnite, in fact most if not all cars now a days have computers.
They keep them running properly. If your cars computer goes down you
will be out some money to replace it. I don't remember when the first
computerised EPA stuff came out but its been several years.
joe

2389. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dt465@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6092gl$l41@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 185239

Nick Ruark (nbruark@pacifier.com) writes:
> D Stark wrote:
>>
>> In article <3425588C.34A0@msg.ti.com>, C-Porter3@msg.ti.com wrote:
>>
>> > Not all the computers in police cars are MDTs. The Richardson Police
>> >force
>> >(TX) has just put a bunch of lap tops in theirs. I don't know the method
>> >of
>> >communication butthe news article showed them remotely accessing dept
>> >data files.
>>
>> Duh. A computer used in a Mobile unit, acting as a Terminal which has
>> to connect back to a central computer via radio Data network is a
>> Mobile Data Terminal or MDT.
>
>
> Duh. Duh. The method of communication is via rf (aka radio).....

hate to break any bubbles people but many of the lap top "MDT" units are
nothing more than a lap top connected to a Cellular modem and they connect
the same way we are connecting now. some police forces are running them
thru thier own private systems as well.

Keep in mind that Cel systems and trunk systems can be made compatable by
dedicating some Freq.'s to cel use and others to radios.
--
James (Jim) C. Smith OStJ
St. John Ambulance Brigade
FEDERAL DISTRICT

2390. Re: Micro-broadcasting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bry@REMOVE.mnsinc.com
Message-ID: <34283102.8131646@news.mnsinc.com>
Source record: 185240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yes, and the Ramsey Kits and others meet this requirement.

On Sun, 21 Sep 1997 14:51:44 -0500, vorlin@mail.geocities.com (R.
Harrison) wrote:

>
>somebody (attribution lost) wrote:
>
>> >You can broadcast JUST LIKE a regular FM station if you want, and ON
>> >the FM band with a 100 mW stereo quality station. You can spend
>> >anything from $30-3000 on such a station.
>
>From title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 15, subpart C:
>
>Sec. 15.239 Operation in the band 88-108 MHz.
>
> (a) Emissions from the intentional radiator shall be confined within
>a band 200 kHz wide centered on the operating frequency. The 200 kHz
>band shall lie wholly within the frequency range of 88-108 MHz.
>
> (b) The field strength of any emissions within the permitted 200 kHz
>band shall not exceed 250 microvolts/meter at 3 meters. The emission
>limit in this paragraph is based on measurement instrumentation
>employing an average detector. The provisions in Sec. 15.35 for limiting
>peak emissions apply.
>
> (c) The field strength of any emissions radiated on any frequency
>outside of the specified 200 kHz band shall not exceed the general
>radiated emission limits in Sec. 15.209.
>
> (d) A custom built telemetry intentional radiator operating in the
>frequency band 88-108 MHz and used for experimentation by an educational
>institute need not be certified provided the device complies with the
>standards in this part and the educational institution notifies the
>Engineer in Charge of the local FCC office, in writing, in advance of
>operation, providing the following information:
> (1) The dates and places where the device will be operated;
> (2) The purpose for which the device will be used;
> (3) A description of the device, including the operating frequency,
>RF power output, and antenna; and,
> (4) A statement that the device complies with the technical
>provisions of this part.

(remove the word REMOVE from my e-mail address to respond!)

2391. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342835DB.37A4@phoenix.net>
Source record: 185241

/ndroid wrote:
>
> In article , "Joe says...
> >
> >Electro149 <electro149@aol.com> wrote in article
> ><19970921020901.WAA06147@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> >> A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't
> >> give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They cooperate
> >> with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of information as
> >> to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.
> >>
> >
> >Question- you said "laws" Did these bills pass????? Christ! I hope not!!!!!!
>
> In his head, in his head...
>
> Mind you, I didn't give my name when I bought my 2006, 'cause I
> hate busybodies.
>
> /\<.
I oun 5 or 6 scanners and I don't give a shit who knows it.
joe

2392. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <34283666.1873@phoenix.net>
Source record: 185242

joe d wrote:
>
> /ndroid wrote:
> >
> > In article , "Joe says...
> > >
> > >Electro149 <electro149@aol.com> wrote in article
> > ><19970921020901.WAA06147@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> > >> A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't
> > >> give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They cooperate
> > >> with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of information as
> > >> to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.
> > >>
> > >
> > >Question- you said "laws" Did these bills pass????? Christ! I hope not!!!!!!
> >
> > In his head, in his head...
> >
> > Mind you, I didn't give my name when I bought my 2006, 'cause I
> > hate busybodies.
> >
> > /\<.
> I oun 5 or 6 scanners and I don't give a shit who knows it.
> joe
I mean own. I wish to hell I could find a sweet assed boy to do my
typing who could spell.
joe

2393. Re: FS: Sony ICF Pro-80 SW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpatton@negia.net
Message-ID: <6091qhqa1@news1.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 185243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap

In article <3424070D.6F7B@bellsouth.net>, tkirksey@bellsouth.net wrote:
>I have a Sony ICF Pro-80 Shortwave for sale.
>All Mode: AM (narrow & wide) - FM (narrow & wide) - SSB - CW
>Coverage: 150 Khz - 108 Mhz Continuous
>
>$200 or best offer
>
>Tom
>KD4FTQ
>tkirksey@bellsouth.net

I purchased this radio from Tom back at the first of the month, and returned it to him. Here's a copy of the email I sent him when I received the radio:

Tom,

I received the Pro 80, but Its not working properly. I have canceled payment on my check to you for $200, and will return the radio to you. When I opened the battery compartment to install batteries, a case scew fell out. At that point I noticed the two case screws on the back were missing completely. Upon powering up the radio, I found the display to be off frequency by 5 khz in the am narrow mode. I receive wwv at 4995, 9995, etc. It appears someone has been inside the radio, and has gotten the alignment off. Send me the address where you would like thew radio shipped, and I'll send it back to you.

Doug

Tom was _very_ reluctant to take the radio back, but I really didn't give him a choice since I did stop payment on my check. I would recommend to anyone considering this radio to check with him and confirm that the radio has been repaired and the case screws found.

2394. Re: Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Kozin <gene@itron-ca.com>
Message-ID: <609c0t$8qv$1@gate.itron-ca.com>
Source record: 185244

Barry Adair wrote in article <607i3g$2c$1@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>...

> I have a Uniden BC220XLT, that I bought from a pawn shop so no manual, I
>need to know what the data buttom on it is for, Uniden Web Site does not
>have any info on this model, I have called Uniden and were told that I
could
>buy the manual from them, this is no problem, but I have to send them a
>check and they will ship it within 3 weeks, still no problem, but as I live
>2 miles from them I ask if I could pick it up, Problem, NO, they have to
>mail it.

On my 235XLT 'data' button prevents scanner from locking on data
tarsmissions (ones that sound like your modem) during search.

Cheers...

2395. Re: Uniden SC150 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: iLUVpix_NOT_SPAM@super.zippo.com
Message-ID: <60997t$rkn@snews3.zippo.com>
Source record: 185245

In article <342813A4.3403@ameritech.net>, cdnospam@ameritech.net wrote:
>I haven't taken mine apart yet, but the outer sticker gives a code for
>the date as OBIF. It's able to recieve the 806 - 956 MHz just fine.

..same thing I thought about mine :-(Try this for me, then follow-up this message:

Let your scanner run in SEARCH mode until you reach about 867 or so. Then press the HOLD button to lock it at 867-ish. Now press the UP arrow slowly until you reach 868.9875. On mine (date code: ODID), it immediately jumps from that last freq I gave you to 894.0125, indicating that the freqs in between are blocked out.

When you're in normal SEARCH mode, it happens so fast that you don't realize it, and subsequently think you got over on FCC by buying a brand new FULL 800 scanner (my bubble was quickly burst). In my case, it was from a pawn shop. The salesperson even demonstrated its ability to pickup cellular in the store for me, so I felt safe.

Now that I realize I'm not getting full 800, it really doesn't bother me. I'm still getting plenty of cellular and 900MHz phones ANYWAY. The current argument in other threads is whether what we're getting are actually cell IMAGES or if the cellular band has truly been expanded for whatever reason.

You may have been one of the lucky SportCat buyers to snatch it from the shelf JUST before the Uniden recall. I'm interested to see if yours skips the group of freqs I mentioned without you having realized it in the past. Please let me know.

ALSO...has anyone figured out the date coding on Uniden products? It's confusing as hell. The manual in my box was dated 1994, and had in the preface: "This product will not tune the cellular range of frequencies." So, apparently, it was produced immediately after the law went into effect in 93-ish/94-ish. Thanks in advance.

==========
EDIT E-MAIL ADDRESS FOR LEGIT MAIL...NO SPAM!!!!
==========

2396. Re: Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman
Message-ID: <34283f73.5842579@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185246

skypilot@starlink.com (Bill Funk) wrote:

>In article <3421eb67.2546213@news.ott.net>,
>Excalibur1@mailexcite.com says...

>This would probably be found to be unconstitutional. It
>has already been determined that simply using radar to
>search each vehicle for speeding is illegal (on a Federal
>level, not local)(and, no, I don't have a cite).
>Searching each house for an illegal radio is no different
>from searching each house for illegal drugs. The Fourth
>Ammendment doesn't allow it. Something about needing a
>search warrant.
>We are not in England. We do still have certain rights.
>Bill

There are EXCEPTIONS to needing warrants!
Ask any bounty hunter in the U.S.A.

.
helmsman@mindspring.com
http://helmsman.home.mindspring.com/
Amateur Radio,Hubble Telescope,Web Cams

2397. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342834DC.526E@phoenix.net>
Source record: 185247
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

LA wrote:
>
> Barry O'Grady wrote:
> >
> > no@mail (Gwen) wrote:
> >
> > :On 18 Sep 1997 05:59:23 GMT, jamoran@mail.goodnet.com (John Moran) wrote:
> > :
> > :>DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
> > :>patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy
> > :
> > :You mean us a land line for BETTER privacy. It's still easy to tap a hard wire,
> > :but not as likely as being listened to on a cordless.
> >
> > One of the banks over here has a tv advert showing a person accessing their
> > telephone banking service using a cordless phone.
>
> and then there is the TV ad of the person receiving the confidential
> results of his mail-in AIDS test via a cordless phone. I laughed SO hard
> when I saw that one.
>
> LA
Maby they were using spread spectrum digital 900 mhz ponez.

2398. M-400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reuben <magic@dorsai.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.3.96.970923155623.15966A-100000@amanda>
Source record: 185248

Anyone offering ANY custom ROM chips for the M-400 Universal Decoder. I would love to be able to add FLEX to the list of protocols this baby decodes. Many thanks. Plese reply to magic@dorsai.org, thanks again.

Reuben

2399. Re: Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: louis h morong <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc869$93ade660$0100a8c0@zmbwf.somtel.com>
Source record: 185249

Egnite@Hotmail.com wrote in article <874620643.27729@dejanews.com>...
> I think we should have computers in our cars too.
>

We DO!

> ----------------------==== Posted via Deja News ====-----------------------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet
>

2400. Scanner Technical FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <342d37be.2722023@news-server>
Source record: 185250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

September 23, 1997 BCHEEK.FAQ 9/23/97

This article/file is for the benefit of all.

(c) 1995-97 (All Rights Reserved) by:
Bill Cheek and -COMMtronics Engineering

This file is for personal use only and may NOT be placed on
a CD-ROM nor any other media that conveys, stores, or
transports for any monetary cost including shipping &
handling, without expressed permission of the author. This
file can only be given away, absolutely free of charge, no
strings attached. If given away, it MUST be conveyed intact,
word-for-word with no editing, additions or deletions.

-Bill Cheek-
Author

==========
Bill Cheek's Frequently-Asked-Questions File
==========

Contents
=========

TECHNICAL QUESTIONS
==========
021: How do I restore cellular in my scanner ?
022: What about cellular restoration ads scanners after 3/94?
023: Are any mods available for my scanner
023a: What mods do you have for my five scanners?
024: Where can I find mods for my scanner ?
025: So you mean there are no mods for my scanner ?
026: So how can I do some of these mods?
027: Memory mods? How can I increase the channels?
028: How do I tell a SRAM chip from an EEPROM from CPU RAM?
029: My scanner has an SRAM; how do I do the mod?
030: Do you do scanner modifications like memory mods?
031: I'm not interested in all your mods - just one. Will
you photocopy the information for me?
032: So how do I restore cellular in the PRO-2006?
033: How can I improve my reception capabilities?
034: How can I install the military aero band in my scanner?
035: How can I modify my scanner to follow trunked systems?
036: My PRO-2004 has problems, first mild and now severe. It

has been repaired several times. Is it fixable?
037: Can my scanner be interfaced to a computer for remote and automated control, as well as for programming of the memory channels by the computer? Can the interface acquire data from the scanner and send it to the computer?

==========
TECHNICAL QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
==========

021: How do I restore cellular in my scanner ?

Forget it, pal. It ain't going to happen. The TDDRA Act of 1994 expressly forbids the manufacture or importation of "easily modified for cellular" scanners after April, 1994. Manufacturers turned to putty and removed the cellular programming from the scanners' CPUs altogether. Sorry, it's not possible. But here is a list of all those pre-1994 scanners of which I am aware that ARE capable of cellular restoration:

PRO-2004 BC-855XLT *3 Regency 4030
PRO-2005 BC-200XLT Regency 1600
PRO-2006 BC-205XLT
PRO-2022 BC-760XLT *4
PRO-2026 *2 BC-700XLTA *4
PRO-2027 BC-890XLT *4
PRO-2030 BC-950XLT
PRO-2032 BC-2500XLT *3
PRO-34
PRO-37
PRO-39
PRO-43 *1
PRO-46 *2 *5
PRO-51 *2
PRO-23 *2

Note 1: Date of Mfg code 1A4, 2A4, xA3, xA2 (label in battery compment), or no "A" in the serial no.

Note 2: Date of mfg code 1A4, 2A4, -A3, -A2 (label on back).

Note 3: Date of mfg code must not end in the letter "D", except for OAID.

Note 4: Date of mfg code must not end in the letter "D".

Note 5: Units with date code of 5A4 can tune cellular in 30 kHz Steps using a keyboard entry sequence.

For instance, PRO-43's imported before March, 1994, are modifiable, while some brought in during March and all thereafter are impossible to modify. Date codes of any -A2 or -A3 and only 1A4 and 2A4 are assured of being modifiable. 3A4 and up probably aren't.

The above list does not include those scanners that were naturally capable of receiving the full 800 MHz band, without modification, some of which include:

J.I.L. SX-400 AOR-950 Icom R-7100 *
Regency MX-4000 AOR-1000 Icom R-1
Regency MX-5000 AOR-1500 Icom R-100
Kenwood RZ-1 AOR-2500 Icom R-9000
Uniden BC-800XLT AOR-3000 * Icom R-7000
Yaesu FRG-9600 AOR-8000 *

* pre-1994

Some of these radios, if still current, had the cellular removed by the factory after April, 1994. There may be possibilities of restoring cellular in later models of the AR-8000, AR-3000, and ICOM radios by replacing an EEPROM or reprogramming one, but that is beyond the scope of this FAQ, and in general, should not be counted on.

==========
022: What about cellular restoration ads for post 3/94 scanners?

Yup, I used to see magazine ads to "restore" cellular or to "unlock 800 MHz" in newer scanners. Gasp! This is almost a deception. See, they're advertising the "VD" mod.

Virtual Downconversion - better than a venereal disease, but not by much. To my way of thinking, it is an inferior method of "unlocking" the cellular bands because:

A. They're not really unlocked. VD is a trick of turning off one oscillator and turning another one on. Typically, they set the scanner to the 400 MHz band, and then turn off the normal 400 MHz Local Oscillator and turn on the one for 800 MHz to make cellular receivable on the 400 MHz display.

B. There is no logical correlation between the actual cellular frequency and the displayed frequency. It varies from one radio to the next, but suppose 880.000 MHz comes in. It might be received/ displayed on 432.6775 MHz. Duh....??

C. The total cellular bands of 823.950-849.000 and 868.950-894.000 are not available by this method. In fact, only a small portion of one or the other

(often not both) is available, like maybe 10-20 MHz
of the total.

D. There is no 30 kHz stepping. You're limited to the
default "rules" for the band in which the cellular
appears, typically 5 kHz or 12.5 kHz.

E. The exact results of the VD mod, generally described
above, depend on the scanner. Results vary from one
to the next. None are what I'd call "good" or even
acceptable.

The good thing that can be said for the VD mod is that
it is the ONLY way to get any cellular at all from
post March-1994 scanners. So, the advertisements that
you see are not exactly "fraud".....but you sure don't
get much for your money, either.

==========
023: Are any mods available for my scanner ?

Shooooey, dude, you have the wrong impression about
scanner modifications and hacking. Mods are not
"available" in the sense that they can be found on a
store shelf, or laying on the top of someone's mind who
can tell you in so many words or less how to turn that
"Snakebite" scanner into a fire-breathing, rip-
snorting behemoth of a Turbowhopper.

All scanners can be modified for something; generally a
dozen or more things. But only a few scanners have
anything written about them in detail. And you have to
know where to LOOK. You can't just up and ask for "mods".
Well, you *can*, but you won't get a substantive reply.

It takes me several days to several weeks and sometimes
MONTHS to work up and write about a hotshot mod for a
decent scanner, and after THAT level of effort, it's not
possible to make it "available" off my fingertips.
Continue reading below. There are answers to your question.

==========
023a: What mods do you have for my five scanners?

I wish I knew what people mean by "what mods do you
have..." I don't "have" mods, exactly.....

I wrote three books and over six years worth of the
monthly WORLD SCANNER REPORT that feature mods for a
variety of scanners. With over 900 scanners ever
marketed, I don't pretend to cover them all.....just,
a fraction.

Many of "my mods" can work with most any scanner....
but there is no way I can write explicit instructions
for more than the bench model on which I developed
that mod. I can and do offer hints on how to apply
these mods to other scanners but again, not having
the scanner in front of me and not having the Service
Manual, there is little I can do other than hint.

I have to leave it to the user to study the published
materials and then ask specific questions to which I
am happy to provide specific answers...if I can.
That's about as good as it gets.

You are strongly encouraged to thumb through my books
and through the back and current issues of the WORLD
SCANNER REPORT to see what interests you and to pick
topical material from which you can phrase specific
questions that have a possibility of an answer.

As it is, all I can say at this point is that DOZENS of
mods are possible for your scanners, but I have to leave
it to you to do the legwork and research. And when you
have specific questions, then I can answer them.

==========
024: Where can I find mods for my scanner ?

You can't. There are only a handful of scanners ever
made that were worth solitary, focused research and
development, specifically for that scanner. Those
scanners include the following:

PRO-2004 PRO-2005 PRO-2006 PRO-2035 PRO-2042
PRO-2022 PRO-43 PRO-34 PRO-37 BC-200XLT
PRO-26 PRO-39 BC-3000

You may find occasional articles, files, and "mods"
expressly for other scanners not mentioned above, but
they are the exception to the rule. Start by looking
around the Internet, and peruse quick-links to other
sites from my WWWeb Sites:

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek
http://204.210.11.126

My Web Sites have quicklinks to hundreds of other sites
that may contain a file or two on generic "Snakebite"
scanners. But don't get your hopes up.

Now here is the problem: I am one of the few, (if not
the ONLY) engineers fully committed to scanner mods.

Yet, there are over 900 scanners on the historical list
now. It is physically impossible for me to dedicate
attention to any but a few, and I have to choose those

owned by the greatest numbers of people who can support my efforts and help me to pay the rent; put food on the table; and educate the kids.

That small list of scanners above reaches out to tens or hundreds of thousands of owners. There is no time left for me to work on generic "SnakeBite" scanners that have little to offer, even after hours of hard work! But take cheer and read on.....

==========

025: So you mean there are no mods for my scanner ?

More or less I mean that, yes. But, that's not to say it can't be modified. It can! It's just that there is nothing published for it; nothing in the way of step-by step instructions with graphics, photos, and details.

No one would go to the time and trouble, you see? The preponderance of published hacking information is for those scanners listed in Question 24 above. Other scanners just aren't feasible for professional investigation.

An engineer who is capable of designing super-hacks for that "SnakeBite" scanner is not going to own one! Instead, he will own one in the Question 24 list, one that is eminently capable of some very powerful modifications in the first place.

Now I said your "SnakeBite" can be hacked. It can.

But you are more or less on your own in the sense that I cannot direct you to a "shopping list" of mods from which you can pick and choose. There is no such list. Any hope for you is to figure out what you want to do, and ask me a specific question.

I can give you a few answers in advance:

No.

No, your "SnakeBite" is probably not capable of cellular; extra memory; additional bands of frequencies; and things of that nature that pretty much require factory design in the first place. (See ahead for Memory Modifications)

Yes.

Yes, your "SnakeBite" is probably capable of a few basic modifications along these lines: (You wanted a list?)

1. Tape Recorder Jack
2. Automatic Tape Recorder Switch (beats VOX!)

3. Extended Delay
4. Tone Decoder-Rejector Countermeasure
5. CTCSS mod
6. S-Meter
7. Scanner-to-Shortwave Interface
8. Carrier-On-Indicator
9. Audio Improvements
10. Beep volume or disable
11. Squelch Improvements
12. Remote Controller
13. Baseband audio output for data decoding
14. Event or "hit" Counter
15. Shielding of plastic cases
16. External DC power
17. Improved Adjacent Channel Rejection
18. Speed up of SCAN & SEARCH modes

Then, maybe...depending....on the scanner.... the following
digital and/or esoteric enhancements.....???

19. Interfacing to a computer
20. Subsidiary Carrier (SCA)
21. Extended Memory to 25,600-channels & up
22. Cellular restoration
23. 54-88 MHz restoration
24. Data/Tone/Noise Rejection

==========
026: So how can I do some of these mods?

That's a problem. No one has written them up for your
"SnakeBite". About the only way I can think of is to
get one or more of my three books and a subscription to
the WORLD SCANNER REPORT. Research and study up on the
mods we've developed for other scanners, and then
compare the procedures for that specific scanner to
your "Snakebite". Often, when I write up a mod, I give
hints on how to apply it to other scanners.

Also, if you ask me *specific* questions, I can give
specific answers. If you ask me "how to do a memory
mod", I'll just refer you to something already in print
or published somewhere. If you are doing a memory mod
and run into trouble, then I will help you with that
trouble.

==========
027: Memory mods? How can I increase the programmable channels?

That depends on what kind of memory storage system is
used by your scanner. There are three kinds:

1. On-board CPU RAM (Random Access Memory)
2. Static Random Access Memory (SRAM)
3. EEPROM Electrically Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.

The first kind is most prevalent and there is no way to expand that kind of memory. It is, however, usually limited to scanners with 51 channels or less of programmable memory. 100-channels and up are usually done by methods 2 or 3. So your first step is to determine what kind of memory is used by your scanner.

EEPROM memory is expandable, but very difficult under most circumstances. SRAM is the easiest and offers the largest expansion capabilities. For example, the PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006 can be expanded to 25,600 programmable channels (and more)! The PRO-32, PRO-34, PRO-37, PRO-39 & PRO-2022 can go to 12,800-channels and beyond. The BC-760XLT, BC-560XLT, and a few others can be taken to 6,400 channels and more. The PRO-2035 and PRO-2042 can be taken to 16,000 channels and beyond.

My Extended Memory Modifications are amply documented in excruciating detail in my books and in the WORLD SCANNER REPORT, complete with diagrams, photos, and many pages of instructions such that most casual hobbyists who know a soldering iron from a tire iron can do the work. This kind of mod is not suited to being given out in an e-mail or a forum message in so many words or less, so you will need to acquire the instructions before doing the work.

==========

028: How do I tell a SRAM chip from an EEPROM from CPU RAM?

If you can't do this on your own or after the below explanation, you are probably not a candidate for such a wild adventure at this stage of your hacking career.

Most CPUs hold a small amount of RAM (random-access-memory), sufficient to store anywhere from 10 to 50, maybe a hundred channels or so. This is the case for most scanners, so there is no way to increase that memory. Fortunately, there are two other methods of RAM used by a few scanners that offer possibilities for expansion.

EEPROM: The least common and least expansive is EEPROM (electrically erasable programmable read-only-memory). The PRO-43, PRO-26, BC-2500XLT, BC-200XLT, BC-100XLT and BC-3000XLT, for example, use EEPROM programmable memory. It is possible to expand EEPROM-type of memory, though not by such huge proportions as the SRAM type.

EEPROMs are usually tiny little chips with 8-pins.

SRAM: A handful of scanners use a separate SRAM (static random-access-memory) chip for storage of frequencies and custom channel settings. It is this type of memory that can be easily and economically expanded by factors of 2, 4, 8, 16 and even 64 times the original volume! Scanners known to employ SRAM memory include the following:

PRO-2004 PRO-2021 PRO-32 BC-760XLT
PRO-2005 PRO-2022 PRO-34 BC-950XLT
PRO-2006 PRO-2032 PRO-37 BC-590XLT
PRO-2035 PRO-2042 PRO-39 BC-600XLT

There may well be other scanners that use SRAM memory, but either I forgot about them or don't know. If you're curious about your scanner's potential for memory expansion, you can just about forget it for those with fewer than 100-channels. The CPU's RAM easily handles 10 to 100 channels or so. If your scanner is not on the above list, but has 50 or more channels, then look over its schematic diagram and parts list or scrutinize its Logic/CPU Board for any of the following types of SRAM chips:

uPD446G-15 TC-5517CF-20 LC-3517BM-15
CXK5864BP MB-8416-20LPF

or something similar, disregarding the last few digits and letters. A SRAM chip is generally characterized by a rectangular shape with 24 to 32 pins, each of the longest sides holding half the pins. An SRAM chip in a schematic diagram will be characterized by eighteen or more of its pins going straight to the CPU chip. Any chip with less than 24-pins is not likely to be an SRAM; neither is any chip in a square format. SRAMs are rectangular (DIP or SMT) with 24 to 32 pins. Pinout notation of SRAM chips will include mystic codes such as:

A0, A1...A9, R/W, CE, I01, I02...I08, OE, etc.

==========
029: My scanner has an SRAM; how do I do the mod?

Get the published instructions for Extended Memory Mods using larger SRAM chips. Start by reviewing the free Table of Contents and Index to the World Scanner Report where you will find articles on memory mods. Get at least one of my books to supplement the WORLD SCANNER REPORT. The ULTIMATE SCANNER book is probably the best choice.

The Table of Contents and Index to the World Scanner Report can be found on my Web and FTP sites. They're also contained in my product information file:

PRODINFO.ZIP or PRODINFO.EXE

that I can send by e-mail upon request, or which can be downloaded from my Web and FTP sites.

Since all SRAM chips are much alike, my instructions for one scanner will apply to all, and not be that difficult to follow, provided you can find your SRAM chip in the first place.

==========

030: Do you do scanner modifications like memory mods?

Sure. Contact me privately and we can go from there. One note, though: I don't do cellular mods anymore. The FCC has gotten persnickety about that sort of thing.

==========

031: I'm not interested in all your mods - just one. Will you photocopy the information for me?

Not if it's in a copyrighted publication. My publishers own the copyright to my books and my company owns the copyrights to material published in the World Scanner Report. It would be unethical, illegal, or both, for me to do that.

If your budget is tight, you can in many cases get basic information for free from my Web and FTP sites and the real hard core stuff for $5/up by purchasing specific copies of the World Scanner Report. It is not always necessary to buy my books in order to do the mods. On the other hand, my published mods are NOT the sort you find on most BBS's and Internet sites with a few sentences or paragraphs and maybe an ASCII drawing if you're lucky.

My mods are detailed; well documented; and have excellent graphics, including schematic diagrams, photos, and illustrations. This kind of work does not come for free and I make no bones about it. On the other hand, there is no charge if all you want is how to do simple mods like the cellular mod to the PRO-2006.

==========

032: So how do I restore cellular in the PRO-2006?

1. Remove the top cover of the scanner.
2. Look down inside the front panel behind the #3 key of the keyboard, and clip the exposed leads of the two coppery colored diodes standing on end. One

restores cellular and the other gives a FREE 25%
speedup of the SCAN function.
3. Replace top cover.

See, that's the kind of stuff I can provide for free.
And I am happy to do so. I do not work for free for
days on end, paying out of my pocket the high costs of
photography, graphic arts, and computer operations with
sweat dripping off my brow..... There is a difference.

If you don't believe it, then grab a few free hack files
from a BBS or from the Internet........and then invest in
a copy of the World Scanner Report or one of my books....
See for yourself. That's the best way.

==========
033: How can I improve my reception capabilities?

Easy - maybe. Just increase the height of your antenna.

Each 10-ft increment of added height can yield an
apparent "gain" of 3-dB. For scanner signals, 3-dB of
difference can be all the difference between excellent
reception and none at all! There is no substitute for
height of the antenna when trying to receive signals
outside your immediate geographical area of more than
a few miles. VHF and UHF signals weaken dramatically
as they travel along the surface of the earth (ground-
wave); much more so than the spacewave that travels
a couple of wavelengths and more above the earth's
surface. Low antenna heights intercept the spacewave
of only very close transmitters, and the groundwave of
all others. Yes, the quality and type of antenna makes
a difference to a station's reception capabilities, but
the single most important factor is the height of the
antenna, regardless of its quality and type.

Next to antenna height, the quality of the coax cable
is an important variable. Just about any coax is fine
for 10-ft runs or less. If over 20-25 ft, then use
RG-6 Satellite cable unless you want to go whole-hog on
Belden 9913 or Andrew LDF-2A hardline coax. In no case,
should you use RG-58 or RG-59 cable except on very short
runs, and even then???? Signal loss in those two cables
makes the difference between hearing and not hearing,
compared to RG-6 Satellite cable.

Do NOT pay any mind to the prevailing opinion in some
quarters that 75-ohm coax is too lossy because of the
impedance mismatch. That's foolishness. 75-ohm coax
connected to a 50-ohm load results in a 1.5::1 VSWR,
for a 4% signal loss (about 0.1-dB), hardly worth a

bead of sweat compared to the natural 25%-50% losses
in RG-58 and RG-8 cables.

Antenna height and good quality coax cable will help
you hear all there is to hear from your location.

==========
034: How can I install the military aero band in my scanner?

You can't, and that's that. If your scanner came with the
military aero band (220-400 MHz), then you're Fat City.
If it did not come with it, there is no way under the sun
of "restoring" it. You can't restore what ain't there.

==========
035: How can I modify my scanner to follow trunked systems?

You can't, and that's that. Each trunked system is as
different as night and day with respect to other trunked
systems, even from the same vendor.

It is possible for the hobbyist to gain some skill and
fortitude in following trunked system conversations.
These techniques are scattered around in various
written works, from books, magazine articles, and
prefaces in frequency directories...to text files on
various BBS's and Internet archives. These techniques,
hints, tips, and kinks cannot be recited here in so
many words or less. One good starting point might be
the preface material in any recent edition of Radio
Shack's POLICE CALL books. But in a word, you cannot
alter your scanner to follow trunked signals.

Uniden's TrunkTracker series of scanners are designed
to follow certain trunking systems. Keep an ear posted
to the forums for news of these potentially interesting
scanners as they come to market. If Uniden is able to
"get away" with marketing the TrunkTrackers in the USA,
it might inspire hardware hackers and software writers
to figure out retrofit TrunkFollower modifications for
certain scanners. For now, this issue seems blatantly
illegal and we have to wait and see if Uniden is
challenged by the government and/or the trunked system
vendors.

There is some interesting software appearing around the
Internet these days....that may be useful in trunk
following, but I really can't offer anything substantive
at the moment.

==========
036: My PRO-2004 has problems, first mild and now severe. It
has been repaired several times. Can it be fixed?

There is a distinct possibility your PRO-2004 has problems with defective solder joints. Back in 1986, there was a production run of the PRO-2004 manufactured with a defective wave soldering machine. At first, it was only marginally defective, but it worsened and degraded with time.

The result, by the time the malfunctioning machine was discovered, was that many defective PRO-2004's had been shipped to market. Some immediately failed within the warranty period and were repaired or replaced. Others didn't fail until after the warranty expired, and were either repaired or junked. Some didn't fail for years.

Failures appear to come in waves.....periodic rashes. Defective solder joints are a serious problem, because the radio can be fixed only to the extent that it is broken. Repaired solder joints today have no bearing or relationship to those headed for failure in a week, a month, or a year. There is no economical way to repair them all in one fell swoop. A PRO-2004 with defective solder joints is very likely to develop more problems with the passing of time. There can be no guarantees against subsequent related or unrelated failures.

With that in mind, yes I do such repairs, but I cannot guarantee that other failures won't occur. Costs of repair can be high, and I would estimate the first repair tag to be $100 plus return shipping. I know, it's a bum deal, but I can't do any better than that except to offer to buy the unit for my repair parts bin.

I pay $25 plus shipping for defective PRO-2004's in reasonably clean condition. (No basket cases....) You might consider this as an alternative to continued repair bills.

==========
037: Can my scanner be interfaced to a computer for remote and automated control, as well as for programming of the memory channels by the computer? Can the interface acquire data from the scanner and send it to the computer?

In a word, yes. Many scanners "can" be interfaced to a computer for one-way control and automated programming of the memory channels. These scanners include:

PRO-2004 PRO-2005 PRO-2006 PRO-2035 PRO-2042
PRO-2022 PRO-34 PRO-37 PRO-39 PRO-43
PRO-26

Probably quite a few others are possible, but no one knows for sure.....???

There are three scanners that are capable not only of the one- way mode of remote control and automated programming, but also of a "data acquisition" mode where the scanner sends its display (channel, freq, bank, mode, delay, lockout) and other data to the computer for automated logging and data processing. These scanners are the PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006.

Several companies make scanner/computer interfaces, including Optoelectronics and COMMtronics Engineering.

Each interface has its own unique characteristics, advantages, and limitations, but all are "good" in the sense of freeing the operator from much of the labor and drudgery of scanning. The Optoelectronics interfaces are generally limited to the PRO-2005/6 & PRO-2035/2042.

A "roll your own" computer interface was published in the World Scanner Report, V2N1-V2N6. Another more powerful one was published in my 3rd book, THE ULTIMATE SCANNER (Cheek3).

COMMtronics Engineering's CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface is in its fourth year and continues to be a front-runner and state of the art. For more info:

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek/CE232inf.htm

http://204.210.11.126/bcheek/CE232inf.htm

ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek

ftp.204.210.11.126

John Montalbano KA2PYJ, seems to have designed a nice one-way interface and control software. I can't vouch for it, but go to the following URL and see for yourself.

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Way/1522/index.html

==========

That's it for now. I will keep this FAQ updated. If you see things that need to be added to it, by all means, let me know. If this FAQ fails to address your question(s), then hit me with 'em again, but be specific. And be detailed with symptoms and observations if you need tech support on mods or troubleshooting and diagnosis. Use e-mail if you can.

(c) 1997 (All rights reserved) Revised 9/23/97

+----------+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |

```
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+------------------------------------------------------------------+

=========================END OF FILE============================
```

2401. Re: Frequency for San Jose Air One?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Kozin <gene@itron-ca.com>
Message-ID: <609bia$8du$1@gate.itron-ca.com>
Source record: 185251

Ric Mackie wrote in article <3427DC3E.2FCB@hp.com>...

>I get Air One when it's communicating with the different beats, but I
>would like to find the frequency it uses to communicate with the
>airfield and/or the dispatch/control unit.

I suppose Air One uses tower frequencies, why wouldn't it? Now, which tower that might be? Last time I've heard, it landed at Moffett filed in Mountain View.

2402. Union Pacific RR POLICE???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <609gbc$j1h@ecuador.earthlink.net>
Source record: 185252
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.railroad,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_0001_01BCC836.90D37E60

charset="iso-8859-1"

Just driving down the street here in Burbank, CA when I saw a strange =
looking white patrol car (mid size.) Since solid-white police cars are =
rare in Southern California, I was surprised to see the UNION PACIFIC =
logo on the door. While I know that UP merged Southern Pacific into =
their operations, I was surprised to see this vehicle (didn't SP use =
Suburbans?)

Anyone know the frequencies?? =20

Also, there was a Metrolink accident today, couldn't here the location =
but a cab car was damaged....

karl dahlquist

karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com

------=_NextPart_000_0001_01BCC836.90D37E60

charset="iso-8859-1"

Just driving down =
the street here=20
in Burbank, CA when I saw a strange looking white patrol car (mid =
size.) =20
Since solid-white police cars are rare in Southern California, I was =
surprised=20
to see the UNION PACIFIC logo on the door. While I know that UP =
merged=20
Southern Pacific into their operations, I was surprised to see this =
vehicle=20
(didn't SP use Suburbans?)

Anyone know the=20
frequencies??

Also, there was a =
Metrolink=20
accident today, couldn't here the location but a cab car was=20
damaged....
```

karl dahlquist

karldahlquist@vistagroupu=
sa.com

------=_NextPart_000_0001_01BCC836.90D37E60--

2403. FS Realistic Pro-2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gary J. Wilt Jr." <stormwarn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <342851E6.A3AA21DC@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185253

For Sale,

Realistic PRO-2037 triple conversion, 200 channel scanner,
like new condition. Selling for a friend of mine who says
he really doesn't use it anymore. 1 yr old, paid $299, asking
$175. Unit is in mint condition, with manual, sorry no box.

2404. Re: WTB or trade: Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970923022800.WAA22131@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185280

In article <604rv4$osm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>,
dan.morgan@worldnet.att.net (Dan Morgan) writes:

>
>I am looking to replace some software I just lost.
>
>I am looking for any scanner software for the pro-2035/2042 and OS 535
>combination.
>
>I would prefer Probe 2 or 3 but I will consider offers of any sort.
>
>Please replay by Email with what you have and your price.
>
>I will consider trades. I have both hardware and software to trade.

Must have been a stolen copy you lost. I'm sure Perry at Probe would
have made you some kind of deal if it had been legit.

H Higgins

2405. Re: LOCAL POLICE SEIZING RADIOS, Consumer Equipment at Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970923022800.WAA16580@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185281

In article <970920200023284@thekat.cts.com>, bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com
(Bud Jamison) writes:

>>
>-> POLICE WILL SEIZE YOUR RADIOS
>-> Recently proposed USA legislation would permit local authorities to
>-> march into your home and seize your radios.
>
>Bullshit. They'd still need a warrant. The Constitution has NOT been
>suspended.
>
>Not to mention it's JUST 'pending', and has already been taken out of
>committtee to be changed.

Not if they are found during some other action, and they have been proclaimed
"contraband". Then they will just be taken, no order, no recourse.

2406. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970923020800.WAA15077@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185282

```
>Subject: Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill
>Filters!
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: Mon, 22 Sep 1997 18:43:34 -0700
>Message-id: <34271EC6.68AA@usa.net>
>
>You really are the group FOOL, aren't you. Look at the headers,
>specifically the "path" & "NNTP posting host" & it OBVIOUSLY
>could NOT be from the system I am on. He is on AOL, which is
>very unique & not at all like my system.
>
>But then you are probably TO DAMM STUPID to understand as you
>still argue that Bill Cheek is not forging under the name
>Billy Thunder even though everyone else knows better.
>
>

Gee Mackey, sure got a little testy there. I was in fact sticking up for
you....proclaiming you couldn't have done that.
And the AOL portion was forged, check it against mine.
The form was different.

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio
```

2407. Re: Stuck on 46.7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdn1@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <342736be.651841@news.alt.net>
Source record: 185283

On Sun, 21 Sep 1997 15:23:06 -0700, Tim <Hynde@flash.net> wrote:

>Chris Poulier wrote:
>>
>> Hi,
>>
>> When I scan the cordless phone freq's my scanner always seems to get
>> stuck on 46.7300 and I can hear just a hissing noise. Does anyone know
>> why?
>
>As many have already mentioned, if it's a 'birdy' or a signal generated
>internally by the radio, there is nothing to be done.
>
>OTOH, you could perform a simple test by connecting your scanner to a
>rotatable antenna, e.g TV antenna and see if the signal changes strength
>at all when you move it. If it does you'll know for sure it's comming
>from somewhere OUTSIDE the radio.
>
>Either way, once you know, what do you do then? :)
>
>Tim

My scanner gets stuck on some of the cordless freq's on occasion also,
I suspect it's a conversation in the distance that's strong enough to
open the squelch but not to deliver much of any audio. I simply push
the "Lockout" button (as I scan the cordless freq's not the whole
band) and then a day or two later remove the lockout and *usually*
whatever was holding the squelch open is gone :-) YMMV

Gary K8IQ

2408. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdn1@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <342838f5.1219090@news.alt.net>
Source record: 185284

On Sun, 21 Sep 1997 22:55:49 GMT, helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:

>Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net> wrote:
>
>>Electro149 wrote:
>>> A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't
>>> give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They cooperate
>>> with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of information as
>>> to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.

Ummmmm then perhaps you could post a list of companies that refuse to
cooperate with authorities when served with a legal document that
demands they open their records for police/Gov'mt agencies? I'm sure
lots of folks are waiting with great anticipation.

>>
>>Nice...the blind trying to lead the blind...
>
>Radio Shack IS a sorry company, no guts!
>Do they stand and fight even for there right to sell radios, hell no,
>they bend like the wind.
>
>Your name and address is NOT there concern!
>
>.
>helmsman@mindspring.com
>http://helmsman.home.mindspring.com/
>Amateur Radio,Hubble Telescope,Web Cams

Well do you have documentation that the other scanner companies have
*stood and fought for their rights to sell radios" or was this a good
opportunity for you to vent your spleen against Radio Shack? As far as
giving your name and address, I do when I make a purchase so that I
get their sales flyers in the mail.

Reminds me of the old Buffalo Springfield song "Paranoia strikes deep,
into your heart it will creep ...."

Not connected with Radio Shack in *ANY* way other than being an
occasional customer

Gary

2409. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmccar31@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970923035601.XAA29040@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185285

In article <3426C15B.1FF4@clandjop.com>, Steve Phipps
<sphipps@clandjop.com> writes:

>> Electro149 wrote:
>> > A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal, don't
>> > give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them.
>
>

Lets hope they don't pass but if they do, officials have, in the past,
confiscated
and used the customer lists of hydroponic supply houses to identify and arrest
growers of certain illicit plants.
CM

http://members.aol.com/cmccar31/stand.htm

2410. FS: PRO-2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9mxt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970923041400.AAA00504@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185286

Hello,
I am selling a PERFECT CONDITION PRO-2046
(Mobile 100 channel scanner with 800 MHz and VHF-air band).

Many features to the unit...
Preset VHF Police
Weather
DOT
Highway
800 MHz Police/Fire frequencies

Have original box and manual with the scanner

New $229.99 in stores.. I am asking only $175.00 + shipping.

If interested please let me know... Jeffrey - N9MXT

2411. Re: ONLY SOME -Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: nitro96a@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970923042001.AAA01020@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185287

The street cop is trying to do his duty, however the powers that be are
not concerned with solving crime. If they did, would we need as many
policemen. Would we need to furnish huge budgets and always hear the cry,
"we need more money".
Lawyers (Judges) are making fortunes from crime and will not let the
status quo change. They make the laws and intrepid them to suit themselves.
Justice and law-enforcement in America is a joke.
Think of how much tax-payers money goes to law-enforcement and the court
systems and ask yourself..What are you getting for your money?
(nitro@yours.com)

2412. Re: LOCAL POLICE SEIZING RADIOS, Consumer Equipment at Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: lgus@hub.ofthe.net
Message-ID: <607d88ikg2@usenet76.supernews.com>
Source record: 185288

hh357@aol.com (HH357) wrote:

>In article <970920200023284@thekat.cts.com>, bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com
>(Bud Jamison) writes:

>>-> Recently proposed USA legislation would permit local authorities to
>>-> march into your home and seize your radios.
>>
>>Bullshit. They'd still need a warrant. The Constitution has NOT been
>>suspended.

>Not if they are found during some other action, and they have been proclaimed
>"contraband". Then they will just be taken, no order, no recourse.

it would take one hell of a cop to do some other action and notice a
scanner and be able to tell that is is not legal. on top of that I am
not sure that the same said cop would not have a scanner in his rig
that is not always legal. (i know of several personally) and there is
always recourse. many times conficated stuffs have been returned to
the owner even though is is not legal. alot depends on the judge in
the area. besides what cop is going to bother with scanners anyway.
why look for a guy that might have a scanner scanning on a freq not
allowed by the fcc when he could be looking for that dude that just
raped and killed the girl down the street and the guy that just sold
dope to his little son. I think and have been told by several cops
that scanners are not a concern that they will deal with.

2413. FCC FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS - fccalloc.txt (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave
Message-ID: <34274c6b.34229513@pop.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185289
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: fccalloc.txt

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: fccalloc.txt]

2414. Re: MDT.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: briang@bgserv.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <874989771snz@bgserv.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 185290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.digital,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.internetrec.radio.info

A pratt who posts binaries. Roast him alive! :-)

Brian

--
briang@bgserv.demon.co.uk
Brian Gaff AKA B G Services - Still supporting Z80
The Spectrum Emulator

2415. Re: MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: briang@bgserv.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <874990167snz@bgserv.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 185291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,alt.radio.digital,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.internet

In article <3425F935.4EDE@tsrcom.com> ka9qjg@tsrcom.com "Don Crozier" writes:

> Hi From Don, KA9QJG Here is a little better copy of the orignal MDT, we
> still need to share info and get it better let me know if it works for
> you ??E-MAIL KA9QJG@TSRCOM.COM We also need to know what the legality of
> monitoring this type of communications??Its not in the CELL portion of
> the band,Its not SCRAMBLED or ENCRYPTED but Clear ASCI TEXT 4800 BAUD
> like Ham Radio pkt
> HAPPY SCANNING TO ALL AND ENJOY

Send files to an ftp or web site and post the address.

A It lasts longer there than here

B It wastes space on servers all over the world

C It causes those with slow modems to spend time getting useless crap.

D it makes others think they can do it, which is NOT good!

E It can get groups dropped by sites who do not take binary
groups.

Brian

--
briang@bgserv.demon.co.uk
Brian Gaff AKA B G Services - Still supporting Z80
The Spectrum Emulator

2416. Re: FS: Sony ICF Pro-80 SW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: see_sig@message_bottom.com
Message-ID: <342959b2.3254792@news.blarg.net>
Source record: 185292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap

I've never heard of this model... how old is it, and how highly rated?

On Sat, 20 Sep 1997 12:25:33 -0500, Tom Kirksey
<tkirksey@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>I have a Sony ICF Pro-80 Shortwave for sale.
>All Mode: AM (narrow & wide) - FM (narrow & wide) - SSB - CW
>Coverage: 150 Khz - 108 Mhz Continuous
>
>$200 or best offer
>
>Tom
>KD4FTQ
>tkirksey@bellsouth.net

--
You can Email me at "future at blarg dot net."
Check out my web page at http://www.blarg.net/~future/index.html .

2417. Re: Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Excalibur1@mailexcite.com
Message-ID: <3421eb67.2546213@news.ott.net>
Source record: 185293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 09:37:42 -0400, LA <artz@ll.mit.edu> posted
something like this:

><snippet>
>The FCC will soon establish the Federal Radio Police, in which their
>sole job is to drive up and down the streets of America, with a van full
>of very sensitive receiving equipment, and a dipole outside sniffing
>around for people illegally tuned in to the band (by listening to the LO
>spurs coming from every receiver. The same technology that the
>Television Police catch illegal television sets in Europe.

Federal Radio Police?????
Isn't big brother getting out of hand? Next it will be armed guards in
the town square and in your nieghborhood looking for trouble. Before
long we'll be prisoners in our own homes.........

Excalibur1

2418. NEED UPDATED CORDLESS FREQS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Cassidy <tacan@carroll.com>
Message-ID: <EGxr3K.HAJ@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 185294

does anyone have the most up to date cordless phone freqs. to include the
43 mhz range. thanks Tom

2419. Re: ATTENTION ALL - part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jrshattuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970923030900.XAA25334@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185295

>> So far, all I've seen on these threads is your sniping with three or four
>> other posters about how you are trying to keep this newsgroup clean by
>> using abusive language to tell them they are idiots and don't know
>anything.
>
>Why don't you tell this to those who are causeing the problems???
>
>
>

I thought I did.
>> So, why don't you STOP the irrelevant nonsense postings, post information
>> concerning scanning and/or scanners to this newsgroups, and take the
>> abusive E-mails and/or newsgroup postings back to the posters ISP?
>
>funny, I often post articles relevant to scanning & radio-electronics
>issues on this NG, you must not follow this NG very well.

So far, in all of the articles I've read on this newsgroup, I have only seen your name on these gripe sessions. Based on that, I can only conclude that the articles you write are either removed before I can read them, or of some subject not interesting.

Thus said, I will now stop replying to this thread. Suggest you do the same.

2420. A comment about our ever shrinking scanner freedoms....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2309970301500001@pm51-41.magicnet.net>
Source record: 185296

I sure hope that not too many more restrictions on what we can listen to go into effect, otherwise I will have to file off the word "liberty" off of all the coins in my pocket. That will be alot of work.

2421. Bearcat 230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: r&c <soldier@llv.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc7e3$ff9527c0$96a4fecd@rickcamr>
Source record: 185297

Does anybody know if the 230xlt will pick up trunked frequencies. like 808.6625 & 853.6625. I have one on order and I heard things about a trunktracker and I was wondering what that meant. I believe the trunktracker is the 235xlt. besides the number of channels what is the difference between the two?

2422. Re: FS: Sony ICF Pro-80 SW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2309970314040001@pm51-41.magicnet.net>
Source record: 185298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap

In article <3424070D.6F7B@bellsouth.net>, tkirksey@bellsouth.net wrote:

> I have a Sony ICF Pro-80 Shortwave for sale.
> All Mode: AM (narrow & wide) - FM (narrow & wide) - SSB - CW
> Coverage: 150 Khz - 108 Mhz Continuous
>
> $200 or best offer
>
> Tom
> KD4FTQ
> tkirksey@bellsouth.net

Just curious, how many people have had cop trouble with that radio, since it loks so much like a traditional scanner, that can pick up police frequencies. It can not, which can be verified on the back of the radio, the frequencies covered are listed there, but a cop could easily give one a hard time anyway.

2423. St. Mary's County, MD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeffery R. Smith" <jrsmith@eaglenet.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc7b3$15eda320$341843ce@JefferyR.Smith>
Source record: 185299
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for people living in St. Mary's Co., Md. who would be
interested in joining myself and several others to identify and confirm
frequencies in the county and also at NAWCAD Patuxent River.
We already have about 1,000 frequencies and we are working to confirm
these and then look at trying to Identify new frequencies. We have a
database already and I will do all the work associated with updating it but
we need other scanner users in the area to help identify frequencies
through an organized effort.
Anyone interested can E-mail me at jrsmith@eaglenet.com. If we can come
up with enough people, we may look into starting a club and putting up a
website in time.
Looking forward to hearing from you
--
Jeffery R Smith

2424. Re: The Same Old Bozos, Spewing the Same Old Garbage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: FRG9600 <frg9600@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc7bd$a417c9a0$53afbacd@mikey>
Source record: 185300

Hey Drew will they let you Power Walk in Kansas ?

MN Maniac <mnmaniac@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970922235201.TAA09539@ladder02.news.aol.com>...
> *yawn*
>
> I leave this newsgroup for a few weeks so that I might move myself and my
> belongings across the country to embark on a fresh opportunity and begin
> employment with a new company. Upon signing on here and checking
messages
> for the first time in 20 days, I soon notice that the newsgroup is as
> polluted as always. The same tired old voices, preaching the same old
> nonsense...hate, discontent, named-calling, false accusations, etc. What
> an amazing waste of bandwidth. Someone wake me up if and when anything
> intelligent or relevant is ever posted here.
>
> (going back to sleep now)
>
> -Drew in Windy Western Kansas-
> Coming soon...VERY soon...to a radio station near you ;-)
>

2425. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970923022800.WAA22128@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185301

In article <3425588C.34A0@msg.ti.com>, Carl Porter <C-Porter3@msg.ti.com>
writes:

>>
>> >These "computers" in the police cruisers are called MDTs. You can't
>> >listen to them with an amateur radio TNC and an AX.25 packet app.
>> >
>
>H Higgins wrote:
>>
>> But you can listen to them, at least if they are motorola MDT's. Search
>> on MDTstuff.
>> H Higgins
>
>
> Not all the computers in police cars are MDTs. The Richardson Police
>force
>(TX) has just put a bunch of lap tops in theirs. I don't know the method
>of
>communication butthe news article showed them remotely accessing dept
>data files.
>
>Carl
>
This could easily be an ARDIS network, attached to a PC.

IBM Customer Engineers have, in the last year or two, had their old CE
handheld Terminals replaced with IBM computers attached to an Ardis Radio
and an ARDIS network. Their software switches frequencies, thru a list,
to find a working one. It uses 900MHz freqs., if I remember correctly.
Some of their old repair divisions, which have been apparently spun off,
are still using the old terminals.

It doesn't appear to be the same MDC4800 protocol however, and sounds much
faster.

For more info, try www.ardis.com

H Higgins

2426. Re: TE KOOP AOR3000A / F.S. AOR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mervin <d.van.wamelen@a1.nl>
Message-ID: <01bcc801$7b43e1a0$80c497c2@vwamelen.a1.nl>
Source record: 185302
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

heb wel interesse, wat kan zo'n ding allemaal?
heb zelf een 25/1300 mhz scanner mailto: mwillemsz@freemail.nl

boxers@free.com schreef in artikel <34222128.7707990@news.euro.net>...
>
> Te koop: AOR3000A scanner, ivm aanschaf AOR5000.
> 16 maanden gebruikt. In perfecte staat.
>

2427. Re: Yupiteru Web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clough@javiaton.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <875006442snz@javiaton.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 185303

In article <603rsl$9bh$1@gte1.gte.net> cerenniexxx@gte.net "Clark Rennie" writes:

Hi Clark,

> Does know if Yupiteru has a web site and what is the correct name of the
> company that manufactures the Ypiteru line of radios.

Yupiteru is the correct name for the company.

Yupiteru Industries Co Ltd,
12-33 Shibaura,
4-Chome,
Minato-Ku,
Tokyo 108,
Japan.

I have yet to see any reference to an e-mail address for them or web page.

They also produce a wide range of domestic appliances (TV, VCR, telephones
etc). I'm sure at one time a while back I was told they operated the larget
telephone production plant in China. If I wasn't I've dreamt that !

--
Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, UK	AOR AR5000/7000/7030/8000, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Realistic PRO-26/2042, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627	Uniden UBC-3000XLT/UBC-9000XLT, Icom IC-R10
Info@javiaton.demon.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
PGP Key available on request \ http://www.demon.co.uk/javiation/
\--/

2428. Re: Going price for HTX-202 & Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Phipps <sphipps@clandjop.com>
Message-ID: <3429643F.4138@clandjop.com>
Source record: 185004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Larsons wrote:
>
> I have a Realistic HTX-202 2m handheld and a Pro-43 scanner that I am
> considering selling. Can anybody tell me what fair prices would be for
> these?

The PRO-43 is worth about $200. The HTX-202 is worth about $125.

SP

2429. Re: police scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Painter <stevepaint@clearwater.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc90c$6b01c580$3db16bce@steve_paint>
Source record: 185005

The garbled or low sounding speech can be decoded with the Ramsey
scrambler/descrambler,
it will even descramble some phone calls. Your can find ramsey ads in any
ham radio mag.
the kit is cheap.....about $40 if I remember correctly...

asi@escape.ca wrote in article <3428CF8B.D17@escape.ca>...
> D Stark wrote:
> >
> > In article <tailwind-2109971959050001@dyn17.tc1.riverhead.i-2000.net>,
tailwind@i-2000.com wrote:
> > >In article <603mmj$1nle$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>, nf2g@ggw.org
(D
> > >Stark) wrote:
> > >
> > >> In article <3420D8F4.3323@telusplanet.net>, cogo@telusplanet.net
wrote:
> > >> >I'm new to scanning and have a question. When police go coded in my
town,
> > >> >their signal changes so that all that you hear is strong static.
What
> > >> >type of scrambling is that and is it possible to decode it?
> > >>
> > >> It's digital, it is not possible to decode with consumer-grade
tools,
> > >> and it is a violation of Federal law to even try.
> > >
> > >What about the scramble when it sounds garbled or slow sounding??
> >
> > That sounds like speech inversion scrambling. That can be descrambled
> > with available (not legally, but they were widely available before the
> > scrambler ban) consumer-grade equipment. In fact, it is possible to
> > build your own circuit. However, it is still a violation of U.S.
> > Federal law to unscramble any encrypted radio signal, regardless of
> > the type of encryption used.
>
> D Stark wrote:
> >
> > In article <tailwind-2109971959050001@dyn17.tc1.riverhead.i-2000.net>,
tailwind@i-2000.com wrote:
> > >In article <603mmj$1nle$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>, nf2g@ggw.org
(D
> > >Stark) wrote:
> > >

> > >> In article <3420D8F4.3323@telusplanet.net>, cogo@telusplanet.net
wrote:
> > >> >I'm new to scanning and have a question. When police go coded in my
town,
> > >> >their signal changes so that all that you hear is strong static.
What
> > >> >type of scrambling is that and is it possible to decode it?
> > >>
> > >> It's digital, it is not possible to decode with consumer-grade
tools,
> > >> and it is a violation of Federal law to even try.
> > >
> > >What about the scramble when it sounds garbled or slow sounding??
> >
> > That sounds like speech inversion scrambling. That can be descrambled
> > with available (not legally, but they were widely available before the
> > scrambler ban) consumer-grade equipment. In fact, it is possible to
> > build your own circuit. However, it is still a violation of U.S.
> > Federal law to unscramble any encrypted radio signal, regardless of
> > the type of encryption used.
>
> I thought speech inversion sounded like a bad sideband radio?? If its
> static sounds like it may be a analog to digital scramble?? Any
> comments out there?????
>
> Chris.
> Peace from Canada!
>

2430. Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: go@away.now
Message-ID: <34295e26.9151755@news.zippo.com>
Source record: 185006

On Wed, 24 Sep 1997 16:40:34 GMT, SAm@worldnet.att.net (SAm) wrote:

>On Wed, 24 Sep 1997 10:29:46 -0400, CommFreq@webtv.net (Ray Stickney)
>wrote:
>
>>Just in the first half on this group their are 190 posts devoted to the
>>most childish B.S. I have ever seen.I came here to share the hobby with
>>others who were interested in scanning,learn what I can, and share what
>>I could.Can you imagine the amount of information that could have been
>>where these posts are? Now their will probably be threads of finger
>>pointing, and blaming anyone else for starting it, and dragging it out.
>> I recently saw a post here where someone wished a guys kids would die
>>that night.That's way too sick for me.
>> I'm going to go find where the adults talk about scanning.
>> Ray
>
>
>In the 5th line of your bitching, you use the work "their" which is
>incorrect. It should read "Now THERE will probably..."
>
>Ray, baby, if you're (notice I didn't use "your") going to bitch
>effectively, you must do it properly.
>

In the 1st line of your bitching about Ray's bitching, you use the word "work"
which is incorrect. It should read "you use the word "their" which is"

Sam, baby, if you're (notice I didn't use "work") going to bitch
effectively, you must do it properly.

By the way, this is shitty grammar.

2431. Icom PCR 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: edgo@nor.com.au
Message-ID: <3429970c.3586691@nntp.nor.com.au>
Source record: 185007

The new Icom PC operated receiver is now available here in Australia.
It is about 50% dearer than WinRadio (which I have). If it is 50%
better in the receive area, I would consider buying the Icom.
While the WR has excellent software and is great fun to play with, I
am just a little disappointed with receive quality....not bad but not
terrific compared to my other scanners (including handhelds)
I have done the mandatory Dejanews search and have only found one
opinion....not particularly favorably.
Any other experiences out there ?
Brian

2432. Re: Going price for HTX-202 & Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanmore@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60c568rqr1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185008
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
--WebTV-Mail-1459544170-6783

DID O

--WebTV-Mail-1459544170-6783
Content-Description: signature

Scanmore's Page

--WebTV-Mail-1459544170-6783--
```

2433. Re: A comment about our ever shrinking scanner freedoms....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924235301.TAA28850@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185009

>I sure hope that not too many more restrictions on what we can listen to
>go into effect, otherwise I will have to file off the word "liberty" off
>of all the coins in my pocket. That will be alot of work.
>
>
>

Oh yeah that's real smart. Then you'll be arrested for scanners AND
defacing government property.
/\/\A/\/
http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm

2434. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <60c268$1cnc$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 185010

In article <3427352A.3C8B@pacifier.com>, nbruark@pacifier.com wrote:

>> Duh. A computer used in a Mobile unit, acting as a Terminal which has
>> to connect back to a central computer via radio Data network is a
>> Mobile Data Terminal or MDT.
>
>Duh. Duh. The method of communication is via rf (aka radio).....

I wasn't speaking of the Transport Layer, I was referring to the
Protocol Layer. (If you don't know anything about the layers of a
communications network, you shouldn't be arguing the point.)

2435. Re: H.R. 2369 Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanmore@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60c7lh$s1f$1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185011
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
--WebTV-Mail-871852893-5213

Worked for me.

--WebTV-Mail-871852893-5213
Content-Description: signature

Scanmore's Page

--WebTV-Mail-871852893-5213--
```

2436. Re: Marine VHF - in a car???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924235801.TAA29253@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185012

I'm not trying to be rude but just out of curiosity hi=ow are you so sure they were in cars?
/\/\A/\/
http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm

2437. Re: Radio Shack scanner warrenty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Able Tucker <schmerzlos@atrocketmail.dotcom>
Message-ID: <3429B325.2358@atrocketmail.dotcom>
Source record: 185013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A few years ago I bought my first scanner, a Pro-23.
This only has 50 channels and didn't scan quickly, but what really bothered me was its tendendcy to hear other frequencies at the ones I had programmed. I only realized this after about a year of sporadic scanner and I don't know enough to understand the nature of the problem. I figure it might have something to do with having a cheap, lousy scanner.

Anyway, recently (after much abuse) it's having a new problem: frequencies that were clear seem much dirtier and the sound in general seems bad. Again, I don't know enough to understand the nature of this.

When I bought the scanner, I got the extended warrenty, which hasn't expired yet. But I noticed that RS no longer carries the Pro-23, in the stores or catalogue. My previous experience with RS stuff under warrenty is that they will simply take the broken product and hand you a new one. I asked a RS clerk about the scanner and he assured me that they "could still service it" also implying that I may have to bring it in/send it off myself and wait several weeks, which I don't want to do.

Anyway, do any of you RS lovers/haters/former employees have any advice? Is is possible to get the thing serviced without a huge wait? Is it possible that RS would simply replace it with a newer model. (Is it possible that the new model would perform better?)

AT

2438. Re: Icom PCR 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffl@dynasty.net
Message-ID: <60c72d$lro@snews2.zippo.com>
Source record: 185014

In article <3429970c.3586691@nntp.nor.com.au>, edgo@nor.com.au (brian w edginton) wrote:
>The new Icom PC operated receiver is now available here in Australia.
>It is about 50% dearer than WinRadio (which I have). If it is 50%
>better in the receive area, I would consider buying the Icom.
>While the WR has excellent software and is great fun to play with, I
>am just a little disappointed with receive quality....not bad but not
>terrific compared to my other scanners (including handhelds)
>I have done the mandatory Dejanews search and have only found one
>opinion....not particularly favorably.
>Any other experiences out there ?
>Brian

Also the ICOM has been FCC approved now.

Us price will be about 520.00

THE HAM STATION

2439. Re: Hurricane watch area for Arizona (update)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342998D0.3041@juno.com>
Source record: 185015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Phil Wheeler wrote:
> Joe_____FITR wrote:
> >
> > Is this guy for real? Oh...this is a radio newsgroup. Sorry. (duh)
> > Eric...go hide under your ham-shack table. This is a pretty whimpy
> > tropical storm.
> >
>
> Personally (living in the general area), I think it's a service. I will
> install those lightning arresters on the two new antennas ASAP (so it
> does have some amateur radio significance re equipment).
>
> Phil W7OX
Phil,
I agree. It is a good point and a valuable service.
I too have valuable radio and computer equipment to protect. Also been tracking this storm and it looks like it will prove to be a little more powerful than expected...

as for the other guy telling someone to go hide under their ham-shack table.... I think it was in poor taste. He did some of us a serives and Joe did a lot of us a DISSERVICE.

2440. Re: Does A Discone Need To Be Grounded?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: johng@comm.mot.com
Message-ID: <johng-ya02408000R2409971743250001@news.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 185016

If lightning strikes your antenna or nearby, you'll wish that it was grounded and that you had a lightning arrester in your feedline...

--
John Gilbert
johng@comm.mot.com

2441. FS Bearcat BC200XLT Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul.a.papavero@boeing.com
Message-ID: <3429710B.6C74@boeing.com>
Source record: 185017

For Sale Bearcat handheld scanner 200XLT, 200 channel, programmable,
covers up to over 900 MHz. with battery, antenna, case and charger in
exc concition. $160.00 or make offer.
email John at:

n6ax@juno.com

2442. Re: Scanner computer programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: johng@comm.mot.com
Message-ID: <johng-ya02408000R2409971725080001@news.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 185018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <342862BD.169C9D93@cts.com>, Bob <bst@cts.com> wrote:
> Hi.
>
> I was wondering if I could do the following: I have a BC895XLT and would
> like to build my own interface card and write my own software for
> controlling the scanner. I imagine that the card is a simple item to
> make and I already program (for a hobby).

Your BC895 already includes a serial interface that can be used to control the scanner. Maybe someday Uniden will publish the pinout and protocol spec...

Send email to HSmith@uniden.com (Heather Smith) and ask her when it will be ready. So far, my success in getting this info from Ms. Smith has been zero.

--
John Gilbert
johng@comm.mot.com

2443. Help installing mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrewg@pitnet.net
Message-ID: <34298A0C.4D87@pitnet.net>
Source record: 185019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,,alt.radio.scanner

I am thinking of installing a mobile scanner in my 1994 Chevy S-10 Blazer. How difficult is this? What is the best mobile scanner? I will be listening to VHF, UHF, and 800. What is the best way to connect the power to it? Any tips or suggestions will be greatly appreciated!

Thanks alot!

Andrew

2444. Sony

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Irwin J. Opper" <iopper@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <34299996.93E22BB8@monmouth.com>
Source record: 185020

I have a Sony ICF-PRO 80. Can any one tell me where I can get it repaired?

2445. For Sale: Pristine Radio Shack PRO-2006 Programmable Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Clarence L. Thomas IV" <clt4@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <342949CD.D6E1CB29@compuserve.com>
Source record: 185021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The Radio Shack PRO-2006 Programmable Scanner was purchased new,
never loaned out, rarely used, its internals have not been
modified,
and is fully functional. It comes with the original
unbent/unbroken antenna and the original owner's manual. Asking
US $300-350.
Shipping/selling only to an address/individual within the United
States of America.

Contact me at 'clt4@compuserve.com' if you are seriously
interested in purchasing it.

Clarence L. Thomas IV
E-mail: clt4@compuserve.com
WWW: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/clt4

2446. Uniden UBC9000XLT circuit diagram

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter <tambo@winshop.com.au>
Message-ID: <01bcc93c$5cd7d280$a37f16cb@default>
Source record: 185022

If someone has got a circuit diagram for this scanner, could you please
send me an
Email? I like to attach a signal strength meter, but cannot get a diagram
in Australia.
Will pay for expenses.Peter tambo@winshop.com.au

2447. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Schmidt <Bryan442@cris.com>
Message-ID: <3429EEF3.5EE6231B@cris.com>
Source record: 185023
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> jamoran@mail.goodnet.com (John Moran) wrote:
>
> :
> :DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
> :patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy
>
> I'll just use my GSM digital mobile phone.
>
> Barry
>
> ====================================
> To reply via email please remove the XX from my
> return address.

It's a lot easier to listen in on land line conversations with a
butt-set or just a regular telephone than to use a scanner for cellular
or cordless just open up that neat little green can along side the road
all it takes is a socket and rachet or needle nose pliers

2448. Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3429E65B.6870@usa.net>
Source record: 185024

It is kept alive by people like YOU bringing up the issue LIKE YOU HAVE DONE
& giving those FOOLS the attention they seem to want so they can think they are causing the problems they seem to be trying to cause.

Think about it.

Ray Stickney wrote:
> Just in the first half on this group their are 190 posts devoted to the
> most childish B.S. I have ever seen.I came here to share the hobby with

2449. Matt Walker was:Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3429EAD8.1BA8@usa.net>
Source record: 185025

I wonder hao many people have grown TIRED of Matt Walker's
constant bitching & have made a kill file for HIM or hit"K"
for his messages as he recommends??

Matt Walker wrote:>
> Netscape Communicator has this handy feature to solve these problems.
> Pressing K will mark the entire message thread to be ignored next time
> you read your messages. I have even seen the 20 articles, probbly

2450. Balloon Fiesta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Sherry <73637.2641@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <uamZmcRy8GA.313@nih2naac.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 185026

Planning to be in Albuquerque, New Mexico, next month to catch the end of the annual Balloon Fiesta. Can anyone share frequency information for this event?

By the way, if you haven't been, it's well worth the trip.

Thanks,

Don Sherry

2451. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34299EE9.6CB5@usa.net>
Source record: 185027

Because AOL is not an ISP & requires special software to access
their system. Any messages coming FROM AOL are clearly marked
as such in the headers.

In other words, they are "one of a kind".

Barry O'Grady wrote:
> How can something be very unique when unique means one of?

2452. some cops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwalker761@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924213901.RAA23852@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185028

What do you call a YORK REGIONAL COP in a three peice
suit.----------

--------THE DEFENDANT
!----------

----------There have
been more and more cops getting into trouble in Canada these days.Even the
chief has been suspended and is under
investigation.----------
----------WHO CAN YOU TRUST?

2453. Re: MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "CA11739@aol.com" <CA11739@iname.com>
Message-ID: <34296DD8.6CE6@iname.com>
Source record: 185029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,alt.radio.digital,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.internet

Brian Gaff wrote:
>
> In article <3425F935.4EDE@tsrcom.com> ka9qjg@tsrcom.com "Don Crozier" writes:
>
> >
> > Hi From Don, KA9QJG Here is a little better copy of the orignal MDT, we
> > still need to share info and get it better let me know if it works for
> > you ??E-MAIL KA9QJG@TSRCOM.COM We also need to know what the legality of
> > monitoring this type of communications??Its not in the CELL portion of
> > the band,Its not SCRAMBLED or ENCRYPTED but Clear ASCI TEXT 4800 BAUD
> > like Ham Radio pkt
> > HAPPY SCANNING TO ALL AND ENJOY
> >
>
> Send files to an ftp or web site and post the address.
>
> A It lasts longer there than here
>
> B It wastes space on servers all over the world
>
> C It causes those with slow modems to spend time getting useless crap.
>
> D it makes others think they can do it, which is NOT good!
>
> E It can get groups dropped by sites who do not take binary
> groups.
>
> Brian
>
> --
> briang@bgserv.demon.co.uk
> Brian Gaff AKA B G Services - Still supporting Z80
> The Spectrum Emulator

CA11739@aol.com

2454. Re: Matt Walker was:Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3429FE74.7824@cts.com>
Source record: 185030

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> I wonder hao many people have grown TIRED of Matt Walker's
> constant bitching & have made a kill file for HIM or hit"K"
> for his messages as he recommends??
>
Killed his butt a long time ago. :-)

2455. Re: some cops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3429A465.D67DED95@mail.com>
Source record: 185031

CWalker761 wrote:

> What do you call a YORK REGIONAL COP in a three peice
> suit. --------THE DeFENDANT!

What do you call a burnt Barbie-Doll?Bar-B-Que

Ha Ha Ha Ha Hah (My favorite joke :)--- more to come soon--- so keep on readin'

Disclamer:
I don't advocate burning Barbie-Dolls. This was meant to be a funny joke... For
entertainment purposes only. All trademarks and copyrights referred to are by their respective companys/individuals. I am not responsible for anything resulting from
this post.

2456. Re: Hurricane watch area for Arizona (update)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3429F203.580F@juno.com>
Source record: 185032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Joe_____FITR wrote:
> Is this guy for real? Oh...this is a radio newsgroup. Sorry. (duh)
> Eric...go hide under your ham-shack table. This is a pretty whimpy
> tropical storm.
>
> Try a super typhoon or two...now THAT's excitement.
>
Ever been in a catagory % hurricane?
If not, you have no idea what wind is.

2457. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Davie <david084@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3429C74A.6D9F@flash.net>
Source record: 185033
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

joe d wrote:
>
> Joe Farris wrote:
> >
> > > Thankyou for taking the time to post this vital information. Many
> > Americans
> > > know about the NWO, but it seems that none of us are trying to do
> > anything to
> > > stop it from becoming reality!
> > >
> > > Will it remain America, or will it become Amerika? It is up to you!
>
> The U.S.ux of Asses has bee going down hill ever sense th republiKns
> got in office in the 1950's with Eizenhozer, the cuntry took a fatal
> turn with Kixon. Th crook of all crooked demons. Th UsAss took a
> death plunge when Nancz Ranton and Bigbra BUSH came into power with
> their puppet show in the 80's. With their mock battles with drugs,
> some Islanders, taking into protective custody a Panamanian Diktator,
> invasion of Afriken, and desert cuntriez. Th broken agreements with th
> great people of Ruskia. Now the Congrease and Senilete are in kontrol
> by these traders that put the puppets in power. The only thing that
> keeps these ratz from taking us all down is the VETOs of THE (great) MAN
> PRESEDENT BILL CLIT-ON. And His able sucessor ALFONSE the GORE'R.
> Continued request to Hillery and Tipper for some of their used pantyhose
> (unwashed of course) have gone without response. Showing their
> dedekation to the running of the Vhitehose and helping keep Amerika
> free with their SOCIAL vork. Two first LADIES who know their places.
> At least here in TEXAS ve are vorking on getting off the sinking,
> stinking ship of the Union of neonazi Republikins of Americka.
> LETS GET TEXAS OUTA THE u.s AND THE u.s. OUTA TEXAS-FREE OUR REPUBLIC.
> joe

Yes Joe, what we need is more prohomosexual, pro-gun control, pro-big
big huge government democrats to lead us the the commie utopia promised
by Karl Marx. All it will cost is our freedoms!

2458. Re: What is "CTCSS"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3429A02C.3015@usa.net>
Source record: 185034

CTCSS is a tone coded squelch system, commonly used in commercial radio systems. It uses a tone between 67 Hz & 250 Hz continously sent when the transmitter is keyed. The receiving station keeps the receiver squelched until it hears THAT tone. This allows several users to share one channel without disrputing the others.

2459. MN state patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Schmidt <Bryan442@cris.com>
Message-ID: <3429F34B.BB8B6E02@cris.com>
Source record: 185035

I am trying to find a frequency for MN state patrol it is a multi-agency channel that is used heavily for police chases around minneapolis-St.Paul area
I've heard it called mnseth or mnsep or something along those lines if you know the frequecy for this channel could you please post

Thanks
Bryan442@concentric.net

2460. Comtel 312 Manual Wanted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob.Vissersdijk@tacotel.iwg.nl
Message-ID: <601_9709251300@iwg.nl>
Source record: 185036

Hello All!

Wanted,manual (or Copy) of the Comtel 312 Handheld scanner.

Any help will be appriciated.

Tnx,

Hartelijke Groeten
Rob@TacoTel.Iwg.Nl

2461. Re: Going price for HTX-202 & Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larson <dlarson@virtualmt.com>
Message-ID: <60coce$nau1@news.gomontana.com>
Source record: 185037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please reply to dlarson@virtualmt.com if you have a response to this post.
My return address in the last post was listed wrong. Thanks

The Larsons wrote in article <60a2rc$ln81@news.gomontana.com>...

> I have a Realistic HTX-202 2m handheld and a Pro-43 scanner that I am
>considering selling. Can anybody tell me what fair prices would be for
>these?
>
>The HTX-202 is as it came out of the box. The Pro-43 has the cell mod, low
>band coverage mod, upgraded internal speaker and the service manual. Both
>include all accessories that they came with including the manual and box.
>
>Thanks for your advice,
>
>Don Larson
>dlarson@virtualmt.com
>
>
>

2462. WANTED: Cell & Cord/ Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: MG <realty@aros.net>
Message-ID: <3429F51E.5305@aros.net>
Source record: 185038

I have $150.00 in cash to purchase a scanner that monitors *everything*
COD Cash for the right HAND-held. Please reply by email. No blocked
chips, please. higher than 800Mhz to 1000-1300 frequencies.
Thanks

2463. Wanted: Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: MG <realty@aros.net>
Message-ID: <3428B5AD.62D2@aros.net>
Source record: 185039

Must have no blocks. Handheld. Complete. No restrictions.

2464. Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <34297B24.C348807D@mail.com>
Source record: 185040
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I Agree. I just skipped past about 20 articles
talking about some Eric

Netscape Communicator has this handy feature to solve these problems.
Pressing K will
mark the entire message thread to be ignored next time you read your messages.
I have even seen the 20 articles, probbly because they are part of 20-30+
threads that I've marked to ignore. This feature only works on the
thread that is highlighted. Almost to scanning only topics.

Disclamer:

This message is sent with no intentions on starting
something, just merely promoting a feature of this wonderful program.
Any thing that is referred to that is copyrighted or trademarked, is done
so by their respective parties. I am by no means a wonderful speller,
or grammer person. This message may contain misspelled words, misused
words, or bad grammer. So what!!! It's readable, that's all that
matters, as this isn't the bad.spelling.bad.grammer.users

2465. WinTone 2.02 DTMF Decoder is now available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Smerker <rsmerker@steaksandwich.com>
Message-ID: <3429F3CE.5B9FDEFB@steaksandwich.com>
Source record: 185041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio

```
The 2.02 version of the WinTone DTMF Decoder is now
available. It fixes the problem with the constant Error 103
and also make tone decoding twice as accurate. Check
it out at http://www.steaksandwich.com.
```

2466. Re: Customs fee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: noway@due-to.spam
Message-ID: <342a5448.1007100@news.igs.net>
Source record: 185042

On Sat, 20 Sep 1997 21:21:19 GMT, noway@due-to.spam (Bill Gates)
wrote:

>On Fri, 19 Sep 1997 21:14:00 GMT, eaw@pipeline.com (Eric) wrote:
>
>>No customs fees I have recieved five radios from Javiation with out
>>any problems and counting friends who have recieved over ten radios
>>none have been stopped by customs. Takes seven days to recieve your
>>radio from the UK.
>>Eric
>>aellery@aol.com (AEllery) wrote:
>>
>>>I'm considering ordering a radio from a company outside the U.S. For
>>>those of you who have purchased a radio from a firm outside the U.S., what
>>>was the customs fee you paid (in %)?
>>
>>> Allen
>>
>Well, I'm in Canada and ordered a 235xlt from Ace Communications on
>Saturday, September 13, 1997 and as of today I'm still waiting! Will
>let you know about Customs when and if I receive it!
^^

Okay.....followup!

Received my BC235xlt today via Canada Post. They had a C.O.D. from
Canada Customs for $32.00 !!

Note that this was shipped from Indianna to Windsor, Ontario, Canada.
Waited for 11 days and had to pay Customs for this. What a rip-off. In
case you're wondering, it was shipped as "amateur radio equipment",
and today is Wednesday, September 24, 1997. Any questions....ASK!!

End.............

2467. ANYONE IN THE L.A. AREA.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: gumby4200@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924041900.AAA00383@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185054

ANYONE IN THE L.A. AREA...
I'M WILLING TO TRADE FREQ.S WITH SOMEBODY . KIND OF BORED AND NEED
SOMETHING NEW...

WRITE BACK WITH THE MESSAGE BOARD OR EMAIL ME at

gumby4200@aol.com

2468. Re: Yupiteru MVT 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ck279@torfree.net
Message-ID: <EGzuvx.sL.0.sheppard@torfree.net>
Source record: 185055

Clark Rennie (cerenniexxx@gte.net) wrote:
: Renate Warta wrote:
: >
: > This scanner sucks!!!!!! I have one and it is an KUT scanner!

: I am considering purchasing a Yupiteru MVT 9000 and would like the
: details as to why you have a low opinion of this scanner. Maybe I'll
: change my mind.
: Thanks, Clark

The bad thing is it has a 2 second scan/search delay which
cannot be made shorter or cancel ... imagine scanning trunked systems ...
--

Philip Tong
hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA

2469. Re: Scanner computer programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: 70006.101@compuserve.com
Message-ID: <MPG.e9261cc22015a6c989681@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <342862BD.169C9D93@cts.com>, bst@cts.com says...
> Hi.
>
> I was wondering if I could do the following: I have a BC895XLT and would
> like to build my own interface card and write my own software for
> controlling the scanner. I imagine that the card is a simple item to
> make and I already program (for a hobby).
>
> Where can I go to find out this info? A sort of 'How to' guide is what
> I'm looking for.
>
> Thanks, in advance.
>

The serial protocol and connector pinout is sceduled to be released by
ScannerMaster in about a week or so.

Greg Knox

2470. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: skj9 <skj9@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc86e$c99b7ee0$c0f52599@default>
Source record: 185057
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_01BCC834.1D3CA6E0

There is no need to modify the Pro-26 when you can buy it new with cell
unblocked. .
```

Andr&#65533; wrote in article <34280F5E.51F@microtec.net>...
> H.Y. Tong wrote:
> >
> > Thanks for all the people giving me various advice on this subject.
> > I strongly believe their opinion will be useful to others pursuing in
> > this area. Here are the conclusion I have so far:
> > 1. RS Pro-26 is basically 25MHz - 1.3GHz LESS the cell. band
> > 2. RS Pro-26 is an excellent scanner, comparable with BC-3000XLT from
> > uniden. Comparision resport is published in a magazine (Monitoring
Times)
> > and can be reprinted for $3. (See "FAQ - Scanner Radio Review
Briefs" posted
> > by Bob Parnass, AJ9S on 15 Sep 1997)
> > 3. It is advisable to buy a scanner from a reputable scanner/ham radio
> > store than from RS. They have more knowledgeable staff, more
> > variety to choose. Sometimes, they can have lower prices.
> > (Check your local yellow pages, and, of course, do a internet search
> > on keywords like "amateur radio retailer")
> > 4. Someone advice me bad crystal is common in some models.
> > --
> >

--
> > Philip Tong
> > hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net
ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
> > Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
> Can we modified the pro 26 ? Tank-you for the answer Andr&#65533;
>
------=_NextPart_000_01BCC834.1D3CA6E0

```
There is no need to modify the Pro-26 =
when you can buy it new with cell unblocked. =
<http://www.osha.igs.net/~durhamradio/pro26.htm>.

An=
dr=E9 <belier@microtec.net> wrote in article <34280F5E.51F@microtec.net>...
```

> H.Y. Tong wrote:
> >
> =
> Thanks for all the people giving me various advice on this =
subject.
> > I strongly believe their opinion will be useful to =
others pursuing in
> > this area. Here are the conclusion I =
have so far:
> > 1. RS Pro-26 is basically 25MHz - 1.3GHz LESS =
the cell. band
> > 2. RS Pro-26 is an excellent scanner, =
comparable with BC-3000XLT from
> > uniden. =
Comparision resport is published in a magazine (Monitoring =
Times)
> > and can be reprinted for $3. (See =
"FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs" posted
> > =
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S on 15 Sep 1997)
> > 3. =
It is advisable to buy a scanner from a reputable scanner/ham =
radio
> > store than from RS. They have more =
knowledgeable staff, more
> > variety to =
choose. Sometimes, they can have lower prices.
> > =
(Check your local yellow pages, and, of course, do a =
internet search
> > on keywords like =
"amateur radio retailer")
> > 4. Someone advice me =
bad crystal is common in some models.
> > --
> > =

> > Philip Tong
> > hytong@iname.com =
ck279@torfree.net =
ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
> > Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
> =
Can we modified the pro 26 ? Tank-you for the answer =
Andr=E9
>

------=_NextPart_000_01BCC834.1D3CA6E0--

2471. Our NSA at work - wehearu1.gif

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rush@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <60a7ua$9di@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185058
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: wehearu1.gif

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: wehearu1.gif]

2472. Is Motorola's RD-LAP (Ardis) mobile data protocol encrypted?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkiner@best.com
Message-ID: <3428a431.3906932@nntp.best.com>
Source record: 185059

I see from MDTSTUFF how the Motorola MDC-4800 protocol is clear text
with error correction and redundancy bits in the mix...

Does anyone know how the "newer" Motorola mobile data protocol
(RD-LAP, used in the commerical "Ardis" wireless data service) works?
Is it encrypted in any way?

Doug Kiner dkiner@best.com
"It seemed like a good idea at the time"

2473. Re: Uniden SC150 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: iLUVpix_NOT_SPAM@super.zippo.com
Message-ID: <60a5bu$mso@snews2.zippo.com>
Source record: 185060

In article <342813A4.3403@ameritech.net>, cdnospam@ameritech.net wrote:
>I haven't taken mine apart yet, but the outer sticker gives a code for
>the date as OBIF.

Thanks to a generous poster, I now know yours was made in 1996 (OBIF), so unless it's been modified (and most folks seem to think a scanner made in 1996 can't be easily modified), you're not getting full 800Mhz

==========
EDIT E-MAIL ADDRESS FOR LEGIT MAIL...NO SPAM!!!!
==========

2474. H.R.2369 Newsflash!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David A. Skerritt" <skerdavi@neonramp.com>
Message-ID: <3428A804.1A96@neonramp.com>
Source record: 185061

Rep. Tauzin has agreed to "fix bugs" in H.R. 2369. Read the details on PopComms' Web
Site.

http://www.popcomm.com/hr2369newsflash.htm

Dave Skerritt

2475. PD204 Pager decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: asi@escape.ca
Message-ID: <3428CFF9.88A@escape.ca>
Source record: 185062

Is this the best program out for decoding pagers right now and if so does the original programmer have a web page still?? Please send any info via this email!

Thanks!
Chris in Canada.

2476. Re: police scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: asi@escape.ca
Message-ID: <3428CF8B.D17@escape.ca>
Source record: 185063

D Stark wrote:
>
> In article <tailwind-2109971959050001@dyn17.tc1.riverhead.i-2000.net>,
tailwind@i-2000.com wrote:
> >In article <603mmj$1nle$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>, nf2g@ggw.org (D
> >Stark) wrote:
> >
> >> In article <3420D8F4.3323@telusplanet.net>, cogo@telusplanet.net wrote:
> >> >I'm new to scanning and have a question. When police go coded in my town,
> >> >their signal changes so that all that you hear is strong static. What
> >> >type of scrambling is that and is it possible to decode it?
> >>
> >> It's digital, it is not possible to decode with consumer-grade tools,
> >> and it is a violation of Federal law to even try.
> >
> >What about the scramble when it sounds garbled or slow sounding??
>
> That sounds like speech inversion scrambling. That can be descrambled
> with available (not legally, but they were widely available before the
> scrambler ban) consumer-grade equipment. In fact, it is possible to
> build your own circuit. However, it is still a violation of U.S.
> Federal law to unscramble any encrypted radio signal, regardless of
> the type of encryption used.

D Stark wrote:
>
> In article <tailwind-2109971959050001@dyn17.tc1.riverhead.i-2000.net>,
tailwind@i-2000.com wrote:
> >In article <603mmj$1nle$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>, nf2g@ggw.org (D
> >Stark) wrote:
> >
> >> In article <3420D8F4.3323@telusplanet.net>, cogo@telusplanet.net wrote:
> >> >I'm new to scanning and have a question. When police go coded in my town,
> >> >their signal changes so that all that you hear is strong static. What
> >> >type of scrambling is that and is it possible to decode it?
> >>
> >> It's digital, it is not possible to decode with consumer-grade tools,
> >> and it is a violation of Federal law to even try.
> >
> >What about the scramble when it sounds garbled or slow sounding??
>
> That sounds like speech inversion scrambling. That can be descrambled
> with available (not legally, but they were widely available before the
> scrambler ban) consumer-grade equipment. In fact, it is possible to

> build your own circuit. However, it is still a violation of U.S.
> Federal law to unscramble any encrypted radio signal, regardless of
> the type of encryption used.

I thought speech inversion sounded like a bad sideband radio?? If its static sounds like it may be a analog to digital scramble?? Any comments out there?????

Chris.
Peace from Canada!

2477. Re: New PD Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pvboy33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924091001.FAA11940@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185064

EICHHOLZBR@worldnet.att.net writes:

>Does anyone have the police dept frequencies for the "new" city
>of Citrus Heights, Calif?
>
>TIA
>
>
>
Nope, but my best guess would be that they're part of the new county TRS
800 mhz system... And I thought they were subcontracting law enforcement
services from Glen Craig (aka Sac Sheriffs)

---
pvboy33@aol.com
If we don't expect the best, who will?

2478. Re: Pro 34....How do you clear the memory??.>>THANKS TO ALL<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alistair S Ross <asr@eng.cam.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3428CBC6.2DEB3AB1@eng.cam.ac.uk>
Source record: 185065

Thanks to you all for your help

2479. Re: ACK! what's all this other crap?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Douglas V, Brown" <dvbrown@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc8c1$de120ee0$0f3172ce@default>
Source record: 185066

Amen brother Winter.

winter03 <winter03@alltel.net> wrote in article
<01bcc7e9$963515a0$2f7566a6@default>...
> scanners.......remember?!
> ~S~ ang
>

2480. Re: REQ:LAPD & NYPD Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pvboy33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924084201.EAA11403@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185067

kahless@value.net (Chris Halladay) writes in article :
34187f1b.22210384@news.value.net

>To all:
>
> Hi!! My name is Chris. I live in the San Francisco bay area. I
>would like to request that someone email me the following information
>regarding the LAPD and NYPD:
>
> Beat map for the entire department
> Unit designations
> 10 & 11 codes used on the radio
> Penal Codes
>
> The reason that I'm requesting this is that I enjoy monitoring
>these agencies over RealAudio. I feel that I would have a better
>understanding of what is going on if I had this information.
>
> If you cannot provide me with this through email, then please
>contact me privately and I will give you my snail mail address.
>
> Thanks in advance!!
> Chris E. Halladay
> Castro Valley,CA
> kahless@value.net
>
>

Chris... As far as LAPD is concerned... the California Edition of Police
Call for 1997 shows beat maps and radio signal codes... Most codes are the
same as the rest of California, however you will hear a "Code 30"... it's
the same as a 459... took some people awhile to figure that one...

---
pvboy33@aol.com
If we don't expect the best, who will?

2481. Re: Computers in police cars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pvboy33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924090100.FAA17288@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185068

Just to make the distinction... I used to install these things... An MDT is a Mobile Data Terminal (dumb) and an MDC is a Mobile Data Computer (laptop, usually). Not that it has anything to do with monitoring them, but just to settle that issue... And, an interesting factiod, there's a department in Southern Cal that has full size 486/66 towers mounted in the trunk with a 15" monitor and full keyboard mounted up front...

---

pvboy33@aol.com
If we don't expect the best, who will?

2482. Re: Hurricane watch area for Arizona (update)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe_____FITR <joentam@transend.com.tw>
Message-ID: <3428DD97.1FC3@transend.com.tw>
Source record: 185069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Is this guy for real? Oh...this is a radio newsgroup. Sorry. (duh)
Eric...go hide under your ham-shack table. This is a pretty whimpy
tropical storm.

Try a super typhoon or two...now THAT's excitement.

Eric Oyen wrote:
>
> The following is hot off the wire. this will have an affect on most of
> Arizona. It has been suggested on the local news that precautions be
> taken (having available bottled water, facilities for sanitary water,
> and canned goods).
>

2483. FS: Pro-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Jessup <kevin.jessup@mail.mei.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc8df$a9501030$ba5aba97@caffeine>
Source record: 185070

For sale:

Radio Shack PRO-60 Scanner
Mint, like-new condition.
Original box and manual.

30 MHz to 512 MHz
760 MHz to 824 MHz
849 MHz to 869 MHz
894 MHz to 1 GHz
(cellular blocked and cannot be restored)

AM, FM and wide FM
200 Channels
TRIPLE CONVERSION RECEIVER

$150 COD

--
Kevin Jessup, software engineer
Marquette Medical Systems Opinions expressed here are my
http://www.mei.com own and not necessarily those
kevin.jessup@mail.mei.com of my employer. (Even though
PGP encrypted Email preferred they should be! ;-))

2484. Re: What is "CTCSS"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <dwmart@arbld.unimelb.edu.au>
Message-ID: <3428FC56.B83FB615@arbld.unimelb.edu.au>
Source record: 185071

H.Y. Tong wrote:

> I came across this term in some scanner specs. ...
> Q1: what is it used for?
> Q2: is it commonly used/important-to-have on a scanner?

CTCSS=Continuous Tone Control Squelch System
Its used so a number of companies or groups can share a community repeater or a simplex frequency without hearing one another. When someone talks a sub-audiable tone is transmitted which is then decoded by the reciever. It is commonly used in the two-way communications world, I have never used a scanner with the ability to decode ctcss, but I supose if you wanted to listen to a particular group and not the rest on a channel it would be handy in a scanner.

2485. Fast Food Drive Thru Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Womble <aj4y@gte.net>
Message-ID: <60auntqfu1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 185072

Does anyone have freqs for fast food drive thrus?? Have tried scanning around 169.000 but no luck.

Thanks
--
Polk County Amateur Radio Home Page
http://home1.gte.net/aj4y

2486. Re: Yupiteru MVT 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <3428EC91.2781@vnet.com>
Source record: 185073

Clark Rennie wrote:
> Renate Warta wrote:
> > This scanner sucks!!!!!! I have one and it is an KUT scanner!
>
> I am considering purchasing a Yupiteru MVT 9000 and would like the
> details as to why you have a low opinion of this scanner. Maybe I'll
> change my mind.
> Thanks, Clark

I wouldn't put too much trust into this childish posting. The 9000
is a great radio. If you haven't read my review of it, stop by my
web site below. Follow the radio monitoring link. There you will
find my list of receivers. Just point and click for the 9000.
Happy reading!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

2487. Southeastern PA/Northern DE Scanner PAge Now Online!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <342909c9.2873491@news.tju.edu>
Source record: 185074

I am pleased to announce that my new Southeastern PA/Northern DE scanner page is now online. In the tradition of the South Jersey Scanner Page, hopefully this will also be a source for public safety members, communications professionals, and radio buffs to share accurate, timely, and useful public safety frequencies, PL tones, law enforcement districts, fire/ems station numbers, apparatus, and more.

The coverage includes Philadelphia and the surrounding counties of Bucks, Chester, Delaware, and Montgomery counties in PA and New Castle County, Delaware. All was obtained by information requests from local/county agencies, submissions from area listeners/ law enforcement/emergency service volunteers, and monitoring.

The page is still under construction but most areas are complete. If you have any confirmed corrections or updates, please send them to me. I want this page to follow in the same tradition as the SJ Scanner page and be the most current and comprehensive area information found on the web!

The url is http://www.erols.com/jcardani/sepascan.htm

And don't forget the original South Jersey Scanner Page, covering Atlantic, Burlington, Camden, Cumberland, Gloucester, Ocean, Salem counties and the State of New Jersey (including a comprehensive look at the New Jersey State Police trunked radio system with frequencies and talkgroups) at:

http://www.erols.com/jcardani/sjscan.htm

Joe

2488. Re: A comment about our ever shrinking scanner freedoms....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: berrin@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <342a07db.6503779@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 185075

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:

>I sure hope that not too many more restrictions on what we can listen to
>go into effect, otherwise I will have to file off the word "liberty" off
>of all the coins in my pocket. That will be alot of work.

I certainly trust that in addition to hoping, you have contacted your
congress people. If we all sit on our duffs and do nothing, then we
can kiss any freedom we have goodbye. They do still listen, even
though sometimes you have to get their attention.

2489. Re: Hurricane watch area for Arizona (update)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <34290B72.64910B64@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Joe_____FITR wrote:
>
> Is this guy for real? Oh...this is a radio newsgroup. Sorry. (duh)
> Eric...go hide under your ham-shack table. This is a pretty whimpy
> tropical storm.
>

Personally (living in the general area), I think it's a service. I will install those lightning arresters on the two new antennas ASAP (so it does have some amateur radio significance re equipment).

Phil W7OX

2490. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <3429143F.4B46@phoenix.net>
Source record: 185077
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Joe Farris wrote:
>
> > Thankyou for taking the time to post this vital information. Many
> Americans
> > know about the NWO, but it seems that none of us are trying to do
> anything to
> > stop it from becoming reality!
> >
> > Will it remain America, or will it become Amerika? It is up to you!

The U.S.ux of Asses has bee going down hill ever sense th republiKns
got in office in the 1950's with Eizenhozer, the cuntry took a fatal
turn with Kixon. Th crook of all crooked demons. Th UsAss took a
death plunge when Nancz Ranton and Bigbra BUSH came into power with
their puppet show in the 80's. With their mock battles with drugs,
some Islanders, taking into protective custody a Panamanian Diktator,
invasion of Afriken, and desert cuntriez. Th broken agreements with th
great people of Ruskia. Now the Congrease and Senilete are in kontrol
by these traders that put the puppets in power. The only thing that
keeps these ratz from taking us all down is the VETOs of THE (great) MAN
PRESEDENT BILL CLIT-ON. And His able sucessor ALFONSE the GORE'R.
Continued request to Hillery and Tipper for some of their used pantyhose
(unwashed of course) have gone without response. Showing their
dedekation to the running of the Vhitehose and helping keep Amerika
free with their SOCIAL vork. Two first LADIES who know their places.
At least here in TEXAS ve are vorking on getting off the sinking,
stinking ship of the Union of neonazi Republikins of Americka.
LETS GET TEXAS OUTA THE u.s AND THE u.s. OUTA TEXAS-FREE OUR REPUBLIC.
joe

2491. Re: TT BC895 Any In Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas A. Gray" <tagger@indy.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc8c2$59121860$305b03c7@tagger.indy.net>
Source record: 185078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Advanced Digital Systems has them and they are on the web, you can find
them by doing a search. Price $319 plus shipping.
--
Thomas A. Gray
KB9OCX
tagger@indy.net
Indianapolis Indiana

scanner5@ix.netcom.com wrote in article
<34287278.677829@nntp.ix.netcom.com>...
> Trying to find a BC895 in Michigan. Only one store had them and
> wanted $350.00. Does anyone know if they were shipped to Michigan ?,
> and if so where.
>
> OK to e-mail me so we don't cluter up the list.
>
> TIA
> Dennis
>
>
>

2492. Re: A comment about our ever shrinking scanner freedoms....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34291977.10186689@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185079

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:

:I sure hope that not too many more restrictions on what we can listen to
:go into effect, otherwise I will have to file off the word "liberty" off
:of all the coins in my pocket. That will be alot of work.

That's impossible.

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2493. Re: Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34291a55.10408457@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185080

Egnite@Hotmail.com wrote:

: I think we should have computers in our cars too.

Mine does.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2494. Re: Fast Food Drive Thru Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34291bd2.10789491@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185081

Paul Womble <aj4y@gte.net> wrote:

:Does anyone have freqs for fast food drive thrus?? Have tried scanning
:around 169.000 but no luck.
:
:Thanks

There are only four you need to know. They are;

35.020, 40.410, 40.430, 40.490 Mhz.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2495. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3429185d.9904714@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185082
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

joe d <jedent@phoenix.net> wrote:

:LA wrote:
:>
:> Barry O'Grady wrote:
:> >
:> > no@mail (Gwen) wrote:
:> >
:> > :On 18 Sep 1997 05:59:23 GMT, jamoran@mail.goodnet.com (John Moran) wrote:
:> > :
:> > :>DO NOT say anything over any radio phones (cellular, cordless, phone
:> > :>patch) that you want kept private...use a LAND LINE for privacy
:> > :
:> > :You mean us a land line for BETTER privacy. It's still easy to tap a hard wire,
:> > :but not as likely as being listened to on a cordless.
:> >
:> > One of the banks over here has a tv advert showing a person accessing their
:> > telephone banking service using a cordless phone.
:>
:> and then there is the TV ad of the person receiving the confidential
:> results of his mail-in AIDS test via a cordless phone. I laughed SO hard
:> when I saw that one.
:>
:> LA
:Maby they were using spread spectrum digital 900 mhz ponez.

We don't have them here yet.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2496. Re: New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342919e4.10295403@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185083

"Amor Powers, CB Radio" <power@CB.Radio.net> wrote:

:Barry O'Grady wrote:
:
:> BTW 27.680 is already an AM marine frequency.
:
:This has been discussed.
:The result has been suggested shared use land/marine.
:There has been an increase in digital modes in marine HF.
:See news:rec.radio.cb for more info.

Who is the proposer?

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2497. Re: LOCAL POLICE SEIZING RADIOS, Consumer Equipment at Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Orlala Boogy <bombom@edsw.qwoirt.dft.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc82a$4040c720$d041accf@christopher>
Source record: 185084

Lets see some proof relating to this, like a newspaper reprint or
something.

Besides, some agencies (Local, State or national) can use certain "keys" to
ask for a warrant, and depending on the crime of the hour, search
accordingly. Local authorities now have the ability to confiscate property
committed in a "suspected" crime, like drugs and prostitution. At first, it
was just drugs, now you have heard all over the country that local
communities want to stamp out other crimes, like prostitution.

While I for one feel that these two crimes may warrant such action, my fear
is that who is going to stop the law from broadening the list of crimes
that can result in confiscation. Who knows? Maybe passing on the wrong side
of the road may result in the police taking your car. This is a bit far
fetched, but who's to say.

In any case, even if you are completely innocent, you will spend a fortune
defending yourself. And a lot of times, the authorities can suspend your
bank accounts and assets making it virtually impossible to acquire a
suitable defense council, that is, unless your O.J.
That is the real crime here, and the real threat to all of us.

All it will take is a view of an antenna on your roof, and that will
warrant a "search for possible illegal or modified radio equipment". Even
if you equip is okay, it will still be a big headache trying to get it back
and spending easily 10 grand to your lawyer. If you own property, the
authority can seize that too, stating that the possible crime was committed
in this residence.

Granted, confiscation began with the drug war targeting the drug overlords
to seize their vast wealth- boats, cars, luxury houses, etc. While this may
seem just for this purpose, what troubles me is that who's to say where to
draw the line, and at what crimes warrant such action regarding the seizure
of personal property.

Amor Powers, CB Radio <power@CB.Radio.net> wrote in article
<34239DF9.153F@CB.Radio.net>...
> POLICE WILL SEIZE YOUR RADIOS
> Recently proposed USA legislation would permit local authorities to
> march into your home and seize your radios. Other proposed legislation
> deals with restricting which frequencies we can listen to! Combined with
> local antenna restrictions and covenants, this legislation (if passed)
> will be the death knell for radio as we know it.
>
> WHO IS AT FAULT?
> Consumer electronic (stereos, TVs, VCRs, telephones) companies flood the

> market with audio equipment that is designed to sell cheap. This usually
> means that the equipment is so poorly designed that it easily picks up
> Radio Frequencies by mistake. So, whenever a nearby transmitter is
> operating (CB, Ham, HF), "Interference" occurs. The problem mainly
> occurs below about 60MHz, but is most severe below about 30MHz. This is
> due to state-of-the-art consumer IC design using silicon structures
> which have about a 50MHz range.
>
> RFI IN OUR SOCIETY
> This RF "Interference" causes problems which used to be resolved in a
> neighborly way in the old days. In modern society, people do not know
> their neighbors. So, the police are called to eliminate the
> "disturbance". Instead of recommending that the consumer electronics
> user fix the faulty equipment, the police attempt to solve the "crime"
> by taking out the "perpetrator" (Radio Operator).
>
> FCC DOESN'T CARE... WHY SHOULD THEY?
> The FCC has no testing verification program for consumer electronic
> equipment RF susceptability. Only emmissions (thank Goddess for little
> favors). This is good because they have traditionally not made rules on
> what frequencies can be received... If a stereo is also a "shortwave
> receiver"(by accident), the FCC doesn't care. And they shouldn't.
>
> Buyer Beware!
>
>
>
> Amor Powers
> One of The-Powers-That-Be
> Lots of hot air
>

2498. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342909aa.6140731@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185085

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

:You really are the group FOOL, aren't you. Look at the headers,
:specifically the "path" & "NNTP posting host" & it OBVIOUSLY
:could NOT be from the system I am on. He is on AOL, which is
:very unique & not at all like my system.

How can something be very unique when unique means one of?

Barry
Pick me!

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2499. Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommFreq@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60b84q$e6p$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185086
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

--WebTV-Mail-1555079913-1465

Just in the first half on this group their are 190 posts devoted to the most childish B.S. I have ever seen.I came here to share the hobby with others who were interested in scanning,learn what I can, and share what I could.Can you imagine the amount of information that could have been where these posts are? Now their will probably be threads of finger pointing, and blaming anyone else for starting it, and dragging it out. I recently saw a post here where someone wished a guys kids would die that night.That's way too sick for me.
I'm going to go find where the adults talk about scanning.
Ray

--WebTV-Mail-1555079913-1465
Content-Description: signature

--WebTV-Mail-1555079913-1465--

2500. Re: Tacoma WA Trunked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924153901.LAA26392@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185087

This may sound stupid and even amatuer-ish, but I have noticed that apparently some TPD units are possibly using 800 radios.
1. Saw a Tacoma PD car the other day, it had 2 800 antennas and no UHF antennas. I know they have the MDT's, but ????

2. Ever noticed that distinctive "click" at the end of a trunked radio's transmission? I head a Tacoma PD unit on King County's MARS channel (155.190, or 62896) talking to DMPD, and noticed that sound. Another TPD unit came up on MARS and did not have the same tailing sound. Also, he was complaining that he didn't have "the cool new radio" like the first unit. BTW, these were both special units, poss detectives.

2501. Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: SAm@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <342a421b.6961241@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185088

On Wed, 24 Sep 1997 10:29:46 -0400, CommFreq@webtv.net (Ray Stickney) wrote:

>Just in the first half on this group their are 190 posts devoted to the
>most childish B.S. I have ever seen.I came here to share the hobby with
>others who were interested in scanning,learn what I can, and share what
>I could.Can you imagine the amount of information that could have been
>where these posts are? Now their will probably be threads of finger
>pointing, and blaming anyone else for starting it, and dragging it out.
> I recently saw a post here where someone wished a guys kids would die
>that night.That's way too sick for me.
> I'm going to go find where the adults talk about scanning.
> Ray

In the 5th line of your bitching, you use the work "their" which is incorrect. It should read "Now THERE will probably..."

Ray, baby, if you're (notice I didn't use "your") going to bitch effectively, you must do it properly.

2502. Re: Asthma attack in flite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lowry <jlowry@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc900$06575160$b9aad4cc@jlowry.mcn.net>
Source record: 185089
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

I vote for "No Highway in the Sky," from Nevil Shute's "No Highway."

--
John T. Lowry, PhD
Flight Physics; Box 20919; Billings MT 59104
voice: 406-248-2606
Author, Computing Airplane Performance with
the Bootstrap Approach

Dan Morisseau <dpm3@NOSPAM.seanet.com> wrote in article
<dpm3.1623.00363CFF@NOSPAM.seanet.com>...
> In article roy@popmail.med.nyu.edu (Roy Smith) writes:
> >From: roy@popmail.med.nyu.edu (Roy Smith)
> >Subject: Re: Asthma attack in flite
> >Date: Sat, 20 Sep 1997 07:38:13 -0400
>
>
> >Just out of curiosity, who reimburses the airline for the costs incurred
> >when something like this happens?
> >Does the airline just eat this, or does the passanger's medical
insurance
> >carrier typically pick up the bill?
>
> It would be a cold airline indeed who presented a bill for responding
to
> an emergency. Their common law common carrier obligations, if nothing
else,
> would oblige them to do anything within their power to assist. Now, if
the guy
> had a known condition that would cause an emergency in flight and he
chose not
> to take his meds and expected the airline to bail him out it might
> THEORETUCALLY be another matter. You can bet, however, that in therms of
press
> coverage and p.r. they'd end up looking too bad to challenge the guy. But
this
> is an extreme case and I doubt it would ever arise.
>
>
>
> ==
> "I distrust a close-mouthed man. He generally picks the wrong time
> to talk and says the wrong things. Talking is something you can't do
> judiciously unless you keep in practice"

> - Casper Gutman, The Maltese Falcon
> ========== This .sig exceeds Plate "C" specifications ==============
>

2503. Re: BC 9000 XLT Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <34291a99.10476782@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185090

"Mike M." <mikemono@ozemail.com.au> wrote:

:Black Wizard
:
:Yeh that Tony guy is the idiot.
:As an Aussie BC9000XLT scanner owner I would like to suggest that all of

How did you end up with the cellular blocked version in Australia?
I have a UBC9000XLT which is not blocked.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2504. Re: Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tuithof@pi.net
Message-ID: <34293b6c.2973898@news.pi.net>
Source record: 185091

On Mon, 22 Sep 1997 23:57:24 -0500, "Barry Adair" <gregw@swbell.net>
wrote:

> I have a Uniden BC220XLT, that I bought from a pawn shop so no manual, I
>need to know what the data buttom on it is for, Uniden Web Site does not
>have any info on this model, I have called Uniden and were told that I could
>buy the manual from them, this is no problem, but I have to send them a
>check and they will ship it within 3 weeks, still no problem, but as I live
>2 miles from them I ask if I could pick it up, Problem, NO, they have to
>mail it.

Straight from the operating guide page 9:

15. Use the data key to skip data signals and unmodulated carriers
when in search mode. This feature is not active in the Air band.

greetingz,

Michel

2505. Re: Fast Food Drive Thru Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <342935AB.7385@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185092

Paul Womble wrote:
>
> Does anyone have freqs for fast food drive thrus?? Have tried scanning
> around 169.000 but no luck.
>
> Thanks
> --
> Polk County Amateur Radio Home Page
> http://home1.gte.net/aj4y

Hi Paul,

Fast Food Frequencies are the same through out the entire US.

The most common frequency pairs to check are:

30.8400 --- 154.5700
31.0000 --- 170.3050
33.1600 --- 154.5100
33.4000 --- 154.5400
35.0200 --- 154.6000 *
154.5700 --- 170.2450 *
154.6000 --- 171.1050 *
457.5375 --- 468.3875
457.5500 --- 467.7750
457.6000 --- 467.8250
461.0375 --- 466.0375
460.8875 --- 465.8875
461.0875 --- 466.0875
469.0125 --- 464.0125 *

If they're using "3M" or "GM" headsets then you need to search
from 920-922 Mhz Wide FM for the speaker frequency and from
903-905 Mhz Wide FM for the headset frequency.

An ariticle on Fast Food monitoring appeared in the August 96
issue of Monitoring Times. It can be view online at the
following URL http://www.grove.net/fastfreq.txt

This list covers most Fast Food chains across the entire US.

FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING
as of 2/14/97

RESTAURANT CUSTOMER (R) CLERK (I)

Arbys 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
457.5500 467.7750
460.8875 465.8875
461.0375 466.0375
920.7250 WFM 903.7250 WFM

Bakers Dozen Donuts 457.5750 467.9750

Bess Eaton Donut 457.5375 467.7625

Bobs Big Boy 30.8400 154.5700
457.6000 467.8250

Bobs Burger Express 469.0125 464.0125
469.0375 464.0375
469.0625 464.0625
469.0875 464.0875
469.1125 464.1125
469.1375 464.1375
469.1625 464.1625
469.1875 464.1875
469.2125 464.2125
469.2375 464.2375
469.2625 464.2625
469.2875 464.2875
469.3125 464.3125
469.3375 464.3375
469.3625 464.3625
469.3875 464.3875

Bojangles 33.4000 154.5400

Boston Market 33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400

Braums 31.0000 170.3050
* 457.6000 467.7500
461.0875 466.0875
461.5375 466.5375
462.1625 467.1625

Burger King 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.4000 154.5400
457.5500 467.7750
457.5625 467.7875
457.5750 467.8000
457.6000 467.8250
460.8875 465.8875
461.2875 466.2875
461.5375 466.5375
469.0125 464.0125
920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

Burgerville 30.8400 154.5700

Carls Jr 30.8400 154.5700
* 457.5375 468.3875
457.5500 467.7750
461.0875 466.0875

Chick Fil A 31.0000 170.3050

Coffee Time 920.7375 WFM 903.7375 WFM

Coulters BBQ 31.0000 170.3050

Country Style(Canada) 457.5750 467.8000

Dairy Queen 30.8400 154.5700
460.8875 465.8875
461.0875 466.0875
461.5375 466.5375
462.1625 467.1625

Dairy Queen 920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

Dunkin Donuts 30.8400 154.5700
33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400
457.5500 467.7750
920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

El Mexicano 464.9625 469.9625

El Pollo Loco 457.5500 467.7750

Foster Freeze 31.0000 170.3050

GD Ritzys 35.1000 UNKNOWN

Hardees 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
35.0200 154.6000
457.5375 467.7625
460.8875 465.8875
461.0875 466.0875
461.1125 466.1125
462.1625 467.1625
469.0125 464.0125

Hot Dog Etc 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.1400 151.8950

Jack in the Box 33.4000 154.5400
35.0200 154.6000
457.5750 467.8000
469.0250 464.0250

James Coney Island 463.9875 468.9875

Kenny Rogers Roasters 469.0125 464.0125
Chicken 920.2875 WFM 903.2875 WFM

Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.1400 151.8950
33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400
35.0200 154.6000
* 457.5375 468.3875
457.5875 467.8125
457.6000 467.8250
460.8875 465.8875
461.0875 466.0875
462.1625 467.1625
* 462.7625 467.8875
464.0875 469.0875

Long John Silvers 33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400

McDonalds(Canada) 30.5800 154.4900
30.8400 151.6700
30.8400 154.1450

McDonalds 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.1400 151.8950
* 33.1400 170.3050
33.4000 154.5400
* 33.4000 154.5700
* 35.0200 151.8950
* 35.0200 154.4900
35.0200 154.6000
151.7150 169.4450
151.7450 UNKNOWN
151.7750 171.9050
151.8650 UNKNOWN
154.5700 170.2450
154.6000 171.1050
* 457.5375 461.0875
457.5500 467.7750
457.6000 467.8250
460.8875 465.8875
461.0375 466.0375
461.0875 466.0875
461.3125 466.3125
462.1625 467.1625
463.2875 468.2875
464.5125 469.5125
469.0125 464.0125

469.0625 464.0625
469.1125 464.1125
469.1375 464.1375
469.1625 464.1625
469.1875 464.1875
469.3125 464.3125
469.3375 464.3375
469.3875 464.3875
920.2000 WFM 903.2000 WFM
920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM
920.5000 WFM 903.5000 WFM
920.9625 WFM 903.9625 WFM

Mrs Winners Drive-up 30.8400 154.5700

Pit Stop Food & Beverage * 457.5375 468.3875
461.0375 466.0375
461.0875 466.0875

Popeyes 33.4000 154.5400
469.0125 464.0125

Rallys 30.8400 154.5700
33.1600 154.5150
* 457.5375 468.3875
461.0875 466.0875
* 461.5375 462.1625
469.0125 464.0125

Roy Rogers 30.8400 154.5700
33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400
457.5375 467.7625
469.0125 464.0125
469.2125 464.2125

Sheetz Gas Station 469.0125 464.0125

Sonic 33.1600 154.5150

Taco Bell(Canada) 30.4000 154.5400

Taco Bell 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400
* 457.5375 468.3875
457.5500 467.7750
460.8875 465.8875
461.0375 466.0375
461.0875 466.0875
461.5375 466.5375
464.9625 469.9625

469.0125 464.0125
469.2125 464.2125

Taco Bueno 30.8400 154.5700
461.3125 466.3125

Taco Cabana 33.4000 154.5400

TCBY 457.5500 467.7750

Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada) 30.5800 154.4900
457.5750 467.9750

Wendys 31.0000 171.3050
33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400
35.0200 154.6000
49.8300 49.8900
457.5125 467.7375
457.5357 467.7625
457.5375 468.3875
457.6125 467.8375
460.8875 465.8875
461.0875 466.0875
461.8125 466.8125
462.1625 467.1625
464.9875 469.9875
469.0125 464.0125
469.2125 464.2125

Whataburger 30.8400 154.5700
* 457.5250 467.8250
457.5500 467.7750
* 457.6000 467.7500
* 457.6125 467.7500
469.0125 464.0125
469.0375 464.0375
469.0625 464.0625
469.0875 464.0875
469.1125 464.1125
469.1375 464.1375
469.1625 464.1625
469.1875 464.1875
469.2125 464.2125
469.2375 464.2375
469.2625 464.2625
469.2875 464.2875
469.3125 464.3125
469.3375 464.3375
469.3625 464.3625
469.3875 464.3875

White Castle 457.6000 467.8250
461.8125 466.8125

Weinerschnitzel 33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400

FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING

CUSTOMER CLERK RESTAURANT CHAINS

30.4000 --- 154.5400 Taco Bell(Canada)
30.5800 --- 154.4900 Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada)
30.5800 --- 154.4900 McDonalds(Canada main freq)
30.8400 --- 151.6700 McDonalds(Canada aux. freq)
30.8400 --- 154.1450 McDonalds(Canada aux. freq)
30.8400 --- 154.5700 Arbys, Bobs Big Boy, Burger King, Burgerville,
Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Dunkin Donuts, Hardees,
Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
Mrs Winners Drive-up, McDonalds,
Rallys, Roy Rogers,
Taco Bell, Taco Bueno, Whataburger
31.0000 --- 170.3050 Arbys, Braums, Burger King, Chick Fil A,
Coulters BBQ, Foster Freeze, Hardees,
Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,
Taco Bell, Wendys
33.1400 --- 151.8950 Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds
33.1400 --- 170.3050 * McDonalds
33.1600 --- 154.5150 Boston Market, Dunkin Donuts,
Kentucky Fried Chicken, Long John Silvers,
Rallys, Roy Rogers, Sonic, Taco Bell,
Wendys, Wienerschnitzel
33.4000 --- 154.5400 Boston Market, Bojangles, Burger King,
Dunkin Donuts, Jack in the Box,
Kentucky Fried Chicken,
Long John Silvers, McDonalds, Popeyes,
Roy Rogers, Taco Bell, Taco Cabana, Wendys,
Weinerschnitzel
33.4000 --- 154.5700 * McDonalds
35.0200 --- 151.8950 * McDonalds
35.0200 --- 154.4900 * McDonalds
35.0200 --- 154.6000 Hardees, Jack in the Box, Kentucky Fried
Chicken,
McDonalds
35.1000 --- UNKNOWN G.D. Ritzys
49.8300 --- 49.8900 Wendys
151.7150 --- 169.4450 McDonalds
151.7450 --- UNKNOWN McDonalds (Most likely paired with 169.505,
171.045, or 171.845)
151.7750 --- 171.9050 McDonalds
151.8650 --- UNKNOWN McDonalds (Most likely paired with 169.505,
171.045, or 171.845)
154.5700 --- 170.2450 McDonalds

154.6000 --- 171.1050 McDonalds
457.5125 --- 467.7375 Wendys
457.5250 --- 467.7500 PROBABLE FREQUENCY none found yet
457.5250 --- 467.8250 * Whataburger
457.5375 --- 461.0875 * McDonalds
457.5375 --- 467.7625 Bess Eaton Donuts, Hardees, Roy Rogers, Wendys
457.5375 --- 468.3875 * Carls Jr, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
Pit Stop Food & Beverage, Rallys,
Taco Bell, Wendys
457.5500 --- 467.7750 Arbys, Burger King, Carls Jr, Dunkin Donuts,
El Pollo Loco, McDonalds, Taco Bell, TCBY,
Whataburger
457.5625 --- 467.7875 Burger King
457.5750 --- 467.8000 Country Style(Canada), Jack in the Box, Burger
King
457.5750 --- 467.9750 * Bakers Dozen Donuts(Canada),
Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada)
457.5875 --- 467.8125 Kentucky Fried Chicken
457.6000 --- 467.7500 * Braums, Whataburger
457.6000 --- 467.8250 Arbys, Bobs Big Boy, Burger King,
Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, White Castle
457.6125 --- 467.7500 * Whataburger
457.6125 --- 467.8375 Wendys
460.8875 --- 465.8875 Burger King, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Hardees,
Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, Taco Bell,
Wendys
461.0375 --- 466.0375 Arbys, McDonalds, Pit Stop Food & Beverage,
Taco Bell
461.0875 --- 466.0875 Braums, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Hardees,
Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,
Pit Stop Food & Beverage, Rallys, Taco Bell,
Wendys
461.1125 --- 466.1125 Hardees
461.2875 --- 466.2875 Burger King
461.3125 --- 466.3125 McDonalds, Taco Bueno
461.5375 --- 462.1625 * Rallys
461.5375 --- 466.5375 Braums, Dairy Queen, Burger King, Taco Bell
461.8125 --- 466.8125 Wendys, White Castle
462.1625 --- 467.1625 Braums, Diary Queen, Hardees,
Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds, Wendys
462.7625 --- 467.8875 * Kentucky Fried Chicken
463.2875 --- 468.2875 McDonalds
463.9875 --- 468.9875 James Coney Island
464.0875 --- 469.0875 Kentucky Fried Chicken
464.5125 --- 469.5125 McDonalds, Wendys
464.9625 --- 469.9625 El Mexicano, Taco Bell
464.9875 --- 469.9875 Wendys
469.0125 --- 464.0125 Bobs Burger Express, Hardees,
Kenny Rogers Roasters Chicken, McDonalds,
Popeyes, Rallys, Roy Rogers,

Sheetz (Gas Station), Taco Bell, Wendys
469.0250 --- 464.0250 Jack in the Box
469.0375 --- 464.0375 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
469.0625 --- 464.0625 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
469.0875 --- 464.0875 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
469.1125 --- 464.1125 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
469.1375 --- 464.1375 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
469.1625 --- 464.1625 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
469.1875 --- 464.1875 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
469.2125 --- 464.2125 Bobs Burger Express, Taco Bell, Wendys,
Whataburger
469.2375 --- 464.2375 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
469.2625 --- 464.2625 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
469.2875 --- 464.2875 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
469.3125 --- 464.3125 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
469.3375 --- 464.3375 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
469.3625 --- 464.3625 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
469.3875 --- 464.3875 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds, Whataburger
469.2125 --- 464.2125 Wendys
920.2000 WFM 903.2000 McDonalds
920.2625 WFM 903.2625 Dairy Queen, Dunkin Donuts, McDonalds
920.2875 WFM 903.2875 Kenny Rogers Roasters Chicken
920.5000 WFM 903.5000 McDonalds
920.7250 WFM 903.7250 Arbys
920.7375 WFM 903.7375 Coffee Time
920.9625 WFM 903.9625 McDonalds

* Odd frequencies pairing

(CTCSS) PL Tones used

Outside Inside

77.0 162.2
88.5 123.0
100.0 131.8
162.2
107.2 141.3
114.8 107.2
114.8 127.3
156.7
162.2 173.8
114.8 127.3

FREQUENCIES TO CHECK FOR FAST FOOD RESTAURANTS

VHF-low:

30.7600 30.8000 30.8400 30.8800 30.9200 30.9600 31.0000 31.0400 31.1600
31.2000 31.2400 33.1400 33.1600 33.4000 35.0200 35.0400 35.0600 35.0800
35.1000 35.1200 35.1400 35.1800 35.7000 35.7200 35.8800 35.9000 35.9200
35.9400 35.9600 35.9800 42.9600 42.9800 43.0000 49.8300 49.8450 49.8600

49.8750 49.8900

VHF-high:

151.6250 151.6550 151.6850 151.7150 151.7450 151.7750 151.8050 151.8350
151.8650 151.8950 151.9250 151.9550 154.5150 154.5400 154.5700 154.6000
169.4450 169.5050 170.2450 170.3050 171.0450 171.1050 171.8450 171.9050

UHF:

457.5125 457.5250 457.5375 457.5500 457.5625 457.5750 457.5875 457.6000
457.6125 467.7375 467.7500 467.7625 467.7750 467.7875 467.8000 467.8125
467.8250 467.8375 467.8500 467.8625 467.8750 467.8875 467.9000 467.9125
467.9250

460.6625 460.6875 460.7125 460.7375 460.7625 460.7875 460.8125 460.8375
460.8625 460.8875 460.9125 460.9375 460.9625 460.9875

462.7625 462.7875 462.8125 462.8375 462.8625 462.8875 462.9125

461.0000 thru 462.1875 with 12.5Khz step
463.2000 thru 464.9875 with 12.5Khz step

465.6625 465.6875 465.7125 465.7375 465.7625 465.7875 465.8125 465.8375
465.8625 465.8875 465.9125 465.9375 465.9625 465.9875

466.0000 thru 467.1875 with 12.5Khz step
468.2000 thru 469.9875 with 12.5Khz step

ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (3M and GM Style headsets)

920.0000 - 922.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Speaker)
903.0000 - 905.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Headset)

This list was compiled from numerous posts on the Internet news groups
alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.scanner from many posters, among them:

1. Robert H. Eisner <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
2. Jospeh E. Hayes III <jhayes3rd@nmaa.org>
3. Bob Grove <bob@grove.net>
3. Keith A Monahan <kamst39+@pitt.edu>
4. Todd Penney <tpenn@atcon.com>
5. Brian E. Davis <bedavis@ix.netcom.com>
6. Brian Graham <briang@inforamp.net>
7. Brian Varine <varineb@ucs.orst.edu>
8. Myles Barkman <kg5ai@cy-net.net>
9. Burke Haworth <ab313@freenet.toronto.on.ca>
10. Joe Simon <74270.2227@compuserve.com>
11. LOCKSMITHING@hamlet.planet.net
12. Brad Steinman <cscon0151@uoft02.utoledo.edu>
13. mcneill@salem.enet.dec.com
14. Bob Parnass <parnass@bell-labs.com>
15. stretch1@aol.com
16. Berton Carson <g9153402@huey.csun.edu>

17. ekholm@skypoint.com
18. Steve Karolek <skarolek@execpc.com>
19. Robert Barker <robert@eden.com>
20. DennyRB@aol.com

Thanks for sharing the information!

2506. Re: MAKE $$

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <60bhui$e27@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 185093
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Rick Sheppard (sheppard@together.net) writes:
> hello,
> I am RiCk sheppard, and i have a program that makes money for you. and
> its easy. go to my homepage and download it,
> http://homepages.together.net/~sheppard/ it is no bullshit hassel it
> really does werk, thats how i am on a ISDN connection, its not even a
> meg. for anyother details please e-mail me at sheppard@together.net , it
> is so easy i should have done it before, thanx for your time and
> everything.
>
Maybe while you are on the scanner newsgroup you should ask for the
FBI, and the USPS Inspectors frequencies for upper NY State...
As your SCAM is a Federal Offence in the USA It's Postal Fraud! See below:

1.00 - [1]Chain Letters
Chain Letters . United States Postal Inspection Service . Chain
Letters . A chain letter is a "get rich quick" scheme that
promises that your mail box will soon be stuffed full of cash
if you decide to participate. You're told you can make
thousands of dollars every month if you follow the detailed
instructions in the letter. A typical chain letter includes
names and addresses o...
--- [2]http://www.usps.gov/websites/depart/inspect/chainlet.htm
(3552 bytes)

0.80 - [3]Multi-Level Marketing
Multi-Level Marketing . . United States Postal Inspection
Service . Multi-Level Marketing . Multi-level marketing is a
system of selling in which you sign up other people to assist
you, and they, in turn, recruit others to help them. Some
entrepreneurs have built successful companies on this concept
because the main focus of their activities is their product and
product sales. However...
--- [4]http://www.usps.gov/websites/depart/inspect/pyramid.htm
(2900 bytes)

0.80 - [5]Sweepstakes and Lottery Fraud
Sweepstakes and Lottery Fraud. United States Postal Inspection
Service . Sweepstakes and Lottery Fraud . Chain Letters . Free
Prize Scheme . Hot Tip on Playing Foreign Lotteries By Mail:
"Don't Do It!" . Government Look-Alike Mail . The Free Vacation
Scam

--- [6]http://www.usps.gov/websites/depart/inspect/swepmenu.htm
(669 bytes)

References

1. http://www.usps.gov/websites/depart/inspect/chainlet.htm
2. http://www.usps.gov/websites/depart/inspect/chainlet.htm
3. http://www.usps.gov/websites/depart/inspect/pyramid.htm
4. http://www.usps.gov/websites/depart/inspect/pyramid.htm
5. http://www.usps.gov/websites/depart/inspect/swepmenu.htm
6. http://www.usps.gov/websites/depart/inspect/swepmenu.htm

2507. Re: antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <jharris4@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <342931CC.37CF@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 185094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The tower is operating on the same frequency obviously because you can slightly hear what goes on....You are listening to line-of-sight communications. The tower is 20-25 mi. away, over the horizon, you are in that "zone" below the radio waves. As a pilot the same thing affects VOR beacons, some you may not receive more than 65-75 miles away, depends on the altitude you are at. I live probably 20mi. from Nashville International, the tower operates on the same freq. as the aircraft, but I never hear the tower from my house...consider yourself lucky to hear anything from the tower.

Good luck,
Jeff.

2508. Re: FS: Sony ICF Pro-80 SW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: spammers@Must.die.com
Message-ID: <wsandersEH0y1t.GGq@netcom.com>
Source record: 185095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap

I had an ICF PRO-80 for years and the AM/SSB AGC chip gave out; the radio starts squealing on any moderately strong signal.

I replaced it with a Sony 7600G, which, at ~$170, is a much better radio for SW AM and SSB reception. Much more sensitive, and about as selective when the selectable AM sideband feature is accounted for. Wont pick up VHF, but the converter thingy on the PRO-80 was a kludge anyway.

I saved the parts from the PRO-80 and plan to turn it into an RF signal generator someday.

2509. No Scam Second Income

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <John_deLeeuw@bc.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <60bknseno654@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 185096

Hello,

If you are someone who is tired of "Get-Rich-Quick" Schemes yet is still interested in earning a simple, legitimate second income without spending a lot of time or money, you may want to read further.

If you are like most people, you don't have time to go to meetings, talk to a lot of people, or sit by the phone and sell products.

For the first time available, you can join a company that will literally:

Close Your Sales For You!!

Our staff of marketing professionals advertise, recruit, and close all sales for everyone who joins the company. Checks are mailed out each Friday.

This is NOT one of those Scams, or Schemes you may hear about so often. But rather, it is a display of the newest technology that ensures your success, and is designed for those who do not like to sell.

Because of it's simplicity and affordability, people from all over are now earning thousands of dollars from the comfort of their homes!!

We Can Help You Do The Same!!

This is a respectable program created by a respectable comany for people who do not have a lot of time on their hands, yet are interested in earning a legitimate second income.

If you are excited about changing your financial future and would like to hear the rest of the story, please call us at:

1-800-811-2141 Code 45513
Office Hours: Mon-Sat 8am - 10pm

Checks are mailed each Friday!!
People are making money in the first week!!
We can help you do the same!!

//
//If this has reached you in error please accept our most sincere apologies. If you would like us to delete your address from our mailings, simply hit reply and type "remove" in the subject. You will automatically be blocked from future mailings. Thank you for your time.
//
//

2510. Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Fowler <neil.fowler@paonline.com>
Message-ID: <34295B36.5B78@paonline.com>
Source record: 185097

Ray Stickney wrote:
>
> Just in the first half on this group their are 190 posts devoted to the
> most childish B.S. I have ever seen.I came here to share the hobby with
> others who were interested in scanning,learn what I can, and share what
> I could.Can you imagine the amount of information that could have been
> where these posts are? Now their will probably be threads of finger
> pointing, and blaming anyone else for starting it, and dragging it out.
> I recently saw a post here where someone wished a guys kids would die
> that night.That's way too sick for me.
> I'm going to go find where the adults talk about scanning.
> Ray
>
> ---
> [Image]

I Agree. I just skipped past about 20 articles talking about some Eric
Oyen.

2511. Re: Pittsburgh Monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <60bm0unoj1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 185098

shutton4@aol.com (SHutton4) wrote:

> I would like to correspond with serious monitors in the Pittsburgh area
>with the objective of sharing frequencies and other information.
> Ed Hutton N3KEX

I would be interested in exchaning information too!

You can find my web page, URL below, which covers the Western PA area
from Pittsburgh & surrounding counties, to counties in bordering
states too!

Looking to have a regular scanner chat for the area, and may be even a
"big" incident notification net. Not just fires, but any really major
incidents.

May even get pgh.scanner newsgroup in the future.

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2512. BC235XLT Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: clint.bradford@atdbbs.com
Message-ID: <970924095746282 87@atdbbs.com>
Source record: 185099

I have found a GREAT replacement BP-180 pack for the BC235XLT!

The company's name is THE NICAD LADY, and they offer a NiMH 1300mAh BP-180 for us for only $18.00 (plus a reasonable $5.00 shipping/handling charge). We can use the same chargers that came with the BC235XLT (200mAh), and charge this NiMH pack for about 8 hours. As I further experiment with the charge time, I'll report my findings here.

I have purchased other packs/cells from The Nicad Lady, and have always received prompt service at good prices.

Their email address is: nicdlady@deltanet.com
Their Web page is at: http://www.ggfx.com/nicad

- Clint Bradford, KE6LCS/KAF3359

2513. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: MARK MYERS <memyers@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3429521B.7A8D@erols.com>
Source record: 185100
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dave... wrote:
>
> BILL CLINTON SUCKS!
>
> Joe Farris wrote:
>
> Even though I enjoy Steven Speilburgs films a great deal, I
> must admit that
> he, Barbara Streisand and Bill Clinton are real cozy
> together, and we all
> know Bills stand on firearm ownership.
>
> The sad thing is, the liberals are quick to denounce guns,
> but ask them if
> they would give up *their* guns. 90% will never admit to gun
> ownership, but
> most of them do indeed have their own guns, but yet they
> expect the rest of
> the population to give up their guns. As you stated in your
> quote, only the
> police (in a police/socialism state) and government will
> have guns. As a
> subservient populace, they will not only expect you to
> behave, you will be
> powerless to defend yourself against the tyranny.
>
> All of our founding fathers all agreed that the people
> should not be denied
> the right to own firearms, the very least, as a citizen, we
> should be
> *required* to have our own guns. Thomas Jefferson, George
> Washington, James
> Madison, Ben Franklin- all have said it is our right, and it
> cannot be
> taken away from us, it is our way to stand up against
> tyranny in any form.
>
> As a result of the terrible holocaust, the Jewish people
> have formed a
> group called Jewish People for Firearm Ownership (JPFO- I
> believe or close
> enough!) just for the purpose of preventing what tragically
> happened

> earlier this century. Why the liberals do not target them as
> they do the
> NRA is wimpy. They know that if they did, the JPFO would
> state publicly
> their stand, and once the people of this nation heard this
> plea, would
> rally behind ALL gun ownership and stand united against any
> and all tyranny
> in any form.
>
> What the government does not realize or want to realize is
> that most of the
> armed forces, with many of the police forces, would never
> allow this
> tyranny to materialize, and a new revolutionary or civil war
> will erupt.
> However, the think tank dorks in DC have deduced that once
> the people have
> been disarmed, the Bill of Rights supporters can be filtered
> out
> accordingly.
>
> The New World Order, governed by the UN, will be born. The
> UN have already
> spoken to Bill and he has agreed with them that firearms
> must be restricted
> of prohibited in our fine democracy. Non of our treaties or
> promises to the
> other government supersedes the Bill of Rights or any other
> laws our nation
> has passed. Only after your guns have been confiscated will
> the UN have the
> power and authority to change this to us.
>
> Presently, it is before congress to make it a felony to own
> a pistol that
> is unregistered. This law would certainly turn half of the
> law abiding
> citizens in this country into convicted felons, and once
> convicted, would
> never again be allowed to own a firearm, which is what they
> desire.
>
> Ruby Ridge, was a classic example of the Jack Booted Thug
> policy of the
> present administration. The government fears and understands
> that many
> states, especially western states, are already upset at how
> the government
> imposes itself upon them, with the various so-called

> agencies, like the
> Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the Fish and Wildlife
> Admin (FWA). Only
> recently, did the FWA gain or enhanced enforcement authority
> regarding
> "endangered" species and plants, thus giving them the power
> to incarcerate
> honest law abiding citizens when they "feel" that they have
> damaged or
> killed one of those aforementioned species.
>
> Fortunately, hope is there, and many of congress have
> opposed such policies
> of Janet Reno- the puppet of Uncle Bill. The Republican
> Congress finally
> has the power to oppose this fascist style regime. And in
> 2000, we will
> elect a new president to replace Bill and defeat his other
> puppet and
> idealist Gore, who would continue to promote the New World
> Order and
> destroy all our rights as Americans.
>
> America the Beautiful, Land of the Free, the time is now to
> keep it free!
> Vote!
>
> Thankyou for taking the time to post this vital information. Many
> Americans know about the NWO, but it seems that none of us are trying
> to do anything to stop it from becoming reality!
>
> Will it remain America, or will it become Amerika? It is up to
> you!
You are REQUIRED to keep a military firearm and ammo.You are in the
militia by birthright.There were 2 Militia Acts that passed in the
1790's and 1900's that authorized it.

2514. Looking for FLTSATCOM antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: netc4524@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924161400.MAA03765@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185101

I am looking for a decent 260 Mhz directional antenna that I can use to monitor the Milsats. I am currently using a modified 220 mhz yagi but I have to use a 20db pre-amp to hear the birds. This is a bad arrangement since I live across the street from the Navy base here in Newport and the intermod is awful. I would really like to get a hold of an SSR-1 shipboard antenna but they seem to be a rare item. Any help in locating a decent antenna would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks,

Mike G.
Newport, RI

2515. Seeking Advice: scanner/interface/computer/recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dhrnsn@ptd.net
Message-ID: <60brp8loi2@news3.ptd.net>
Source record: 185102

Sorry for the progressive nature of my questions, but as long as
I've got the attention of you experts out there:

1. I've got an R-7000 I'd like to control with my Dell laptop, and
have been considering the Optoelectronics interface. Anyone here
have any experience with this unit and would like to share their
thoughts? alternatives?

2. Any ideas on which controlling software is best?

3. I will be connecting a recorder - however! - is there software
available where I could direct the audio to my computer's audio card,
and make a digital recording instead of analog tape? (Obviously so
the computer records only where there is an audio signal present.)

4. Carrying that one step further, can I route the audio through one
of the new inexpensive voice recognition software programs and have
"keywords" trigger the digital recording?

I realize this is possible, but I guess I'm asking if there
is "an off the shelf solution?".

Thanks!

David Hirneisen
dhrnsn@ptd.net

2516. Montgomery Co, MD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobs@icdc.com
Message-ID: <342a7271.2304156@news2.icdc.com>
Source record: 185103

Need public safety freqs for Montgomery Co and DC.

thanks....bob

Bob Schoenleber
Yardley, PA USA 40.15N 74.54W
On The Delaware River

2517. TT BC895 Any In Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanner5@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <34287278.677829@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Trying to find a BC895 in Michigan. Only one store had them and
wanted $350.00. Does anyone know if they were shipped to Michigan ?,
and if so where.

OK to e-mail me so we don't cluter up the list.

TIA
Dennis

2518. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanner5@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <34287fc0.4077522@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185188

If your going to carry ... get a permit
contact MSP and get a "PERMIT FOR USE OF SHORT WAVE RECEIVER IN
VEHICLE" you can then legally listen to the Police.

By the way it does not say anything about fire, EMS or the like, does
that mean you can listen to them legally in a vehicle ?.

On Wed, 17 Sep 1997 13:52:17 -0400, "Jonathan Adair"
<adair@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>
>
>
>marc_k wrote in article <5vgff2$hf6$3@alice.walrus.com>...
> ...
>>In fact, the above statement IS correct...only the call sign is
>>needed...BUT it can be rough to convince a police officer that you are
>>licensed without showing him/her something official, so I strongly suggest
>>carrying your ham license at all times(or at least when you are in a motor
>>vehicle with a scanner/broad-banded ham unit).
>
>I keep a copy of the Florida law (which is similar) and my ham license
>in the glove compartment.
>
>--
>Jonathan Adair, KF4LFG
>kf4lfg@bigfoot.com
>http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/7330/
>
>
>

2519. Re: R10 - off freq in SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ck279@torfree.net
Message-ID: <EGzKwz.E50.0.queen@torfree.net>
Source record: 185189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jim McVein (jimm@teleport.com) wrote:
: On Tue, 16 Sep 1997 10:43:40 -0400, Gary McGill
: <mcgill@dale.cba.ufl.edu> wrote:

:
: >The Problem: When listening to SSB freqs., say 3.945 LSB, I have to
: >tune to 3.9464 to hear the voices properly. I know the actual freq is

This is the same as in Yupiteru 7100 where SSB are designed to be off by
1.4kHz (factory spec, each unit differs by a small amount, and it will
change according to temperature)
--

Philip Tong
hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA

2520. Re: Cellular laws and freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rampelbergh.p@infoboard.be
Message-ID: <342f3080.10266965@news.ib.be>
Source record: 185190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Unbelievable or nonsense?
Your statement (see below) has to be clarified to be credible.

The main difference between a TV sets and a receiver is that the TV
set have fixed frequency for image horizontal scan and requires a lot
of power to perform his task.

The receiver local oscillator(s) depend on the Intermediate
frequencies. This is different on each type of receiver.
On the other hand, the radiated signal from the local oscillator of a
receiver is very low....
So your Federal Radio Police story has to be justified in order to be
credible. I'm curious to see that.

>On Thu, 18 Sep 1997 09:37:42 -0400, LA <artz@ll.mit.edu> posted
>something like this:
>><snippet>
>>The FCC will soon establish the Federal Radio Police, in which their
>>sole job is to drive up and down the streets of America, with a van full
>>of very sensitive receiving equipment, and a dipole outside sniffing
>>around for people illegally tuned in to the band (by listening to the LO
>>spurs coming from every receiver. The same technology that the
>>Television Police catch illegal television sets in Europe.

Paul Rampelbergh (Belgium)

2521. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman
Message-ID: <342860aa.14350840@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185191

STATE of MICHIGAN

PUBLIC ACTS 1990-No.78
Page 317
750.508

Equipping vehicle with radio able to receive signals on frequencies assigned for police purposes; permit required; exceptions; misdemeanor; penalty; radar detectors not restricted.
(M.S.A. 28.776)

Sec ,508. (1) Any person who shall equip a vehicile with a radio receiving set that will receive signals sent on frequencies assigned by the federal communications commission of the United States of America for police purposes, or use the same in this state unless such vehicle is used or owned by a peace officer, or a bona fide amateur radio operator holding a technician class, general, advanced or extra class amateur license issued by the federal communications commission, without first securing a permit so to do from the director of the department of state police upon application as he or she may prescribe , shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than 1 year, or by a fine of not more than $500.00, or by both fine and imprisonment in the discrection of the court.

(2) This section shall not be construed as restricting the use of radar detectors.

This act is ordered to take immediate effect.
Approved May 23, 1990.
Filed with the Secretary of State May24, 1990.
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
You also BETTER have the AMATUER LICENSE and a xerox COPY of the act on you!!!

By the way. State and Local laws are preempted by Federal Law.
FCC PR DOCKET 91-36
August 20, 1993

I always carry all 3 in my wallet.

KG8PM

scanner5@ix.netcom.com wrote:

>If your going to carry ... get a permit
>contact MSP and get a "PERMIT FOR USE OF SHORT WAVE RECEIVER IN

>VEHICLE" you can then legally listen to the Police.
>
>By the way it does not say anything about fire, EMS or the like, does
>that mean you can listen to them legally in a vehicle ?.
>
>
>
>On Wed, 17 Sep 1997 13:52:17 -0400, "Jonathan Adair"
><adair@bigfoot.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>
>>marc_k wrote in article <5vgff2$hf6$3@alice.walrus.com>...
>> ...
>>>In fact, the above statement IS correct...only the call sign is
>>>needed...BUT it can be rough to convince a police officer that you are
>>>licensed without showing him/her something official, so I strongly suggest
>>>carrying your ham license at all times(or at least when you are in a motor
>>>vehicle with a scanner/broad-banded ham unit).
>>
>>I keep a copy of the Florida law (which is similar) and my ham license
>>in the glove compartment.
>>
>>--
>>Jonathan Adair, KF4LFG
>>kf4lfg@bigfoot.com
>>http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/7330/
>>
>>
>>
>

.
helmsman@mindspring.com
http://helmsman.home.mindspring.com/
Amateur Radio,Hubble Telescope,Web Cams

2522. Re: Pro 34....How do you clear the memory??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave
Message-ID: <342870a1.947649@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 185192

Alistair S Ross <asr@eng.cam.ac.uk> wrote:

>I do not seem to be able to change or clear the frequencys that hve been
>put into 'memory', The 'key lock' is off,.....so would some kind soul
>please tell me how to do it...cheers
>
>ttfn......Alistair
>--
>: Alistair S Ross
>: Room W7b, Instrument Shop, C.U.E.D.
>: phone - UK(01223)332853
>: email - asr@eng.cam.ac.uk

Go to the memory channel you wish to erase,hit "PGM",hit "0" (zero),
and hit "ENTER".
Dave

2523. Re: BC 9000 XLT Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mike M." <mikemono@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <34285489.524D8A3D@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 185193

Black Wizard

Yeh that Tony guy is the idiot.
As an Aussie BC9000XLT scanner owner I would like to suggest that all of you who complain about government laws etc.. should either put up or if you can't shut up, source your scanners from Australia or some other country where there is still access to the whole frequency spectrum. My 9000XLT on startup shows the the Model No. and Australia when first turned on.
The USA may not be perfect but there are millions from other countries who would readily swap places with all those complainers !.

Mike.

2524. Re: Radio-Shack Website ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <609pjnuhs1@news.mhv.net>
Source record: 185194

In article <3427f4fd.13468222@news.innet.be>, gillard@club.innet.be (Paul Gillard) wrote:
>Hi,
>Does somebody know the website of Radioshack ?
>Thanks
>Paul

http://www.radioshack.com

Tom

Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
trandall@mhv.net Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club /ARRL/10-10
Member: AAVSO Solar Division
APRS on 145.790 - Dutchess county,N.Y.

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

Opinions herein are mine and may not be that of MHV.NET!

2525. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa9yhx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924002000.UAA28506@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185195

>Subject: Re: Illinois Scanner Laws
>From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
>Date: Sun, 21 Sep 1997 21:07:15 -0500
>Message-id: <3425D2D3.730D@scarlan.com>
>
>TandKMoran wrote:
>>
>> >Call your local Police department and ask them, as they are the ones who
>> >would be harrassing you in the first place. If the officer says it's
>> >ok, ask his name, and write it down along with the date and time. A
>> >recording of your conversation will be kept for several years.
>>
>> More anti-police drivel from Lord Nymon. You think police departments keep
>> tapes of non-emergency traffic around for "years"?.
>
>I don't "think" that they do this, I "KNOW"! Why in the hell would I
>say it if I didn't know the facts?! I happen to HAVE an entire
>recording system from a Police department, along with tapes going back 3
>years! Each reel holds around 8 channels (it's not right in front of me
>at the moment) EACH capable of 24 hours on a single reel of tape. I can
>punch in a specific date and time, and it will search to that point in
>seconds, playing any phone calls made to the department at that time.
>
>Don't be so quick to tell people that they are wrong when you yourself
>have NO EXPERIENCE in the subject at hand!! Do what I do: DON'T REPLY
>TO ANY QUESTION unless you are 100% sure that what you are saying is
>CORRECT!!! If I read a question that I "think" I know the answer to,
>but am not sure... I skip over it and DON'T ANSWER IT!!! I wish
>everyone else could do the same thing!!!>

Ted Moran is an associate director of CARMA, and has been instrumental in
the actual "scanner law" in its present form for the City of Chicago. Ted
is a co-editor of Illinois Scanner Master, Monitor America, and a
professional telecommunicator for a municipality near Chicago. Sorry, Ted
DOES know what he's talking about.

Will Sperling, BBS Sysop / Assoc. Director
The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA)
Box 40, Westmont, Il. 60559-0040
BBS: 630-852-1292
FAX: 630-512-0609
Email: WA9YHX@AOL.COM
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

2526. WTB: PRO-43 scanner with cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924022600.WAA01029@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185196

I am looking for a PRO-43 handheld scanner with the cellular modification done. I am willing to pay good $$ for a mint condition unit without any scratches or dents on the case or the frequency display. Thanks.

E-mail to Fbals@aol.com

2527. New PD Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: EICHHOLZBR@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <609vcp$dmc@mtinsc05.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185197

Does anyone have the police dept frequencies for the "new" city
of Citrus Heights, Calif?

TIA

2528. Re: TT BC895 Any In Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billc@rust.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc898$c9e455a0$994445d1@mcsppro200>
Source record: 185198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dennis:

Try Michigan Radio, on Schoener, near 9 Mile Road, in Warren, Michigan.
That's where I bought mine.

Bill Crocker

scanner5@ix.netcom.com wrote in article
<34287278.677829@nntp.ix.netcom.com>...
> Trying to find a BC895 in Michigan. Only one store had them and
> wanted $350.00. Does anyone know if they were shipped to Michigan ?,
> and if so where.
>
> OK to e-mail me so we don't cluter up the list.
>
> TIA
> Dennis
>
>
>

2529. FS: AOR 2500 full 800Mhz scanner with ScanCat software etc and Samsung laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@public.net
Message-ID: <60a0oo$1bl$1@noc.van.hookup.net>
Source record: 185199

Have for sale an AOR 2500 full 800 Mhz coverage commercial quality radiointercept setup. email me thlavac@mail.north.island.net

2530. Re: Cell mod for PRO-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimebufit@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970924033201.XAA26781@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185200

yes i can e mail it to you.

2531. Re: Scanner Licence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cphillips@pobox.com
Message-ID: <60a137$an2@redstone.interpath.net>
Source record: 185201

Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
>Bill Funk wrote:
>> In article <341362DE.35E@scarlan.com>, soldeed@scarlan.com says...
>> > Fred wrote:
>> > > In article <340D0BEE.5045@scarlan.com>, Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com> wrote:
>> > > >Bill Schanks wrote:
>> > > >> How do I go about getting a scanner licence in Minnesota?
>> > > >Go to your Radio Shack store and get the NO-CODE TECHNICIANS Class Study
>> > > >guide, study it, then take the test. You will then have a NATION WIDE
>> > > >Scanner license! Good in ALL 50 STATES!
>> > ALL 50 states!!!! Federal Law overides ANY state law!!!!!
>> >
>>
>> Which Federal Law would this be?
>> I'm unaware of any Federal law saying that HAMs are allowed to use
>> scanners where they are otherwise illegal, as in vehicles, for instance.
>> There *IS* a Federal premptive law making it legal for HAMs to use
>> Amateur Radio equipment that also scans, and is capable of receiving
>> public service freqs. Is this what you are referring to? This law does
>> not apply to scanners, though. Both the FCC and the ARRL have been very
>> clear on that.

But that is what is so nice about the now discontinued ICOM IC2SRA.
It is a 2 meter ham radio, and a full frequency scanner, all in one
handheld package. By federal law, legal for me to carry and scan with in
all 50 states. It *IS* amateur radio equipment, and it *IS* a scanner.

--
========== Opinions expressed are solely those of the author ==========
Curt Phillips, CEM W4CP exKD4YU;WB4LHI| They that can give up essential
Engineer/ Writer/ Gadgeteer/ Raconteur| liberty to obtain a little
Chairman, Tar Heel Scanner/SWL Group | temporary safety deserve neither
ARRL Life; QCWA; Raleigh ARS; NRA; AEE|liberty nor safety. -Ben Franklin
==== cphillips@pobox.com ====== [Copyright 1997 All rights reserved]====

2532. Re: RS 2035 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc8a3$909a7f80$21d4afce@node2>
Source record: 185202

Donald,

Only by replacing the CPU with the UK GRE equivalent
or replacing the logic board with the UK 2035 or 2042
logic board. Prices that I last saw were around 40.00 for
the cpu chip and 160.00 for the logic board (when in stock)

Javiation has a web site that lists these for sale.
--
David Little KD4NUE
dalite01@sprynet.com
Brunswick, Georgia 31523 USA

If CON is the opposite of PRO then it
stands to reason that CONGRESS is
the Opposite of PROGRESS - Go Figure

Donald R. Hoenstine <RickHoenstine@msn.com> wrote in article
<01bcc859$c19f0500$07962399@donaldho>...
> Does anyone know if cellular can be restored to this radio?
>

2533. What is "CTCSS"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ck279@torfree.net
Message-ID: <EGzvuH.39B.0.sheppard@torfree.net>
Source record: 185203

I came across this term in some scanner specs. ...
Q1: what is it used for?
Q2: is it commonly used/important-to-have on a scanner?

Thanks

--

Philip Tong
hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA

2534. NEEDED.. MHP helicopter and airplane freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amy Wallace <amyw@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <342B1098.148AF15D@netdoor.com>
Source record: 184954

Does anyone have the freq for the MHP helicopter and airplanes... to the

cars? They usually use the high band freq that the cars use. However I'm not for sure on this. Any help would be appreciated. Please email me. Any car to car freq would also be appreicated.

--
Amy
amyw@netdoor.com
Halfpint23@aol.com
http://www2.netdoor.com/~amyw

2535. Re: Freqs needed of STS-86

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <342B1C4D.3641@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184955

NStew64359 wrote:
>
> Does anybody have the freqs for the shuttle going up tonight????
> ================================+
> NStew64359@aol.com |
> http://members.aol.com/NStew64359 |
> ================================+

You can hear the Space Shuttle on Real Audio at the following site:

http://www.chron.com/content/interactive/space/missions/sts86/highlights.html

Bob

2536. Re: Help installing mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Schmidt <Bryan442@cris.com>
Message-ID: <342B23A5.8DBFBE26@cris.com>
Source record: 184956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

andrewg@pitnet.net wrote:

> I am thinking of installing a mobile scanner in my 1994 Chevy S-10
> Blazer. How difficult is this? What is the best mobile scanner? I
> will be listening to VHF, UHF, and 800. What is the best way to
> connect
> the power to it? Any tips or suggestions will be greatly appreciated!
>
> Thanks alot!
>
> Andrew

very easy to install but you'll probably need a noise filter for the
power connection

2537. NEXTEL radio/cell phone/pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Schmidt <Bryan442@cris.com>
Message-ID: <342B259C.11B5AE28@cris.com>
Source record: 184957

The company I work for is getting rid of our old dispatch radio system
and going to nextel phone radios these are cell phone and 2-way radio in
one unit does anybody know if it is possible to moniter the radio
portion of transmissions if so what frequency, digital or analog????

any information would be greatly appreciated

thanks
Bryan442@concentric.net

2538. Re: telephone security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com>
Message-ID: <342A9E25.A9854A8A@mail.com>
Source record: 184958
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Don or Darla Arnold wrote:

> DL wrote:
> >
> > Tbrutis1 wrote:
> > >
> > > > How secure am I with my two types of portable phones?
> > >
> > > You're about as secure as a screen door on a submarine, & just as
> useful.
> > > There is ALWAYS a way around to listen. Just remember, wherever
> there's a
> > > front door, there's always a back door.
> > >
> > > END OF STORY!
> > >
> > > Tom
> > > tbrutis1@aol.com
> > >
> > > That's because the #1 Fan of the AA Eastern League Binghamton Mets
> said so
> >
> > If you want cordless phone security you'll need to have a
> fully digital
> > unit. From what I understand, digital communication is almost
> > impossible to break, unless of course you're the US government.
> > --
> >
> > **********
> > * To Reply, remove the "55" from my E-Mail address *
> > * Anti Spam measures enabled *
> > **********
> hi all
>
> I really hate to tell you this but NO repeat NO communications are
> private unless you use ENCRYPTION an you phoone, radio or cell
> phone..with a few parts from the local RADIO SHACK or other electronic
>
> store ANYONE with the backround or the MONEY can listen to your
> private
> conversations..from up to a mile away from your transmitting site..be
> it
> landline or radio or whatever..the technology exsists, then your no

> completly safe..i have personally listened to conversations taken by
> listening to the vibrations of the windowsin an office building..an
> this
> was in the mid 70's
>
> so you see..your really never safe.....put all personal and
> confidential
> communications
> in person outside in a high noise eviorment..thats if your really
> paranoid..if not simple encryption will usally do...in fact as i am
> typeing this on my computer there is a
> guy doing testing on it to see how far away he can pick up the
> signal...
>
> he is over 1/2 mile away now..
>
> happy transmitting

Check out this sight for privacy issues
http://www.thecodex.com/

2539. FS: Scanners: RS Pro-60, Beartracker BCT-12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: award@cisco.com
Message-ID: <MPG.e937a0f77610c04989682@usenet.cisco.com>
Source record: 184959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ba.market.misc,misc.forsale.non-computer,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: 2 Like-New, working scanners

#1 Radio Shack PRO-60

30-512Mhz + 760-824Mhz + 849-869 + 894-999.9875
Box, bags, manual, antenna, cards, batteries, new-ish, no scratches, lens crystal clear. Mint.

$160

#2 Uniden Beartracker BCT-12

Mobile scanner, tracks Highway Patrol, Department of Transportation, road alerts, weather and MORE. Indicates proximity (distance) of highway patrol by signal strength of their car-repeaters.

Mint - includes additional velcro for convenience.

Instructions, antennas, clips, adapters, the works... all in a brand-new box.

$150

--
-Andrew

Andrew Ward
phone: 408 527-2773 | |
800.250.4800 ext 72773 | |
department: Core Products ||| |||
email to: award@cisco.com ..:|||||||:...:|||||||:..
C i s c o S y s t e m s
---
personal: http://users.aol.com/awardblvr

2540. what scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: freyr@nash.net
Message-ID: <freyr-ya02408000R2509971805370001@news.nc5.infi.net>
Source record: 184960

I am ready to get rid of my pro 60. I don't ever want to buy another scanner from radio shack, but where do I go looking? And can someone recommend a good scanner, base preferred but handheld will do the trick, for around $400. It should be able to receive 25MHz to at least a gig.

thanks

2541. best time to pawn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: freyr@nash.net
Message-ID: <freyr-ya02408000R2509971805170001@news.nc5.infi.net>
Source record: 184961

I sent my pro 60 in for repair, for the second time in two months today. When I get this scanner back, I want to pawn it. Can someone tell me a good time to head to the pawnshop? Would I get a better price before or after Christmas?

thanks

2542. Pagers for sale (9)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <n4wfk@richmond.infi.net>
Message-ID: <342ADA68.7D64@richmond.infi.net>
Source record: 184962

NEC Radio Pager model# R5N4E-5E 900MHz digital pagers (five available)
Motorola (Bravo)?? digital pagers top display, not alphanumeric, has
on/off, silent, and light button on side. Not sure what freq.
(4 available)
$175 for all. These were working when pulled out of service about 2
years ago.
Will seperate but need to sell at least $100 worth to be worth messing
with.
May consider trade for ham stuff.

email
n4wfk@richmond.infi.net
or
n4wfk@louisa.net

2543. Re: texas freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342B3DD9.1765@phoenix.net>
Source record: 184963
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

noway wrote:
>
> DPS, Harris county, Comal county
> thanks in advance for your help
>
> Noway
http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/

2544. Expired Orlando URL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: comma@notdot.net
Message-ID: <342dbf21.426336198@news.zippo.com>
Source record: 184964

A number of reference URL'sfor orlando frequencies appear to no longer be valid. Could anyone suggest a list of current ones? I'm also interested in the CareFlight (or other air ambulances) operating in the Orlando area.

TIA.

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227, any and all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2545. AR8000 Bandplan question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kellison@iamerica.net
Message-ID: <342ae36d.30859944@news.iamerica.net>
Source record: 184965

I've acquired an older model AR8000 which doesn't have the US bandplan. Would any of you veteran users of this great HH scanner help me make a usable US bandplan. I live in OK next to an AFB. I'm new to this hobby and this is my first scanner. I would like to make use of all frequencies this scanner covers. I have looked on many web sites, but have had no luck with start and stop frequencies, step size, and what mode(AM, NFM, WFM, USB, LSB & CW) each band should be in. Thanks for any help,

Matt

2546. Re: ICOM PCR1000 Receiver now happening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970925231400.TAA18943@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184966

>Subject: Re: ICOM PCR1000 Receiver now happening
>From: talk2me@soon.com (Anthony Ingram)

>Steve, I have nothing against Icom and your dealership business.
>But what you are doing here is unashamed advertizing and commercial
>acitivity. This is a non-commercial newsgroup.

Yes, it is noncommercial but that means very little, unfortunately.
Unscrupulous business people regularly post their ads here--sometimes
blatantly and sometimes in the guise of FAQs, press releases and such.
They're going to do it and there's nothing you or anyone else can do to stop it.

The only valid response is to question the price/performance of their
products as well as to recommend alternative products and suppliers.
Michael Alexander

2547. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342AF6A3.15BE@usa.net>
Source record: 184967

What the HELL are YOU, a damm school teacher grammar expert??

No one wants to hear your stupid technicalities so get the hell
out of here you fool.

Barry O'Grady wrote:
> Sorry to be vague. You can't use a qualifier with unique since by
> itself it means one of. Thus you can't have very unique or rather
> unique etc.

2548. FS: Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: lwalker@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <60f28d$p33@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale: Radio Shack Pro 2005 400 Channel Scanner. Dim panel backlight otherwise works fine. AC power chord & antenna. $240 Please reply by E-Mail.

2549. FS:R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Perge <perge@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <342AEFAA.1199@ibm.net>
Source record: 184969

For Sale: ICOM R-7100 with cellular coverage. $1100,Tnx,Joe,WB8HWF

2550. Re: Mt Wilson Shuttle re-broadcast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <342B1B70.1667@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184970

Jeff Johnson wrote:
>
> If anyone is interested, a repeater on Mt. Wilson CA is
> re-broadcasting KSC/Atlantis/MIR communications on 224.940 MHz.
>
> Right now it's 8:29pm at KSC, and the Atlantis crew just finished the
> pre-flight air to ground radio checks and are now closing the hatch.

You can also hear the SPace Shuttle on Real Audio at the following site:

http://www.chron.com/content/interactive/space/missions/sts86/highlights.html

Bob

2551. Re: How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342B19F6.753847E1@juno.com>
Source record: 184971

Adrian,

A relatively small thermonuclear device works quite well.

To help you out I will forward a copy of your request to the Dean at
Monash and see it they will help you with this illegal scheme too. I am
sure they will be more than pleased to learn how their system is being
used by such a brilliant student.

Adrian Leatherland wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> Can anyone tell me an easy way (without purchasing expensive/heavy
> equipment),
> how to interfere with a range of frequencies - say with a set of stage
> radio
> microphones all tuned fairly close together ? Is there some device
> (like electric
> beaters) or perhaps something less noisy that will screw with everything
> in nearby
> air ?
>
> Please send me email if you can help: alea4@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au
>
> Adrian.

2552. Re: Fast Food Drive Thru Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <342B209A.CA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184972

Murray Cotnam wrote:
>
> Here in Northern Ontario they can be found around 30mhz-35mhz...hope this
> helps you.............Murray>
>
> Paul Womble <aj4y@gte.net> wrote in article <60auntqfu1@gte2.gte.net>...
> > Does anyone have freqs for fast food drive thrus?? Have tried scanning
> > around 169.000 but no luck.
> >
> > Thanks
> > --
> > Polk County Amateur Radio Home Page
> > http://home1.gte.net/aj4y
> >

Hi Murray,

These are the frequencies to check in Canada.

Fast Food Frequencies in Canada

Frequency Pairs to check

30.0000 - 154.4900
30.4000 - 154.5400
30.4800 - 154.5700
30.4800 - 168.0900
30.5800 - 154.4900
30.8400 - 151.6700
30.8400 - 154.1450
30.8400 - 154.5100
30.8400 - 154.5700
30.8400 - 154.6000
31.2400 - 151.8950
31.3250 - 151.6700
31.5750 - 151.8950
32.4800 - 154.5100
32.4800 - 154.5700
32.4800 - 157.6500
32.4800 - 173.6850
33.1400 - 154.1450
33.1400 - 156.3600
33.1600 - 154.5150
33.4000 - 154.5400
33.8400 - 154.5400
33.8800 - 151.8350

35.0200 - 154.5000
35.0200 - 154.6000
35.1200 - 151.6550
35.8800 - 151.8350
457.5250 - 467.7500
457.5500 - 467.7750
457.5750 - 467.7750
457.5750 - 467.8000
461.0125 - 469.2625
461.0375 - 466.0375
463.4375 - 468.4375

RESTAURANT FREQUENCY Province

Burger King 30.5800 - 154.4900 Ontario
Burger King 30.5800 - 154.4900 Quebec

Burger King 30.8400 - 154.5700 Alberta
Burger King 30.8400 - 154.5700 British Columbia
Burger King 30.8400 - 154.5700 Ontario

Burger King 31.5750 - 151.8950 Manitoba

Burger King 33.4000 - 154.5400 Ontario
Burger King 33.4000 - 154.5400 Quebec
Burger King 33.4000 - 154.5400 Saskatchewan

Burger King 33.8800 - 151.8350 Ontario

Burger King 35.0200 - 154.6000 Alberta
Burger King 35.0200 - 154.6000 British Columbia

==========

Dairy Queen 35.0200 - 154.6000 Alberta

Dairy Queen 457.5750 - 467.8000 Alberta

==========

Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.4800 - 154.5700 Manitoba

Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.5800 - 154.4900 Ontario

Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 - 154.5700 Manitoba
Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 - 154.5700 New Brunswick
Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 - 154.5700 Nova Scotia
Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 - 154.5700 Ontario

Kentucky Fried Chicken 33.1600 - 154.5150 Alberta
Kentucky Fried Chicken 33.1600 - 154.5150 British Columbia

Kentucky Fried Chicken 33.4000 - 154.5400 Alberta
Kentucky Fried Chicken 33.4000 - 154.5400 British Columbia
Kentucky Fried Chicken 33.4000 - 154.5400 Manitoba

Kentucky Fried Chicken 33.4000 - 154.5400 New Brunswick
Kentucky Fried Chicken 33.4000 - 154.5400 Nova Scotia

Kentucky Fried Chicken 33.8400 - 154.5400 British Columbia

Kentucky Fried Chicken 35.0200 - 154.6000 New Brunswick

Kentucky Fried Chicken 35.8800 - 151.8350 Alberta
Kentucky Fried Chicken 35.8800 - 151.8350 British Columbia

Kentucky Fried Chicken 463.4375 - 468.4375 Alberta

==========

McDonalds 30.4800 - 168.0900 Quebec

McDonalds 30.5800 - 154.4900 Manitoba
McDonalds 30.5800 - 154.4900 Ontario
McDonalds 30.5800 - 154.4900 Quebec

McDonalds 30.8400 - 151.6700 Alberta
McDonalds 30.8400 - 151.6700 British Columbia
McDonalds 30.8400 - 151.6700 Ontario
McDonalds 30.8400 - 151.6700 Quebec

McDonalds 30.8400 - 154.1450 British Columbia

McDonalds 30.8400 - 154.5100 Alberta

McDonalds 30.8400 - 154.5700 British Columbia
McDonalds 30.8400 - 154.5700 New Brunswick
McDonalds 30.8400 - 154.5700 Newfoundland & Labrador
McDonalds 30.8400 - 154.5700 Ontario

McDonalds 32.4800 - 154.5100 Alberta
McDonalds 32.4800 - 154.5100 Manitoba

McDonalds 32.4800 - 154.5700 British Columbia

McDonalds 33.1400 - 154.1450 British Columbia

McDonalds 33.4000 - 154.5400 Newfoundland & Labrador
McDonalds 33.4000 - 154.5400 Ontario
McDonalds 33.4000 - 154.5400 Quebec

McDonalds 35.8800 - 151.8350 Alberta

==========

Taco Bell 30.5800 - 154.4900 Ontario

Taco Bell 30.8400 - 154.5700 Ontario

Taco Bell 33.4000 - 154.5400 Alberta
Taco Bell 33.4000 - 154.5400 Ontario

Taco Bell 35.0200 - 154.6000 Alberta

Taco Bell 35.8800 - 151.8350 Alberta

Taco Bell 457.5250 - 467.7500 Ontario

Taco Bell 461.0125 - 469.2625 Ontario

Taco Bell 461.0375 - 466.0375 Alberta

Taco Bell 463.4375 - 468.4375 Alberta
Taco Bell 463.4375 - 468.4375 Ontario

Taco Bell 464.6250 - 469.6250 Manitoba
Taco Bell 464.6250 - 469.6250 Ontario

Taco Bell 464.9625 - 469.9625 Manitoba
Taco Bell 464.9625 - 469.9625 Ontario

==========

Tim Hortons Donut 30.5800 - 154.4900 Ontario

Tim Hortons Donut 30.8400 - 151.6700 Alberta

Tim Hortons Donut 30.8400 - 154.5700 New Brunswick
Tim Hortons Donut 30.8400 - 154.5700 Ontario

Tim Hortons Donut 30.8400 - 154.6000 Alberta

Tim Hortons Donut 33.4000 - 154.5400 Nova Scotia
Tim Hortons Donut 33.4000 - 154.5400 Ontario

Tim Hortons Donut 457.5250 - 467.7500 New Brunswick

Tim Hortons Donut 457.5500 - 467.7750 New Brunswick

Tim Hortons Donut 457.5750 - 467.8000 New Brunswick
Tim Hortons Donut 457.5750 - 467.8000 Nova Scotia
Tim Hortons Donut 457.5750 - 467.8000 Ontario

==========

Wendys 30.4000 - 154.5400 British Columbia

Wendys 30.5800 - 154.4900 Ontario

Wendys 30.8400 - 151.6700 British Columbia

Wendys 30.8400 - 154.5700 Manitoba
Wendys 30.8400 - 154.5700 Ontario

Wendys 33.4000 - 154.5400 Alberta
Wendys 33.4000 - 154.5400 British Columbia
Wendys 33.4000 - 154.5400 Nova Scotia
Wendys 33.4000 - 154.5400 Ontario

Wendys 35.0200 - 154.5000 Alberta

Wendys 35.0200 - 154.6000 Alberta

Wendys 35.8800 - 151.8350 Alberta

Wendys 457.5250 - 467.7500 Ontario

Wendys 457.5500 - 467.7750 Ontario

Wendys 457.5750 - 467.7750 Ontario

Wendys 457.5750 - 467.8000 Ontario

Bob

2553. Re: MOD: Radioshack Realistic PRO-2028

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Montalbano <jrmont@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <342B3723.1CCF@iquest.net>
Source record: 184973

Matt Walker wrote:
>
> I am looking for ANY modifications for this scanner. Thanks,
> Matt
>
> Model Number: 20-403
> Serial Number: 25003513
> FCC ID: AA020-403
>
>

Check out my $12.00 computer interface at
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Way/1522 and join the programit
list by sending email to majordomo@qth.net with a message subscribe
programit.

2554. In the attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Reihmer <simwah@voyager.net>
Message-ID: <342B2AD8.41DE@voyager.net>
Source record: 184974

How much signal do I risk losing by putting my antenna in the attic? Basically, I'm asking about a 25" multiband antenna for 25 - 1200 MHz. The antenna would end up being about the same height off the ground as if I put it on the mast outside, and it would save me about 25' of cable.

Any suggestions would be appreciated.

2555. push button freqs for milair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Gross <jtg3592@ksu.edu>
Message-ID: <342AB6BD.671@ksu.edu>
Source record: 184975

Hi everyone,

I very interested in military aircraft freqs. I have many of the main ones but lack many of the interesting ones. Any help would be appreciated. Does anyone out there have the "push button" frequencies for any of the following groups?:

138th FG Oklahoma ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Tulsa ##"
132nd FW Iowa ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Hawkeye ##"
114th FG South Dakota ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Lobo ##"
190th ARG Kansas ANG (KC-135's) callsigns "Tempo ##"
22nd ARW McConnell AFB in Kansas (KC-135's) callsigns "Turbo ##"
184th BG Kansas ANG (B-1's) callsigns "Jayhawk ##"
552nd ACW Tinker AFB in Oklahoma (E-3B) callsigns "Sentry ##"
"Oscar ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (A-10?)
"Gasser ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (KC-135?)

Thanks to all.

Have a great scanning day.

Jeff Gross
jtg3592@ksu.edu
scanning in Salina, Kansas
Pro-60

2556. Push Button Freqs for milair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Gross <jtg3592@ksu.edu>
Message-ID: <342AB527.5EFD@ksu.edu>
Source record: 184976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi everyone,

I very interested in military aircraft freqs. I have many of the main ones but lack many of the interesting ones. Any help would be appreciated. Does anyone out there have the "push button" frequencies for any of the following groups?:

138th FG Oklahoma ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Tulsa ##"
132nd FW Iowa ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Hawkeye ##"
114th FG South Dakota ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Lobo ##"
190th ARG Kansas ANG (KC-135's) callsigns "Tempo ##"
22nd ARW McConnell AFB in Kansas (KC-135's) callsigns "Turbo ##"
184th BG Kansas ANG (B-1's) callsigns "Jayhawk ##"
552nd ACW Tinker AFB in Oklahoma (E-3B) callsigns "Sentry ##"
"Oscar ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (A-10?)
"Gasser ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (KC-135?)

Thanks to all.

Have a great scanning day.

Jeff Gross
jtg3592@ksu.edu
scanning in Salina, Kansas
Pro-60

2557. Push Button Freqs for milair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Gross <jtg3592@ksu.edu>
Message-ID: <342AB5C5.1FC8@ksu.edu>
Source record: 184977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi everyone,

I very interested in military aircraft freqs. I have many of the main ones but lack many of the interesting ones. Any help would be appreciated. Does anyone out there have the "push button" frequencies for any of the following groups?:

138th FG Oklahoma ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Tulsa ##"
132nd FW Iowa ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Hawkeye ##"
114th FG South Dakota ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Lobo ##"
190th ARG Kansas ANG (KC-135's) callsigns "Tempo ##"
22nd ARW McConnell AFB in Kansas (KC-135's) callsigns "Turbo ##"
184th BG Kansas ANG (B-1's) callsigns "Jayhawk ##"
552nd ACW Tinker AFB in Oklahoma (E-3B) callsigns "Sentry ##"
"Oscar ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (A-10?)
"Gasser ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (KC-135?)

Thanks to all.

Have a great scanning day.

Jeff Gross
jtg3592@ksu.edu
scanning in Salina, Kansas
Pro-60

2558. Push Button Freqs for milair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Gross <jtg3592@ksu.edu>
Message-ID: <342AB3F4.1276@ksu.edu>
Source record: 184978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi everyone,

I very interested in military aircraft freqs. I have many of the main ones but lack many of the interesting ones. Any help would be appreciated. Does anyone out there have the "push button" frequencies for any of the following groups?:

138th FG Oklahoma ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Tulsa ##"
132nd FW Iowa ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Hawkeye ##"
114th FG South Dakota ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Lobo ##"
190th ARG Kansas ANG (KC-135's) callsigns "Tempo ##"
22nd ARW McConnell AFB in Kansas (KC-135's) callsigns "Turbo ##"
184th BG Kansas ANG (B-1's) callsigns "Jayhawk ##"
552nd ACW Tinker AFB in Oklahoma (E-3B) callsigns "Sentry ##"
"Oscar ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (A-10?)
"Gasser ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (KC-135?)

Thanks to all.

Have a great scanning day.

Jeff Gross
scanning in Salina, Kansas
Pro-60

2559. Push Button Freqs for milair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Gross <jtg3592@ksu.edu>
Message-ID: <342AB41E.5EB5@ksu.edu>
Source record: 184979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi everyone,

I very interested in military aircraft freqs. I have many of the main ones but lack many of the interesting ones. Any help would be appreciated. Does anyone out there have the "push button" frequencies for any of the following groups?:

138th FG Oklahoma ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Tulsa ##"
132nd FW Iowa ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Hawkeye ##"
114th FG South Dakota ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Lobo ##"
190th ARG Kansas ANG (KC-135's) callsigns "Tempo ##"
22nd ARW McConnell AFB in Kansas (KC-135's) callsigns "Turbo ##"
184th BG Kansas ANG (B-1's) callsigns "Jayhawk ##"
552nd ACW Tinker AFB in Oklahoma (E-3B) callsigns "Sentry ##"
"Oscar ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (A-10?)
"Gasser ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (KC-135?)

Thanks to all.

Have a great scanning day.

Jeff Gross
jtg3592@ksu.edu
scanning in Salina, Kansas
Pro-60

2560. push button freqs for milair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Gross <jtg3592@ksu.edu>
Message-ID: <342AB821.4D8E@ksu.edu>
Source record: 184980
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi everyone,

I very interested in military aircraft freqs. I have many of the main ones but lack many of the interesting ones. Any help would be appreciated. Does anyone out there have the "push button" frequencies for any of the following groups?:

138th FG Oklahoma ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Tulsa ##"
132nd FW Iowa ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Hawkeye ##"
114th FG South Dakota ANG (f-16's) callsigns "Lobo ##"
190th ARG Kansas ANG (KC-135's) callsigns "Tempo ##"
22nd ARW McConnell AFB in Kansas (KC-135's) callsigns "Turbo ##"
184th BG Kansas ANG (B-1's) callsigns "Jayhawk ##"
552nd ACW Tinker AFB in Oklahoma (E-3B) callsigns "Sentry ##"
"Oscar ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (A-10?)
"Gasser ##" Callsigns - don't know where from??? - (KC-135?)

Thanks to all.

Have a great scanning day.

Jeff Gross
jtg3592@ksu.edu
scanning in Salina, Kansas
Pro-60

2561. PITTSBURGH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970925000801.UAA00256@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185043

anyone know the frequencies for the civic arena in pittsburgh. going to a circus in a few weeks and plan on taking the scanner along. any info would be appreciated,thanx

2562. ICOM PCR1000 Receiver now happening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve@swssec.com
Message-ID: <3429b7bb.55369831@news2.ibm.net>
Source record: 185044

Hello list,

Last night ICOM transmitted this information in their weekly dealer update.

The PCR1000 Receiver is in final beta test, and limited quantities will be released in October. The radio *has* passed FCC type acceptance. It will be available in both a cellular blocked and cellular unblocked version, and I doubt seriously if the blocked versions will be able to be restored.

The radio basically is a software driven receiver, covering from 500 kc to 1300 mc/s, all mode. It is a black box hardware-wise, with a RS232 cable going to the computer, 12 VDC in (12 VDC supply included), BNC antenna in (telescoping antenna included), and external speaker plug. It is not clear from the literature whether a speaker is included or not, or whether there is an internal speaker or external only. If they don't include a speaker then there must be one internally. Speaker volume is controlled via software.

Software provided is for Windows 3.1 or 95. Has online help.

The software includes a "realtime bandscope" function with +/- 200 kc range. Also CTCSS and a 9600 baud output to use with a third party TNC.

The closest I can tell from (re)reading the specs, the receiver seems to be similar to an R10 electrically with an R8500 front panel remoted to software and a few other functions added. Physical size is approx that of a squashed cigar box.

This radio shows all signs of becoming a cult item.

As is typical of ICOM, once the cold hard facts of life show up they likely will raise the prices. End user is supposed to be $599. We are taking orders for it for $499 + UPS as long as ICOM holds their prices. As they are not offering the usual volume discount I expect they have priced the thing pretty skinny for starters and may not hold the price long.

Full info, photos, etc. on our website at
http://www.swssec.com/swsicom .

Until we get a chance to play with one I can't answer any questions beyond what is stated above.

Sales and service on anything ICOM except amateur.

Regards ..... Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland USA
Manufacturers of electronic surveillance and commo gear
tel +1+410-879-4035 fax +1+410-836-1190
mailto:Steve@swssec.com
website: http://www.swssec.com
Celebrating our 25th anniversary in 1997
"In God We Trust, All Others We Monitor"
****

2563. Re: A comment about our ever shrinking scanner freedoms....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: SAm@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <342abb5e.12228454@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185045

On 24 Sep 1997 23:53:37 GMT, muddskip@aol.com (Muddskip) wrote:

>>I sure hope that not too many more restrictions on what we can listen to
>>go into effect, otherwise I will have to file off the word "liberty" off
>>of all the coins in my pocket. That will be alot of work.
>>
>>
>>
>
>Oh yeah that's real smart. Then you'll be arrested for scanners AND
>defacing government property.
>/\/\A/\/
>http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm

To correct a common misconception, it is illegal to deface/destroy US
currency/coin only if you possess the intent to alter it in a manner
that changes the original face value, e.g. "raise" a $1 to a $20.

2564. Re: PITTSBURGH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billc@rust.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc962$b0b71de0$834445d1@mcsppro200>
Source record: 185046

ZOE205:

Here you go...

463.3125
463.7875
468.3125
468.7875
464.5250
461.1125 [food service]
464.4875 [parking]

Bill Crocker

ZOE205 <zoe205@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970925000801.UAA00256@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> anyone know the frequencies for the civic arena in pittsburgh. going to a
> circus in a few weeks and plan on taking the scanner along. any info
would
> be appreciated,thanx
>

2565. Re: Help installing mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2409972354340001@pm54-06.magicnet.net>
Source record: 185047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,,alt.radio.scanner

In article <34298A0C.4D87@pitnet.net>, andrewg@pitnet.net wrote:

> I am thinking of installing a mobile scanner in my 1994 Chevy S-10
> Blazer. How difficult is this? What is the best mobile scanner? I
> will be listening to VHF, UHF, and 800. What is the best way to connect
> the power to it? Any tips or suggestions will be greatly appreciated!
>
> Thanks alot!
>
> Andrew

First, check with your local "authorities".

2566. Freq. lists on CD or DISK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kcin@whidbey.com
Message-ID: <01bcc95f$8a9aad00$97345ecc@kcin>
Source record: 185048

Does anyone know where I can get cheap or free cd's/disk's with freq.
databases on them? Even any kind of cd/disk that has to do with scanners
would be fine.
TIA (Thanks In Advance)
nick

2567. Re: Fast Food Drive Thru Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Murray Cotnam <cotnamm@vianet.on.ca>
Message-ID: <01bcc96f$ab4f8740$65bb32cc@x.vianet.on.ca>
Source record: 185049

Here in Northern Ontario they can be found around 30mhz-35mhz...hope this
helps you.............Murray>

Paul Womble <aj4y@gte.net> wrote in article <60auntqfu1@gte2.gte.net>...
> Does anyone have freqs for fast food drive thrus?? Have tried scanning
> around 169.000 but no luck.
>
> Thanks
> --
> Polk County Amateur Radio Home Page
> http://home1.gte.net/aj4y
>

2568. Sacramento Delta Patrol Freqs Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: LeeC@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3429ee0f.943831@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 185050

I'm new to the area and spend alot of time of the water and would like the Sacramento Delta Waterways Patrol Freqs as well as the Contra Costa Co.

Thanks, Lee

2569. 800 mhz converter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toolman <poustie@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc977$7ab98100$51d2adce@user>
Source record: 185051

Does anybody know where to find plans, kits or complete receive converters? This item should be able to convert a 4-500 mhz uhf radio to rec. 800-900 mhz. I wish to install one on my alinco dr-150 2m mobile; this radio has uhf receive from 406-512 and should cover the cel band [not where prohibited by law] Hihihi.
I think with a 400 mhz upconverter and a two position antenna switch this should be workable. Any thoughts? Will I have to put in some sort of t/r switching? Or perhaps some other means of protecting the unit from tx ?
Tim

2570. F/S 800mhz Yagi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k6dmj1@flash.net
Message-ID: <3429f184.8516078@news.flash.net>
Source record: 185052

I am selling a commercial quality 800 mhz yagi. The unit is 49 inches long, and is end mounted. Made of gold anodized aluminum, the unit has 12 elements, for approximately 11db gain. It has a "N" connector and the center frequency is adjustable. The antenna is rated at 200 watts. This antenna has never been (mounted) outdoors,(no corrosion). The antenna does an excellent job of pulling in weak (mobile) signals in the cell band. I used the antenna for my celllular telephone when camping in remote areas. I now use PCS on 1.9ghz and don't use/need it any longer. I'm asking $60.00 and I will pay the shipping. $5.00 more for COD.

2571. Pro-2006/OS456 F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcc965$0cb06d20$5d1293cf@283138220worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185053
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-2006 with opto-scan 456 and Scan-Cat gold for windows software. Scanner has been modified for 800Mhz and FM Discriminator output. Antenna and manuals including service manual. $500.00 obo I will pay for overnight shipping on prepaid. e-mail or call 303-840-0727.

Mike Dockery KB0SSH

2572. Re: Hurricane watch area for Arizona (update)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2509970009180001@pm54-06.magicnet.net>
Source record: 185104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <342998D0.3041@juno.com>, Phx_radio_man@juno.com wrote:

> Phil Wheeler wrote:
> > Joe____________________FITR wrote:
> > >
> > > Is this guy for real? Oh...this is a radio newsgroup. Sorry. (duh)
> > > Eric...go hide under your ham-shack table. This is a pretty whimpy
> > > tropical storm.
> > >
> >
> > Personally (living in the general area), I think it's a service. I will
> > install those lightning arresters on the two new antennas ASAP (so it
> > does have some amateur radio significance re equipment).
> >
> > Phil W7OX
> Phil,
> I agree. It is a good point and a valuable service.
> I too have valuable radio and computer equipment to protect. Also been
> tracking this storm and it looks like it will prove to be a little more
> powerful than expected...
>
> as for the other guy telling someone to go hide under their ham-shack
> table.... I think it was in poor taste. He did some of us a serives and
> Joe did a lot of us a DISSERVICE.
Perhaps the "hide under the ham-shack" person, has never seen what can
happen in a dessert after alot of rain. Desserts are different, for alot
of reasons. It is not just the cacti.

2573. Re: Hurricane watch area for Arizona (update)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <342dfede.42208499@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 185105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:

>Perhaps the "hide under the ham-shack" person, has never seen what can
>happen in a dessert after alot of rain. Desserts are different, for alot
>of reasons. It is not just the cacti.

I agree. A dessert with cacti would probably taste lousy.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW @ KB7CFD.ID.USA.NOAM

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2574. Re: Matt Walker was:Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevin <iowaboy@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc980$685180e0$aa7ad7cf@default>
Source record: 185106

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<3429EAD8.1BA8@usa.net>...
> I wonder hao many people have grown TIRED of Matt Walker's
> constant bitching & have made a kill file for HIM or hit"K"
> for his messages as he recommends??

Gotta mark my calander. I agree with John!!!!!
Matt sure spends a lot of time IGNORING us "idiots"!

> Matt Walker wrote:>
> > Netscape Communicator has this handy feature to solve these problems.
> > Pressing K will mark the entire message thread to be ignored next time
> > you read your messages. I have even seen the 20 articles, probbly
>

2575. Regency VHF handheld info wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Womble <aj4y@gte.net>
Message-ID: <60d83b$ga9$2@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 185107

I am looking for programing info or a manuel for a Regency Octagon 16 channel vhf radio. Model number is NSP516. It scans but I cant figure out how to program freqs.

Thanks
Paul
--
Polk County Amateur Radio Home Page
http://home1.gte.net/aj4y

2576. Re: The Mackey & Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342A16A8.3F96@usa.net>
Source record: 185108

Eric -

It is sad since "THAT person" is at least honest & not making
bogus posts, UNLIKE YOU.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> However, I guess one won't be forthcomming anytime soon as THAT person
> has a bad habit of sidestepping the issues.

2577. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342A16B4.3A58@usa.net>
Source record: 185109

Eric -

It is sad since "THAT person" is at least honest & not making
bogus posts, UNLIKE YOU.

Phoenix Radio Man wrote:
> However, I guess one won't be forthcomming anytime soon as THAT person
> has a bad habit of sidestepping the issues.

2578. Re: Police Are the Real Criminals These Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ACC@NWO.com
Message-ID: <60ca4s$jnd@masters0.InterNex.Net>
Source record: 185110
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politcs.usa.republican

Anthony Snow <tsnow@earthlink.net> wrote:
>Wow Mike,
>You managed to come up with 5 examples nationwide that spans over the
>last six years. You've realy outdone yourself. In fact I think that
>might even beat the Post Office. No, they've had more shootings.

Everybody chill out for moment. Generally speaking, the police do a fairly decent job in admittedly, a very difficult profession. I wonder how many of you could maintain your composure if you had to daily cope with the level of stress the police have to deal with? Another notification of a slain officer and friend etc. Having said that, I would also likewise say that the ominous trend towards an ever intrusive police state is IMO one of our biggest dangers to the prosperity of a free society.

I first saw it coming with the institution of Random Sobriety Checkpoints. (Get those nasty drunk-drivers off the roads). It all starts so inocuously, but "Where are your papers? still sounds like a nazi dictum even when not uttered with a German accent.

Then it was "Gun Free", "Drug Free" zones around schoolyards accompanied by warrantless searches of passing motorists. (It's for the children.)

Then, black kevlar suited Multi-Jurisdictional Federal agencies encroachments in what should be local police matters. (Waco, Ruby Ridge)

Then, its reports of arrests of people who dare to excercise their religious opinions (arrests at abortion clinics, public prayer sessions in the woods, home-schoolers, harassment of bible studies at schools and private homes.)

Then it was confiscation of drug dealers (Drug-SELLERS) property before obtaining a conviction in a court of law.

Now, here in Oakland, CA, as of this week, the police can confiscate a persons car AND then sell it, for solicitation of a prostitute or BUYING any amount or kind of drugs. A conviction is not necessary. (Personally, I believe that both prostitution and drugs should be illegal, but to confiscate citizens property without obtaining a conviction is a direct violation of the Bill Of Rights and is a far more onerous offense than either of the "victimless crimes" which they are supposed to cure.)

Unfortunately and increasingly, the police have been reduced to mindless enforcers of a myriad of bad laws. Instead of protecting our rights, they have become enforcers of "Peace" and "Safety" and the New World Odor (sic).

My question is: How much more Liberty are you willing to surrender for supposedly more Security?

A Concerned Citizen

"If you surrender your weapons, you will be reduced to hurling stones and meekly pleading for mercy at the feet of your armed oppressors."
-- A.C.C.

2579. Re: RS Pro-26 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: aellery@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970925001201.UAA06105@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185111

Grove has a limited number of NEW PRO-26 that RS passed on to them.

2580. Re: A comment about our ever shrinking scanner freedoms....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2409972350170001@pm54-06.magicnet.net>
Source record: 185112

In article <19970924235301.TAA28850@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
muddskip@aol.com (Muddskip) wrote:

> >I sure hope that not too many more restrictions on what we can listen to
> >go into effect, otherwise I will have to file off the word "liberty" off
> >of all the coins in my pocket. That will be alot of work.
> >
> >
> >
>
> Oh yeah that's real smart. Then you'll be arrested for scanners AND
> defacing government property.
> /\/\A/\/
> http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm
Do you actually think it is against the law to do anything I want to a
quarter I legally own? I can put it in a vise, and saw it in 2 pieces, no
laws broken. (By the way, there is this bridge in New York....)

2581. Re: BC235XLT Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: daleeh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970925043300.AAA27582@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185113

Clint,
Would you mind giving us the part number for this battery. I don't seem to be able to find anything even close on Nicad Lady's website.
Dale

2582. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: edgo@nor.com.au
Message-ID: <342bd089.1488664@nntp.nor.com.au>
Source record: 185114
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>
>We all need to pursuade our friends to take a stand, no matter how trivial
>new laws are, whether they are against our guns, scanners, speech, etc.
>Keep our eyes open, vote, make phone calls, and anything else we can to
>prevent our freedom from being compromised.
>As stated, Keep America from becoming Amerika, comrade!

It all started at Roswell.
Brian

2583. pro-33 mod question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnglmassiv@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970925070001.DAA04857@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185115

After purchasing, at great expense, a book detailing the modification of scanners and radios, I set about the task of performing the "800 MHz Coverage" modification procedure on my RS PRo-33 unit. Clipping "diode 11" was easy enough and soon I was reassembling the scanner case, ready to monitor new and exiting channels...Unfortunatly, nothing seems to have changed. The scanner works as before, including it's lack of full coverage. Is there anyone out there who can assist me in my endeavors? Any help would be appreciated.

Thank you,
brendan

2584. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342A1717.6473@usa.net>
Source record: 185116

Eric-

Several of us have figured you out as using the alias of PRM.

Give it up.

Eric Oyen wrote:
> PRM, Arguing with John leads nowhere... He still thinks that you and
> me are one and the same...
> I don't get it. you live in glendale and I live in Phoenix. Oh well,
> he wants to accuse all he wants, let him.

2585. Need Help w/ AOR 1000XLT Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbern@teleport.com
Message-ID: <342a05d1.437691@news.teleport.com>
Source record: 185117

I recently picked up an AOR 1000XLT. Programming the scanner seems different than other scanners I have owned.

Could someone please email me the procedures on programming the limit search, stroring memory channels and/or any other procedure that would get me started?

Maybe a reseller where I can purchase a manual or a web page with some info?

Thanks in advance.
Frank.(fbern@teleport.com)

2586. The Trunked Radio Systems Information Homepage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lblant1@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <D1BFF074AD6A5C81.AFC11C1002E419D5.401D0C26C3068ECE@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 185118

Everyone,

The Trunked Radio Systems Information Homepage is a success!!

Come take a look at:
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm

We still need submittions for many states, and additions for many areas. Do you have information on your local trunked radio system?.....we'd like to see it. Everyone submitting gets credit for their information.

Highly technical information is welcome on this page....in fact -- we would like to see more.

If you have any questions or submittions, don't hesitate to email me.

Thanks!
-Lb

Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net

See the Trunked Radio Systems Information Page at:
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm

See the DFW Scanner Listeners Page at:
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/scanner_frames.htm

2587. Where is rec.radio.sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jay.allen@warpgate.com
Message-ID: <7b6_9709250500@warpgate.com>
Source record: 185119

From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>

JM> It is kept alive by people like YOU bringing up the issue LIKE YOU
JM> HAVE DONE

John, you lying bastard. No one said a word until YOU brought
up the subject. Now why don't you just go curl up under a rock
and leave the newsgroups alone?

9-1-1 Public Safety BBS	POLICENET SAFENET
LEO'S FIREFIGHTERS SAR	FIDONET
COMMS AMATEUR RADIO	e-mail newsgroups
Home of THE San Diego County BBS List(619) 669-0385

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12

| WarpGate: Jay Allen 52:1000/211
| Internet: jay.allen@warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

2588. Re: MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoSpam.n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <NoSpam.n9jig-ya023080002509970748330001@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 185120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.digital,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.internet

Most MDT's are ENCODED, not ENCRYPTED. The is a big difference! Any radio traffic can be said to be encoded, since ou are changing the form by converting audio to radio and back again. Encrypted means to intentionally change the form of a communication to prevent it's interception or understanding. Encrypted conversations are illegal to monitor, not Encoded.

If the MDT is not encrypted, then have at it. I have not yet found a public safety MDT system that is truly encrypted.

In article <txjones-ya023480002409972252240001@news.transport.com>, txjones@tranxsport.com (Tom Jones) wrote:

> In article <3425F935.4EDE@tsrcom.com>, Don Crozier <ka9qjg@tsrcom.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi From Don, KA9QJG Here is a little better copy of the orignal MDT, we
> >still need to share info and get it better let me know if it works for
> >you ??E-MAIL KA9QJG@TSRCOM.COM We also need to know what the legality of
> >monitoring this type of communications??Its not in the CELL portion of
> >the band,Its not SCRAMBLED or ENCRYPTED but Clear ASCI TEXT 4800 BAUD
> >like Ham Radio pkt
> > HAPPY SCANNING TO ALL AND ENJOY
>
> Because ASCII *is* a code, I've always wondered what would happen if some
> prosecutor tried to establish that MDT transmissions are threrefore being
> encoded. That would(I think) make it illegal. I don't believe it is
> illegal now, (Federally) but then again, I'm no lawyer, either! As well,
> you should check your local laws. But just in case, don't advertise what
> you are doing.
>
> --
> To reply by email,you must correct my address by removing the two X's...
> (They're there to hopefully sidetrack any SPAM/JunqueMail/unsolicited
> messages, etc...

--
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
ScannerMaster Illinois Communications Guide
CARMA The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association
http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

2589. PRO-64 or PRO-2041

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <342b6d60.147541198@204.210.11.126>
Source record: 185121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have the Service Manual for the
PRO-64 or PRO-2041 yet?

Thanks,


```
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
```

2590. Re: PITTSBURGH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <60dsk9$f75$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 185122

zoe205@aol.com (ZOE205) wrote:

>anyone know the frequencies for the civic arena in pittsburgh. going to a
>circus in a few weeks and plan on taking the scanner along. any info would
>be appreciated,thanx

Along with the excellent list provided by another poster you can check
out the Western PA Scanner Page for all types of Pgh. info, URL below.

Also check out:

154.040/158.865
158.895

For security comms etc..

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2591. Re. FCC FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: g <alverne@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcc9c5$873578a0$41ceb7c7@preinstalledcom>
Source record: 185123

Great list previously posted by Dave.

Does anyone have the allocations for 30 mhz and below?

2592. Re: MN state patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjdurkin@mmm.com
Message-ID: <342A8A8F.3D7E@mmm.com>
Source record: 185124

Bryan Schmidt wrote:
>
> I am trying to find a frequency for MN state patrol it is a multi-agency
> channel that is used heavily for police chases around
> minneapolis-St.Paul area
> I've heard it called mnseth or mnsep or something along those lines if
> you know the frequecy for this channel could you please post
>
> Thanks
> Bryan442@concentric.net

Try 155.370 or 155.475. I heard St. Paul police and the State Patrol chase some suspects all the way to Rochester the other night. The St. Paul dispatcher was on the normal frequency (460.150) and simulcast on 155.475.

Pat

Opinions expressed herein are my own and may not represent those of my employer.

2593. Re: PITTSBURGH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <60ds2u$f24$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 185125

zoe205@aol.com (ZOE205) wrote:

>anyone know the frequencies for the civic arena in pittsburgh. going to a
>circus in a few weeks and plan on taking the scanner along. any info would
>be appreciated,thanx

Along with the excellent list provided by another poster you can check
out the Western PA Scanner Page for all types of Pgh. info, URL below.

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2594. Re: Computer Interference-Sportcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Hennigan <dennis@top.monad.net>
Message-ID: <60e309$8va$1@news.monad.net>
Source record: 185126

It is your computer that generates all of the noise. It will probably take some time to clean it up. Let me know if you need help.

1. first thig is to find what is generating. Usally the cables. They must be shielded when used close to a scanner.

2. try removing all of the cables while your tuned to a signal on your scanner. if, when you remove a cable the signal goes away thats the culprit for THAT SIGNAL. There are probably more at other frequencies.

If when you remove all the cables and there are still noise. Its either the case and or ac power line.

What PC do you have?

You can reach me at dennis@top.monad.net

2595. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342a5c4a.8602251@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 185127

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

:Because AOL is not an ISP & requires special software to access
:their system. Any messages coming FROM AOL are clearly marked
:as such in the headers.
:
:In other words, they are "one of a kind".
:
:Barry O'Grady wrote:
:> How can something be very unique when unique means one of?

Sorry to be vague. You can't use a qualifier with unique since by itself it means one of. Thus you can't have very unique or rather unique etc.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2596. optoelectroonics R11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gumballs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60e2lj$52r$1@newsd-2.alma.webtv.net>
Source record: 185128

does anybody know about the new R11 receiver by optoelectonics how it works?

2597. Re: Hurricane watch area for Arizona (update)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: frisbie@flying-disk.spamblock.com
Message-ID: <1997Sep25.091051.1199@flying-disk.spamblock.com>
Source record: 185129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <342dfede.42208499@news.cyberhighway.net>,
glenq@NOSPAMcyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) writes:

>> Desserts are different, for alot of reasons. It is not just the cacti.

> I agree. A dessert with cacti would probably taste lousy.

I disagree. One of our cacti (opuntia robusta) had a bumper crop
of fruit this year and they are very tasty. Sliced and served
plain or with ice cream is good, as are slices in Jello. The
innermost portion, after straining the seeds, makes a very sweet and
tasty sauce. This sauce is good over ice cream and other desserts.

--
-- "From:" line deliberatly munged to prevent harvesting by spambots.

-- Alan E. Frisbie Frisbie "@" Flying-Disk.Com
-- Flying Disk Systems, Inc. (Remove quotes before replying)

2598. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfeng@ibm.com
Message-ID: <19970925.092602.800@vnet.ibm.com>
Source record: 185130
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3429C74A.6D9F@flash.net>, on Wed, 24 Sep 1997 21:07:06 -0500,
Davie <Davie> writes:
>joe d wrote:
>>
>> Joe Farris wrote:
>> >
>> > > >
>
> (nothing but irrelevant political rantings and ravings)

proving that David084@flash.net does not have enough brains to keep himself from looking like an idiot as he persists in posting in newsgroups where his intellectual excrement is off-topic. We can only hope that one day he will learn some manners and behave with some maturity.

2599. Re: arizona med radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamoran@mail.goodnet.com
Message-ID: <60e4no$af6$4@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 185131

The MEDCOM frequencies are standard nationwide in the USA
the frequencies are paired med 1 463.000/468.000, MED 2 463.025/468.025
channel spacing is .025 MED 10 462.975/467.975 MED 9 462.95/467.95

sometimes these frequencies are duplex..you hear hospital on one frequency
and medic/ambulance on the other.
JOHN

The Rounds Family (BonR@getnet.com) wrote:
:]dose anybody know what the REAL numbers to arizonas med radio system is?
:]i got a list but can not hear the field medics, just the hospital. and
:]also not med 9. (i also know they sometimes use cell phones)
:]
:] thanks in advance!! you can send info to Kevin Rounds at
:]BonR@getnet.com

--

JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
vi..my favorite text editor (:
>Don't send junk/spam mail, I get that stuff in duplicate. When I see<
>two or more messages from the same, They go straight to bit bucket! <
if responding to a usenet post send e-mail also so I will check the group
---

2600. lawyers test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342A98A3.2DB1@phoenix.net>
Source record: 185132
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

The lawyer thing and this post is a test for radio transmission of
newsgroups. Don't be upset. Take a deep breath and just think of me as
some other nut wasting internet bandspace. WE ARE WATCHING.
joe d

2601. Re: PITTSBURGH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <60e879$i39$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 185133

zoe205@aol.com (ZOE205) wrote:

>anyone know the frequencies for the civic arena in pittsburgh. going to a
>circus in a few weeks and plan on taking the scanner along. any info would
>be appreciated,thanx

Along with the excellent list provided by another poster you can check
out the Western PA Scanner Page for all types of Pgh. info, URL below.

Also check out:

154.040/158.865
158.895

For security comms etc..

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2602. Re: best general antenna (Wineguard)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Brubaker <bob@belvoir.army.mil>
Message-ID: <60e7sm$lc6$1@nozzle.tacom.army.mil>
Source record: 185134

The Wineguard UHF Antenna with the built in Pre-amp really works well.
Unfortunately I don't remember the model. Not only does it work but it's
also a brick. I've had one up for 17 years. The pre-amp still solid at
the 850mhz rannge and all the element are still straight.

I've popped this on the group earlier and have had a lot of email from other
happy winegurad using scanner buff's

Brub W4NNG

Milton wrote in article <60e48f$d88$1@gail.ripco.com>...
>directional antennas.... I remember people loved the old channelmaster
>
>antenna. Could mount a rotator etc. or maybe just go with an amp on the
>
>discone. Not sure if Icom makes the discone anymore...any other
>
>recommendations. I found that I was actually able to get the weathersats
to
>
>some extent with the discone, great 30-900mhz not sure above that...i have
an
>
>R7000 with the t.v. adapter, although have never seen much on the t.v.
>
>portion. So what is your favorite general use antenna for 30-1000 mhz?
>--

2603. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: a315sps@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60eagc$44r$1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185135

Its just like when the government tries to outlaw guns. what we do is
to lobby to the government (ie. your congressman) to keep our scanners.
You can cry and lose your scaners or go out and fight for it. You all
can be a man or a boy. Your pick.

2604. Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: a315sps@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60e9hh$421$1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185136

Ray you are right.

2605. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: a315sps@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60ear2$45i$1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185137

Just move to South Carolina, scanners are legal in vehicles and the weather is a lot better. And this comes from a yankee from upstate NY who got smart and moved down south.

2606. hey i need freq for the pompano fire police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gqcat@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970925201201.QAA29483@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185138

i need frq for police fire air fire......trunked fire also southern emergency freq thanks ron cathers

2607. Re: Union Pacific RR POLICE???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: a315sps@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60ebfr$472$1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 185139

The best thing to do is to get a Police Call freq. directory and in the back they have all the railroad freqs. Key on the mainline freqs. because the railroad police usually are talking to the dispatchers. they may have there own freqs. so monitor these channels also. the railroads have specific frequencies and the all have a channel number. Marty

2608. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <342AC2E2.14BF@scarlan.com>
Source record: 185140

WA9YHX wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Illinois Scanner Laws
> >From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
> >Date: Sun, 21 Sep 1997 21:07:15 -0500
> >Message-id: <3425D2D3.730D@scarlan.com>
> >
> >TandKMoran wrote:
> >>
> >> >Call your local Police department and ask them, as they are the ones who
> >> >would be harrassing you in the first place. If the officer says it's
> >> >ok, ask his name, and write it down along with the date and time. A
> >> >recording of your conversation will be kept for several years.
> >>
> >> More anti-police drivel from Lord Nymon. You think police departments keep
> >> tapes of non-emergency traffic around for "years"?.
> >
> >I don't "think" that they do this, I "KNOW"! Why in the hell would I
> >say it if I didn't know the facts?! I happen to HAVE an entire
> >recording system from a Police department, along with tapes going back 3
> >years! Each reel holds around 8 channels (it's not right in front of me
> >at the moment) EACH capable of 24 hours on a single reel of tape. I can
> >punch in a specific date and time, and it will search to that point in
> >seconds, playing any phone calls made to the department at that time.
> >
> >Don't be so quick to tell people that they are wrong when you yourself
> >have NO EXPERIENCE in the subject at hand!! Do what I do: DON'T REPLY
> >TO ANY QUESTION unless you are 100% sure that what you are saying is
> >CORRECT!!! If I read a question that I "think" I know the answer to,
> >but am not sure... I skip over it and DON'T ANSWER IT!!! I wish
> >everyone else could do the same thing!!!>
>
> Ted Moran is an associate director of CARMA, and has been instrumental in
> the actual "scanner law" in its present form for the City of Chicago. Ted
> is a co-editor of Illinois Scanner Master, Monitor America, and a
> professional telecommunicator for a municipality near Chicago. Sorry, Ted
> DOES know what he's talking about.

How does being in a "scanner club" make him an expert on police
telephone record procedures?! (or ANYTHING for that manner?) WHOOPS!!

2609. Re: PITTSBURGH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <60dt26$f9k$1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 185141

zoe205@aol.com (ZOE205) wrote:

>anyone know the frequencies for the civic arena in pittsburgh. going to a
>circus in a few weeks and plan on taking the scanner along. any info would
>be appreciated,thanx

Along with the excellent list provided by another poster you can check
out the Western PA Scanner Page for all types of Pgh. info, URL below.

Also check out:

154.040/158.865
158.895

For security comms etc..

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2610. Family Radio Service page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bkobb@SPAMMnova.org
Message-ID: <bkobb-2509971118020001@ab1-04.dial.nova.org>
Source record: 185142

The Family Radio Service page contains FAQ, background
and news about FRS, tracking the service since it started.

I will remove this page in a few weeks, so capture the
information now for future reference if you need it.

Bennett Kobb
http://members.nova.org/~bkobb/

2611. "Attn: S.John Mackey Fans!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnsnurse@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970925214300.RAA11748@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185143

```
Happy Happy S.JohnMackey!
Come to the party!
Fans
Friends
(all of the above)
(none of the above)

http://www.angelfire.com/ca/mymelrose
```

2612. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa9yhx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970925215001.RAA06654@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185144

>Subject: Re: Illinois Scanner Laws
>From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
>Date: Thu, 25 Sep 1997 15:00:34 -0500
>Message-id: <342AC2E2.14BF@scarlan.com>
>

>How does being in a "scanner club" make him an expert on police
>telephone record procedures?! (or ANYTHING for that manner?) WHOOPS!!
>
To those who know Ted, and respect him, I have made my point.

Will Sperling, BBS Sysop / Assoc. Director
The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA)
Box 40, Westmont, Il. 60559-0040
BBS: 630-852-1292
FAX: 630-512-0609
Email: WA9YHX@AOL.COM
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

2613. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: FRG9600 <frg9600@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bcca06$4169d280$2aafbacd@mikey>
Source record: 185145

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<342A1717.6473@usa.net>...
> Eric-
>
> Several of us have figured you out as using the alias of PRM.
>
> Give it up.
>
That's right John Eric thinks there is a guy in Pheonix that is using his
old CB handle and that he is blind
and posting to the smae exact newsgroups as himself also using the same
ISP. Now thats a little bit too coincidental. Maybe PSN can figure out if
the same guy is changing the headers on his posts which is against his TOS
agreement.
>
> Eric Oyen wrote:
> > PRM, Arguing with John leads nowhere... He still thinks that you and
> > me are one and the same...
> > I don't get it. you live in glendale and I live in Phoenix. Oh well,
> > he wants to accuse all he wants, let him.
>

2614. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342AF84B.73E@usa.net>
Source record: 185146

Eric must think we are as big of fools as he is.

The coincidences are just to much, same ISP, same handle,
same town, same newsgroups, both blind, same hobby (radio).

We aren't THAT stupid to believe it. But Eric is certainly
stupid for thinking me might!

FRG9600 wrote:
> That's right John Eric thinks there is a guy in Pheonix that is using his
> old CB handle and that he is blind
> and posting to the smae exact newsgroups as himself also using the same
> ISP. Now thats a little bit too coincidental. Maybe PSN can figure out if

2615. Re: Matt Walker was:Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bud.jamison@thekat.cts.com
Message-ID: <970925160002429@thekat.cts.com>
Source record: 185147

```
-> From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
-> Subject: Matt Walker was:Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?
->
-> I wonder hao many people have grown TIRED of Matt Walker's
-> constant bitching & have made a kill file for HIM or hit"K"
-> for his messages as he recommends??

Not NEARLY as many as have twitted you, I'll bet.
```

2616. Re: ICOM PCR1000 Receiver now happening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: talk2me@soon.com
Message-ID: <342a7a99.8452828@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 185148

On Thu, 25 Sep 1997 01:07:01 GMT, Steve@swssec.com (Steve Uhrig)
wrote:

>Hello list,
>
>Last night ICOM transmitted this information in their weekly dealer
>update.
>
>The PCR1000 Receiver is in final beta test, and limited quantities
>will be released in October. The radio *has* passed FCC type
>acceptance. It will be available in both a cellular blocked and
>cellular unblocked version, and I doubt seriously if the blocked
>versions will be able to be restored.

[snip]

>This radio shows all signs of becoming a cult item.
>
>As is typical of ICOM, once the cold hard facts of life show up they
>likely will raise the prices. End user is supposed to be $599. We
>are taking orders for it for $499 + UPS as long as ICOM holds their
>prices. As they are not offering the usual volume discount I expect
>they have priced the thing pretty skinny for starters and may not hold
>the price long.

[snip]

>Sales and service on anything ICOM except amateur.
>
>Regards ..... Steve

Steve, I have nothing against Icom and your dealership business.
But what you are doing here is unashamed advertizing and commercial
acitivity. This is a non-commercial newsgroup.

I will stop short of calling it spamming, but would ask you, in the
name of preserving at least the existing degree of credibility of this
newsgroup to refrain from similar commercial postings in the future.

Many thanks.

Tony

2617. Re: Balloon Fiesta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <342A7C96.1BF9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185149

Don Sherry wrote:
>
> Planning to be in Albuquerque, New Mexico, next month to catch
> the end of the annual Balloon Fiesta. Can anyone share frequency
> information for this event?
>
> By the way, if you haven't been, it's well worth the trip.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Don Sherry

Hi Don,

Check out the following WEB Page:

http://www.swcp.com/~ab5p/albq_rad.htm

Bob

2618. lawyers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342A9759.6B67@phoenix.net>
Source record: 185150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Millions upon millions of lawyers are possiably killed or maimed or die
of natural causes each year and we never see or hear anything in the
news media.!!WHY?!! You may ask. Because who cares?

2619. best general antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: milton@ripco.com
Message-ID: <60e48f$d88$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 185151

Well believe it or not, some idiot actually stole my Icom discone antenna from off of an apartment roof. I have moved to a house now and am looking to put up an new antenna. I really thought the discone worked pretty well, even though I didn't mount an amp up with it, but now am trying to decide if I should put up another discone with or without an amp, or go to one of the more directional antennas.... I remember people loved the old channelmaster antenna. Could mount a rotator etc. or maybe just go with an amp on the discone. Not sure if Icom makes the discone anymore...any other recommendations. I found that I was actually able to get the weathersats to some extent with the discone, great 30-900mhz not sure above that...i have an R7000 with the t.v. adapter, although have never seen much on the t.v. portion. So what is your favorite general use antenna for 30-1000 mhz?
--

2620. Re: Icom PCR 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael.Lowenthal@usa.net
Message-ID: <342a7cac.8983595@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 185152

On Wed, 24 Sep 1997 22:49:01 GMT, edgo@nor.com.au (brian w edginton) wrote:

>The new Icom PC operated receiver is now available here in Australia.
>It is about 50% dearer than WinRadio (which I have). If it is 50%
>better in the receive area, I would consider buying the Icom.
>While the WR has excellent software and is great fun to play with, I
>am just a little disappointed with receive quality....not bad but not
>terrific compared to my other scanners (including handhelds)
>I have done the mandatory Dejanews search and have only found one
>opinion....not particularly favorably.
>Any other experiences out there ?
>Brian

I have been lucky having witnessed Winradio and PCR1000 compared side by side. I found the performance very similar. Icom has more shortwave related features, such as passband tuning and more filters, but when I was tuning around on shortwaves, I found that Winradio was actually often receiving better on HF where Icom was noisy - strange.
On VHF/UHF (my main area of interest) I couldn't see any perceptible difference.

I finished up buying Winradio for the following reasons:

* scanning speed is about 3 times faster than Icom
* better user interface and more features
* no need for any external power supply and cables
(with one serial port already used for a modem and the other for a mouse, connecting the Icom sounded rather problematic)

Mike

2621. Space Shuttle Atlantis: STS-86 on Real Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <342B1EB8.1A6@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

Hi All,

If anyone is interested, a Real Audio site is re-broadcasting
all KSC/Atlantis/MIR communications for this evenings launch.

Right now it's 7:30pm PDT and the Atlantis crew just been cleared
for launch. T minutes 2 minutes and counting.

You can hear the Space Shuttle on Real Audio at the following site:

http://www.chron.com/content/interactive/space/missions/sts86/highlights.html

Bob

2622. WTT: Icom T7A for Bearcat Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Gasta <tomgasta@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <342C5E21.D5D53CD1@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

A friend has asked me to post a trade offer. He has a two month old Icom T7A (I think thats right) dual band small portable that he wants to trade for a Bearcat BC235XLT Trunk Tracker. E-mail me with a phone number if you are interested and I will pass it to him.

Tom

2623. Re: lawyers test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342C2E7A.650A@phoenix.net>
Source record: 184805
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

nightman wrote:
>
> joe d wrote:
>
> > The lawyer thing and this post is a test for radio transmission of
> > newsgroups. Don't be upset. Take a deep breath and just think of me as
> > some other nut wasting internet bandspace. WE ARE WATCHING.
> > joe d>
>
> Ohhhh, I'm quivering in my boots!
>
> --
> NIGHTMAN-Email: nightman@techie.com
> Pardon my driving; I'm reloading.
Tnks for all the replies, the software is doing what it was designed to
do. As WE WATCHED the Net Medic program do it's thing we noticed an
inprovement in throughput. thanks again.
The Group

2624. Re: LOCAL POLICE SEIZING RADIOS, Consumer Equipment at Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jimmy Miller <jueb@wetdef.qwe.com>
Message-ID: <01bccab5$4b246920$LocalHost@christopher>
Source record: 184806

> I think that a very good idea is for all scanner owners install "panic"
> buttons on their scanners. That way, if the police approach you, and you
> have a scanner, the panic button would be a push-button switch wired to
> the CPU reset circuit in the radio, and pressing the button will erase
> ALL pre-programmed frequencys in the scanner (resetting the CPU),
> leaving no evidence that they can use to bust you for receiving off
> limit portions of the electromagnetic spectrum. My Yaesu FT-50: All I
> would do is hold ALL 3 buttons on the side of the radio down and then
> turn the power button on. That way, all the cop would see is 144.000,
> and that is it. No other stored frequencies in the rig.
>

Great idea! But the only problem is what if they search your place when
your not there other than keeping it with you all the time? Then you
busted. How about a password protected scanner? after three bad attempts on
the scanner, it resets itself?

2625. FS: Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <n3kre@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <342C659E.6FCF@voicenet.com>
Source record: 184807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Pro 2004 scanner for sale. It covers from 25 MHz to 1300 MHz, there are no gaps. There are 400 channels, covers AM, FM, WFM. There are no blemishes or worn spots on the keypad. I have the original box and manual, owned by non smoker. Price is $185.00 + shipping (no checks, no COD's, POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY!!!) I will ship it by UPS. E-mail me for more info.

-Dennis

2626. Digital Signals on 160.710 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bd703@scn.org
Message-ID: <EH3B36.L60@scn.org>
Source record: 184808

I've become aware of some sort of digital data being sent on 160.710 mhz
in the Seattle area. Sounds sort of like packet.

Does anyone know what this is?

Kerwin

--
****
* They know who you are...
bd703@scn.org * They know where you live...
* And there's no doubt about it!

2627. ooops.. let's make this more clear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amy Wallace <amyw@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <342C3F19.C85D797B@netdoor.com>
Source record: 184809

I am looking for the Mississippi Highway Patrol helicopter and airplane freq. I need the freq that they use to call to the cars. I think it is the high band car to car freq. However, I am not for sure. If anyone can help.. please email me

--
Amy Wallace
amyw@netdoor.com
ICQ# 2489669 AOL- Halfpint23
Amy's Place
http://www2.netdoor.com/~amyw

2628. Re: In the attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: llevy2@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <342c2c80.16843923@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 184810

Hi, David:

Due to neighbors who don't understand the benefits of scanning..(until the 1994 earthquake, that is (grin).. I had to mount my discone in the attic. Its been up there 12 years now with no problems.

Radio signals will not be attenuated much by an antenna mounted in an attic as opposed to on the outside of the roof. There are, however, two caveats to this: 1. The home should be of wood construction (no bricks or metal siding) 2. The roof should be wood shake, though ours is of clay shingles.

Mount the antenna as high up in the attic as poossible and away from any large metal objects such as furnaces, ducting, etc.

-Larry.

On Thu, 25 Sep 1997 20:24:08 -0700, David Reihmer <simwah@voyager.net> wrote:

>How much signal do I risk losing by putting my antenna in the attic?
>Basically, I'm asking about a 25" multiband antenna for 25 - 1200 MHz.
>The antenna would end up being about the same height off the ground as
>if I put it on the mast outside, and it would save me about 25' of
>cable.
>
>Any suggestions would be appreciated.

2629. Re: In the attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926215300.RAA23027@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184811

>Subject: In the attic?
>From: David Reihmer <simwah@voyager.net>

>How much signal do I risk losing by putting my antenna in the attic?

I have my antenna in my attic and it seems to work just fine. I haven't compared what the effect is in and out of the house, so I can't say if I'm missing out on stuff that I might hear otherwise. I easily get police, fire etc. in towns that are 30-35 miles away, which is all I care about.
Michael Alexander

2630. Re: Matt Walker was:Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342C790E.7A7D@usa.net>
Source record: 184812

Someone should explain to Matt Walker that when you point the
finger at someone else you still have 3 fingers pointing back
at yourself!

Gary wrote:
> I like that he bitches about stuff *clogging* up the newsgroup yet he
> on occasion posts in HTML , we need *several* posting formats to

2631. Re: Marine VHF - in a car???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ironman <ironman4@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <342C8345.322A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 184813

Muddskip wrote:
>
> I'm not trying to be rude but just out of curiosity hi=ow are you so sure
> they were in cars?
> /\/\A/\/
> http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm

Mike wrote: I've heard of drug traffickers/growers using marine
handhelds. They have alot of channels and if your not near water
noone would ussually monitor that band...

2632. RS pro 2006...to keep or not to keep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Wallis <mwallis@fidalgo.net>
Message-ID: <342C909D.F6C0CF71@fidalgo.net>
Source record: 184814

I have a pro2006 and i was thinking about trading it in for a somewhat
more portable model, but a friend of mine said i should keep it because
it's coverage is better than scanners you can buy now.
Is there any truth to this??? can i listen to stuff i'm not s'posed to
with this model ??
How much is it worth if i was to sell it ??
Thanks in advance
FNG-me

--
Mark Wallis - Mt. Vernon, WA

http://www.fidalgo.net/~mwallis/

2633. Re: lawyers test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Mills <"tmills@flash.net"@flash.net>
Message-ID: <60i62b$6i1$2@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 184815
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

```
joe d wrote:
>
> nightman wrote:
> >
> > joe d wrote:
> >
> > > The lawyer thing and this post is a test for radio transmission of
> > > newsgroups. Don't be upset. Take a deep breath and just think of me as
> > > some other nut wasting internet bandspace. WE ARE WATCHING.
> > > joe d>
> >
> > Ohhhh, I'm quivering in my boots!
BANDSPACE? Never heard of "bandspace". He must mean "bandwidth" which
also doesn't really apply to Internet. A better term might be
"information". Bandwidth refers to information per second, information
is just that, the total amount of whatever. However, there is no
correlation between information and intellegence.

> >
> > --
> > NIGHTMAN-Email: nightman@techie.com
> > Pardon my driving; I'm reloading.
> we all are
```

2634. > TE KOOP AOR3000A / F.S. AOR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: boxers@free.com
Message-ID: <342c284f.1945109@news.euro.net>
Source record: 184816
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Te koop: AOR3000A scanner, ivm aanschaf AOR5000.
16 maanden gebruikt. In perfecte staat.
Specificaties:
Ontvangstbereik: 100 Khz - 2036 Mhz
Modulatiesoorten: USB, LSB, CW, AM, NFM, WFM
Kanalen: 400 kanalen, 100 per bank
Nieuwprijs: fl. 2298,--
vaste prijs: fl. 1398,--
Eventueel inruil Realistic Pro 2006 mogelijk
Reacties: email to:
pjcarels@concepts.nl

++++++++++++++++
FS. AOR 3000A Price: fl. 1398,--
More info:
pjcarels@concepts.nl
++++++++++++++++++++++++
Also see:
http://www.sunridge.net/index.phtml?id=21469

2635. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342C6153.2B9C@usa.net>
Source record: 184817

OK, everyone except CB Guy isn't so stupid as
to believe it.

The CB Guy wrote:
> I beg to differ

> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net writes:
> >We aren't THAT stupid to believe it.

2636. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "/\\ndroid"
Message-ID: <60h9vt$9qq@drn.zippo.com>
Source record: 184818

In article , n2ywd@aol.com says...
>
>In article <01bccaa1$c9b8ad00$cc5ed4c7@penny>, "Penny" <Penny@hwcn.org> writes:
>
>>Well that is all fine and dandy what you say.
>>BUT
>>I happened to be in a Radio Shack store when
>> Mr. friendly policeman came in for the names of scanner purchasers.
>>Seems he was looking for people doing break-ins.>>
>
>Unfortunately, Mr. Policeman will be disappointed, as we cannot produce a
>report that lists something as specialized as that at the store level. (We
>can however print the receipts out for that day's sales)

Radio Shack's Point of Sale system only keeps that day's sales, and doesn't
have a search sales by SKU function? That would be .. unique.

I'm sure the main office does poll the stores each night, but not keeping
the last n days of sales at the store would make a number of things like
returns and manager reports an impossible task.

I'm not flaming, I just find the lack of sales records at the store very
hard to believe. (Is it possible those functions were manager restricted?)

/\<.

2637. Re: Optoelectronics Micro-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmurray407@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926231101.TAA28956@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184819

I just bought one. Not to sensitive. Without the optional antenna you have to be right on top of the transmitter to get a reading. With the antenna you get a little distance. There's a small screw on the back of the case, does anyone know what it is for?>Has anyone had any experience with the new Optoelectronics
>Micro-frequency counter?

2638. Re: hi again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342CA15C.269CA8A3@juno.com>
Source record: 184820

The R7000 has a voice synthesizer option.

Dana Yeomans wrote:
>
> is there anyone that might have a scanner with
> a voice synthesizer for sale, if there is such an animal out there, e-mail
> back, i think icom made one years ago.
>
> random access internet shell account
> standard disclaimers apply

2639. Re: Marine VHF - in a car???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Mills <"tmills@flash.net"@flash.net>
Message-ID: <60i67s$6i1$3@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 184821

ironman wrote:
>
> Muddskip wrote:
> >
> > I'm not trying to be rude but just out of curiosity hi=ow are you so sure
> > they were in cars?
> > /\/\A/\/
Most drug problems occur in big cities and most big cities happen
to be near water. Should be intersting....

> > http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm
>
> Mike wrote: I've heard of drug traffickers/growers using marine
> handhelds. They have alot of channels and if your not near water
> noone would ussually monitor that band...

2640. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott W. Lowry" <slowry@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <342BA84F.624D@interaccess.com>
Source record: 184822

TandKMoran wrote:
>
> Lord Nymon-
>
> How does buying a surplus recording machine make YOU an authority??? And
> what on earth does this have to do with the issue of Illinois Scanner Laws,
> your Anti-Police bias and your lame concepts of legal defense via tape
> recordings???
>
> -This is rapidly getting off-topic, though this hasn't stopped you in the past
> -Ever heard of slander?
> -Send a copy of this thread to your lawyer. Then call him on the phone. If
> he says it isn't slander and I still sue you, you'll still be okay because
> lawyers save tapes of their phone calls for years.
>
> Part of a miserable thread...
> >> Ted Moran is an associate director of CARMA, and has been instrumental in
> >> the actual "scanner law" in its present form for the City of Chicago. Ted
> >> is a co-editor of Illinois Scanner Master, Monitor America, and a
> >> professional telecommunicator for a municipality near Chicago. Sorry, Ted
> >> DOES know what he's talking about.
> >
> >How does being in a "scanner club" make him an expert on police
> >telephone record procedures?! (or ANYTHING for that manner?) WHOOPS!!
You go homeboy!

Scooter

2641. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net>
Message-ID: <60fp6b$e5q$1@sequoia.idir.net>
Source record: 184904

or.... you could do this..... get a bubblepack mailer and adress it to yourselfand place postage on it. When stoped place your scanner in the envelope and seal it. Tampering with the mail is VERY illegal and I doubt the cops would want to go to jail. (this works for radar detectorsin states where thier illegal as well)

helmsman wrote in article <342860aa.14350840@news.mindspring.com>...

>STATE of MICHIGAN
>
>PUBLIC ACTS 1990-No.78
>Page 317
>750.508
>
>Equipping vehicle with radio able to receive signals on frequencies
>assigned for police purposes; permit required; exceptions;
>misdemeanor; penalty; radar detectors not restricted.
>(M.S.A. 28.776)
>
>Sec ,508. (1) Any person who shall equip a vehicile with a radio
>receiving set that will receive signals sent on frequencies assigned
>by the federal communications commission of the United States of
>America for police purposes, or use the same in this state unless such
>vehicle is used or owned by a peace officer, or a bona fide amateur
>radio operator holding a technician class, general, advanced or extra
>class amateur license issued by the federal communications commission,
>without first securing a permit so to do from the director of the
>department of state police upon application as he or she may prescribe
>, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment in the
>county jail for not more than 1 year, or by a fine of not more than
>$500.00, or by both fine and imprisonment in the discretion of the
>court.
>
>(2) This section shall not be construed as restricting the use of
>radar detectors.
>
>This act is ordered to take immediate effect.
>Approved May 23, 1990.
>Filed with the Secretary of State May24, 1990.
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
>You also BETTER have the AMATUER LICENSE and a xerox COPY of the act
>on you!!!
>
>By the way. State and Local laws are preempted by Federal Law.
>FCC PR DOCKET 91-36

>August 20, 1993
>
>I always carry all 3 in my wallet.
>
>KG8PM
>
>
>
>scanner5@ix.netcom.com wrote:
>
>>If your going to carry ... get a permit
>>contact MSP and get a "PERMIT FOR USE OF SHORT WAVE RECEIVER IN
>>VEHICLE" you can then legally listen to the Police.
>>
>>By the way it does not say anything about fire, EMS or the like, does
>>that mean you can listen to them legally in a vehicle ?.
>>
>>
>>
>>On Wed, 17 Sep 1997 13:52:17 -0400, "Jonathan Adair"
>><adair@bigfoot.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>marc_k wrote in article <5vgff2$hf6$3@alice.walrus.com>...
>>> ...
>>>>In fact, the above statement IS correct...only the call sign is
>>>>needed...BUT it can be rough to convince a police officer that you are
>>>>licensed without showing him/her something official, so I strongly
suggest
>>>>carrying your ham license at all times(or at least when you are in a
motor
>>>>vehicle with a scanner/broad-banded ham unit).
>>>
>>>I keep a copy of the Florida law (which is similar) and my ham license
>>>in the glove compartment.
>>>
>>>--
>>>Jonathan Adair, KF4LFG
>>>kf4lfg@bigfoot.com
>>>http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/7330/
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>

2642. Thunderbird Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbalch@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <342afdd5.2175230@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 184905

Does anyone out there know what the Thunderbirds freq are for the Ellington Air Show in Houston? Thanks in advance.

2643. texas freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: noway@onramp.net
Message-ID: <34310406.70710680@news.onramp.net>
Source record: 184906
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

DPS, Harris county, Comal county
thanks in advance for your help

Noway

2644. F.S. Pro 2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: msgsports@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926005100.UAA26503@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184907

Hi

I have a Pro 2022 scanner for sale in near mint condition with celluar.

$175.00 with papers & Box

Thanks

2645. Re: Freq. lists on CD or DISK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926095801.FAA24812@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184908

>Subject: Freq. lists on CD or DISK
>From: <kcin@whidbey.com>
>Date: 25 Sep 1997 03:04:56 GMT
>Message-id: <01bcc95f$8a9aad00$97345ecc@kcin>

>Does anyone know where I can get cheap or free cd's/disk's with freq.
>databases on them?

Try Scanware's site. Sorry, don't know the URL off the top of my head but
a web search will find them. Not sure if they still do it, but Percon's
site enables you do freq searches-- www.perconcorp.com
Michael Alexander

2646. Freqs needed of STS-86

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: nstew64359@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926021200.WAA03341@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184909

Does anybody have the freqs for the shuttle going up tonight????

```
================================+
NStew64359@aol.com |
http://members.aol.com/NStew64359 |
================================+
```

2647. Re: Pro-2006/OS456 F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <60f5bj$48o@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184910
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-2006 has been sold.

Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
<01bcc965$0cb06d20$5d1293cf@283138220worldnet.att.net>...
> Pro-2006 with opto-scan 456 and Scan-Cat gold for windows software.
Scanner
> has been modified for 800Mhz and FM Discriminator output. Antenna and
> manuals including service manual. $500.00 obo I will pay for overnight
> shipping on prepaid. e-mail or call 303-840-0727.
>
> Mike Dockery KB0SSH
>

2648. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Penny <Penny@hwcn.org>
Message-ID: <01bccaa1$c9b8ad00$cc5ed4c7@penny>
Source record: 184911

: Do you really think that we at the store give a flying f**k
what
: your name or address are ?
: I have NEVER given anyone a problem that did not want to give their
name or
: address, because we "salesmakers" just don't care one way or
: another......

Well that is all fine and dandy what you say.
BUT
I happened to be in a Radio Shack store when
Mr. friendly policeman came in for the names of scanner purchasers.
Seems he was looking for people doing break-ins.
At the expense of us innocent scanner hobbyists.
The merchandise is fine, as is other scanner suppliers.
But each employee has his own morals, as does each scanner purchaser.

--
**** Penny@HWCN.ORG ****
**** http://www.hwcn.org/~am761/Profile.html ****

2649. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926103000.GAA25309@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184912

Lord Nymon-

How does buying a surplus recording machine make YOU an authority??? And what on earth does this have to do with the issue of Illinois Scanner Laws, your Anti-Police bias and your lame concepts of legal defense via tape recordings???

-This is rapidly getting off-topic, though this hasn't stopped you in the past
-Ever heard of slander?
-Send a copy of this thread to your lawyer. Then call him on the phone. If he says it isn't slander and I still sue you, you'll still be okay because lawyers save tapes of their phone calls for years.

Part of a miserable thread...
>> Ted Moran is an associate director of CARMA, and has been instrumental in
>> the actual "scanner law" in its present form for the City of Chicago. Ted
>> is a co-editor of Illinois Scanner Master, Monitor America, and a
>> professional telecommunicator for a municipality near Chicago. Sorry, Ted
>> DOES know what he's talking about.
>
>How does being in a "scanner club" make him an expert on police
>telephone record procedures?! (or ANYTHING for that manner?) WHOOPS!!

2650. Re: Rrepair Info for Bearcat Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa9yhx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926104300.GAA25513@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184913

>Subject: Rrepair Info for Bearcat Scanners
>From: Ted Maczulat <tmacz@arrowsmith.net>
>Date: 26 Sep 1997 05:15:50 GMT
>Message-id: <60fge6$ks6$1@cameron.arrowsmith.net>
>
>Thanks for reading this.
>
>Where can I get schmatics and service literature for Bearcat
>scanners. I have a couple old 210's and 220's I use to monitor
>various Works, ESS, EHS and such at our Emergency Operations Center.
>
>One has a Squelch Problem the other has gone deaf.
>
>Thank You
>
>Ted Maczulat, VE7TFM
>Email tmacz@arrowsmith.net>

Contact G & G Communications of LeRoy, NY.

Email: GGCOMM@aol.com
Website: http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/scanner.html

Will Sperling, BBS Sysop / Assoc. Director
The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA)
Box 40, Westmont, Il. 60559-0040
BBS: 630-852-1292
FAX: 630-512-0609
Email: WA9YHX@AOL.COM
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

2651. Re: Freqs needed of STS-86

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcj99@mail.removethis.idt.net
Message-ID: <342b2cdf.29227126@news.idt.net>
Source record: 184914

>Does anybody have the freqs for the shuttle going up tonight????

http://www.geocities.com/Yosemite/1338/stsfrq02.html

2652. Re: Marine VHF - in a car???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: fflintst@ncb.com
Message-ID: <342ba6da.715958@news.alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 184915

In article <19970924235801.TAA29253@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
muddskip@aol.com (Muddskip) wrote:
>
>> I'm not trying to be rude but just out of curiosity how are you so sure
>> they were in cars?
>> /\/\A/\/
>> http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm

Well, among other things ... one guy said "turn here on Pine Street."
The other guy said, "OK." I'm figuring it was some kind of illegal
use by a couple of guys who didn't know better due to the recent
delicensing of the Marine VHF.

2653. {{{RS PRO-90 Trunk}}}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roy <yor@comsource.net>
Message-ID: <01bcca7d$4b488d60$3d8cd8d0@yor>
Source record: 184916

Has ANYONE had any dealings with the PRO-90 yet....Looks nice but I wanted
some input before BUYING...Plus is there another choice in handheld Trunk
Trackers that I might consider <????>

Thanks for all HELP!!!
--
GUN CONTROL means HITTING THE TARGET!!!
Happy Shooting your Friend >> Roy <<
E-Mail: yor@comsource.net

2654. RS43 for sale or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfd4@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926135501.JAA26027@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184917

I have a slightly used Radio shack Pro43 sCANNER FOR SALE ASKING $175.00 INCLUDING SHIPPING IN us. Will consider using it in a trade for a Relm HS200

2655. Re: New RS Dicone assembly question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfd4@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926134501.JAA01389@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184918

How does the discone work on 150 and 500 nhz range

2656. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa9yhx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926142601.KAA27492@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184919

>Subject: Re: Illinois Scanner Laws
>From: tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran)
>Date: 26 Sep 1997 10:30:37 GMT
>Message-id: <19970926103000.GAA25309@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>
>Lord Nymon-
>
>How does buying a surplus recording machine make YOU an authority??? And
>what on earth does this have to do with the issue of Illinois Scanner Laws,
>your Anti-Police bias and your lame concepts of legal defense via tape
>recordings???
>
>-This is rapidly getting off-topic, though this hasn't stopped you in the
>past
>-Ever heard of slander?
>-Send a copy of this thread to your lawyer. Then call him on the phone. If
>he says it isn't slander and I still sue you, you'll still be okay because
>lawyers save tapes of their phone calls for years.

Touche' Ted!

Will Sperling, BBS Sysop / Assoc. Director
The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA)
Box 40, Westmont, Il. 60559-0040
BBS: 630-852-1292
FAX: 630-512-0609
Email: WA9YHX@AOL.COM
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

2657. Re: BC 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bishop <jimb@carter.net>
Message-ID: <01bcca86$051f5860$4c090923@cc.msu.edu>
Source record: 184920

How do I get info on how to do mod's like that? I am new to this, and not really sure where to start.

SAm <SAm@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
<60fi6j$6mj@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
> On 26 Sep 1997 03:09:50 GMT, "Jim Bishop" <jimb@carter.net> wrote:
>
> >What kind of things are there to do with a BC 760XLT? I know that there is
> >an optional CTCSS board and a RF Amp. Will this model do other MODS or
> >boards for PC's?
> >Thanks for any advice!
> One good one is to open up the full 800 mhz band. Easy mod.
>

2658. Re: {{{RS PRO-90 Trunk}}}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Yanchuck <rony58@erols.com>
Message-ID: <01bcca87$84107cc0$07c9accf@rony58.erols.com>
Source record: 184921

Roy <yor@comsource.net> wrote in article
<01bcca7d$4b488d60$3d8cd8d0@yor>...
> Has ANYONE had any dealings with the PRO-90 yet....Looks nice but I
wanted
> some input before BUYING...Plus is there another choice in handheld Trunk
> Trackers that I might consider <????>
>
> Thanks for all HELP!!!
> --
> GUN CONTROL means HITTING THE TARGET!!!
> Happy Shooting your Friend >> Roy <<
> E-Mail: yor@comsource.net
>

Haven't heard anything about the Shack model, But lots of good things
about the Uniden BC235XLT.

Insured by S&W
Ron

2659. Cleveland Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jms20@po.cwru.edu
Message-ID: <jms20-2609971118550001@amanda.lit.cwru.edu>
Source record: 184922

Howdy!

Does anyone know what frequencies will be utilized during the Cleveland Airshow this weekend? I am mostly interested in Airshow control and the frequencies used by the performers, but if there are support freqs as well (ground crew, security, etc...) those would be great as well...

Please email me any responses...I may not get a chance to read news again before the show tomorrow....

Thanks in advance!
-John

--

```
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
|John M. Sully (jms20@po.cwru.edu) | "Care must be taken in the |
|Information Technologies Engineer | handling of plutonium to avoid|
|Digital Media Services | unintentional formation of |
|Case Western Reserve University | a critical mass." |
|http://www.cwru.edu/home/jms20.html| - MSDS Entry for Pu-238 |
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
```

2660. Re: Radio Shack scanner warrenty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toolman <poustie@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <01bcca92$78abeb20$29d2adce@user>
Source record: 184923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You may be hearing "Image frequencies". These are normal in most scanners to a greater or lesser degree, depending on the design of the unit. If the freq.s you are hearing are 21.6-21.8 away from the real thing, they are images. BTW, this trick can be used to expand the scanners normal range. If you have strong signals on an image freq. near one you want to monitor, check up and down and see if you can figure out where they are coming from. In a strong signal area you may be able to monitor the lower image of your preferred freq. to avoid the lower image of the interfering freq., and so on. This problem is worst in low priced scanners. You may just be being clonked by a nearby powerful transmitter which overcomes your scanners front end, a notch filter or attenuator may help, or a less sensitive antenna. Good luck.

Tim

Able Tucker <schmerzlos@atrocketmail.dotcom> wrote in article
<3429B325.2358@atrocketmail.dotcom>...
> A few years ago I bought my first scanner, a Pro-23.
> This only has 50 channels and didn't scan quickly, but what really
> bothered me was its tendendcy to hear other frequencies at the ones I
> had programmed. I only realized this after about a year of sporadic
> scanner and I don't know enough to understand the nature of the
>

2661. Re: AR8000 Bandplan question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: qestek@frii.com
Message-ID: <qestek-ya023480002609970949250001@news.frii.com>
Source record: 184924

Check out a scanner site for the little windows utility called "8K" (I no longer have the URL). The author posts a US Bandplan file (with cell frequencies) that can readily be downloaded to the AR8000 with the appropriate interface./jim

In article <342ae36d.30859944@news.iamerica.net>, kellison@iamerica.net wrote:

>I've acquired an older model AR8000 which doesn't have the US
>bandplan. Would any of you veteran users of this great HH scanner
>help me make a usable US bandplan. I live in OK next to an AFB. I'm
>new to this hobby and this is my first scanner. I would like to make
>use of all frequencies this scanner covers. I have looked on many web
>sites, but have had no luck with start and stop frequencies, step
>size, and what mode(AM, NFM, WFM, USB, LSB & CW) each band should be
>in. Thanks for any help,
>
>Matt

--
Regards,

Jim

2662. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <342BD3B4.788E@scarlan.com>
Source record: 184925

WA9YHX wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Illinois Scanner Laws
> >From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
> >Date: Thu, 25 Sep 1997 15:00:34 -0500
> >Message-id: <342AC2E2.14BF@scarlan.com>
> >
>
> >How does being in a "scanner club" make him an expert on police
> >telephone record procedures?! (or ANYTHING for that manner?) WHOOPS!!
> >
> To those who know Ted, and respect him, I have made my point.
>

I could say the same about those who know me, but I doubt that will
impress you either.

2663. Re: How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ywd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926154101.LAA01268@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184926

In article <342C01F3.149EEEC3@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au>, Adrian Leatherland
<alea4@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au> writes:

>Can anyone tell me an easy way (without purchasing expensive/heavy
>equipment),
>how to interfere with a range of frequencies - say with a set of stage
>radio
>microphones all tuned fairly close together ? Is there some device
>(like electric
>beaters) or perhaps something less noisy that will screw with everything
>in nearby
>air ?

I don't understand why you want to this, as I am the guy (at the school I
work for) that runs a system like that. I assure you, that when you are in
the middle of a performance and someone does something like this, lots - o
-people get REALLY mad.
Perhaps that is your desired effect - just make sure you have good hearing
protection, because when the intermod / interfernce hits the main speaker
cluster(s) it is going to hurt (a lot). Commercial sound systems can
deliver in excess of 100 db SPL's - look that up on the 'net and see what
kind of damage that can do.....then when you get caught doing your
hair-brained scheme, you can't plead to your lawyer or the court that you
"didn't know that would happen"

2664. Re: ICOM PCR1000 Receiver now happening> acitivity. This is a non-commercial newsgroup.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toolman <poustie@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <01bcca90$6f1ffd00$29d2adce@user>
Source record: 184927

This post may have bent the rules a little bit..... but I found it much more informative and useful than all of the useless petty bickering I often see on these pages. I say let the commercial ads in. BTW, are we going to stop Mr. Cheek from flogging his wares here too? The sellers, by definition, are on the leading edge of the hobby and we do ourselves a disservice by denying them the ability to post their wares here. Regards,

Tim

Anthony Ingram <talk2me@soon.com> wrote in article
<342a7a99.8452828@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>...
> On Thu, 25 Sep 1997 01:07:01 GMT, Steve@swssec.com (Steve Uhrig)
> wrote:
>
> >Hello list,
> >
> >Last night ICOM transmitted this information in their weekly dealer
> >update.
> >
> >The PCR1000 Receiver is in final beta test, and limited quantities
> >
> Steve, I have nothing against Icom and your dealership business.
> But what you are doing here is unashamed advertizing and commercial
> acitivity. This is a non-commercial newsgroup.
>
> I will stop short of calling it spamming, but would ask you, in the
> name of preserving at least the existing degree of credibility of this
> newsgroup to refrain from similar commercial postings in the future.
>
> Many thanks.
>
> Tony
>
>

2665. Re: Illinois Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <342BD666.7C5B@scarlan.com>
Source record: 184928

TandKMoran wrote:
>
> Lord Nymon-
>
> How does buying a surplus recording machine make YOU an authority???
The fact that I got it directly from the police department, along with
the years of tapes with phone calls, most certainly proves that they do
indeed record all incomming phone calls and save them for several years.
It's kind of like you purchasing a scanner for $5 at a hamfest, and then
a person on a newsgroup tells you that there is "no-way" you bought it
for $5 and he should know, because he is President of his local Baseball
Club. (This is basically what happened here.)

And
> what on earth does this have to do with the issue of Illinois Scanner Laws,
> your Anti-Police bias and your lame concepts of legal defense via tape
> recordings???

I am anti-police because they keep records of phone calls?!?! How do
you figure that?! So, if you told me that your local grocery store was
selling milk, that would make you anti-grocery store?!

>
> -This is rapidly getting off-topic, though this hasn't stopped you in the past
> -Ever heard of slander?

Ever heard of mental retardation?

> -Send a copy of this thread to your lawyer. Then call him on the phone. If
> he says it isn't slander and I still sue you, you'll still be okay because
> lawyers save tapes of their phone calls for years.

How did lawyers and slander get mixed up in all this?!?! Dude, you are
loopy!

2666. WTB-scanner that is modified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: greg33@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60gblnlhp1@newsd-107.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 184929

Please e-mail -thanks

2667. AOR 950 and PRO-60 F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bccaa0$03704a00$041193cf@283138220worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184930
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Selling my AOR 950 100ch. base/mobile. 29 to 956Mhz with unblocked 800Mhz. Manual, antenna, power supply, $180.00 obo. Also PRO-60 in like new condition with manual and antenna. $180.00 obo. I will pay for shipping. e-mail or call 303-840-0727

Mike Dockery
KB0SSH

2668. F.S.Yupiteru-MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: idol@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <60gqs4$ql1@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 184931

New in box Yupiteru MVT-7100, 1000 channels, Am/Fm/Wfm/SSB,
500KHZ-1600MHz, complete 800MHZ open. First $325.00
Free case.
E-mail serious only.

2669. Re: In the attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kaylacamke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926172900.NAA06771@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184932

```
>From: David Reihmer <simwah@voyager.net>
>Reply-To: simwah@voyager.net
>X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win16; I)
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.74.103.49
>Lines: 7
>Path:
>lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!portc02.blue.aol.com!pitt.edu!
dsinc!spool.mu.edu!uwm.edu!gsl-penn-ns.gsl.net!news-peer.gsl.net!news.gsl.
net!gip.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.bbnplanet.com!newsfeed.intern
etmci.com!204.71.1.53!pull-
>feed.internetmci.com!news.wzrd.com!news.primecomm.net!206.67.207.8!news2.
kc.net!news.kcdata.com!news3.kc.net!207.74.103.49
>
>
>
>How much signal do I risk losing by putting my antenna in the attic?
>Basically, I'm asking about a 25" multiband antenna for 25 - 1200 MHz.
>The antenna would end up being about the same height off the ground as
>if I put it on the mast outside, and it would save me about 25' of
>cable.
>
>Any suggestions would be appreciated.

I suggest you don't do it. What you save in cable you can possibly
lose in quality of sound.

[----- Kayla ------]
```

2670. Re: In the attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <60gr7n$4l5@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 184933

David Reihmer (simwah@voyager.net) writes:
> How much signal do I risk losing by putting my antenna in the attic?
> Basically, I'm asking about a 25" multiband antenna for 25 - 1200 MHz.
> The antenna would end up being about the same height off the ground as
> if I put it on the mast outside, and it would save me about 25' of
> cable.
>
> Any suggestions would be appreciated.

It depends on how much metal is around (roof flashing etc) I did put
my RS Discone in the attic when I first got it , then I moved it in
the following spring. I mounted it on a piece of mast and clamped it
to the chimney which gave me 15' more height, but it did work ok in the
attic, but with antennae height is everything. Advantage in the attic
you don't have to worry about ice, snow and wind!!!

Darrell Larose | http://livewire.newforce.ca/darrell | darrell@.newforce.ca

"Living at risk is jumping off the cliff
and building your wings on the way down" (Ray Bradbury)

2671. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ywd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926154101.LAA06931@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184934

In article <01bccaa1$c9b8ad00$cc5ed4c7@penny>, "Penny" <Penny@hwcn.org> writes:

>Well that is all fine and dandy what you say.
>BUT
>I happened to be in a Radio Shack store when
> Mr. friendly policeman came in for the names of scanner purchasers.
>Seems he was looking for people doing break-ins.>>

Unfortunately, Mr. Policeman will be disappointed, as we cannot produce a report that lists something as specialized as that at the store level. (We can however print the receipts out for that day's sales)

If the local law enforcement agency (ies) needed something like the name(s) of every scanner purchaser from that store/location, they would have to contact Tandy in Ft Worth and subpoena the records. Of course, I speak only of situation in US, and it may be different in other countries.

I am not suggesting that your situation did not occur, just that the information desired cannot be produced at the store level.....

Like I said, if you don't want to give your name, don't give it - RS just wants your $$$$$$ and for you to be satisfied with your purchase.

2672. Re: pro-33 mod question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toolman <poustie@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <01bcca8e$77943660$29d2adce@user>
Source record: 184935

Quite possible your radio has been chipped to make that mod impossible. I had the same happen with a pro2026. It seems that most of the available mod info predates the u.s. scanner laws that prohibit such use. The manufacturers were left with no choice but to block the coverage internally[in the chip], or lose type certification. Check the production date; anything past 1994 and your'e probably out of luck.

Tim

JnglMassiv <jnglmassiv@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970925070001.DAA04857@ladder02.news.aol.com>...
> After purchasing, at great expense, a book detailing the modification of
> scanners and radios, I set about the task of performing the "800 MHz
> Coverage" modification procedure on my RS PRo-33 unit. Clipping "diode
11"

2673. Re: lawyers test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: nightman <catboy@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <342C0165.BB6A7639@writeme.com>
Source record: 184936
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

joe d wrote:

> The lawyer thing and this post is a test for radio transmission of
> newsgroups. Don't be upset. Take a deep breath and just think of me as
> some other nut wasting internet bandspace. WE ARE WATCHING.
> joe d>

Ohhhh, I'm quivering in my boots!

--
NIGHTMAN-Email: nightman@techie.com
Pardon my driving; I'm reloading.

2674. SC State Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Moody <jerry@southconn.com>
Message-ID: <01bccaa3$0d4d9700$1f63bec7@jerrymoo>
Source record: 184937

I am looking for State Patrol 800 band frequencies for SC.

--
Jerry

2675. Pro 51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfarrar@nmhs.net
Message-ID: <342bfe76.191639494@nntp.nmhs.net>
Source record: 184938

I have a Pro 51 and would like to expand it's freq. ranges to include
FM radio and CB. Where can I get info on how to do this?

2676. Re: Pro-46 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quornaud Henstrius <username@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <01bccab7$cb71f3c0$029192ce@default>
Source record: 184939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

au <au@ix.netcom.com> wrote in article
Yes I listen to it once in a while, so arrest me.

Consider yourself under house arrest

2677. Pro 37 Hyperscan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Antolina <cantolina@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <342BF6AD.6F6E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 184940

Does anyone have the mod for this scanner?
Also I am looking for freq's in the Chino, Ontario, Pomona area
Thanx in advance!

2678. RELM WHS150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Garry Steacy <steacys@mulberry.com>
Message-ID: <01bcca37$d2454ba0$108ebbcc@garry>
Source record: 184941

HI THERE I HAVE A WHS150 AND HAVE LOST CH . I CAN'T FIND MY PROGRAMING
MANUAL TO RESET IT IF ANYONE HAS A MANUAL PLEASE E-MAIL ME AT
STEACYS@MULBERRY.COM THANK YOU

2679. Re: Pro 37 Hyperscan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Antolina <cantolina@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <342C0FE9.5F8A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 184942

Charles Antolina wrote:
>
> Does anyone have the mod for this scanner?
> Also I am looking for freq's in the Chino, Ontario, Pomona area
> Thanx in advance!
PS that would be California
Thanx!

2680. Re: Thunderbird Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ka3jjz@erols.com" <ka3jjz@erols.com>
Message-ID: <342C281D.BA8@erols.com>
Source record: 184943

Blane A. Balch wrote:
>
> Does anyone out there know what the Thunderbirds freq are for the
> Ellington Air Show in Houston? Thanks in advance.
go over to the Monitoring Times site off the Grove web page
http://www.grove.net Follow the links to a file I assembled some time
ago and Gayle posted on a link which summarizes the known Tbird freqs
used this year....73s Mike ka3jjz@erols.com

2681. Re: Mt Wilson Shuttle re-broadcast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: corbin@physics.ucla.edu
Message-ID: <60h1b5$mts@uni.library.ucla.edu>
Source record: 184944

Jeff Johnson (jcj99@mail.removethis.idt.net) wrote:
: >If anyone is interested, a repeater on Mt. Wilson CA is
: >re-broadcasting KSC/Atlantis/MIR communications on 224.940 MHz.

: Ahhhhhhh! Some local HAMs are stepping on the frequency! If there is
: a HAM out here in the LA Area I would be grateful if you'd monitor the
: freq for a while and ask them to not use the freq for a few days.

Ummm - actually, the primary function of the Mt. Wilson repeater is to service ham operators. The shuttle broadcast is a secondary service to the ham community and the listening public at large. Generally, most hams will try to avoid using repeaters rebroadcasting the shuttle when there is a lot of shuttle traffic, but sometimes there is just no other option (particulary with the Mt. W. repeater). Asking folks not to use the repeater for a few days is not reasonable.

There are quite a few other repeaters rebroadcasting the shuttle in the greater LA area. I don't have my 220 with me today, so I can't confirm this - but check maybe 224.68 or 224.58 (actually, if you search from 223.5 to 225.0 at 20kHz, you're likely to find something). I do have 440 with me, and a quick twist of the dial turns up rebroadcasts on 446.125 and 448.500 (again, check 445.0 to 450.0 at 25kHz and you're likely to find something).

I'd recommend programming several of these frequencies into your scanner (or ham rig 8*) so that you have an immediate alternative on hand should someone need to use the repeater you're listening to...

73 & enjoy...

2682. Optoelectronics Micro-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: wmerrell@epix.net
Message-ID: <60h2ecfdi1@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 184945

Has anyone had any experience with the new Optoelectronics
Micro-frequency counter? I have an old Opto freq counter but
found it to be quite non-sensitive.
tia
bill

2683. Re: lawyers test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342C2D2F.25A1@phoenix.net>
Source record: 184946
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

nightman wrote:
>
> joe d wrote:
>
> > The lawyer thing and this post is a test for radio transmission of
> > newsgroups. Don't be upset. Take a deep breath and just think of me as
> > some other nut wasting internet bandspace. WE ARE WATCHING.
> > joe d>
>
> Ohhhh, I'm quivering in my boots!
>
> --
> NIGHTMAN-Email: nightman@techie.com
> Pardon my driving; I'm reloading.
we all are

2684. Re: The Cheek Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926221000.SAA24319@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184947

In article <342AF84B.73E@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>We aren't THAT stupid to believe it.

I beg to differ

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2685. Re: SC State Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tgo1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926212901.RAA26889@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184948

Has the SC State Patrol indeed gone to 800? If so, I can get these dead channels out of my low band radio and replace them with something more active.
Thad Osborne

2686. Re: lawyers test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342C2FB8.2064@phoenix.net>
Source record: 184949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

```
joe d wrote:
>
> nightman wrote:
> >
> > joe d wrote:
> >
> > > The lawyer thing and this post is a test for radio transmission of
> > > newsgroups. Don't be upset. Take a deep breath and just think of me as
> > > some other nut wasting internet bandspace. WE ARE WATCHING.
> > > joe d>
> >
> > Ohhhh, I'm quivering in my boots!
> >
> > --
> > NIGHTMAN-Email: nightman@techie.com
> > Pardon my driving; I'm reloading.
> we all are reloading the software.
The 10.3 gkz link is almost complete. With the reloading ALL problems
should show themselves (well almost ALL). page 342.col2 line 16 keyword
lumberjill.
```

2687. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jimmy Miller <jueb@wetdef.qwe.com>
Message-ID: <01bccab2$397cc940$LocalHost@christopher>
Source record: 184950
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> It all started at Roswell.

You know, now that you mentioned this, your right! What does this mean? That the US government, in conjuction with a crash of a UFO, have somehow decided to turn on us people? Perhaps that after this crash, they either were contacted by an alien government, made contact via comm gear on the crashed ship, or otherwise have talked with an extraterrestrial people who have convinced them that we are too free.

Or is it that we need to remove our civil freedoms for the upcoming changes that are on the horizon. Or even that we are required to be on a world government system before giving us some technology, cures for ailments, admission into their community, whatever.

Perhaps they have promised something to us that is really tempting, and the cost of this present is disarming the worlds people, starting with the most free people on this planet. They (ETs) are hoping that by our doing this will open the door, so to speak, for a greater plan, hmm, like maybe a world invasion that they promised our world will not happen?

"We promise you this new tech, but you have to disarm your people first.... Allowing your people to have arms is barbaric and we do not admit civilizations that are barbarians..." Oh, yea, I believe this one.... And Bill does too, cause he is a fool, and thats the first thing someone will want us to do cause they have a better plan, gee, like invasion?

Even with better technology, they know humans adapt, and perhaps our "primitive" weapons are still a threat to them because even in their world "shields" and "energy barriers" may still be fiction to them too. They know this and our "primitive projectile firing devices" can still hurt them. Perhaps they are a wimp species using tech to dominate or something.

Gee, this doesn't sound too paranoid, does it? But think about it, anything is possible these days, and as stated-

it all started with Roswell.......

2688. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jimmy Miller <jueb@wetdef.qwe.com>
Message-ID: <01bccab4$165192a0$LocalHost@christopher>
Source record: 184951
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> You are REQUIRED to keep a military firearm and ammo.You are in the
> militia by birthright.There were 2 Militia Acts that passed in the
> 1790's and 1900's that authorized it.
>

That is right! Why don't the government of this country mention this? This is a law, then lets bust those bonehead liberals for breaking the law. And I want a full auto like the military, in order to protect our nation and people as a member of the militia. But don't let the bleeding hearts know this, because guns are barbaric, famous last words....

Does the pro-gun groups use this in their efforts? Or by doing so gives this response- "it is a different era, not like 200 years ago... we have changed since then..." That's total BS. Although we, as a world, may have advanced techno speaking, the human race hasn't changed at all in the last 200 years. Maybe just a little in the last 2000 years, but not much. We are still a blood thirsty and crazed race, and as soon as we let our arms down [pun intended, isn't that good? ;)] some dictator will stomp on us, whether domestic, foreign, or extra-terrestrial.

Protect your homes, your family, our nation of the free, insist on all of us owning weapons. Maybe if all of us were armed, their would be no crime these days. But give that credit on crime rates dropping to uncle Bill and Janet, and not to the states that have allowed the citizens to carry concealed- that is the real reason of the drop that Bill and Janet don't want you to know!

Signed,

me
The liberals worst nightmare
come true, and that is me....

2689. Re: RS43 for sale or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tomcat121356@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60heu9$ce6$1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 184952
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
--WebTV-Mail-1790378686-5214

What is the manufacture date.

--WebTV-Mail-1790378686-5214
Content-Description: signature

--WebTV-Mail-1790378686-5214--
```

2690. Re: Matt Walker was:Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342C5F88.61A@usa.net>
Source record: 184953

Yea Bud but BOTH Walker & I put together couldn't
add up to the number that have TWITTED YOU!

Bud Jamison wrote:
> -> From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
> -> I wonder hao many people have grown TIRED of Matt Walker's
> -> constant bitching & have made a kill file for HIM or hit"K"
>
> Not NEARLY as many as have twitted you, I'll bet.

2691. Re: best general antenna (Wineguard)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Knut Otterbeck <otterbec@online.no>
Message-ID: <342AF52B.631732D6@online.no>
Source record: 184981

Have no correct (it seems) hits when searching for "Wineguard" or
"Wineguard +antenna" in f.i. Alta Vista Search....

Can you try to guide me a bit closer to a supplier for this antenna?

By the way, is the "Scantenna" from Antennacraft a good general
listening scanner-antenna, as we hear from several sources?

What is _your_ best bet for a relatively inexpensive, good general
listening scanner antenna?

Regards,
Knut Otterbeck

Bob Brubaker wrote:
>
> The Wineguard UHF Antenna with the built in Pre-amp really works well.
> Unfortunately I don't remember the model. Not only does it work but it's
> also a brick. I've had one up for 17 years. The pre-amp still solid at
> the 850mhz rannge and all the element are still straight.
>
> I've popped this on the group earlier and have had a lot of email from other
> happy winegurad using scanner buff's
>
> Brub W4NNG
>
> Milton wrote in article <60e48f$d88$1@gail.ripco.com>...
> >directional antennas.... I remember people loved the old channelmaster
> >
> >antenna. Could mount a rotator etc. or maybe just go with an amp on the
> >
> >discone. Not sure if Icom makes the discone anymore...any other
> >
> >recommendations. I found that I was actually able to get the weathersats
> to
> >
> >some extent with the discone, great 30-900mhz not sure above that...i have
> an
> >
> >R7000 with the t.v. adapter, although have never seen much on the t.v.
> >
> >portion. So what is your favorite general use antenna for 30-1000 mhz?
> >--

2692. Mt Wilson Shuttle re-broadcast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcj99@mail.removethis.idt.net
Message-ID: <342b010c.18006606@news.idt.net>
Source record: 184982

If anyone is interested, a repeater on Mt. Wilson CA is
re-broadcasting KSC/Atlantis/MIR communications on 224.940 MHz.

Right now it's 8:29pm at KSC, and the Atlantis crew just finished the
pre-flight air to ground radio checks and are now closing the hatch.

2693. Re: Marine VHF - in a car???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2509972121140001@pm54-15.magicnet.net>
Source record: 184983

In article <19970924235801.TAA29253@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
muddskip@aol.com (Muddskip) wrote:

> I'm not trying to be rude but just out of curiosity hi=ow are you so sure
> they were in cars?
> /\/\A/\/
> http://members.aol.com/muddskip/homepage.htm
I am originally from Pittsburgh, and with the 3 rivers there, one could
pick up river traffic many times. It was "inland", but in "marine band"
territory.

2694. Re: Mt Wilson Shuttle re-broadcast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcj99@mail.removethis.idt.net
Message-ID: <342b0938.20098944@news.idt.net>
Source record: 184984

>If anyone is interested, a repeater on Mt. Wilson CA is
>re-broadcasting KSC/Atlantis/MIR communications on 224.940 MHz.

Ahhhhhhh! Some local HAMs are stepping on the frequency! If there is
a HAM out here in the LA Area I would be grateful if you'd monitor the
freq for a while and ask them to not use the freq for a few days.

2695. F.S. ICOM IC-R10 (Euro Version!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: n0mp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926014801.VAA01299@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184985

Icom IC-R10 Euro version for sale. Continous coverage with no gaps!
Used only a couple times and in absolutely mint perfect condition!
I had to jump through some hoops to get this! First $600 takes!
I also have some accessories available at additional cost.
Call Mike @ 612-724-1130 or E-mail: N0MP@aol.com

2696. Just Got My TrunkTracker!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <asds@xworldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcca20$e58b3100$f793410c@hal>
Source record: 184986

It's great to be able to follow a complete conversation again!
Right now the local PD have three men who just robbed a house
surrounded and are closing in. K9 units are being used.

So far I've programmed in four different trunking systems. All
seem to work correctly. I guess they must all be Type II.

They just got one of them!

David M. Hitchner - hitchner@worldnet.att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Living on an information dirt road,
just off the Information Super-Highway.

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System
Use E-Mail Address Above for Replies

2697. How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adrian Leatherland <alea4@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au>
Message-ID: <342C01F3.149EEEC3@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au>
Source record: 184987

Hi,

Can anyone tell me an easy way (without purchasing expensive/heavy
equipment),
how to interfere with a range of frequencies - say with a set of stage
radio
microphones all tuned fairly close together ? Is there some device
(like electric
beaters) or perhaps something less noisy that will screw with everything
in nearby
air ?

Please send me email if you can help: alea4@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au

Adrian.

2698. Re: Warning: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: r&c <soldier@llv.com>
Message-ID: <01bcca18$7eff07c0$8ba4fecd@rickcamr>
Source record: 184988

```
/\ndroid wrote in article <608k77$lvb@drn.zippo.com>...
> In article , "Joe says...
> >
> >Electro149 <electro149@aol.com> wrote in article
> ><19970921020901.WAA06147@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> >> A warning to all: With the upcoming laws making scanners illegal,
don't
> >> give Radio Shack your name when buying scanners from them. They
cooperate
> >> with authorities and undoubtedly would be a good source of information
as
> >> to who has scanners. Better yet, don't buy a scanner from Radio Shack.
> >>
> >
> >Question- you said "laws" Did these bills pass????? Christ! I hope
not!!!!!!
>
> In his head, in his head...
>
> Mind you, I didn't give my name when I bought my 2006, 'cause I
> hate busybodies.
>
> /\<.
>
I just don't like all the jumk mail they send out to me.
```

2699. BC 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bishop <jimb@carter.net>
Message-ID: <01bcca2a$73a101a0$190d0923@cc.msu.edu>
Source record: 184989

What kind of things are there to do with a BC 760XLT? I know that there is an optional CTCSS board and a RF Amp. Will this model do other MODS or boards for PC's?
Thanks for any advice!

2700. Re: NEXTEL radio/cell phone/pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4znr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926034600.XAA10868@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184990

>
>The company I work for is getting rid of our old dispatch radio system
>and going to nextel phone radios these are cell phone and 2-way radio in
>one unit does anybody know if it is possible to moniter the radio
>portion of transmissions if so what frequency, digital or analog????
>
>any information would be greatly appreciated
>
>thanks
>Bryan442@concentric.net

From all the Info I have gotten on Nextel they tell
me it is a 900Mhz Digital system which means you
ain't gonna hear it on any scanner on the market
today!! Sorry But Hope this helps!! :^)
Marshall Sherard, KE4ZNR, CFP[714]
Proud Member: North Carolina Tarheel Scanner/Shortwave Group
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIO/htm
Proud Member and National Dispatcher: Carolinas Fire Page (c), CFP[714]
http://www.netcom.com/~cfp700

2701. Re: NEXTEL radio/cell phone/pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Yanchuck <rony58@erols.com>
Message-ID: <01bcca27$820f3020$6ac9accf@rony58.erols.com>
Source record: 184991

Bryan Schmidt <Bryan442@cris.com> wrote in article
<342B259C.11B5AE28@cris.com>...
> The company I work for is getting rid of our old dispatch radio system
> and going to nextel phone radios these are cell phone and 2-way radio in
> one unit does anybody know if it is possible to moniter the radio
> portion of transmissions if so what frequency, digital or analog????
>
> any information would be greatly appreciated
>
> thanks
> Bryan442@concentric.net
>
>
I have one of these things. The book states that it operatates in the
806MHz to 866MHz and employs digital modulation. Never even thought of
scanning for it. Something else for the "to do" list.

Ron

2702. Re: F/S 800mhz Yagi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: k6dmj1@flash.net
Message-ID: <342b3624.8928663@news.flash.net>
Source record: 184992

Thank you all for your interest. The antenna is SOLD SOLD SOLD

On Thu, 25 Sep 1997 05:23:04 GMT, k6dmj1@flash.net (Joshua Peterson) wrote:

>
>I am selling a commercial quality 800 mhz yagi. The unit is 49 inches
>long, and is end mounted. Made of gold anodized aluminum, the unit has
>12 elements, for approximately 11db gain. It has a "N" connector and
>the center frequency is adjustable. The antenna is rated at 200 watts.
>This antenna has never been (mounted) outdoors,(no corrosion). The
>antenna does an excellent job of pulling in weak (mobile) signals in
>the cell band. I used the antenna for my celllular telephone when
>camping in remote areas. I now use PCS on 1.9ghz and don't use/need it
>any longer. I'm asking $60.00 and I will pay the shipping. $5.00 more
>for COD.

2703. Packet Radio and scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: nstew64359@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926043501.AAA08721@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184993

How does one go about listening to packet radio with a scanner? I can
hear mir sometimes using packet and I would like to know whats going on.

Thanks for any help...

==========+
NStew64359@aol.com |
http://members.aol.com/NStew64359 |
==========+

2704. Re: F.S. ICOM IC-R10 (Euro Version!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roy <yor@comsource.net>
Message-ID: <01bcca35$7b354f60$3d8cd8d0@yor>
Source record: 184994

Great scanner....GOOD LUCK in the sales....Berlin just sold 1 for $450.00
in here with no gaps....

N0MP <n0mp@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970926014801.VAA01299@ladder02.news.aol.com>...
> Icom IC-R10 Euro version for sale. Continous coverage with no gaps!
> Used only a couple times and in absolutely mint perfect condition!
> I had to jump through some hoops to get this! First $600 takes!
> I also have some accessories available at additional cost.
> Call Mike @ 612-724-1130 or E-mail: N0MP@aol.com
>

2705. Re: "Attn: S.John Mackey Fans!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: yourvicki@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926045001.AAA09677@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184995

New Party Place for all you S. John Mackey scanner pals!
http://Ppage.net/?Victoria

Where the party never stops and the fans seldom change!

2706. Re: 800 mhz converter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: markw@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <342c41ba.94888150@news-server>
Source record: 184996

On 25 Sep 1997 05:52:21 GMT, "Toolman" <poustie@concentric.net> wrote:

> Does anybody know where to find plans, kits or complete receive converters?
> This item should be able to convert a 4-500 mhz uhf radio to rec. 800-900
> mhz. I wish to install one on my alinco dr-150 2m mobile; this radio has
> uhf receive from 406-512 and should cover the cel band [not where
> prohibited by law] Hihihi.
> I think with a 400 mhz upconverter and a two position antenna switch this
> should be workable. Any thoughts? Will I have to put in some sort of t/r
> switching? Or perhaps some other means of protecting the unit from tx ?
> Tim

Try www.ramseyelectronics.com
Cul,
Mark Wolfe

2707. TrunkTracker Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <asds@xworldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bcca3a$1081fec0$965a93cf@hal>
Source record: 184997

Is it safe to connect the TrunkTracker to an auto's 12V system? I'm aware that there are transient voltages in an automotive system. I operate my AOR8000 directly off of the auto's system, but I'm not sure if the TrunkTracker has protection against transients.

Also, I know that this has been asked about a million times, but are there any keyboard tricks for the TrunkTracker?

David M. Hitchner - hitchner@worldnet.att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Living on an information dirt road,
just off the Information Super-Highway.

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System
Use E-Mail Address Above for Replies

2708. Rrepair Info for Bearcat Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Maczulat <tmacz@arrowsmith.net>
Message-ID: <60fge6$ks6$1@cameron.arrowsmith.net>
Source record: 184998

Thanks for reading this.

Where can I get schmatics and service literature for Bearcat
scanners. I have a couple old 210's and 220's I use to monitor
various Works, ESS, EHS and such at our Emergency Operations Center.

One has a Squelch Problem the other has gone deaf.

Thank You

Ted Maczulat, VE7TFM
Email tmacz@arrowsmith.net

2709. FS: Pro-46 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: au@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <60fhq6$r0e@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 184999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Very nice condition 100 channel scanner 30-956 Mhz. Already modded for cellular. This is one of the best sounding scanners for cellular that I have heard. Yes I listen to it once in a while, so arrest me.

No manual, but available from the shack.

$135 plus shipping.

George

2710. Re: BC 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: SAm@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <60fi6j$6mj@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 185000

On 26 Sep 1997 03:09:50 GMT, "Jim Bishop" <jimb@carter.net> wrote:

>What kind of things are there to do with a BC 760XLT? I know that there is
>an optional CTCSS board and a RF Amp. Will this model do other MODS or
>boards for PC's?
>Thanks for any advice!
One good one is to open up the full 800 mhz band. Easy mod.

2711. Saginaw, MI Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David S." <pampered@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <342B714D.22E@concentric.net>
Source record: 185001
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I can't find Saginaw City Police. If anyone happens to
know these frequencies I would appreciate it.

I've tried 806.0125
807.2375
808.1125
852.2375
853.1125
155.0100
155.3100
155.9700

and get nothing. Thanks in advance. (e-mail preferred but post is fine.)

David -- pampered@concentric.net

2712. Re: Matt Walker was:Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdn1@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <342d4c89.3633594@news.alt.net>
Source record: 185002

On Wed, 24 Sep 1997 23:02:28 -0700, Jack Anderson <jander@cts.com>
wrote:

>John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>>
>> I wonder hao many people have grown TIRED of Matt Walker's
>> constant bitching & have made a kill file for HIM or hit"K"
>> for his messages as he recommends??
>>
>Killed his butt a long time ago. :-)

I like that he bitches about stuff *clogging* up the newsgroup yet he
on occasion posts in HTML , we need *several* posting formats to
really make things *handy* for all.

Gary

2713. AOR 8000 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dahlmer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970926075501.DAA17005@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 185003

I own a AOR 8000. In the 154 band I recieve some kind of radio modulation that cannot be sqelched out and can be fixed by taking off the antenna. Is there a mod that can be done to take the hassle out of this band?
Dahlmer@aol.com
alt.binaries.pictures
alt.binaries.erotica
alt.binaries.erotica.female
alt.binaries.erotica.pornstar
alt.sex.movies
alt.sex.pictures
alt.sex.pictures.female
alt.tasteless

2714. NEEDED.. MHP helicopter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <92e_9709272100@warpgate.com>
Source record: 184754

From: demunsonjr@aol.com (DeMunsonJr)

s.>Does anyone have the freq for the MHP helicopter and airplanes..

De> Can you be more specific as to which state you are looking for?
De> MHP could be any of at least four state

What? You can't read his mind and understand he wants the freqs
for his local harbor police?

9-1-1 Public Safety BBS	POLICENET SAFENET
LEO'S FIREFIGHTERS SAR	FIDONET
COMMS AMATEUR RADIO	e-mail newsgroups
Home of THE San Diego County BBS List(619) 669-0385

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

2715. Southern California Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: evrwrite@powergrid.electriciti.com
Message-ID: <60k5n5$23s_006@evrwrite.electriciti.com>
Source record: 184755

Is the a Web site for scanner frequencies for S. Calif., especially San Diego County area?

==== Ed Redondo ====
You find on unhappy situation that you can do something about, so you do it. Without stopping to figure out the whys and wherefores. You do it just because that's what love does.

2716. Re: Freq. lists on CD or DISK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: evrwrite@powergrid.electriciti.com
Message-ID: <60k5i5$23s_004@evrwrite.electriciti.com>
Source record: 184756

In article <19970926095801.FAA24812@ladder02.news.aol.com>, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
>>Subject: Freq. lists on CD or DISK
>>From: <kcin@whidbey.com>
>>Date: 25 Sep 1997 03:04:56 GMT
>>Message-id: <01bcc95f$8a9aad00$97345ecc@kcin>
>
>>Does anyone know where I can get cheap or free cd's/disk's with freq.
>>databases on them?
>
>Try Scanware's site. Sorry, don't know the URL off the top of my head but
>a web search will find them. Not sure if they still do it, but Percon's
>site enables you do freq searches-- www.perconcorp.com
>Michael Alexander

http://www.crbboks.com/catalog/mrscan.htm

$34.95 FCC Database, DOS, Win3.1/95

I am not recommending this, just know it exists.

==== Ed Redondo ====
You find on unhappy situation that you can do something
about, so you do it. Without stopping to figure out the
whys and wherefores. You do it just because that's what
love does.

2717. Re: FCC FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS - fccalloc.txt (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: badbrad000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927014300.VAA16093@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184823

Do what????

2718. Ar8000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ted@homemail.com
Message-ID: <342c6673.842368001@192.0.2.3>
Source record: 184824

I am considering to buy an AR8000 and would like it to be cell enabled
and to have the USA band plan.

As this is not readily available here in the USA, I am considering my
options and would appreciate any info on this subject.

Is it true that the only difference between USA versions of AR8000
(either cell enabled or disabled) and foreign versions (Canada, Japan,
etc, non USA band plan) is the contents of the EEPROM and any version
can be converted to any other by merely reprogramming the EEPROM ?

If so, how the reprogramming is done ?
What exactly I need to do that ? Where can I get the data file
with the desire contents of the EEPROM ? (I don't have access to
another AR8000 with cell enabled and USA bandplan).

(I do have access to IMB PC and am an experience programmer).

Any help will be greatly appreciated.

Thanks,

Ted.

2719. Re: Optoelectronics Micro-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tech@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <60hper$p4@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184825

On 26 Sep 1997 23:11:01 GMT, jmurray407@aol.com (JMURRAY407) wrote:

>I just bought one. Not to sensitive. Without the optional antenna you have
>to be right on top of the transmitter to get a reading. With the antenna
>you get a little distance. There's a small screw on the back of the case,
>does anyone know what it is for?>Has anyone had any experience with the new
>Optoelectronics
>>Micro-frequency counter?
>
That's the sesitivity adjustment. 4 turns clockwise and your unit
should be just fine.

2720. Re: A comment about our ever shrinking scanner freedoms....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: patrick.mccraughtch@juno.com
Message-ID: <342dfcd5.2346396@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 184826

>I sure hope that not too many more restrictions on what we can listen to
>go into effect, otherwise I will have to file off the word "liberty" off
>of all the coins in my pocket. That will be alot of work.

You're thinking too small. It's not just your scanner. It's related
to "death by 1,000 cuts." Individually, each cut isn't bad. All
together, they kill you. And not everyone in the country has been cut
the same amount, so there will be no consensus as to when we put on
the bandages.

2721. Paging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: badbrad000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927014001.VAA15933@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184827

Iam looking for a way to page from the internet to a Motorola pager with ATT service. Brad BadBrad000@aol.com

2722. Re: In the attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: wyseguy@gate.net
Message-ID: <342c6706.5432195@news.gate.net>
Source record: 184828

I have 3 TV antennas in my attic. I get great reception. I also have
my scanner attached to my TV system. I receive stations 50 miles away.
Here in FL on flat ground that considered good. I would strongly
recommend this.
Keep you antenna away from any eletrical line or moters. I used a
peice of PVC pipe attached to a roof truss with 2 drywall screws for
my mast. I am preparing to put a scanner antenna there Too.

>>Subject: In the attic?
>>From: David Reihmer <simwah@voyager.net>
>
>>How much signal do I risk losing by putting my antenna in the attic?
>
>I have my antenna in my attic and it seems to work just fine. I haven't
>compared what the effect is in and out of the house, so I can't say if I'm
>missing out on stuff that I might hear otherwise. I easily get police, fire
>etc. in towns that are 30-35 miles away, which is all I care about.
>Michael Alexander

2723. Re: What is "CTCSS"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: drivard@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <60hr9b$5qq@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184829

In article <EGzvuH.39B.0.sheppard@torfree.net>, ck279@torfree.net (H.Y. Tong) wrote:
>I came across this term in some scanner specs. ...
>Q1: what is it used for?
>Q2: is it commonly used/important-to-have on a scanner?
>
>Thanks
>

It's commonly used by police & fire depts as well, even if they're not sharing the freq with anyone else. The use of CTCSS in this situation prevents the reception of stray signals and interference (common in metropolitan areas). I use CTCSS on my scanner all the time to do this when listening to public service frequencies.

2724. Re: Just Got My TrunkTracker!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: drivard@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <60hre8$5qq@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184830

Book 'em, Danno!!

In article <01bcca20$e58b3100$f793410c@hal>, "David Hitchner"
<asds@xworldnet.att.net> wrote:
>It's great to be able to follow a complete conversation again!
>Right now the local PD have three men who just robbed a house
>surrounded and are closing in. K9 units are being used.
>
>So far I've programmed in four different trunking systems. All
>seem to work correctly. I guess they must all be Type II.
>
>They just got one of them!
>
>--
> David M. Hitchner - hitchner@worldnet.att.net
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Living on an information dirt road,
> just off the Information Super-Highway.
>
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System
> Use E-Mail Address Above for Replies
>--
>

2725. FS: Minitor I pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiotr@users.jaxnet.com
Message-ID: <60hr9e$bl0@news.southeast.net>
Source record: 184831

I have a Minitor I Fire pager that has been crystaled and tuned up on
154.600. It is a Carrier Squech Pager just like Fire/Police wear to
say informed of whats happening. I built this unit for a buddy that
worked for a Electric Company that wanted to hang it on a Helper when
pulling wire. I think this would be quite fun around a Walmart
or anywhere that uses 154.600 as a working freq...
This unit has just been tuned up and has New batterys and a clean charger.
First $50.00 gets it, delivered..

I have other pagers avilable: Fire freqs/ Police and Railroad freqs..

Questions? Reply via E-mail to: radiotr@jaxnet.com

2726. Minitor II pagers $95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: pwserctr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927025001.WAA15743@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184832

We have a large number of Used Minitor II pagers for sale(over 500). Minitor II are $95 each, Stored Voice $120 each. We can also put them on your freq. and tone codes. 90 day warranty. Most bands available.

http://members.aol.com/pwserctr/home.htm
pwserctr@aol.com

2727. ic-r10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: wd3c@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927043701.AAA29409@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184833

Are the cs-r10 software and the opc-478 cabel the only things needed to connect the r-10 to the computer? And whats a good price?

2728. i am blind, and need some help please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Yeomans <dana@randomc.Com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.970927003901.2645D-100000@randomc.com>
Source record: 184834

hi all there is a police department on 154.650 here in griffin, georgia.
and there is another one here in Georgia also somewhere, can someone look
that up, and get back to me via e-mail? thanks.

random access internet shell account
standard disclaimers apply

2729. Re: Pro-46 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: au@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <60hshd$lvu@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 184835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

In <01bccab7$cb71f3c0$029192ce@default> "Quornaud Henstrius"
<username@wavetech.net> writes:
>
>au <au@ix.netcom.com> wrote in article
> Yes I listen to it once in a while, so arrest me.
>
>Consider yourself under house arrest

WAAA

2730. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Yeomans <dana@randomc.Com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.970927005900.2645I-100000@randomc.com>
Source record: 184836

hi there, it is working just fine here in griffin.

random access internet shell account
standard disclaimers apply

On 20 Sep 1997, Mr. E wrote:

> Date: 20 Sep 1997 13:35:54 GMT
> From: "Mr. E" <ANTISPAMalaskanrick@hotmail.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Subject: test
>
> test-Y won't this work? Ahhh..
>
>

2731. F/S Bearcat BC80XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Maxman <Myoption@ask.com>
Message-ID: <01bccb06$8188cdc0$822193d0@maxman>
Source record: 184837
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sac.swap

Monitors 19mhz to 956 MHz frequencies.
Has 50 channels with 5 banks and priority mode.
Also has an active search feature that finds new un programmed frequencies
on any band. Monitor Fire, Police, etc. Real fun hobby.
Scanner is like new with rechargeable batteries and AC/Adapter.
$100.00 obo
Paul
Maxman@2xtreme.net
http://www.2xtreme.net/maxman

2732. Re: WinTone 2.02 DTMF Decoder is now available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kirk McDonald <STORMTRACKER@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <60i99o$suj@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184838
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Has anyone tried downloading this? I have, and when I try to run it, it
tells me that my "30 day" trial period has expired. What's up with this ?
Anyone know?
KM

Richard Smerker <rsmerker@steaksandwich.com> wrote in article
<3429F3CE.5B9FDEFB@steaksandwich.com>...
> The 2.02 version of the WinTone DTMF Decoder is now
> available. It fixes the problem with the constant Error 103
> and also make tone decoding twice as accurate. Check
> it out at http://www.steaksandwich.com.
>
>

2733. Re: lawyers test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: P Schaefr <pschaefr99@erols.com>
Message-ID: <342C9EF7.637E@erols.com>
Source record: 184839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

My Net medic program showed me that even with a 28.8 modem, I am lucky
to average 18-22 KBPS.
--
Please delete "99" from my address to respond.
Ban Spam!!!

2734. Re: Fast Food Drive Thru Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: patrick.mccraughtch@juno.com
Message-ID: <342efd6e.2499417@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 184840

> Does anyone have freqs for fast food drive thrus?? Have tried scanning
> around 169.000 but no luck.

The McDonald's up the street used to be on 154.57 (menu board) with an unknown employee frequency. They had a range of about EIGHT MILES to the north. It was shorter west and south, but the range in all those directions was limited only because you'd run into another McDonald's. I could pick them up on my handheld almost a mile away with the rubber ducky. Recently, for some reason, they moved to 154.60 MHz and an employee frequency of 171 MHz or so. They overpower the whole frequency all over town unless you're really close to another McD's.

2735. Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2709970321040001@pm53-06.magicnet.net>
Source record: 184841

In article <60b84q$e6p$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>, CommFreq@webtv.net (Ray Stickney) wrote:

> --WebTV-Mail-1555079913-1465
> Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7BIT
>
> Just in the first half on this group their are 190 posts devoted to the
> most childish B.S. I have ever seen.I came here to share the hobby with
> others who were interested in scanning,learn what I can, and share what
> I could.Can you imagine the amount of information that could have been
> where these posts are? Now their will probably be threads of finger
> pointing, and blaming anyone else for starting it, and dragging it out.
> I recently saw a post here where someone wished a guys kids would die
> that night.That's way too sick for me.
> I'm going to go find where the adults talk about scanning.
> Ray

I heard where the FCC will make it against the law to read off-topic newsgroup posts. Then the problem will be solved.

2736. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2709970324180001@pm53-06.magicnet.net>
Source record: 184842

In article <34287fc0.4077522@nntp.ix.netcom.com>, scanner5@ix.netcom.com wrote:

> If your going to carry ... get a permit
> contact MSP and get a "PERMIT FOR USE OF SHORT WAVE RECEIVER IN
> VEHICLE" you can then legally listen to the Police.
>
> By the way it does not say anything about fire, EMS or the like, does
> that mean you can listen to them legally in a vehicle ?.

Are you sure you mean "Short Wave"? Short Wave usually refers to the 3 to
30 MHz HF band. Not many police on HF.

2737. Icom R7000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Hervert <thervert@worldnetxxx.att.net>
Message-ID: <60hv0e$2fp@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am again listing this receiver, this time at a reduced price.

$800.00 shipped FEDEX, USPS (no UPS!).

Tom
please remove xxx from email address when replying.

2738. Re: i am blind, and need some help please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <60iff6$j9b@dfw-ixnews12.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 184844

In <Pine.GSO.3.96.970927003901.2645D-100000@randomc.com> Dana Yeomans
<dana@randomc.Com> writes:
>
>hi all there is a police department on 154.650 here in griffin,
georgia.
>and there is another one here in Georgia also somewhere, can someone
look
>that up, and get back to me via e-mail? thanks.
>
>
>random access internet shell account
>standard disclaimers apply
>
so you read this in Braille, huh?

why not just search out the 154's and 155's

that will bring up wht you seek....

eventually.

bill
etc.

2739. hi again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Yeomans <dana@randomc.Com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.970927004702.2645G-100000@randomc.com>
Source record: 184845

is there anyone that might have a scanner with
a voice synthesizer for sale, if there is such an animal out there, e-mail
back, i think icom made one years ago.

random access internet shell account
standard disclaimers apply

2740. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kaylacamke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927051000.BAA01773@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184846

Geez Guys------

I thought women were the ones to have the cat fights, not the men!
[----- Kayla ------]

2741. is there anyone out there in georgia?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Yeomans <dana@randomc.Com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.970927010738.2645L-100000@randomc.com>
Source record: 184847

hi there, is there anyone around the atlanta area that is watching this
news group?

random access internet shell account
standard disclaimers apply

2742. Re: How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adrian Leatherland <alea4@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au>
Message-ID: <342DBA40.CD24A99D@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au>
Source record: 184848

N2YWD wrote:

> I don't understand why you want to this, as I am the guy (at the
> school I
> work for) that runs a system like that. I assure you, that when you
> are in
> the middle of a performance and someone does something like this, lots
> - o
> -people get REALLY mad.

Yeah, Tell me about people getting mad!
I work with an amateur theatre group who have been experiencing weird problems sometimes, but only when the show's are being performed to the public. I am investigating whether it could be one of our audience members
with some device.

I didn't expect people to jump to the conclusion that I was out to cause any
trouble. I would think anyone who uses their real name, email address and posts
messages to rec.radio.scanner as apposed to MyAnarchy posting to alt.terrorist is asking an innocent question.

2743. WTB-PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Komisarek <n1lsw@ncia.net>
Message-ID: <342CDAEB.22B8@ncia.net>
Source record: 184849

Hello, Looking to buy a radio shack pro-2006, at a fair price,
have one in the house need one for the shack,

2744. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342CD819.4FE2@juno.com>
Source record: 184850

KaylaCamke wrote:
> Geez Guys------
> I thought women were the ones to have the cat fights, not the men!
> [----- Kayla ------]
meow!

2745. SONY ICF SW77 ShortWave for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: astro@NOSPAM_slip.net
Message-ID: <09970827004120.OUI56.astro@NOSPAM_slip.net>
Source record: 184851

This is my own radio and it is in excellent condition - works and looks
just like new.

This is Sony's Top of the line portable short Wave receiver. It has

1. over 130 memories!
2. Fully paged alpha display with station names
3. Upper and lower SSB and CW modes
4. sychronous detection mode for increased selectivity
5. can store up to 10 different frequencies for each station, mark them
with the times used- and then *automatically* tune to the correct
frequency band.
6. Continuous coverage from 150 kHz-30Mhz Plus FM Band
7. 5 timers!
8. Direct entry, memory preset or dial tuning

Many, many more features.

It's a really great receiver dream.

Got to sell it because I'm a college student again.

The radio sells mail order for around $450.

I will sell it for $299!

Serious inquiries only please.

EMAIL to astro@slip.net if interested.

You can find reviews of this
radion at the radio netherlands web site if you aren't already aware of
the features.

--
Diane astro@NOSPAM_slip.net
09/27/97 01:33

2746. Re: How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adrian Leatherland <alea4@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au>
Message-ID: <342DBD52.FDDE1D5F@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au>
Source record: 184852

George wrote:

> Clueless is as clueless does! Get a life!

Who is clueless? I hope you were referring to Glenn, N2YWD
with that harsh `Get a Life' statement.. Afterall, they can't find
better
things to do with their lifes than misinterperate postings to
rec.radio.scanner. "Conspiracy, illegal schemes, federal offences...
rec.radio.scanner subscribers unite to crack down on the common enemy."

I work with an amateur theatre group who have been experiencing weird
problems sometimes, but only when the show's are being performed to the
public. I am investigating whether it could be one of our audience
members
with some device.

I didn't expect people to jump to the conclusion that I was out to cause
any
trouble. I would think anyone who uses their real name, email address
and posts
messages to rec.radio.scanner as apposed to `MrAnarchy' posting to
alt.terrorist is asking an innocent question.

2747. FS: Uniden 144XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Burkett <bburkett@nb.net>
Message-ID: <01bccafa$cbbb0f40$6abd71cf@default>
Source record: 184853

```
Uniden 144XLT scanner for sale; nice condition; $60.00
```

2748. trade HR 2510 for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ssb108v <vo2apl@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <342D0D05.22E9@netrover.com>
Source record: 184854

with chipswitch

2749. Scanner selection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mtope@umich.edu
Message-ID: <875360620.29565@dejanews.com>
Source record: 184855

Hi Does anyone know what the best scanner is for $199? Any pointers
would be appreciated.
Regards
Monisha Tope.

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

2750. Re: SCANMORE home page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: eyceman00@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927114501.HAA07719@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184856

>Free scanner database, mods, laws, and links. There will be more to come
>so visit often. Click on (Scanmore's Page)

Please list your URL so we can check out your home page.

2751. ^^Visit This Scanner Related Website!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: crbbooks@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927134301.JAA18214@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184857

Scanner fans! Please stop by and visit our new website: www.crbbooks.com

2752. ICOM R7000 and R71A RAM Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@mindport.net
Message-ID: <342d0f23.8653163@news.mindport.net>
Source record: 184858

I would like to replace the RAM backup battery in my R7000 and R71A since both are over 10 years old. Before I take the radios apart I would like to have new batteries ready. Does anyone that has actually done their own replacement know the model numbers of the proper replacement battery.

Thanks for your help.

Art

2753. MICH SCANNER LAW--REPLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: CRAIG <csomme>
Message-ID: <01bccb53$63602260$e2ca2581@compuserve>
Source record: 184859

I see a posting that a permit is required to equip a vehicle with a scanner, even if the owner/driver is an AMATEUR RADIO OPERATOR. Well, according to MCL 750.508, a permit is NOT REQUIRED is the owner/driving holds a current amateur radio license. IS NOT REQUIRED!!!!

How do I know this? Well, I was tried under this law back in the 80's. BTW, at that time the law did NOT in technician, but included the CONDITIONAL license. I wrote to my congressman, etc, and in 1990, the law was updated to include the TECHNICIAN class.

I can't believe people are having a difficult time understanding this. A PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED is the operator hold an AMATEUR LICENSE (tech and higher). NOT NOT NOT NOT!!!!!!!
--
---CRAIG
remove <STOP> from my address to send EMAIL

2754. FS Bearcat 100 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty Blei <jmap@idt.net>
Message-ID: <342CFD07.C5@idt.net>
Source record: 184860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,nyc.forsale,li.forsale

Bearcat 100 Scanner For Sale. This is a Handheld 100 channel all Band scanner (Except 800 Mhz) Listen to Police, Fire, Ambulance. Has Search feature. Asking $ 100.00 plus shipping.

2755. Re: Cleveland Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927143801.KAA15524@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184861

THESE ARE THE FEW FREQS. I HAVE.

464.700 OPERATIONS
124.500 SHOW CONTROL
124.300 TOWER
121.900 GROUND
469.650 PARKING/HOSPITALITY
123.475 GOLDEN KNIGHTS
263.350 BLUE ANGELS
345.900 BLUE ANGELS
275.350 BLUE ANGELS
238.150 BLUE ANGELS

2756. Re: ic-r10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billc@rust.net>
Message-ID: <01bccb5a$7e03ba80$9f4445d1@mcsppro200>
Source record: 184862

Yes. I bought both items from Grove. Can't remember the exact price, but
it was reasonable.

Bill Crocker

WD3C <wd3c@aol.com> wrote in article
<19970927043701.AAA29409@ladder02.news.aol.com>...
> Are the cs-r10 software and the opc-478 cabel the only things needed to
> connect the r-10 to the computer? And whats a good price?
>
>

2757. Re: ICOM R7000 and R71A RAM Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: gfiber@news.cmc.net
Message-ID: <342f2958.2698584@news.cmc.net>
Source record: 184863

On Sat, 27 Sep 1997 14:00:47 GMT, nospam@mindport.net (Arthur P.
Heely) wrote:

>I would like to replace the RAM backup battery in my R7000 and R71A
>since both are over 10 years old. Before I take the radios apart I
>would like to have new batteries ready. Does anyone that has actually
>done their own replacement know the model numbers of the proper
>replacement battery.
>
>Thanks for your help.
>
>Art
In the R-7000 the ram battery only backs up the 99 memories. However
in the R-71A the battery backs up the operation parameters of the
radio. The R-71A battery replacement is best left to ICOM to preform

The battery is BR2325 - 1HC available from ICOM America at
425-454-7619 and press ' 3 ' when the automated system answers.

.
Copyright 1997 All Rights reserved
Gary Fiber

2758. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927153101.LAA18654@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184864

In article <19970927051000.BAA01773@ladder02.news.aol.com>,
kaylacamke@aol.com (KaylaCamke) writes:

>Subject: Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit
The Kill
>Filters!
>From: kaylacamke@aol.com (KaylaCamke)
>Date: 27 Sep 1997 05:10:55 GMT
>
>Geez Guys------
>
>I thought women were the ones to have the cat fights, not the men!
>[----- Kayla ------]

Catfight???
MEOW.... ;-)

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2759. Re: ic-r10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: gfiber@news.cmc.net
Message-ID: <343029d7.2825659@news.cmc.net>
Source record: 184865

On 27 Sep 1997 04:37:26 GMT, wd3c@aol.com (WD3C) wrote:

>Are the cs-r10 software and the opc-478 cabel the only things needed to
>connect the r-10 to the computer? And whats a good price?

The CSR10 and OPC-478 cables will allow you to clone the radio. This
means it will allow you to upload or download the memory channels and
other operation parameters. The R-10 is unique as it also supports
ICOM's CI-V interface which allows for computer control. here you
will need some other software and the CT-17 level converter.

Copyright 1997 All Rights reserved
Gary Fiber

2760. Re: NEEDED.. MHP helicopter and airplane freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: demunsonjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927155600.LAA20277@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184866

s.>Does anyone have the freq for the MHP helicopter and airplanes..

Can you be more specific as to which state you are looking for? MHP could
be any of at least four state

2761. ��� Attention storm spotters/scanners/hams ���

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: storm@drx.com
Message-ID: <storm-2709970941330001@tuc-ns5-7.goodnet.com>
Source record: 184867

Warren Faidley's best selling book "Storm Chaser" is available at:

(Scanner freak/ham radio operator, consultant to "Twister")

http://www.stormchaser.com/products.html

Order now before the Christmas rush.

Visa, Discovery, American Express, Mastercard, money orders accepted.

Secured server.

2762. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kaylacamke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927165401.MAA29751@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184868

CB Guy,
I found this great site today and wanted to ask you if you know
about the group? Nice to see that there are some nice Meows!

PARG International

http://www.teleport.com/%7Emscott/dumb.shtml

--<--- Kayla ---<---<@

2763. PARG International, your opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kaylacamke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927165801.MAA24267@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184869

Are there any members in this newsgroup? Just found them today and they have a very interesting homepage.

http://www.teleport.com/%7Emscott/dumb.shtml

They asked for a letter to be sent to their P.O. Box in Oregon for membership. No money, that was a nice change to see when joining a group! ;-)

--<--- Kayla ---<---<@

2764. Re: what scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Kralicky <jkralicky@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342D43AB.96E78A59@usa.net>
Source record: 184870

What's wrong with your Pro-60?

Freyr wrote:
> I am ready to get rid of my pro 60. I don't ever want to buy another
> scanner from radio shack, but where do I go looking? And can someone
> recommend a good scanner, base preferred but handheld will do the trick,
> for around $400. It should be able to receive 25MHz to at least a gig.
>
> thanks

2765. Re: lawyers test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kmillerX@flash.net
Message-ID: <342d1667.217147636@news.flash.net>
Source record: 184871
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Fri, 26 Sep 1997 22:33:03 -0700, Tom Mills
<"tmills@flash.net"@flash.net> wrote:

>is just that, the total amount of whatever. However, there is no
>correlation between information and intellegence.

"intellegence"??? Did you go to school in Dallas too?
:-)
Kerry Miller
kmillerX@flash.net
(remove the X to reply, I really hate spam)

2766. Re: Scanner selection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: sarge@serv01.net-link.net
Message-ID: <sarge-ya02408000R2709971434090001@nntp.net-link.net>
Source record: 184872

The Uniden BC860XLT works fine for me. It can be purchused for about $199 new at places like Best Buy. I'm sure there is at least one of them in the Ann Arbor
area. Hope this helps. You can also go to Radio Shack, but I believe they are more on the expensive side for a scanner that is worth anything.

Ron Bardo <sagre@net-link.net>

In article <875360620.29565@dejanews.com>, tope@mediaone.net wrote:

> Hi Does anyone know what the best scanner is for $199? Any pointers
> would be appreciated.
> Regards
> Monisha Tope.
>
> ----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

2767. Re: MICH SCANNER LAW--REPLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman
Message-ID: <342d20bc.13010323@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 184873

Please include the post.

"CRAIG " <csomme<STOP>1@ibm.net> wrote:

>I see a posting that a permit is required to equip a vehicle with a
>scanner, even if the owner/driver is an AMATEUR RADIO OPERATOR. Well,
>according to MCL 750.508, a permit is NOT REQUIRED is the owner/driving
>holds a current amateur radio license. IS NOT REQUIRED!!!!
>
>How do I know this? Well, I was tried under this law back in the 80's.
>BTW, at that time the law did NOT in technician, but included the
>CONDITIONAL license. I wrote to my congressman, etc, and in 1990, the law
>was updated to include the TECHNICIAN class.
>
>I can't believe people are having a difficult time understanding this. A
>PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED is the operator hold an AMATEUR LICENSE (tech and
>higher). NOT NOT NOT NOT!!!!!!!
>--
>---CRAIG
>***remove <STOP> from my address to send EMAIL***

.
helmsman@mindspring.com
http://helmsman.home.mindspring.com/
Amateur Radio,Hubble Telescope,Web Cams

2768. Re: RS pro 2006...to keep or not to keep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <asds@xworldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bccb78$fb399420$6193410c@hal>
Source record: 184874

In my opinion (which doesn't count for much), it's probably the best scanner ever made. Put an Optoelectronics OS456 computer interface in it and you can't beat it.

As far as receiving illegal transmissions, yes it's easily modifiable to receive cellular and it also receives cordless phones, but there are more interesting things to do with this scanner.

I you still want to sell it and it's in good condition, I've seen them selling to $300 to $400. Whether people are actually getting that much for them I can't say.

If you are thinking about getting a portable, I would suggest staying away from mobile scanners and get a handheld. I went the mobile route and ended up getting a handheld to take to airshows, etc. With a powered external speaker and a mobile antenna it makes a great mobile also.

David M. Hitchner - hitchner@worldnet.att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Living on an information dirt road,
just off the Information Super-Highway.

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System
Use E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Mark Wallis <mwallis@fidalgo.net> wrote in article
<342C909D.F6C0CF71@fidalgo.net>...
> I have a pro2006 and i was thinking about trading it in for a somewhat
> more portable model, but a friend of mine said i should keep it because
> it's coverage is better than scanners you can buy now.
> Is there any truth to this??? can i listen to stuff i'm not s'posed to
> with this model ??
> How much is it worth if i was to sell it ??
> Thanks in advance
> FNG-me
>
> --
> Mark Wallis - Mt. Vernon, WA
>
> http://www.fidalgo.net/~mwallis/
>
>
>

2769. Re: How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <GHansen@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342D336E.5B694A53@juno.com>
Source record: 184875

Adrian Leatherland wrote:
>
> George wrote:
>
> > Clueless is as clueless does! Get a life!
>
> Who is clueless? I hope you were referring to Glenn, N2YWD
> with that harsh `Get a Life' statement.. Afterall, they can't find
> better
> things to do with their lifes than misinterperate postings to
> rec.radio.scanner. "Conspiracy, illegal schemes, federal offences...
> rec.radio.scanner subscribers unite to crack down on the common enemy."

No, I am sure he was referring to you.

>
> I work with an amateur theatre group who have been experiencing weird
> problems sometimes, but only when the show's are being performed to the
> public. I am investigating whether it could be one of our audience
> members
> with some device.

So why didn't you post the above paragraph asking for help to find it
rather than posting a message asking how to do it? I hope you are taking
a communications class this year.

> I didn't expect people to jump to the conclusion that I was out to cause
> any
> trouble. I would think anyone who uses their real name, email address
> and posts
> messages to rec.radio.scanner as apposed to `MrAnarchy' posting to
> alt.terrorist is asking an innocent question.

Your problem is you didn't think. You obviously are new to this group.
Over the years we have had morons ask how to jam cellular phones,
cordless phones, transmit in other illegal ways and such moronic
questions as yours. It usually is at its worse when the newbie .edu
accounts open up every September.

Now, if you want help give the symptoms rather than asking what device.
What frequency are we talking about? What does the interference sound
like? Does it sound the same each time it happens?

2770. Re: > TE KOOP AOR3000A / F.S. AOR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: cacao@xs4all.nl
Message-ID: <342d30c1.1322032@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 184876
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Helaas wordt steeds mijn directe e-mail geretourneerd.
Vandaar langs deze weg.....

Ik ben dus geinteresseerd in deze scanner.
Gaarne een e-mailtje om het e.e.a. af te spreken.

Smiles across the miles,

Roger

On 26 Sep 1997 21:26:22 GMT, boxers@free.com wrote:

>Te koop: AOR3000A scanner, ivm aanschaf AOR5000.
> 16 maanden gebruikt. In perfecte staat.
>Specificaties:
>Ontvangstbereik: 100 Khz - 2036 Mhz
>Modulatiesoorten: USB, LSB, CW, AM, NFM, WFM
>Kanalen: 400 kanalen, 100 per bank
>Nieuwprijs: fl. 2298,--
>vaste prijs: fl. 1398,--
>Eventueel inruil Realistic Pro 2006 mogelijk
>Reacties: email to:
>pjcarels@concepts.nl
>
>+++++++++++++++++
>FS. AOR 3000A Price: fl. 1398,--
>More info:
>pjcarels@concepts.nl
>++++++++++++++++++++++++
>Also see:
>http://www.sunridge.net/index.phtml?id=21469

2771. How Do You Modify PRO-2026???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <60jn2t$s0t@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 184877

I'm interested in knowing if my Radio Shack PRO-2026 scanner can be modified to recieve the 800 megahertz celluar frequencies. The number on the back on the scanner is 11A5. Any help would be appreciates. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.

Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net

2772. Re: ��� Attention storm spotters/scanners/hams ���

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Nooney <jnooney@albany.net>
Message-ID: <342D69AB.ACDFDE2D@albany.net>
Source record: 184878

I guess things have changed since I last was here.......when did we start allowing commercial advertising?

2773. Data Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Gaff <shbcommm@indigo.ie>
Message-ID: <342D6AE1.2FEF8DEB@indigo.ie>
Source record: 184879

Would some kind data decoding buff that knows a bit more than a little about said subject allow me to post him/her a few short WAVs of some data/telemetry I recorded off my wireless. Maybe you could identify these signals for me as I have'nt a clue!

Many Thanks

Terry

2774. Pro 2006 wanted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: 629371@att.net
Message-ID: <60jtcv$41b@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Would like to purchase a Pro 2006...

Please reply email with condition, any modifications, and your price.

Thanks.

John

2775. Re: lawyers test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe d <jedent@phoenix.net>
Message-ID: <342D7B87.6256@phoenix.net>
Source record: 184881
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Tom Mills wrote: Ohhhh, I'm quivering in my boots!
> BANDSPACE? Never heard of "bandspace". He must mean "bandwidth" which
> also doesn't really apply to Internet. A better term might be
> "information". Bandwidth refers to information per second, information
> is just that, the total amount of whatever. However, there is no
> correlation between information and intellegence.
We were using the 10.3 KMZ and other microwave bands to do the code
reconnition software test. Messages were sent via RF to landline ISP
back to landline to cellphone to Pager.
Get it?
The quick blue goat crept under the sleeping green wolf.
ryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryryry73

2776. R-10 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: AL <a-clark@mailexcit.com>
Message-ID: <342D9A09.414B@mailexcit.com>
Source record: 184882

Does anyone have the mods for the Icom r-10?
thanks Al

2777. Re: ��� Attention storm spotters/scanners/hams ���

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927213500.RAA18470@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184883

>Subject: Re: ��� Attention storm spotters/scanners/hams ���
>From: Joseph Nooney <jnooney@albany.net>
>Date: Sat, 27 Sep 1997 16:16:43 -0400
>Message-id: <342D69AB.ACDFDE2D@albany.net>
>
>I guess things have changed since I last was here.....when did we
>start allowing commercial advertising?

Since when have we been able to stop it?

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2778. :FAQ - New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <342D80AA.2AAB@ibm.net>
Source record: 184884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
*Picking A Scanner/Receiver
*Scanner Glossary
Scanner Models FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargable battery tips
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Newly added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM

Happy reading!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

2779. Your Scanning Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <342D80E3.5D95@ibm.net>
Source record: 184885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Attention All Scannists,
In an effort to provide everyone with the best knowledge available for purchasing a scanning radio, antenna, book or piece of software, I have created a User Opinions page. This page exists for you to post your personal reviews and thoughts of scanning related items.
I ask each and every one of you reading this message to consider the knowledge and experience you have gained from your monitoring and put it towards helping this effort.
No one expects a thesis to be written. Just your honest comments on what you do and don't like about a particular product. It can take but a few minutes yet make such a large impact to those of use who will make use of it.
To anyone who has ever used the Internet to do research before making a purchase, I think you can see how valuable a resource such as this can be.
Several people have already been unselfish enough to post their thoughts about items they have used. I thank you and hope that others will follow your lead.
To learn more, point your web browsers to

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/OPINIONS.HTM

A few articles have been added recently and I urge the rest of you who may be considering submitting your opinions to just do it!
Thank you for your time and consideration!

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

2780. fox hunt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: lgus@hub.ofthe.net
Message-ID: <60jvfifqe2@usenet76.supernews.com>
Source record: 184886

is there a way to build a directional antennia that could be used as a
mobile unit

2781. How Much Does Used 2026 Go For???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <60k073$8gh@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 184887

I'm consitering selling my radio shack PRO-2026 scanner. The scanner is used but in excellent condition. Both working and physical. I would sell the scanner with an antenna, mounting bracket, and the owners manual. The PRO-2026 I have is the second version made. Any idea about what a good selling price would be? Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot for reading and in advance.

Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net

2782. WTB PRO-2006-5-4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Douglas V, Brown" <dvbrown@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <01bccb99$f19a7e40$2bb9b1cc@default>
Source record: 184888

Want to buy a scanner of this series.
Looking to pay around $200-$300 (depending on condition), and will only
accept a purchase through UPS C.O.D.
Does not have to be mint but must be in good working condition.

kind regards
D.B.

2783. Re: Ar8000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: eaw@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <60k4nn$erh@camel3.mindspring.com>
Source record: 184889

Most foreign dealers will put the USA band plan in your AOR 8000 if
you request it.
Try Javiation UK dealer they will do what ever you want.
Eric
ted@homemail.com (T. D.) wrote:

>I am considering to buy an AR8000 and would like it to be cell enabled
>and to have the USA band plan.

>As this is not readily available here in the USA, I am considering my
>options and would appreciate any info on this subject.

>Is it true that the only difference between USA versions of AR8000
>(either cell enabled or disabled) and foreign versions (Canada, Japan,
>etc, non USA band plan) is the contents of the EEPROM and any version
>can be converted to any other by merely reprogramming the EEPROM ?

>If so, how the reprogramming is done ?
>What exactly I need to do that ? Where can I get the data file
>with the desire contents of the EEPROM ? (I don't have access to
>another AR8000 with cell enabled and USA bandplan).

>(I do have access to IMB PC and am an experience programmer).

>
>Any help will be greatly appreciated.

>Thanks,

>Ted.

2784. Re: Where is rec.radio.scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342D9A97.594B@usa.net>
Source record: 184890

I wonder what good it will do to make it illegal to
read off-topic posts other than people will have stop
bitching because they would self-incriminate themselves.

How would they enforce it?

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
> I heard where the FCC will make it against the law to read off-topic
> newsgroup posts. Then the problem will be solved.

2785. Re: what scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: freyr@nash.net
Message-ID: <freyr-ya02408000R2709971833040001@news.nc5.infi.net>
Source record: 184891

All of the audio sounds like there outside in a windstorm. I checked this with different antennas, and other scanners, it's just the pro 60. They fixed it once, by resoldering the RF shields, but that didn't last a month. The manager at R Shack tells me that it's something at my house that is causing this problem LOL, but the little toad can't be bothered to turn on my scanner to listen for himself. It's still under warranty till FEB, but when I get it back I am going to pawn it.

Joseph Kralicky wrote:

> What's wrong with your Pro-60?
>
>
> Freyr wrote:
> > I am ready to get rid of my pro 60. I don't ever want to buy another
> > scanner from radio shack, but where do I go looking? And can someone
> > recommend a good scanner, base preferred but handheld will do the trick,
> > for around $400. It should be able to receive 25MHz to at least a gig.
> >
> > thanks

2786. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342D99FA.1696@usa.net>
Source record: 184892

KaylaCamke wrote:
> I found this great site today and wanted to ask you if you know
> about the group? Nice to see that there are some nice Meows!

Yea, those two guys are quite the PUSSY's, aren't they!!!!

> PARG International
>
> http://www.teleport.com/%7Emscott/dumb.shtml

So, what do you know about PARG???

2787. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <875417249.2811@dejanews.com>
Source record: 184893

In article <341D7553.4586@juno.com>,
Phx_radio_man@juno.com wrote:

> You said it, I didn't. I mentioned that others wer forging messages, not
> specifically about you (more you you were doing it to others, like Eric
> Oyen, Bill Cheek Blake bowers and a few others). Next, you'll probably
> try me.....

You obviously don't have the gonads to answer this in the echo, but
I have never posted by anything other than my name, I challenge
you to prove otherwise.

Otherwise, you are full of crap.

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

2788. Need Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ironman <ironman4@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <342DBACE.6D93@earthlink.net>
Source record: 184894

Anyone having Freq's that are not in regular print can you e-mail
them. I am in the
west burbs of chicago. Thanks...
--
Ironman4@earthlink.net ,Please don't send Spam in any form!!

2789. FS: Icom IC-u2AT, rapid charger, lots of batts. $185 or best offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghopper@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ghopper-2709971944240001@ghopper.ndip.eskimo.net>
Source record: 184895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap

Radio for sale

Icom Micro 2AT

Great Icom radio. Ten memory channels, CTCSS, DTMF, Small and light.

Radio, antenna, RAPID CHARGER (BC-50), alkaline battery pack (BP-20), DC converter (DC-25), two batteries (BP-22 and BP-23), and all manuals.
$175.00 plus shipping for whole package.

Batteries hold a good charge.

Prepayment via US postal money order or COD shipment via USPS COD/FedEx COD

G Hopper
ghopper@eskimo.com
KB7WSD

--
G.F. Hopper Elsewhere in the city....
ghopper@eskimoDOTcom
KB7WSD A mime is trapped in a box...
Remove the anti-spam "DOT" to reply. and no one cares.

~~~~~~
This E-Mail address is NOT-FORSALE or use for JUNK-EMail without the
EXPRESSED WRITTEN PERMISSION of the owner of the above E-Mail Address.
**********WARNING**********
By US Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer
meets the definition of a telephone fax machine. By Sec.227(b)
(1)(C), it is unlawful to send any unsolicited advertisement to
such equipment, punishable by action to recover actual monetary
loss, or $500, whichever is greater, for EACH violation.
~~~~~~

2790. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <342DEF07.5F94@juno.com>
Source record: 184896

The CB Guy wrote:
> >Geez Guys------
> >
> >I thought women were the ones to have the cat fights, not the men!
>
> Catfight???
> MEOW.... ;-)
>
WOOF!

2791. Re: NEED UPDATED CORDLESS FREQS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kut7bh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970927221200.SAA20915@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184897

>Subject: NEED UPDATED CORDLESS FREQS.
>From: "Tom Cassidy" <tacan@carroll.com>
>Date: Tue, 23 Sep 1997 00:38:08 GMT
>Message-id: <EGxr3K.HAJ@nonexistent.com>
>
> does anyone have the most up to date cordless phone freqs. to include the
>43 mhz range. thanks Tom
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tom,
Here's a list of the new 43-44 Mhz cordless frequencies:

43.72 43.74 43.82 43.84 43.92 43.96 44.12
44.16

44.18 44.20 44.32 44.36 44.40 44.46 44.48

The "original" 46 Mhz cordless phone frequencies are:

46.61 46.63 46.67 46.71 46.73 46.77 46.83
46.87

46.93 46.97

Be advised that monitoring cordless phone conversations is prohibited
under the amended Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 (but you
probably already know that, don't you?). Incidentally, a Radio Shack
dipole is great for receiving cordless signals, and so is Rad Shack's 6
foot scanner antenna. I use both antennas with my scanners; with the 6
footer and a TV preamp I can receive cordless calls from up to 2 miles away
if the frequency isn't too congested with interference.

2792. AirNav - Aircraft Tracking Software for Windows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Brandao <l42303@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.3.96.970927152746.30679B-100000@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Source record: 184898

Hi

Im writing to say that AirNav, the Aircraft Tracking Software
for Windows has a new web homepage:
(The URL is the same: http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Lakes/9420)

The new page includes:
-New detailed info on the program with more and better ScreenShots;
-HF airband information;
-More than 700 aircraft related links;
-Completely new look;
-Order information.

Also would like to say that AirNav is now on Version 1.11 which
has all reported bugs corrected - you can download a DEMO version
of it at AirNav URL.

Thank you very much,

Andre Brandao

2793. Re: New RS Dicone assembly question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@istar.ca>
Message-ID: <342D6434.81B2E222@istar.ca>
Source record: 184899

SFD4 wrote:

> How does the discone work on 150 and 500 nhz range

I've had good reports from hams on 144mhz and 440mhz using a handheld 5watts walkie-talkie hooked up to the new Radio shack discone multi band antenna (20-043).

My antenna is up 25 feet on my roof.

Vince

2794. Re: John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Burch <libertybell7@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <342D94C7.2499FC34@earthlink.net>
Source record: 184900

Billy Thunder wrote:

> Matt Walker <mattw@mail.com> wrote in article
> <342702B4.38F36D1E@mail.com>...
> > Billy Thunder wrote:
> >
> > > John Mackey?
> > >
> > > Knock-knock?
> >
> > Stirring up trouble again Cheek???
>
> I dont know what you mean. I'm trying to do
> a knock-knock joke with John Mackey. Not Cheek.

Ok, who's there?

2795. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <342d8ee1.57199003@news-server>
Source record: 184901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

ScanSkip <scanskip@aol.com>answered Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
on Friday, September 19, 1997 2:00 PM

BC> That's exactly the score and status quo. The matter was
BC> settled years ago.....meanwhile, the CE-232 LIVES and is still
BC> competitive with anything else on the market that resembles it.

BC> And what's more, there is a boatload of untapped potential in the
BC> CE-232 that just begs to be "discovered".....things a lot more
BC> important and useful than sniveling Easter Eggs.

> Well, I might believe all of this stuff if it weren't for your recent
> Billy Thunder shennigans. You've proven yourself to be a forger, so why not
> a liar?

I'm afraid I don't know what you're on about there. Compare the headers
and hidden attributes of anything attributable to me and we'll see....

> In any case, I'm more interested in the "untapped potential" of this
> interface? I don't anyone who has one, or speaks well of it? From what I
> see from the docs, it does not offer the same bang for buck as the Opto,
> and has no software support from third-party developers. With the advent of
> the Program It interface, why would anyone consider the Cheekatronics? It
> costs more, and does less.

You're quite in error on all counts except the subjective ones which
are never right or wrong. But let's stick to the hard core facts,
if we may.....

1. A thousand or more people are pleased with the CE-232 and
do speak well of it. You don't know any....you said. That
means little of nothing said by a "sneaky pete" hiding behind
the comfortable security of an AOL screen name. Say it again,
along with your legitimate identity, at least. Okay, so you
don't know....but WHO are YOU who doesn't know?

Catch my drift here?

2. Bang for the buck against Opto? Ha ha ha ha ha ha ha.........
Let's comare, shall we?

A. AutoLogger - logs every event in great detail incl (G) and (H) below
B. AutoProgrammer - programs the scanner from a plain text file
C. AutoReject - keeps scanner from stopping on undesired freqs.
D. AutoLookUp - looks up data about freqs that it stops on
E. Remote Control from PC - Operate from the PC's keyboard

F. Scripts for hands-off automated control - prima facie
G. User Switches - four User definable SPST switches for anything...
H. 22-bits of User-definable Input/Output - prima facie

Yes.....let's do compare the bang for the buck. And tell the truth each step of the way. Like the disadvantage of the CE-232 with respect to the Opto is that in its usual packaging, some hands-on is required to assemble and install it. But there are options for turn-key, too.... and which probably cost less than the Opto units and their terribly expensive 3rd party software. Add the cost of the Opto units to the cost of the *requisite* 3rd party software and let's see about the totals..... heh heh heh.....

3. Third party support? Ha ha ha ha ha..... you're in error. And it's self-evident, too..... I'm not going to involve others in an argument, but there are at least four outsiders who support the CE-232 to one extent or another.

4. ProgramIt? Never heard of it. Tell me more. But....can it do anything MORE than item 2B above? If so, what? Compare it and the Opto units to the CE-232 items in (2.A-H) above.

If you dare.....

<giggle>

5. Untapped potential? Like I said, there are four User Switches and 22-bits of undedicated I/O that are available to the User for whatever he wants. Like maybe to sample, log, and store S-Meter readings along with the conventionally logged stuff? The software lets the User allocate these puppies for whatever he wants, see?

Howzzat just for starters, Mister ScanSkip At AOL DOT COM?

> I'm too lazy to do a feature by feature comparison to prove my point.

Ahhhhhhh, I see. And lacking candor, too? I *wish* you would "prove" your point. Nuthin I like more than a challenge, a REAL one, anyway.....

> Anyway, that's something you oughta do in addition to posting press
> releases if you want the buying public to decide.

Well....let's you and me get down and do some proving, then, one way or the other. I'm game. You got any "hair"?

> Or did you develop it for the glory and not the money?

Love, pal. Love. Love and just compensation. ....Like your weekly paycheck from your employer (or welfare office) is your just compensation.

You got a problem with that? Anyway, I'm game for some "proving" if you got any "hair" and gumption.... or if your wheels got any lugnuts.

<smile>

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

2796. Re: CE-232 Interface and Remote Keyboard Control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342DAC32.38F4@usa.net>
Source record: 184902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Notice how OVERLY defenseive Bill Cheek becomes when
some one questions him about his product "CE-232". Now
Bill accuses the guy of being on welfare just becasue he
asked a question!!!!

Bill Cheek wrote:

> ScanSkip answered Bill Cheek on Friday, September 19, 1997 2:00 PM
> > Or did you develop it for the glory and not the money?

> Love, pal. Love. Love and just compensation. ....Like your weekly
> paycheck from your employer (or welfare office) is your just compensation.
>
> You got a problem with that? Anyway, I'm game for some "proving" if
> you got any "hair" and gumption.... or if your wheels got any lugnuts.

2797. Re: GOODBYE AMERICA! HELLO NEW WORLD ORDER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ironman <ironman4@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <342DB863.1F2A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 184903
Cross-posted to: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater,alt.ezines,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jimmy Miller wrote:
>
> > It all started at Roswell.
>
> You know, now that you mentioned this, your right! What does this mean?
> That the US government, in conjuction with a crash of a UFO, have somehow
> decided to turn on us people? Perhaps that after this crash, they either
> were contacted by an alien government, made contact via comm gear on the
> crashed ship, or otherwise have talked with an extraterrestrial people who
> have convinced them that we are too free.
>
> Or is it that we need to remove our civil freedoms for the upcoming changes
> that are on the horizon. Or even that we are required to be on a world
> government system before giving us some technology, cures for ailments,
> admission into their community, whatever.
>
> Perhaps they have promised something to us that is really tempting, and the
> cost of this present is disarming the worlds people, starting with the most
> free people on this planet. They (ETs) are hoping that by our doing this
> will open the door, so to speak, for a greater plan, hmm, like maybe a
> world invasion that they promised our world will not happen?
>
> "We promise you this new tech, but you have to disarm your people first....
> Allowing your people to have arms is barbaric and we do not admit
> civilizations that are barbarians..." Oh, yea, I believe this one.... And
> Bill does too, cause he is a fool, and thats the first thing someone will
> want us to do cause they have a better plan, gee, like invasion?
>
> Even with better technology, they know humans adapt, and perhaps our
> "primitive" weapons are still a threat to them because even in their world
> "shields" and "energy barriers" may still be fiction to them too. They know
> this and our "primitive projectile firing devices" can still hurt them.
> Perhaps they are a wimp species using tech to dominate or something.
>
> Gee, this doesn't sound too paranoid, does it? But think about it, anything
> is possible these days, and as stated-
>
> it all started with Roswell....

Try and find the book" Black Helocopters over America " Don't remember
the author
but explained alot on te NWO....
--

Ironman4@earthlink.net ,Please don't send Spam in any form!!

2798. *** Urgent help needed: BC-3000XLT schematic or technical advice ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EH8ort.n8@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 184704
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Friends,

This is a repost, as I didn't get any response to my previous post about two weeks ago. Does anyone have a BC-3000XLT service manual or copy of the schematic, or can give a bit of advice on this radio? I've been trying to get a service manual from Uniden but they're giving me a hard time. Somehow I find it hard to believe that no one has such a schematic (or maybe all were sleeping...:-)
Thank's in advance for any responses.

Note: when Replying, please email me directly in addition to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno

2799. 2 Motorola Spirit Pro + SU200's - $200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: emuldune@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928223001.SAA05125@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184705

Posting for a friend:

2 Motorola Spirit Pro + SU200's - $200 for the pair. leather
case,chargers,antennas - no book. Made in 1996. Email if interested.
Thanks.

2800. Re: What Are The Amateur Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EH8qs4.EqK@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 184706

Tony Heatwole <heatwole@clark.net> wrote:
>I'm interested in know ALL of the amateur radio frequencies. And I would
>also like to know what meter the frequencies are on (example 50-54 MHz is
>the 2 meter amateur radio band). Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot in
>advance and for reading.

Your exammple SUCKS!

50-54 mhz is the SIX METER AMATEUR BAND.

144-148hz is the TWO METER AMATEUR BAND.

Your request is something too broad for this newsgroup. If you desire
to know each and every amateur frequency in existence, you need to go
to the library and look up the BANDS where the Amateur Radio Service
exist. ANY frequency in those bands (such a 145.023456 MHZ) can be
used as an amateur frequency since the amateur bands are not channelized.

Below 30 mhz, there are eight amateur bands. Above 30 mhz, there are
many times that number more. Look for an ARRL book which contains a
bandplan layout.

As for your desire to convert frequencies to wavelengths, use the
following formula:

300 / <frequency in MHZ> = <wavelength in meters>

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

2801. What Does A Frequency Counter Do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <60mp97$8n3@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 184707

Hello. I'm interested in knowing exactually what a frequency counter does.
Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.

Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net

2802. Re: what scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: freyr@nash.net
Message-ID: <freyr-ya02408000R2809971846550001@news.nc5.infi.net>
Source record: 184708

Thanks, I've been listing to a local swap net, and I'll keep a ear out for
that Icom.

"Ben Wallace" wrote:

> The best scanner to buy is a used Icom R-100. There are plenty around, but
> not many come up for sale.
> Get a good one if you can. I have one and it is great.
>
> Ben
> WB8HUR
>
> Freyr wrote:
> > I am ready to get rid of my pro 60. I don't ever want to buy another
> > scanner from radio shack, but where do I go looking? And can someone
> > recommend a good scanner, base preferred but handheld will do the trick,
> > for around $400. It should be able to receive 25MHz to at least a gig.
> >
> > thanks
> >

2803. Re: New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: w9dmk-at-crosslink@crosslink.net
Message-ID: <342ee732.50711774@news.crosslink.net>
Source record: 184709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Sep 97 04:40:27 GMT, "Alex" <HamDude@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net> wrote in article <6014ie$5p7$1@gte2.gte.net>...
>> I know that Europe has FM CB already. But this is becoming to much like
>> Amateur Radio.
>
>What are you talking about? Are you crazy? In the United States CB is
>about as clean as the bottom of a Mc Donalds' dumpster sitting in a manure
>pile in the city dump!! If CB ever gets back any quality or class
>anything near of Ham Radio, I'd be amazed.

I understand and agree with everything you said above, but would you
please read the following again and explain what it means, because I
can't decode it.

Bob
73 de W9DMK
[Delete the "-at-crosslink" for direct replies]

2804. FS: GRE SCANNER CONVERTER FOR HH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tomcat121356@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60mr2rqvb1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 184710
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
--WebTV-Mail-668246406-8267

I have a GRE scanner converter for hand held scanner. Will take $100.00
OBO. Please respond by e-mail. Postal money order only.

--WebTV-Mail-668246406-8267
Content-Description: signature

--WebTV-Mail-668246406-8267--
```

2805. Re: What is "CTCSS"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Allbright <jan.allbright.NOSPAM@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342F03F7.529E8B14@usa.net>
Source record: 184711

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:

> CTCSS is a tone coded squelch system, commonly used in commercial
> radio systems. It uses a tone between 67 Hz & 250 Hz continously
> sent when the transmitter is keyed. The receiving station keeps the
> receiver squelched until it hears THAT tone. This allows several
> users to share one channel without disrputing the others.

But not at the same time 8)
Also ... CTCSS is used to "unlock" repeater inputs to allow for use.
The CTCSS tones are "injected" into the signal just before transmission
and are removed in the early stages of the receiver ... so you never
hear them..

--
Jan Allbright - "14-91" - Calhan Volunteer Fire Department
-- KC0BUY -- 146.970(-) <100hzPL> -- Colorado Springs --
real mail response needs to remove NOSPAM from email

2806. FS: BEARCAT TRUNKTRACKERS (NEW)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: 9LIVES <110025.1771@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <ezCU0dHz8GA.329@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 184712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FOR SALE: I HAVE TWO NEW, IN-THE-BOX, UNIDEN BEARCAT
TRUNKTRACKERS FOR SALE. YOURS FOR $250.00 EACH
PLUS $5.00 SHIPPING. fULL WARRANTY.

CONTACT 9LIVES@compuserve.com

GLENN

2807. an old regency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Yeomans <dana@randomc.Com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.970928220938.5936C-100000@randomc.com>
Source record: 184713

hi all i have an old regency that only goes down to 146.000 my friend put somthing in the keyboard that made it go down to 145.000 this scanner has the lights across the right side, and the buttons are hard for a blind person to tell what he is pressing. when we programed it, he punched somthing in to make it go down there, and we can't figure it out, so if there is some old scanner buffs out there that remembers this scanner, or could shed some light on this subject, e-mail back. i think this scanner was made back in the late 70's. take care from n4pge in griffin, georgia.

random access internet shell account
standard disclaimers apply.

2808. FS: Universal Decoder M-400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uplink@Wavenet.Com
Message-ID: <342EEEAC.447C@Wavenet.Com>
Source record: 184714

Must sell have no use for it. it does it all folks. $ 200.00 + Ship

Clean but no manuel ...

2809. Needed:PRO-51 Any Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin H Schiffman <khs01@gnofn.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.95.970928221301.12364B-100000@sparkie.gnofn.org>
Source record: 184715

I am looking to buy a PRO-51. I prefer that it does not work. Any condition. Needed for a project. Low price

Kevin Schiffman
KC5ZOC
Email: khs01@gnofn.org

2810. Re: FS: Radio Shack Scanner Model PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <60lalc$5jq$5@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 184716

By Birdie (bybirdie@aol.com) wrote:
: I've heard the 2006 is a highly rated scanner but why are frequencies
: 520-760 blocked out? What's on those frequencies?

UHF TV mostly.
520-760 is not blocked out, but just not included.

: If it has the frequencies 760-1300MHz, it should have the entire 800 Mhz
: spectrum, including cellular with no block outs, right?

Yes, but you MIGHT to clip a diode lead.

: This is probably "old hat" to alot of you, but I'm new to this. Thanks
: for the help.

: Regards,
: Pam

2811. October Edition WWW Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: asperges@innotts.co.uk
Message-ID: <343da6d9.8370932@news.innotts.co.uk>
Source record: 184717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,relcom.radio.ham,relcom.radio.ham

---

October Edition - Amateur Radio Pages:

http://www.innotts.co.uk/~asperges/

This month: "Students - Save the hobby!"

Extensive Amateur Radio Pages:

* Ideal for experts of beginners;
* Wide range of subject matters;
* Updated news and comment;
* Real Audio;
* Listeners / Scanner Enthusiast Section
* Links and feedback.

73 de Jeremy G4NJH

2812. Re: Marine VHF - in a car???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342e6835.11336873@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 184718

ironman <ironman4@earthlink.net> wrote:

:Mike wrote: I've heard of drug traffickers/growers using marine
:handhelds. They have alot of channels and if your not near water
:noone would ussually monitor that band...

Define "alot".

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2813. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342e6ed7.13035856@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 184719

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

:What the HELL are YOU, a damm school teacher grammar expert??

Who the hell are you, the moderator for this newsgroup?

:No one wants to hear your stupid technicalities so get the hell
:out of here you fool.

Learn to use your newsreader if you don't want to read everything in
a newsgroup..

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2814. Re: UNIDEN BC 800 XLT CELL PHONE FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928173001.NAA19132@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184720

>Subject: UNIDEN BC 800 XLT CELL PHONE FREQUENCIES
>From: "JIM H VERNON"

>i bought this scanner in 1993 that the number of cell phone calls that i
>can receive has decreased and that the content of the calls has become
>more day to day talk , not the range of discussions that i used to hear.

Have you considered that cell phone users are starting to get wise to the fact that scanner users can listen in on their conversations so they might be moderating what they say? I can't guess why the number of calls is decreasing--I don't think that people are moving over to PCS (digital) in such numbers that it would seem obvious to a phone snoop, but who knows... Any way, if you want to boost your listening quality in the cell phone range, get an antenna designed expressly for this range. A few companies sell them. I'd start with www.grove.net and other scanner dealers and see what they offer. The antennas are about $30-$35, I think.
Michael Alexander

2815. Re: ��� Attention storm spotters/scanners/hams ���

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <60m4ej$172s$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 184721

In article <19970927213500.RAA18470@ladder02.news.aol.com>, thecbguy@aol.com (The CB Guy) wrote:

>>I guess things have changed since I last was here.......when did we
>>start allowing commercial advertising?
>
>Since when have we been able to stop it?

Good point. Even those of us who favor certain rules, laws and regulations have to realize that reality must be acknowledged. Maybe we should just allow the commercial content without comment and most of the flame wars will go out.

73 de Dave, NF2G

2816. Re: What Will The New Bill Do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <60m45f$172s$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 184722

In article <60lu0n$skp@clarknet.clark.net>, heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) wrote:
>I have heard verious rumors about an upcoming bill that might become a
>law. And I have heard that it has something to do with scanning and
>amateur radio. I'm interested in knowing exactually what this bill will do
>if passed. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.

Read this page. It contains a lot of info, analysis, text of the
proposed legislation (there are actually TWO bills), and links to
other sources of updates:

http://www.ggw.org/nf2g/alert.shtml

73 de Dave, NF2G

2817. FS: Pro-60 800 Mhz - 200 ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: al@NOSPAMqiclab.scn.rain.com
Message-ID: <342f969b.7369329@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 184723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Very nice Hyperscan 200 channel handheld scanner. Covers
30-512 Mhz and 760-999.975Mhz (except cell "gaps") and
has all the features you'd want. 2 days left on auction at:

http://komodo.ebay2.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=560489

Alan Peterman Tigard, Oregon 97224 503-684-1984 Voice
Remove "NOSPAM" from address! http://www.scn.rain.com/alweb
As I grow older, the days seem longer and the years seem shorter.

2818. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342E973B.61B2@usa.net>
Source record: 184724

Hey CB guy, if the shoe fits........

The CB Guy wrote:

> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> writes:
> >Yea, those two guys are quite the PUSSY's, aren't they!!!!
>
> Nice to see you're still at it Mackey....

2819. for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Edwards <warthog1@klink.net>
Message-ID: <342EA5E3.F2E93656@klink.net>
Source record: 184725

```
Icom R-100 $350
Drake SW-8 $450
Alinco DJ-191 $175

e-mail :warthog1@klink.net
```

2820. sportcat scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy/DeeDee/Robin <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <342E9712.70B5@citynet.net>
Source record: 184726

Hi all, wondering what a used sportcat scanner is selling for these days? Just curious as I may have an op to purchase one soon. reply via email. Thanks!
Randy
--
>>Remove the nospam! from return email to reply.<<

2821. Are there women who scan cordless freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dragon@netshop.net
Message-ID: <60mcoinjl1@noc.van.hookup.net>
Source record: 184727

It seems that scanners....and monitoring freqs is a predominately male hobby/past-time.(based on my friends and this newsgroups e-mail addresses) Are there any women out there that are also scanner maniacs?

Just Courious?

Norm B.

2822. Re: Michigan Scanner Law...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: daryll1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928192501.PAA21238@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184728

In article <60fp6b$e5q$1@sequoia.idir.net>, "Patrick Nowlan"
<patrickn@idir.net> writes:

> or.... you could do this..... get a bubblepack mailer and adress it to
>yourselfand place postage on it. When stoped place your scanner in the
>envelope and seal it. Tampering with the mail is VERY illegal and I doubt
>the cops would want to go to jail. (this works for radar detectorsin states
>where thier illegal as well)

This is an Old Wives Tale that surfaces every now and then. It doesn't
become "mail", according to the postal inspectors, until it comes into the
possession of the US Postal Service. Now if you refuse to stop until you
can pull up to a mail box and drop it into the mailbox before the cop gets
up to the car, MAYBE you would have something there. You would also have a
bunch of new problems to worry about....

2823. Re: FREQS FOR LIVINGSTON COUNTY, MICHIGAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: daryll1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928192501.PAA26963@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184729

In article <609rob$819$1@newsd-106.bryant.webtv.net>, wdf60@webtv.net writes:

>
>Can anyone help me find the new 800MHz freqs for my area. I've tried
>everything and am not able to find them.

They are now on the Michigan State Police digital Astro system, dispatched
out of the Brighton post.

2824. Radios for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbjr@itol.com
Message-ID: <342EC501.1508@itol.com>
Source record: 184730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a Azden 7500H 6 meter rig, Mint Optoelectronics Xplorer MintNRD 535 Shortwave radio Mint. All radios are in MINT condition and have everything that goes with them box papers exc!!!Azden $275.00 Opto $700.00 NRD $1000.00 FIRM

2825. Re: Icom PCR 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: maar13@dial.pipex.com
Message-ID: <09970828220557.OUI82.maar13@dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 184731

In article <3429970c.3586691@nntp.nor.com.au>, From edgo@nor.com.au
(brian w edginton), the following was written:
> The new Icom PC operated receiver is now
> available here in Australia

Shortwave Magazine here in UK has reviewed the PCR1000, and suggest it
is the "hounds genitalia".....

Icom UK are selling it at 399 ukp, available now.....

Andy..

--

Please reply via the newsgroup, or Email direct.

maar13@dial.pipex.com Callsign G6MRI.

From Andy, Herne Bay Kent England.

Cheers, keep your end up........

2826. Re: BC 895XLT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnewell@planttel.net
Message-ID: <342eeaac.1732842@NEWS.PLANTTEL.NET>
Source record: 184732

Unident did alot of mistakes in the 895 manual.

Check out the reference in the specs near the back of the manual that states something about a internal 12V battery. Yikes.

Also, on the newer 895's the dimmer function for the LCD display is different. Check out the full review at Durhams Radio Site.

Just do a search for Durham Radio in Alta Vista or something...

2827. Want to buy PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: cardinal@swcp.com
Message-ID: <3432c841.80798272@news.swcp.com>
Source record: 184733

I am ready to pay cold hard cash for a PRO-2006. Prefer one already moded for cellular, but will take an un-moded one as well. Email me! I am in the US.
Carlos

2828. Re: LOCAL POLICE SEIZING RADIOS, Consumer Equipment at Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Allbright <jan.allbright.NOSPAM@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342F01F7.AB877797@usa.net>
Source record: 184734

Jimmy Miller wrote:

> > I think that a very good idea is for all scanner owners install "panic"
> > buttons on their scanners. That way, if the police approach you, and you
> > have a scanner, the panic button would be a push-button switch wired to
> > the CPU reset circuit in the radio, and pressing the button will erase
> > ALL pre-programmed frequencys in the scanner (resetting the CPU),
> > leaving no evidence that they can use to bust you for receiving off
> > limit portions of the electromagnetic spectrum. My Yaesu FT-50: All I
> > would do is hold ALL 3 buttons on the side of the radio down and then
> > turn the power button on. That way, all the cop would see is 144.000,
> > and that is it. No other stored frequencies in the rig.
> >
>
> Great idea! But the only problem is what if they search your place when
> your not there other than keeping it with you all the time? Then you
> busted. How about a password protected scanner? after three bad attempts on
> the scanner, it resets itself?

wowow -- wowow -- wait ...

How 'about a scanner with a layer of C4 packed inside ... then after 3 flub'd
attempts <<<<BLAM>>>>

>>>slap<<<

I feel better now ...

--
Jan Allbright - "14-91" - Calhan Volunteer Fire Department
-- KC0BUY -- 146.970(-) <100hzPL> -- Colorado Springs --
real mail response needs to remove NOSPAM from email

2829. Re: Paging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Schmidt <Bryan442@cris.com>
Message-ID: <342F1B43.30F13DF7@cris.com>
Source record: 184735
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Go to this site and give it a try

http://www.mobile.att.net/mc/nonMsg.html

Badbrad000 wrote:

Iam looking for a way to page from the internet to
a Motorola pager with

ATT service. Brad
BadBrad000@aol.com

2830. Re: CB Radios, Scanners & MORE...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Les Wilson <nessman@servtech.com>
Message-ID: <342F2751.54FE@servtech.com>
Source record: 184736

Teamwin 01 wrote:

> Citizen Band Radio Dealers are somtimes hard to locate, and you may not
> have or want to spend hours, even days, trying to locate the one CB Radio
> Shop to meet your needs. In this day and age there are many ways for
> someone to find whatever he/she needs or wants, like CB radio equipment,
> and you could make alot of expensive phone calls to places that are out of
> your area, and still not accomplish your goal in finding the CB Radio shop
> or shops to meet your needs.....
> ?Please send $2.00 and a self addressed, stamped envelope to:

This stinks of a scam to me. If you can read this message, then you certainly have the ability to search the internet or your local yellow pages to find what you need -- much faster than the two to ??? days you would have to wait to receive this brochure (if it ever comes).

--
==========
Les Wilson, Rochester, NY
--
E-mail - Pers: nessman@servtech.com
Work: les.wilson@ccmail.monroe.edu
--
Web Page: http://www.servtech.com/public/nessman
==========

2831. UK Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Powers.John@saqnet.co.uk
Message-ID: <342d4ec0.0@news.saqnet.co.uk>
Source record: 184757

Does anyone know anything about what frequencies are in use in the UK? Specifically, looking for requency information for Fire and Emergency Services.

Thanks

2832. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: thecbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928033501.XAA14025@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184758

In article <342D99FA.1696@usa.net>, John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
writes:

>Yea, those two guys are quite the PUSSY's, aren't they!!!!

Nice to see you're still at it Mackey.....ever the fool.

The CB Guy

http://members.aol.com/TheCBGuy/cbradio

2833. SC-150 Sportcat-More Hidden Things Found

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Stacy J. Dunkle" <sdunkle@bedford.net>
Message-ID: <01bccbc4$647132e0$3b9163ce@sdunkle.bedford.net>
Source record: 184759

While doing the trick for the hidden battery meter on my Sportcat, I stumbled upon some other possibly hidden things.

First off, for anyone that may have forgotten, the battery meter trick is to hold down both the WX button and the L/O button, while turning the scanner on. This makes the battery-shaped "BATT" indicator light, along with a number. This number seems to range from 1.30 to 1.95, depending on the charge of the battery.

Now, while the scanner is displaying this, I found that other things can be found by pushing certain buttons. Pushing the numbers 1-8 brings up the word "UP" where the channel number is normally displayed, and another number in the format of x.xx, which can be adjusted with the up/down buttons, and each seems to have a default setting, and also a limit as to how far they can be adjusted. Also, the number you pressed is displayed where the bank number is. Here are the default settings:

1 1.75
2 1.86
3 1.96
4 2.74
5 1.64
6 1.80
7 2.00
8 2.50

When the number 9 is pressed, the frequency display goes blank, and only the bank number 2 is shown. If 9 is pressed again, it jumps to 4, and then to 6 if pressed yet again.

Pressing 0 returns the display to the battery indicator mode.

Now, again, while in battery mode, pressing the DC/BNK button brings up another display. This time, where the channel indicator normally is, there is the letter "n", with a whole number in the frequency display. This number can be a number from 1-50, and can be adjusted with the up/down buttons.

Again, starting out in the battery display mode, pressing the DELAY button makes the scanner scan between 511.500 and 512.000 MHZ. Similarly, if L/O is pressed instead of DELAY, the scanner will scan between 173.000 and 173.300. Additionaly, if you press BAND while the scanner is scanning these frequencies, it tells you what you are scanning, as if you told it to scan between those frequencies, of which you cannot do with a Sportcat.

If you change any of the settings describe above, they will only be in effect until you turn the scanner off. All the settings return to their

defaults upon power-on.

I have yet to figure out what these settings are for. I could not tell any difference in the scanner's performance when the settings were altered.

Perhaps these undocumented settings for the SC-150 Sportcat are well-known documented features/settings of other Uniden models? I'm relatively new to this, and thus I don't know too much about other scanner models other than what I read here in the ng.
Can anyone shed any light on this? Do you think Uniden would share information on this?

If needed, I could scan the display of my Sportcat in each of these modes and display the images on a web page, if it would help.

Happy scanning!
--
Stacy J. Dunkle
http://www.bedford.net/sdunkle
(To reply via e-mail, remove the caps from my reply-to address)
Support the anti-SPAM amendment
Join at http://www.cauce.org

2834. Family Radio Service Freqs Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rbatina@infinet.com
Message-ID: <60kmbf$2ui@news1.infinet.com>
Source record: 184760
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

Family Radio Service Frequencies:

CHANNEL FREQUENCY (MHz) CHANNEL FREQUENCY (MHz)

1: ..... 462.5625 8: 467.5625

2: ..... 462.5875 9: 467.5875

3: ..... 462.6125 10: 467.6125

4: ..... 462.6375 11: 467.6375

5: ..... 462.6625 12: 467.6625

6: ..... 462.6875 13: 467.6875

7: ..... 462.7125 14: 467.7125

--
Rob Batina - rbatina@infinet.com

2835. FS: Realistic PRO43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Hervert <thervert@worldnetxxx.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bccbdf$dce6f3c0$8493430c@499140626worldnet>
Source record: 184761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Good condition Pro 43, S/N 031299, FCC ID AA020-300, Mfg. Date code - 10A2.
All of this means it can be modified for full 800 Mhz coverage. The radio
is currently not modified, With manual, charger and set of high-capacity
NiCD batteries.

$225.00 shipped FEDEX or US Postal Service (No UPS).

remove xxx from email address when replying.
Tom

2836. Re: NEXTEL radio/cell phone/pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: russell@probe.net
Message-ID: <60lcoh$88e$1@jake.probe.net>
Source record: 184762

Bryan Schmidt <Bryan442@cris.com> writes:

>The company I work for is getting rid of our old dispatch radio system
>and going to nextel phone radios these are cell phone and 2-way radio in
>one unit does anybody know if it is possible to moniter the radio
>portion of transmissions if so what frequency, digital or analog????

Nextel "phones" are actually really TDMA digital SMR trunking radios
made to look like a phone and with cellular features.

They can't be monitored, other than the digital transmissions, with
any scanners on the market today, nor, I'm sure, any that's going to be
introduced.

--
Tim Russell System Admin, Probe Technology email: russell@probe.net
PGP RSA: C992 109C 6D7F 8D91 062E 817E 00D3 287A
"The worst censorship is self-censorship, because fear has no limits."
-- Grady Ward

2837. FS welz WS 1000 E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ben.lent@pi.net
Message-ID: <342e323b.429046@news.pi.net>
Source record: 184763

```
Prijs Fl 500.-
Range 0.5 - 1300 MHz
+tasje
+ diverse spriet antennes

Tel: 0654293969
```

2838. WTB: dtmf decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: 629371@att.net
Message-ID: <60lh08$98d@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Looking for a DTMF decoder unit.

Please email with conditin, features and price...

Can pay cash or trade ham gear - inquire for details.

Thanks,

John

2839. CB Radios, Scanners & MORE...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: teamwin01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928120501.IAA01747@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184765

CITIZEN BAND RADIO EQUIPMENT
DEALERS!!

Citizen Band Radio Dealers are somtimes hard to locate, and you may not have or want to spend hours, even days, trying to locate the one CB Radio Shop to meet your needs. In this day and age there are many ways for someone to find whatever he/she needs or wants, like CB radio equipment, and you could make alot of expensive phone calls to places that are out of your area, and still not accomplish your goal in finding the CB Radio shop or shops to meet your needs......

I often thought," wouldn't it be great if someone put together a list of CB Radio Shops, with addresses, phone/fax numbers, so I could find the closest or best CB Shop to fit my hobby needs?"

WELL........ Someone has, and
that someone is me.

The list of CB Radio Shops Nationwide is finally available for your shopping and repair needs all over the nation. CB Radio Shops from east to west and north to south are available and ready to address your needs. Many carry hard to find equipment, and many carry wholesale parts and equipment. Whether it's and brand new radio or an old tube type amplifier, your chances of finding what you need are much greater and faster with this brochure.

Using this brochure to shop and compare will enable you to buy, sell, trade, and receive repair information & rates for CB Radio equipment, more effectively than most other sources.

This brochure is LOADED with names, addresses, phone/fax numbers of different CB shops, shacks, and even CB shops on wheels located all over the nation. There could be one in your area that you may not know about for one reason or another, most of the CB shops don't do very much or any advertising, due to the cost of advertising or lack of knowledge on effective advertising. And these CB shops are most likely to be the ones to offer you the best deal. That may be something to think about the next time your searching for new or used CB Radio equipment. The more we feed to the bigger dealers will put the little shops out of business and you guessed it, DRIVE THE PRICES OF CB RADIO EQUIPMENT HIGHER AND HIGHER.

Saving time and money is everyone's concern these days, and that's what this brochure can do for you, as it has for many other people. You won't want to miss out on the next great deal before we all are forced to pay the prices of the bigger companies, when it comes time to buy CB Radio equipment.

Here is how to receive your personal copy of this fast selling, popular brochure....

�Please send $2.00 and a self addressed, stamped envelope to:

�TEAMWIN 01

P.O. Box 0583
Loveland, CO. 80539-0583

Thank you and 73's from Colorado........

2840. Looking for PRO-2045 Keyboard tricks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <342E5AE5.1C8B@wwd.net>
Source record: 184766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Any keyboard tricks for the PRO-2045?

Any comments, positive or negative?

Just got one and seems to be a pretty good scanner so far.
--
73
Nick Marsh
WB4SQI

****
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
****

2841. UNIDEN BC 800 XLT CELL PHONE FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JIM H VERNON <WOLFUN@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01bccc14$eafea360$c9f648a6@unknownu>
Source record: 184767

i have a unident bearcat 800 xlt police scanner manufactured before 1993 that has the 800-912 frequency range unblocked. I have noticed that since i bought this scanner in 1993 that the number of cell phone calls that i can receive has decreased and that the content of the calls has become more day to day talk , not the range of discussions that i used to hear. i know that many cell phone calls are digital now and that the microwave relay towers don't reflect the signal as much nowadays. is there any way i can increase the frequency and range of my scanner in the 800-912 cell phone frequency range?

2842. Re: fox hunt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <342E71EA.8D9@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 184768

Gus wrote:
>
> is there a way to build a directional antennia that could be used as a
> mobile unit

Howdy Gus -- Yep sure is -- see the URLs at:
http://pw2.netcom.com/~ac6v/pagew.html#TH

Tons of Fox Hunting and T-hunting info.

Regards
Rod in San Diego
--
AC6V's HAM AND DX REFERENCE GUIDE
60 PAGES AND OVER 1277 LINKS TO DX AND HAM RADIO
Main Page: http://pw2.netcom.com/~ac6v/index.html
Master Index: http://pw2.netcom.com/~ac6v/pageas.html

2843. What Will The New Bill Do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <60lu0n$skp@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 184769

I have heard verious rumors about an upcoming bill that might become a law. And I have heard that it has something to do with scanning and amateur radio. I'm interested in knowing exactually what this bill will do if passed. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.

Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net

2844. BC-3000XLT or Welz WS 1000E????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomgetty@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <342e8204.158652900@news.atl.mindspring.com>
Source record: 184770
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I going to buy a new scanner. I needs to know which scanner is best:

The Bearcat BC-3000 XLT or
The Welz WS 1000e

Please E-mail me and let me know what you think. Thanks

tomgetty@mindspring.com or
tomgetty@bellsouth.net

Thomas S. Getty III
tomgetty@mindspring.com
770-993-2962
770-992-1367

2845. BC895XLT -- Best Price??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean <smg3@lehigh.edu>
Message-ID: <342E7E8B.FBA7F169@lehigh.edu>
Source record: 184771

I have been out of radio for about a month now with going to college
and everything... just curious what the best price around for mail order

is on the BC895XLT? I guess I've seen as low as $319 somewhere,
has anyone found anything lower?? If so, please email me as well
as post it.. thanks!

--

Sean M. Gilligan * smg3@lehigh.edu
Lehigh University, Box C252, 39 University Drive
Bethlehem, PA 18015-3041 * (610.758.1188)

"Seasons don't fear the reaper
Nor do the wind, the sun or the rain
we can be like they are" --BOC

2846. Re: What Will The New Bill Do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kaylacamke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928173101.NAA19218@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184772

```
>Subject: What Will The New Bill Do???
>Path:
>lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!newsstand
.cit.cornell.edu!newstand.syr.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.
syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!news.airnews.net!cabal10!news
feed.icanect.net!news.clark
>.net!not-for-mail
>From: heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Date: 28 Sep 1997 15:44:23 GMT
>Organization: Clark Internet Services, Inc., Ellicott City, MD USA
>Lines: 7
>Message-ID: <60lu0n$skp@clarknet.clark.net>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: explorer.clark.net
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=ISO-8859-1
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
>X-Newsreader: TIN [UNIX 1.3 950726BETA PL0]
>
>
>
>I have heard verious rumors about an upcoming bill that might become a
>law. And I have heard that it has something to do with scanning and
>amateur radio. I'm interested in knowing exactually what this bill will do
>if passed. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.
>
>Nat Heatwole
>heatwole@clark.net

What is the name of the bill? HR? SR?
Have you researched the bill on the Internet before posting this
question here?

--<--- Kayla ---<---<@
```

2847. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kaylacamke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928173501.NAA19463@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184773

```
>Subject: Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill
>Filters!
>Path:
>lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!news-out.
internetmci.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!205.137.196.70!news.sprintisp.com
!sprintisp!not-for-mail
>From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Date: Sat, 27 Sep 1997 16:42:51 -0700
>Organization: Phantom's Amateur Radio Group International K7PDX-KC0AAT
>Lines: 16
>Message-ID: <342D99FA.1696@usa.net>
>References: <19970927153101.LAA18654@ladder01.news.aol.com>
><19970927165401.MAA29751@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>Reply-To: jmackey@usa.net
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.133.225.66
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01Gold (Win16; I)
>
>
>
>KaylaCamke wrote:
>> I found this great site today and wanted to ask you if you know
>> about the group? Nice to see that there are some nice Meows!
>
>Yea, those two guys are quite the PUSSY's, aren't they!!!!
>
>
>
>> PARG International
>>
>> http://www.teleport.com/%7Emscott/dumb.shtml
>
>
>So, what do you know about PARG???

Are you CB guy? I've already sent an e-mail to PARG. Before you start
calling anyone a pussy, I'd check yourself.

--<--- Kayla ---<---<@
```

2848. Re: ARRESTED for having sex with my scanner on!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kaylacamke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928173301.NAA13540@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184774

And here I thought you were having sex with your scanner.
Those darn RE: titles clipped your last word "on."

--<--- Kayla ---<---<@

2849. Re: Eric Oyen, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342E97E7.683C@usa.net>
Source record: 184775

Can't you tell by the types of posts on here, PEOPLE ARE
TIRED OF YOUR BULLSHIT, GET A CLUE!!!

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
> :No one wants to hear your stupid technicalities so get the hell
> :out of here you fool.
>
> Learn to use your newsreader if you don't want to read everything in
> a newsgroup..

2850. What Are The Amateur Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <60m6of$b1u@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 184776

I'm interested in know ALL of the amateur radio frequencies. And I would also like to know what meter the frequencies are on (example 50-54 MHz is the 2 meter amateur radio band). Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.

Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net

2851. Re: How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Allbright <jan.allbright.NOSPAM@usa.net>
Message-ID: <342EA172.24D6E4EC@usa.net>
Source record: 184777

Adrian Leatherland wrote:

> N2YWD wrote:
>
> > I don't understand why you want to this, as I am the guy (at the
> > school I
> > work for) that runs a system like that. I assure you, that when you
> > are in
> > the middle of a performance and someone does something like this, lots
> > - o
> > -people get REALLY mad.
>
> Yeah, Tell me about people getting mad!
> I work with an amateur theatre group who have been experiencing weird
> problems sometimes, but only when the show's are being performed to the
> public. I am investigating whether it could be one of our audience
> members
> with some device.
>
> I didn't expect people to jump to the conclusion that I was out to cause
> any
> trouble. I would think anyone who uses their real name, email address
> and posts
> messages to rec.radio.scanner as apposed to MyAnarchy posting to
> alt.terrorist is asking an innocent question.

Adrian,

You may not believe this ... but a lot of people do ask so they can
disrupt..
I agree that a lot of people jumped on you with out asking "why" ... but
just remember that the advice is worth what you paid for it... 8)

Now ... back on topic ...

What does the disturbance sound like? Buzzing? Clicking? Garbled talk?
These would be caused by different sources and not all of them would be,
necessarily, malicious..

If you can give us some more info please...

--
Jan Allbright - "14-91" - Calhan Volunteer Fire Department
-- KC0BUY -- 146.970(-) <100hzPL> -- Colorado Springs --
real mail response needs to remove NOSPAM from email

2852. Re: Does anyone know what scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rrouse9751@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928183901.OAA18046@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184778

your wasting time getting a mod . get another scanner most all rec
cordless in 46-49 Mhz. cellular recption is another story but not a
impossible mod

2853. Re: Thunderbird Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <60m7pk$97e@camel2.mindspring.com>
Source record: 184779

"ka3jjz@erols.com" <ka3jjz@erols.com> wrote:

>Blane A. Balch wrote:
>>
>> Does anyone out there know what the Thunderbirds freq are for the
>> Ellington Air Show in Houston? Thanks in advance.
> go over to the Monitoring Times site off the Grove web page
>http://www.grove.net Follow the links to a file I assembled some time
>ago and Gayle posted on a link which summarizes the known Tbird freqs
>used this year....73s Mike ka3jjz@erols.com

I don't remember exactly what the exact freq was, but when I went to
the Moody AFB show, the worked soley off a 140 Mhz freq. I scanned
all the UHF they listed, but didn't need to.

/\/\|k.e

2854. Re: SC State Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <60m7t8$97e@camel2.mindspring.com>
Source record: 184780

tgo1@aol.com (TGO1) wrote:

>Has the SC State Patrol indeed gone to 800? If so, I can get these
>dead channels out of my low band radio and replace them with
>something more active.
>Thad Osborne

This was ironic. I watched Real Stories of the Highway Patrol the other night and they had a spot on the SCSP and their troopers were carrying what looked like STX type radios. From what I have heard, their system is also digital.

/\/\|k.e

2855. Re: what scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ben Wallace <bwallace@sd.cts.com>
Message-ID: <01bccbc1$84286de0$3506a3cd@bwallace.cts.com>
Source record: 184781

The best scanner to buy is a used Icom R-100. There are plenty around, but
not many come up for sale.
Get a good one if you can. I have one and it is great.

Ben
WB8HUR

Freyr <freyr@nash.net> wrote in article
<freyr-ya02408000R2509971805370001@news.nc5.infi.net>...
> I am ready to get rid of my pro 60. I don't ever want to buy another
> scanner from radio shack, but where do I go looking? And can someone
> recommend a good scanner, base preferred but handheld will do the trick,
> for around $400. It should be able to receive 25MHz to at least a gig.
>
> thanks
>

2856. Re: BC895XLT -- Best Price??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <342EA9A4.5B31F741@cts.com>
Source record: 184782

Sean wrote:

> I have been out of radio for about a month now with going to college
> and everything... just curious what the best price around for mail
> order
>
> is on the BC895XLT? I guess I've seen as low as $319 somewhere,
> has anyone found anything lower?? If so, please email me as well
> as post it.. thanks!
>
> --
> ____
>
> Sean M. Gilligan * smg3@lehigh.edu
> Lehigh University, Box C252, 39 University Drive
> Bethlehem, PA 18015-3041 * (610.758.1188)
>
> "Seasons don't fear the reaper
> Nor do the wind, the sun or the rain
> we can be like they are" --BOC
> ____

Santa Fe Distributors. In Kansas.
Call 800 information for their number.
BC895XLT -- $279.95

2857. Re: Digital Signals on 160.710 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Les Wilson <nessman@servtech.com>
Message-ID: <342EA666.414B@servtech.com>
Source record: 184783

SCN User wrote:
>
> I've become aware of some sort of digital data being sent on 160.710 mhz
> in the Seattle area. Sounds sort of like packet.
>
> Does anyone know what this is?

Burlington National Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad. Not sure what it's used
for... probably for track signals or train movement.
--
==========
Les Wilson, Rochester, NY
--
E-mail - Pers: nessman@servtech.com
Work: les.wilson@ccmail.monroe.edu
--
Web Page: http://www.servtech.com/public/nessman
==========

2858. Re: New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex <HamDude@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <01bccbc8$8cde2e00$6d366420@local.localhost>
Source record: 184784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net> wrote in article <6014ie$5p7$1@gte2.gte.net>...
> I know that Europe has FM CB already. But this is becoming to much like
> Amateur Radio.

What are you talking about? Are you crazy? In the United States CB is about as clean as the bottom of a Mc Donalds' dumpster sitting in a manure pile in the city dump!! If CB ever gets back any quality or class anything near of Ham Radio, I'd be amazed.

Just because CB isn't formally licensed, doesn't mean the operators can be as mature as many licensed in Amateur Radio.

--
--
Unsolicited business offers sent to my e-mail address will be considered a request for me to proofread the offer and in turn reimburse me $500 for my time and effort for each offer received. Receipt of said e-mail constitutes acceptance of this offer. Let dick@durbin.senate.gov and senator@moseley-braun.senate.gov know what you think of e-mail SPAM. Support the anti-Spam amendment, see http://www.cauce.org/

2859. Re: ARRESTED for having sex with my scanner on!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mbv@ti.com
Message-ID: <60mcsl$it0$1@tilde.csc.ti.com>
Source record: 184785

In article <19970928173301.NAA13540@ladder01.news.aol.com>, kaylacamke@aol.com says...
>
>And here I thought you were having sex with your scanner.
>Those darn RE: titles clipped your last word "on."
>
>--<--- Kayla ---<---<@
~~~~~~
Maybe he meant vacuum cleaner.
Ken
~~~~~~

2860. new york city freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: goretex0@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928053201.BAA20882@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184786

does anyone have any freqs for new york city helicopters please e-mail me
..

2861. Re: BC895XLT -- Best Price??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Griffin <jpgriff@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bccc51$71575f60$6dc074cf@default>
Source record: 184787

Try Lentini Communications (800-666-0908). They sell the BC895XLT for $309
and this includes UPS shipping.
--
John Griffin
JPGRIFF@NOSPAMworldnet.att.net
please remove "NOSPAM" to reply

Sean <smg3@lehigh.edu> wrote in article <342E7E8B.FBA7F169@lehigh.edu>...
> I have been out of radio for about a month now with going to college
> and everything... just curious what the best price around for mail order
>
> is on the BC895XLT? I guess I've seen as low as $319 somewhere,
> has anyone found anything lower?? If so, please email me as well
> as post it.. thanks!
>
> --
> ____
>
> Sean M. Gilligan * smg3@lehigh.edu
> Lehigh University, Box C252, 39 University Drive
> Bethlehem, PA 18015-3041 * (610.758.1188)
>
> "Seasons don't fear the reaper
> Nor do the wind, the sun or the rain
> we can be like they are" --BOC
> ____
>
>
>

2862. Re: cellular on locked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bccbdc$36de1920$394de0c7@holmes>
Source record: 184788
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Chock full O' Crap.........
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~
```

2863. Opinions on Pro-2040, PRO-51, Pro-27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bybirdie@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928070700.DAA25432@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184789

Has anyone out there used the PRO-2040 model scanner? I've heard the PRO-2042 and the PRO-2035 are good but what about the 2040? Is there any relationship between the various model numbers? Opinions on the pro-51 or pro-27 would be great too. Thanks in advance. Please email me if possible.

Regards,
Pam

2864. Re: FS: Radio Shack Scanner Model PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bybirdie@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928071201.DAA19852@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184790

I've heard the 2006 is a highly rated scanner but why are frequencies
520-760 blocked out? What's on those frequencies?

If it has the frequencies 760-1300MHz, it should have the entire 800 Mhz
spectrum, including cellular with no block outs, right?

This is probably "old hat" to alot of you, but I'm new to this. Thanks
for the help.

Regards,
Pam

2865. Re: S. John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: yourvicky@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928065600.CAA19194@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184791

> S. John
> <who'll stand by his statements to the bitter end since every
>thing I've ever uttered on USENET or off has been a statement of fact

Subject: Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill
Filters!
Path:
lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!portc02.blue.aol.com!howland.ero
ls.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!205.137.196.70!news.sprintisp.com!sprintis
p!not-for-mail
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Date: Thu, 25 Sep 1997 16:41:23 -0700
Organization: Phantom's Amateur Radio Group International K7PDX-KC0AAT
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <342AF6A3.15BE@usa.net>
References: <19970922104001.GAA21306@ladder01.news.aol.com>
<19970922113100.HAA27886@ladder02.news.aol.com> <34271EC6.68AA@usa.net>
<342909aa.6140731@news.fastlink.com.au> <34299EE9.6CB5@usa.net>
<342a5c4a.8602251@news.fastlink.com.au>
Reply-To: jmackey@usa.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: sdn-ts-003orportp13.dialsprint.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01Gold (Win16; I)

What the HELL are YOU, a damm school teacher grammar expert??

No one wants to hear your stupid technicalities so get the hell
out of here you fool.

Subject: Re: John Mackey
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/04/16
Message-Id: <3358188f.4738813@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

In these excerpts from <John Mackey>.
"Gumby Damnit!" <cn1877@coastalnet.com> wrote:

> Is this the real John Mackey newsgroup?

It's working. I'm just a guest here-- I don't know exactly *who* this
group is *supposed* to be a "fan of" but it's here just the same.

S. John
.channel-2000

Subject: Re: hello
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/05/06
Message-Id: <336f5f28.389741538@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

In these excerpts from <hello>.
me <who@where.com> wrote:

> Hello to all my fans
>
> John Mackey, KA0SSF

Me too.
<alt.tv.aaron-spelling> is up and running-- insist your news server to
carry it.

Subject: hello
From: me <who@where.com>
Date: 1997/04/30
Message-Id: <3367A4B0.3294@where.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

Hello to all my fans

John Mackey, KA0SSF

Author: me <who@where.com>
�599 unique articles posted. �Number of articles posted to individual
newsgroups (slightly skewed by cross-postings): �59 alt.hackintosh �50
alt.binaries.fetish.scat �46 alt.sex.enemas �38 alt.butts �26
alt.sports.basketball.nba.chicago-bulls �25 alt.games.mtrek �25
alt.warez.ibm-pc.games �22 alt.sex.anal �22 misc.fitness.weights �22
misc.test �12 alt.music.nirvana �12 alt.pantyhose �11
rec.sport.football.college �10 alt.mag.playboy �10 alt.test �8
rec.arts.books.marketplace �7 alt.sex.swingers �4 alt.binaries.mac.games
�4 alt.fan.howard-stern �4 alt.guitar.tab �4 alt.music.jimi.hendrix �4
alt.music.led-zeppelin �4 comp.sys.ibm.pc.hardware.chips �3
alt.atari-jaguar.discussion �3 alt.iomega.zip.jazz �3 alt.sex.movies �3
alt.sport.horse-racing �3 alt.sports.basketball.nba.hou-rockets �3
alt.test.binaries �3 comp.infosystems.www.browsers.ms-windows �2
alt.alt.test �2 alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.black.male �2
alt.college.us �2 alt.comp.periphs.mainboard.asus �2 alt.cracks �2
alt.drugs.pot �2 alt.irc �2 alt.mtv-sucks �2 alt.music.alternative �2
alt.personals.fetish �2 alt.personals.spanking �2 alt.personals.teen �2
alt.support.herpes �2 alt.this.sucks �2 alt.tv.mtv �2 comp.compression �
2 comp.sys.amiga.marketplace �2 comp.sys.ibm.pc.games.action �2
comp.sys.ibm.pc.hardware.video �2 comp.sys.ibm.pc.soundcard.advocacy �2
rec.aquaria.marine.misc �2 rec.gardens �2 rec.sport.pro-wrestling �2
soc.culture.pakistan �2 talk.philosophy.misc �1 alt.2600.codez �1

alt.2600.crackz ⊕1 alt.2600.phreakz ⊕1 alt.anything ⊕1 alt.art ⊕1
alt.art.video ⊕1 alt.bbs.wildcat ⊕1 alt.beer ⊕1
alt.binaries.mac.applications ⊕1 alt.business.insurance ⊕1
alt.business.multi-level ⊕1 alt.cad ⊕1 alt.cad.autocad ⊕1
alt.chinese.text ⊕1 alt.christnet ⊕1 alt.comp.periphs.mainboard.tyan ⊕1
alt.disney ⊕1 alt.drugs.psychedelics ⊕1 alt.drunken.bastards ⊕1
alt.dumpster ⊕1 alt.earth.crisis ⊕1 alt.education ⊕1 alt.elvis.king ⊕1
alt.evil ⊕1 alt.fan.jenny-mccarthy ⊕1 alt.fan.john.mackey ⊕1
alt.fan.oj-simpson ⊕1 alt.feminism ⊕1 alt.fishing ⊕1 alt.flame ⊕1
alt.food.fast-food ⊕1 alt.games ⊕1 alt.games.heretic ⊕1 alt.gothic ⊕1
alt.hemp ⊕1 alt.irc.questions ⊕1 alt.jobs ⊕1 alt.law-enforcement ⊕1
alt.life.sucks ⊕1 alt.mac.games.binaries ⊕1 alt.misc ⊕1
alt.music.depeche-mode ⊕1 alt.non.sequitur ⊕1 alt.online-service.genie ⊕
1 alt.personals.bi ⊕1 alt.religion.christian ⊕1 alt.sex.bestiality ⊕1
alt.sex.bondage ⊕1 alt.sex.boys ⊕1 alt.sex.fetish.wrestling ⊕1
alt.sex.gangbang ⊕1 alt.sex.pedophilia.boys ⊕1 alt.sex.prostitution ⊕1
alt.sex.wanted ⊕1 alt.support.grief ⊕1 alt.torture ⊕1 alt.tv.babylon-5 ⊕
1 alt.tv.x-files ⊕1 alt.unix.wizards ⊕1 alt.visa.us ⊕1 alt.windows95 ⊕1
aus.sex ⊕1 ba.market.computers ⊕1 bit.listserv.wpwin-l ⊕1
comp.databases.pick ⊕1 comp.emulators.misc ⊕1 comp.lang.basic.misc ⊕1
comp.os.linux.development.system ⊕1 comp.os.linux.setup ⊕1
comp.sys.ibm.pc.soundcard.games ⊕1 comp.sys.intel ⊕1
comp.sys.mac.hardware.video ⊕1 comp.sys.mac.portables ⊕1
de.alt.binaries.pictures.female ⊕1 misc.consumers ⊕1
misc.consumers.house ⊕1 misc.misc ⊕1 misc.wanted ⊕1
news.admin.net-abuse.email ⊕1 news.newusers.questions ⊕1
rec.autos.makers.chrysler ⊕1 rec.games.frp.dnd ⊕1
rec.games.video.nintendo ⊕1 rec.games.video.sony ⊕1 rec.radio.cb ⊕1
rec.radio.scanner ⊕1 rec.sport.baseball ⊕1 rec.sport.rugby.union ⊕1
soc.culture.african.american ⊕1 soc.culture.magyar ⊕1 uk.test

Subject: BELLY PUNCHING
From: Jaster <who@where.com>
Date: 1997/09/19
Message-Id: <5vsia4$o90$1@gte1.gte.net>
Newsgroups: alt.torture
[More Headers]

anybody out there want to chat about female belly punching. Trade
stories,ideas,experiences,or whatever e-mail me at
(spam proofed) GLIX at MAIL dot GTE dot NET

Subject: 615-CLARKSVILLE, TN. DOM COUPLE SEEKING BI SUB M/F (0/2)
From: WHO@WHERE.COM
Date: 1996/12/21
Message-Id: <59fvmg$o4n@juliana.sprynet.com>
Newsgroups: alt.personals.teen
[More Headers]

DOM M/W/C, MID 30'S, VERY CLEAN AND DISCREET IS SEEKING:
CLEAN DISCREET BI-SUB FEMALES: ANY AGE, RACE, SIZE AND EXPERIENCE LEVEL TO

SERVE AS OUR WEEKEND TOY
AND
BI-SUB MALES: 20 AND UNDER "ONLY", ANY RACE, SIZE AND EXPERIENCE LEVEL
YOUR LIMITS WILL BE RESPECTED AND SAFE WORDS USED! IF YOUR INTERESTED
REPLY TO:
DOMCPL@HOTMAIL.COM

SERIOUS REPLIES ONLY, PLEASE!

Subject: For Puppy14
From: who@where.com (hornykid)
Date: 1997/09/02
Message-Id: <5uhooidji1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.boys
[More Headers]

WHY????????

Subject: any Black BOYS? not MEN
From: who@where.com (hornykid)
Date: 1997/08/14
Message-Id: <5susul$9jr$1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.boys
[More Headers]

looking for black boys

Subject: Lets See Some "Real" Cum Shots....
From: "Capt.Simian" <Who@Where.com>
Date: 1996/12/01
Message-Id: <32A21AFC.35B3@Where.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.bestiality
[More Headers]

None of that fake stuff..

Subject: Post more ASIAN and BLACK boys,,PLEASE.
From: who@where.com (hornykid)
Date: 1997/08/13
Message-Id: <5sr0rsruv1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.pedophilia.boys
[More Headers]

thanks

Subject: Re: Attn: TDJ (Was: Anyone seen Matt)
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/02/28
Message-Id: <331f3ee4.166369332@news.clt.bellsouth.net>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

Subject: Re: Let's vote. Who thinks Phil Oliver is gay.
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/08/18

Message-Id: <340502e2.38826538@news.zippo.com>
Newsgroups: alt.config
[More Headers]

In these excerpts from <Re: Let's vote. Who thinks Phil Oliver is
gay.>. felony@DPTSPAMBLOCKbiosys.net (Phil Oliver) wrote:

> Meanwhile, has anyone newgrouped alt.bonehead.phil-oliver or something
> like that? I'm kind of surprised it hasn't happened yet.
>
> -Phil Oliver

Well, let's see-- do I get to be the token gay guy here to get upset
about the foundation of the original post? Naaaa-- I won't bother.
Anyhow, if Phil is *indeed* gay. speaking as a gay man, I hope the
bone's not in your *head* <EG>.

Seriously though-- I find myself "intelligently" perturbed at Phil for
some of his occasional statements... but it's usually because he
substantiated them with facts contrary to what I'd previously assumed
to be true... in other words, his contributions here generally have a
"point' embedded int them somewhere where as quite a few of the
"regulars" will frequently make categoric statements without somehow
justifying them.

S. John

P.S. Oh, yeah... I almost forgot... ME TOO!!!

Author: s.john@super.zippo.com (S John M)
185 unique articles posted.
102 alt.tv.bh90210
43 alt.tv.melrose-place
27 alt.fan.donnas-nipples
4 alt.config
2 alt.pets.rabbits
2 alt.tv.aaron-spelling
1 alt.pets.guinea-pigs
1 triangle.radio

Getting alt.tv.aaron-spelling on YOUR server...If you need any further
assistance dealing with your ISP, feel free to reference this
web page or drop us an e-mail message at s.john@juno.com. In fact, we'd
love tohear from you regardless; let us know you're up and running!!! :-)
History of alt.tv.aaron-spelling

The USENET newsgroup "alt.tv.aaron-spelling" ....

The Original Control Message

Path: news.atl.bellsouth.net!not-for-mail
From: newsmaster@bellsouth.net
Newsgroups: alt.tv.aaron-spelling,alt.config
Subject: csmg newgroup alt.tv.aaron-spelling

Control: newgroup alt.tv.aaron-spelling
Followup-To: alt.config
Date: 21 Apr 1997 13:38:37 GMT
Organization: BellSouth.Net
Lines: 21
Approved: newsmaster@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <5jfqkt$5kb$2@news.atl.bellsouth.net>

Reply-To: sjmackey@bellsouth.net

..... Charter... is an undiluted platform to discuss
.... ---End of original message

Subject: John Mackey
Date: Sun, 21 Sep 1997 17:42:41 -0700
From: "Mark S. Dunlop" <dunlop277@aol.com>
Organization: PARG
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

Hi everyone. I was just wondering why this newsgroup was not seeing
much action in the last few months?
Could it be that the infamous John Mackey (me@myself.com) is no longer
active on the internet and on Usenet?
Somebody please post a list of newsgroups that..

2866. Evaluating Used Scanners, how?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bybirdie@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928070400.DAA19551@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184792

I'm interested in purchasing a scanner used in the near future and I'd like someone to tell me what to look for or what functions to test if you're very inexperienced. So far, all I know is check the corners on portables for evidence of it being dropped and the feature "triple conversion" is important. Any other things to look for or procedures to check? Thank you in advance. Please email me, if possible.

Regards,
Pam

2867. FS: R7000, No accessories, $775

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Hervert <thervert@worldnetxxx.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bccbe0$5c3018a0$8493430c@499140626worldnet>
Source record: 184793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Excellent condition 25-2000 Mhz coverage radio. With box, manual, and power cord. Price is FIRM.

$775.00 shipped FEDEX, US Postal Service (no UPS).

Tom
remove xxx when replying via email.

2868. Frequency allocations below 30MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Morrison <alverne@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bccbe3$36ec45c0$4e6a5ecf@preinstalledcom>
Source record: 184794

Anyone have a list? Thanks,
Kenny

2869. FS: Bearcat 200XLT- receives cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: gary evans <garyevans@erols.com>
Message-ID: <01bccc59$8bb0b2a0$1fcbaccf@homebox.mt.att.com>
Source record: 184795

I have a 200XLT that I paid $260 pre 1994. It looks new, but has since stopped working. Uniden charges a flat rate of $49 to fix the 200XLT. Before I go and ship it to Uniden Repair, I'd like to see if anyone out there is serious about buying it from me. Feel free to email me an offer with terms. Please remove the NOSPAM from my email id.

garyevans@NOSPAMerols.com

2870. For Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: msgsports@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928221100.SAA09448@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184796

Hi

I will trade my mint BC 890XLT for a BC 235XLT Trunktracker.

Thanks
Vinnie F.D.

2871. bc3000 xlt antenna broken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bj3684@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928222201.SAA04551@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184797

the connector broke and is unuseable. does anyone out there know a
place where I can mail order one that is the exact replacement, or perhaps
one that works better than the stock model???
please email me at bj3684@aol.com thanks

2872. ## help locate TOKO TK-10489 FM IF data sheet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EH8oI9.n2K@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 184798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello fellow Scannerists,

I need help trying to locate a data sheet or schematic of a TOKO part called TK-10489. It's supposed to be a WBFM IF and discriminator, and possible also contains a mixer/oscillator. This part is widely used in radio scanners and receivers (such as the Uniden BC-3000XLT and RS PRO-26). I need to modify the circuit for increased recovered audio, and the schematic or data sheet might give a clue on how to do this. The TOKO web site offers no documentation.
Thank's in advance for any help offered.

Note: when Replying, please email me directly in addition to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno

2873. *** help identify manufacturer and spec of a ceramic filter ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: techno55@actcom.co.il
Message-ID: <EH8p21.197@nonexistent.com>
Source record: 184799
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.components,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
Hello friends,

I need help trying to identify a ceramic filter installed in a
BC-3000XLT scanner radio. It bears the marking:
-------------
| K55q. |
| 20D |
-------------

and is housed in a small, plastic, SMT package.
Thank's in advance for any help offered.

## Note: when Replying, please email me directly in addition
to posting here, so no responses are missed. Don't forget to
remove the "55" from my email address inserted as an anti-spam
counter measure...:-)

Thanks and best regards,
techno
```

2874. Re: BC3000XLT Battery Problem???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4yxted@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928214901.RAA02045@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184800

Be sure the coaxial plug is removed from the battery's jack when running on battery only. Also, Uniden has excellent repair facilites should you need them. Check out their web page. I think it http://www.uniden.com/

2875. Re: How Much Does Used 2026 Go For???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Griffin <jpgriff@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bccc58$8eeb39a0$6dc074cf@default>
Source record: 184801

Radio Shack had the 2026 on sale as a clearance item. I paid $89 for mine
several months ago, brand new with a factory service warranty. Check out
other discontinued scanners being sold at clearance sale prices. Lot's of
bargains as new models are being introduced.
--
John Griffin
JPGRIFF@NOSPAMworldnet.att.net
please remove "NOSPAM" to reply

Tony Heatwole <heatwole@clark.net> wrote in article
<60k073$8gh@clarknet.clark.net>...
> I'm consitering selling my radio shack PRO-2026 scanner. The scanner is

> used but in excellent condition. Both working and physical. I would sell

> the scanner with an antenna, mounting bracket, and the owners manual. The
> PRO-2026 I have is the second version made. Any idea about what a good

> selling price would be? Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot for reading
> and in advance.
>
> Nat Heatwole
> heatwole@clark.net
>

2876. Re: What Are The Amateur Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kut7bh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970928222601.SAA10599@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184802

>Subject: What Are The Amateur Frequencies?
>From: heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole)
>Date: 28 Sep 1997 18:13:35 GMT
>Message-id: <60m6of$b1u@clarknet.clark.net>
>
>I'm interested in know ALL of the amateur radio frequencies. And I would
>also like to know what meter the frequencies are on (example 50-54 MHz is
>the 2 meter amateur radio band). Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot in
>advance and for reading.
>
>

Nat,
Actually, 50-54 MHz is the 6 meter amateur band. 2 meters is 144-148 MHz. Listed below are the other amateur frequencies in meter bands:

160 meters: 1800-2000 kHz

80 meters: 3500-4000 kHz

40 meters: 7000-7300 kHz

30 meters: 10100-10150 kHz

20 meters: 14000-14350 kHz

17 meters: 18068-18168 kHz

15 meters: 21000-21450 kHz

12 meters: 24890-24990 kHz

10 meters: 28000 kHz-29700 kHz

1.25 meters: 222-225 MHz

70 centimeters: 420-450 MHz

33 centimeters: 902-928 MHz

23 centimeters: 1240-1300 MHz

To receive the high frequency (HF) bands (the frequencies below 30000 kHz), you will need a communications receiver which can receive upper and lower sideband transmissions. Lower sideband (LSB) is the preferred mode of transmission on 40 meters and below; above 40 meters upper sideband (USB) is the most frequently used transmission mode on the high frequency amateur bands.
Almost all scanners will receive the 2 and 6 meter bands and the 70

centimeter band, but only high-end scanners are capable of receiving the 1.25 meter, 33 centimeter, and 23 centimeter bands.
Some transmissions on 2 meters and 6 meters will be in sideband mode, but the only receiver (scanner) I know of that can receive sideband transmissions in the 2 and 6 meter band is the Yaesu FRG-9600. 73's and good monitoring to you.

2877. Re: What Will The New Bill Do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: C Riecke <criecke@charleston.net>
Message-ID: <342ED9BE.5389@charleston.net>
Source record: 184803

KaylaCamke wrote:
>
> >Subject: What Will The New Bill Do???
> >Path:
>
>lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!newsstand
> .cit.cornell.edu!newstand.syr.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.
> syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!news.airnews.net!cabal10!news
> feed.icanect.net!news.clark
> >.net!not-for-mail
> >From: heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole)
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> >Date: 28 Sep 1997 15:44:23 GMT
> >Organization: Clark Internet Services, Inc., Ellicott City, MD USA
> >Lines: 7
> >Message-ID: <60lu0n$skp@clarknet.clark.net>
> >NNTP-Posting-Host: explorer.clark.net
> >Mime-Version: 1.0
> >Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=ISO-8859-1
> >Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
> >X-Newsreader: TIN [UNIX 1.3 950726BETA PL0]
> >
> >
> >
> >I have heard verious rumors about an upcoming bill that might become a
> >law. And I have heard that it has something to do with scanning and
> >amateur radio. I'm interested in knowing exactually what this bill will do
> >if passed. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.
> >
> >Nat Heatwole
> >heatwole@clark.net
>
> What is the name of the bill? HR? SR?
> Have you researched the bill on the Internet before posting this
> question here?
>
> --<--- Kayla ---<---<@Subscribe to Pop Comm magazine and stay current......

2878. ICOM R-7000 SPECTRA DISPLAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KA9QJG@TSRCOM.COM
Message-ID: <875587338.6926@dejanews.com>
Source record: 184556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham.radio,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone help me with this question??I have the ICOM R-7000 Radio,
> I had a SPECTRA DISPLAY unit made by GTI ELECTRONICS in Pa.They no
> longer
> make or service this unit,mine was lost in a fire ,But I might of
> found
> another unit.But since then ,I have noticed a Unit SDU-5000 Spectrum
> Display being sold ,Has anyone had any experience with any of the
> units?
> if so which one would be the best choice?? The SPECTRA DISPLAY Must be
> attached to a O-SCOPE Any help will be greatly appreciated.
>
> HAPPY SCANNING TO ALL FROM DON KA9QJG
> PS PLEASE REPLY TO MY E-MAIL
KA9QJG@TSRCOM.COM

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

2879. Re: How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <342F53DE.36B9@scarlan.com>
Source record: 184557

George wrote:
>
> On Sat, 27 Sep 1997 19:13:38 -0700, Adrian Leatherland
> <alea4@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au> wrote:
>
> >George wrote:
> >
> >> Clueless is as clueless does! Get a life!
> >
> >Who is clueless? I hope you were referring to Glenn, N2YWD
> >with that harsh `Get a Life' statement.. Afterall, they can't find
> >better
> >things to do with their lifes than misinterperate postings to
> >rec.radio.scanner. "Conspiracy, illegal schemes, federal offences...
> >rec.radio.scanner subscribers unite to crack down on the common enemy."
> >
> >I work with an amateur theatre group who have been experiencing weird
> >problems sometimes, but only when the show's are being performed to the
> >public. I am investigating whether it could be one of our audience
> >members
> >with some device.
> >
> >I didn't expect people to jump to the conclusion that I was out to cause
> >any
> >trouble. I would think anyone who uses their real name, email address
> >and posts
> >messages to rec.radio.scanner as apposed to `MrAnarchy' posting to
> >alt.terrorist is asking an innocent question.
>
> Okay, after reading the above I'll retract my statement and apologize.
> You must realize after following this group for even a little while
> that there are those that would request info expressly for the purpose
> of causing mayhem. Also, forged posts aren't all that difficult
> either.
>
> Perhaps if you would have stated your reason as you just did, more
> people would have responded in a nicer fashion. How about it guys?
> Any ideas here?

Yeah, perhaps not jumping to conclusions, and just answering a question
instead of preaching morals, would not result with some peoples feet in
there mouths. If someone wants to ask a question, they shouldn't have
to state the reason WHY they want to know. Going shopping would be a
real joy at the checkout if you were asked why you were purchasing each

item.

2880. looking for schamatics?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Yeomans <dana@randomc.Com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.970929222102.25489J-100000@randomc.com>
Source record: 184558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

need owners manual and schematic for mcKay dymek
model :c: dr33if anyone has one out there somewhere e-mail back. take
care

random access internet shell account
standard disclaimers apply.

2881. Re: Family Radio Service Freqs Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2909970401100001@pm51-28.magicnet.net>
Source record: 184559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

In article <01bccc95$1188c260$1d0014d1@shao.interlog.com>, "Sherwin Shao" <shao@interlog.com> wrote:

> Anybody tried the Motorola Talkabouts yet?
No, but have looked at them, and they are built much better than the others out there. I have seen some internet web pages, comparing the FRS radios to cellular phones, etc! Are they for real! Who do they think they are kidding!

2882. In Memoriam: Doug DeMaw, W1FB: 1926-1997

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34312a09.228015963@news-server>
Source record: 184560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

IN MEMORY OF

Doug DeMaw, W1FB: 1926-1997, who passed away yesterday
of acute leukemia diagnosed only this past Spring.

Radio now has a gaping void that cannot be filled.

May his soul rest in peace.

2883. AR2500,A good scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mrlyn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60ppvp$q70$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 184561

Looking for opinions on the ar2500 scanner.Also any mods,tricks etc...
Thanks,
Sam

2884. Re: How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2909970409500001@pm51-28.magicnet.net>
Source record: 184562

In article <342C01F3.149EEEC3@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au>, Adrian Leatherland
<alea4@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au> wrote:

> Hi,
>
> Can anyone tell me an easy way (without purchasing expensive/heavy
> equipment),
> how to interfere with a range of frequencies - say with a set of stage
> radio
> microphones all tuned fairly close together ? Is there some device
> (like electric
> beaters) or perhaps something less noisy that will screw with everything
> in nearby
> air ?
>
> Please send me email if you can help: alea4@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au
>
> Adrian.

Now that I know the reason for your question, I would say that yes, you
could have a "jammer" in the audience. I do not know if they are made, but
you could look into a "digital spread spectrum" microphone sytem, that
would work similar to the cordless phones. Spread sprectrum was developed
by the military to fight "jamming", etc.

2885. Re: radio shack scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lee Rothman <dcisive@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <34307A81.D49ABCD3@ibm.net>
Source record: 184563
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

As my previous postings have said, if you can afford 50 bucks, you can probably get the best overall outdoor antenna money can by. The "monitenna", a vertial dipole with 50 feet of RG6 cable included. You can mail order it from a place called "Scanners Unlimited" in San Carlos California. Try as you may, you won't beat it's performance. Good Luck!

mansonia wrote:
>
> Does anyone have any words (good or bad)
> about the outdoor scanner/ham discone antenna from Radio Shack?
> It's item #20-043
> Also wondering about the outdoor all band ground plane antenna from
> Radio Shack...
> Item #20-014
>
> I'm basically looking for a good outdoor antenna that I can buy
> from a local store, ie Radio Shack...
> Anybody got any suggestions?
>
> Thanks a lot...
> Brad
>
> remove REMOVE to reply

2886. FCC Table of Frequency Allocations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Warren Donworth <donworth@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <60pu41$ppk@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184564

Hi Group,

Does anyone know of an abbreviated version of the FCC Table of Frequency Allocations? The one the FCC gives at the following website is sixty pages in 8-1/2x11 landscape format:

http://www.fcc.gov/oet/info/database/spectrum/Welcome.html

Thanks,

Warren Donworth

2887. Re: cordless phone frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman
Message-ID: <34303602.9522999@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 184565

Make you news reader go back 200 posts. It's there somewhere.

budfrog505@aol.com (Budfrog505) wrote:

>i am brand new to scanning, i just bought a BC 55xlt last night. yeah i
>know, it probably sucks compared to what you guys all have, but hey, what
>do you expect with 60 bucks? well anyway, can anybody tell me what
>frequencies to try to pick up cordless phone calls? please be really
>specific about how i can look for them, because i'm clueless. if ya'll have
>a faq, let me know where it is so i can look through that too. thanks alot
> -budfrog505@aol.com

.
helmsman@mindspring.com
http://helmsman.home.mindspring.com/
Amateur Radio,Hubble Telescope,Web Cams

2888. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34308ACD.385A@usa.net>
Source record: 184566

Top of the day Blake!

You have hit the nail on the head. Eric can not meet your challenge because he is posting under the names of "Eric Oyen" & "Phoenix Radio Man".

He states that he is not using "Phoenix Radio Man" as an alias but the coincidences are simply to much. See below:

1. They are both blind.
2. They both post in the same news groups (only blind & radio related) (as indicated by Dejanews)
3. They are both in Phoenix.
4. They are both using Win95.
5. They both use the same ISP. (as indicated by header information)
6. When Eric was on vacation & absent from newsgroups, so was Phoenix RM.
7. They have similar writing styles.
8. They have the same opinions.
9. "Phoenix Radio Man" used to be Eric's CB radio handle. (as indicated by Eric's admission a long time ago)

bbowers@townsqr.com wrote:
> You obviously don't have the gonads to answer this in the echo, but
> I have never posted by anything other than my name, I challenge
> you to prove otherwise.
> Otherwise, you are full of crap.

2889. Looking for TrunkLip Mount Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@tidalwave.net
Message-ID: <60o0auqn5@news.smart.net>
Source record: 184567

Need suggestions for a TrunkLip Mounted Scanner Antenna...

I have now a Radio Shack mag mount... Can I convert to a trunklip mount?

Also, have seen a Hustler scanner antenna... Not sure how good it is....

2890. regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929121001.IAA16082@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184568

need info or any mods available for a regencymx7000 or any site with info
thanks jose

2891. Re: Editorial On H.R.2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <343068A7.74E3@prodigy.net>
Source record: 184569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

Bolshevik Barbara and Dianne Swinestein are two politicians who have
been consistently trashing the second amendment. What should make anyone
believe that they are interested in saving our scanning hobby?

2892. Re: an old regency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <60oaht$1h6@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The firmware frequency limits in most of the older,
programmable Regency scanners could circumvented
by pressing the decimal point key before pressing
the first frequency digit.

For example:

.145.5 instead of 145.5

BTW, you probably have a K100, K500, or ACT-16K "Touch" model.

The trick works on the newer M100 and M400 series as well.
There is an internal jumper wire you could cut to save you the
trouble of pressing the leading decimal point. Don't ask me where.

In article <Pine.GSO.3.96.970928220938.5936C-100000@randomc.com>,
Dana Yeomans <dana@randomc.Com> wrote:

> hi all i have an old regency that only goes down to 146.000
> my friend put somthing in the keyboard that made it go down
> to 145.000 this scanner has the lights across the right side,
> and the buttons are hard for a blind
> person to tell what he is pressing. when we programed it, he punched
> somthing in to make it go down there, and we can't figure it out,..
--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2893. Re: What Are The Amateur Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342fb954.5783716@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 184571

heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) wrote:

:I'm interested in know ALL of the amateur radio frequencies. And I would
:also like to know what meter the frequencies are on (example 50-54 MHz is
:the 2 meter amateur radio band). Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot in
:advance and for reading.

Frequencies don't use meters.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2894. Re: $300 to spend - Which scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <342FBCF6.72FA@ibm.net>
Source record: 184572

Jim Belesiu wrote:
> I have $300 to spend on a scanner. I've noticed that Radio Shack has
> three models in that price range: the PRO-90, the PRO-64 and the PRO-67.

Hi Jim,
Since you mentioned handheld models, I'll assume that you
are NOT interested in acquiring a desktop(base) unit.
If you are interested in the PRO-90 for its TrunkTracking
feature of 800MHz systems, you'd be better off to go with
the Uniden BC235XLT. The PRO-90 is made by Uniden for Radio
Shack and is simply a 'clone' of the BC235. I don't think
the PRO-90 will be available for a few weeks anyways.
The PRO-64 is a nice radio but not terribly sensitive.
The PRO-67 is a nice radio too but is in a class underneath
that of the PRO-64. If you do opt for a Radio Shack model,
you are better off waiting for a sale(due to their VERY HIGH
markups) or order it via mail(typically save $50-$80).
Another radio you might want to look into is the RELM
HS200. A very nice unit that sells for under $250. Also,
Grove has a number of PRO-26s they are trying to get rid of
at $250. Great deal on this radio for this price.
Normally I would refer you to my web page below but at
present, there is a problem with the server where I keep my
pages. Hopefully, it will be back up soon! Check it out over
the next few days.
Good luck!

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

2895. Re: Family Radio Service Freqs Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Douglas Cutler <cutler@email.unc.edu>
Message-ID: <342FBD52.5AB75D8B@email.unc.edu>
Source record: 184573
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

I am also looking to get a couple or so. I have seen but not used the
Mot's, they really would fit in a shirt pocket. I have also see the ads
for the Kenwood but not seen one live.
Yes, if anyone has one please post comments.
Now questions: There are 14 channels but what are the 38 talk groups?
Kenwood ofters "scrambling", is this possibly useful, Kenwood specific?
Thanks,
Doug Cutler.
aq1sw2@pixi.com wrote:
>
> Nope. I plan to get one for X-mas though. I am wondering how good any
> of them are as far as intermod is concerned?
>
> http://www.familyradios.com/ has a good chart comparing all of the
> features of many FRS radios. Actually, the Motorola, while looking
> snazzy and having a respectable name does not come out as good
> feature wise as the Midland 75-510. I do not think the Motorola can
> either scan or be recharged? The midland seems to be the best value.
> I will probably get one of both.
>
> Would be nice to get people to post here there comments and mini
> reviews of the FRS radios they bought.
>
> Mark
>
> On 29 Sep 1997 05:01:22 GMT, "Sherwin Shao" <shao@interlog.com> wrote:
>
> >Anybody tried the Motorola Talkabouts yet?

2896. Re: PARG International, your opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: yourvicki@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929180000.OAA08677@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184574

John Mackey is featured in that organization. JUST SAY NO!

Big 10-4!

2897. Tauzin's getting swamped!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <n9nwo@gte.net>
Message-ID: <60oom3$109$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 184575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.g-gordon-liddy,alt.firefighters,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,

Ok, I would have not seen this if I had not seen this thread in
Rec.Radio.Shortwave. While it affects shortwave listeners, I
believe that this should have been posted in other groups. Like
Rec.Guns were replies of a political nature as reposted in
Talk.Politics.Guns, it might make sense to redirect political discussions
to Rec.Radio.Amateur.Policy and Rec.Radio.Amateur.Misc.

Now would someone like to restart this discussion so the rest of us
can get in on us? What is happening with Rep Tauzin? Look this affects
law enforcement, firefighters, news gathering as well as us hobbists.

BTW, it seems like all my hobbies are under attack by the
Prohibitionists. I really got into hobbies to get away from my political
responsiblities (I am an elected official).

>>>You folks in the 3rd District of Louisiana should get rid of this
>>>doof. We'd all be a lot better off.
>>
>> Not to worry. The way mary Landreau has perfected voting fraud
>> and general dishonesty, no honest Republican will stand a chance!
>>
>
>So you are actually saying the Republican Party will be unaffected. IOW
>Newt and company are guaranteed reelection if we take you literally.
>
> Here is proof positive, provided by Glenn Hansen himself, that he is so
> enamored with his own ego that he feels compelled to share his
> irrelevant verbal vomit with the rest of the world, proving that his
> ego is not justified due to his proven stupidity. Glenn Hansen has
> just publicly shared his failure of the intelligence test by being
> unable to post his intellectual excrement in the correct newsgroup.

2898. Re: Internet Paging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickch19@sgi.netxx
Message-ID: <60otcuiem1@taurus.bv.sgi.net>
Source record: 184576

badbrad000@aol.com (Badbrad000) wrote:

>Can anyone tell me were i can go on the Web and page to a pager? And also
>iam looking for a place were i can go to have my E-mail go to my pager. Any
>help would be help full... Send info to Badbrad000@aol.com

Many carriers offer the ability to send pages to alpha customers via
email.

USA Mobile: www.usamobile.com Email: AC(PIN).pager@usamobile.com
PageNet: www.pagenet.com
SkyTel: www.skytel.com
etc.

Check each carriers web page for more, or check my web page for links
to them under the Links Page.

To forward email check out Avenger http://www.avengersoftware.com Can
forward to alpha & numerics via modem or ISP. Can also send
wx/news/sports to alphas.

I am Geek Spice, and you're not! So, who do you wanna be? ?

** Remove xx in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://channel3.vmg.co.uk/spicegirls

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2899. Re: LOCAL POLICE SEIZING RADIOS, Consumer Equipment at Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: nf2g@ggw.org
Message-ID: <60ounc$og2$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 184577

In article <01bccce3$374a7400$LocalHost@christopher>, "Jimmy Miller" <jueb@wetdef.qwe.com> wrote:

>that against you. The best way is to just hook up some incendiary bombs all
>over the house and just have you scanner placed real close to one of them.
>You could then argue it was just a safekeeping measure to protect under
>seizure of "hostile forces" and have a doctor diagnose you as paranoid,
>obsessed with all forces evil.
>
>The bad side of this is that they could charge you with arson, intent to
>destroy, etc.

The way the law is written in NY, you can't be charged with arson if
you burn down your own house. There are other things they might
charge you with instead....

2900. Re: PARG International, your opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34300773.F3A@usa.net>
Source record: 184578

YES!!!

I am the secretary & Public Information Officer of PARG International.

How may I assist you?

KaylaCamke wrote:
> Are there any members in this newsgroup? Just found them today and they have
> a very interesting homepage.
> http://www.teleport.com/%7Emscott/dumb.shtml
> They asked for a letter to be sent to their P.O. Box in Oregon for
> membership. No money, that was a nice change to see when joining a group! ;-)

2901. scanner - date of manuf.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@istar.ca>
Message-ID: <34301875.ABB3BA66@istar.ca>
Source record: 184579

Could someone tell me what the date was (month/year) that legislation
came into effect restricting the bands on which scanners can scan (i.e.:
cell bands)?

I believe it was sometime in erly 1994, but not sure.

thanks.

Vince

2902. Re: radio shack scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@istar.ca>
Message-ID: <343019C8.C0F5464C@istar.ca>
Source record: 184580
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've had the new 20-043 discone up for a few weeks now, and performance is excellent on all bands including the 46-50mhz and 400 mhz band. I also transmit on amateur 144-147, and 440 mhz with good reports. Excellent lightweight antenna with wide- band performance and good wind resistance. Good quality construction. Price is hard to beat.

I dont work for the Shack nor do hold shares, just like the antenna.

Vince

mansonia wrote:

> Does anyone have any words (good or bad)
> about the outdoor scanner/ham discone antenna from Radio Shack?
> It's item #20-043
> Also wondering about the outdoor all band ground plane antenna from
> Radio Shack...
> Item #20-014
>
> I'm basically looking for a good outdoor antenna that I can buy
> from a local store, ie Radio Shack...
> Anybody got any suggestions?
>
> Thanks a lot...
> Brad
>
> remove REMOVE to reply

--
Vincent Charron (VE2IFR) Loretteville, Quebec
ALPA - Chairman/ council 218 Canada
Air Alliance Inc. e-mail:
charv@istar.ca

2903. Re: an old regency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Yeomans <dana@randomc.Com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.970929221710.25489I-100000@randomc.com>
Source record: 184581

hi there, i forgot to say in my article that it will go down to
30 mhz, but the second band won't go down to but 146.000
so i still need some more info on this scanner. take care all.

random access internet shell account
standard disclaimers apply.

2904. Need a Freq look here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanmore@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60prgo$qc6$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 184582
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
--WebTV-Mail-1301732538-39176

Click on scanner

--WebTV-Mail-1301732538-39176
Content-Description: signature

Scanmore's Homepage

--WebTV-Mail-1301732538-39176--
```

2905. Re: ICOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lundgren <NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>
Message-ID: <34306C96.238@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>
Source record: 184583
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.schematics.electronic,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,relcom.radio.diagrams,sci.electronics.repair

brendon shaw wrote:

> Can any one tell me where I can locate a circuit digram for a ICOM IC-R1
> scanner
> Many thanks
> B.shaw@btinternet.com

Have you tried Icom?

2906. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: wnewkirk@iu.net
Message-ID: <60n2bj$a8h$3@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 184584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

In <01bcbff3$19214460$33aa8bcd@burton-hollabau>, "bj" <hollabau@netusa1.net> writes:
>Let the morons in Washington pass any law they want to. With Ms Reno
>enforcing the law you
>have nothing to fear. The Japanese will pay off Ms Reno and then flood the
>country with cheap scanners.

The folks in charge won't always be the folks in charge...

things change.

2907. ## help locate TOKO TK-10489 FM IF data sheet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nathan Hull <nathan@hullnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Ei6vsLAhG$L0EwDT@hullnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 184585
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <EH8oI9.n2K@nonexistent.com>, Techno <techno55@actcom.co.il>
writes
>
>I need help trying to locate a data sheet or schematic of a TOKO part
>called TK-10489. It's supposed to be a WBFM IF and discriminator, and
>possible also contains a mixer/oscillator. This part is widely used in
>radio scanners and receivers (such as the Uniden BC-3000XLT and RS
>PRO-26). I need to modify the circuit for increased recovered audio,
>and the schematic or data sheet might give a clue on how to do this.
>The TOKO web site offers no documentation.
>Thank's in advance for any help offered.
>
Try Bonex, they are the main TOKO distributors in the UK
--
Nathan Hull

2908. Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jsmith <jsmith@pdrpip.com>
Message-ID: <343005D5.4D51B3CA@pdrpip.com>
Source record: 184586

Have a Pro-2004 for trade....Im asking for a pro-26 or 43 and it must be in good working condition.... Im just looking for a scanner thats more portable and must cover the UHF aero band as well...
The Pro-2004 covers 25-1300mhz (AM,FM,WFM modes) with the cell blocked out...This scanner is in good condition and works great..also i have the box and manual as well....reply to jsmith@pdrpip.com for more info..

2909. Re: Family Radio Service Freqs Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hendrik Susanto <hs036@csufresno.edu>
Message-ID: <343078B5.87C513D2@csufresno.edu>
Source record: 184587
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

I have 2 units of the Vertex VXF-1 family radio. Will try to post a review
when I can.

aq1sw2@pixi.com wrote:

> Nope. I plan to get one for X-mas though. I am wondering how good any
> of them are as far as intermod is concerned?
>
> http://www.familyradios.com/ has a good chart comparing all of the
> features of many FRS radios. Actually, the Motorola, while looking
> snazzy and having a respectable name does not come out as good
> feature wise as the Midland 75-510. I do not think the Motorola can
> either scan or be recharged? The midland seems to be the best value.
> I will probably get one of both.
>
> Would be nice to get people to post here there comments and mini
> reviews of the FRS radios they bought.
>
> Mark
>
> On 29 Sep 1997 05:01:22 GMT, "Sherwin Shao" <shao@interlog.com> wrote:
>
> >Anybody tried the Motorola Talkabouts yet?

2910. Re: Sacramento Delta Patrol Freqs Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: holtzman@shazam.ecs.csus.edu
Message-ID: <60pdt6$73f@news.csus.edu>
Source record: 184588

The Sacramento County Sheriff's Office patrols the rivers in Sacratomato County. They are on the Sacramento County Trunking system. The best way to monitor them is, of course, a uniden bc235 trunktraker. There are no actual talk groups for the delta patrol though. Contra Costa - I don't have that info handy.

jrh

In <3429ee0f.943831@nntp.ix.netcom.com>, LeeC@ix.netcom.com writes:
>I'm new to the area and spend alot of time of the water and would like
>the Sacramento Delta Waterways Patrol Freqs as well as the Contra
>Costa Co.
>
>Thanks, Lee

2911. Cal State Univ Sac freqs needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: holtzman@shazam.ecs.csus.edu
Message-ID: <60pejo$73f@news.csus.edu>
Source record: 184589

If anybody has the six 800 MHz simplex channels that csus is using, please post and e-mail to me. I already have the vhf freqs, 151.655 and 153.740. They are linked to the sacramento city trunking system but are still simplex channels using discrete frequencies.

jrh

2912. Re: TrunkTracker Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: holtzman@shazam.ecs.csus.edu
Message-ID: <60pe2i$73f@news.csus.edu>
Source record: 184590

I made an adaptor to go to the lighter socket. I added no regulation or protection.
I 've been using it for months now, no problems.

jrh

In <01bcca3a$1081fec0$965a93cf@hal>, "David Hitchner" <asds@xworldnet.att.net> writes:
>Is it safe to connect the TrunkTracker to an auto's 12V system? I'm aware
>that there are transient voltages in an automotive system. I operate my
>AOR8000 directly off of the auto's system, but I'm not sure if the
>TrunkTracker has protection against transients.
>
>Also, I know that this has been asked about a million times, but are there
>any keyboard tricks for the TrunkTracker?
>
>
>---
> David M. Hitchner - hitchner@worldnet.att.net
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Living on an information dirt road,
> just off the Information Super-Highway.
>
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System
> Use E-Mail Address Above for Replies
>---
>

2913. Re: New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@netcom.com
Message-ID: <jwilkersEH9MIn.AHr@netcom.com>
Source record: 184656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

w9dmk-at-crosslink@crosslink.net (Robert Lay) writes:

>>Just because CB isn't formally licensed, doesn't mean the operators can be
>>as mature as many licensed in Amateur Radio.

True.... they, however, choose to behave like untamed animals. These
poor operators influence newcomers, and as a result, create a vicious
cycle. regrettably, I have found, over the 'net, and on the air, no one
really wishes to excercise good operating practices.

--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com

Rec.radio.cb FAQ located at my Web site:
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/cbpage.html

Supporting two-way radio for the family of the 90s.
Spamgard(tm) in effect to attend to unwanted commercial email.
Procmail filters auto-delete bogus addresses, MIME and HTML encoded mail.

2914. Re: Q: Baltimore Gas & Electric trunked comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@tidalwave.net
Message-ID: <60o07uqn4@news.smart.net>
Source record: 184657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,clarknet.radio.scanner

I'll have to check on that. I think that they are trunked. I just received my new BC 895 XLT and plugged in the BGE frequencies and what clarity! I'm receiving all of them with nearly full signal strength even here in central Montgomery County. I guess now I'll have to monitor them and post a report on the Talk Groups. Give me a couple of weeks and I'll post something here and on the TrunkCom listserve.

Andy

Post those BG&E freqs if you've got em!

2915. WTB unblocked Xplorer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929112401.HAA20476@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184658

```
WTB unblocked explorer.E-mail pro43@aol.com,thsnks
```

2916. Destroying freqs in a radio Panic Button

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve@swssec.com
Message-ID: <3430a409.4570105@news2.ibm.net>
Source record: 184659

LA <artz@ll.mit.edu> wrote:

>I think that a very good idea is for all scanner owners install "panic"
>buttons on their scanners. That way, if the police approach you, and you
>have a scanner, the panic button would be a push-button switch wired to
>the CPU reset circuit in the radio

<snip>

Not a bad idea actually. LA is thinking like a spook.

Some years ago we provided a fleet of portable radios to a unit of a foreign government needing them for a clandestine operation. They were using frequencies amd DTMF to command some remote equipment that must be kept confidential at any cost. Encryption was not an option due to interoperability and the fact that the equipment being commanded could not be used with encryption.

They wanted something to where the freqs could be cleaned out of the radio basically instantaneously if one of their people were taken.

After some experimentation, we brought a line in series with the lithium memory backup cell out through some holes on the back of the radio. We left a small loop of 30 gauge wirewrap wire, and ran the loop through a small ring about the size of a dime to serve as a handle. The loop and ring were covered with 3 layers of 3140 RTV conformal coating to protect it. All was in a recess in the back cover of the radio where the serial number plate originally had been riveted, thus protecting it a bit.

If the radio operator was taken, he turned off power to the radio, grabbed the small ring with his fingernail and yanked it. This broke the path for the lithium coin cell memory backup and erased all the freqs in the radio. The lithium only needed to be off for seven seconds for all caps, etc. to bleed down and dump the memory and turn the radio into a zombie useless to the enemy. Seven seconds was considered acceptable.

Many were tested, the concept worked perfectly and approx 40 modified radios were used for a year. Unbelievably enough, we only had maybe 3 accidental trips, which were annoying but easily rectified by replacing the loop of wire and reprogramming the radio.

Radios were ICOM H16s (commercial VHF portables with keypad for DTMF), now discontinued.

Our competition was fooling with thermal chemical charges, etc., which might have worked but were not practical and too dangerous to carry in your pocket for months on end. Sometimes the simplest ideas are the best. Ours worked perfectly, was safe and cheap.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland USA
Manufacturers of electronic surveillance and commo gear
tel +1+410-879-4035 fax +1+410-836-1190
mailto:Steve@swssec.com
website: http://www.swssec.com
Celebrating our 25th anniversary in 1997
"In God We Trust, All Others We Monitor"
****

2917. Re: FS welz WS 1000 E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342fb51c.4703540@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 184660

ben.lent@pi.net wrote:

:
:
: Prijs Fl 500.-
: Range 0.5 - 1300 MHz
: +tasje
: + diverse spriet antennes
:
: Tel: 0654293969

My WS1000 did not come with tasje or diverse spriet antennes.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2918. Re: bc3000 xlt antenna broken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342fb8b7.5626235@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 184661

bj3684@aol.com (BJ3684) wrote:

:the connector broke and is unuseable. does anyone out there know a
:place where I can mail order one that is the exact replacement, or perhaps
:one that works better than the stock model???
:please email me at bj3684@aol.com thanks

I reccomend the telescopic scanner antenna sold by Radio Shack.
I use one on my UBC3000XLT and I never use the stock antenna as
the RS one is so much better, especially on low frequencies.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2919. Re: BC-3000XLT or Welz WS 1000E????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342fb574.4791928@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 184662
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

tomgetty@mindspring.com (Tom Getty) wrote:

:I going to buy a new scanner. I needs to know which scanner is best:
:
:The Bearcat BC-3000 XLT or
:The Welz WS 1000e
:
:Please E-mail me and let me know what you think. Thanks

Each has it's strong and weak points so which one you buy depends
on what you want in a scanner.

The WS-1000 is tiny and has good frequency coverage plus many menu
settings.
The BC-3000 is bulky by comparison but scans much much faster and is
less fiddly to use.

I have both (actualy a UBC3000XLT) so if you want to ask about specific
points of either please email me.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2920. John Mackey - Now I understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@value.net>
Message-ID: <342F994B.54744EE9@value.net>
Source record: 184663

Often wondered why John Mackey gets such a kick from making this newsgroup so miserable for everyone. Talked yesterday to a guy who knows him personally and he said "If you think this notcake is bad on the net, you should meet him in person...he is a miserable jerk!"

2921. Re: Eric Oyen, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryoXX@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <342fb988.5835395@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 184664

John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

:Can't you tell by the types of posts on here, PEOPLE ARE
:TIRED OF YOUR BULLSHIT, GET A CLUE!!!

Learn to use your newsreader if you don't want to read everything in
a newsgroup..

==========
To reply via email please remove the XX from my
return address.

2922. Re: In the attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ywd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929133901.JAA19254@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184665

>How much signal do I risk losing by putting my antenna in the attic?

As long as your roof does not have an excessive amount of metal flashing, etc. in, and your house does NOT have aluminum siding on it, I believe that you will do fine.

As you know, the least amount of cable is better - I don't know what type of cable you are going to use, but some less expensive kinds are pretty "lossy" especially at the higher freqs.

Another post I read added the point that you would not have to deal with the elements damaging your antenna and feedline.

I used an attic mount antenna for about 5 years, as I could not place an antenna outdoors due to antenna restrictions. It worked really well, even though the house had aluminum siding (I list some signal strength on those 2 sides)

Good luck, and happy scannin'

2923. Re: UK Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Fowler <neil.fowler@paonline.com>
Message-ID: <342FDBDB.396@paonline.com>
Source record: 184666

John Powers wrote:
>
> Does anyone know anything about what frequencies are in use in the UK?
> Specifically, looking for requency information for Fire and Emergency
> Services.
>
> Thanks

There is a UK newsgroup where you could get more info. Goto

alt.radio.scanner.uk

2924. Re: Freq database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3431bd6f.200210082@news-server>
Source record: 184667

On 23 Sep 97 19:46:51 GMT, gillard@club.innet.be (Paul Gillard) wrote:

> I'am looking for a good Win-database for scannerfreq.

What do you mean? Like a database manager program?
Or a database file with frequencies in it?

As for the program, go for Microsoft ACCESS on the high end
or if budget and savvy are limited, then MS WORKS will do
fine.

PerCon Corporation has great databases on CD-ROM:

http://www.perconcorp.com/

And go to this site for free databases by state, but they're
large and you need a database manager to use them:

http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/

Something up above will get you started anyway.

+---+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+---+

2925. Re: Scanner selection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Fowler <neil.fowler@paonline.com>
Message-ID: <342FDA88.7CEF@paonline.com>
Source record: 184668

Ron or Midgie Bardo wrote:
>
> The Uniden BC860XLT works fine for me. It can be purchused for about $199
> new at places like Best Buy. I'm sure there is at least one of them in the
> Ann Arbor
> area. Hope this helps. You can also go to Radio Shack, but I believe they
> are more on the expensive side for a scanner that is worth anything.
>
>
> Ron Bardo <sagre@net-link.net>
>
> In article <875360620.29565@dejanews.com>, tope@mediaone.net wrote:
>
> > Hi Does anyone know what the best scanner is for $199? Any pointers
> > would be appreciated.
> > Regards
> > Monisha Tope.
> >
> > ----------------------==== Posted via Deja News ====-----------------------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

I have a Uniden Sportcat 150 and it is great! It is about 160$. Spend
the other 30$ to buy a good outdoor antenna for when you're at home.

2926. Re: BC 895XLT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <342FBEFF.3460@ibm.net>
Source record: 184669

Thomas Newell wrote:
> Unident did alot of mistakes in the 895 manual.
>
> Check out the reference in the specs near the back of the manual that
> states something about a internal 12V battery. Yikes.

I wouldn't exactly say "a lot". I think there were three
that I can remember off the top of my head.

> Also, on the newer 895's the dimmer function for the LCD display is
> different. Check out the full review at Durhams Radio Site.

The functionality of the dimmer has been pointed out as a
problem with early production units(along with a few other
glitches with the radio memory set-up). The dimmer should
function as FULL illumination, PARTIAL illumination and OFF.
Be sure to check your radio first thing to see if it functions
correctly or not.

And it's not so much a full-review from my standpoint as it
is first impressions after a few hours use. Something 'quick
and dirty' to give everyone interested some quick feedback
on what kind of job Uniden did with this eagerly awaited
radio. I'll hopefully have the full review done right after
I finish up the review of the Alinco DJ-X10.

Strong signals,
Rich N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

2927. Re: How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Fowler <neil.fowler@paonline.com>
Message-ID: <342FD8C6.2F71@paonline.com>
Source record: 184670

Lord Nymon wrote:
>
> George wrote:
> >
> > On Sat, 27 Sep 1997 19:13:38 -0700, Adrian Leatherland
> > <alea4@aurora.cc.monash.edu.au> wrote:
> >
> > >George wrote:
> > >
> > >> Clueless is as clueless does! Get a life!
> > >
> > >Who is clueless? I hope you were referring to Glenn, N2YWD
> > >with that harsh `Get a Life' statement.. Afterall, they can't find
> > >better
> > >things to do with their lifes than misinterperate postings to
> > >rec.radio.scanner. "Conspiracy, illegal schemes, federal offences...
> > >rec.radio.scanner subscribers unite to crack down on the common enemy."
> > >
> > >I work with an amateur theatre group who have been experiencing weird
> > >problems sometimes, but only when the show's are being performed to the
> > >public. I am investigating whether it could be one of our audience
> > >members
> > >with some device.
> > >
> > >I didn't expect people to jump to the conclusion that I was out to cause
> > >any
> > >trouble. I would think anyone who uses their real name, email address
> > >and posts
> > >messages to rec.radio.scanner as apposed to `MrAnarchy' posting to
> > >alt.terrorist is asking an innocent question.
> >
> > Okay, after reading the above I'll retract my statement and apologize.
> > You must realize after following this group for even a little while
> > that there are those that would request info expressly for the purpose
> > of causing mayhem. Also, forged posts aren't all that difficult
> > either.
> >
> > Perhaps if you would have stated your reason as you just did, more
> > people would have responded in a nicer fashion. How about it guys?
> > Any ideas here?
>
> Yeah, perhaps not jumping to conclusions, and just answering a question
> instead of preaching morals, would not result with some peoples feet in
> there mouths. If someone wants to ask a question, they shouldn't have

> to state the reason WHY they want to know. Going shopping would be a
> real joy at the checkout if you were asked why you were purchasing each
> item.

To answer the question.

Find the frequencies that you want to interfere with. Add 10.7 or 10.8
Mhz. Scan that area. If you have a good enough scanner you could hide
it in your pocket and nobody would know.

2928. Re: Asthma attack in flite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BLACKEAGLE <user@isp.net>
Message-ID: <60omqk$987$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 184671
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

There is one hell of a big landing fees at airports too.

2929. Re: John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: yourvicki@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929175800.NAA02859@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184672

Grhansen1 is a fake.
CBguy's first name is John. woo woo!
Mackey is in some serious need of help.

Big 10-4!

2930. Re: # of postings by John MAckey last 24 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: yourvicki@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929175200.NAA08264@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184673

Subject: S.John M = John Mackey His own special fan club! xxooxx
Path:
lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!audrey02.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
From: yourvicky@aol.com (Yourvicky)
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey
Date: 28 Sep 1997 06:42:49 GMT
Lines: 295
Message-ID: <19970928064201.CAA24363@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ladder02.news.aol.com
X-Admin: news@aol.com
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
SnewsLanguage: English

Subject: Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill
Filters!
Path:
lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!portc02.blue.aol.com!howland.ero
ls.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!205.137.196.70!news.sprintisp.com!sprintis
p!not-for-mail
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Date: Thu, 25 Sep 1997 16:41:23 -0700
Organization: Phantom's Amateur Radio Group International K7PDX-KC0AAT
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <342AF6A3.15BE@usa.net>
References: <19970922104001.GAA21306@ladder01.news.aol.com>
<19970922113100.HAA27886@ladder02.news.aol.com> <34271EC6.68AA@usa.net>
<342909aa.6140731@news.fastlink.com.au> <34299EE9.6CB5@usa.net>
<342a5c4a.8602251@news.fastlink.com.au>
Reply-To: jmackey@usa.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: sdn-ts-003orportp13.dialsprint.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01Gold (Win16; I)

What the HELL are YOU, a damm school teacher grammar expert??

No one wants to hear your stupid technicalities so get the hell
out of here you fool.

Subject: Re: John Mackey
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/04/16
Message-Id: <3358188f.4738813@news.atl.bellsouth.net>

Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

In these excerpts from <John Mackey>.
"Gumby Damnit!" <cn1877@coastalnet.com> wrote:

> Is this the real John Mackey newsgroup?

It's working. I'm just a guest here-- I don't know exactly *who* this
group is *supposed* to be a "fan of" but it's here just the same.

S. John
.channel-2000

Subject: Re: hello
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/05/06
Message-Id: <336f5f28.389741538@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

In these excerpts from <hello>.
me <who@where.com> wrote:

> Hello to all my fans
>
> John Mackey, KA0SSF

Me too.
<alt.tv.aaron-spelling> is up and running-- insist your news server to
carry it.

Subject: hello
From: me <who@where.com>
Date: 1997/04/30
Message-Id: <3367A4B0.3294@where.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

Hello to all my fans

John Mackey, KA0SSF

Author: me <who@where.com>
�599 unique articles posted. �Number of articles posted to individual
newsgroups (slightly skewed by cross-postings): �59 alt.hackintosh �50
alt.binaries.fetish.scat �46 alt.sex.enemas �38 alt.butts �26
alt.sports.basketball.nba.chicago-bulls �25 alt.games.mtrek �25
alt.warez.ibm-pc.games �22 alt.sex.anal �22 misc.fitness.weights �22
misc.test �12 alt.music.nirvana �12 alt.pantyhose �11
rec.sport.football.college �10 alt.mag.playboy �10 alt.test �8
rec.arts.books.marketplace �7 alt.sex.swingers �4 alt.binaries.mac.games
�4 alt.fan.howard-stern �4 alt.guitar.tab �4 alt.music.jimi.hendrix �4
alt.music.led-zeppelin �4 comp.sys.ibm.pc.hardware.chips �3
alt.atari-jaguar.discussion �3 alt.iomega.zip.jazz �3 alt.sex.movies �3
alt.sport.horse-racing �3 alt.sports.basketball.nba.hou-rockets �3
alt.test.binaries �3 comp.infosystems.www.browsers.ms-windows �2

alt.alt.test ⊕2 alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.black.male ⊕2
alt.college.us ⊕2 alt.comp.periphs.mainboard.asus ⊕2 alt.cracks ⊕2
alt.drugs.pot ⊕2 alt.irc ⊕2 alt.mtv-sucks ⊕2 alt.music.alternative ⊕2
alt.personals.fetish ⊕2 alt.personals.spanking ⊕2 alt.personals.teen ⊕2
alt.support.herpes ⊕2 alt.this.sucks ⊕2 alt.tv.mtv ⊕2 comp.compression ⊕
2 comp.sys.amiga.marketplace ⊕2 comp.sys.ibm.pc.games.action ⊕2
comp.sys.ibm.pc.hardware.video ⊕2 comp.sys.ibm.pc.soundcard.advocacy ⊕2
rec.aquaria.marine.misc ⊕2 rec.gardens ⊕2 rec.sport.pro-wrestling ⊕2
soc.culture.pakistan ⊕2 talk.philosophy.misc ⊕1 alt.2600.codez ⊕1
alt.2600.crackz ⊕1 alt.2600.phreakz ⊕1 alt.anything ⊕1 alt.art ⊕1
alt.art.video ⊕1 alt.bbs.wildcat ⊕1 alt.beer ⊕1
alt.binaries.mac.applications ⊕1 alt.business.insurance ⊕1
alt.business.multi-level ⊕1 alt.cad ⊕1 alt.cad.autocad ⊕1
alt.chinese.text ⊕1 alt.christnet ⊕1 alt.comp.periphs.mainboard.tyan ⊕1
alt.disney ⊕1 alt.drugs.psychedelics ⊕1 alt.drunken.bastards ⊕1
alt.dumpster ⊕1 alt.earth.crisis ⊕1 alt.education ⊕1 alt.elvis.king ⊕1
alt.evil ⊕1 alt.fan.jenny-mccarthy ⊕1 alt.fan.john.mackey ⊕1
alt.fan.oj-simpson ⊕1 alt.feminism ⊕1 alt.fishing ⊕1 alt.flame ⊕1
alt.food.fast-food ⊕1 alt.games ⊕1 alt.games.heretic ⊕1 alt.gothic ⊕1
alt.hemp ⊕1 alt.irc.questions ⊕1 alt.jobs ⊕1 alt.law-enforcement ⊕1
alt.life.sucks ⊕1 alt.mac.games.binaries ⊕1 alt.misc ⊕1
alt.music.depeche-mode ⊕1 alt.non.sequitur ⊕1 alt.online-service.genie ⊕
1 alt.personals.bi ⊕1 alt.religion.christian ⊕1 alt.sex.bestiality ⊕1
alt.sex.bondage ⊕1 alt.sex.boys ⊕1 alt.sex.fetish.wrestling ⊕1
alt.sex.gangbang ⊕1 alt.sex.pedophilia.boys ⊕1 alt.sex.prostitution ⊕1
alt.sex.wanted ⊕1 alt.support.grief ⊕1 alt.torture ⊕1 alt.tv.babylon-5 ⊕
1 alt.tv.x-files ⊕1 alt.unix.wizards ⊕1 alt.visa.us ⊕1 alt.windows95 ⊕1
aus.sex ⊕1 ba.market.computers ⊕1 bit.listserv.wpwin-l ⊕1
comp.databases.pick ⊕1 comp.emulators.misc ⊕1 comp.lang.basic.misc ⊕1
comp.os.linux.development.system ⊕1 comp.os.linux.setup ⊕1
comp.sys.ibm.pc.soundcard.games ⊕1 comp.sys.intel ⊕1
comp.sys.mac.hardware.video ⊕1 comp.sys.mac.portables ⊕1
de.alt.binaries.pictures.female ⊕1 misc.consumers ⊕1
misc.consumers.house ⊕1 misc.misc ⊕1 misc.wanted ⊕1
news.admin.net-abuse.email ⊕1 news.newusers.questions ⊕1
rec.autos.makers.chrysler ⊕1 rec.games.frp.dnd ⊕1
rec.games.video.nintendo ⊕1 rec.games.video.sony ⊕1 rec.radio.cb ⊕1
rec.radio.scanner ⊕1 rec.sport.baseball ⊕1 rec.sport.rugby.union ⊕1
soc.culture.african.american ⊕1 soc.culture.magyar ⊕1 uk.test

Subject: BELLY PUNCHING
From: Jaster <who@where.com>
Date: 1997/09/19
Message-Id: <5vsia4$o90$1@gte1.gte.net>
Newsgroups: alt.torture
[More Headers]

anybody out there want to chat about female belly punching. Trade
stories,ideas,experiences,or whatever e-mail me at
(spam proofed) GLIX at MAIL dot GTE dot NET

Subject: 615-CLARKSVILLE, TN. DOM COUPLE SEEKING BI SUB M/F (0/2)
From: WHO@WHERE.COM
Date: 1996/12/21
Message-Id: <59fvmg$o4n@juliana.sprynet.com>
Newsgroups: alt.personals.teen
[More Headers]

DOM M/W/C, MID 30'S, VERY CLEAN AND DISCREET IS SEEKING:
CLEAN DISCREET BI-SUB FEMALES: ANY AGE, RACE, SIZE AND EXPERIENCE LEVEL TO
SERVE AS OUR WEEKEND TOY
AND
BI-SUB MALES: 20 AND UNDER "ONLY", ANY RACE, SIZE AND EXPERIENCE LEVEL
YOUR LIMITS WILL BE RESPECTED AND SAFE WORDS USED! IF YOUR INTERESTED
REPLY TO:
DOMCPL@HOTMAIL.COM

SERIOUS REPLIES ONLY, PLEASE!

Subject: For Puppy14
From: who@where.com (hornykid)
Date: 1997/09/02
Message-Id: <5uhooidji1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.boys
[More Headers]

WHY????????

Subject: any Black BOYS? not MEN
From: who@where.com (hornykid)
Date: 1997/08/14
Message-Id: <5susul$9jr$1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.boys
[More Headers]

looking for black boys

Subject: Lets See Some "Real" Cum Shots....
From: "Capt.Simian" <Who@Where.com>
Date: 1996/12/01
Message-Id: <32A21AFC.35B3@Where.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.bestiality
[More Headers]

None of that fake stuff..

Subject: Post more ASIAN and BLACK boys,,PLEASE.
From: who@where.com (hornykid)
Date: 1997/08/13
Message-Id: <5sr0rsruv1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.pedophilia.boys
[More Headers]

thanks

Subject: Re: Attn: TDJ (Was: Anyone seen Matt)
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/02/28
Message-Id: <331f3ee4.166369332@news.clt.bellsouth.net>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

Subject: Re: Let's vote. Who thinks Phil Oliver is gay.
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/08/18
Message-Id: <340502e2.38826538@news.zippo.com>
Newsgroups: alt.config
[More Headers]

In these excerpts from <Re: Let's vote. Who thinks Phil Oliver is
gay.>. felony@DPTSPAMBLOCKbiosys.net (Phil Oliver) wrote:

> Meanwhile, has anyone newgrouped alt.bonehead.phil-oliver or something
> like that? I'm kind of surprised it hasn't happened yet.
>
> -Phil Oliver

Well, let's see-- do I get to be the token gay guy here to get upset
about the foundation of the original post? Naaaa-- I won't bother.
Anyhow, if Phil is *indeed* gay. speaking as a gay man, I hope the
bone's not in your *head* <EG>.

Seriously though-- I find myself "intelligently" perturbed at Phil for
some of his occasional statements... but it's usually because he
substantiated them with facts contrary to what I'd previously assumed
to be true... in other words, his contributions here generally have a
"point' embedded int them somewhere where as quite a few of the
"regulars" will frequently make categoric statements without somehow
justifying them.

S. John

P.S. Oh, yeah... I almost forgot... ME TOO!!!

Author: s.john@super.zippo.com (S John M)
185 unique articles posted.
102 alt.tv.bh90210
43 alt.tv.melrose-place
27 alt.fan.donnas-nipples
4 alt.config
2 alt.pets.rabbits
2 alt.tv.aaron-spelling
1 alt.pets.guinea-pigs
1 triangle.radio

Getting alt.tv.aaron-spelling on YOUR server...If you need any further
assistance dealing with your ISP, feel free to reference this
web page or drop us an e-mail message at s.john@juno.com. In fact, we'd
love tohear from you regardless; let us know you're up and running!!! :-)
History of alt.tv.aaron-spelling

The USENET newsgroup "alt.tv.aaron-spelling" ....

The Original Control Message

Path: news.atl.bellsouth.net!not-for-mail
From: newsmaster@bellsouth.net
Newsgroups: alt.tv.aaron-spelling,alt.config
Subject: csmg newgroup alt.tv.aaron-spelling
Control: newgroup alt.tv.aaron-spelling
Followup-To: alt.config
Date: 21 Apr 1997 13:38:37 GMT
Organization: BellSouth.Net
Lines: 21
Approved: newsmaster@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <5jfqkt$5kb$2@news.atl.bellsouth.net>

Reply-To: sjmackey@bellsouth.net

..... Charter... is an undiluted platform to discuss
.... ---End of original message

Subject: John Mackey
Date: Sun, 21 Sep 1997 17:42:41 -0700
From: "Mark S. Dunlop" <dunlop277@aol.com>
Organization: PARG
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

Hi everyone. I was just wondering why this newsgroup was not seeing
much action in the last few months?
Could it be that the infamous John Mackey (me@myself.com) is no longer
active on the internet and on Usenet?
Somebody please post a list of newsgroups that..

I think the guy (?) posts nearly 20 hours a day.

2931. Re: Are there women who scan cordless freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <342FDEEE.2D852387@cts.com>
Source record: 184674

dragon wrote:

> It seems that scanners....and monitoring freqs is a predominately male
>
> hobby/past-time.(based on my friends and this newsgroups e-mail
> addresses) Are there any women out there that are also scanner
> maniacs?
>
> Just Courious?

I actually had three dates where three separate women didn't want to go out, but just sit and listen to their neighbors phone calls. I had to bring the scanner to their house, twice.

2932. Re: BC 895XLT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <342FDF34.6D72FCA9@cts.com>
Source record: 184675

Rich Wells wrote:

> Thomas Newell wrote:
> > Unident did alot of mistakes in the 895 manual.
> >
> > Check out the reference in the specs near the back of the manual
> that
> > states something about a internal 12V battery. Yikes.
>
> I wouldn't exactly say "a lot". I think there were three
> that I can remember off the top of my head.
>

You got 'trolled' to go to this guys BUSINESS web page.

2933. Re: John Mackey - Now I understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: yourvicki@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929175500.NAA08448@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184676

Subject: S.John M = John Mackey His own special fan club! xxooxx
Path:
lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!audrey02.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
From: yourvicky@aol.com (Yourvicky)
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey
Date: 28 Sep 1997 06:42:49 GMT
Lines: 295
Message-ID: <19970928064201.CAA24363@ladder02.news.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ladder02.news.aol.com
X-Admin: news@aol.com
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
SnewsLanguage: English

Subject: Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill
Filters!
Path:
lobby01.news.aol.com!newstf02.news.aol.com!portc02.blue.aol.com!howland.ero
ls.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!205.137.196.70!news.sprintisp.com!sprintis
p!not-for-mail
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Date: Thu, 25 Sep 1997 16:41:23 -0700
Organization: Phantom's Amateur Radio Group International K7PDX-KC0AAT
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <342AF6A3.15BE@usa.net>
References: <19970922104001.GAA21306@ladder01.news.aol.com>
<19970922113100.HAA27886@ladder02.news.aol.com> <34271EC6.68AA@usa.net>
<342909aa.6140731@news.fastlink.com.au> <34299EE9.6CB5@usa.net>
<342a5c4a.8602251@news.fastlink.com.au>
Reply-To: jmackey@usa.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: sdn-ts-003orportp13.dialsprint.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01Gold (Win16; I)

What the HELL are YOU, a damm school teacher grammar expert??

No one wants to hear your stupid technicalities so get the hell
out of here you fool.

Subject: Re: John Mackey
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/04/16
Message-Id: <3358188f.4738813@news.atl.bellsouth.net>

Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

In these excerpts from <John Mackey>.
"Gumby Damnit!" <cn1877@coastalnet.com> wrote:

> Is this the real John Mackey newsgroup?

It's working. I'm just a guest here-- I don't know exactly *who* this
group is *supposed* to be a "fan of" but it's here just the same.

S. John
.channel-2000

Subject: Re: hello
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/05/06
Message-Id: <336f5f28.389741538@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

In these excerpts from <hello>.
me <who@where.com> wrote:

> Hello to all my fans
>
> John Mackey, KA0SSF

Me too.
<alt.tv.aaron-spelling> is up and running-- insist your news server to
carry it.

Subject: hello
From: me <who@where.com>
Date: 1997/04/30
Message-Id: <3367A4B0.3294@where.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

Hello to all my fans

John Mackey, KA0SSF

Author: me <who@where.com>
�599 unique articles posted. �Number of articles posted to individual
newsgroups (slightly skewed by cross-postings): �59 alt.hackintosh �50
alt.binaries.fetish.scat �46 alt.sex.enemas �38 alt.butts �26
alt.sports.basketball.nba.chicago-bulls �25 alt.games.mtrek �25
alt.warez.ibm-pc.games �22 alt.sex.anal �22 misc.fitness.weights �22
misc.test �12 alt.music.nirvana �12 alt.pantyhose �11
rec.sport.football.college �10 alt.mag.playboy �10 alt.test �8
rec.arts.books.marketplace �7 alt.sex.swingers �4 alt.binaries.mac.games
�4 alt.fan.howard-stern �4 alt.guitar.tab �4 alt.music.jimi.hendrix �4
alt.music.led-zeppelin �4 comp.sys.ibm.pc.hardware.chips �3
alt.atari-jaguar.discussion �3 alt.iomega.zip.jazz �3 alt.sex.movies �3
alt.sport.horse-racing �3 alt.sports.basketball.nba.hou-rockets �3
alt.test.binaries �3 comp.infosystems.www.browsers.ms-windows �2

alt.alt.test ⊕2 alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.black.male ⊕2
alt.college.us ⊕2 alt.comp.periphs.mainboard.asus ⊕2 alt.cracks ⊕2
alt.drugs.pot ⊕2 alt.irc ⊕2 alt.mtv-sucks ⊕2 alt.music.alternative ⊕2
alt.personals.fetish ⊕2 alt.personals.spanking ⊕2 alt.personals.teen ⊕2
alt.support.herpes ⊕2 alt.this.sucks ⊕2 alt.tv.mtv ⊕2 comp.compression ⊕
2 comp.sys.amiga.marketplace ⊕2 comp.sys.ibm.pc.games.action ⊕2
comp.sys.ibm.pc.hardware.video ⊕2 comp.sys.ibm.pc.soundcard.advocacy ⊕2
rec.aquaria.marine.misc ⊕2 rec.gardens ⊕2 rec.sport.pro-wrestling ⊕2
soc.culture.pakistan ⊕2 talk.philosophy.misc ⊕1 alt.2600.codez ⊕1
alt.2600.crackz ⊕1 alt.2600.phreakz ⊕1 alt.anything ⊕1 alt.art ⊕1
alt.art.video ⊕1 alt.bbs.wildcat ⊕1 alt.beer ⊕1
alt.binaries.mac.applications ⊕1 alt.business.insurance ⊕1
alt.business.multi-level ⊕1 alt.cad ⊕1 alt.cad.autocad ⊕1
alt.chinese.text ⊕1 alt.christnet ⊕1 alt.comp.periphs.mainboard.tyan ⊕1
alt.disney ⊕1 alt.drugs.psychedelics ⊕1 alt.drunken.bastards ⊕1
alt.dumpster ⊕1 alt.earth.crisis ⊕1 alt.education ⊕1 alt.elvis.king ⊕1
alt.evil ⊕1 alt.fan.jenny-mccarthy ⊕1 alt.fan.john.mackey ⊕1
alt.fan.oj-simpson ⊕1 alt.feminism ⊕1 alt.fishing ⊕1 alt.flame ⊕1
alt.food.fast-food ⊕1 alt.games ⊕1 alt.games.heretic ⊕1 alt.gothic ⊕1
alt.hemp ⊕1 alt.irc.questions ⊕1 alt.jobs ⊕1 alt.law-enforcement ⊕1
alt.life.sucks ⊕1 alt.mac.games.binaries ⊕1 alt.misc ⊕1
alt.music.depeche-mode ⊕1 alt.non.sequitur ⊕1 alt.online-service.genie ⊕
1 alt.personals.bi ⊕1 alt.religion.christian ⊕1 alt.sex.bestiality ⊕1
alt.sex.bondage ⊕1 alt.sex.boys ⊕1 alt.sex.fetish.wrestling ⊕1
alt.sex.gangbang ⊕1 alt.sex.pedophilia.boys ⊕1 alt.sex.prostitution ⊕1
alt.sex.wanted ⊕1 alt.support.grief ⊕1 alt.torture ⊕1 alt.tv.babylon-5 ⊕
1 alt.tv.x-files ⊕1 alt.unix.wizards ⊕1 alt.visa.us ⊕1 alt.windows95 ⊕1
aus.sex ⊕1 ba.market.computers ⊕1 bit.listserv.wpwin-l ⊕1
comp.databases.pick ⊕1 comp.emulators.misc ⊕1 comp.lang.basic.misc ⊕1
comp.os.linux.development.system ⊕1 comp.os.linux.setup ⊕1
comp.sys.ibm.pc.soundcard.games ⊕1 comp.sys.intel ⊕1
comp.sys.mac.hardware.video ⊕1 comp.sys.mac.portables ⊕1
de.alt.binaries.pictures.female ⊕1 misc.consumers ⊕1
misc.consumers.house ⊕1 misc.misc ⊕1 misc.wanted ⊕1
news.admin.net-abuse.email ⊕1 news.newusers.questions ⊕1
rec.autos.makers.chrysler ⊕1 rec.games.frp.dnd ⊕1
rec.games.video.nintendo ⊕1 rec.games.video.sony ⊕1 rec.radio.cb ⊕1
rec.radio.scanner ⊕1 rec.sport.baseball ⊕1 rec.sport.rugby.union ⊕1
soc.culture.african.american ⊕1 soc.culture.magyar ⊕1 uk.test

Subject: BELLY PUNCHING
From: Jaster <who@where.com>
Date: 1997/09/19
Message-Id: <5vsia4$o90$1@gte1.gte.net>
Newsgroups: alt.torture
[More Headers]

anybody out there want to chat about female belly punching. Trade
stories,ideas,experiences,or whatever e-mail me at
(spam proofed) GLIX at MAIL dot GTE dot NET

Subject: 615-CLARKSVILLE, TN. DOM COUPLE SEEKING BI SUB M/F (0/2)
From: WHO@WHERE.COM
Date: 1996/12/21
Message-Id: <59fvmg$o4n@juliana.sprynet.com>
Newsgroups: alt.personals.teen
[More Headers]

DOM M/W/C, MID 30'S, VERY CLEAN AND DISCREET IS SEEKING:
CLEAN DISCREET BI-SUB FEMALES: ANY AGE, RACE, SIZE AND EXPERIENCE LEVEL TO
SERVE AS OUR WEEKEND TOY
AND
BI-SUB MALES: 20 AND UNDER "ONLY", ANY RACE, SIZE AND EXPERIENCE LEVEL
YOUR LIMITS WILL BE RESPECTED AND SAFE WORDS USED! IF YOUR INTERESTED
REPLY TO:
DOMCPL@HOTMAIL.COM

SERIOUS REPLIES ONLY, PLEASE!

Subject: For Puppy14
From: who@where.com (hornykid)
Date: 1997/09/02
Message-Id: <5uhooidji1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.boys
[More Headers]

WHY????????

Subject: any Black BOYS? not MEN
From: who@where.com (hornykid)
Date: 1997/08/14
Message-Id: <5susul$9jr$1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.boys
[More Headers]

looking for black boys

Subject: Lets See Some "Real" Cum Shots....
From: "Capt.Simian" <Who@Where.com>
Date: 1996/12/01
Message-Id: <32A21AFC.35B3@Where.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.bestiality
[More Headers]

None of that fake stuff..

Subject: Post more ASIAN and BLACK boys,,PLEASE.
From: who@where.com (hornykid)
Date: 1997/08/13
Message-Id: <5sr0rsruv1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Newsgroups: alt.sex.pedophilia.boys
[More Headers]

thanks

Subject: Re: Attn: TDJ (Was: Anyone seen Matt)
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/02/28
Message-Id: <331f3ee4.166369332@news.clt.bellsouth.net>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

Subject: Re: Let's vote. Who thinks Phil Oliver is gay.
From: s.john@juno.com (S John M)
Date: 1997/08/18
Message-Id: <340502e2.38826538@news.zippo.com>
Newsgroups: alt.config
[More Headers]

In these excerpts from <Re: Let's vote. Who thinks Phil Oliver is
gay.>. felony@DPTSPAMBLOCKbiosys.net (Phil Oliver) wrote:

> Meanwhile, has anyone newgrouped alt.bonehead.phil-oliver or something
> like that? I'm kind of surprised it hasn't happened yet.
>
> -Phil Oliver

Well, let's see-- do I get to be the token gay guy here to get upset
about the foundation of the original post? Naaaa-- I won't bother.
Anyhow, if Phil is *indeed* gay. speaking as a gay man, I hope the
bone's not in your *head* <EG>.

Seriously though-- I find myself "intelligently" perturbed at Phil for
some of his occasional statements... but it's usually because he
substantiated them with facts contrary to what I'd previously assumed
to be true... in other words, his contributions here generally have a
"point' embedded int them somewhere where as quite a few of the
"regulars" will frequently make categoric statements without somehow
justifying them.

S. John

P.S. Oh, yeah... I almost forgot... ME TOO!!!

Author: s.john@super.zippo.com (S John M)
185 unique articles posted.
102 alt.tv.bh90210
43 alt.tv.melrose-place
27 alt.fan.donnas-nipples
4 alt.config
2 alt.pets.rabbits
2 alt.tv.aaron-spelling
1 alt.pets.guinea-pigs
1 triangle.radio

Getting alt.tv.aaron-spelling on YOUR server...If you need any further
assistance dealing with your ISP, feel free to reference this
web page or drop us an e-mail message at s.john@juno.com. In fact, we'd
love tohear from you regardless; let us know you're up and running!!! :-)
History of alt.tv.aaron-spelling

The USENET newsgroup "alt.tv.aaron-spelling" ....

The Original Control Message

Path: news.atl.bellsouth.net!not-for-mail
From: newsmaster@bellsouth.net
Newsgroups: alt.tv.aaron-spelling,alt.config
Subject: csmg newgroup alt.tv.aaron-spelling
Control: newgroup alt.tv.aaron-spelling
Followup-To: alt.config
Date: 21 Apr 1997 13:38:37 GMT
Organization: BellSouth.Net
Lines: 21
Approved: newsmaster@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <5jfqkt$5kb$2@news.atl.bellsouth.net>

Reply-To: sjmackey@bellsouth.net

...... Charter... is an undiluted platform to discuss
.... ---End of original message

Subject: John Mackey
Date: Sun, 21 Sep 1997 17:42:41 -0700
From: "Mark S. Dunlop" <dunlop277@aol.com>
Organization: PARG
Newsgroups: alt.fan.john.mackey

Hi everyone. I was just wondering why this newsgroup was not seeing
much action in the last few months?
Could it be that the infamous John Mackey (me@myself.com) is no longer
active on the internet and on Usenet?
Somebody please post a list of newsgroups that..

Seems a lot of people agree with you. He's in major denial though Neil,
on the alt.tv.bh90210 and alt.tv.melrose-place newsgroups.

2934. Re: How to adjust PRO-43 sensibility

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BLACKEAGLE <user@isp.net>
Message-ID: <60onut$a87$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 184677

I have a Pro-43 and it is a very sensible radio. I don't think it needs
to have the sensibility adjusted at all.

2935. Re: John Mackey - Now I understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: yourvicki@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929175600.NAA02743@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184678

If you note all his aliases and then see what he posted to pedophile groups and the like, it makes you sick.

2936. Re: Packet Radio and scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: royalgala@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <royalgala-2909971156510001@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 184679

Same was as for a Tranceiver, except forgot the transmit side of cable.

Buy a TNC and go for it.

greg

In article <19970926043501.AAA08721@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
nstew64359@aol.com (NStew64359) wrote:

> How does one go about listening to packet radio with a scanner? I can
> hear mir sometimes using packet and I would like to know whats going on.
>
> Thanks for any help...
>
>
> ================================+
> NStew64359@aol.com |
> http://members.aol.com/NStew64359 |
> ================================+

2937. Re: Are there women who scan cordless freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Morrison <alverne@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bccd0d$1e0a6d40$b58fd6ce@preinstalledcom>
Source record: 184680

Bob <bst@cts.com> wrote in article <342FDEEE.2D852387@cts.com>...
> dragon wrote:
>
> > It seems that scanners....and monitoring freqs is a predominately male
> >
> > hobby/past-time.(based on my friends and this newsgroups e-mail
> > addresses) Are there any women out there that are also scanner
> > maniacs?
> >
> > Just Courious?
>
> I actually had three dates where three separate women didn't want to go
> out, but just sit and listen to their neighbors phone calls. I had to
> bring the scanner to their house, twice.

How'd ya "make out" after that, Bob?

Just wondering,
Gster

2938. Re: What Does A Frequency Counter Do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman
Message-ID: <3430f072.42961266@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 184681

heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) wrote:

>Hello. I'm interested in knowing exactually what a frequency counter does.
>Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.
>
>Nat Heatwole
>heatwole@clark.net

Frequency counters scan and lock in on the STRONGEST signal they are
receiving.

.
helmsman@mindspring.com
http://helmsman.home.mindspring.com/
Amateur Radio,Hubble Telescope,Web Cams

2939. FS: Icom IC2GAT Hand Held

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: will.sperling@usa.net
Message-ID: <875553393.31481@dejanews.com>
Source record: 184682
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<<From an Estate Sale>> Icom IC2GAT HiPower (6 watt) 2 meter hand-
held transceiver. Receives 136-174 Mhz; transmits 144-148 Mhz.
Has xmit PL, touchtone pad, and is in excellent condition.
Comes with BP5 ni-cd battery pack, wall charger, MFJ speaker mic,
antenna, and manual (no box). $250 plus shipping (OBO). No trades.

Email inquiries to:

will.sperling@usa.net
Will Sperling, WA9YHX, Westmont, Il. 60559

-------------------==== Posted via Deja News ====-----------------------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

2940. Internet Paging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: badbrad000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929003900.UAA20490@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184683

Can anyone tell me were i can go on the Web and page to a pager? And also iam looking for a place were i can go to have my E-mail go to my pager. Any help would be help full... Send info to Badbrad000@aol.com

2941. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick <Midnight/dot/Rambler@/nospam/asu.edu>
Message-ID: <60p3o2$jog@news.asu.edu>
Source record: 184684

KaylaCamke wrote:
> >From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
> >Organization: Phantom's Amateur Radio Group International K7PDX-KC0AAT

^ ^ ^ ^

> >> I found this great site today and wanted to ask you if you know
> >> about the group? Nice to see that there are some nice Meows!
> >
> >Yea, those two guys are quite the PUSSY's, aren't they!!!!
> >So, what do you know about PARG???
>
> Are you CB guy? I've already sent an e-mail to PARG. Before you start
> calling anyone a pussy, I'd check yourself.

Hi Kayla,
Before you start jumping on Mackey, while wanting to join PARG, I think
you should look at the line in his headers which shows Organization...

2942. cordless phone frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: budfrog505@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929210501.RAA14987@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184685

i am brand new to scanning, i just bought a BC 55xlt last night. yeah i know, it probably sucks compared to what you guys all have, but hey, what do you expect with 60 bucks? well anyway, can anybody tell me what frequencies to try to pick up cordless phone calls? please be really specific about how i can look for them, because i'm clueless. if ya'll have a faq, let me know where it is so i can look through that too. thanks alot
-budfrog505@aol.com

2943. Re: Internet Paging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa9yhx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929012501.VAA23905@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184686

>Subject: Internet Paging
>From: badbrad000@aol.com (Badbrad000)
>Date: 29 Sep 1997 00:39:14 GMT
>Message-id: <19970929003900.UAA20490@ladder02.news.aol.com>
>
>Can anyone tell me were i can go on the Web and page to a pager? And also
>iam looking for a place were i can go to have my E-mail go to my pager. Any
>help would be help full... Send info to Badbrad000@aol.com

I have such a service, from a company called SBBS located in Wheeling,
Illinois. Send email to: INFO@SBBS.net for further information. I have
their "BEEPit" service. Works very well, however, if you are an America
Online customer, you'll have to select an alternate email address for you
BEEPit account.

Will Sperling, BBS Sysop / Assoc. Director
The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA)
Box 40, Westmont, Il. 60559-0040
BBS: 630-852-1292
FAX: 630-512-0609
Email: WA9YHX@AOL.COM
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

2944. Re: Reset BC235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <60n04n$lbp@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <19970929004101.UAA14939@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
BBrower103 <bbrower103@aol.com> wrote about the BC235XLT:

>...I didn't see any mention of how to reset the scanner, deleting all
>freq.'s. What is the key sequence for doing this?

Turn scanner off.

Press and hold 2, 9, Manual keys simultaneously while turning scanner
back on.
--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2945. PRO-2033 comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@istar.ca>
Message-ID: <342FE998.FFC09A68@istar.ca>
Source record: 184688

In Canada, Radio Shack stores are clearing out Nestset PRO-2033 (1994 date of man.) scanners at $79.00CDN.

Any mods for this unit? Any comments on general performance, audio, selectivity, etc...?

Thanks.

Vince

2946. WTD:REGENCY TURBO SCAN 800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jng2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929175700.NAA08561@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184689

Like the subject says. I am looking for a Regency Turbo scan 800. If you have one for sale. Let me know please. Also send me condition and asking price. Thanks

2947. Re: LOCAL POLICE SEIZING RADIOS, Consumer Equipment at Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jimmy Miller <jueb@wetdef.qwe.com>
Message-ID: <01bccce3$374a7400$LocalHost@christopher>
Source record: 184690

> > Great idea! But the only problem is what if they search your place when
> > your not there other than keeping it with you all the time? Then you
> > busted. How about a password protected scanner? after three bad
attempts on
> > the scanner, it resets itself?
>
> wowow -- wowow -- wait ...
>
> How 'about a scanner with a layer of C4 packed inside ... then after 3
flub'd
> attempts <<<<BLAM>>>>
>
> >>>slap<<<
>
> I feel better now ...
>

The only problem you have then is the feds or cops can use that as "intent on causing bodily harm or maiming" or possibly "attempted murder". Or even a whole slew of other charges far worse than simply having some bad freqs in your scanner. Although they are in your home, as long as they have a so called legally obtained search warrant, they can do anything they want.

Also, if you pack (w/ C-4) just the scanner, the law can then prove that you had "prior knowledge" of the scanner being illegally programmed and use that against you. The best way is to just hook up some incendiary bombs all over the house and just have you scanner placed real close to one of them. You could then argue it was just a safekeeping measure to protect under seizure of "hostile forces" and have a doctor diagnose you as paranoid, obsessed with all forces evil.

The bad side of this is that they could charge you with arson, intent to destroy, etc.

I know we are all just joking and that we would *never* do such a thing! But, some wacko out there might, knowing some people. Sorry to be so straight today, but its Monday!

2948. Re: ARRESTED for having sex with my scanner on!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jimmy Miller <jueb@wetdef.qwe.com>
Message-ID: <01bccce4$73d54de0$LocalHost@christopher>
Source record: 184691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> I'm in jail know for having sex with my scanner on!!!! having oral sex
> she screamed so loud and hard that the cops got called and heard my
> scanner and arrested me for the scanner law!!!
>
> if you believe that he got arrested for 902 mHZ that you will love
> fund my defense!!!
>
>

What law would that be? What *exactly* did they charge you with? Some states have oral sex laws, and the cops may have been upset that you didn't offer to share <g>! But seriously, the bills they have now are still just bills, right?

>

2949. For Sale: Realistic pro-23 Scanner w/ Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@localnet.com
Message-ID: <60p9ct$72u$1@usenet88.supernews.com>
Source record: 184692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

>Realistis Pro-23 H/HG scanner For Sale. Covers The Following
>Frequencies:
>108 - 136 mhz
>137 - 174 mhz
>406 - 512 mhz
>806 - 823.9375 mhz
>851 - 868.9375 mhz
>896.1125 - 956 mhz
>NOTE: Unit Dies Not Cover Low VHF Frequencies (30 - 50 mhz)
>Cell Modified / Date Code 7A4
>Unit Is In Near Mint Condition. Comes With Original Box, Packing,
>Manual & Antenna. Works Like New As well.
>Original Price Was $199.99. Asking Price Is $95.00 + Shipping Or Beast
>Reasonable Offer. Only Within Continental USA Please.
>Reply To: radioman@localnet.com

2950. Editorial On H.R.2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34302778.227359339@news-server>
Source record: 184693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

========[Begin copyrighted article]====================
CONGRESS TO OUTLAW RADIO AS WE KNOW IT

This article is copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek
and all rights are reserved, except that it may be freely
distributed, stored, retrieved, and reprinted without my
expressed permission so long as it is not altered, edited,
or changed in any manner.

Reprinted from the WORLD SCANNER REPORT, V7N7, 9/29/97,
by Bill Cheek and COMMtronics Engineering.

CONGRESS TO OUTLAW RADIO AS WE KNOW IT

If Rep. Billy Tauzin (R-LA) (Chairman of the House Subcommittee on Telecommunications, Trade, and Consumer Protection) and his asinine H.R.2369 have anything to say about it, radio as we know it, will become history. It's not the position of the World Scanner Report to run off too deep into politics so I won't take valuable technical space here to print Tauzin's proposed Bill in the House of Representatives. You can find it all over the Internet and in the mainstream radio magazines like PopComm and MT.

Instead, below you will find my views as relayed to California's Senators Barbara Boxer and Diane Feinstein. I do not want you to adopt my views. Rather, I want you to scrutinize my argument and logic, and if you agree, to put it into your own words, and burn up the Internet or Postal Service with outcries to your Congress people of this pending tyranny.

You dear readers know, of course, "they" pretty much already have our guns and our automobiles. Now "they" want our radios. I have long contended that the three most vital ingredients of a free society are:

1. Self-defense
2. Transportation
3. Communications

Forgive me for suggesting it, but if ever there were a time for hysteria and panic, this is it. I mean the America of *We the People* is on the brink.

======
(To) Honorable Senators Boxer and Feinstein:

Please&#65533;.please.....please&#65533; become 'informed' in radio and electronic communications BEFORE lending your support or even casual credibility to Rep. Billy Tauzin's H.R.2369.

Radio signals know no boundaries. Some are dangerous and harmful to body and/or brain. (Radio waves cook your food, for Pete's Sake!) Even 'harmless' radio waves can contain harmful or threatening communication.

The right of the public to protect itself AND to detect jeopardy, danger, and potential harm seems constitutionally guaranteed. It is not a privilege that can be deprived.

The People have the God-given right to use their eyes and ears to "detect" things visible and audible that pass through their property and bodies. Why is there a controversy over the People's right to detect RADIO WAVES that pass through their property and bodies? Radio waves are only a form of light waves - the two are fundamentally the same! And, what's more, sound waves aren't all that much different. Radio, light, and acoustical waves are......energy.

Privacy always has been and should continue to be the primary responsibility of the Sender and Receiver of communications. If YOU loudly speak of top secret matters to your colleagues in a public restaurant, do you have a reasonable expectation to privacy, i.e., are others required to plug their ears?

If one were to disrobe on a city street, would they have any reasonable expectation of privacy? Would it be incumbent on others to shade their eyes or avert their gaze? Certainly some would do so. Not all. And would those who didn't be "criminals"?

When a radio signal is broadcast "in the clear", regardless of audience and intended receiver, there cannot be any reasonable expectation of privacy.

When we verbally speak to others and want privacy, we lower our voices to a whisper and/or seek a secure place.

When we undress for showers and bed, we do so in a private place.

If we want privacy in radio communications, it is incumbent on us to implement encryption or other secure measures.

It is not incumbent on watchers to avert their eyes to public sights; nor listeners to close their ears to audible sounds; so why is it incumbent on the public to not operate a radio receiver just because some people choose to use it for their means of PRIVATE communications? Why does the government feel obliged to legislate this illusion of privacy?

Please note that the Cellular Telephone Industry first created the illusion of privacy, and then convinced the government to sanction it, re the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986, and then the Telephone Dispute and Disclosure Act of 1994.

My dear Senators, I hope you can sense the preposterous nature of these Acts and those now about to follow in their wake.

Please exert your opposition to H.R.2369 and anything resembling it. I am adamantly opposed to government imposition on harmless, passive activities that the People can do in their homes, automobiles, and other private domains that do not impinge on the rights of others; this includes radio monitoring.

The issue crystallizes to a very simple framework:

We the People of the United States of America do not have a reasonable expectation to privacy when we disrobe in public; shout in public areas; and communicate via radio waves.

Respectfully,

Bill Cheek; Citizen of California State
Box 262478
San Diego, California 92196-2478
619-578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PST)

Copyrighted (c) 1997 by Bill Cheek
==========[End copyrighted article]==========

2951. Re: NEXTEL radio/cell phone/pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: alucke@juno.com
Message-ID: <342f1397.22930748@news.compuserve.com>
Source record: 184694

.

>The company I work for is getting rid of our old dispatch radio system
>and going to nextel phone radios these are cell phone and 2-way radio in
>one unit does anybody know if it is possible to moniter the radio
>portion of transmissions if so what frequency, digital or analog????
>
>any information would be greatly appreciated
>
>thanks
>Bryan442@concentric.net
>
I have one & I've scanned for it but only get junk. BTW, don't know
about ur area but we have problems with 'em in buildings here. Also
the phone part works but when ur driving, has a tendency to sound like
it's under water. You sort of hafta rotate it a little to find the
best position for communications. They're a little weighty to with
the battery attached.

2952. Looking for VisualRadio users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W.N.J. Abbo" <w.abbo@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <34300992.3FCA@wxs.nl>
Source record: 184695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,nl.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

I'am looking for users from ViualRadio because I'am thinking
of buying this program.

Are there any users who can tell me wether it is a good program and
can I use my MS-Access'97 database ?

Thanks in advance,

William

--
W.N.J. Abbo,
Netherlands.

E-mail: w.abbo@wxs.nl

2953. Re: Family Radio Service Freqs Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@netcom.com
Message-ID: <jwilkersEHAMAH.LC4@netcom.com>
Source record: 184696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

Douglas Cutler <cutler@email.unc.edu> writes:

>I am also looking to get a couple or so. I have seen but not used the
>Mot's, they really would fit in a shirt pocket. I have also see the ads
>for the Kenwood but not seen one live.
>Yes, if anyone has one please post comments.
>Now questions: There are 14 channels but what are the 38 talk groups?
>Kenwood ofters "scrambling", is this possibly useful, Kenwood specific?
> Thanks,

With the use of CTCSS tones, it is possible to have as many different
groups on a channel as there are CTCSS tones available on the radios.
Typically, 38 tones are used on many units.... If each user would select
a different tone, they would only hear the communications of radios set
to that exact tone.

I do not believe the Kenwood radio has received FCC type-acceptance
yet... as such are not for sale.
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com

Rec.radio.cb FAQ located at my Web site:
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/cbpage.html

Supporting two-way radio for the family of the 90s.
Spamgard(tm) in effect to attend to unwanted commercial email.
Procmail filters auto-delete bogus addresses, MIME and HTML encoded mail.

2954. Re: New FM 27MHz CHANNELS, (CB Radio, freeband, Packet Radio) Proposal Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: w9dmk-at-crosslink@crosslink.net
Message-ID: <342f0a2a.59664526@news.crosslink.net>
Source record: 184697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Sep 97 04:40:27 GMT, "Alex" <HamDude@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>What are you talking about? Are you crazy? In the United States CB is
>about as clean as the bottom of a Mc Donalds' dumpster sitting in a manure
>pile in the city dump!! If CB ever gets back any quality or class
>anything near of Ham Radio, I'd be amazed.

[Too much cooking sherry again, so I screwed it up. Maybe this time
I'll get it right]

I understand and agree with everything you said above, but would you
please read the following again and explain what it means, because I
can't decode it.

>Just because CB isn't formally licensed, doesn't mean the operators can be
>as mature as many licensed in Amateur Radio.

Tnx for your patience, Bob

73 de W9DMK
[Delete the "-at-crosslink" for direct replies]

2955. Wanted scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawk82 <hawk82@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <34304DA0.6F76@gwi.net>
Source record: 184698

```
Wanted:
Scanner, hand-held, minimum of 50 channels, 12 or more bands, BC or RS
$200 or less. New or used.

hawk82
hawk82@gwi.net
```

2956. Re: Are there women who scan cordless freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <343052E2.4935B619@cts.com>
Source record: 184699

> >
> > I actually had three dates where three separate women didn't want to
> go
> > out, but just sit and listen to their neighbors phone calls. I had
> to
> > bring the scanner to their house, twice.
>
> How'd ya "make out" after that, Bob?
>

I never thought of that! I must be getting old(er) :) Well, the truth
is I didn't (all 3 times).

2957. Looking for freq's for S.C.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mrlyn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60pkbj$5c6$1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 184700

I've searched everywhere on the web for frequencies of South Carolina.I have the current edition of police call but know I'm missing some.Areas of interest are Anderson,Greenvile,
and Abbeville.I'm somewhat reluctant to post this, but afters weeks of searching I have become stumped. Admittedly have the fun was the search but after a while it tends to get frustrating.Thanks in advance!
Sam

2958. UNIDEN BEARCAT BC450A SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: 8TJM@CONCENTRIC.NET
Message-ID: <875585668.5407@dejanews.com>
Source record: 184701

PURCHASED NEW FOR $199.00 WILL SACRIFICE FOR $90.00
AND A LITTLE FOR SHIPPING....
THIS IS A TURBO SCANNER.. WITH 50 MEMORIES.. AND DIGITAL
READOUT..PRE-PROGRAMMED .. WITH MANUAL..MINT CONDITION.
TIM

----------------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------------------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

2959. Trunktracker questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: tmonroe@primenet.com
Message-ID: <60pogk$6lj@nntp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 184702

Hello,
I purchased the TrunkTracker just 1 week ago and have some questions
that are not addressed in the manual. Such as: should I completely "kill" the
Ni-Cad battery before recharging?; Is the AC adapter also a charger?(I realize
there is the separate charger); Do I have to unplug the AC adapter from the
wall, or can I leave it plugged in and just plug it into the BC235XLT jack
when I need it? I hope I am addressing the proper source for these questions.
If not, I apologize for taking your time. Maybe you could direct me to the
correct source. I'm extremely pleased with the TrunkTracker and would like to
operate it using the best information I can. My other Bearcat lasted 20+ years
and I don't want to damage this one by doing something that can be avoided.

Thank-you for your time and assistance.

Sincerely,
Tim Monroe

2960. Re: Looking To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rbatina@infinet.com
Message-ID: <60njb1$91t@news1.infinet.com>
Source record: 184703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

MG (realty@aros.net) wrote:
: I am looking to purchase a scanner that scans *everything*
: and not a radio shack *blocked chip* one.
: Not for illegal purposes just to see what's going on without blockage.

Well, that's still illegal, even if you are just "seeing what's going on"
<G> I wouldn't want you to mislead yourself or anything. ;)

--
Rob Batina - rbatina@infinet.com

2961. Good frequency logging program??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clete <Cletus@vabeach.com>
Message-ID: <01bccc73$dda95a20$ae9960d1@cletus>
Source record: 184737

Anyone have and suggestion as to where I can find a decent contact logging program? Can be Free/Shareware or commercial. Any help would be appreciated!

-73's
'Clete'

2962. Reset BC235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbrower103@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929004101.UAA14939@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184738

Just bought a BC235, and going through the manual, I may have missed it, but I didn't see any mention of how to reset the scanner, deleting all freq.'s. What is the key sequence for doing this?

Thanks

Bruce

2963. 900 mhz phones...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: URBZ28A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <60n56b$2coc$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 184739

need help locating the freqs.... cant find them anyone have any info.....

2964. Re: WTB: dtmf decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DELdschmidt@rain.org
Message-ID: <342f1a85.2040464@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

point your web browser to
http://www.rain.org/~dschmidt
for several DTMF decoders available for purchase.
Dave

On Sun, 28 Sep 1997 07:03:18 -0500, 629371@att.net wrote:

>Looking for a DTMF decoder unit.
>
>Please email with conditin, features and price...
>
>Can pay cash or trade ham gear - inquire for details.
>
>Thanks,
>
>John

2965. A New Frequency Database Program Makes It's Debut

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prodata1@gte.net
Message-ID: <60n5t5$83g$2@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 184741

Repost with correct address:

ATTENTION SCANNER BUFFS!

We Are Proud To Announce < Pro Data > Version 1.0 For Windows 95

It's the most sophisticated and powerful Frequency Database Management ever developed.

Please visit our web site at http://home1.gte.net/prodata1/index.htm and view the screen shots.

E-Mail Address: Prodata1@gte.net

FEATURES:

26 Fields. The field order (with respect to the other fields) can be customized.

Grid View window for easy display. - Record View window for easy data entry.

Import records from APF, Percon, ScanWare, and UFDBF database files.

Export data to APF, and UFDBF database text files.

Powerful Query builder - Using these operators {<, <=, =, >, >=} and wildcards {* and ?}. Statements can be ANDed or Ored together giving you a very powerful and unlimited amount of possibilities returning a subset of the records that can be saved as a separate database.

Find and Replace data in any field using these operators {<, <=, =, >, >=} and these wildcards {* and ?}.

Displays the 10 Codes, Radio Service Codes, and Station Class Codes and General Codes (Containing 11 Codes, Most Common Types, and Misc.).

Add, Edit, and Delete the 10 Codes, Radio Service Codes, Station Class Codes, General Codes, Cities, Counties, and States.

4 pre-defined ways and 4 customized ways to order the fields.

Change the Capitalization of data in a selected field to: Word Case, First word case, lowercase, UPPERCASE, tOGGLE cASE.

Reports - Prints to a file or printer, the first 5 fields (determined by field order selected) from the database opened or the query subset.

2966. Re: Pro 46. Help anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: shutton4@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929032001.XAA02991@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184742

Picked mine up in a trade....nice for portable use, I use it to supplement my other radios...would rather lose it to theft than my AOR 8k...

2967. Re: WTB MVT 9200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: shutton4@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929032200.XAA03192@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184743

Sorry, I meant a 9000....still looking.

2968. Re: FS: BEARCAT TRUNKTRACKERS (NEW)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <60n9l6$jfi@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 184744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In <ezCU0dHz8GA.329@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com> 9LIVES
<110025.1771@CompuServe.COM> writes:
>
>FOR SALE: I HAVE TWO NEW, IN-THE-BOX, UNIDEN BEARCAT
> TRUNKTRACKERS FOR SALE. YOURS FOR $250.00 EACH
> PLUS $5.00 SHIPPING. fULL WARRANTY.
>
> CONTACT 9LIVES@compuserve.com
>
> GLENN

Great! Nice price. Fantastic heading.
full of "force".....

which model: the hand-held or the base-stay model?

bill
etc.

2969. $300 to spend - Which scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Belesiu <jimb@spiritone.com>
Message-ID: <342F2B21.4C6E3E9F@spiritone.com>
Source record: 184745

I have $300 to spend on a scanner. I've noticed that Radio Shack has three models in that price range: the PRO-90, the PRO-64 and the PRO-67.

Does anyone have recommendations on these or any other scanners in this price range?

Thanks in advance.

Jim

2970. Re: Marine VHF - in a car???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Les Wilson <nessman@servtech.com>
Message-ID: <342F2856.689E@servtech.com>
Source record: 184746

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> :Mike wrote: I've heard of drug traffickers/growers using marine
> :handhelds. They have alot of channels and if your not near water
> :noone would ussually monitor that band...

> Define "alot".

About 45 transmit/receive frequencies can be used with any off-the-shelf variety VHF marine transceiver. Not sure to what extent they are used inland (away from the oceans, Great Lakes and major rivers) - but the FCC and Coast Guard is known keep watch over this band.

--
==========
Les Wilson, Rochester, NY
--
E-mail - Pers: nessman@servtech.com
Work: les.wilson@ccmail.monroe.edu
--
Web Page: http://www.servtech.com/public/nessman
==========

2971. Re: Family Radio Service Freqs Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sherwin Shao <shao@interlog.com>
Message-ID: <01bccc95$1188c260$1d0014d1@shao.interlog.com>
Source record: 184747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

Anybody tried the Motorola Talkabouts yet?

2972. Baylor PD FREQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cygnus <cygnus@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <01bccc9a$f907d040$4c68cccf@cygnus>
Source record: 184748

Waco, Tx. Baylor U. 463.00 (I think) Base, Mobile, Handhelds

2973. Re: MN state patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <bhilden@isd.net>
Message-ID: <342F5CF3.7595@isd.net>
Source record: 184749

Bryan Schmidt wrote:
>
> I am trying to find a frequency for MN state patrol it is a multi-agency
> channel that is used heavily for police chases around
> minneapolis-St.Paul area
> I've heard it called mnseth or mnsep or something along those lines if
> you know the frequecy for this channel could you please post
>
> Thanks
> Bryan442@concentric.net

It is called MNSEF for Minnesota State Emergency Frequency and it is
155.475.
--
Brian

| "Out the modem, over the phone line, |
| through the ISP, nothing but NET!" |

| "Visualize World Peace? |
| Hell, Visualize Whirled Peas!" |

| Remove NO SPAM to send me e-mail. |

| #6, #99, #94, #28, #16, #88, #2 |

2974. Re: What Does A Frequency Counter Do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jrshattuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970929055701.BAA12785@ladder02.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184750

A frequency counter counts the number of cycles of the signal it is receiving over a set period of time (usually 1/10th or 1 second), then calculates and displays the result as frequency.

2975. Re: How do you interfere with a whole range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Nymon <soldeed@scarlan.com>
Message-ID: <342F548B.11DC@scarlan.com>
Source record: 184751

Adrian Leatherland wrote:
>
> N2YWD wrote:
>
> > I don't understand why you want to this, as I am the guy (at the
> > school I
> > work for) that runs a system like that. I assure you, that when you
> > are in
> > the middle of a performance and someone does something like this, lots
> > - o
> > -people get REALLY mad.
>
> Yeah, Tell me about people getting mad!
> I work with an amateur theatre group who have been experiencing weird
> problems sometimes, but only when the show's are being performed to the
> public. I am investigating whether it could be one of our audience
> members
> with some device.
>
> I didn't expect people to jump to the conclusion that I was out to cause
> any
> trouble.

Welcome to the human race.

2976. Re: 900 mhz phones...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2909970355420001@pm51-28.magicnet.net>
Source record: 184752

In article <60n56b$2coc$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>,
URBZ28A@prodigy.com (John Conti) wrote:

> need help locating the freqs.... cant find them anyone have any info.....
Just to let you know, the 900 MHz cordless phones are not analog. So they
will be difficult to hear anyway. I do not know the freqs, but they have
been posted here in the past. You probably casn find them in DejaNews.

2977. Re: Family Radio Service Freqs Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: aq1sw2@pixi.com
Message-ID: <342f781c.6652096@news.pixi.com>
Source record: 184753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

Nope. I plan to get one for X-mas though. I am wondering how good any of them are as far as intermod is concerned?

http://www.familyradios.com/ has a good chart comparing all of the features of many FRS radios. Actually, the Motorola, while looking snazzy and having a respectable name does not come out as good feature wise as the Midland 75-510. I do not think the Motorola can either scan or be recharged? The midland seems to be the best value. I will probably get one of both.

Would be nice to get people to post here there comments and mini reviews of the FRS radios they bought.

Mark

On 29 Sep 1997 05:01:22 GMT, "Sherwin Shao" <shao@interlog.com> wrote:

>Anybody tried the Motorola Talkabouts yet?

2978. Service Manuals Illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34326890.64175327@news-server>
Source record: 184506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

To: RSPSC1@tandy.com support@tandy.com

Please explain the meaning of the below utterly silly
and nescient reply from your facility yesterday with
respect to service manuals for scanners.

Good grief ! Do you people carry your heads around
with you ALL DAY long? Or, just part time?

Bill Cheek
Author/Publisher

==========[Begin copy of Tandy's Statement]==============

From: RSPSC1 RS Store POS Mail user[SMTP: RSPSC1@tandy.com]
Sent: Monday, September 29, 1997 7:49 PM
To: 99ERS/SPANLINK
Subj: RE: Pro-2006 Service Manual

The FCC has requested that all vendors and scanner manufacturers not
release schematics for scanner products that are offered. Radio Shack
is complying with this request. We regret we are unable to assist you
with your request.

Thank you,

TANDY PRODUCT SUPPORT CENTER
CC/cb

2979. BCT-7 vs. BC760XLT - Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjdurkin@mmm.com
Message-ID: <34316CB6.71F4@mmm.com>
Source record: 184507

My dad is a truck driver and is considering the purchase of a mobile scanner for use in his truck in Wisconsin, where it's legal to use one in a vehicle. He's narrowed the choices to 2 Bearcat scanners: the BCT-7 and the BC760XLT. (I know they're to be discontinued soon, but that's why he's interested: a local company is discounting these models.) After looking at the info on the 'Net, I'm not really sure which one to recommend. I have the following questions to ask of those who are familiar with these scanners:

1) Does the configuration of the channel banks on the BCT-7 make it harder or easier to use? Also, does the arrangement on the BC760XLT (all preprogrammed channels on one bank) make it awkward to use?

2) Are the following features exclusive to the BCT-7 of any use?
--- CB frequencies coverage
--- Highway Patrol Alert
--- Turbo Scan (100 channels/sec.)
--- Mute button

3) Are the following features exclusive to the BC760XLT a significant advantage, keeping in mind that this scanner will be used primarily in a truck?
--- Priority channel
--- Scan delay
--- Illuminated controls other than LCD readout
--- Direct channel access
--- Memory lock

3) Is either unit easily removed for use at home, and is it easy to reinstall back in the truck?

4) How does the speaker audio compare between the two units?

5) Which unit is more sensitive, since this scanner will be used most often in rural areas?

I'd appreciate any advice you could give me. My dad's the one who got me interested in scanning - he had a analog police-band radio before scanners were invented and bought a Bearcat 101 back in the '70s (which I now have, still works!). My current scanner is a RS PRO-2039 that I picked up last month when they were on clearance. Thanks for your help.

Pat

Opinions expressed herein are my own and may not represent those of my employer.

2980. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <60qul9$9up@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: July 14, 1997.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a presidential candidate discuss strategy with his advisor from a 415 MHz radiophone in Air Force 1, or a team of G-men protect him while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies. It's clear from the discussions posted on the Internet that Americans still listen to whatever they want in the privacy of their own homes despite the laws.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a

diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some of the newer models, like the Uniden SportCat and Radio Shack PRO-2046,

allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $2000 Icom R8500 and AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT (a/k/a BC205XLT), BC220XLT, BC230XLT, and Radio Shack PRO-43 and PRO-60 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites.

Looking for value? At $180 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT base is a good value and available at most Wal-Mart stores. The new RELM HS200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $250 street price.

Considered by many as the best scanner ever made, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2006 was replaced by the 1000

channel PRO-2035, which was replaced by the PRO-2042. The portable Radio Shack PRO-64 and PRO-60 are good performers. All five models employ up conversion and are made for Radio Shack by GRE (General Research Electronics).

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are

unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer

than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but

carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (704)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove.net

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. By federal regulation, new scanner models cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/ham-radio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

For information on "virtual downconverter" modifications, see Steve Donnell's article in January 1995 RCMA Scanner Journal or February 1996 Monitoring Times. Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises,
7540 Highway 64 West,
Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (704)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

I don't get any part of this reprint fee.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain selected business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 704-837-9200, www.grove.net) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national

scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. Early issues disappointed experienced scanner hobbyists, but it now has a good column on scanner modifications and has greatly improved its coverage of east coast frequencies since merging with NESN (North East Scanner News). The best scanner frequency lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

The Scanner Club is the name of a bimonthly magazine published by Les Mattson. It is a successor to the Northeast Scanning News and is the official publication of The Scanner Club, a not-for-profit club organization. TSC's main strength is local frequency information, including CTCSS codes. Sample issues are $4 each. A yearly membership costs $24.95 and includes 6 issues. Write to The Scanner Club, PO Box 62, Gibbstown, NJ 08027 for more information.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM for $100. In the 1995 edition, fields are different than PerCon's Spectrum CDROM but the data appears to be of the same vintage. The licensee name and licensee city are used instead of the DBA (doing business as) name and transmitter city which makes query results confusing.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities,

highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Peter Laws administers an electronic mail list read by over 700 hobbyists devoted to scanners and scanning. It's called "scan-l" and for more information, read:

http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/scan-l.txt

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

All Ohio Scanner Club

- 2 -

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about 4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

Some CARMA members participate on the CARMA computer bulletin board, run by Will Sperling. There is a $15 annual fee for the BBS. If you have a modem and terminal or computer, you can reach the CARMA BBS by calling (630)852-1292.

All meetings are held on Saturdays at locations announced in advance. Meetings are usually held at:

- the Destiny Restaurant, on Rand Road, in Des Plaines,

- Philly's in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook,

- The Great Escape, on Irving Park Road, Schiller Park

and at other restaurants.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2981. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: FRG9600 <frg9600@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bccdf5$32ece9c0$38afbacd@mikey>
Source record: 184509

Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com> wrote in article
<343175DD.5129@juno.com>...
> Making assumptions again, john?
> When you ASSUME, you make and ASS out of U and ME.
>
>
> John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
> >
> > Top of the day Blake!
> >
> > You have hit the nail on the head. Eric can not meet your challenge
> > because he is posting under the names of "Eric Oyen" & "Phoenix
> > Radio Man".
> assumption based on available facts (but not all the facts)
>
> >
> > He states that he is not using "Phoenix Radio Man" as an alias but the
> > coincidences are simply to much. See below:
> And thats all they are. Assuming them to be more than that leads to
> onsessive behavior on your part, john.
>
> >
> > 1. They are both blind.
> Define blind. There are SEVERAL definitions varying from simple visual
> impairment to total blindness. WHich one. You made an assumption based
> on the fact that I posted once or twice to a blindness related group.
> HAven't you ever heard of someone who is sighted working for an
> organization for the blind (and having to gather information from such
> sources)???
> You assumed here.
>
> > 2. They both post in the same news groups (only blind & radio related)
> > (as indicated by Dejanews)
> Not entirely. Better check that news search engine again, john.
> Again, another assumption based on FEW available facts.
>
> > 3. They are both in Phoenix.
> Yeah, so. In case you didn't realize it, John, Phoenix Arizona has
> approximately 2.7 MILLION citizens living there. I just happen to live
> in a suburb called Glendale (which is 10 miles west of downtown
> Phoenix). In fact, you can find me at 4949 West Northern Ave. Apt 230
> (if you choose to come to Phoenix at varify this, you can).
>

> > 4. They are both using Win95.
> Agaian, you assume that because 2 people use the same type of software
> package, the m must be the same... Whats wrong with this picture?
> Windows 95 is only one of the most popular operating systems around,
> John (you use windows 3.11 or similar, since you post using a 16 bit
> application). Are you starting to get the message yet? Your assumptions
> are making you look like an ass.
>
> > 5. They both use the same ISP.
> > (as indicated by header information)
> So what. Whats your excuse for switching isps every 2 months or so? BTW,
> you are using sprint.com as your posting site (yet you try to hide this
> by stating you are at usa.net). Same situation john. Those who live in
> glass houses should not throw stones.
>
> > 6. When Eric was on vacation & absent from newsgroups, so was Phoenix
> > RM.
> Oh no I wasn't. Care to look at some of the date postings. Mr. Oyen
> didn't return until 4 days after I posted in here (I know, I checked). I
> guess that one gets blown out of the water too, eh john?
>
> > 7. They have similar writing styles.
> So. What's so unique about yours (also. I know a few people that know
> you personally, john. They say you are just as obnoxious in person as on
> here). Similar is not the same. Another assumption proven wrong.
>
> > 8. They have the same opinions.
> Not uncommon. A gret many people have similar opinions and beliefs. How
> do you figure that I am the same as Eric? (better give large amounts of
> detail here, john).
>
> > 9. "Phoenix Radio Man" used to be Eric's CB radio handle.
> > (as indicated by Eric's admission a long time ago)
> So. Did you also know that Eric Used several CB handles over the life of
> his on the air activities? so far, I have used this one. Also, there
> were two people in Phoenix that were known as Phoenix Radio Man, Eric
> Oyen and Me (Raymond Simms). Explain that?
>
> I hate to say it john, but you have just been effectively proven wrong
> with real facts. Care to rebutt now?
>
>
See if PSN.NET can figure out if they are different !

2982. Re: Family Radio Service Freqs Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anderson <rtanders@erols.com>
Message-ID: <34318B16.27D0@erols.com>
Source record: 184510
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> I don't have the "Talkabouts", but I do have a pair of Motorola Sport 7X,
> 7-CH FRS radios. They look just like the Motorola business and/or GMRS
> radios, only a little shorter. Made very well, work great!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> Sherwin Shao <shao@interlog.com> wrote in article
> <01bccc95$1188c260$1d0014d1@shao.interlog.com>...
> > Anybody tried the Motorola Talkabouts yet?
> >
I'm not sure, but I don't think the 7X is an FRS radio. It does not
talk to my TalkAbouts and I think that it is a low power GMRS Radio.
When I say it does not 'talk' to my TalkAbout, I mean that it seems that
the modulation is too wide for the TalkAbout receiver, it's all garbled.

Bob...

2983. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <60qull$9us@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed June 29, 1997

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in

plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to

cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 813-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2984. Re: ICOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: brendon shaw <b.shaw@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <01bcce0c$e8206da0$c43063c3@D533107btinternet>
Source record: 184512
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.schematics.electronic,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,relcom.radio.diagrams,sci.electronics.repair

Yes

John Lundgren <NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us> wrote in article
<34306C96.238@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>...
> brendon shaw wrote:
>
> > Can any one tell me where I can locate a circuit digram for a ICOM
IC-R1
> > scanner
> > Many thanks
> > B.shaw@btinternet.com
>
> Have you tried Icom?
>

2985. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <60qulq$9ut@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: April 29, 1997.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 1997 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229.

To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553.

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden/Bearcat's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267.

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and
2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal

chassis. The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This condition is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal

required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the

two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder
connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier
activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found
the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and
says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2986. Re: Bill Cheek making bogus posts!!!! Re: Bill Cheeek stirring up trouble again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phoenix Radio Man <Phx_radio_man@juno.com>
Message-ID: <343175DD.5129@juno.com>
Source record: 184514

Making assumptions again, john?
When you ASSUME, you make and ASS out of U and ME.

John Mackey--KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Top of the day Blake!
>
> You have hit the nail on the head. Eric can not meet your challenge
> because he is posting under the names of "Eric Oyen" & "Phoenix
> Radio Man".
assumption based on available facts (but not all the facts)

>
> He states that he is not using "Phoenix Radio Man" as an alias but the
> coincidences are simply to much. See below:
And thats all they are. Assuming them to be more than that leads to
onsessive behavior on your part, john.

>
> 1. They are both blind.
Define blind. There are SEVERAL definitions varying from simple visual
impairment to total blindness. WHich one. You made an assumption based
on the fact that I posted once or twice to a blindness related group.
HAven't you ever heard of someone who is sighted working for an
organization for the blind (and having to gather information from such
sources)???
You assumed here.

> 2. They both post in the same news groups (only blind & radio related)
> (as indicated by Dejanews)
Not entirely. Better check that news search engine again, john.
Again, another assumption based on FEW available facts.

> 3. They are both in Phoenix.
Yeah, so. In case you didn't realize it, John, Phoenix Arizona has
approximately 2.7 MILLION citizens living there. I just happen to live
in a suburb called Glendale (which is 10 miles west of downtown
Phoenix). In fact, you can find me at 4949 West Northern Ave. Apt 230
(if you choose to come to Phoenix at varify this, you can).

> 4. They are both using Win95.
Agaian, you assume that because 2 people use the same type of software
package, the m must be the same... Whats wrong with this picture?
Windows 95 is only one of the most popular operating systems around,
John (you use windows 3.11 or similar, since you post using a 16 bit

application). Are you starting to get the message yet? Your assumptions are making you look like an ass.

> 5. They both use the same ISP.
> (as indicated by header information)
So what. Whats your excuse for switching isps every 2 months or so? BTW, you are using sprint.com as your posting site (yet you try to hide this by stating you are at usa.net). Same situation john. Those who live in glass houses should not throw stones.

> 6. When Eric was on vacation & absent from newsgroups, so was Phoenix
> RM.
Oh no I wasn't. Care to look at some of the date postings. Mr. Oyen didn't return until 4 days after I posted in here (I know, I checked). I guess that one gets blown out of the water too, eh john?

> 7. They have similar writing styles.
So. What's so unique about yours (also. I know a few people that know you personally, john. They say you are just as obnoxious in person as on here). Similar is not the same. Another assumption proven wrong.

> 8. They have the same opinions.
Not uncommon. A gret many people have similar opinions and beliefs. How do you figure that I am the same as Eric? (better give large amounts of detail here, john).

> 9. "Phoenix Radio Man" used to be Eric's CB radio handle.
> (as indicated by Eric's admission a long time ago)
So. Did you also know that Eric Used several CB handles over the life of his on the air activities? so far, I have used this one. Also, there were two people in Phoenix that were known as Phoenix Radio Man, Eric Oyen and Me (Raymond Simms). Explain that?

I hate to say it john, but you have just been effectively proven wrong with real facts. Care to rebutt now?

2987. BEWARE- AES return policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Kauker <Xjkauker@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <343198A3.2486@voicenet.com>
Source record: 184515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Guys,
I just had a shitty experience with AES (Amateur Electronic Supply) that I thought I would pass along to the newsgroup... I was looking for a antenna for HF work and ordered a folded dipole made by B&W from AES. While waiting for the antenna to arrive a friend came over and told me that he had a Hustler 6-BTV vertical that I could have, so since it was too late to stop the shipment I waited until the box arrived a day later and called AES. They said they could not take the antenna back because they have a "no return" policy on antennas, towers, cable, audio tapes, books, vidio tapes, kits, just about everything unless it's defective...then they will "work with you" to get it repaired or replaced. I told them that I had never even opened the box, or the shipping invoice for that matter, and they said that I could not return it because I may have removed the antenna and put a brick in the box and resealed it!! They also said that they could not resell it as new since they will have to open the box, again I told them that I had not even opened the shipping box let alone the antenna box...still NO RETURNS. Now I can see if I special ordered a monster HF beam and had the parts all over the garage floor, but a simple wire dipole antenna?? that's a stocked item?? NO RETURNS?? I have ordered thousands of dollars worth of stuff from AES over the past 15 years and never expected to be treated like that.
My point here is that if your in the mail order business you have to allow some returns that are within reason, it's just goes along with the territory. AES has lost my business for good. I'll find other places such as HRO and R&L Electronics and a host of other "small" dealers to do business with. So beware if you order from AES, the "S" does not stand for Service for the customer.

--

Jack Kauker N3DQZ
Doylestown, PA
jkauker@voicenet.com

Note:To reply, please remove the "X" from the above return address header

2988. BC9000xlt. Any Good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Anderson <j4cande@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <34319693.F99B28A1@pacbell.net>
Source record: 184516

I'm about to purchase the Bearcat 9000xlt and was woundering if anybody has had any good luck or experiences with it. Also, is there and mods out there for it?. Any input would be appreciated.
Thanks and have PHUN
Jason

jason@jasona.com or j4cande@pacbell.net

2989. Good TrunkTracker Price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <1E4252EA95D2DC90.3F34F0F4B17EE419.CCED3D7698DF5072@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 184517

Bennett Brothers in Chicago sells the handhelds
for $252 plus $7 shipping with no tax unless
you live in IL or NJ. This firm has been around
since 1900 so I reckon they're reputable.
www.bennettbros.com or 800-621-2626

2990. Re: Where is rec.radio.sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34319E78.6341@usa.net>
Source record: 184518

Well, well Jay. Using your sysop's system to stir up trouble
on the internet again huh??? I guess I will need to have a
talk with him about your activities.

You come on here cussing & calling named attempting to
provoke trouble. We don't like trouble makers on this NG
so why don't you LEAVE.

Jay Allen wrote:
> John, you lying bastard. No one said a word until YOU brought
> up the subject. Now why don't you just go curl up under a rock
> and leave the newsgroups alone?

2991. Re: Illegal Scanner Service Manuals ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Amor Powers, CB Radio" <power@CB.Radio.net>
Message-ID: <34319C0F.5C24@CB.Radio.net>
Source record: 184519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

>Bill Cheek sez:
> >The FCC has requested that all vendors and scanner manufacturers not
> >release schematics for scanner products that are offered.
> > Radio Shack is complying with this request.

Raymond A. Miller sez:
> Sounds like what may occur is a new business venture.....
> black market service manuals.

This brings visions of a trench-coated guy in dark glasses standing on
the corner outside Radio Shack stores, muttering, "service manuals...
only the good stuff, 100 bucks no questions asked"

Or a new web-site promotion via unsolicited email spam...
XXX RATED SERVICE MANUALS, FIRST PAGE FREE!!!

:-)
Amor Powers

2992. Re: John Mackey - Now I understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: JMackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <875659578.5329@dejanews.com>
Source record: 184520

Neil Bell <neilbell@value.net> wrote:

> Often wondered why John Mackey gets such a kick from making this
> newsgroup so miserable for everyone.

I have often wondered WHY fools like you seem to enjoy stirring
things up after there has been no trouble for a week or so. You
OBVOIUSLY are a troll. In doing a search for you on DEJANEWS
you have not made ANY posts on the internet newsgroups in
the last 6 months except for the post I am responding to. Sure
sounds like you took a bogus name & made a bogus post.

> Talked yesterday to a guy who
> knows him personally and he said "If you think this notcake is bad on

What is a "notcake"?

> the net, you should meet him in person...he is a miserable jerk!"

Funny, you make a bogus accusation with NO support. Who is this
"guy" you refer to? (give name or callsign) And how does
he (specifically) know me??

You either won't reply to this at all or you will refuse to give
any info stating it is confidential or some crap like
that. If you can't back up your words then they are
lies & you were only trying to incite more trouble to
this newsgroup.

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

2993. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <60qulf$9uq@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed May 15, 1997

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is

basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's 1992 book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good

book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM for $100 instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a

frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are

really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using

call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (704)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2994. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <60qum0$9uu@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: September 12, 1997

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled, transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by battery operated portable pagers, and the desktop models are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron 700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when aligned properly.

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. You can buy them new but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and attach a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down,

then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain. Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

WEBER ELECTRONICS
PO BOX 212
5138 LAUREL LN
BROAD RUN, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760

You can also obtain Plectron repairs and parts from:

Northeast Communications
4 Oak Ridge Rd
Newfoundland NJ 07435
201-697-8804

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)972-3226.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model	UHF	VHF-high	VHF-low
SM-301	X	X	X
SM-302	X	X	
SM-303	X	X	
SM-304	X	X	

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from the crystals used in the conventional single channel Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) / 10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--

Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2995. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <60qumc$9v0@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 3, 1996

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1996 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14 of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197	47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148	47K remove R148 or replace with 220K.

	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner -- How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
==========

Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2996. Knott's Berry Farm freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Caesar <pcaesar@devcor.com>
Message-ID: <01bccdf3$63c91200$0e5c5ecc@devcor-web.devcor.com>
Source record: 184524

Does anyone have a list of frequencies for Knott's Berry Farm in So. Cal.

Thanks,
Paul

2997. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <60qumi$9v1@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: June 29, 1997.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267.

Parts lists for recent models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.html. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)442-2425 or (817)870-5600, or through your local Radio Shack store

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2998. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <60qumo$9v2@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed September 30, 1997 |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004

Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio, otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996.

ICOM

R-10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts

of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great

searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997.

UNIDEN/Bearcat and Electra/Bearcat

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized

service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent

display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100 components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder. Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch

adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10

priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at www.grove.net.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan, search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

Regency

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88

-108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. For a quantitative test report, see "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997.

Radio Shack

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan. Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels. Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory

channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. Includes power saver circuit, odd for a base unit, which can clip the first part of a transmission. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually

unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners have no trouble.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50

frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner | using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image | rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks | police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can | lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge | both Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, and AA | cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the | cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive | 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are | 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67," | by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997. |

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals.

Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

Craig (division of Pioneer)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

Sonar

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan- nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock- outs?
FR104	6	X			no
FR105	6	X			no
FR2512	24	X			no
FR2513	24	X			no
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no
FR2516	10	X		yes	yes
FR2517	10	X		yes	yes
FR2525	10	X		yes	yes
FR2526	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2527	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2528	10	X	X	yes	yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

Tennelec

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly.

Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $4.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant

Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark
Office, call their automated information message system
toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web,
including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2999. Re: scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mrlyn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <60s4j9$9ce$1@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 184527

Try looking at alt.comp.scanner, this is radio scanners.

3000. WTB: Flex Antenna/DC Adapter for BC 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Deadbolt <michaelj@multipro.com>
Message-ID: <3431A78E.82C@multipro.com>
Source record: 184528

Looking good price for BC 200 XLT. Leave mail
with condition and price.

Michael

3001. strong signals site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Alan D. Bint, Jr." <shootingstar@pcola.gulf.net>
Message-ID: <3431AD49.77D5@pcola.gulf.net>
Source record: 184529

what has happened to Strong Signals Web Site? I can't get www. qsl.net to respond. Does anyone know what has happened to Strong Signals Web Page? Thanks, Alan

3002. Re: Family Radio Service Freqs Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Ruark <nbruark@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <3431AAAB.3C1A@pacifier.com>
Source record: 184530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

Anderson wrote:
>
> Bill Crocker wrote:
> >
> > I don't have the "Talkabouts", but I do have a pair of Motorola Sport 7X,
> > 7-CH FRS radios. They look just like the Motorola business and/or GMRS
> > radios, only a little shorter. Made very well, work great!
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> > Sherwin Shao <shao@interlog.com> wrote in article
> > <01bccc95$1188c260$1d0014d1@shao.interlog.com>...
> > > Anybody tried the Motorola Talkabouts yet?
> > >
> I'm not sure, but I don't think the 7X is an FRS radio. It does not
> talk to my TalkAbouts and I think that it is a low power GMRS Radio.
> When I say it does not 'talk' to my TalkAbout, I mean that it seems that
> the modulation is too wide for the TalkAbout receiver, it's all garbled.
>
> Bob...

The 7X is a wide-band UHF FM radio; the "talkabout" is a narrow-band
UHF FM FRS radio; the "talkabout" is pre-programmed for FRS channels,
and cannot be changed to talk to the 7X; however, the 7X can be re-
programmed (by a Motorola dealer) to talk to the "talkabout; BUT, it
may no longer be type-accepted if this is done, and, therefore, no
longer "legal" to operate......

3003. Re: Illegal Scanner Service Manuals ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@localnet.com
Message-ID: <60s3gg$7hd$1@usenet76.supernews.com>
Source record: 184531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

Sounds like what may occur is a new business venture.....
black market service manuals.

Ray Miller N2NJK

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>Geezus H. Kriste, guys 'n gals, take a look below
>at what appears to be an attempt by the FCC to outlaw
>scanner service manuals in the hands of the public!
>You ain't gonna believe this one..... it's unreal!!!

>But it comes from Tandy...a "horse's mouth", or is it "-ass"?

>+---+
>| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
>| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
>| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
>| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
>| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
>| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
>| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
>| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
>+---+

>----------

>From: 99ERS/SPANLINK
> To: 'support@tandy.com'
>Subj: Pro-2006 Service Manual
>Date: Saturday, September 27, 1997 5:12AM

>Sirs:
>Can you tell me how I can get a Service Manual for the Pro-2006?
>I'm over seas and I need Price, Address and Phone number if
>possible. 800 numbers are no good over seas, so please give
>other number.

> Thanks

> <name removed by Bill Cheek>

>- - - - -

>From: RSPSC1 RS Store POS Mail user[SMTP: RSPSC1@tandy.com]
>Sent: Monday, September 29, 1997 7:49 PM
> To: 99ERS/SPANLINK

>Subj: RE: Pro-2006 Service Manual

>The FCC has requested that all vendors and scanner manufacturers not
>release schematics for scanner products that are offered. Radio Shack
>is complying with this request. We regret we are unable to assist you
>with your request.

>Thank you,

>TANDY PRODUCT SUPPORT CENTER
>CC/cb

3004. Scanner Laws In Maryland Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <60rtd6$bra@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 184532

I'm interested in knowing what the law is involving scanners in Maryland.
Any help is appreciated. Thanks for reading and in advance.

Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net

3005. Re: Knott's Berry Farm freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3431A59F.7EE6@prodigy.net>
Source record: 184533

Paul Caesar wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a list of frequencies for Knott's Berry Farm in So. Cal.
>
> Thanks,
> Paul
Courtesy of http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/home.html

Knotts Berry Farm (CA)
151.625
151.655
151.685
151.745
154.54
154.57
154.6
158.46
461.1875
461.7875
462.0375
462.0625
462.1125
462.1375
463.5875
464.6625
464.8625
466.1875
466.3625
466.3875
466.6875
466.7875
467.0375
467.0625
467.1125
467.1375
468.5875
469.2625
469.6375
469.6625
469.7125
469.8625

3006. Re: BEWARE- AES return policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Hemmis <K3USC@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3431AABC.1095@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hopefully you put the order on a charge card. You can dispute this
policy when you receive your bill. Be sure to document everything.
Plactic is not always a bad thing ! Good luck and 73-Brian K3USC-Erie,PA

3007. Re: Service Manuals Illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jc123 <jc123@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3431A1F3.3E62@ibm.net>
Source record: 184535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> To: RSPSC1@tandy.com support@tandy.com
>
> Please explain the meaning of the below utterly silly
> and nescient reply from your facility yesterday with
> respect to service manuals for scanners.
>
> Good grief ! Do you people carry your heads around
> with you ALL DAY long? Or, just part time?
>
> Bill Cheek
> Author/Publisher
>
> ==========[Begin copy of Tandy's Statement]==============
>
> From: RSPSC1 RS Store POS Mail user[SMTP: RSPSC1@tandy.com]
> Sent: Monday, September 29, 1997 7:49 PM
> To: 99ERS/SPANLINK
> Subj: RE: Pro-2006 Service Manual
>
> The FCC has requested that all vendors and scanner manufacturers not
> release schematics for scanner products that are offered. Radio Shack
> is complying with this request. We regret we are unable to assist you
> with your request.
>
> Thank you,
>
> TANDY PRODUCT SUPPORT CENTER
> CC/cb
>
> ---
COMMUNISM NEXT?
This is bunch of bullshit if you ask me, All this is going to accomplish
is another way for private owners to make money selling their copies of
schematics to people who need them! Is the FCC this fucking stupid?
As stated in many other subjects in the past:(you can find pictures of
3 year olds getting fucked on the internet, people eating shit and
drinking piss,murders, scams on just about anything imaginable), but now
THEY WANNA FUCK WITH NORMAL PEOPLE WHO JUST WANT A MEASLY SCHEMATIC FOR
SOMETHING THEY OWN! Like this is really going to stop people from
monitoring blocked frequencies, I guess we are labeled STUPID or naive
and we couldnt possibly listen to anything we really wanted to hear

without a scanner!UUUUUUUUUUUUUUUGGGGGGH !!!!!! this really pisses me off!

Disgusted

3008. Re: Scanner Laws In Maryland Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ka3jjz@erols.com" <ka3jjz@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3431A4CA.737C@erols.com>
Source record: 184536

Tony Heatwole wrote:
>
> I'm interested in knowing what the law is involving scanners in Maryland.
> Any help is appreciated. Thanks for reading and in advance.
>
> Nat Heatwole
> heatwole@clark.net
Good news- there ain't any that I know of! I've been running scanners
in my car for almost 10 years without a hitch. Enjoy! 73s Mike
ka3jjz@erols.com

3009. Re: John Mackey - Now I understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <343184B3.76C1@usa.net>
Source record: 184537

Typical Eric response, go run off making a fool of himself
again after someone else's troll.

I see HIS behavior is the same.....

Eric Oyen wrote:
> Interesting. so his behavior is nearly the same, on or off the net.
> How very interesting.......

3010. WTB: R7000 TV MODULE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uplink@Wavenet.Com
Message-ID: <3431BA5D.58C8@Wavenet.Com>
Source record: 184538

IF ANYBODY HAS ONE I NEED ONE E-MAIL ME WITH INFO....

THNAX

3011. Re: Where is rec.radio.sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3431ED53.19ED@usa.net>
Source record: 184539

Yea right. You are so despised by everyone that you can't even
go by your real name.

You crap like below is only intended to make yourself feel better.

TheCBGuy wrote:
> Thats right JOHN nobody likes YOU.

3012. ICOM R-7000 Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete Baston <pete@bearnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ZK8LWFA7rXM0Ewa9@bearnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 184540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all you receiver control experts !

I wonder if anybody could help me with the CI-V command set specifically related to the R-7000 ? I have several pieces of information relating to controlling the IC-735, IC-R10 and IC-R9000 and have some of these commands working. I'd be interested to know the full capabilities of the R-7000 interface, though.

I'd particularly like to be able to control/interrogate the "dot" that includes a channel in the SEL-M scan sequence - don't know if this is possible ?

Regards, Pete.

--

******* Pete Baston, Buckley, Flintshire, U.K. *******
******* http://www.bearnet.demon.co.uk/ *******

3013. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <60qum6$9uv@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 184541
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 1997 - NORTHERN ILLINOIS
last updated September 16, 1997 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass,
AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail:
parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D.
Wilson, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

OCTOBER 1997 |

Oct 5 (Sun), Oakbrook Terrace, IL - Chicago ARC. Entrance |
at Park View Dr., N from Cermak Rd (22nd St.), 1 block west |
of Rt 83. Setup at 6 AM. Public 8 AM - 3 PM. Admission |
$5. Talk in 147.255 and 444.825 MHz. Info: George Sopocko, |
WA9JEZ, (773)545-3622. |

NOVEMBER 1997

Nov 15 & 16 (Sat & Sun), Fort Wayne, IN - Fort Wayne Ham-
fest. Indiana ARRL State Convention. Allen County War
Memorial Coliseum Expo Center. Sponsored by the Allen
County AR Technical Society. Admission $5. Parking $2.
www.pipeline.com/~dagagnon/. Info: (219)484-1314.

NOTES - Computer Central and Giant Computer shows

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of
DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park
Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 708-940-7547. $5
admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am
- 3:00 pm.

Giant Computer shows are usually held at the Orland Park
Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or
at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester
Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $5. Show hours are 9:30 AM
- 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information,
call (708)974-3123.

--
==========
Copyright 1997, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3014. Re: dtmf decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <ts@spybuster.com>
Message-ID: <01bccd0e$45b29920$9f8803d0@microtec>
Source record: 184542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Check out http://www.spybuster.com
They have a few different DTMF decoders.

629371@att.net wrote in article <60lh08$98d@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
> Looking for a DTMF decoder unit.
>
> Please email with conditin, features and price...
>
> Can pay cash or trade ham gear - inquire for details.
>
> Thanks,
>
> John
>

3015. Re: ICOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clough@javiaton.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <875636343snz@javiaton.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 184543
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.schematics.electronic,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,relcom.radio.diagrams,sci.electronics.repair

In article <01bccd52$fe74a180$112f63c3@D533107btinternet>
b.shaw@btinternet.com "brendon shaw" writes:

Hi Brendon,

> Can any one tell me where I can locate a circuit digram for a ICOM IC-R1
> scanner

I would suggest your best bet is Icom (UK) Ltd. Detailed Service Manuals are
available for most Icom equipment.

Tel: 01227 741741

All the best

--
Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, UK	AOR AR5000/7000/7030/8000, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Realistic PRO-26/2042, Welz WS-1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627	Uniden UBC-3000XLT/UBC-9000XLT, Icom IC-R10
Info@javiaton.demon.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-9000
PGP Key available on request \ http://www.demon.co.uk/javiation/
\--/

3016. Re: $300 to spend - Which scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Griffin <jpgriff@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <60pit0$dp@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184591

No doubt about it. The new BC895XLT Trunk Tracker is the scanner you want!
--
John Griffin
JPGRIFF@NOSPAMworldnet.att.net
please remove "NOSPAM" to reply

Jim Belesiu <jimb@spiritone.com> wrote in article
<342F2B21.4C6E3E9F@spiritone.com>...
> I have $300 to spend on a scanner. I've noticed that Radio Shack has
> three models in that price range: the PRO-90, the PRO-64 and the PRO-67.
>
> Does anyone have recommendations on these or any other scanners in this
> price range?
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Jim
>
>
>

3017. Re: Looking for TrunkLip Mount Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: " L. Bones" <prieye@four.net>
Message-ID: <01bccd4b$01fe1000$092946c6@prieye.FOUR.net>
Source record: 184592

Check out www.radio-ware.com

Adam Brock <abrock@tidalwave.net> wrote in article
<60o0auqn5@news.smart.net>...
> Need suggestions for a TrunkLip Mounted Scanner Antenna...
>
> I have now a Radio Shack mag mount... Can I convert to a trunklip mount?
>
> Also, have seen a Hustler scanner antenna... Not sure how good it is....
>

3018. Re: What Are The Amateur Frequencies? - fccalloc.txt (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: (unknown)
Message-ID: <34305b21.2617682@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 184593

barryoXX@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady) wrote:

>heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) wrote:
>
>:I'm interested in know ALL of the amateur radio frequencies. And I would
>:also like to know what meter the frequencies are on (example 50-54 MHz is
>:the 2 meter amateur radio band). Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot in
>:advance and for reading.
>
>Frequencies don't use meters.
>
>Barry
>
>====================================
>To reply via email please remove the XX from my
>return address.

I have posted this text file before,but it seems that everyone still
has not found it--------------

Dave

3019. Re: What Does A Frequency Counter Do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bccd48$207047e0$6f6be0c7@holmes>
Source record: 184594

Hang around this NG for a while and you'll find lots of "freques" to count.
;-)
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

3020. For Sale (PRO-64, HS200, Hoka Code3 Gold)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@erols.com>
Message-ID: <01bccd46$37110b80$dc3daccf@dstegner.erols.com>
Source record: 184595
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I have the following for sale:

PRO-64 handheld scanner List $299.99 asking $200.00
400 channel, triple conversion, with computer interface to upload frequencies (software not included but Radio Manager and ScanCat Gold both support this scanner.)

Relm HS 200 handheld scanner List $249.95 asking $175.00
RELM (formerly Regency) has just released this advanced, wide frequency-coverage scanner with super performance! Covers 26-54, 118 174, 406-520, 806-960 MHz (less cellular). Stores 200 memory channels in 10 banks and scans and searches at a lightning-fast 100 channels per second! All channels may be keyboard-programmed for PL/CTCSS (subaudible tone) or DPL/DCS (digital) squelch systems.

High sensitivity (0.4 uV), strong audio (400 mW), sharp selectivity (-50 dB), 10 priority channels with hierarchy, instant weather scan, undesired frequency lockout, and choice of replaceable or rechargeable batteries (not included), backlit keyboard and display, and even a signal strength bar graph all combine to make the HS-200 a feature-packed leader!

Comes with flex antenna, AC adaptor/charger, belt clip, earpiece, carrying strap, and full instructions.

Hoka Code3 Gold UHF/SW Decoder List $595 asking $400

Decodes the following:
ACARS. For VHF Scanner enthusiasts, one of the most annoying features of modern life is the recent introduction of data to many VHF frequencies. Aircraft followers have enjoyed listening to aircraft giving their positional reports and allowing listeners on the ground to plot the pilot's flying route from the comfort of their armchair. But a new threat has arrived in the form of ACARS. This 2400 bps data system has been around for some years but only recently has it really increased in popularity with airline companies. Air traffic control centres no longer need to speak by voice to pilots. The pilots can communicate directly with the ATC computers thus alleviating the radio congestion of the normal ATC frequencies. Code3 Gold allows you to regain the edge.

POCSAG. By far the most popular paging system here and in Europe. Watch the messages being sent to bleepers, read the messages. Open your eyes to the fascinating world of numeric and alphanumeric pagers.

DTMF. Anyone with a modern telephone has heard this system. Used on many VHF channels to dial numbers, access voicemail or remotely control answerphones. PLUS, If you've heard these tones on HF Aircraft bands,

you'll now be able to decode their 'selcal' systems used by transoceanic flights.

PACKET. Amateur radio operators use this system to interconnect computers on VHF and UHF. 300 bps is used on shortwave, 1200 bps on VHF/UHF.

BAUDOT. This is used all over the world by Russian Maritime fleets, Military, Press, Diplomatic and Utility stations. All baud speeds and shifts.

ASCII. Mainly used by amateurs, but some Diplomatic and Utility usage.

SITOR. THE mode used by ships for sending telegrams and telex calls on shortwave. Also used by Coast stations to send maritime navigational safety messages .

NAVTEX), Diplomatic and Utility stations.

PACTOR. 100/200bps packet like data system mainly used by amateurs but also by the Swiss Red Cross and United Nations for their links into the world's trouble spots.

FAX. Used by meteorological stations to send weather charts and satellite pictures. On VHF polar orbiting and some geostationary satellites (e.g. Meteosat) use a special 2400Hz modulated tone system. This special "AM" mode is also fully supported by Code3 Gold.

SSTV. Code3 Gold supports Martin 1 in full colour if you have a video card that is based on the Tseng ET4000 chipset.

Optional systems included: If you want to really catch ALL the action on shortwave, you need the "Shortwave Option". This adds nearly every decodeable system there is to find on shortwave. Watch Diplomatic stations, Customs, Police, Military, decode weather stations sending 5 figure groups and many more unusual stations. Decode "Annex 10" Aircraft selcals, Morse, Hellscreiber, ARQ-S, ARQ-E, ARQ-N, ARQ6-90/98, ARQ-E3, ARQ-SWE, ARTRAC, POL-ARQ, F7BBN Baudot, Twinplex, CCIR242 TDM, CCIR342-2 TDM, FEC-A, FEC-S, Autospec, Spread, HC-ARQ, TORG10/11, ROU-FEC, HNG-FEC, COQ8, COQ13, Piccolo Mk6, SYNOP (AAXX, BBXX with 10,000 stations).

Please email me if interested.

--
****
Don Stegner
Maranatha Prophecy Page
http://www.erols.com/dstegner
dstegner@erols.com

3021. Re: Destroying freqs in a radio Panic Button

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: markw@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3431630a.78340422@news-server>
Source record: 184596

On Mon, 29 Sep 1997 13:26:21 GMT, Steve@swssec.com (Steve Uhrig)
wrote:

> Our competition was fooling with thermal chemical charges, etc., which
> might have worked but were not practical and too dangerous to carry in
> your pocket for months on end. Sometimes the simplest ideas are the
> best. Ours worked perfectly, was safe and cheap.

Geesh, imagine that. Sorta like electronic crypto devices the
military uses. One big button that says Zeroize. Wipes the memory
real quick. :)

Cul,
Mark Wolfe

3022. Re: What Are The Amateur Frequencies? - fccalloc.txt (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: (unknown)
Message-ID: <34305bcb.2787068@pop.mindspring.com>
Source record: 184597
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: fccalloc.txt

```
[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: fccalloc.txt]
```

3023. Re: Editorial On H.R.2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EHAvp5.G4F@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 184598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote:
[...]
>======
>(To) Honorable Senators Boxer and Feinstein:
>
>Please&#65533;.please.....please&#65533; become 'informed' in radio and
>electronic communications BEFORE lending your support or even
>casual credibility to Rep. Billy Tauzin's H.R.2369.
>
>Radio signals know no boundaries. Some are dangerous and
>harmful to body and/or brain. (Radio waves cook your food, for
>Pete's Sake!) Even 'harmless' radio waves can contain harmful
>or threatening communication.
[...]

You're pleading with the wrong bunch here, Bill.

I remember back to CDA, where a local SF talk show host (Duanne Garrett, RIP)
asked Barbara Boxer (on the air) to try to explain the global aspect of
the Internet to Feinstein, and to try to talk Feinstein out of voting for
the CDA. Boxer said she would try... when the vote came around, BOTH
Boxer and Feinstein voted for the CDA.

The "net" knows fewer boundries than "radio" when it comes to the VHF/UHF
bands, but if they don't want to believe that they can control porno and
"illegal how-to's" on the net, you aint got a hell of a chance of convincing
them that they can't control radio as well.

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

3024. Re: Are there women who scan cordless freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trent@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <60pm5o$j0i@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184599

On Mon, 29 Sep 1997 18:16:18 -0700, Bob <bst@cts.com> wrote:

>> >
>> > I actually had three dates where three separate women didn't want to
>> go
>> > out, but just sit and listen to their neighbors phone calls. I had
>> to
>> > bring the scanner to their house, twice.
>>
>> How'd ya "make out" after that, Bob?
>>
>
>I never thought of that! I must be getting old(er) :) Well, the truth
>is I didn't (all 3 times).
>
>
I don't believe that they do, as they are usually the one's with their
mouths flapping and can't shut up long enough to anybody.

3025. Re: Internet Paging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EHAv6r.G32@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 184600

(Remove xx to email) <rickch19@sgi.netxx> wrote:
>
>USA Mobile: www.usamobile.com Email: AC(PIN).pager@usamobile.com
>PageNet: www.pagenet.com
>SkyTel: www.skytel.com
>etc.

Airtouch: www.airtouch.com for info

--
Reverend Tweek Spiritual Advisor and Religious Liaison
DataBasix mailto: tweek@databasix.com

Followups to Enoch and Cramer are deposited in alt.fan.kooks.mikael-enoch

3026. F/S: PRO 2026 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: heatwole@clark.net
Message-ID: <60pmfa$st8@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 184601

I have a used PRO 2026 scanner from Radio Shack that I�m interested in selling. The scanner comes with the owners manual, original box, and mounting bracket. It can be used as a base/home or mobile scanner. It has direct keyboard access to over 30,000 frequencies. It also comes with:

```
Delay Feature
100 Memory Channels (5 Banks)
Volume And Squelch
Lock Out And Priority Feature
1 Touch Weather
And Much More
```

```
The buyer must pay the shipping on the scanner. The scanner is in very
good cosmetic condition and excellent working condition. Please make an
offer on it. All offers considered. Trades are also considered. Radio
gear only though.
```


```
Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net
```

3027. FS: PRO-2006 / OS456 / SCANSTAR PLUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanner@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <34326f39.6857538@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 184602

FOR SALE:

Radio Shack PRO-2006 in mint condition including
original box, manuals, antenna and cellular mod.

Optoelectronics Optoscan 456 computer control
full version (not lite), includes original box, accessories
and manuals.

Signal Intelligence Scan Star Plus computer control
software (latest version), includes all original accessories.

Everything is in mint condition, must sell, $600.00 obo
takes all. Please send all questions and offers to:
scanner@wolfenet.com

-Marcus

3028. Re: What Are The Amateur Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: mulligan@ACM.ORG
Message-ID: <60pp8a$5ib@HOPPER.acm.ORG>
Source record: 184603

heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) wrote:
> I'm interested in know ALL of the amateur radio frequencies.

In ITU Region 2 (the Western Hemisphere) the allocations are:

Meters MHz Meters MHz
160 1.8 - 2.0 6 50 - 54
80 3.5 - 4.0 2 144 - 148
40 7.0 - 7.3 1.25 { 219 - 220
30 10.1 - 10.15 { 222 - 225
20 14.0 - 14.35 70 cm 420 - 450
17 18.068- 18.168 33 cm 902 - 928
15 21.0 - 21.45 23 cm 1240 -1300
12 24.89 - 24.99
10 28.0 - 29.7

also: 2300-2310, 2390-2450, 3300-3500, 5650-5925 MHz
10.0-10.5, 24.0-24.25, 47.0-47.2, 75.5-81.0 GHz
119.98-120.02, 142-149, 241-250, 300+ GHz

3029. Re: Are there women who scan cordless freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jughead@see
Message-ID: <3430696a.3386291@news.servtech.com>
Source record: 184604

dragon@netshop.net (dragon) wrote:

>Are there any women out there that are also scanner maniacs?
>Just Courious?
>Norm B.

YUP !

I picked up a programable for a girl I know so she can search the
freqs and she gives me all the dirt about her neighbors now.
One is under age & knocked up, one is getting divorced, (while I was

instructing her on search we heard two broads discussing how " he came
three times last night !", need I say that the other was jealous.),and
she thinks she's got abookie in the neighborhood.

OH YES !....... DEFINATELY !

3030. Re: Radios for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: robert williams <ny27@pop.a001.sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <3430A50B.11C6@pop.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 184605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

R7100 new, 1 YEAR OLD, Never used, Low hours, This is it!!!

1200 or your best offer.

3031. TULSA freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cygnus <cygnus@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <01bccd56$11550f80$fb68cccf@cygnus>
Source record: 184606

Hi Okies!

Does anyone know any cool freqs. in Tulsa. I'm at about Woodland Hills Mall. Thanks!!!

3032. FS ACT-1 ACARS decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@erols.com>
Message-ID: <01bccd5b$fdd8e7a0$3d3eaccf@dstegner.erols.com>
Source record: 184607
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Universal ACT-1 List $99 asking $50

ACARS decoder with software. Plugs into PC serial port.

email if interested.

--
****
Don Stegner
Maranatha Prophecy Page
http://www.erols.com/dstegner
dstegner@erols.com

3033. Winradio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: simo@ws6a17.gud.siemens.co.at
Message-ID: <klrlo0fmkny.fsf@ws6a17.i-did-not-set--mail-host-address--so-shoot-me>
Source record: 184608

```
Hi!
What's your opinion about Winradio:

http://www.winradio.com

-- MfG/Bye/Udv - Simon Istva'n (hu/jp) - Istvan Simon (rotw) --
----- mailto:ist@acm.org ---- mailto:istvan.simon@usa.net -----
```

3034. Re: What Does A Frequency Counter Do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: asi@escape.ca
Message-ID: <3430A418.20E@escape.ca>
Source record: 184609

Tony Heatwole wrote:
>
> Hello. I'm interested in knowing exactually what a frequency counter does.
> Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.
>
> Nat Heatwole
> heatwole@clark.net

Ill keep it short and sweet,

It tells you what frequency you are or someone nearby is transimiting
on.

These devices can be wireless and pick up the nearby's transmit signal
or wired on to the transmitters ant cable.

(yes very basic but good for the layman) not to be confused with the
lamers.

Peace from Canada.

3035. Re: AR2500,A good scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <60q55i$csm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 184610

On Mon, 29 Sep 1997 23:00:09 -0400, Mrlyn@webtv.net (Samuel Watkins)
wrote:

>Looking for opinions on the ar2500 scanner.Also any mods,tricks etc...
>Thanks,
> Sam
I had one for about a year. Sensativity was only OK, audio poor,
computer control excellent, but computer interfered with portions of
the spectrum, rendering it useless. Depending on your needs it can be
good or not.

3036. Re: 900 mhz phones...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toolman <poustie@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <01bccd6b$0daf0560$16d2adce@user>
Source record: 184611

927 mhz, i think. Tim

John Conti <URBZ28A@prodigy.com> wrote in article
<60n56b$2coc$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>...
> need help locating the freqs.... cant find them anyone have any info.....
>
>

3037. pro-51 mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: barnetb@gate.net
Message-ID: <60pnp4$16bk$1@news.gate.net>
Source record: 184612

Anybody out there know of a cellular mod for a late model Pro-51?

3038. Re: What Does A Frequency Counter Do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdn1@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <3433bab4.21929469@news.alt.net>
Source record: 184613

On 28 Sep 1997 23:29:43 GMT, heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) wrote:

>Hello. I'm interested in knowing exactually what a frequency counter does.
>Any help is appreciated. Thanks a lot in advance and for reading.
>
>Nat Heatwole
>heatwole@clark.net

A frequency counter does exactly what the name implies, it counts and
then displays the number of cycles (frequency) that occur during a
specific time period. (usually one second) For instance if you were a
ham radio operator and you wanted to check the frequency of a two
meter transceiver that you thought was transmitting on 146.52 Mhz
(Mega-Hertz (146.52 million cycles per second)) you could place the
frequency counter's antenna close to the transmitter's antenna and
then read the frequency readout. Say it read 146.520 , you would know
that the actual transmitting frequency was 146 million, 520 thousand
cycles per second or 146.52 Mhz.

Gary K8IQ

3039. Re: How Do You Modify PRO-2026???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdn1@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <3434c01d.23314722@news.alt.net>
Source record: 184614

On 27 Sep 1997 19:33:49 GMT, heatwole@clark.net (Tony Heatwole) wrote:

>I'm interested in knowing if my Radio Shack PRO-2026 scanner can be
>modified to recieve the 800 megahertz celluar frequencies. The number on
>the back on the scanner is 11A5. Any help would be appreciates. Thanks a
>lot in advance and for reading.
>
>Nat Heatwole
>heatwole@clark.net

Of course modifying a scanner for receiving cellular calls is illegal
and I'm *not* suggesting anyone do this, but someone did post the mod
for the pro-2026 at http://www.qrz.com/mods/pro2026.txt but I do
believe that most all scanners (with a few exceptions???) that were
manufactured after April of '94 can't be modified easily, if at all.

Gary

3040. Off Topic, But...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Forrest Joyner <fjoyner@marktwain.net>
Message-ID: <01bccd7e$56502e80$4c59b1cf@fjoyner.kvmo.net>
Source record: 184615

Anyone who has dealer-level knowledge of programming Midland VHF LMR radios please drop me a note. I have a question about a daughter board installed in a used radio that I've recently purchased.

3041. Re: Editorial On H.R.2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Forrest Joyner <fjoyner@marktwain.net>
Message-ID: <01bccd7d$a8460b20$4c59b1cf@fjoyner.kvmo.net>
Source record: 184616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

I don't think anyone is suggesting that pigs can fly, but who else are you going to direct your comments to other than your elected representatives? We, maybe not you and I, but a lot of people put them in office. Explain that.

HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net> wrote in article <343068A7.74E3@prodigy.net>...
> Bolshevik Barbara and Dianne Swinestein are two politicians who have
> been consistently trashing the second amendment. What should make anyone
> believe that they are interested in saving our scanning hobby?
>

3042. Re: ARRESTED for having sex with my scanner on!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdn1@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <3435c298.23949823@news.alt.net>
Source record: 184617

On 28 Sep 1997 19:58:13 GMT, mbv@ti.com (Ken Durham) wrote:

>In article <19970928173301.NAA13540@ladder01.news.aol.com>, kaylacamke@aol.com
>says...
>>
>>And here I thought you were having sex with your scanner.
>>Those darn RE: titles clipped your last word "on."
>>
>>--<--- Kayla ---<---<@
>~~~~~~
>Maybe he meant vacuum cleaner.
>Ken

Is that why they named it *Eureka* ???? ;)

3043. WTB: Whelen Edge Lenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty Blei <jmap@idt.net>
Message-ID: <3430D9C0.6331@idt.net>
Source record: 184618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,nyc.forsale,li.forsale

I am looking for Whelen Advantedge or Edge Lenses and Endcaps in Blue or Amber. These are 17 1/2 long. Please "E" Mail me if you have these items.

Thanks,

Marty
jmap@idt.net

3044. MM3B Micro-Mag Mobile Antenna Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Brubaker <bob@belvoir.army.mil>
Message-ID: <60qlqs$t01$1@nozzle.tacom.army.mil>
Source record: 184619

This little mag mount antenna is less then 14" tall but works great as a scanner antenna between the 2mtr Ham band and the 850 Mhz
I've stuck about a half dozen other antenna's on the car that have worked well on various bands but this thing really does well on 150/450/850 bands.

It is tuned for the 2mtr and 440 ham band and the Cell band.

The only down side that I've found is it's short cable (9ft). For me that's too short to feed thru trunk. OTH It uses RG174 and has a BNC connector.

I found it in the Tech America Catalog (800-877-0072)

3045. Re: ICOM PCR1000 Receiver now happening> acitivity. This is a non-commercial newsgroup.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <3430F2BF.1CFB@vnet.com>
Source record: 184620

Toolman wrote:
> This post may have bent the rules a little bit..... but I found it much
> more informative and useful than all of the useless petty bickering I often
> see on these pages. I say let the commercial ads in. BTW, are we going to
> stop Mr. Cheek from flogging his wares here too? The sellers, by
> definition, are on the leading edge of the hobby and we do ourselves a
> disservice by denying them the ability to post their wares here. Regards,

I would have to agree with Tim for the most part. At least Steve
offered very credible detail that is of use to us hobbyists. Granted,
it was close to the don't-cross-over line but provided details
that I appreciate to keep on top of what's going on.

I object to the blatant postings which just say "come see our
new web site" and offer nothing else like the CRBbooks and
Multicomm.

One fine example is Jonathan of Javiation who contributes much
to this newsgroup without shoving his business at us.

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

3046. Re: ALERT!! HR2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1@1.not
Message-ID: <3430F7B5.29C2@1.not>
Source record: 184621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.firefighter,alt.emerency.service.dispatcher

wnewkirk@iu.net wrote:
>
> In <01bcbff3$19214460$33aa8bcd@burton-hollabau>, "bj" <hollabau@netusa1.net> writes:
> >Let the morons in Washington pass any law they want to. With Ms Reno
> >enforcing the law you
> >have nothing to fear. The Japanese will pay off Ms Reno and then flood the
> >country with cheap scanners.
>
> The folks in charge won't always be the folks in charge...
>
> things change.

There are several bills in congress right now effecting scanners and
radio transmissions...not just 2369.

Americans have seen more "liberties" curtailed over the last 15 years
than at any other time in history. Free speech is being legislated, the
right to bear arms is being stomped on, state militias are being wiped
out one by one by the federal government, and more and more laws are
being presented to curtail the owning of, and us of, scanners.

They're doing it like they took care of smoking on airplanes - making it
illegal (little steps at a time) until (finally) it's gone in it's
entirety....and no, I'm not a smoker - just using that as an
illustration. :)

One day, fairly soon, scanners are going to be illegal.

Big Brother does NOT want you listening to him...citizen.

Paranoid Sam...

*** If all your fears are actually true - are you still paranoid?

3047. Re: Trunktracker questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: acorbin@roanoke.infi.net
Message-ID: <60qr0mgps1@nw001.infi.net>
Source record: 184622

>that are not addressed in the manual. Such as: should I completely
"kill" the
>Ni-Cad battery before recharging?; Is the AC adapter also a charger?(I
realize
>there is the separate charger); Do I have to unplug the AC adapter from
the
>wall, or can I leave it plugged in and just plug it into the BC235XLT
jack
>when I need it? I hope I am addressing the proper source for these

1- There are various schools of thought on running Nicad batteries down. Some people say that NiCads don't get a "memory effect", Some do. I fall in the category of the latter. I think it is a good idea to take Ni-Cad batteries down every so often and then put a good charge on them. When you take them down, do NOT completely exhaust them. To drain the battery cell down to 0 volts can cause it to reverse polarity and/or ruin it. Each battery cell should be taken down to about 1 volt. Ni-Cads have a fairly stable voltage life while they are charged, however once they need recharging, their voltage drops rapidly.

The AC adapter is the charger. The BC235 can also be plugged directly into a 13.6 volt source such as your car cigarette lighter. No voltage adapters are needed.

The AC adapter is nothing more than a small transformer and probably a capacitor and a diode or two. If you leave it plugged in, sure it will place additional "wear and tear" on it, however, even if you left it plugged in 24 hours a day 7 days a week, I would imagine that you should get YEARS of service from it.

Andy

3048. Need help on BC200XLT Mod!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: spasoje@io.org
Message-ID: <3430ff09.0@oasis.idirect.com>
Source record: 184623

I need help.

I've got a Bearcat (uniden) 200XLT handheld scanner that I'd like to modify for CMT coverage (800Mhz range). As far as I know, they are factory blocked but can be fixed.
If anyone can help I'd really appreciate it.

thx...gord.

3049. radio shack scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: mansonia <mansoniaREMOVE@gte.net>
Message-ID: <60pfvj$r9n$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 184624
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have any words (good or bad)
about the outdoor scanner/ham discone antenna from Radio Shack?
It's item #20-043
Also wondering about the outdoor all band ground plane antenna from
Radio Shack...
Item #20-014

I'm basically looking for a good outdoor antenna that I can buy
from a local store, ie Radio Shack...
Anybody got any suggestions?

Thanks a lot...
Brad

remove REMOVE to reply

3050. Re: Editorial On H.R.2369

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc003@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <34310368.1ADB@kktv.com>
Source record: 184625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

Forrest Joyner wrote:
>
> I don't think anyone is suggesting that pigs can fly, but who else are you
> going to direct your comments to other than your elected representatives?
> We, maybe not you and I, but a lot of people put them in office. Explain
> that.
>
> HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net> wrote in article
> <343068A7.74E3@prodigy.net>...
> > Bolshevik Barbara and Dianne Swinestein are two politicians who have
> > been consistently trashing the second amendment. What should make anyone
> > believe that they are interested in saving our scanning hobby?
> >

Next time they are up for election, it is time to "liberate"
these women from elected position. I know Barb Boxer doesn't
care for the car hobby either. She mentioned a few years back
that people should not be allowed to buy hi performance
cars since they are bad for the environment but should buy
cars like the Geo Metro that are no fun. It was convienently
mentioned in the car mag that her family owns Mercedes.

Brad

3051. if filter mod AR8000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: joncave@xroadstx.com
Message-ID: <875589521.9629@dejanews.com>
Source record: 184626

I have been looking for the if-filter mod for the AR8000. This mod
is suppose to eliminate the audio clipping that the 8000 exhibits
on some frequencies. If you have any info on this mod please email me.

Thanks, Jon Cave

----------------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------------------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

3052. Re: BC895XLT -- Best Price??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1@1.not
Message-ID: <34310F3D.5859@1.not>
Source record: 184627

Bob wrote:
>
> Sean wrote:
>
> > I have been out of radio for about a month now with going to college
> > and everything... just curious what the best price around for mail
> > order
> >
> > is on the BC895XLT? I guess I've seen as low as $319 somewhere,
> > has anyone found anything lower?? If so, please email me as well
> > as post it.. thanks!
> >
> > --
> > ____
> >
> > Sean M. Gilligan * smg3@lehigh.edu
> > Lehigh University, Box C252, 39 University Drive
> > Bethlehem, PA 18015-3041 * (610.758.1188)
> >
> > "Seasons don't fear the reaper
> > Nor do the wind, the sun or the rain
> > we can be like they are" --BOC
> > ____
>
> Santa Fe Distributors. In Kansas.
> Call 800 information for their number.
> BC895XLT -- $279.95

Don't bother unless you have a resale license in electronics - Santa Fe
is wholesale only - they don't sell to the public.

3053. Kansas Column 10-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <andyb@qni.com>
Message-ID: <34310F40.16FA@qni.com>
Source record: 184628

Kansas Column for 10-97

In this month's column we dish up the following fare:

1. Garden City/Finney County stuff. Many goodies, including some KHP and DEA info.
2. Larned Youth Center, and some interesting 9-1-1 cell phone tidbits.
3. Johnson County's switch to 800 on the horizon.
4. Shawnee PD adds a frequency.
5. Lawrence Fire Dept. or KUPD???
6. BPU/KCK update.
7. New Century Aircenter in Gardner, and more Johnson County trunking update.
8. Sandstone Amphitheater frequencies.

1. Dale, from the Garden City area, writes, "Well, not much going on here in the radio world..." and then proceeds to provide several pages of good scanner stuff. Don't be so modest, Dale!
First the bad news...his TrunkTracker scans real slow when he turns it on. Once he hits "MAN" and "SCAN" it seems to straighten out. Have any other TT owners met with this phenomenon? I know that if it is in the trunk mode when you turn it on (with the squelch turned fully clockwise) it will scan slowly as it searches for the data channel, but hitting the aforementioned buttons shouldn't do anything. Just a thought.
Also, VHF Hi intermod is killing him out there. That has never bothered mine so far. I hope Uniden can put it back in order.
Now the good news...a friend of Dale's is a radio tech for KDOT. For those of you that are unaware of it, KDOT is in charge of the entire statewide radio system for all agencies. KDOT, KHP, KBI, etc. His friend is going to school on the new touch screen consoles the state will be using. Stay on the good side of this guy, Dale! You will surely be asking him lots of questions in the future.
Finney County Emergency Management has found a new home on 155.895 output/153.815 input with no PL tone. It is located about 10 miles east of Garden City, so Dale anticipates problems with portables hitting the repeater from locations that were accessible in the old system.
The DEA is definitely using 418.900 (repeater out) in his area. 418.750 is a simplex channel there, too. This is a good time to bring up an unwritten policy of mine. If I feel that a bit of information might jeopardize anyone or anything, I generally will not print it. According to Dale, the DEA scrambles about 10 percent of their transmissions. Hence, they must be aware that people listen to them. With that knowledge, I'm sure they keep any sensitive conversation private. Therefore, I feel comfortable printing this info. I do not

think it is wise to publish frequencies for bugs, body packs, or other such goodies.
Next is the 10 channel trunked system SMR used in Garden City. The frequencies are 861.1125, 862.1125, 863.1125, 864.1125, 865.1125, 861.6125, 862.6125, 863.6125, 864.6125, and 865.6125. He included the following list of TT codes. It is a Type I system, fleetmap E1P1.
300-10 Water Disposal co.
100-3 Water Disposal co.
000-0 farm
100-6 farm
301-5 farm
100-5 farm
301-2 farm
100-8 farm
001-14 DEA Taskforce
001-3 Triple M Communication
001-15 unk
001-13 unk
100-7 unk
001-2 unk
401-9 unk
200-2 unk
401-8 unk
Last, Dale has some information on the state's plan on centralized dispatch for KHP. Norton dispatch was supposed to fade away by the end of the year, but it looks like that is not going to happen by then. Additionally, there is a big tower laying on the ground at the training center in Salina where the central dispatch center will be. The furniture for it has arrived, too.
The most interesting tidbit is a rumor that the state might not eliminate all the existing dispatch centers. The metro centers might be spared and kept where they are. Troops E, D & H will move to Salina when it does happen.
Thanks for all the good stuff, Dale.

2. Doyle, I apologize for not getting this information in the last column. To be perfectly candid, I could not find your letter for a while. The other day it surfaced...and I grabbed it before it was swallowed up in the big ball of papers that rolls around my office.
Here goes:
Larned State Security Police are now on 851.8375 simplex instead of 155.805. The Youth Center (now known as the Larned Juvenile Correctional Facility) repeater is on that frequency, too. The future plans are for the Juvenile Facility to get another repeater on 800 MHz and security will keep 851.8375. Apparently the new system for security does not have great range, but it works better than the old low-band and high-band stuff ever did.
Doyle has some interesting information on the cellular phone setup in the Great Bend area. Sounds like they will be updating cell sites

(and/or adding some new ones) in order to give the 9-1-1 dispatchers a better idea of the caller's location when they dial 9-1-1 from a cell phone. The technology is such that they can narrow down the location from a cell call. You will see words like "exact" and "pinpoint" when you read about advancements like this, but I agree with Doyle when he expresses skepticism about using those words. You will definitely be able to determine which cell site the caller has accessed, and you might even be able to get a general direction from that site if directional antennas are used.
My boss is on a committee that deals with just such technology. He says that there are several ways to provide a more precise location...and none of them are perfect. The directional antenna phenomenon comes into play, as well as the changing power indications as a phone moves, and triangulation. All of these methods work better in an area more densely populated with cell sites. The phone companies claim that they will be able to provide a location within 125 meters. According to him, it will be a number of years before the phone carriers are required to have this feature up and running. First will be ANI (Automatic Number Information), which is the phone number of the cell phone user. A couple years later will be ALI (Automatic Location Information.) Keep us informed on what happens, Doyle.

3. Dave, from here in Johnson County, says that the entire Sheriff's Department and all county services are about to make the complete switch to their 10 channel Motorola trunked system. In fact, it may have happened by the time you read this. The SO dispatches not only for the deputies, but for the smaller communities in the north east corner of the county...Westwood, Fairway, Roeland Park, Mission and Merriam...and the rural towns of Gardner, Springhill, and the Park Patrol. All of them will be on the trunked system. Fire/EMS will stay on high-band, but they have several talk-groups on the trunked system that they use for administrative and chit-chat purposes.
Update...update...update...The Park Patrol will not be switching until next year. They currently have contact with the SO dispatch on the south zone repeater on 158.775, and have their own repeater on 151.235. Apparently dispatch will still have some high-band stuff for communications with them.
Right now most of the county services have gone from high-band to the trunked radios. In a column a few months ago I listed many of the TrunkTracker codes for the system...including those to be used by the SO and the other cities for which they dispatch. Recently a few more have popped up. Most of these appear to be "city" groups that the PD can access, or are used exclusively by the PD. More monitoring time will help me determine that. (Or...I can ask one of the officers or dispatchers!!!) Some cities are conspicuously absent from this list, so I assume there are more groups to be unearthed. Here are the new codes:
Frequencies: 856.9625, 857.9625, 858.9625, 859.9625, 860.9625, 866.2625, 866.8875,867.8250, 868.3500 & 868.7750.
3344 Roeland Park city/PD

3408 Westwood city/PD. Unit said "26XX to 26XX on ⊠city⊠."
3440 I heard a rebroadcast of the Emergency Preparedness daily weather
update on this one. Nothing else.
3472 unk
3504 Heard MedAct supervisors talking to each other.
3568 Mission city/PD. Channel 2
3600 Gardner city/PD Channel 4

4. Shawnee PD has scooped up the old Overland Park frequency 460.375.
Their channel setup is now configured like this:
460.175 Channel 1 primary
460.075 Channel 2
460.375 Channel 3
Overland Park⊠s other old 2 UHF freqs are still up for grabs. They are
460.225 and 460.500. Don⊠t take them out of the scanner for a while.

5. Those of you in the Lawrence area should poke in 867.6750. This
frequency has been previously reported to be KUPD in Lawrence, but that
last couple of days it has been the Fire Department. I am confused.
Can anyone help with this one?

6. Wild Bill sent a list of the talk-groups (no...not the TrunkTracker
codes themselves. It is never that easy!) for the BPU (Board of Public
Utilities) in Kansas City KS. As noted in the last column, the BPU and
Kansas City KS PD/FD are merging their Motorola 800 trunked systems. So,
for future reference, here are the groups. As the system comes on line
you can start pairing them up with the TrunkTracker codes you find
during search mode.
Line Crew Line Crews, Cable Splicers, Transformer Shop
Rlay Serv Relay and Substations, Service Trucks
Garages Garages, Service Center, Muncie, Quindaro
Labr Crew Carpenters, Labor Crews
T&G Switch Switching
E Admin Electric Administration
BPU Techs Radio Shop, Information Services, Energy Control Techs,
Underground Dig
Traf Sigs Traffic Signals
Engs Electrical Engineers, Water Engineers
Env Sec Environmental and Security
L Mtr Light Meter-Field Services
Del Acct Delinquent Accounts
Mtr Rdng Meter Reading
W Dist Water Distribution Maintenance
W Ops Water Transmission/Operations
W Mtrs Water Meters
W Admin Water Administration
Tree Trim Tree Trimmers
Plt Maint Common Plant Maintenance
Q Ops Quindaro Operations
Q Fuel Quindaro Plant Fuel Handlers
K Fuel Kaw Plant Fuel Handlers

K Ops Kaw Plant Operations
N Fuel Nearman Plant Fuel handlers
N Ops Nearman Plant Operations
PP Admin Power Plants Administration Group
K Elect Kaw Plant Electricians
Q Elect Quindaro Plant Electricians
N Elect Nearman Plant Electricians
N Mech Nearman Plant Mechanical
K Mech Kaw Plant Mechanical
Emer Mgmt Emergency Management
Rev Protect Revenue Protection
E Storm 1 Storm Group 1 Electric Operations
Mun Store Muncie Storeroom
Pow Store Power Plant Storerooms
Svr Store Service Center Storeroom
L Crew 1 Line Crews Stringing Group 1
L Crew 2 Line Crews Stringing Group 2
L Crew 3 Line Crews Stringing Group 3
L Crew 4 Line Crews Stringing Group 4
Cap Splcr Cable Splicers Stringing
Tech Str Radio and Relay Technicians Stringing
Traf Str Traffic Signals Stringing
Labr Str Laborers and Carpenters Stringing
W Ops Str Water Operations Stringing
W Proc Water Processing
WP Str Water Processing Stringing
E Storm 2 Storm Group 2 Electric Operations
W Storm 1 Water Department Storm Group
Plnt Str Plant Helpers Stringing
Paint Str Painters Stringing

Jeez...that is a lot of groups! Thanks, Bill.

7. Another contributor, John, offers the following frequencies from the New Century Air Center at Gardner.
129.5750
122.9500
129.5700
130.5700
In part 3 of this column, Dave mentions Johnson County's switch to 800. John confirms Dave's findings. According to him most of the north zone departments have changed. South zone departments of Gardner and Springhill have shut off their high band stuff and gone 800. As you hear the officers go in service they give a portable number so the dispatchers know which officer has pushed his "emergency" button on the radio and are able to send the appropriate assistance. Push that button and the portable number pops up on a screen somewhere and the dispatchers match it up with the officer. Rumor has it that one officer was not told what that button was for, but received some on-the-job-training after he inquisitively pushed it one day.

(Hey...all of us would have eventually done it! A true radio nut always pushes each button at least once before reading the instructions.) John has learned that the SO dispatch center is about ready to move to their new digs on October 13th. He describes it as the "Taj Mahal." Once they get settled in, I will take an excursion there and report what I learn.

8. Steve, who is relatively new to this group but far from new to the hobby, has frequencies used by the Sandstone Amphitheater west of Kansas City. Consider these confirmed because he has some direct contact with the folks out there.
468.7750 CSI (Concert Services, Inc.) Vendors, security and ticket takers.
468.6500 CSI/Medical/Wyandotte County SO (talkaround)
463.6500 CSI
463.7750 Wyandotte SO/Medical (inside theater)
461.2000 Wyandotte SO
Dispatch uses a 25-50 watt mobile in a trailer near the G.A. gate as a base. Security/Traffic/CSI staff and Medical use low power Maxon handhelds. Steve, thanks for the good stuff. Maybe I will pay the few bucks to go sit in the parking lot for the next concert and listen to the overdoses, drunks and fights...and that is for Barry Manilow...imagine when AeroSmith shows up!

Don from Ellis County has been working on some TT codes for the Topeka area, but has not gotten them all organized yet. When he does, he promises that he will let us have them. I have tried to get my TT to listen to the Topeka system from here in Gardner, but is is not sensitive enough to consistently get the data channel. My 2006 hears the data channel all the time, but not the TT. Rats. In a couple of weeks I plan on going through that area, so I will make sure I stop for lunch there and scope it out. Stay tuned for what Don and I come up with. In the mean time, keep the scanners running.

Later,

Andy

3054. scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: NonnGy@nepszabadsag.hu
Message-ID: <875632355.6119@dejanews.com>
Source record: 184629

Has anybody heard about scanning a big size newspaper?
As we know the paper is too thin. What is the solution?

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

3055. Re: Trunktracker questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@eat_spam.fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <34311620.15FB@eat_spam.fnal.gov>
Source record: 184630

tmonroe@primenet.com wrote:
>
> should I completely "kill" the
> Ni-Cad battery before recharging?;

I run it until the radio shuts down. I've been running a battery
(sometimes both) down every day since I got my scanner in mid-July :-)

>Is the AC adapter also a charger?

Yes it is. You can charge a battery in the tray, the battery in the
scanner and have the scanner on all at the same time. For what it's
worth, you can run the scanner without a battery while plugged into the
charger.

(I realize
> there is the separate charger); Do I have to unplug the AC adapter >from the
> wall, or can I leave it plugged in and just plug it into the BC235XLT >jack when I need
it?

If you leave it plugged in, it gets warm so it must be causing some wear
and tear, but it won't go up if smoke if that's what you're worried
about. I unplug mine when I'm not charging (which is most of the time
anyway ;-).

(Remove "eat_spam." to reply or use the address below)
(Rick) Colombo@fnal.gov

3056. Re: In Memoriam: Doug DeMaw, W1FB: 1926-1997

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin <kb2tke@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <34311D04.39213A1D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 184631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

Hi Bill, Thanks for sharing that with the group. I wondered what happened to him as I enjoyed his technical knowledge in Monitoring Times. He will be missed but not forgotten ! W1FB RIP.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> IN MEMORY OF
>
> Doug DeMaw, W1FB: 1926-1997, who passed away yesterday
> of acute leukemia diagnosed only this past Spring.
>
> Radio now has a gaping void that cannot be filled.
>
> May his soul rest in peace.

3057. Re: pro-33 mod question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnglmassiv@aol.com
Message-ID: <19970930055501.BAA27438@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 184632

...nope, probably from like 1991, or so..

3058. relm programing whs150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Motley <motley@gananoque.com>
Message-ID: <34315f16.0@gollum.kingston.net>
Source record: 184633

if someone has a programing manual for a whs150 could you e-mail it to me?
steacys@mulberry.com

3059. manual for relmwhs150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Motley <motley@gananoque.com>
Message-ID: <34315cf7.0@gollum.kingston.net>
Source record: 184634

is there anyone that can e-mail me a programing manual for the relm whs150
my e-mail is : steacys@mulberry.com thank

3060. I needs police freq.'s for Sacramento, ca

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kelli McCormack <Kelli@gotnet.net>
Message-ID: <01bccd8e$cb9ac820$7d3a19d0@kellimcc>
Source record: 184635

if you have any police frequencies for Sacramento, ca please e-mail me at
Kelli@gotnet.net
thank you

3061. Scanner/Legal Mailing lists.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: mtiernan@world.std.com
Message-ID: <EHBwJC.3vA@world.std.com>
Source record: 184636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Having heard enough rumblings from the direction of the
Capital, I decided that we need a centralized discussion area
for any legal action we wish to take regarding scanning.

So, I created this list (and it's digested counterpart).

To subscribe to this list, send a message like this:
To: scan-legal-request@nomad.n-reading.ma.us
Subject: subscribe
[Message Body] subscribe

The list is available in digested form too. It's address is:
To: scan-legal-digest-request@nomad.n-reading.ma.us
Subject: subscribe
[Message Body] subscribe

Digests go out once a week, Monday mornings.

Tell your friends and spread the word!

Items open for discussion are things like how we can educate
our legislators and the media.

--
<< MCT >> mtiernan@world.std.com
Michael C Tiernan. WWW = http://world.std.com/~mtiernan
Is God a performance artist? GEnie: M.Tiernan

3062. Re: BC895XLT -- Best Price??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bst@cts.com>
Message-ID: <343122B3.BDF7D5EE@cts.com>
Source record: 184637

> > >
> > > is on the BC895XLT? I guess I've seen as low as $319 somewhere,
> > > has anyone found anything lower?? If so, please email me as well
> > > as post it.. thanks!
> > >
>
> > Santa Fe Distributors. In Kansas.
> > Call 800 information for their number.
> > BC895XLT -- $279.95
>
> Don't bother unless you have a resale license in electronics - Santa
> Fe
> is wholesale only - they don't sell to the public.

Then I guess the scanner sitting next to me is my imagination?

--

Enemy Nations -- "It's a bird, it's a plane.. Its your 35 bucks goin'
down the
drain"!

3063. Scanner clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: r&c <soldier@llv.com>
Message-ID: <01bccd5f$ca9857a0$99a4fecd@rickcamr>
Source record: 184638

Does anybody nknow of any scanner clubs in the Las Vegas area? If not, are there people in this area interested in starting a club?

3064. Canada Radio-Com Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: brian springer <brianspr@buffnet.net>
Message-ID: <34311DD4.496C@buffnet.net>
Source record: 184639

Canada's Radiocommunications Act defines a radiocommunication as

"any transmission, emission or reception of signs, signals, writing, images, sounds or intelligence of any nature by means of electromagnetic waves of frequencies lower than 3 000 GHz propagated in space without artificial guide"

Could somewhat tell me what a communication WITH an artificial guide would be and/or how such non-radiocommunications are regulated in Canada.

Thanks

Brian Springer
Buffalo, New York

3065. FS: Uniden BC9000, BC200, mil AS/2259 HF antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: eashcraf@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <01bccdc4$253bee60$7e62afce@sleds>
Source record: 184640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have the following for sale:

BC9000 500ch Alphanumeric 25-1300MHz (less cellular) excellent condition
w/box, manual.

BC200 200ch no longer works but could require a minor fix

AS/2259 military HF (6-12MHz?) complete w/canvas carry bag.

looking to trade for RS Pro-series complete w/OptoScan package (2005/6
w/Opto 456) (20?? w/Opto535)
or make offer.

private e-mail only
eashcraf@sprynet.com

Ft Meade Marlyand

3066. Re: 900 mhz phones...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: mwmic@value.net
Message-ID: <mwmic-3009970941350001@j89.value.net>
Source record: 184641

In article , zeno@magicnet.net wrote:

> In article <60n56b$2coc$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>,
> URBZ28A@prodigy.com (John Conti) wrote:
>
> > need help locating the freqs.... cant find them anyone have any info.....
> Just to let you know, the 900 MHz cordless phones are not analog. So they
> will be difficult to hear anyway. I do not know the freqs, but they have
> been posted here in the past. You probably casn find them in DejaNews.

Just to let you know, not all 900MHz cordless phones use a digital signal.
There are a lot of analog 900MHz phones that can be easily monitored.

3067. Re: FS: Bearcat 200XLT- receives cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G. F. Harvey" <gfharvey@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3430E78A.77F2@erols.com>
Source record: 184642

gary evans wrote:
>
> I have a 200XLT that I paid $260 pre 1994. It looks new, but has since
> stopped working. Uniden charges a flat rate of $49 to fix the 200XLT.
> Before I go and ship it to Uniden Repair, I'd like to see if anyone out
> there is serious about buying it from me. Feel free to email me an offer
> with terms. Please remove the NOSPAM from my email id.
>
> garyevans@NOSPAMerols.com

Gary, Since I can't see the unit, I would first ask you to check a couple of things. Can you confirm that: the power supply and cord are good? Is the led on the batt pack illuminating? Have you replaced the batt pack? Will the scanner work if plugged in to the charger? Has the unit been dropped? If all of the above items are OK, then check the batt connections on the bottom of the unit, and top of batt pack. If you have kept the unit plugged in alot, the batt pack may be shot. I have (2) BC100XL (same as 200, except, only 100 ch, and no 800mhz). Feel free to Email me. gfharvey@erols.com

3068. Requested Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: tiad@Minn.Net
Message-ID: <875648865.25374@dejanews.com>
Source record: 184643

The freq that you want to moniter is as follows: 155.475 When they come up on the net they will open with, " This is Minsef(sp?) requesting (for example) a helicopter to search large crowds of people with lights. Or I have also heard an undercover officer request a state trooper for assistance when he was passed on the freeway by a guy doing over 100 Mph. You might want to check out this freq also: 160.950 This is Mn Aero Speed Enforcement freq.

----------==== Posted via Deja News ====----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Post to Usenet

3069. Re: Need Help w/ AOR 1000XLT Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@vnet.com>
Message-ID: <3430F35B.3F54@vnet.com>
Source record: 184644

FB wrote:
> I recently picked up an AOR 1000XLT. Programming the scanner seems
> different than other scanners I have owned.
>
> Could someone please email me the procedures on programming the limit
> search, stroring memory channels and/or any other procedure that would
> get me started?
>
> Maybe a reseller where I can purchase a manual or a web page with some
> info?

Frank,
Do yourself a favor and visit http://www.designeq.com/ Look up
Howard's Guide to the AR1000 and order a copy. Good luck!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
North Carolina editor for The Scanner Club

3070. St. Louis frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1@1.not
Message-ID: <34310829.29D9@1.not>
Source record: 184645

Can anyone provide me with some recently acquired St. Louis, Mo.
frequencies?

The lists I can find are so old they're almost worthless...

Especially interested in the "tact" freqs for St. Louis City Police.

E-mail please, and thanks in advance...

Paranoid Sam

paranoidsam@hotmail.com

****

The adress of 1@1.not is obviously false to throw off the
spambots...please use the hotmail address.

3071. Re: cordless phone frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1@1.not
Message-ID: <3430FDD3.13E7@1.not>
Source record: 184646

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------38686034A9C
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Budfrog505 wrote:
>
> i am brand new to scanning, i just bought a BC 55xlt last night. yeah i
> know, it probably sucks compared to what you guys all have, but hey, what
> do you expect with 60 bucks? well anyway, can anybody tell me what
> frequencies to try to pick up cordless phone calls? please be really
> specific about how i can look for them, because i'm clueless. if ya'll have
> a faq, let me know where it is so i can look through that too. thanks alot
> -budfrog505@aol.com

Welcome to the hobby...

Here's what I have on cordless phones in my database - hope this
helps...

Paranoid Sam

****

--------------38686034A9C
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; name="cordless.txt"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="cordless.txt"

46 mhz Cordless Phones

46.6100 46.6300 46.6700 46.7100 46.7300 46.7700 46.8300

46.8700 46.9300 46.9700

"New" Cordless Phone Frequencies

43.7200 43.7400 43.8200 43.8400 43.9200 43.9600 44.1200

44.1600 44.1800 44.2000 44.3200 44.3600 44.4000 44.4600

44.4800

Family Radio Service Frequencies

462.5625 462.5875 462.6125 462.6375 462.6625 462.6875
462.7125 467.5625 467.5875 467.6125 467.6375 467.6625
467.6875 467.7125

Courtesy of "Paranoid Sam"

If I left anything out, please let me know at paranoidsam@hotmail.com

--------------38686034A9C--

3072. Illegal Scanner Service Manuals ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <34316586.63397298@news-server>
Source record: 184647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur

Geezus H. Kriste, guys 'n gals, take a look below
at what appears to be an attempt by the FCC to outlaw
scanner service manuals in the hands of the public!
You ain't gonna believe this one..... it's unreal!!!

But it comes from Tandy...a "horse's mouth", or is it "-ass"?

+--+
| Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
| PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
| Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |
| E-mail: bcheek@san.rr.com |
| WWWeb Site: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek |
| Mirror: http://204.210.11.126 |
| FTP Site: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek |
| Mirror: ftp.204.210.11.126 |
+--+

From: 99ERS/SPANLINK
To: 'support@tandy.com'
Subj: Pro-2006 Service Manual
Date: Saturday, September 27, 1997 5:12AM

Sirs:
Can you tell me how I can get a Service Manual for the Pro-2006?
I'm over seas and I need Price, Address and Phone number if
possible. 800 numbers are no good over seas, so please give
other number.

Thanks

<name removed by Bill Cheek>

- - - - -

From: RSPSC1 RS Store POS Mail user[SMTP: RSPSC1@tandy.com]
Sent: Monday, September 29, 1997 7:49 PM
To: 99ERS/SPANLINK
Subj: RE: Pro-2006 Service Manual

The FCC has requested that all vendors and scanner manufacturers not
release schematics for scanner products that are offered. Radio Shack
is complying with this request. We regret we are unable to assist you
with your request.

Thank you,

TANDY PRODUCT SUPPORT CENTER
CC/cb

3073. Re: scanner - date of manuf.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdn1@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <3431496b.1515577@news.alt.net>
Source record: 184648

On Mon, 29 Sep 1997 21:07:07 +0000, Vincent Charron <charv@istar.ca>
wrote:

>Could someone tell me what the date was (month/year) that legislation
>came into effect restricting the bands on which scanners can scan (i.e.:
>cell bands)?
>
>I believe it was sometime in erly 1994, but not sure.
>
>thanks.
>
>Vince

AFAIK it was *never* legal to incorporate the scanning of the cell
bands in any type of device, the scanner mfgrs. just made it easy for
those so inclined to easily (in lots of cases) modify the scanner
(snip a diode, jumper etc.) until the law banning the ease of
modification "easily modifyable" took effect in April of 1994 I think.

All scanners manufactured after that date had to be darn nigh
impossible to modify to receive cellular frequencies.

Gary K8IQ

3074. Re: UK Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leigh Hayden <leigh.hayden@sandvik.com>
Message-ID: <01bccd7d$a5111ee0$c96804a4@GBHPC2.IS.SANDVIK.SE>
Source record: 184649

Try: http://www.termcon.demon.co.uk/
European scanner group: Seems to be the best in the UK.

3075. Re: Family Radio Service Freqs Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billc@rust.net>
Message-ID: <01bccdeb$32c65a80$a24445d1@mcsppro200>
Source record: 184650
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

I don't have the "Talkabouts", but I do have a pair of Motorola Sport 7X, 7-CH FRS radios. They look just like the Motorola business and/or GMRS radios, only a little shorter. Made very well, work great!

Bill Crocker

Sherwin Shao <shao@interlog.com> wrote in article
<01bccc95$1188c260$1d0014d1@shao.interlog.com>...
> Anybody tried the Motorola Talkabouts yet?
>

3076. Kansas Column for 10-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <andyb@qni.com>
Message-ID: <3431118A.1F7C@qni.com>
Source record: 184651

10-97

In this month's column we dish up the following fare:

1. Garden City/Finney County stuff. Many goodies, including some KHP and DEA info.
2. Larned Youth Center, and some interesting 9-1-1 cell phone tidbits.
3. Johnson County's switch to 800 on the horizon.
4. Shawnee PD adds a frequency.
5. Lawrence Fire Dept. or KUPD???
6. BPU/KCK update.
7. New Century Aircenter in Gardner, and more Johnson County trunking update.
8. Sandstone Amphitheater frequencies.

1. Dale, from the Garden City area, writes, "Well, not much going on here in the radio world..." and then proceeds to provide several pages of good scanner stuff. Don't be so modest, Dale!
First the bad news...his TrunkTracker scans real slow when he turns it on. Once he hits "MAN" and "SCAN" it seems to straighten out. Have any other TT owners met with this phenomenon? I know that if it is in the trunk mode when you turn it on (with the squelch turned fully clockwise) it will scan slowly as it searches for the data channel, but hitting the aforementioned buttons shouldn't do anything. Just a thought.
Also, VHF Hi intermod is killing him out there. That has never bothered mine so far. I hope Uniden can put it back in order.
Now the good news...a friend of Dale's is a radio tech for KDOT. For those of you that are unaware of it, KDOT is in charge of the entire statewide radio system for all agencies. KDOT, KHP, KBI, etc. His friend is going to school on the new touch screen consoles the state will be using. Stay on the good side of this guy, Dale! You will surely be asking him lots of questions in the future.
Finney County Emergency Management has found a new home on 155.895 output/153.815 input with no PL tone. It is located about 10 miles east of Garden City, so Dale anticipates problems with portables hitting the repeater from locations that were accessible in the old system.
The DEA is definitely using 418.900 (repeater out) in his area. 418.750 is a simplex channel there, too. This is a good time to bring up an unwritten policy of mine. If I feel that a bit of information might jeopardize anyone or anything, I generally will not print it. According to Dale, the DEA scrambles about 10 percent of their transmissions. Hence, they must be aware that people listen to them. With that knowledge, I'm sure they keep any sensitive conversation private. Therefore, I feel comfortable printing this info. I do not

think it is wise to publish frequencies for bugs, body packs, or other such goodies.
Next is the 10 channel trunked system SMR used in Garden City. The frequencies are 861.1125, 862.1125, 863.1125, 864.1125, 865.1125, 861.6125, 862.6125, 863.6125, 864.6125, and 865.6125. He included the following list of TT codes. It is a Type I system, fleetmap E1P1.
300-10 Water Disposal co.
100-3 Water Disposal co.
000-0 farm
100-6 farm
301-5 farm
100-5 farm
301-2 farm
100-8 farm
001-14 DEA Taskforce
001-3 Triple M Communication
001-15 unk
001-13 unk
100-7 unk
001-2 unk
401-9 unk
200-2 unk
401-8 unk
Last, Dale has some information on the state's plan on centralized dispatch for KHP. Norton dispatch was supposed to fade away by the end of the year, but it looks like that is not going to happen by then. Additionally, there is a big tower laying on the ground at the training center in Salina where the central dispatch center will be. The furniture for it has arrived, too.
The most interesting tidbit is a rumor that the state might not eliminate all the existing dispatch centers. The metro centers might be spared and kept where they are. Troops E, D & H will move to Salina when it does happen.
Thanks for all the good stuff, Dale.

2. Doyle, I apologize for not getting this information in the last column. To be perfectly candid, I could not find your letter for a while. The other day it surfaced...and I grabbed it before it was swallowed up in the big ball of papers that rolls around my office.
Here goes:
Larned State Security Police are now on 851.8375 simplex instead of 155.805. The Youth Center (now known as the Larned Juvenile Correctional Facility) repeater is on that frequency, too. The future plans are for the Juvenile Facility to get another repeater on 800 MHz and security will keep 851.8375. Apparently the new system for security does not have great range, but it works better than the old low-band and high-band stuff ever did.
Doyle has some interesting information on the cellular phone setup in the Great Bend area. Sounds like they will be updating cell sites

(and/or adding some new ones) in order to give the 9-1-1 dispatchers a better idea of the caller's location when they dial 9-1-1 from a cell phone. The technology is such that they can narrow down the location from a cell call. You will see words like "exact" and "pinpoint" when you read about advancements like this, but I agree with Doyle when he expresses skepticism about using those words. You will definitely be able to determine which cell site the caller has accessed, and you might even be able to get a general direction from that site if directional antennas are used.
My boss is on a committee that deals with just such technology. He says that there are several ways to provide a more precise location...and none of them are perfect. The directional antenna phenomenon comes into play, as well as the changing power indications as a phone moves, and triangulation. All of these methods work better in an area more densely populated with cell sites. The phone companies claim that they will be able to provide a location within 125 meters. According to him, it will be a number of years before the phone carriers are required to have this feature up and running. First will be ANI (Automatic Number Information), which is the phone number of the cell phone user. A couple years later will be ALI (Automatic Location Information.) Keep us informed on what happens, Doyle.

3. Dave, from here in Johnson County, says that the entire Sheriff's Department and all county services are about to make the complete switch to their 10 channel Motorola trunked system. In fact, it may have happened by the time you read this. The SO dispatches not only for the deputies, but for the smaller communities in the north east corner of the county...Westwood, Fairway, Roeland Park, Mission and Merriam...and the rural towns of Gardner, Springhill, and the Park Patrol. All of them will be on the trunked system. Fire/EMS will stay on high-band, but they have several talk-groups on the trunked system that they use for administrative and chit-chat purposes.
Update...update...update...The Park Patrol will not be switching until next year. They currently have contact with the SO dispatch on the south zone repeater on 158.775, and have their own repeater on 151.235. Apparently dispatch will still have some high-band stuff for communications with them.
Right now most of the county services have gone from high-band to the trunked radios. In a column a few months ago I listed many of the TrunkTracker codes for the system...including those to be used by the SO and the other cities for which they dispatch. Recently a few more have popped up. Most of these appear to be "city" groups that the PD can access, or are used exclusively by the PD. More monitoring time will help me determine that. (Or...I can ask one of the officers or dispatchers!!!) Some cities are conspicuously absent from this list, so I assume there are more groups to be unearthed. Here are the new codes:
Frequencies: 856.9625, 857.9625, 858.9625, 859.9625, 860.9625, 866.2625, 866.8875,867.8250, 868.3500 & 868.7750.
3344 Roeland Park city/PD

3408 Westwood city/PD. Unit said "26XX to 26XX on ⊡city⊡."
3440 I heard a rebroadcast of the Emergency Preparedness daily weather
update on this one. Nothing else.
3472 unk
3504 Heard MedAct supervisors talking to each other.
3568 Mission city/PD. Channel 2
3600 Gardner city/PD Channel 4

4. Shawnee PD has scooped up the old Overland Park frequency 460.375.
Their channel setup is now configured like this:
460.175 Channel 1 primary
460.075 Channel 2
460.375 Channel 3
Overland Park⊡s other old 2 UHF freqs are still up for grabs. They are
460.225 and 460.500. Don⊡t take them out of the scanner for a while.

5. Those of you in the Lawrence area should poke in 867.6750. This
frequency has been previously reported to be KUPD in Lawrence, but that
last couple of days it has been the Fire Department. I am confused.
Can anyone help with this one?

6. Wild Bill sent a list of the talk-groups (no...not the TrunkTracker
codes themselves. It is never that easy!) for the BPU (Board of Public
Utilities) in Kansas City KS. As noted in the last column, the BPU and
Kansas City KS PD/FD are merging their Motorola 800 trunked systems. So,
for future reference, here are the groups. As the system comes on line
you can start pairing them up with the TrunkTracker codes you find
during search mode.
Line Crew Line Crews, Cable Splicers, Transformer Shop
Rlay Serv Relay and Substations, Service Trucks
Garages Garages, Service Center, Muncie, Quindaro
Labr Crew Carpenters, Labor Crews
T&G Switch Switching
E Admin Electric Administration
BPU Techs Radio Shop, Information Services, Energy Control Techs,
Underground Dig
Traf Sigs Traffic Signals
Engs Electrical Engineers, Water Engineers
Env Sec Environmental and Security
L Mtr Light Meter-Field Services
Del Acct Delinquent Accounts
Mtr Rdng Meter Reading
W Dist Water Distribution Maintenance
W Ops Water Transmission/Operations
W Mtrs Water Meters
W Admin Water Administration
Tree Trim Tree Trimmers
Plt Maint Common Plant Maintenance
Q Ops Quindaro Operations
Q Fuel Quindaro Plant Fuel Handlers
K Fuel Kaw Plant Fuel Handlers

K Ops Kaw Plant Operations
N Fuel Nearman Plant Fuel handlers
N Ops Nearman Plant Operations
PP Admin Power Plants Administration Group
K Elect Kaw Plant Electricians
Q Elect Quindaro Plant Electricians
N Elect Nearman Plant Electricians
N Mech Nearman Plant Mechanical
K Mech Kaw Plant Mechanical
Emer Mgmt Emergency Management
Rev Protect Revenue Protection
E Storm 1 Storm Group 1 Electric Operations
Mun Store Muncie Storeroom
Pow Store Power Plant Storerooms
Svr Store Service Center Storeroom
L Crew 1 Line Crews Stringing Group 1
L Crew 2 Line Crews Stringing Group 2
L Crew 3 Line Crews Stringing Group 3
L Crew 4 Line Crews Stringing Group 4
Cap Splcr Cable Splicers Stringing
Tech Str Radio and Relay Technicians Stringing
Traf Str Traffic Signals Stringing
Labr Str Laborers and Carpenters Stringing
W Ops Str Water Operations Stringing
W Proc Water Processing
WP Str Water Processing Stringing
E Storm 2 Storm Group 2 Electric Operations
W Storm 1 Water Department Storm Group
Plnt Str Plant Helpers Stringing
Paint Str Painters Stringing

Jeez...that is a lot of groups! Thanks, Bill.

7. Another contributor, John, offers the following frequencies from the New Century Air Center at Gardner.
129.5750
122.9500
129.5700
130.5700
In part 3 of this column, Dave mentions Johnson County's switch to 800. John confirms Dave's findings. According to him most of the north zone departments have changed. South zone departments of Gardner and Springhill have shut off their high band stuff and gone 800. As you hear the officers go in service they give a portable number so the dispatchers know which officer has pushed his "emergency" button on the radio and are able to send the appropriate assistance. Push that button and the portable number pops up on a screen somewhere and the dispatchers match it up with the officer. Rumor has it that one officer was not told what that button was for, but received some on-the-job-training after he inquisitively pushed it one day.

(Hey...all of us would have eventually done it! A true radio nut always pushes each button at least once before reading the instructions.) John has learned that the SO dispatch center is about ready to move to their new digs on October 13th. He describes it as the "Taj Mahal." Once they get settled in, I will take an excursion there and report what I learn.

8. Steve, who is relatively new to this group but far from new to the hobby, has frequencies used by the Sandstone Amphitheater west of Kansas City. Consider these confirmed because he has some direct contact with the folks out there.
468.7750 CSI (Concert Services, Inc.) Vendors, security and ticket takers.
468.6500 CSI/Medical/Wyandotte County SO (talkaround)
463.6500 CSI
463.7750 Wyandotte SO/Medical (inside theater)
461.2000 Wyandotte SO
Dispatch uses a 25-50 watt mobile in a trailer near the G.A. gate as a base. Security/Traffic/CSI staff and Medical use low power Maxon handhelds. Steve, thanks for the good stuff. Maybe I will pay the few bucks to go sit in the parking lot for the next concert and listen to the overdoses, drunks and fights...and that is for Barry Manilow...imagine when AeroSmith shows up!

Don from Ellis County has been working on some TT codes for the Topeka area, but has not gotten them all organized yet. When he does, he promises that he will let us have them. I have tried to get my TT to listen to the Topeka system from here in Gardner, but is is not sensitive enough to consistently get the data channel. My 2006 hears the data channel all the time, but not the TT. Rats. In a couple of weeks I plan on going through that area, so I will make sure I stop for lunch there and scope it out. Stay tuned for what Don and I come up with. In the mean time, keep the scanners running.

Later,

Andy

3077. Re: Eric Oyen, John Mackey, Barry O'Grady! First To Hit The Kill Filters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey--KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <34317B72.5E8F@usa.net>
Source record: 184652

KaylaCamke wrote:

> Are you CB guy? I've already sent an e-mail to PARG.

No, look at my headers. I give my name, callsign, & organization.
I am NOT CB guy.

However, I am the Secretary & Public Information Officer of
PARG International!

> Before you start
> calling anyone a pussy, I'd check yourself.

OK that is fine Kayla, please check me!!!!!
(this I will enjoy!)

3078. Re: St. Louis frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: STL <spamless@nothanks.com>
Message-ID: <343198D4.4D5C@nothanks.com>
Source record: 184653

1@1.not wrote:
>
> Can anyone provide me with some recently acquired St. Louis, Mo.
> frequencies?
>
> The lists I can find are so old they're almost worthless...
>
> Especially interested in the "tact" freqs for St. Louis City Police.
>
> E-mail please, and thanks in advance...
>
> Paranoid Sam
>
> paranoidsam@hotmail.com
>
> *******
>
> The adress of 1@1.not is obviously false to throw off the
> spambots...please use the hotmail address.

Sam,
Here are STLPD freqs. It is a trunked service.
The 3rd, 7th, 10th & 11th are phone patches.
Have fun!

Frequency
856.4375
856.4625
856.4875
856.7125
857.4375
857.4625
857.4875
857.7125
858.4625
858.4875
858.7125
859.4625
859.4875
859.7125
860.4375
860.4625
860.4875
860.7125

3079. WTB Yaesu FRG 9600 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: gtmudd@sumter.net
Message-ID: <gtmudd.25.000923E4@sumter.net>
Source record: 184654

Wanted to buy...Yaesu FRG 9600 uhf/vhf receiver.

Please e-mail price and condition.

Thanks and 73...George.

3080. Re: cordless phone frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1997-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marty (Smiley)" <martyrip@ptdprolog.net>
Message-ID: <34318113.1B96@ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 184655

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--------------770E4D00563
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Budfrog505 wrote:
>
> i am brand new to scanning, i just bought a BC 55xlt last night. yeah i
> know, it probably sucks compared to what you guys all have, but hey, what
> do you expect with 60 bucks? well anyway, can anybody tell me what
> frequencies to try to pick up cordless phone calls? please be really
> specific about how i can look for them, because i'm clueless. if ya'll have
> a faq, let me know where it is so i can look through that too. thanks alot
> -budfrog505@aol.com

--

Vist the Schuylkill Amateur Repeater Ass.
At http://home.ptd.net/~cakunk/

A good scanner radio page.
At http://www.li.net/~j4dice/stateidx.html

@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
___/ Email martyrip@ptd.net

--------------770E4D00563
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; name="CORDLESS.TXT"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="CORDLESS.TXT"

****
SUBJECT: CORDLESS PHONE FREQUENCYS
****
New Cordless Old Cordless
ch BASE: HANDSET: ch BASE: HANDSET:
1 43.720 48.760 1 46.610 49.670
2 43.740 48.840 2 46.630 49.845
3 43.820 48.860 3 46.670 49.860
4 43.840 48.920 4 46.710 49.770
5 43.920 49.020 5 46.730 49.875
6 43.960 49.080 6 46.770 49.830
7 44.120 49.10 7 46.830 49.890
8 44.160 49.160 8 46.870 49.930
9 44.180 49.20 9 46.930 49.990

10 44.20 49.240 10 46.970 49.970
11 44.320 49.280
12 44.360 49.360
13 44.40 49.40
14 44.460 49.460
15 44.480 49.50
16 46.610 49.670
17 46.630 49.845
18 46.670 49.860
19 46.710 49.770
20 46.730 49.875
21 46.770 49.830
22 46.830 49.890
23 46.870 49.930
24 46.930 49.990
25 46.970 49.970

New Cordless Telephones - 90 MHz

Panasonic 90MHZ Cordless Frequencies:
Color of Phone CH BASE HANDSET
--- -- --- ---
Blue 01 902.10 926.10
Hot Pink 02 902.130 926.130
Banana 03 902.160 926.160
Cerise 04 902.190 926.190
White 05 902.220 926.220
Off White 06 902.250 926.250
Gray 07 902.280 926.280
Fuschia 08 902.310 926.310
Shocking Pink 09 902.340 926.340
Chartreuse 10 902.370 926.370
Neon Purple 11 902.40 926.40
Black 59 903.870 927.870

90 MHZ CORDLESS TELEPHONE FREQUENCIES - - 902 - 928 MHZ NFM
---
902 - 928 900 MHZ CORDLESS TELEPHONES (30-10 KHZ SPACING)
Panasonic KX-T900 (60 Channels)
base 902.10 - 903.870 Base frequencies (30Khz spacing)
handset 926.10 - 927.870 Handset frequencies
CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET
-- --- --- -- --- --- -- --- ---
01 902.10 926.10 11 902.40 926.40 21 902.70 926.70
02 902.130 926.130 12 902.430 926.430 22 902.730 926.730
03 902.160 926.160 13 902.460 926.460 23 902.760 926.760
04 902.190 926.190 14 902.490 926.490 24 902.790 926.790
05 902.220 926.220 15 902.520 926.520 25 902.820 926.820
06 902.250 926.250 16 902.550 926.550 26 902.850 926.850
07 902.280 926.280 17 902.580 926.580 27 902.880 926.880
08 902.310 926.310 18 902.610 926.610 28 902.910 926.910

09 902.340 926.340 19 902.640 926.640 29 902.940 926.940
10 902.370 926.370 20 902.670 926.670 30 902.970 926.970

CH	BASE	HANDSET	CH	BASE	HANDSET	CH	BASE	HANDSET
31	903.0	927.0	41	903.30	927.30	51	903.60	927.60
32	903.030	927.030	42	903.330	927.330	52	903.630	927.630
33	903.060	927.060	43	903.360	927.360	53	903.660	927.660
34	903.090	927.090	44	903.390	927.390	54	903.690	927.690
35	903.120	927.120	45	903.420	927.420	55	903.720	927.720
36	903.150	927.150	46	903.450	927.450	56	903.750	927.750
37	903.180	927.180	47	903.480	927.480	57	903.780	927.780
38	903.210	927.210	48	903.510	927.510	58	903.810	927.810
39	903.240	927.240	49	903.540	927.540	59	903.840	927.840
40	903.270	927.270	50	903.570	927.570	60	903.870	927.870

V-TECH TROPEZ DX90 (20 CHANNELS)
905.6 - 907.5 TRANSPONDER (BASE) FREQUENCIES (10 KHZ SPACING)
925.5 - 927.4 HANDSET FREQUENCIES

CH	BASE	HANDSET	CH	BASE	HANDSET	CH	BASE	HANDSET
01	905.60	925.50	08	906.30	926.20	15	907.00	926.90
02	905.70	925.60	09	906.40	926.30	16	907.10	927.00
03	905.80	925.70	10	906.50	926.40	17	907.20	927.10
04	905.90	925.80	11	906.60	926.50	18	907.30	927.20
05	906.00	925.90	12	906.70	926.60	19	907.40	927.30
06	906.10	926.00	13	906.80	926.70	20	907.50	927.40
07	906.20	926.10	14	906.90	926.80			

OTHER 90 MHZ CORDLESS PHONES
AT&T #9120 - - - - - 902.0 - 905.0 & 925.0 - 928.0 MHZ
OTRON CORP. #CP-100 902.1 - 903.9 & 926.1 - 927.9 MHZ
SAMSUNG #SP-R912- - - 903.0 & 927.0 MHZ

Cellular Telephones

All are in 30 kHz steps, except for Airphones, which are stepped at 5 kHz.
Airphones also operate in AM mode.

824.040 - 834.360
834.390 - 835.620
835.650 - 848.970
849.00 - 851.00 AIRPHONES
869.040 - 879.360
879.390 - 880.620
880.650 - 893.970
896.00 - 901.00 AIRPHONES
==========
-=-= F R O M T H E S H A C K O F W B 3 L Q S =-=-
==========
NOVEMBER 22 1996

--------------770E4D00563--